



2001

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA
DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION
SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

JANUARY 2001

GRAY DAVIS
Governor

MARIA CONTRERAS-SWEET, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

STEVEN GOURLEY
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE January 2001	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2001 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J. Helander				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Section P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-00-188	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this tenth annual legislatively mandated report, 1998 and 1999 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the 1-year postconviction records of first and second DUI offenders over a time period of nine years. The postconviction driving records of second DUI offenders arrested in 1996 and 1998 were evaluated for three- and one-year periods, respectively.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 144	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None	

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1989 - 1999

	YEAR										
	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Total DUI arrests ¹	336059	366834	312571	260150	233673	208844	200754	203794	193250	190098	190286
Felony DUI arrests	10448	12948	11220	9803	8738	7567	6642	6364	5612	5379	5269
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	325611	353886	301351	250347	224935	201277	194112	197430	187638	184719	185017
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest)	226281 ^r	258384 ^r	224794 ^r	189025 ^r	169795 ^r	152028 ^r	148775 ^r	148182 ^r	139801 ^r	139745 ^r	138593
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 1999	67%	70%	72%	73% ^r	73% ^r	73% ^r	74% ^r	73% ^r	72%	74%	73%
Estimated final DUI convictions ³	226135	259810	225748	188116	168964	151550	148725	147153	139626	139245	143414
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	67%	70%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73% ⁶	72%	72%	73%	75%
Total reckless driving convictions ⁴	40456	39617	39386	34186	27835	27374	24516	25701	25879	22851	24020
Alcohol-involved reckless	25646	26960	27093	23675	18645	18246	16329	17446	16867	15945	15514
Total mandatory susp/rev ⁵	111703	233680	373131 ^r	308399	277447	243645	226158 ^r	230600 ^r	205462	238612	236141
Admin per se/refusal susp/rev	21466	142525	272273 ^r	228790	209006	184045	173696	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332
Postconviction susp/rev ⁸	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 ^r	35951 ⁷	63247 ⁷	56809
Alcohol-involved fatalities	2509	2382	2048	1832	1569	1488	1343	1254	1100	1072	1170
% of total fatalities	46.6	46.0	44.1	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2	31.6	30.0	31.0	32.8
Alcohol-involved injuries	63937	63847	55779	48969	42936	39437	36961	35654	31189	30985	29833
% of total injuries	17.6	17.5	15.9	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1	11.9	10.9	10.7	10.3

¹ These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates (0.9% in 1999).

² These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

³ These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

⁴ These totals were taken from the Research DUI data file and the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

⁵ Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.

⁶ This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.

⁷ The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

⁸ These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements.

⁹ From 1989-1990 these were .10 Implied Consent refusal suspensions/revocations.

^r Revised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1989 - 1999 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158 ^r	230600 ^r	205462 ⁷	238612 ⁷	236141
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/a	142525 ^r	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 ^r	9327 ^r	11517	15640	17775
.08 First-offender suspensions	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636 ^r	122111 ^r	114247	116827	119621
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218 ^r	43922 ^r	39636	39024	38487
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234 ^r	4983 ^r	4111	3874	3449
Commercial driver actions	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743 ^r	4939 ^r	4496	4609	4471
Chemical test refusal actions	21466	22915	21296 ^r	17963 ^r	15662	13264	11711 ^r	11436 ^r	10110	9935	9435
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268
.08 Test refusal suspensions ⁹	12390	15128	10901 ^r	9374 ^r	8256	7022	6307 ^r	6299 ^r	5865	5832	5718
.08 Test refusal revocations ⁹	9076	7787	10395 ^r	8589 ^r	7406	6063	5234 ^r	4983 ^r	4111	3874	3449
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332
POSTCONVICTION⁸											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769 ⁷	1026 ⁷	918
First-offender suspensions	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847 ⁷	9588 ⁷	15072
Misdemeanor	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834 ⁷	7497 ⁷	13401
Felony	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013 ⁷	2091 ⁷	1671
Second-offender S/R actions	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945 ⁷	40238 ⁷	31940
Misdemeanor	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532 ⁷	39605 ⁷	31455
Felony	689	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413 ⁷	633 ⁷	485
Third-offender revocations	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569 ⁷	9397 ⁷	6573
Misdemeanor	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471 ⁷	9167 ⁷	6452
Felony	332	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98 ⁷	230 ⁷	121
Fourth-offender revocations	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821 ⁷	2998 ⁷	2306
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 ^r	35951 ⁷	63247 ⁷	56809

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2001 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- ▶ Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 9.1% in 1999, the first annual increase in over a decade.
- ▶ DUI arrests increased by 188 in 1999, only the second annual increase in the past decade.
- ▶ The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents declined by 3.7% in 1999 (the thirteenth consecutive year of decline). Since 1989, alcohol-involved injuries have dropped by over half (53.3%).
- ▶ 12.8% of all 1998 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. Almost half (45.5%) of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- ▶ The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .163% in 1998 (same as in 1997), which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.
- ▶ Among 1999 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (42.3%) no longer constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, being outnumbered by Whites (42.8%) for the first time since 1992. Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (26.5% in 1999). The ethnic distribution among DUI arrestees who are convicted fairly closely parallels the ethnic distribution of the arrestees.
- ▶ The average age of an arrested DUI offender in 1999 was 33.7 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).
- ▶ Among convicted DUI offenders in 1998, 73.5% were first offenders and 26.5% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.
- ▶ 14.4% of 1998 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is a decrease from 15.8% in 1997 and 18.4% in 1996.

- ▶ Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, continued to be the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders. Contrary to last year's findings, second offenders assigned to ignition interlock, in addition to license suspension and alcohol treatment, did show a significantly different 1-year DUI incident rate from that of the SB 38 alcohol treatment group.

- ▶ DUI recidivism rates have declined by 23.6% to 47.5% since 1990, regardless of sanction group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist I, and Pat Timmons, Staff Programmer Analyst.

Report Authors:

Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist I, Principal Investigator and
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager II, Project Manager and Acting Chief, Research
& Development Branch.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1989-1999	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2001 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS	4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS	10
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS	22
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS	29
EVALUATION METHOD AND RESULTS	34
Subject Selection and Data Collection	34
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures	38
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1998	39
One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1998	41
Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation	42
Total Accidents	42
DUI Incidents	45
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS	46
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL	50
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS	61
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975	63
REFERENCES	72
GLOSSARY	74

APPENDICES

NUMBER

A	ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450	77
B1	1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	79
B2	1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE	94

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT	103
B4	1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	113
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS.....	143
B6	ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS.....	143

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1997-1999.....	7
2	1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST.....	8
3a	1999 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	9
3b	1999 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	9
4	1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX.....	15
5	MATCHABLE 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX.....	16
6	ADJUSTED 1998 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	16
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES.....	17
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY.....	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
9a	1998 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS.....	20
9b	1998 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21	21
10	1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL	21
11	1998 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS.....	25
12	1998 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS	26
13	1998 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS	27
14a	ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1998	40
14b	ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1998.....	41
15	SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR.....	43
16	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES.....	44
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1989-1999	48
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES.....	49
19	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE	54

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
20	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST	54
21	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE	55
22	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST	55
23	1998 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	56
24a	1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX	57
24b	1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED).....	57
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS	58
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED).....	58
26a	1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	59
26b	1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED).....	59
27	1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS	60

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1 DUI management information system.....	2
2 DUI arrests 1989-1999.....	4
3 Percentage of 1999 DUI arrests and 1999 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity.....	6
4 DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1989-1999.....	12
5 Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity.....	15
6 Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1998).....	23
7a Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).....	31
7b Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).....	31
8a Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).....	32
8b Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).....	32
9 Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1996 second offenders by type of sanction.....	33
10 Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1998 second offenders by type of sanction.....	34
11 Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1989-1999.....	47
12 Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1989-1999.....	51


INTRODUCTION

This report is the tenth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Figure 1. DUI management information system.



It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 1997-1999. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1997-1999 and the percentage change from 1998-1999 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 1999 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 1999 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 1999 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 1999 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1989 to 1999.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 1989-1999.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- ▶ DUI arrests increased by 188 in 1999 (less than 1%), only the second annual increase of the decade.
- ▶ The per capita DUI arrest rate was again 0.9 in 1999 (as in 1997 and 1998), which represents a 50% reduction over the 1.8 rate at the beginning of the decade (1990).
- ▶ Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) continue to constitute a relatively small proportion (2.7% in 1999) of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- ▶ 22.9% of all 1999 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 44.3% of all arrests.
- ▶ The 1999 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.2 to 2.8 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Six counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.2), Alameda, Mariposa, San Mateo, Santa Clara and Solano (0.7). Three counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Colusa (2.8), Alpine (2.4), and Imperial (2.0).
- ▶ As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 1999. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage decline occurred in San Francisco (-13.9%), Placer (-11.5%), and San Joaquin (-10.5%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Trinity (-36.4%) and Alpine (-17.9%). Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were San Benito (55.5%), Butte (26.0%), Tuolumne (23.5%), Shasta (22.5%), Lake (22.4%), and Glenn (22.3%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- ▶ The average age of a DUI arrestee in 1999 was 33.7 years. Roughly half (46.1%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.8%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.3% of all arrestees were over age 60.
- ▶ Males comprised 85.6% of all 1999 DUI arrests.

- ▶ For the first time since 1992, Hispanics (42.3%) no longer represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees in 1999 (Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 1999 population parity of 26.5% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrests (6.8% of arrests, 6.7% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 1999 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (42.8% of arrests, 54.9% of the population), and "Other" (8.0% of arrests, 12.0% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 1999 DUI arrests and 1999 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.
- ▶ Among male 1999 DUI arrestees, 45.9% were Hispanic, 39.3% were White, 6.7% were Black, and 8.0% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 63.9% were White, 20.6% were Hispanic, 7.4% were Black, and 8.1% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.
- ▶ In the following 6 counties, Hispanics comprised over 60% of those arrested for DUI during 1999: Tulare (71.9%), Imperial (71.3%), San Benito (68.6%), Fresno (66.6%), Madera (66.5%), and Merced (60.8%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.
- ▶ The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.3 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.3 years.




Figure 3. Percentage of 1999 DUI arrests and 1999 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity. [Note: The corrected projected population proportions for 1996 and 1997 should have been 56.3% and 56% (respectively) for Whites, 26.4% and 26.7% for Hispanics, 6.5% (both years) for Blacks, and 10.7% and 10.8% for Other.]

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1997-1999

COUNTY	1997	1998	1999	% CHANGE 1998-1999
STATEWIDE	191164	188327	188523	0.1
ALAMEDA	6134	6229	6611	6.1
ALPINE	25	28	23	-17.9
AMADOR	206	219	207	-5.5
BUTTE	1248	1117	1407	26.0
CALAVERAS	316	319	299	-6.3
COLUSA	292	385	340	-11.7
CONTRA COSTA	4200	4303	4829	12.2
DEL NORTE	285	293	297	1.4
EL DORADO	918	1051	1203	14.5
FRESNO	6455	6562	6434	-2.0
GLENN	273	233	285	22.3
HUMBOLDT	1332	1359	1248	-8.2
IMPERIAL	1692	1658	1659	0.1
INYO	272	259	276	6.6
KERN	4303	4590	4497	-2.0
KINGS	1037	996	1000	0.4
LAKE	638	522	639	22.4
LASSEN	183	249	252	1.2
LOS ANGELES	49255	45502	43099	-5.3
MADERA	820	724	830	14.6
MARIN	1602	1635	1480	-9.5
MARIPOSA	63	100	88	-12.0
MENDOCINO	778	781	851	9.0
MERCED	1821	1902	1880	-1.2
MODOC	91	82	94	14.6
MONO	108	96	110	14.6
MONTEREY	3609	3134	3213	2.5
NAPA	1104	1070	1030	-3.7
NEVADA	703	669	755	12.9
ORANGE	14856	14653	15629	6.7
PLACER	1684	1748	1547	-11.5
PLUMAS	233	259	245	-5.4
RIVERSIDE	8078	8873	9484	6.9
SACRAMENTO	6901	7710	7474	-3.1
SAN BENITO	377	256	398	55.5
SAN BERNARDINO	10816	10304	10397	0.9
SAN DIEGO	14701	14263	14461	1.4
SAN FRANCISCO	1481	1447	1246	-13.9
SAN JOAQUIN	3710	4028	3604	-10.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1907	2066	2265	9.6
SAN MATEO	3562	3885	3735	-3.9
SANTA BARBARA	2823	2690	3172	17.9
SANTA CLARA	8995	7816	7660	-2.0
SANTA CRUZ	2483	2160	2065	-4.4
SHASTA	960	1153	1412	22.5
SIERRA	30	33	32	-3.0
SISKIYOU	438	403	399	-1.0
SOLANO	1436	1855	1771	-4.5
SONOMA	2948	3040	3345	10.0
STANISLAUS	2590	2741	2505	-8.6
SUTTER	794	873	767	-12.1
TEHAMA	462	456	512	12.3
TRINITY	248	264	168	-36.4
TULARE	3109	3366	3127	-7.1
TUOLUMNE	362	353	436	23.5
VENTURA	3917	4122	4169	1.1
YOLO	1134	1050	1214	15.6
YUBA	366	393	348	-11.5

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed and boat DUI (BUI) removed.

TABLE 2: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	188523	100.0	5161	2.7	1741	0.9	181621	96.3	0.9
ALAMEDA	6611	3.5	110	1.7	48	0.7	6453	97.6	0.7
ALPINE	23	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	23	100.0	2.4
AMADOR	207	0.1	6	2.9	3	1.4	198	95.7	0.8
BUTTE	1407	0.7	26	1.8	19	1.4	1362	96.8	1.0
CALAVERAS	299	0.2	15	5.0	4	1.3	280	93.6	1.0
COLUSA	340	0.2	12	3.5	6	1.8	322	94.7	2.8
CONTRA COSTA	4829	2.6	107	2.2	69	1.4	4653	96.4	0.8
DEL NORTE	297	0.2	10	3.4	1	0.3	286	96.3	1.8
EL DORADO	1203	0.6	64	5.3	22	1.8	1117	92.9	1.0
FRESNO	6434	3.4	198	3.1	49	0.8	6187	96.2	1.5
GLENN	285	0.2	3	1.1	6	2.1	276	96.8	1.6
HUMBOLDT	1248	0.7	39	3.1	29	2.3	1180	94.6	1.4
IMPERIAL	1659	0.9	19	1.1	11	0.7	1629	98.2	2.0
INYO	276	0.1	4	1.4	5	1.8	267	96.7	1.9
KERN	4497	2.4	125	2.8	67	1.5	4305	95.7	1.2
KINGS	1000	0.5	21	2.1	21	2.1	958	95.8	1.7
LAKE	639	0.3	19	3.0	9	1.4	611	95.6	1.6
LASSEN	252	0.1	6	2.4	5	2.0	241	95.6	1.3
LOS ANGELES	43099	22.9	1312	3.0	189	0.4	41598	96.5	0.8
MADERA	830	0.4	23	2.8	8	1.0	799	96.3	1.3
MARIN	1480	0.8	24	1.6	15	1.0	1441	97.4	0.8
MARIPOSA	88	0.0	3	3.4	0	0.0	85	96.6	0.7
MENDOCINO	851	0.5	21	2.5	14	1.6	816	95.9	1.4
MERCED	1880	1.0	62	3.3	15	0.8	1803	95.9	1.6
MODOC	94	0.0	1	1.1	1	1.1	92	97.9	1.5
MONO	110	0.1	2	1.8	0	0.0	108	98.2	1.3
MONTEREY	3213	1.7	48	1.5	37	1.2	3128	97.4	1.4
NAPA	1030	0.5	37	3.6	18	1.7	975	94.7	1.2
NEVADA	755	0.4	22	2.9	11	1.5	722	95.6	1.0
ORANGE	15629	8.3	246	1.6	64	0.4	15319	98.0	0.8
PLACER	1547	0.8	41	2.7	32	2.1	1474	95.3	0.9
PLUMAS	245	0.1	6	2.4	1	0.4	238	97.1	1.5
RIVERSIDE	9484	5.0	255	2.7	93	1.0	9136	96.3	1.1
SACRAMENTO	7474	4.0	306	4.1	78	1.0	7090	94.9	1.0
SAN BENITO	398	0.2	14	3.5	5	1.3	379	95.2	1.3
SAN BERNARDINO	10397	5.5	326	3.1	59	0.6	10012	96.3	1.1
SAN DIEGO	14461	7.7	348	2.4	165	1.1	13948	96.5	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1246	0.7	107	8.6	4	0.3	1135	91.1	0.2
SAN JOAQUIN	3604	1.9	73	2.0	45	1.2	3486	96.7	1.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2265	1.2	49	2.2	39	1.7	2177	96.1	1.3
SAN MATEO	3735	2.0	108	2.9	44	1.2	3583	95.9	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	3172	1.7	58	1.8	43	1.4	3071	96.8	1.2
SANTA CLARA	7660	4.1	286	3.7	73	1.0	7301	95.3	0.7
SANTA CRUZ	2065	1.1	53	2.6	38	1.8	1974	95.6	1.2
SHASTA	1412	0.7	53	3.8	22	1.6	1337	94.7	1.2
SIERRA	32	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.1	31	96.9	1.2
SISKIYOU	399	0.2	13	3.3	11	2.8	375	94.0	1.2
SOLANO	1771	0.9	42	2.4	18	1.0	1711	96.6	0.7
SONOMA	3345	1.8	75	2.2	44	1.3	3226	96.4	1.0
STANISLAUS	2505	1.3	104	4.2	43	1.7	2358	94.1	0.9
SUTTER	767	0.4	25	3.3	14	1.8	728	94.9	1.5
TEHAMA	512	0.3	13	2.5	7	1.4	492	96.1	1.4
TRINITY	168	0.1	5	3.0	1	0.6	162	96.4	1.7
TULARE	3127	1.7	53	1.7	56	1.8	3018	96.5	1.6
TUOLUMNE	436	0.2	23	5.3	4	0.9	409	93.8	1.1
VENTURA	4169	2.2	103	2.5	39	0.9	4027	96.6	0.8
YOLO	1214	0.6	26	2.1	14	1.2	1174	96.7	1.2
YUBA	348	0.2	11	3.2	2	0.6	335	96.3	0.9

TABLE 3a: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	188523	100.0	161411	85.6	27112	14.4	80767	42.8	79718	42.3	12869	6.8	15169	8.0
UNDER 18	1741	0.9	1464	84.1	277	15.9	926	53.2	642	36.9	43	2.5	130	7.5
18-20	13875	7.4	12152	87.6	1723	12.4	5808	41.9	6293	45.4	605	4.4	1169	8.4
21-30	71311	37.8	62205	87.2	9106	12.8	25041	35.1	36636	51.4	3886	5.4	5748	8.1
31-40	54100	28.7	45550	84.2	8550	15.8	22816	42.2	22804	42.2	4166	7.7	4314	8.0
41-50	31696	16.8	26283	82.9	5413	17.1	16531	52.2	9785	30.9	2721	8.6	2659	8.4
51-60	11491	6.1	9983	86.9	1508	13.1	6857	59.7	2768	24.1	997	8.7	869	7.6
61-70	3324	1.8	2903	87.3	421	12.7	2099	63.1	634	19.1	371	11.2	220	6.6
71 & ABOVE	985	0.5	871	88.4	114	11.6	689	69.9	156	15.8	80	8.1	60	6.1
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.7		33.5		34.4		35.6		31.3		36.3		33.5	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		188523	100.0	80767	42.8	79718	42.3	12869	6.8	15169	8.0
MALE	UNDER 18	1464	0.9	732	50.0	578	39.5	39	2.7	115	7.9
	18-20	12152	7.5	4769	39.2	5862	48.2	526	4.3	995	8.2
	21-30	62205	38.5	19731	31.7	34347	55.2	3265	5.2	4862	7.8
	31-40	45550	28.2	17349	38.1	21096	46.3	3427	7.5	3678	8.1
	41-50	26283	16.3	12753	48.5	8947	34.0	2289	8.7	2294	8.7
	51-60	9983	6.2	5739	57.5	2568	25.7	891	8.9	785	7.9
	61-70	2903	1.8	1772	61.0	600	20.7	342	11.8	189	6.5
	71 & ABOVE	871	0.5	593	68.1	147	16.9	79	9.1	52	6.0
	TOTAL	161411	100.0	63438	39.3	74145	45.9	10858	6.7	12970	8.0
FEMALE	UNDER 18	277	1.0	194	70.0	64	23.1	4	1.4	15	5.4
	18-20	1723	6.4	1039	60.3	431	25.0	79	4.6	174	10.1
	21-30	9106	33.6	5310	58.3	2289	25.1	621	6.8	886	9.7
	31-40	8550	31.5	5467	63.9	1708	20.0	739	8.6	636	7.4
	41-50	5413	20.0	3778	69.8	838	15.5	432	8.0	365	6.7
	51-60	1508	5.6	1118	74.1	200	13.3	106	7.0	84	5.6
	61-70	421	1.6	327	77.7	34	8.1	29	6.9	31	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	114	0.4	96	84.2	9	7.9	1	0.9	8	7.0
	TOTAL	27112	100.0	17329	63.9	5573	20.6	2011	7.4	2199	8.1

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "1998 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 1998, who were subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 1998 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1998 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1998 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1998 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1998 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1989-1999" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions,


alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1998 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1998 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1998 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 1998 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 1998 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (74.6%) than on abstracts of conviction, those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 56% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 1998 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1989 to 1999, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Estimated final conviction rate	67%	70%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73%	72%	72%	73%	75%
---------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1989-1999."

Figure 4. DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1989-1999.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- ▶ The estimated DUI conviction rate for 1999 arrestees (75%) increased slightly from previous years.
- ▶ 9.7% of 1998 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 19.6% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related on the abstracts. Both of these rates are slightly lower than corresponding rates for the previous year.
- ▶ 2.1% of 1998 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, down slightly from the previous year (2.4%).
- ▶ 14.4% of 1998 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 15.8% in 1997, 18.5% in 1996, 16.3% in 1995, 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.

- ▶ The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 1998, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.163%, which is the same as last year, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%.
- ▶ Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.160% BAC for a first offense to a 0.183% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.
- ▶ Among 1998 convicted DUI offenders, 73.5% were first offenders, 20.6% were second offenders, 4.6% were third offenders, and 1.3% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (26.5%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).
- ▶ The average (mean) adjudication time lags were 2.9 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 2.8 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling almost 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update is similar to that in prior years.

Variation by County:

- ▶ Among the larger counties, 1998 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 87.8% in Orange and 86.0% in Ventura to a low of 56.5% in Fresno. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost a quarter of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 73.5%.
- ▶ Among the smaller counties, 1998 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 87.5% in Tuolumne to a low of 40.5% in Trinity.
- ▶ The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over 21% in Nevada County to 0% in Marin, Lassen, and Ventura counties.
- ▶ The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 11.9%. Five counties had rates of 5% or more: Sacramento, Sierra, San Francisco, Butte, and Calaveras.

- ▶ The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 4%. Los Angeles, Alpine, Alameda, San Luis Obispo, and San Bernardino counties had rates of 3% or more.
- ▶ In six counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Trinity, Sutter, Imperial, Sierra, Mariposa, and Tulare. Twenty-four counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Tuolumne, Santa Barbara, and Yuba at 2% or less.

Variation by Court:

- ▶ As was true for prior years, the 1999 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.
- ▶ Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 5.6 months in the Calexico (Imperial County) court to a low of 1.2 months for the Salinas court (Monterey County). Interestingly, the busiest DUI court in the state, Los Angeles Metro, had a time lag from arrest to conviction of only 1.3 months.
- ▶ Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all convictions resulting from DUI arrests, was about 10% in 1998 (as it was in 1997, and down from 11% in 1994 through 1996). Several counties adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions, including Alpine, Mendocino, Nevada, and Yuba.
- ▶ Statewide, 19.6% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 1998 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, down from 21% in 1997. In Sacramento County, however, the Sacramento Court reported 85% (890 out of 1044) of its DUI-related reckless driving convictions as nonalcohol.

Demographic Characteristics:

- ▶ The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1998 was 34.9 years.
- ▶ 41.1% of 1998 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 72.4% were 40 years or younger.

- ▶ Females comprised 14.4% of all 1998 convicted DUI offenders, compared to 14.0% in 1997, 13.1% in 1996, 12.8% in 1995, 12.2% in 1994, 12.3% in 1993, 12.1% in 1992, 12.2% in 1991, 11.7% in 1990, and 11.4% in 1989.
- ▶ The racial/ethnic distribution of 1998 DUI convictions (White = 44.0%; Hispanic = 42.3%; Black = 6.3%; Other = 7.4%) generally paralleled that of 1998 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).




Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	139091	100.0	119127	85.6	19964	14.4
UNDER 18	443	0.3	388	87.6	55	12.4
18-20	6762	4.9	5928	87.7	834	12.3
21-30	49966	35.9	43953	88.0	6013	12.0
31-40	43516	31.3	36477	84.1	6939	15.9
41-50	25541	18.4	21181	82.9	4360	17.1
51-60	9049	6.5	7753	85.7	1296	14.3
61-70	2960	2.1	2596	87.7	364	12.3
71 & ABOVE	854	0.6	751	87.9	103	12.1
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.9		34.7		35.8	

*County-specific tabulations of 1998 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
	MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
STATEWIDE	121876	100.0	42101	34.5	11586	9.5	48053	39.4	3576	2.9	6455	5.3	1176	1.0	7624	6.3	1305	1.1
UNDER 18	756	0.6	356	47.1	89	11.8	224	29.6	19	2.5	16	2.1	2	0.3	48	6.3	2	0.3
18-20	7849	6.4	2938	37.4	639	8.1	3224	41.1	233	3.0	208	2.7	42	0.5	482	6.1	83	1.1
21-30	43967	36.1	12818	29.2	3266	7.4	21084	48.0	1406	3.2	1902	4.3	325	0.7	2711	6.2	455	1.0
31-40	37149	30.5	12014	32.3	3946	10.6	14751	39.7	1179	3.2	2130	5.7	473	1.3	2227	6.0	429	1.2
41-50	21408	17.6	8625	40.3	2526	11.8	6398	29.9	576	2.7	1331	6.2	249	1.2	1452	6.8	251	1.2
51-60	7554	6.2	3664	48.5	803	10.6	1769	23.4	131	1.7	563	7.5	67	0.9	499	6.6	58	0.8
61-70	2503	2.1	1292	51.6	245	9.8	502	20.1	27	1.1	240	9.6	15	0.6	162	6.5	20	0.8
71 & ABOVE	690	0.6	394	57.1	72	10.4	101	14.6	5	0.7	65	9.4	3	0.4	43	6.2	7	1.0

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1998 DUI CONVICTION RATES¹ AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD² OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.74	1.00	0.77	1.04	0.72	0.98	0.69	0.94	0.72	0.97
UNDER 18	0.49	0.66	0.56	0.75	0.43	0.58	0.34	0.46	0.40	0.54
18-20	0.68	0.93	0.73	0.98	0.65	0.89	0.58	0.79	0.67	0.91
21-30	0.71	0.96	0.76	1.03	0.68	0.92	0.65	0.88	0.69	0.93
31-40	0.76	1.03	0.78	1.05	0.76	1.03	0.71	0.97	0.73	0.99
41-50	0.78	1.06	0.79	1.07	0.79	1.07	0.72	0.97	0.76	1.03
51-60	0.78	1.06	0.78	1.05	0.82	1.11	0.76	1.02	0.76	1.03
61-70	0.81	1.10	0.80	1.08	0.86	1.17	0.80	1.08	0.81	1.10
71 & ABOVE	0.73	0.99	0.71	0.96	0.77	1.04	0.83	1.13	0.80	1.09

¹ Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

² Relative Likelihood = $\frac{\text{Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate}}{\text{Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate}}$

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ³ / UNCONST ⁴	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	73.9%	136264	2827	14603	3557	3915	422/122	2.9	2.8
ALAMEDA	67.2%	4159	28	374	132	210	2/7	3.3	2.6
ALPINE	60.7%	17	0	5	1	1	0/0	5.3	0.8
AMADOR	83.1%	180	2	16	6	2	0/0	2.1	3.0
BUTTE	77.6%	840	27	124	57	26	16/1	3.3	3.9
CALAVERAS	72.7%	223	9	13	16	7	5/0	2.5	1.8
COLUSA	72.5%	274	5	63	7	5	0/0	2.6	1.8
CONTRA COSTA	72.8%	3044	88	432	64	49	5/10	4.4	2.9
DEL NORTE	68.6%	192	9	49	4	7	5/0	3.0	2.9
EL DORADO	78.0%	785	35	67	4	4	3/0	2.7	6.8
FRESNO	56.5%	3587	119	1057	64	62	39/4	4.1	5.1
GLENN	81.1%	179	10	20	8	3	3/0	2.5	2.1
HUMBOLDT	57.6%	757	26	169	31	17	2/1	3.0	3.3
IMPERIAL	48.7%	803	5	117	63	21	30/1	5.3	1.2
INYO	66.8%	166	7	37	4	3	0/0	3.3	2.6
KERN	80.2%	3602	81	415	47	78	13/0	2.0	3.5
KINGS	83.3%	818	12	61	4	10	0/0	2.4	2.4
LAKE	70.3%	353	14	41	6	11	6/0	3.8	6.7
LASSEN	81.9%	192	12	0	10	6	2/0	4.5	5.2
LOS ANGELES	73.5%	33071	392	4251	522	1810	13/24	2.3	2.2
MADERA	62.7%	431	23	56	28	11	5/0	3.4	2.7
MARIN	83.5%	1355	10	0	0	33	2/3	3.1	3.8
MARIPOSA ⁵	47.3%	69	1	21	5	1	0/0	3.0	2.2
MENDOCINO	73.1%	541	30	143	21	9	3/1	2.6	6.9
MERCED	59.3%	1087	40	210	49	43	8/1	4.3	4.3
MODOC	67.1%	55	0	6	1	0	0/0	2.8	3.2
MONO	74.0%	68	3	18	0	2	1/0	2.1	3.2

¹Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

²This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

³These may include dismissals of prior failure to appear (FTA) abstracts.

⁴These 1998 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

⁵The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES¹ - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ³ / UNCONST ⁴	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	75.0%	2281	68	261	70	22	1/2	1.5	2.8
NAPA	82.7%	857	28	70	13	10	6/0	2.8	2.1
NEVADA	74.0%	478	17	141	12	3	1/0	2.1	5.5
ORANGE	87.8%	12693	174	495	118	122	101/6	3.1	2.3
PLACER	84.9%	1456	28	124	20	13	3/0	2.7	2.7
PLUMAS	72.6%	183	5	38	5	1	0/0	2.4	8.0
RIVERSIDE	72.9%	6287	181	168	216	141	0/3	3.7	2.8
SACRAMENTO	68.4%	5109	165	198	921	65	8/4	3.1	2.5
SAN BENITO	82.0%	208	2	30	4	4	0/1	2.6	6.7
SAN BERNARDINO	62.9%	6250	227	589	135	322	0/9	4.0	1.3
SAN DIEGO	78.9%	11170	80	695	116	173	8/7	3.0	4.2
SAN FRANCISCO	57.2%	813	14	132	78	6	0/0	3.3	1.7
SAN JOAQUIN	75.5%	2946	96	338	80	29	2/4	2.3	2.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	72.5%	1475	23	326	39	70	22/1	2.3	5.2
SAN MATEO	79.3%	3039	40	396	12	35	2/0	2.7	2.8
SANTA BARBARA	82.8%	2197	31	316	49	48	15/2	2.1	4.0
SANTA CLARA	83.9%	6361	200	604	155	113	1/2	3.2	2.1
SANTA CRUZ	74.4%	1579	27	266	19	34	1/7	2.0	4.6
SHASTA	79.7%	867	52	124	23	12	3/0	2.7	3.3
SIERRA	45.5%	15	0	3	3	0	1/0	2.0	2.6
SISKIYOU	77.4%	291	21	37	9	9	4/0	2.8	5.2
SOLANO	80.2%	1459	28	225	43	21	26/1	2.4	3.4
SONOMA	70.3%	2017	119	514	48	41	4/2	2.9	4.6
STANISLAUS	65.3%	1742	48	265	104	21	1/2	3.0	2.5
SUTTER	43.2%	368	9	110	12	5	1/3	2.1	3.4
TEHAMA	81.8%	362	11	59	6	7	0/0	2.4	3.1
TRINITY	40.5%	100	7	23	7	5	0/0	3.2	3.7
TULARE	64.1%	2101	55	51	36	30	6/3	2.7	2.8
TUOLUMNE	87.5%	296	13	32	3	3	8/0	2.7	1.6
VENTURA	86.0%	3494	49	0	4	110	33/1	2.5	3.1
YOLO	61.5%	631	15	137	30	5	0/2	4.0	2.4
YUBA	75.6%	291	6	71	13	4	1/1	2.6	3.7

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ²
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	72.4	1.5	7.8	1.9	2.1	14.4
ALAMEDA	66.8	0.4	6.0	2.1	3.4	21.3
ALPINE	60.7	0.0	17.9	3.6	3.6	14.3
AMADOR	82.2	0.9	7.3	2.7	0.9	5.9
BUTTE	75.2	2.4	11.1	5.1	2.3	3.8
CALAVERAS	69.9	2.8	4.1	5.0	2.2	16.0
COLUSA	71.2	1.3	16.4	1.8	1.3	8.1
CONTRA COSTA	70.7	2.0	10.0	1.5	1.1	14.5
DEL NORTE	65.5	3.1	16.7	1.4	2.4	10.9
EL DORADO	74.7	3.3	6.4	0.4	0.4	14.8
FRESNO	54.7	1.8	16.1	1.0	0.9	25.5
GLENN	76.8	4.3	8.6	3.4	1.3	5.6
HUMBOLDT	55.7	1.9	12.4	2.3	1.3	26.4
IMPERIAL	48.4	0.3	7.1	3.8	1.3	39.1
INYO	64.1	2.7	14.3	1.5	1.2	16.2
KERN	78.5	1.8	9.0	1.0	1.7	8.0
KINGS	82.1	1.2	6.1	0.4	1.0	9.1
LAKE	67.6	2.7	7.9	1.1	2.1	18.6
LASSEN	77.1	4.8	0.0	4.0	2.4	11.6
LOS ANGELES	72.7	0.9	9.3	1.1	4.0	12.0
MADERA	59.5	3.2	7.7	3.9	1.5	24.2
MARIN	82.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	2.0	14.5
MARIPOSA ³	46.6	0.7	14.2	3.4	0.7	34.5
MENDOCINO	69.3	3.8	18.3	2.7	1.2	4.7
MERCED	57.2	2.1	11.0	2.6	2.3	24.9
MODOC	67.1	0.0	7.3	1.2	0.0	24.4
MONO	70.8	3.1	18.8	0.0	2.1	5.2
MONTEREY	72.8	2.2	8.3	2.2	0.7	13.8
NAPA	80.1	2.6	6.5	1.2	0.9	8.6
NEVADA	71.4	2.5	21.1	1.8	0.4	2.7
ORANGE	86.6	1.2	3.4	0.8	0.8	7.2
PLACER	83.3	1.6	7.1	1.1	0.7	6.1
PLUMAS	70.7	1.9	14.7	1.9	0.4	10.4
RIVERSIDE	70.9	2.0	1.9	2.4	1.6	21.2
SACRAMENTO	66.3	2.1	2.6	11.9	0.8	16.2
SAN BENITO	81.3	0.8	11.7	1.6	1.6	3.1
SAN BERNARDINO	60.7	2.2	5.7	1.3	3.1	27.0
SAN DIEGO	78.3	0.6	4.9	0.8	1.2	14.2
SAN FRANCISCO	56.2	1.0	9.1	5.4	0.4	27.9
SAN JOAQUIN	73.1	2.4	8.4	2.0	0.7	13.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	71.4	1.1	15.8	1.9	3.4	6.4
SAN MATEO	78.2	1.0	10.2	0.3	0.9	9.3
SANTA BARBARA	81.7	1.2	11.7	1.8	1.8	1.8
SANTA CLARA	81.4	2.6	7.7	2.0	1.4	4.9
SANTA CRUZ	73.1	1.2	12.3	0.9	1.6	10.9
SHASTA	75.2	4.5	10.8	2.0	1.0	6.5
SIERRA	45.5	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	36.4
SISKIYOU	72.2	5.2	9.2	2.2	2.2	8.9
SOLANO	78.7	1.5	12.1	2.3	1.1	4.3
SONOMA	66.3	3.9	16.9	1.6	1.3	9.9
STANISLAUS	63.6	1.8	9.7	3.8	0.8	20.5
SUTTER	42.2	1.0	12.6	1.4	0.6	42.3
TEHAMA	79.4	2.4	12.9	1.3	1.5	2.4
TRINITY	37.9	2.7	8.7	2.7	1.9	46.2
TULARE	62.4	1.6	1.5	1.1	0.9	32.5
TUOLUMNE	83.9	3.7	9.1	0.8	0.8	1.7
VENTURA	84.8	1.2	0.0	0.1	2.7	11.3
YOLO	60.1	1.4	13.0	2.9	0.5	22.1
YUBA	74.0	1.5	18.1	3.3	1.0	2.0

¹The percentages total to 100 by row (county).²These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.7%.³The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9a: 1998 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION
(BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	60	0.1	.01	7	0.1
.02	56	0.1	.02	5	0.0
.03	52	0.1	.03	11	0.1
.04	63	0.1	.04	23	0.2
.05	93	0.1	.05	47	0.4
.06	146	0.1	.06	132	1.2
.07	309	0.3	.07	408	3.8
.08	1510	1.5	.08	2312	21.6
.09	2944	2.8	.09	3056	28.6
.10	5432	5.2	.10	2238	20.9
.11	7279	7.0	.11	1103	10.3
.12	8410	8.1	.12	425	4.0
.13	8296	8.0	.13	279	2.6
.14	8352	8.1	.14	149	1.4
.15	8264	8.0	.15	104	1.0
.16	7653	7.4	.16	84	0.8
.17	7148	6.9	.17	63	0.6
.18	6317	6.1	.18	56	0.5
.19	5725	5.5	.19	53	0.5
.20	5234	5.0	.20	28	0.3
.21	4355	4.2	.21	24	0.2
.22	3587	3.5	.22	23	0.2
.23	2857	2.8	.23	16	0.1
.24	2227	2.1	.24	10	0.1
.25	1680	1.6	.25	10	0.1
.26	1343	1.3	.26	5	0.0
.27	1040	1.0	.27	9	0.1
.28	786	0.8	.28	3	0.0
.29	561	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.30	518	0.5	.32	1	0.0
.31	352	0.3	.33	1	0.0
.32	269	0.3	.34	1	0.0
.33	201	0.2	.35	2	0.0
.34	154	0.1			
.35	132	0.1			
.36	76	0.1			
.37	63	0.1			
.38	52	0.1			
.39	29	0.0			
.40	26	0.0			
.41	20	0.0			
.42	11	0.0			
.43	10	0.0			
.44	3	0.0			
.45	6	0.0			
.46	4	0.0			
.47	4	0.0			
.48+	6	0.0			
TOTAL	103715	100.0	TOTAL	10690	100.0
	MEAN BAC .163			MEAN BAC .098	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (74.6% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 56% showing BAC levels).

TABLE 9b: 1998 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)
LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	10	0.2	.21	124	2.2
.02	13	0.2	.22	88	1.6
.03	12	0.2	.23	52	0.9
.04	17	0.3	.24	41	0.7
.05	25	0.4	.25	27	0.5
.06	45	0.8	.26	17	0.3
.07	76	1.4	.27	15	0.3
.08	202	3.6	.28	4	0.1
.09	334	6.0	.29	3	0.1
.10	450	8.1	.30	7	0.1
.11	564	10.1	.31	6	0.1
.12	602	10.8	.32	2	0.0
.13	534	9.6	.33	1	0.0
.14	427	7.7	.34	1	0.0
.15	474	8.5	.35	3	0.1
.16	404	7.2	.56	1	0.0
.17	310	5.6		-----	-----
.18	280	5.0	TOTAL	5578	100.0
.19	224	4.0		MEAN BAC .098	
.20	183	3.3			

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 1998 convicted under age 21 cases is 77.4%.

TABLE 10: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND
AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.163	.162
1ST DUI	73.5	.160	.159
2ND DUI	20.6	.171	.170
3RD DUI	4.6	.178	.175
4TH+ DUI	1.3	.183	.180

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1998. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1998 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1998 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as in Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 1998 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 1998. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- ▶ The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.5%), while the least frequently used court sanction was court license suspension (5.4%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.2% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.




Figure 6. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1998).

County Variation:

- ▶ The proportion of first-DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than 10% in Marin County to almost 100% in Alameda, Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Kings, Lake, Lassen, Monterey, Napa, Plumas, San Joaquin, San Luis Obispo, Shasta, Sutter, Tulare, Tuolumne, and Ventura counties.

- ▶ Considering sanction combinations, counties such as Amador, Lake, Napa, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo and Sutter preferred to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail (over 85%) rather than treatment program and license restriction (3% or less). In contrast, Humboldt, Marin and Tehama counties assigned treatment program and jail to less than 5% of their first offenders. Inyo, Los Angeles, Marin, and Orange counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over 75% of first offenders.
- ▶ Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Alpine, Humboldt, and Imperial, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)

Court Variation:

- ▶ Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Santa Barbara County alone, one court (Santa Maria) assigned jail to 92.8% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 570$), while another court (Lompoc) in the same county assigned jail to only 38.3% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 300$).
- ▶ In Los Angeles County, three municipal courts (Burbank, Compton and Lancaster) used jail as a sanction in 95% or more of their DUI sentences. On the other hand, three other courts (Hollywood, Malibu and Monrovia) used jail as a sanction in less than 30% of their DUI sentences.
- ▶ In 1998, Los Angeles was the only county with an active 30-month third offender treatment program. Even within this county, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality varied by court from highs of 50% of third offenders sentenced in the Beverly Hills, Burbank, and Malibu courts to 0% of such offenders in many other municipal courts within Los Angeles County.
- ▶ Although courts required only 6.3% of all convicted DUI offenders to install the ignition interlock device statewide in 1998 (the same proportion as in 1997), the Perris court (Riverside County) required over 28% of DUI offenders to use interlock. Statewide, 22.3% of all convicted repeat DUI offenders were assigned to interlock in 1998.

Variation by Offender Status:

- ▶ Less than 70% of 1998 first-DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.
- ▶ 89% of first DUI-offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 83% of second offenders, 50% of third offenders, and 22% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- ▶ 4.4% of first-DUI offenders and 8.2% of repeat DUI-offenders received court license suspensions in 1998. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30 day to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.
- ▶ Only 22.3% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 1998, in spite of the mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993. This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock.

TABLE 11: 1998 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	139091	96.5	75.2	66.0	19.1	0.1	48.6	5.4	6.3
1ST DUI	102232	97.5	69.2	87.0	2.0	0.0	43.1	4.4	0.6
2ND DUI	28622	96.4	92.7	9.2	73.7	0.1	72.9	7.2	24.2
3RD DUI	6384	91.3	87.6	3.4	47.1	2.1	37.4	12.2	18.8
4TH+ DUI	1853	60.9	91.9	2.3	19.5	0.8	10.8	10.6	5.6

*Entries represent percentages of 1998 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1998 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION*	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	102232	8.5	4.3	43.2	39.9	1.9	2.2
ALAMEDA	3004	9.1	4.8	64.0	18.6	3.0	0.6
ALPINE	13	7.7	0.0	23.1	53.8	0.0	15.4
AMADOR	146	6.8	3.4	87.7	1.4	0.7	0.0
BUTTE	629	15.7	8.4	70.4	2.7	1.9	0.8
CALAVERAS	158	8.2	3.8	84.8	0.6	2.5	0.0
COLUSA	193	13.5	13.5	68.4	3.1	0.5	1.0
CONTRA COSTA	2264	8.5	8.2	78.8	1.9	1.9	0.7
DEL NORTE	146	11.6	4.8	79.5	2.1	0.7	1.4
EL DORADO	566	9.5	4.1	82.9	0.9	1.1	1.6
FRESNO	2473	12.0	5.5	43.8	34.8	2.2	1.7
GLENN	134	11.9	5.2	70.9	6.0	1.5	4.5
HUMBOLDT	562	4.3	1.4	0.0	6.6	11.4	76.3
IMPERIAL	634	2.7	4.4	6.5	69.7	2.4	14.4
INYO	125	6.4	1.6	7.2	79.2	2.4	3.2
KERN	2609	7.3	17.1	69.8	2.8	0.9	2.2
KINGS	579	21.6	7.4	68.4	1.0	0.9	0.7
LAKE	238	5.5	3.8	85.7	2.5	1.7	0.8
LASSEN	143	9.8	2.1	81.8	1.4	4.9	0.0
LOS ANGELES	25319	5.9	1.7	9.7	78.8	1.4	2.4
MADERA	308	13.3	2.6	73.7	5.2	3.9	1.3
MARIN	1055	6.2	0.3	0.3	89.9	1.6	1.8
MARIPOSA	40	5.0	10.0	62.5	17.5	2.5	2.5
MENDOCINO	375	11.7	11.2	70.9	2.9	1.6	1.6
MERCED	768	7.9	9.8	74.9	2.7	2.6	2.1
MODOC	38	34.2	0.0	36.8	23.7	0.0	5.3
MONO	53	11.3	7.5	56.6	17.0	0.0	7.5
MONTEREY	1656	17.2	2.8	75.3	2.2	1.6	0.9
NAPA	614	5.0	2.0	90.7	0.8	1.3	0.2
NEVADA	359	7.8	3.3	78.8	4.2	4.7	1.1
ORANGE	9824	7.9	1.0	6.3	82.4	1.4	1.0
PLACER	1114	7.7	7.2	69.1	11.6	1.9	2.5
PLUMAS	148	4.1	4.7	84.5	3.4	2.0	1.4
RIVERSIDE	4707	9.2	3.0	44.3	39.1	1.4	3.0
SACRAMENTO	3796	9.4	4.9	78.5	3.5	1.6	2.2
SAN BENITO	140	22.9	7.9	64.3	2.1	2.1	0.7
SAN BERNARDINO	4805	6.1	1.4	22.9	64.7	2.3	2.6
SAN DIEGO	8572	7.0	7.0	51.2	30.1	3.2	1.5
SAN FRANCISCO	645	4.2	1.6	78.4	11.8	1.1	2.9
SAN JOAQUIN	2074	10.8	20.4	66.4	1.2	0.8	0.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1074	7.8	1.7	87.1	2.1	0.7	0.7
SAN MATEO	2305	5.9	3.8	87.2	1.3	1.3	0.5
SANTA BARBARA	1639	18.0	2.7	22.3	53.4	0.6	2.9
SANTA CLARA	4635	19.8	3.0	69.6	4.6	2.0	1.1
SANTA CRUZ	1099	9.4	2.7	82.0	4.9	0.6	0.4
SHASTA	625	11.0	5.0	73.6	7.8	1.9	0.6
SIERRA	14	14.3	0.0	71.4	14.3	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	225	12.0	8.0	72.9	2.7	1.8	2.7
SOLANO	1050	6.6	1.6	80.9	8.1	2.6	0.3
SONOMA	1522	6.9	12.7	74.4	3.4	1.1	1.5
STANISLAUS	1309	8.8	2.1	81.8	4.5	1.9	0.9
SUTTER	264	6.1	1.9	87.1	3.0	1.5	0.4
TEHAMA	254	15.0	47.6	3.9	27.2	0.0	6.3
TRINITY	71	8.5	8.5	77.5	1.4	2.8	1.4
TULARE	1571	6.0	9.2	75.2	2.2	6.2	1.1
TUOLUMNE	212	14.2	0.9	82.5	0.9	1.4	0.0
VENTURA	2666	10.2	4.4	82.9	0.9	1.4	0.2
YOLO	472	9.7	3.4	81.6	2.1	2.8	0.4
YUBA	199	11.6	0.5	84.9	0.5	0.5	2.0

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.

*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1998 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI <i>N</i>	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
		%	%	%	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	<i>N</i>
STATEWIDE	36859	20.3	40.0	39.7	28622	45.6	44.0	10.4	6384	65.5	17.3	17.3	1853
ALAMEDA	1183	15.7	50.8	33.5	931	25.9	60.1	14.0	193	66.1	23.7	10.2	59
ALPINE	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	1
AMADOR	36	17.2	10.3	72.4	29	0.0	100.0	0.0	2	80.0	20.0	0.0	5
BUTTE	238	22.3	36.0	41.7	175	40.4	55.3	4.3	47	50.0	31.3	18.8	16
CALAVERAS	74	12.3	50.9	36.8	57	63.6	36.4	0.0	11	83.3	0.0	16.7	6
COLUSA	86	26.6	34.4	39.1	64	55.6	22.2	22.2	18	25.0	25.0	50.0	4
CONTRA COSTA	868	16.1	46.1	37.8	672	54.2	38.6	7.2	153	67.4	25.6	7.0	43
DEL NORTE	55	33.3	20.0	46.7	45	11.1	66.7	22.2	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
EL DORADO	254	18.9	32.1	49.0	196	35.4	56.3	8.3	48	90.0	0.0	10.0	10
FRESNO	1233	21.4	39.5	39.1	907	39.6	43.7	16.7	245	70.4	7.4	22.2	81
GLENN	55	34.1	27.3	38.6	44	60.0	40.0	0.0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
HUMBOLDT	221	57.5	10.8	31.7	167	71.7	13.0	15.2	46	62.5	0.0	37.5	8
IMPERIAL	174	30.9	28.2	40.9	149	52.4	42.9	4.8	21	75.0	25.0	0.0	4
INYO	48	12.1	39.4	48.5	33	66.7	25.0	8.3	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	3
KERN	1074	56.4	6.7	36.8	801	76.7	7.3	16.1	193	76.3	5.0	18.8	80
KINGS	251	32.8	33.3	33.9	183	55.8	34.6	9.6	52	87.5	0.0	12.5	16
LAKE	129	15.8	28.7	55.4	101	40.0	40.0	20.0	20	37.5	25.0	37.5	8
LASSEN	61	36.4	18.2	45.5	44	18.2	81.8	0.0	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
LOS ANGELES	8144	14.3	52.0	33.7	6534	41.0	47.7	11.3	1306	72.4	8.9	18.8	304
MADERA	146	27.3	41.4	31.3	99	70.0	20.0	10.0	30	47.1	11.8	41.2	17
MARIN	310	11.8	58.0	30.3	238	66.1	25.4	8.5	59	46.2	46.2	7.7	13
MARIPOSA	30	32.0	20.0	48.0	25	66.7	0.0	33.3	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
MENDOCINO	196	25.7	19.1	55.1	136	40.0	51.1	8.9	45	40.0	40.0	20.0	15
MERCED	359	16.3	48.5	35.1	239	44.7	41.2	14.1	85	74.3	11.4	14.3	35
MODOC	17	30.8	30.8	38.5	13	0.0	100.0	0.0	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MONO	18	46.2	38.5	15.4	13	66.7	33.3	0.0	3	50.0	50.0	0.0	2
MONTEREY	693	38.4	7.2	54.4	502	78.9	16.2	4.9	142	89.8	0.0	10.2	49
NAPA	271	5.8	63.0	31.3	208	12.5	83.3	4.2	48	46.7	26.7	26.7	15
NEVADA	136	19.8	41.5	38.7	106	46.2	53.8	0.0	26	25.0	75.0	0.0	4

Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also receive fine and probation.

*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1998 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI <i>N</i>	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
		%	%	%	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	<i>N</i>
ORANGE	3043	9.4	48.5	42.1	2499	15.9	73.1	11.0	435	70.6	16.5	12.8	109
PLACER	370	9.1	59.9	31.0	287	20.6	66.2	13.2	68	33.3	53.3	13.3	15
PLUMAS	40	33.3	36.1	30.6	36	33.3	33.3	33.3	3	0.0	0.0	100.0	1
RIVERSIDE	1761	15.7	29.3	54.9	1367	31.2	58.3	10.5	295	47.5	40.4	12.1	99
SACRAMENTO	1478	32.0	39.1	28.8	1096	68.8	19.2	12.0	266	63.8	23.3	12.9	116
SAN BENITO	70	37.3	29.4	33.3	51	52.6	26.3	21.1	19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
SAN BERNARDINO	1672	20.2	35.2	44.6	1270	37.5	50.5	12.0	301	46.5	30.7	22.8	101
SAN DIEGO	2678	18.6	36.4	45.0	2165	44.3	46.4	9.3	420	71.0	12.9	16.1	93
SAN FRANCISCO	182	24.2	39.8	36.0	161	45.0	45.0	10.0	20	0.0	100.0	0.0	1
SAN JOAQUIN	968	28.5	20.8	50.8	713	72.4	24.9	2.8	181	63.5	13.5	23.0	74
SAN LUIS OBISPO	424	10.6	57.7	31.7	312	24.1	73.6	2.3	87	64.0	28.0	8.0	25
SAN MATEO	774	10.7	36.6	52.8	618	15.4	77.8	6.8	117	51.3	25.6	23.1	39
SANTA BARBARA	589	44.6	7.4	48.0	448	38.1	56.6	5.3	113	75.0	21.4	3.6	28
SANTA CLARA	1926	28.4	45.5	26.1	1470	74.5	15.2	10.3	368	61.4	15.9	22.7	88
SANTA CRUZ	507	30.8	42.2	27.1	377	87.0	1.9	11.1	108	86.4	0.0	13.6	22
SHASTA	294	18.5	39.0	42.4	205	45.6	39.7	14.7	68	90.5	4.8	4.8	21
SIERRA	1	0.0	100.0	0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
SISKIYOU	87	22.2	14.3	63.5	63	31.6	63.2	5.3	19	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
SOLANO	437	14.4	15.6	70.0	340	33.8	54.5	11.7	77	55.0	25.0	20.0	20
SONOMA	614	28.7	23.8	47.4	428	76.7	16.3	7.0	129	59.6	5.3	35.1	57
STANISLAUS	481	16.9	11.6	71.5	361	21.6	67.0	11.4	88	46.9	34.4	18.8	32
SUTTER	113	14.5	25.3	60.2	83	51.7	37.9	10.3	29	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
TEHAMA	119	24.5	39.4	36.2	94	64.7	11.8	23.5	17	75.0	12.5	12.5	8
TRINITY	36	22.2	33.3	44.4	27	57.1	28.6	14.3	7	50.0	50.0	0.0	2
TULARE	585	31.3	28.9	39.7	453	40.2	50.5	9.3	97	71.4	8.6	20.0	35
TUOLUMNE	97	9.0	55.1	35.9	78	66.7	20.0	13.3	15	75.0	0.0	25.0	4
VENTURA	877	18.7	50.2	31.1	697	32.7	59.9	7.5	147	51.5	39.4	9.1	33
YOLO	174	19.4	44.8	35.8	134	59.4	37.5	3.1	32	75.0	0.0	25.0	8
YUBA	98	22.7	53.3	24.0	75	65.0	20.0	15.0	20	66.7	0.0	33.3	3

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to first and second DUI offenders over a time period of nine years.¹ The effectiveness of alternative sanctions for second offenders is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also all reckless driving [alcohol or non-alcohol] and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7a and 7b are proportions of DUI recidivist incidents over time from 1990 through 1998; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses for first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) from previous DUI-MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. The reoffense rates of the 1989 offenders were not included in these figures because their postconviction driving records were not comparable to those of subsequent years, given the significant impact of the implementation of the APS suspension law in 1990. There are typically three variants of first-offender DUI alcohol education/treatment program sanctions, and these were collapsed together into a single sanction group for ease of viewing and interpretation. Figures 7a and 7b do not address total accidents which are displayed later in Figures 8a and 8b. They display covariate-adjusted data which is described below.

Figures 9 and 10 similarly displaying covariate-adjusted data, as described below, show the proportion of total accident- or DUI incident-involved second offenders for 1996 and 1998, with follow-up time periods of 3 years and 1 year, respectively. The evaluation of first offenders for these years was not reported at this level of detail because, beginning in 1995, statutory requirements for license reinstatement became homogenous for all first offenders: SB 1295 (1/1/95) mandates all first offenders to attend alcohol treatment programs in order to reinstate their driving privilege, and, since 1990, all offenders are suspended upon DUI arrest under the administrative per se (APS) license suspension law. However, the evaluation for second-DUI offenders is

¹ Third-or-more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for these offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.

reported because the ignition interlock sanction is not imposed on all second offenders, and its assessment may contribute to clarifying and perhaps modifying current sanctioning policy. The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension (as assessed in this study) refers to postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7a, 7b, 8a, and 8b, the following conclusions can be drawn about first- and second-offender sanctions from 1990 to 1998:

- ▶ One-year recidivism rates for all first-offender sanction groups declined noticeably from 1990 to 1998, with reductions in DUI reoffenses of 47.5% for the suspended group, 42.0% for the jail group, and 23.6% for the combined first-offender DUI treatment groups.
- ▶ A similar decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the second-offender sanction groups, with recidivism decreasing (from 1990 to 1998) by 33.0% for the suspended group, 33.5% for the SB 38/license restriction group, and 29.1% for the “other” group.
- ▶ Subsequent one-year accident rates also declined over the 1990-1998 period for both first and second offenders, although at a slower rate than DUI incidents.
- ▶ The relationship between type of sanction and subsequent DUI reoffense rate has remained relatively constant for first offenders since 1990, with the alcohol treatment and license suspension groups exhibiting the lowest reoffense rates and the jail sanction group, showing significantly higher rates than the other two.




Figure 7a. Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).




Figure 7b. Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).




Figure 8a. Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).




Figure 8b. Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1998).

Based on the data represented in Figures 9 and 10, which address total accidents as well as DUI-related incidents, the following conclusions can be drawn about second-offender sanctions:

- ▶ Consistent with eight previous DUI-MIS reports, but contrary to earlier California studies, including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 1998 do not have statistically significantly lower total accident rates than do those offenders assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This finding is probably due to the implementation of administrative per se license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year. For the first time in five years, the suspended group's accident rate was significantly higher than that of the interlock group. However, for the longer 3-year follow-up period, the 1996 suspended group had significantly lower total accident rates than those of all other second offenders.
- ▶ In 1996 and 1998, second offenders who were suspended had statistically significantly higher proportion of DUI incidents in the subsequent 3-year and 1-year periods (respectively) than did those who received the SB 38 program and license restriction sanction. The percentage increases associated with the license suspension group for the two years (1996 and 1998) were 25.0% and 45.2%, respectively.




Figure 9. Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1996 second offenders by type of sanction.




Figure 10. Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1998 second offenders by type of sanction.

- ▶ Similar to findings of previous evaluations, the SB 38 program/restriction sanction group (with and without the addition of ignition interlock) had significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than those of the other 1998 second offender groups. Contrary to last year's evaluation, the recidivism rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than the rate of the SB 38 group without ignition interlock; but this finding did not appear in the 3-year followup periods of the 1996 and combined 1993 through 1996 groups.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data for first and second offenders were compiled from eight previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. Additional follow-up data for another set of second offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

- 1) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1996 second offenders who were previously evaluated in the 1999 DUI-MIS report.
- 2) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI second offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1998.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, separate analyses of the 1989 through 1995 and 1997 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation. However, for second offenders, 3-year followup data from the 1993, 1994, 1995 and 1996 files were combined to increase the size of the sanction groups.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI offense are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 1998. For this year's report and all previous DUI-MIS reports, subjects selected for evaluation were: 1) first-DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, and 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other second-offender groups. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented 16.5% of the original convicted-offender file.

Court sanctions are reported to, and recorded by, DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. Prior to AB 762 (effective 7/1/99), a convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, which include jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of all previous and the current analyses (prior to 7/1/99), the following conventions were used for first offenders:

- 1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;
- 2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and

whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and

- 3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July 1990, virtually all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest, so only non-APS suspension was considered. Beginning July 1, 1999, under AB 762, courts may no longer discretionarily impose a six-month license suspension for first offenders under the probation option with the 48-hour jail term; this suspension is now mandatory. This means that the "jail-only" group will now have their licenses suspended, and license restriction or suspension would be imposed on drivers in the program-jail group. These changes should be evident in next year's report.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, the following five first-offender sanction combination groups were evaluated separately in prior reports: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first-offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first-offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (since some courts assign this sanction combination to a small number of first offenders). For the 1990-1998 overview analysis presented in this year's report, the three treatment-program groups were combined into one group. Nevertheless, when compared individually, the subsequent driving records of the separate treatment groups exhibited a very similar pattern, as was evident in prior DUI-MIS reports.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations. The groups used in this analysis are: 1) (post-conviction) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1996 and 1998 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria for groups 1 or 2 but were not ordered to install interlock, and 4) a group of 1996 and 1998 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (implemented July 1993, but effectively abolished by AB 762, effective July 1999). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he/she has a BAC above a specified level. The interlock group was identified by certain Vehicle Code designations on their abstract of

conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that 88.8% of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs (with license restrictions), while 38.5% had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, 78.5% were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs and less than 1% were assigned to first-offender programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the “real world” conditions under which interlock is assigned, which is an integral part of the total impact of this sanction.²

The group designated as "other" represent offenders who were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. This difficulty is further exacerbated by strong self-selection biases, such as inability or unwillingness to obtain insurance, which make this group “different” from the others.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date. Appendix Tables B5 and B6 list the prior driver record variables for the second offenders, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was three years for the 1996 drivers, and one year for the 1998 drivers. A buffer period of six months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driving record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) all accidents and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear).

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the

² It should be noted, however, that a 1993 policy directive from DMV to the courts originally requested that only offenders who had shown proof of installation be reported as assigned to interlock. To the extent that this directive was followed by the courts (and there is evidence that it was not), the present evaluation would be assessing only those cases where the device was actually installed. This DMV policy directive has since been corrected.

evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area and selected ZIP Code variables from the 1990 census data for driver files prior to 1997). Among the traffic conviction averages, only the moving violation averages were used this year, after discovering an undercount of major convictions. Both the traffic safety and census ZIP Code variables were used for the 1996 drivers; but only the traffic safety ZIP Code averages were used for the 1998 drivers, since the 1990 census variables are outdated for these drivers. (Tables B5 and B6 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In the 1998 second offender analyses and in the combined 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 second-offender analysis for accidents and DUI incidents, one or two statistically significant ($p < .01$) covariate by sanction interactions were evident. (Statistical significance at $p < .0x$ means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than $x\%$ of the time.) These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure (DUI incidents) varied across sanction groups. However, in these analyses, where sanction differences were significant ($p < .06$), the interaction effect was less than one-fourth the main effect of sanction (chi-squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effect, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions.

One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1998

The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the eight previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figures 7a and 7b show the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 1998 who reoffended within one year after conviction. As discussed above, the reoffense rates of these sanction groups were statistically adjusted for group differences related to available covariates. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA).

Figure 7a and Table 14a reveal a continuous decline in the one-year recidivism rates for all of the first offender sanction groups from 1990 to 1998. This overall decline translates into a 47.5% reduction in recidivism for the suspension group, a 42.0% drop for the jail group, and a 23.6% decrease for the alcohol-treatment group. The recidivism rates of the suspended and alcohol program groups continue to appear quite similar, but the decline over time for the suspended group is actually higher (47.5%) than for the treatment group (23.6%). Also, in the earlier years, the combined alcohol-treatment group exhibited lower reoffense rates than did the suspended group, possibly due to the initial impact of APS suspensions on a group that had previously avoided license suspension. However, midway in 1994, the rates of the two groups merge and the downward trend of both groups diminishes. Over the last four years, the suspended group's rate oscillates, but basically shows a leveling of its rate. In 1998, the rate for the alcohol-treatment group flattens out, while the rate for the suspended

group shows a downward trend (these two groups together comprise about 95% of first offenders.)

TABLE 14a: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1998

YEAR	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS			SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990*	7.90	14.00	6.78	11.47	7.96	0	9.30
1991	8.20	14.39	6.48	11.53	7.89	0	9.68
1992	7.69	12.04	5.88	10.86	7.40	0	9.67
1993	6.40	10.03	5.50	10.48	6.62	5.95	8.62
1994	4.78	9.01	5.05	8.27	5.90	5.60	7.24
1995	5.70	10.21	5.31	9.34	5.90	5.78	6.84
1996	4.36	8.97	4.76	7.86	5.31	4.50	6.28
1997	5.18	8.10	4.92	7.56	5.06	5.10	6.03
1998	4.15	8.12	5.18	7.68	5.29	4.50	6.59
% DIFFERENCE 1990-1998	-47.5%	-42.0%	-23.6%	-33.0%	-33.5%	NA	-29.1%

*All 1990 percentages were revised.

The reoffense rate of the jail group shows a much sharper decline in the earlier years; again this may reflect the more immediate impact of APS suspensions on a group which, before APS, had neither license actions nor treatment program referral. In the more recent years, the recidivism rate declines slightly through 1997, and the rate becomes flat in 1998; overall, these first offenders perform more poorly than the other sanction groups. This could reflect the fact that jail (or community service) is less effective than other sanctions, but it is also likely that uncontrolled selection biases are operating.

A similar overall decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the second-offender groups as displayed in Figure 7b and Table 14a, but the rate of decline is virtually the same for all three groups. From 1996 to 1998, the recidivism rates begin to flatten, reflecting just slightly increased rates in 1998 among the suspended group, SB 38 and "other" groups, but a declining rate for the ignition interlock group. Table 14a shows that, from 1990 to 1998, the reoffense rates decreased 33.5% for the SB 38 group, 33.0% for the suspended group, and 29.1% for the "other" group. Obviously, a rate change over the 1990 to 1998 time period is not available for the ignition interlock group since this sanction was rarely applied to second offenders before 1993; the overall reoffense rate for this group is slightly lower than that of the SB 38 program

group. The differences in rates between second-offender sanction groups remain relatively steady across the years and, like those for first offenders, may reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences. This is particularly likely for the ignition interlock group, given the cost of installing and maintaining the device. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction is probably attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders which are attributable to the law.

One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-1998: The one-year subsequent accident rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time, just as the subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates were portrayed. Figures 8a and 8b show the proportions of 1990-1998 first- and second-offenders who had accidents within one year after their conviction. Statistical adjustments for group differences were made on these accident rates based on available covariates.

TABLE 14b: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1998

YEAR	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS			SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990	4.27	5.66	5.09	3.82	3.78	0.00	3.97
1991	4.17	5.86	4.64	3.51	3.60	0.00	3.57
1992	3.51	5.70	4.36	3.70	3.33	0.00	3.52
1993	3.60	5.12	4.47	3.84	3.23	3.01	3.77
1994	3.52	5.82	4.36	3.24	2.82	2.85	3.32
1995	3.13	5.69	4.53	3.08	2.82	2.62	3.14
1996	2.73	4.79	4.42	2.38	2.42	2.56	2.31
1997	3.09	4.82	4.69	2.70	2.50	2.51	2.90
1998	2.80	4.40	4.82	3.09	2.51	2.12	2.76
% DIFFERENCE 1990-1998	-34.4%	-22.3%	-5.3%	-19.1%	-33.6%	NA	-30.5%

Among first offenders from 1990 through 1998, Figure 8a and Table 14b show a smaller decline in accident rates overall than was evident among the reoffense rates. The accident rate of the suspended group showed the largest decline of 34.4% from 1990 to 1998, while the jail group had 22.3% fewer accidents over the same time period. On the other hand, the accident rate of the first offender program participants reflects only a 5.3% decline overall; their accident rate has even increased slightly during the last two years. Of the three groups, the suspended group consistently has the lowest accident rate throughout the nine years, while the jail group shows the highest level of accident-involvement. Although all groups were suspended/restricted under APS, differences in accident rates probably reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences.

Figure 8b also indicates a declining trend in the overall accident rate of second offenders. The greatest decline was evident among the SB 38/restricted group with a 33.6% drop in accidents, while the suspended group had the lowest (19.1%) reduction in accidents. The “other” group shows a 30.5% difference in their accident rate from 1990 to 1998. The ignition interlock group displays a consistent decline in accidents since 1993, followed by a greater decline from 1997 to 1998. Oddly, the accident rates of the other three groups increased since 1996. The range of differences in accident rates between the four groups is smaller than that of first offenders and overall, second offenders have lower accident rates than do first offenders (Table 14b). The fact that second offenders have fewer accidents than first offenders has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower accident rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction/suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Results of the 1998 one-year analyses (see Figures 9 and 10, Tables 15 and 16) were quite different from those of the 1990-1997 one-year analyses (contained in the previous eight DUI-MIS reports) in that significant ($p = .015$) differences on total accidents were evident among the second offender sanction groups. Surprisingly, the suspended group had the highest accident rate, and it was significantly different from the rates of the ignition interlock group ($p = .001$), but it was not significantly higher (directionally significant at $p = .09$) than the rate of the SB 38/restricted license group. Overall, since 1990, the accident rates of all the groups have been declining, but within the last two years, the suspended group’s accident rate has risen, while the rates of the other three groups have either leveled out or declined. This suggests that the long term effectiveness of the APS one-year license suspension period may continue to limit accidents among the three groups. However, reasons for the increase in accidents among the (non-APS) suspended group are not clear and may be specific only to

second offenders evaluated from these two years; other reasons may become more apparent from future analyses.

However, the evaluations of the 3-year follow-up periods show quite different results from those of the 1-year time periods. Similar to the 1994 and 1995 3-year follow-up evaluations from the last two years, but in contrast to the 1992 and 1993 3-year analyses, significant sanction group differences on accident rates were evident among the 1996 second offenders. The accident rate of the suspended group continues to be significantly lower than that of the other three groups, suggesting that over a longer period of time (3 years), post-conviction suspension (of 18 months to 2 years duration) has a greater impact in reducing accidents than do other sanctions. The accident rates between the ignition interlock and the SB 38 program groups were not significantly different from each other; this finding was similarly reported in previous 1- and 3-year analyses on accidents in which ignition interlock was one of the sanction groups.

TABLE 15: SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$
1996 (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(4,637)	6.64		17.55	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(7,823)	9.02	-26.4%	14.04	25.0%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(5,412)	8.50		13.52	
	4) Other	(7,304)	8.96		16.19	
1993, 1994, 1995 & 1996 (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(22,134)	7.80		19.21	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(35,852)	9.17	-14.9%	15.07	27.5%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(16,437)	8.84		14.80	
	4) Other	(29,921)	9.51		17.10	
1998 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(3,581)	3.09		7.68	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(6,248)	2.51	23.1%	5.29	45.2%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(5,975)	2.12		4.50	
	4) Other	(6,961)	2.76		6.59	
1993, 1994, 1995 & 1996 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(22,153)	3.17		9.04	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(35,882)	2.85	11.2%	5.92	52.7%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(16,458)	2.79		5.61	
	4) Other	(29,956)	3.13		7.26	

In order to increase the power of the statistical analysis for detecting the effects of the interlock sanction, an additional analysis was conducted in which the 1993, 1994, 1995

and 1996 3-year second-offender files were combined. Results from this analysis are shown in Tables 15 and 16. Differences in accident rates between sanctions were statistically significant ($p = .000$). The accident rate of the suspension group was significantly lower than those of all other groups, and the “other” group had the highest accident rate of all groups. Again, the accident rate difference between the SB 38 program and ignition interlock groups was not significant ($p = .23$).

Also shown in Tables 15 and 16 are the results from last year’s analysis combining four years (1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996) of 1-year subsequent accidents and DUI incidents. Additional data were not included nor were any new analyses conducted. These figures are shown here primarily for comparison purposes.

TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR GROUP	SECOND-OFFENDER							
	TOTAL ACCIDENTS				DUI INCIDENTS			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<u>1996 (3-year follow-up)</u>								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1993, 1994, 1995, & 1996 (3-yr follow-up)</u>								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1998 (1-year follow-up)</u>								
(1) Suspension	na	ns	S3	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	S3	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1993, 1994, 1995 & 1996 (1-yr follow-up)</u>								
(1) Suspension	na	S2	S3	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	S2		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na

Note: A significant ($p < .06$ for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the percentages of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the "S" indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "Na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

DUI Incidents: Figures 9 and 10 and Tables 15 and 16 show that in both years the suspended groups had significantly higher failure rates (by 25% and 45.2% for 1996 and 1998, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program/restricted participants. The group "other" in the 1996 and 1998 analyses had failure rates midway between the suspended group and SB 38 program/restricted group. Failure rates of all four groups in 1996 (3-year follow-up period) were significantly different from each other except that the recidivism rate of the interlock group was not significantly lower than that of the SB 38 group. Also, the 3-year recidivism rates of the SB 38 group and the interlock group were significantly lower than those of the suspension and "other" groups.

In contrast to last year's 1997 analysis, the 1-year recidivism rate of the interlock group was significantly lower than that of the SB 38 program group, but both groups had rates that were significantly lower than those of the suspended and "other" groups. Similar findings were evident in the combined 1993-1996 analyses over a 3-year follow-up period, except that reoffense rates between the ignition interlock and SB 38 groups were not significantly different.

In summary, findings from the 1998 second-offender analyses were somewhat different from previous post-APS one-year evaluations of second offenders in showing evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent total accident rates; the ignition interlock group had the lowest accident rate compared to rates of the suspended and "other" groups, but not with the SB 38 group. Similar to last years' findings from the 3-year accident analyses, the 3-year accident rate of the 1996 suspended group was significantly lower than those of the other groups. The fact that both the 1- and 3-year accident rates in these analyses are the lowest found to date could reflect the ongoing impact of APS suspensions over time, since all second offenders since 1990 are suspended under APS for the duration of the one-year follow-up period.

The results on DUI reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction and with interlock are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over both follow-up periods.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation—S/R) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1989-1999. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1989 through 1999. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 97/98 through 99/00.

Figure 11: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1989-1999. This figure graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1989 through 1999, both preconviction and postconviction.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- ▶ During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 60% over that for 1990. These totals have declined each year since then (including a 1% decline in 1999), with the exception of 1996 (2% increase) and 1998 (16% increase). In spite of the substantial 1998 increase, total DUI suspension/revocation actions (through 1999) have still declined by 36.7% since 1991.

- ▶ In 1999, 179,332 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 76.6% were first-offender actions and 23.4% were repeat-offender actions.

- ▶ In FY 99/00, APS actions decreased by 2.0%, following a 4.8% increase the previous fiscal year.
- ▶ Chemical test refusal actions dropped by 5.0% in 1999, following a 1.7% decline in 1998. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 55.7% from the 1991 totals.
- ▶ The number of mandatory postconviction license actions has declined by 43.7% since 1991.
- ▶ In the first decade since APS was implemented in July 1990, over two million (2,127,023) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.
- ▶ Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 21.8% in 99/00. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing has risen to 88.3% in 99/00, after falling to only 67% in 95/96.
- ▶ During the first 6.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 81,942 suspension actions were taken.




Figure 11. Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1989-1999.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1989-1999

	YEAR										
	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158 ^r	230600 ^r	205462 ³	238612 ³	236141
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/a	142525 ^r	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 ^r	9327 ^r	11517	15640	17775
.08 First-offender suspensions	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636 ^r	122111 ^r	114247	116827	119621
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218 ^r	43922 ^r	39636	39024	38487
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234 ^r	4983 ^r	4111	3874	3449
Commercial driver actions	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743 ^r	4939 ^r	4496	4609	4471
Chemical test refusal actions	21466	22915	21296 ^r	17963 ^r	15662	13264	11711 ^r	11436 ^r	10110	9935	9435
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268
.08 Test refusal suspensions ¹	12390	15128	10901 ^r	9374 ^r	8256	7022	6307 ^r	6299 ^r	5865	5832	5718
.08 Test refusal revocations ¹	9076	7787	10395 ^r	8589 ^r	7406	6063	5234 ^r	4983 ^r	4111	3874	3449
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 ^r	180343 ^r	169511	175365	179332
POSTCONVICTION²											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769 ³	1026 ³	918
First-offender suspensions	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847 ³	9588 ³	15072
Misdemeanor	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834 ³	7497 ³	13401
Felony	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013 ³	2091 ³	1671
Second-offender S/R actions	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945 ³	40238 ³	31940
Misdemeanor	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532 ³	39065 ³	31455
Felony	689	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413 ³	633 ³	485
Third-offender revocations	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569 ³	9397 ³	6573
Misdemeanor	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471 ³	9167 ³	6452
Felony	332	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98 ³	230 ³	121
Fourth-offender revocations	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821 ³	2998 ³	2306
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 ^r	35951 ³	63247 ³	56809

¹From 1988-1990 these were .10 Implied Consent refusal suspensions/revocations.²These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements.³The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.^rRevised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/97-6/98	7/98-6/99	7/99-6/00
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	185,714	194,602	190,720
Total .08 ¹ APS actions set aside	13,739	14,424	13,793
Total .01 ² suspensions set aside	725	915	965
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	171,250	179,263	175,962
Net total .08 APS actions	157,495	162,261	157,945
Net total .01 suspensions	13,755	17,002	18,017
APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:³			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	166,644	174,707	171,489
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	4,606	4,556	4,473
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	30	53	59
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions ⁴	114,645	119,306	117,324
4-month license suspensions	86,501	86,707	87,992
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	1,536	1,815	1,169
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ⁵ restrictions	17,161	21,597	18,738
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,894	5,700	5,941
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,553	3,486	3,484
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	42,850	42,955	40,621
Suspensions	38,927	39,335	37,218
Revocations	3,923	3,620	3,403
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,690	10,225	10,120
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	685	623	470
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	10	28	22
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,995	9,574	9,628
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,817	9,320	9,344
Net total .01 refusal actions	178	254	284
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	5.79%	5.25%	5.31%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,894	5,700	5,941
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,923	3,620	3,403
APS Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled ⁶	33,897	42,577	41,616
Proportion of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ⁷	18.2%	21.9%	21.8%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	30,916	38,598	37,286
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	24,777	33,069	33,040
Proportion of .08 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing	80.1%	85.7%	88.6%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	1,956	3,003	3,162
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	1,623	2,590	2,760
Proportion of .01 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing	83.0%	86.2%	87.3%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,563	2,863	2,713
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	2,450	2,780	2,542
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	1,873	2,201	2,093

¹.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

².01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

³All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result. This category does not include .01 actions, which are not varied by offender status or occupation.

⁴Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

⁵Introduced 1/1/95 this restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment.

⁶These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental review hearings or procedures.

⁷Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.³ Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (e.g., only 3 cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1998 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1998 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1998 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1998 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1998 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1998 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Type of Arrest. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1998 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 1998 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1998 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 1998 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1998 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

³Among 1998 DUI arrests, 24,299 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 11,065 involving an injury or fatality, and 13,234 being PDO.

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 1998 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1998 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 1998 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1998 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 1-, 3-, and 7-Year Total, Fatal/Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal/injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 1998, 1996, and 1989 DUI arrestees for time periods of respectively, 1, 3, and 7 years subsequent to their arrests by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1989 to 1999. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.




Figure 12. Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1989-1999.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ▶ The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 9.1% in 1999, the first increase in over a decade. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved increased (from 31% to 32.8%) for the second year in a row after over a decade of decreases.
- ▶ The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved continued to decline in 1999, as it has each year since 1987. The number of alcohol-involved injuries dropped 3.7% during 1999 and 53.3% from 1989 to 1999.
- ▶ 12.8% of all 1998 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 45.5% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- ▶ In almost a quarter (23.0%) of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a reported traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 90.4% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.
- ▶ Of all 1998 accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 24.8% had been arrested for felony DUI.
- ▶ 5.8% (11,065) of 1998 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only 30.3% (3,358) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 11.0% (1,221) led to a conviction of felony DUI. 77% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.
- ▶ The fatal/injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to seven years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension/revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension/revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than

DUI accidents. In addition, drivers with multiple DUI offenses are more likely to have serious drinking problems.

- ▶ Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1998 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.

- ▶ Almost three-quarters (74.3%) of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	11065	100.0	5517	49.9	3870	35.0	763	6.9	915	8.3
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10444	94.4	5157	49.4	3717	35.6	714	6.8	856	8.2
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	20	0.2	8	40.0	9	45.0	2	10.0	1	5.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	176	1.6	87	49.4	61	34.7	15	8.5	13	7.4
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	59	0.5	28	47.5	21	35.6	4	6.8	6	10.2
NOT REPORTED	366	3.3	237	64.8	62	16.9	28	7.7	39	10.7

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	11065	100.0	5517	49.9	3870	35.0	763	6.9	915	8.3
FELONY DUI	3358	30.3	1449	43.2	1358	40.4	259	7.7	292	8.7
JUVENILE DUI	171	1.5	103	60.2	50	29.2	2	1.2	16	9.4
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7536	68.1	3965	52.6	2462	32.7	502	6.7	607	8.1

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	11065	100.0	6242	56.4	1449	13.1	451	4.1	144	1.3	229	2.1	2550	23.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10444	94.4	6009	57.5	1398	13.4	425	4.1	123	1.2	185	1.8	2304	22.1
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	20	0.2	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	17	85.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	176	1.6	88	50.0	15	8.5	13	7.4	1	0.6	7	4.0	52	29.5
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	59	0.5	21	35.6	2	3.4	3	5.1	1	1.7	9	15.3	23	39.0
NOT REPORTED	366	3.3	123	33.6	34	9.3	10	2.7	18	4.9	27	7.4	154	42.1

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	11065	100.0	6242	56.4	1449	13.1	451	4.1	144	1.3	229	2.1	2550	23.0
FELONY DUI	3358	30.3	1118	33.3	1221	36.4	71	2.1	30	0.9	84	2.5	834	24.8
JUVENILE DUI	171	1.5	45	26.3	20	11.7	1	0.6	1	0.6	3	1.8	101	59.1
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7536	68.1	5079	67.4	208	2.8	379	5.0	113	1.5	142	1.9	1615	21.4

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 1998 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	4535	790	17.4	155	3.4	3590	79.2
ALAMEDA	205	14	6.8	4	2.0	187	91.2
ALPINE	4	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0
AMADOR	4	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0
BUTTE	22	3	13.6	2	9.1	17	77.3
CALAVERAS	12	1	8.3	0	0.0	11	91.7
COLUSA	3	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7
CONTRA COSTA	114	11	9.6	4	3.5	99	86.8
DEL NORTE	6	1	16.7	2	33.3	3	50.0
EL DORADO	16	3	18.8	1	6.3	12	75.0
FRESNO	222	63	28.4	9	4.1	150	67.6
GLENN	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
HUMBOLDT	58	6	10.3	6	10.3	46	79.3
IMPERIAL	36	8	22.2	0	0.0	28	77.8
INYO	5	1	20.0	3	60.0	1	20.0
KERN	112	26	23.2	0	0.0	86	76.8
KINGS	11	3	27.3	0	0.0	8	72.7
LAKE	18	1	5.6	1	5.6	16	88.9
LASSEN	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	100.0
LOS ANGELES	1041	137	13.2	28	2.7	876	84.1
MADERA	37	7	18.9	0	0.0	30	81.1
MARIN	36	3	8.3	2	5.6	31	86.1
MARIPOSA	6	4	66.7	0	0.0	2	33.3
MENDOCINO	13	2	15.4	2	15.4	9	69.2
MERCED	37	9	24.3	3	8.1	25	67.6
MODOC	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7
MONO	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
MONTEREY	63	6	9.5	5	7.9	52	82.5
NAPA	17	3	17.6	2	11.8	12	70.6
NEVADA	12	3	25.0	0	0.0	9	75.0
ORANGE	240	33	13.8	5	2.1	202	84.2
PLACER	34	2	5.9	4	11.8	28	82.4
PLUMAS	3	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7
RIVERSIDE	273	36	13.2	9	3.3	228	83.5
SACRAMENTO	163	44	27.0	7	4.3	112	68.7
SAN BENITO	10	0	0.0	1	10.0	9	90.0
SAN BERNARDINO	324	64	19.8	6	1.9	254	78.4
SAN DIEGO	410	133	32.4	11	2.7	266	64.9
SAN FRANCISCO	94	34	36.2	0	0.0	60	63.8
SAN JOAQUIN	109	7	6.4	4	3.7	98	89.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	19	2	10.5	0	0.0	17	89.5
SAN MATEO	76	8	10.5	2	2.6	66	86.8
SANTA BARBARA	31	5	16.1	3	9.7	23	74.2
SANTA CLARA	116	24	20.7	2	1.7	90	77.6
SANTA CRUZ	19	1	5.3	2	10.5	16	84.2
SHASTA	27	5	18.5	2	7.4	20	74.1
SIERRA	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	12	1	8.3	1	8.3	10	83.3
SOLANO	40	7	17.5	1	2.5	32	80.0
SONOMA	83	9	10.8	2	2.4	72	86.7
STANISLAUS	76	14	18.4	0	0.0	62	81.6
SUTTER	12	3	25.0	2	16.7	7	58.3
TEHAMA	6	1	16.7	0	0.0	5	83.3
TRINITY	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
TULARE	99	15	15.2	3	3.0	81	81.8
TUOLUMNE	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7
VENTURA	75	11	14.7	6	8.0	58	77.3
YOLO	37	9	24.3	1	2.7	27	73.0
YUBA	10	1	10.0	3	30.0	6	60.0

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a: 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS*
BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	19080	100.0	15527	81.4	3553	18.6
UNDER 18	375	2.0	283	75.5	92	24.5
18-20	1608	8.4	1350	84.0	258	16.0
21-30	6315	33.1	5298	83.9	1017	16.1
31-40	4964	26.0	3874	78.0	1090	22.0
41-50	3067	16.1	2370	77.3	697	22.7
51-59	1149	6.0	947	82.4	202	17.6
60-69	577	3.0	493	85.4	84	14.6
70 & ABOVE	314	1.6	255	81.2	59	18.8
AGE UNKNOWN	711	3.7	657	92.4	54	7.6

*These data are derived from the 1998 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS
BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7242	100.0	5831	80.5	1411	19.5
UNDER 18	125	1.7	103	82.4	22	17.6
18-20	623	8.6	508	81.5	115	18.5
21-30	2553	35.3	2113	82.8	440	17.2
31-40	1886	26.0	1486	78.8	400	21.2
41-50	1207	16.7	932	77.2	275	22.8
51-60	490	6.8	397	81.0	93	19.0
61-70	208	2.9	173	83.2	35	16.8
71 & ABOVE	150	2.1	119	79.3	31	20.7

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17641	100.0	7787	44.1	7550	42.8	1865	10.6	373	2.1	66	0.4
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13426	76.1	4229	31.5	7014	52.2	1762	13.1	357	2.7	64	0.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2930	16.6	2631	89.8	261	8.9	35	1.2	3	0.1	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1268	7.2	913	72.0	272	21.5	68	5.4	13	1.0	2	0.2
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	10	0.1	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	7	0.0	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7242	100.0	4939	68.2	1739	24.0	453	6.3	94	1.3	17	0.2
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	3223	44.5	1463	45.4	1294	40.1	367	11.4	83	2.6	16	0.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2909	40.2	2611	89.8	260	8.9	35	1.2	3	0.1	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1094	15.1	851	77.8	183	16.7	51	4.7	8	0.7	1	0.1
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	10	0.1	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	6	0.1	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 26a: 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17641	100.0	7787	44.1	7550	42.8	1865	10.6	373	2.1	66	0.4
FATAL	777	4.4	548	70.5	168	21.6	49	6.3	7	0.9	5	0.6
INJURY	16864	95.6	7239	42.9	7382	43.8	1816	10.8	366	2.2	61	0.4

TABLE 26b: 1998 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST-ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	7242	100.0	4939	68.2	1739	24.0	453	6.3	94	1.3	17	0.2
FATAL	643	8.9	478	74.3	119	18.5	36	5.6	6	0.9	4	0.6
INJURY	6599	91.1	4461	67.6	1620	24.5	417	6.3	88	1.3	13	0.2

*The records of 73.3% (471) of these cases indicated they were "deceased."

TABLE 27: 1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS			FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS			ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS		
	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1998 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1998 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1998 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)
ALL	.0394	.1178	.2995	.0134	.0388	.1102	.0101	.0258	.0770
1ST DUI	.0443	.1316	.3105	.0148	.0423	.1108	.0098	.0247	.0685
2ND DUI	.0271	.0910	.3037	.0104	.0320	.1143	.0116	.0282	.0870
3RD DUI	.0204	.0641	.2369	.0056	.0260	.0980	.0105	.0281	.0978
4TH+ DUI	.0086	.0562	.2233	.0046	.0240	.0998	.0058	.0306	.1124

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of

property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury than for those with injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/99, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury accidents.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/99, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/99, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/99, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/98, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a three-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle

offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional five-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (PC 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/95, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the VC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/95, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first Administrative Per Se DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary ignition interlock device (IID) orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/94, amends Vehicle Code section 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the four-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test; violators receive a one-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/93, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an ignition interlock device on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/93, changes the effective date of administrative per se suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/92, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the administrative per se laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08 % or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/92, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or penal codes 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (one-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, two-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and three-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/90, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention

programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/90, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of .08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90 for vessel provisions and 1/1/92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of .04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above .01%, but less than .04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/89, allows the 4th DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of Section 23140 CVC.

- SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/89, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.
- SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/89, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.
- SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/88, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the one-year restricted license.
- SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.
- AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).
- AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of .05% or more (Section 23140 CVC). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.
- SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.
- SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5- year probation term for a DUI conviction.
- SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/87, authorizes courts to order the installation of ignition interlock devices for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/86, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/85, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/84, requires a one-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) level of .10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (.05 - .09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/82, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is

a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/78, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (1998). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- California Department of Finance. (1991). *California statistical abstract, 1991*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (2000). *1999 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1998). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention, 29*(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system (Report No. 90). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code, relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent

accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.



APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		188523	161411	85.6	27112	14.4	80767	42.8	79718	42.3	12869	6.8	15169	8.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	48	41	85.4	7	14.6	22	45.8	18	37.5	2	4.2	6	12.5
	18-20	446	407	91.3	39	8.7	143	32.1	166	37.2	79	17.7	58	13.0
	21-30	2415	2124	88.0	291	12.0	785	32.5	942	39.0	423	17.5	265	11.0
	31-40	1911	1591	83.3	320	16.7	777	40.7	553	28.9	384	20.1	197	10.3
	41-50	1250	1052	84.2	198	15.8	604	48.3	248	19.8	291	23.3	107	8.6
	51-60	395	347	87.8	48	12.2	196	49.6	65	16.5	104	26.3	30	7.6
	61-70	110	95	86.4	15	13.6	70	63.6	12	10.9	22	20.0	6	5.5
	71 & ABOVE	36	33	91.7	3	8.3	23	63.9	1	2.8	10	27.8	2	5.6
	TOTAL	6611	5690	86.1	921	13.9	2620	39.6	2005	30.3	1315	19.9	671	10.1
ALPINE	18-20	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	23	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	11	91.7	1	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	38	31	81.6	7	18.4	29	76.3	8	21.1	0	0.0	1	2.6
	31-40	58	40	69.0	18	31.0	56	96.6	1	1.7	1	1.7	0	0.0
	41-50	59	44	74.6	15	25.4	53	89.8	2	3.4	2	3.4	2	3.4
	51-60	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	9	75.0	2	16.7	1	8.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	207	164	79.2	43	20.8	185	89.4	15	7.2	4	1.9	3	1.4
BUTTE	UNDER 18	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	15	78.9	3	15.8	0	0.0	1	5.3
	18-20	180	157	87.2	23	12.8	151	83.9	23	12.8	2	1.1	4	2.2
	21-30	529	435	82.2	94	17.8	417	78.8	80	15.1	19	3.6	13	2.5
	31-40	320	260	81.3	60	18.8	262	81.9	42	13.1	12	3.8	4	1.2
	41-50	238	188	79.0	50	21.0	212	89.1	17	7.1	3	1.3	6	2.5
	51-60	84	69	82.1	15	17.9	79	94.0	2	2.4	2	2.4	1	1.2
	61-70	31	26	83.9	5	16.1	29	93.5	2	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1407	1154	82.0	253	18.0	1171	83.2	169	12.0	38	2.7	29	2.1

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	25	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	61	57	93.4	4	6.6	56	91.8	3	4.9	1	1.6	1	1.6
	31-40	82	63	76.8	19	23.2	77	93.9	4	4.9	0	0.0	1	1.2
	41-50	81	69	85.2	12	14.8	75	92.6	5	6.2	1	1.2	0	0.0
	51-60	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	36	92.3	2	5.1	1	2.6	0	0.0
	61-70	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	299	250	83.6	49	16.4	278	93.0	16	5.4	3	1.0	2	0.7
COLUSA	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	18	64.3	8	28.6	1	3.6	1	3.6
	21-30	120	110	91.7	10	8.3	54	45.0	63	52.5	0	0.0	3	2.5
	31-40	84	72	85.7	12	14.3	57	67.9	24	28.6	3	3.6	0	0.0
	41-50	65	57	87.7	8	12.3	39	60.0	18	27.7	1	1.5	7	10.8
	51-60	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	20	69.0	7	24.1	0	0.0	2	6.9
	61-70	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	340	299	87.9	41	12.1	196	57.6	123	36.2	8	2.4	13	3.8
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	69	57	82.6	12	17.4	38	55.1	21	30.4	2	2.9	8	11.6
	18-20	394	353	89.6	41	10.4	210	53.3	103	26.1	35	8.9	46	11.7
	21-30	1655	1426	86.2	229	13.8	761	46.0	533	32.2	157	9.5	204	12.3
	31-40	1400	1140	81.4	260	18.6	745	53.2	336	24.0	167	11.9	152	10.9
	41-50	838	666	79.5	172	20.5	500	59.7	162	19.3	98	11.7	78	9.3
	51-60	350	299	85.4	51	14.6	227	64.9	47	13.4	56	16.0	20	5.7
	61-70	92	75	81.5	17	18.5	57	62.0	14	15.2	16	17.4	5	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	31	29	93.5	2	6.5	20	64.5	6	19.4	4	12.9	1	3.2
	TOTAL	4829	4045	83.8	784	16.2	2558	53.0	1222	25.3	535	11.1	514	10.6
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	13	61.9	2	9.5	0	0.0	6	28.6
	21-30	79	64	81.0	15	19.0	59	74.7	11	13.9	0	0.0	9	11.4
	31-40	91	74	81.3	17	18.7	67	73.6	11	12.1	1	1.1	12	13.2
	41-50	62	50	80.6	12	19.4	56	90.3	3	4.8	0	0.0	3	4.8
	51-60	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	297	241	81.1	56	18.9	237	79.8	27	9.1	1	0.3	32	10.8

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	22	14	63.6	8	36.4	20	90.9	2	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	99	87	87.9	12	12.1	89	89.9	8	8.1	0	0.0	2	2.0
	21-30	296	242	81.8	54	18.2	248	83.8	43	14.5	2	0.7	3	1.0
	31-40	390	291	74.6	99	25.4	354	90.8	27	6.9	4	1.0	5	1.3
	41-50	249	192	77.1	57	22.9	227	91.2	12	4.8	2	0.8	8	3.2
	51-60	114	94	82.5	20	17.5	108	94.7	5	4.4	0	0.0	1	0.9
	61-70	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1203	948	78.8	255	21.2	1078	89.6	98	8.1	8	0.7	19	1.6
FRESNO	UNDER 18	49	43	87.8	6	12.2	16	32.7	27	55.1	1	2.0	5	10.2
	18-20	580	526	90.7	54	9.3	151	26.0	386	66.6	12	2.1	31	5.3
	21-30	2682	2439	90.9	243	9.1	526	19.6	1977	73.7	105	3.9	74	2.8
	31-40	1742	1512	86.8	230	13.2	433	24.9	1178	67.6	92	5.3	39	2.2
	41-50	935	793	84.8	142	15.2	314	33.6	518	55.4	72	7.7	31	3.3
	51-60	327	288	88.1	39	11.9	133	40.7	159	48.6	28	8.6	7	2.1
	61-70	86	78	90.7	8	9.3	45	52.3	32	37.2	6	7.0	3	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	33	30	90.9	3	9.1	21	63.6	11	33.3	0	0.0	1	3.0
	TOTAL	6434	5709	88.7	725	11.3	1639	25.5	4288	66.6	316	4.9	191	3.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	2	33.3	2	33.3	0	0.0	2	33.3
	18-20	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	12	46.2	14	53.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	84	79	94.0	5	6.0	27	32.1	52	61.9	0	0.0	5	6.0
	31-40	70	64	91.4	6	8.6	44	62.9	24	34.3	0	0.0	2	2.9
	41-50	62	49	79.0	13	21.0	40	64.5	17	27.4	0	0.0	5	8.1
	51-60	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	14	70.0	3	15.0	1	5.0	2	10.0
	61-70	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	13	81.3	2	12.5	0	0.0	1	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	285	253	88.8	32	11.2	152	53.3	115	40.4	1	0.4	17	6.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	24	82.8	1	3.4	1	3.4	3	10.3
	18-20	128	111	86.7	17	13.3	109	85.2	9	7.0	1	0.8	9	7.0
	21-30	436	341	78.2	95	21.8	345	79.1	48	11.0	20	4.6	23	5.3
	31-40	329	251	76.3	78	23.7	269	81.8	29	8.8	4	1.2	27	8.2
	41-50	217	173	79.7	44	20.3	190	87.6	5	2.3	3	1.4	19	8.8
	51-60	78	66	84.6	12	15.4	70	89.7	4	5.1	1	1.3	3	3.8
	61-70	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	18	78.3	3	13.0	0	0.0	2	8.7
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	TOTAL	1248	991	79.4	257	20.6	1032	82.7	99	7.9	30	2.4	87	7.0

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	3	27.3	8	72.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	148	132	89.2	16	10.8	45	30.4	96	64.9	2	1.4	5	3.4
	21-30	525	475	90.5	50	9.5	89	17.0	402	76.6	11	2.1	23	4.4
	31-40	465	411	88.4	54	11.6	82	17.6	345	74.2	15	3.2	23	4.9
	41-50	305	271	88.9	34	11.1	68	22.3	218	71.5	4	1.3	15	4.9
	51-60	139	129	92.8	10	7.2	56	40.3	74	53.2	4	2.9	5	3.6
	61-70	58	53	91.4	5	8.6	21	36.2	37	63.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1659	1489	89.8	170	10.2	369	22.2	1183	71.3	36	2.2	71	4.3
INYO	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	10	58.8	2	11.8	0	0.0	5	29.4
	21-30	84	63	75.0	21	25.0	41	48.8	18	21.4	0	0.0	25	29.8
	31-40	75	60	80.0	15	20.0	52	69.3	15	20.0	0	0.0	8	10.7
	41-50	55	43	78.2	12	21.8	35	63.6	5	9.1	0	0.0	15	27.3
	51-60	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	24	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	7.7
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	276	219	79.3	57	20.7	179	64.9	41	14.9	0	0.0	56	20.3
KERN	UNDER 18	67	58	86.6	9	13.4	34	50.7	31	46.3	1	1.5	1	1.5
	18-20	460	417	90.7	43	9.3	203	44.1	238	51.7	10	2.2	9	2.0
	21-30	1579	1410	89.3	169	10.7	580	36.7	912	57.8	60	3.8	27	1.7
	31-40	1245	1068	85.8	177	14.2	483	38.8	655	52.6	77	6.2	30	2.4
	41-50	777	667	85.8	110	14.2	402	51.7	302	38.9	53	6.8	20	2.6
	51-60	273	249	91.2	24	8.8	176	64.5	82	30.0	8	2.9	7	2.6
	61-70	75	71	94.7	4	5.3	50	66.7	13	17.3	8	10.7	4	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	14	66.7	3	14.3	2	9.5	2	9.5
	TOTAL	4497	3959	88.0	538	12.0	1942	43.2	2236	49.7	219	4.9	100	2.2
KINGS	UNDER 18	21	16	76.2	5	23.8	8	38.1	10	47.6	2	9.5	1	4.8
	18-20	96	88	91.7	8	8.3	27	28.1	61	63.5	3	3.1	5	5.2
	21-30	387	355	91.7	32	8.3	100	25.8	233	60.2	34	8.8	20	5.2
	31-40	268	240	89.6	28	10.4	95	35.4	151	56.3	17	6.3	5	1.9
	41-50	156	132	84.6	24	15.4	58	37.2	82	52.6	9	5.8	7	4.5
	51-60	43	40	93.0	3	7.0	18	41.9	21	48.8	4	9.3	0	0.0
	61-70	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	8	36.4	8	36.4	2	9.1	4	18.2
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1000	897	89.7	103	10.3	318	31.8	569	56.9	71	7.1	42	4.2

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	36	87.8	5	12.2	31	75.6	9	22.0	1	2.4	0	0.0
	21-30	163	143	87.7	20	12.3	117	71.8	42	25.8	1	0.6	3	1.8
	31-40	213	170	79.8	43	20.2	183	85.9	27	12.7	1	0.5	2	0.9
	41-50	138	110	79.7	28	20.3	116	84.1	10	7.2	5	3.6	7	5.1
	51-60	45	34	75.6	11	24.4	36	80.0	2	4.4	5	11.1	2	4.4
	61-70	25	22	88.0	3	12.0	20	80.0	0	0.0	4	16.0	1	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	639	528	82.6	111	17.4	516	80.8	90	14.1	18	2.8	15	2.3
LASSEN	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	69	54	78.3	15	21.7	53	76.8	10	14.5	2	2.9	4	5.8
	31-40	88	62	70.5	26	29.5	76	86.4	4	4.5	2	2.3	6	6.8
	41-50	45	34	75.6	11	24.4	42	93.3	1	2.2	2	4.4	0	0.0
	51-60	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	20	90.9	2	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	252	195	77.4	57	22.6	218	86.5	18	7.1	6	2.4	10	4.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	189	164	86.8	25	13.2	69	36.5	97	51.3	8	4.2	15	7.9
	18-20	2419	2111	87.3	308	12.7	539	22.3	1477	61.1	142	5.9	261	10.8
	21-30	17034	15026	88.2	2008	11.8	3407	20.0	10643	62.5	1193	7.0	1791	10.5
	31-40	13372	11734	87.8	1638	12.2	3222	24.1	7276	54.4	1559	11.7	1315	9.8
	41-50	6854	5933	86.6	921	13.4	2083	30.4	3002	43.8	1000	14.6	769	11.2
	51-60	2425	2167	89.4	258	10.6	920	37.9	819	33.8	416	17.2	270	11.1
	61-70	656	587	89.5	69	10.5	278	42.4	164	25.0	160	24.4	54	8.2
	71 & ABOVE	150	133	88.7	17	11.3	80	53.3	35	23.3	25	16.7	10	6.7
	TOTAL	43099	37855	87.8	5244	12.2	10598	24.6	23513	54.6	4503	10.4	4485	10.4
MADERA	UNDER 18	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	4	50.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	18-20	79	77	97.5	2	2.5	20	25.3	57	72.2	0	0.0	2	2.5
	21-30	331	313	94.6	18	5.4	58	17.5	263	79.5	6	1.8	4	1.2
	31-40	232	214	92.2	18	7.8	69	29.7	150	64.7	9	3.9	4	1.7
	41-50	123	107	87.0	16	13.0	48	39.0	65	52.8	3	2.4	7	5.7
	51-60	40	37	92.5	3	7.5	26	65.0	8	20.0	4	10.0	2	5.0
	61-70	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	6	46.2	4	30.8	2	15.4	1	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	830	773	93.1	57	6.9	233	28.1	552	66.5	25	3.0	20	2.4

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	94	78	83.0	16	17.0	51	54.3	31	33.0	4	4.3	8	8.5
	21-30	495	401	81.0	94	19.0	238	48.1	202	40.8	24	4.8	31	6.3
	31-40	382	318	83.2	64	16.8	261	68.3	87	22.8	19	5.0	15	3.9
	41-50	286	220	76.9	66	23.1	221	77.3	27	9.4	16	5.6	22	7.7
	51-60	162	121	74.7	41	25.3	137	84.6	7	4.3	6	3.7	12	7.4
	61-70	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	26	78.8	1	3.0	4	12.1	2	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	13	8	61.5	5	38.5	11	84.6	0	0.0	1	7.7	1	7.7
	TOTAL	1480	1182	79.9	298	20.1	959	64.8	356	24.1	74	5.0	91	6.1
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	14	66.7	5	23.8	1	4.8	1	4.8
	31-40	26	18	69.2	8	30.8	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	17	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6
	51-60	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	14	93.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.7
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	88	70	79.5	18	20.5	74	84.1	10	11.4	1	1.1	3	3.4
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	14	8	57.1	6	42.9	11	78.6	2	14.3	0	0.0	1	7.1
	18-20	64	55	85.9	9	14.1	42	65.6	15	23.4	0	0.0	7	10.9
	21-30	275	240	87.3	35	12.7	157	57.1	84	30.5	4	1.5	30	10.9
	31-40	216	158	73.1	58	26.9	152	70.4	44	20.4	0	0.0	20	9.3
	41-50	172	134	77.9	38	22.1	146	84.9	18	10.5	1	0.6	7	4.1
	51-60	86	74	86.0	12	14.0	72	83.7	5	5.8	3	3.5	6	7.0
	61-70	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	15	88.2	1	5.9	0	0.0	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	851	689	81.0	162	19.0	600	70.5	171	20.1	8	0.9	72	8.5
MERCED	UNDER 18	15	15	100.0	0	0.0	4	26.7	8	53.3	0	0.0	3	20.0
	18-20	162	152	93.8	10	6.2	35	21.6	111	68.5	5	3.1	11	6.8
	21-30	747	692	92.6	55	7.4	143	19.1	526	70.4	20	2.7	58	7.8
	31-40	514	453	88.1	61	11.9	146	28.4	303	58.9	21	4.1	44	8.6
	41-50	302	262	86.8	40	13.2	115	38.1	149	49.3	21	7.0	17	5.6
	51-60	96	88	91.7	8	8.3	42	43.8	36	37.5	8	8.3	10	10.4
	61-70	28	28	100.0	0	0.0	15	53.6	6	21.4	5	17.9	2	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	9	56.3	4	25.0	0	0.0	3	18.8
	TOTAL	1880	1706	90.7	174	9.3	509	27.1	1143	60.8	80	4.3	148	7.9

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
	18-20	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	14	66.7	7	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	23	79.3	5	17.2	0	0.0	1	3.4
	41-50	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	24	88.9	2	7.4	0	0.0	1	3.7
	51-60	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	94	83	88.3	11	11.7	75	79.8	16	17.0	0	0.0	3	3.2
MONO	18-20	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	34	30	88.2	4	11.8	22	64.7	10	29.4	0	0.0	2	5.9
	31-40	23	22	95.7	1	4.3	18	78.3	5	21.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	32	29	90.6	3	9.4	30	93.8	2	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	110	99	90.0	11	10.0	89	80.9	18	16.4	0	0.0	3	2.7
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	10	27.0	26	70.3	1	2.7	0	0.0
	18-20	283	241	85.2	42	14.8	82	29.0	190	67.1	3	1.1	8	2.8
	21-30	1315	1179	89.7	136	10.3	277	21.1	977	74.3	29	2.2	32	2.4
	31-40	828	681	82.2	147	17.8	301	36.4	450	54.3	39	4.7	38	4.6
	41-50	506	393	77.7	113	22.3	271	53.6	192	37.9	23	4.5	20	4.0
	51-60	190	170	89.5	20	10.5	121	63.7	56	29.5	7	3.7	6	3.2
	61-70	38	31	81.6	7	18.4	28	73.7	5	13.2	2	5.3	3	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	12	75.0	2	12.5	1	6.3	1	6.3
	TOTAL	3213	2741	85.3	472	14.7	1102	34.3	1898	59.1	105	3.3	108	3.4
NAPA	UNDER 18	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	10	55.6	8	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	79	71	89.9	8	10.1	44	55.7	33	41.8	1	1.3	1	1.3
	21-30	396	350	88.4	46	11.6	170	42.9	217	54.8	5	1.3	4	1.0
	31-40	271	218	80.4	53	19.6	163	60.1	91	33.6	11	4.1	6	2.2
	41-50	160	126	78.8	34	21.2	120	75.0	34	21.2	4	2.5	2	1.2
	51-60	72	57	79.2	15	20.8	56	77.8	12	16.7	1	1.4	3	4.2
	61-70	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	23	88.5	3	11.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1030	869	84.4	161	15.6	594	57.7	398	38.6	22	2.1	16	1.6

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	52	31	59.6	21	40.4	50	96.2	1	1.9	0	0.0	1	1.9
	21-30	203	172	84.7	31	15.3	172	84.7	23	11.3	5	2.5	3	1.5
	31-40	215	167	77.7	48	22.3	194	90.2	14	6.5	4	1.9	3	1.4
	41-50	188	138	73.4	50	26.6	173	92.0	10	5.3	3	1.6	2	1.1
	51-60	56	46	82.1	10	17.9	46	82.1	6	10.7	2	3.6	2	3.6
	61-70	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	755	590	78.1	165	21.9	675	89.4	54	7.2	15	2.0	11	1.5
ORANGE	UNDER 18	64	47	73.4	17	26.6	41	64.1	22	34.4	1	1.6	0	0.0
	18-20	882	744	84.4	138	15.6	442	50.1	360	40.8	17	1.9	63	7.1
	21-30	6339	5433	85.7	906	14.3	2752	43.4	3028	47.8	144	2.3	415	6.5
	31-40	4650	3914	84.2	736	15.8	2326	50.0	1909	41.1	118	2.5	297	6.4
	41-50	2509	2047	81.6	462	18.4	1545	61.6	709	28.3	60	2.4	195	7.8
	51-60	873	720	82.5	153	17.5	624	71.5	166	19.0	14	1.6	69	7.9
	61-70	249	217	87.1	32	12.9	192	77.1	44	17.7	4	1.6	9	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	63	49	77.8	14	22.2	55	87.3	5	7.9	1	1.6	2	3.2
	TOTAL	15629	13171	84.3	2458	15.7	7977	51.0	6243	39.9	359	2.3	1050	6.7
PLACER	UNDER 18	32	26	81.3	6	18.8	26	81.3	4	12.5	0	0.0	2	6.3
	18-20	114	101	88.6	13	11.4	79	69.3	20	17.5	3	2.6	12	10.5
	21-30	495	413	83.4	82	16.6	347	70.1	67	13.5	8	1.6	73	14.7
	31-40	448	355	79.2	93	20.8	340	75.9	42	9.4	3	0.7	63	14.1
	41-50	296	224	75.7	72	24.3	233	78.7	15	5.1	4	1.4	44	14.9
	51-60	114	93	81.6	21	18.4	92	80.7	7	6.1	2	1.8	13	11.4
	61-70	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	22	59.5	6	16.2	0	0.0	9	24.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	8	72.7	1	9.1	2	18.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1547	1252	80.9	295	19.1	1147	74.1	162	10.5	22	1.4	216	14.0
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	60	47	78.3	13	21.7	51	85.0	7	11.7	0	0.0	2	3.3
	31-40	58	53	91.4	5	8.6	48	82.8	10	17.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	71	58	81.7	13	18.3	66	93.0	4	5.6	0	0.0	1	1.4
	51-60	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	27	96.4	1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	245	208	84.9	37	15.1	217	88.6	23	9.4	2	0.8	3	1.2

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	93	87	93.5	6	6.5	41	44.1	44	47.3	2	2.2	6	6.5
	18-20	730	673	92.2	57	7.8	281	38.5	383	52.5	37	5.1	29	4.0
	21-30	3436	3091	90.0	345	10.0	1078	31.4	2114	61.5	163	4.7	81	2.4
	31-40	2659	2291	86.2	368	13.8	1124	42.3	1297	48.8	168	6.3	70	2.6
	41-50	1652	1405	85.0	247	15.0	858	51.9	633	38.3	105	6.4	56	3.4
	51-60	623	558	89.6	65	10.4	388	62.3	175	28.1	48	7.7	12	1.9
	61-70	213	178	83.6	35	16.4	151	70.9	44	20.7	16	7.5	2	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	78	69	88.5	9	11.5	59	75.6	13	16.7	5	6.4	1	1.3
	TOTAL	9484	8352	88.1	1132	11.9	3980	42.0	4703	49.6	544	5.7	257	2.7
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	78	63	80.8	15	19.2	25	32.1	12	15.4	3	3.8	38	48.7
	18-20	616	496	80.5	120	19.5	156	25.3	128	20.8	38	6.2	294	47.7
	21-30	2742	2246	81.9	496	18.1	839	30.6	579	21.1	234	8.5	1090	39.8
	31-40	2139	1691	79.1	448	20.9	675	31.6	286	13.4	290	13.6	888	41.5
	41-50	1323	1064	80.4	259	19.6	479	36.2	151	11.4	168	12.7	525	39.7
	51-60	413	366	88.6	47	11.4	165	40.0	42	10.2	49	11.9	157	38.0
	61-70	123	103	83.7	20	16.3	39	31.7	12	9.8	19	15.4	53	43.1
	71 & ABOVE	40	33	82.5	7	17.5	16	40.0	3	7.5	2	5.0	19	47.5
	TOTAL	7474	6062	81.1	1412	18.9	2394	32.0	1213	16.2	803	10.7	3064	41.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	47	45	95.7	2	4.3	14	29.8	32	68.1	0	0.0	1	2.1
	21-30	155	144	92.9	11	7.1	21	13.5	133	85.8	0	0.0	1	0.6
	31-40	112	103	92.0	9	8.0	42	37.5	68	60.7	1	0.9	1	0.9
	41-50	55	49	89.1	6	10.9	25	45.5	29	52.7	0	0.0	1	1.8
	51-60	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	12	63.2	6	31.6	1	5.3	0	0.0
	61-70	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	398	369	92.7	29	7.3	119	29.9	273	68.6	2	0.5	4	1.0
	SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	59	54	91.5	5	8.5	28	47.5	29	49.2	2	3.4	0
18-20		777	687	88.4	90	11.6	347	44.7	369	47.5	39	5.0	22	2.8
21-30		3769	3375	89.5	394	10.5	1261	33.5	2173	57.7	215	5.7	120	3.2
31-40		3107	2629	84.6	478	15.4	1191	38.3	1505	48.4	305	9.8	106	3.4
41-50		1764	1504	85.3	260	14.7	863	48.9	631	35.8	188	10.7	82	4.6
51-60		654	572	87.5	82	12.5	380	58.1	190	29.1	56	8.6	28	4.3
61-70		210	187	89.0	23	11.0	132	62.9	42	20.0	26	12.4	10	4.8
71 & ABOVE		57	51	89.5	6	10.5	38	66.7	11	19.3	4	7.0	4	7.0
TOTAL		10397	9059	87.1	1338	12.9	4240	40.8	4950	47.6	835	8.0	372	3.6

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	165	139	84.2	26	15.8	92	55.8	63	38.2	3	1.8	7	4.2
	18-20	1230	1050	85.4	180	14.6	626	50.9	466	37.9	59	4.8	79	6.4
	21-30	5785	4881	84.4	904	15.6	2810	48.6	2222	38.4	413	7.1	340	5.9
	31-40	3958	3267	82.5	691	17.5	2019	51.0	1451	36.7	291	7.4	197	5.0
	41-50	2255	1840	81.6	415	18.4	1372	60.8	598	26.5	151	6.7	134	5.9
	51-60	793	693	87.4	100	12.6	508	64.1	196	24.7	42	5.3	47	5.9
	61-70	217	190	87.6	27	12.4	147	67.7	42	19.4	15	6.9	13	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	58	48	82.8	10	17.2	48	82.8	6	10.3	2	3.4	2	3.4
	TOTAL	14461	12108	83.7	2353	16.3	7622	52.7	5044	34.9	976	6.7	819	5.7
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	51	39	76.5	12	23.5	19	37.3	12	23.5	5	9.8	15	29.4
	21-30	508	419	82.5	89	17.5	259	51.0	96	18.9	63	12.4	90	17.7
	31-40	357	306	85.7	51	14.3	200	56.0	62	17.4	55	15.4	40	11.2
	41-50	226	199	88.1	27	11.9	138	61.1	16	7.1	37	16.4	35	15.5
	51-60	74	64	86.5	10	13.5	48	64.9	2	2.7	14	18.9	10	13.5
	61-70	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	10	45.5	1	4.5	8	36.4	3	13.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1246	1052	84.4	194	15.6	678	54.4	190	15.2	185	14.8	193	15.5
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	45	39	86.7	6	13.3	20	44.4	21	46.7	1	2.2	3	6.7
	18-20	302	263	87.1	39	12.9	127	42.1	143	47.4	17	5.6	15	5.0
	21-30	1365	1210	88.6	155	11.4	470	34.4	768	56.3	75	5.5	52	3.8
	31-40	987	818	82.9	169	17.1	441	44.7	436	44.2	71	7.2	39	4.0
	41-50	564	462	81.9	102	18.1	313	55.5	175	31.0	50	8.9	26	4.6
	51-60	235	208	88.5	27	11.5	139	59.1	68	28.9	15	6.4	13	5.5
	61-70	81	75	92.6	6	7.4	43	53.1	25	30.9	11	13.6	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	25	24	96.0	1	4.0	11	44.0	7	28.0	4	16.0	3	12.0
	TOTAL	3604	3099	86.0	505	14.0	1564	43.4	1643	45.6	244	6.8	153	4.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	39	28	71.8	11	28.2	34	87.2	4	10.3	1	2.6	0	0.0
	18-20	248	212	85.5	36	14.5	173	69.8	70	28.2	4	1.6	1	0.4
	21-30	869	740	85.2	129	14.8	571	65.7	253	29.1	23	2.6	22	2.5
	31-40	556	450	80.9	106	19.1	409	73.6	122	21.9	13	2.3	12	2.2
	41-50	382	281	73.6	101	26.4	318	83.2	51	13.4	10	2.6	3	0.8
	51-60	114	94	82.5	20	17.5	100	87.7	11	9.6	2	1.8	1	0.9
	61-70	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	33	82.5	7	17.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2265	1852	81.8	413	18.2	1655	73.1	518	22.9	53	2.3	39	1.7

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	44	40	90.9	4	9.1	28	63.6	14	31.8	0	0.0	2	4.5
	18-20	219	196	89.5	23	10.5	79	36.1	94	42.9	20	9.1	26	11.9
	21-30	1314	1131	86.1	183	13.9	507	38.6	583	44.4	37	2.8	187	14.2
	31-40	1098	911	83.0	187	17.0	523	47.6	368	33.5	65	5.9	142	12.9
	41-50	669	538	80.4	131	19.6	396	59.2	142	21.2	49	7.3	82	12.3
	51-60	275	227	82.5	48	17.5	195	70.9	34	12.4	15	5.5	31	11.3
	61-70	97	82	84.5	15	15.5	72	74.2	12	12.4	7	7.2	6	6.2
	71 & ABOVE	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	15	78.9	1	5.3	3	15.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3735	3142	84.1	593	15.9	1815	48.6	1248	33.4	196	5.2	476	12.7
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	43	36	83.7	7	16.3	25	58.1	15	34.9	2	4.7	1	2.3
	18-20	299	253	84.6	46	15.4	135	45.2	138	46.2	8	2.7	18	6.0
	21-30	1234	1024	83.0	210	17.0	557	45.1	579	46.9	30	2.4	68	5.5
	31-40	836	686	82.1	150	17.9	436	52.2	346	41.4	28	3.3	26	3.1
	41-50	513	407	79.3	106	20.7	328	63.9	139	27.1	28	5.5	18	3.5
	51-60	175	148	84.6	27	15.4	119	68.0	39	22.3	13	7.4	4	2.3
	61-70	56	39	69.6	17	30.4	43	76.8	8	14.3	2	3.6	3	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	12	75.0	4	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3172	2607	82.2	565	17.8	1655	52.2	1268	40.0	111	3.5	138	4.4
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	73	65	89.0	8	11.0	35	47.9	31	42.5	2	2.7	5	6.8
	18-20	443	403	91.0	40	9.0	150	33.9	243	54.9	14	3.2	36	8.1
	21-30	3034	2659	87.6	375	12.4	891	29.4	1728	57.0	108	3.6	307	10.1
	31-40	2248	1880	83.6	368	16.4	909	40.4	974	43.3	99	4.4	266	11.8
	41-50	1229	1021	83.1	208	16.9	586	47.7	422	34.3	68	5.5	153	12.4
	51-60	490	426	86.9	64	13.1	275	56.1	143	29.2	24	4.9	48	9.8
	61-70	107	98	91.6	9	8.4	67	62.6	26	24.3	9	8.4	5	4.7
	71 & ABOVE	36	32	88.9	4	11.1	26	72.2	8	22.2	1	2.8	1	2.8
	TOTAL	7660	6584	86.0	1076	14.0	2939	38.4	3575	46.7	325	4.2	821	10.7
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	38	23	60.5	15	39.5	30	78.9	6	15.8	1	2.6	1	2.6
	18-20	196	162	82.7	34	17.3	138	70.4	51	26.0	3	1.5	4	2.0
	21-30	744	631	84.8	113	15.2	450	60.5	278	37.4	7	0.9	9	1.2
	31-40	561	441	78.6	120	21.4	406	72.4	136	24.2	10	1.8	9	1.6
	41-50	384	303	78.9	81	21.1	310	80.7	60	15.6	10	2.6	4	1.0
	51-60	116	101	87.1	15	12.9	101	87.1	14	12.1	1	0.9	0	0.0
	61-70	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	13	72.2	3	16.7	0	0.0	2	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	7	87.5	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2065	1684	81.5	381	18.5	1455	70.5	548	26.5	33	1.6	29	1.4

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	19	86.4	1	4.5	0	0.0	2	9.1
	18-20	142	122	85.9	20	14.1	120	84.5	12	8.5	1	0.7	9	6.3
	21-30	454	367	80.8	87	19.2	390	85.9	34	7.5	6	1.3	24	5.3
	31-40	364	256	70.3	108	29.7	335	92.0	12	3.3	8	2.2	9	2.5
	41-50	286	209	73.1	77	26.9	264	92.3	12	4.2	2	0.7	8	2.8
	51-60	80	63	78.8	17	21.2	77	96.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	3.8
	61-70	45	41	91.1	4	8.9	42	93.3	0	0.0	2	4.4	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	19	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1412	1094	77.5	318	22.5	1266	89.7	71	5.0	19	1.3	56	4.0
SIERRA	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	5	55.6	3	33.3	1	11.1	0	0.0
	31-40	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	41-50	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	32	28	87.5	4	12.5	25	78.1	5	15.6	1	3.1	1	3.1
	SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1
18-20		38	30	78.9	8	21.1	29	76.3	2	5.3	1	2.6	6	15.8
21-30		84	71	84.5	13	15.5	63	75.0	12	14.3	2	2.4	7	8.3
31-40		108	77	71.3	31	28.7	87	80.6	12	11.1	1	0.9	8	7.4
41-50		95	72	75.8	23	24.2	81	85.3	6	6.3	2	2.1	6	6.3
51-60		49	46	93.9	3	6.1	43	87.8	4	8.2	0	0.0	2	4.1
61-70		10	7	70.0	3	30.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		399	315	78.9	84	21.1	325	81.5	37	9.3	7	1.8	30	7.5
SOLANO	UNDER 18	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	8	44.4	4	22.2	4	22.2	2	11.1
	18-20	146	132	90.4	14	9.6	78	53.4	43	29.5	13	8.9	12	8.2
	21-30	604	521	86.3	83	13.7	277	45.9	188	31.1	109	18.0	30	5.0
	31-40	486	379	78.0	107	22.0	248	51.0	102	21.0	102	21.0	34	7.0
	41-50	347	266	76.7	81	23.3	196	56.5	38	11.0	95	27.4	18	5.2
	51-60	111	90	81.1	21	18.9	70	63.1	14	12.6	20	18.0	7	6.3
	61-70	45	39	86.7	6	13.3	26	57.8	3	6.7	13	28.9	3	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	8	57.1	2	14.3	3	21.4	1	7.1
	TOTAL	1771	1453	82.0	318	18.0	911	51.4	394	22.2	359	20.3	107	6.0

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	44	36	81.8	8	18.2	29	65.9	13	29.5	0	0.0	2	4.5
	18-20	270	234	86.7	36	13.3	167	61.9	93	34.4	4	1.5	6	2.2
	21-30	1190	1029	86.5	161	13.5	607	51.0	528	44.4	21	1.8	34	2.9
	31-40	839	664	79.1	175	20.9	566	67.5	230	27.4	23	2.7	20	2.4
	41-50	651	507	77.9	144	22.1	529	81.3	88	13.5	17	2.6	17	2.6
	51-60	261	208	79.7	53	20.3	230	88.1	19	7.3	4	1.5	8	3.1
	61-70	63	57	90.5	6	9.5	53	84.1	8	12.7	1	1.6	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	27	21	77.8	6	22.2	23	85.2	3	11.1	0	0.0	1	3.7
	TOTAL	3345	2756	82.4	589	17.6	2204	65.9	982	29.4	70	2.1	89	2.7
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	43	39	90.7	4	9.3	20	46.5	18	41.9	0	0.0	5	11.6
	18-20	227	206	90.7	21	9.3	120	52.9	94	41.4	5	2.2	8	3.5
	21-30	978	875	89.5	103	10.5	360	36.8	563	57.6	30	3.1	25	2.6
	31-40	644	540	83.9	104	16.1	314	48.8	280	43.5	21	3.3	29	4.5
	41-50	429	350	81.6	79	18.4	245	57.1	148	34.5	19	4.4	17	4.0
	51-60	132	117	88.6	15	11.4	80	60.6	43	32.6	5	3.8	4	3.0
	61-70	36	30	83.3	6	16.7	31	86.1	4	11.1	0	0.0	1	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	16	16	100.0	0	0.0	12	75.0	3	18.8	0	0.0	1	6.3
	TOTAL	2505	2173	86.7	332	13.3	1182	47.2	1153	46.0	80	3.2	90	3.6
SUTTER	UNDER 18	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	9	64.3	3	21.4	1	7.1	1	7.1
	18-20	74	67	90.5	7	9.5	26	35.1	36	48.6	5	6.8	7	9.5
	21-30	265	232	87.5	33	12.5	110	41.5	125	47.2	9	3.4	21	7.9
	31-40	191	159	83.2	32	16.8	127	66.5	45	23.6	3	1.6	16	8.4
	41-50	133	103	77.4	30	22.6	89	66.9	34	25.6	0	0.0	10	7.5
	51-60	60	49	81.7	11	18.3	47	78.3	11	18.3	1	1.7	1	1.7
	61-70	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	20	83.3	1	4.2	0	0.0	3	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	767	648	84.5	119	15.5	431	56.2	258	33.6	19	2.5	59	7.7
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	38	37	97.4	1	2.6	25	65.8	12	31.6	0	0.0	1	2.6
	21-30	142	125	88.0	17	12.0	98	69.0	41	28.9	2	1.4	1	0.7
	31-40	154	126	81.8	28	18.2	131	85.1	20	13.0	1	0.6	2	1.3
	41-50	117	101	86.3	16	13.7	100	85.5	11	9.4	0	0.0	6	5.1
	51-60	36	30	83.3	6	16.7	35	97.2	0	0.0	1	2.8	0	0.0
	61-70	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	512	442	86.3	70	13.7	413	80.7	85	16.6	4	0.8	10	2.0

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	34	25	73.5	9	26.5	32	94.1	1	2.9	0	0.0	1	2.9
	31-40	54	41	75.9	13	24.1	51	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	5.6
	41-50	38	30	78.9	8	21.1	35	92.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	7.9
	51-60	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	23	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	168	131	78.0	37	22.0	160	95.2	1	0.6	0	0.0	7	4.2
TULARE	UNDER 18	56	50	89.3	6	10.7	13	23.2	41	73.2	0	0.0	2	3.6
	18-20	302	287	95.0	15	5.0	64	21.2	232	76.8	3	1.0	3	1.0
	21-30	1304	1209	92.7	95	7.3	256	19.6	1001	76.8	13	1.0	34	2.6
	31-40	839	738	88.0	101	12.0	193	23.0	606	72.2	9	1.1	31	3.7
	41-50	433	380	87.8	53	12.2	139	32.1	278	64.2	5	1.2	11	2.5
	51-60	142	127	89.4	15	10.6	64	45.1	72	50.7	0	0.0	6	4.2
	61-70	39	36	92.3	3	7.7	24	61.5	12	30.8	1	2.6	2	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	3	25.0	7	58.3	1	8.3	1	8.3
TOTAL	3127	2839	90.8	288	9.2	756	24.2	2249	71.9	32	1.0	90	2.9	
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	37	90.2	4	9.8	41	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	107	91	85.0	16	15.0	98	91.6	9	8.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	122	100	82.0	22	18.0	118	96.7	3	2.5	0	0.0	1	0.8
	41-50	107	90	84.1	17	15.9	106	99.1	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0
	51-60	41	37	90.2	4	9.8	41	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	436	372	85.3	64	14.7	421	96.6	13	3.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	
VENTURA	UNDER 18	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	24	61.5	15	38.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	296	250	84.5	46	15.5	131	44.3	153	51.7	4	1.4	8	2.7
	21-30	1633	1416	86.7	217	13.3	615	37.7	938	57.4	43	2.6	37	2.3
	31-40	1177	966	82.1	211	17.9	611	51.9	506	43.0	30	2.5	30	2.5
	41-50	694	575	82.9	119	17.1	434	62.5	217	31.3	23	3.3	20	2.9
	51-60	251	219	87.3	32	12.7	164	65.3	71	28.3	8	3.2	8	3.2
	61-70	62	53	85.5	9	14.5	47	75.8	13	21.0	1	1.6	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	14	82.4	3	17.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	4169	3525	84.6	644	15.4	2040	48.9	1916	46.0	109	2.6	104	2.5	

TABLE B1: 1999 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	8	57.1	4	28.6	0	0.0	2	14.3
	18-20	143	126	88.1	17	11.9	73	51.0	55	38.5	2	1.4	13	9.1
	21-30	466	403	86.5	63	13.5	240	51.5	205	44.0	5	1.1	16	3.4
	31-40	294	240	81.6	54	18.4	185	62.9	92	31.3	8	2.7	9	3.1
	41-50	182	153	84.1	29	15.9	128	70.3	44	24.2	7	3.8	3	1.6
	51-60	88	74	84.1	14	15.9	75	85.2	11	12.5	1	1.1	1	1.1
	61-70	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	13	65.0	5	25.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	1214	1035	85.3	179	14.7	727	59.9	417	34.3	24	2.0	46	3.8
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	18	94.7	1	5.3	12	63.2	4	21.1	2	10.5	1	5.3
	21-30	114	98	86.0	16	14.0	63	55.3	46	40.4	3	2.6	2	1.8
	31-40	101	78	77.2	23	22.8	65	64.4	29	28.7	1	1.0	6	5.9
	41-50	73	59	80.8	14	19.2	57	78.1	8	11.0	5	6.8	3	4.1
	51-60	27	26	96.3	1	3.7	22	81.5	4	14.8	0	0.0	1	3.7
	61-70	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	348	290	83.3	58	16.7	230	66.1	93	26.7	12	3.4	13	3.7

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		139091	100.0	119127	100.0	19964	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	19	0.5	18	0.5	1	0.2
	18-20	172	4.1	152	4.3	20	3.2
	21-30	1352	32.3	1181	33.2	171	27.0
	31-40	1374	32.8	1123	31.6	251	39.6
	41-50	786	18.8	651	18.3	135	21.3
	51-60	354	8.5	311	8.8	43	6.8
	61-70	107	2.6	94	2.6	13	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.5	23	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4187	100.0	3553	100.0	634	100.0
ALPINE	UNDER 18	1	5.9	1	6.7	0	0.0
	21-30	3	17.6	3	20.0	0	0.0
	31-40	6	35.3	6	40.0	0	0.0
	41-50	5	29.4	4	26.7	1	50.0
	51-60	1	5.9	0	0.0	1	50.0
	61-70	1	5.9	1	6.7	0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	100.0	15	100.0	2
AMADOR	UNDER 18	3	1.6	3	1.9	0	0.0
	18-20	10	5.5	9	5.8	1	3.7
	21-30	42	23.1	35	22.6	7	25.9
	31-40	58	31.9	50	32.3	8	29.6
	41-50	46	25.3	38	24.5	8	29.6
	51-60	11	6.0	9	5.8	2	7.4
	61-70	8	4.4	7	4.5	1	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.2	4	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	182	100.0	155	100.0	27	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	8	0.9	8	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	94	10.8	84	11.8	10	6.5
	21-30	295	34.0	245	34.4	50	32.5
	31-40	206	23.8	165	23.1	41	26.6
	41-50	178	20.5	143	20.1	35	22.7
	51-60	52	6.0	40	5.6	12	7.8
	61-70	25	2.9	20	2.8	5	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.0	8	1.1	1	0.6
	TOTAL	867	100.0	713	100.0	154	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	10	4.3	10	4.9	0	0.0
	21-30	42	18.1	38	18.7	4	13.8
	31-40	63	27.2	49	24.1	14	48.3
	41-50	72	31.0	65	32.0	7	24.1
	51-60	29	12.5	25	12.3	4	13.8
	61-70	13	5.6	13	6.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.9	2	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	232	100.0	203	100.0	29	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	28	10.0	27	11.2	1	2.7
	21-30	82	29.4	74	30.6	8	21.6
	31-40	85	30.5	70	28.9	15	40.5
	41-50	53	19.0	43	17.8	10	27.0
	51-60	18	6.5	15	6.2	3	8.1
	61-70	9	3.2	9	3.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.1	3	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	279	100.0	242	100.0	37	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	17	0.5	14	0.5	3	0.6
	18-20	186	5.9	158	6.1	28	5.3
	21-30	1017	32.5	872	33.5	145	27.3
	31-40	938	29.9	756	29.1	182	34.3
	41-50	602	19.2	492	18.9	110	20.7
	51-60	256	8.2	205	7.9	51	9.6
	61-70	91	2.9	80	3.1	11	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.8	24	0.9	1	0.2
		TOTAL	3132	100.0	2601	100.0	531

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	9	4.5	5	3.1	4	9.8
	21-30	52	25.9	43	26.9	9	22.0
	31-40	62	30.8	47	29.4	15	36.6
	41-50	47	23.4	40	25.0	7	17.1
	51-60	24	11.9	19	11.9	5	12.2
	61-70	3	1.5	2	1.2	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.5	3	1.9	0	0.0
TOTAL	201	100.0	160	100.0	41	100.0	
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	6	0.7	5	0.7	1	0.7
	18-20	51	6.2	40	6.0	11	7.4
	21-30	202	24.6	172	25.6	30	20.3
	31-40	245	29.9	196	29.2	49	33.1
	41-50	209	25.5	171	25.4	38	25.7
	51-60	79	9.6	63	9.4	16	10.8
	61-70	23	2.8	21	3.1	2	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.6	4	0.6	1	0.7
TOTAL	820	100.0	672	100.0	148	100.0	
FRESNO	UNDER 18	24	0.6	21	0.6	3	0.6
	18-20	210	5.7	199	6.1	11	2.4
	21-30	1346	36.3	1197	36.9	149	32.0
	31-40	1128	30.4	966	29.8	162	34.8
	41-50	667	18.0	564	17.4	103	22.1
	51-60	232	6.3	202	6.2	30	6.4
	61-70	77	2.1	70	2.2	7	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.6	21	0.6	1	0.2
TOTAL	3706	100.0	3240	100.0	466	100.0	
GLENN	18-20	9	4.8	7	4.4	2	6.9
	21-30	68	36.0	62	38.8	6	20.7
	31-40	53	28.0	40	25.0	13	44.8
	41-50	35	18.5	28	17.5	7	24.1
	51-60	15	7.9	15	9.4	0	0.0
	61-70	6	3.2	5	3.1	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.6	3	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	189	100.0	160	100.0	29	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	49	6.3	40	6.7	9	4.9
	21-30	291	37.2	231	38.6	60	32.6
	31-40	204	26.1	150	25.0	54	29.3
	41-50	157	20.1	108	18.0	49	26.6
	51-60	54	6.9	45	7.5	9	4.9
	61-70	22	2.8	19	3.2	3	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.8	6	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	783	100.0	599	100.0	184	100.0
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	49	6.1	48	6.4	1	1.6
	21-30	222	27.5	205	27.5	17	27.0
	31-40	256	31.7	239	32.1	17	27.0
	41-50	168	20.8	148	19.9	20	31.7
	51-60	72	8.9	67	9.0	5	7.9
	61-70	27	3.3	25	3.4	2	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	1.5	11	1.5	1	1.6
TOTAL	808	100.0	745	100.0	63	100.0	
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	13	7.5	11	8.0	2	5.7
	21-30	46	26.6	35	25.4	11	31.4
	31-40	48	27.7	36	26.1	12	34.3
	41-50	34	19.7	28	20.3	6	17.1
	51-60	26	15.0	23	16.7	3	8.6
	61-70	4	2.3	4	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
TOTAL	173	100.0	138	100.0	35	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
KERN	UNDER 18	25	0.7	21	0.7	4	0.8
	18-20	260	7.1	231	7.3	29	5.8
	21-30	1293	35.1	1158	36.4	135	27.1
	31-40	1165	31.6	962	30.2	203	40.8
	41-50	628	17.1	536	16.8	92	18.5
	51-60	217	5.9	192	6.0	25	5.0
	61-70	73	2.0	66	2.1	7	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.6	19	0.6	3	0.6
	TOTAL	3683	100.0	3185	100.0	498	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	6	0.7	5	0.7	1	1.0
	18-20	59	7.1	55	7.5	4	4.0
	21-30	329	39.6	304	41.6	25	25.3
	31-40	237	28.6	195	26.7	42	42.4
	41-50	124	14.9	107	14.6	17	17.2
	51-60	47	5.7	39	5.3	8	8.1
	61-70	19	2.3	17	2.3	2	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.1	9	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	830	100.0	731	100.0	99	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	16	4.4	14	5.0	2	2.3
	21-30	66	18.0	49	17.6	17	19.3
	31-40	104	28.3	67	24.0	37	42.0
	41-50	107	29.2	83	29.7	24	27.3
	51-60	43	11.7	37	13.3	6	6.8
	61-70	23	6.3	21	7.5	2	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.9	7	2.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	367	100.0	279	100.0	88	100.0
LASSEN	18-20	8	3.9	5	3.1	3	7.3
	21-30	54	26.5	44	27.0	10	24.4
	31-40	67	32.8	54	33.1	13	31.7
	41-50	51	25.0	38	23.3	13	31.7
	51-60	15	7.4	13	8.0	2	4.9
	61-70	8	3.9	8	4.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
		TOTAL	204	100.0	163	100.0	41
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	20	0.1	17	0.1	3	0.1
	18-20	1087	3.2	969	3.3	118	3.0
	21-30	12462	37.2	11136	37.6	1326	34.3
	31-40	11242	33.6	9888	33.4	1354	35.0
	41-50	5889	17.6	5122	17.3	767	19.8
	51-60	1969	5.9	1743	5.9	226	5.8
	61-70	615	1.8	561	1.9	54	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	179	0.5	157	0.5	22	0.6
	TOTAL	33463	100.0	29593	100.0	3870	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	5	1.1	5	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	22	4.8	22	5.4	0	0.0
	21-30	163	35.9	157	38.2	6	14.0
	31-40	138	30.4	123	29.9	15	34.9
	41-50	79	17.4	66	16.1	13	30.2
	51-60	34	7.5	26	6.3	8	18.6
	61-70	11	2.4	10	2.4	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.4	2	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	454	100.0	411	100.0	43	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	54	4.0	45	4.3	9	2.9
	21-30	406	29.7	340	32.1	66	21.5
	31-40	428	31.4	312	29.5	116	37.8
	41-50	276	20.2	202	19.1	74	24.1
	51-60	144	10.5	119	11.2	25	8.1
	61-70	43	3.2	29	2.7	14	4.6
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.8	8	0.8	3	1.0
	TOTAL	1365	100.0	1058	100.0	307	100.0

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIPOSA	18-20	4	5.7	3	4.9	1	11.1
	21-30	14	20.0	12	19.7	2	22.2
	31-40	21	30.0	17	27.9	4	44.4
	41-50	16	22.9	15	24.6	1	11.1
	51-60	9	12.9	9	14.8	0	0.0
	61-70	6	8.6	5	8.2	1	11.1
	TOTAL	70	100.0	61	100.0	9	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	4	0.7	3	0.6	1	0.9
	18-20	26	4.6	19	4.1	7	6.5
	21-30	203	35.6	178	38.4	25	23.1
	31-40	166	29.1	123	26.6	43	39.8
	41-50	113	19.8	92	19.9	21	19.4
	51-60	49	8.6	40	8.6	9	8.3
	61-70	6	1.1	6	1.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.7	2	0.4	2	1.9
	TOTAL	571	100.0	463	100.0	108	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	4	0.4	4	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	55	4.9	48	4.8	7	5.2
	21-30	419	37.2	387	39.0	32	23.7
	31-40	343	30.4	284	28.6	59	43.7
	41-50	201	17.8	173	17.4	28	20.7
	51-60	70	6.2	63	6.4	7	5.2
	61-70	23	2.0	22	2.2	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	12	1.1	11	1.1	1	0.7
	TOTAL	1127	100.0	992	100.0	135	100.0
MODOC	18-20	3	5.5	3	6.5	0	0.0
	21-30	8	14.5	7	15.2	1	11.1
	31-40	18	32.7	14	30.4	4	44.4
	41-50	13	23.6	10	21.7	3	33.3
	51-60	10	18.2	9	19.6	1	11.1
	61-70	3	5.5	3	6.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	55	100.0	46	100.0	9	100.0
MONO	UNDER 18	1	1.4	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	3	4.2	3	4.5	0	0.0
	21-30	23	32.4	22	32.8	1	25.0
	31-40	15	21.1	14	20.9	1	25.0
	41-50	17	23.9	17	25.4	0	0.0
	51-60	9	12.7	8	11.9	1	25.0
	61-70	2	2.8	2	3.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.4	1	1.5	0	0.0
TOTAL	71	100.0	67	100.0	4	100.0	
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	4	0.2	4	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	138	5.9	128	6.2	10	3.7
	21-30	997	42.4	924	44.4	73	27.2
	31-40	659	28.1	560	26.9	99	36.9
	41-50	374	15.9	312	15.0	62	23.1
	51-60	129	5.5	113	5.4	16	6.0
	61-70	33	1.4	26	1.2	7	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.6	14	0.7	1	0.4
TOTAL	2349	100.0	2081	100.0	268	100.0	
NAPA	UNDER 18	4	0.5	4	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	49	5.5	46	6.2	3	2.1
	21-30	331	37.4	285	38.5	46	31.7
	31-40	250	28.2	194	26.2	56	38.6
	41-50	170	19.2	141	19.1	29	20.0
	51-60	56	6.3	48	6.5	8	5.5
	61-70	20	2.3	17	2.3	3	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.6	5	0.7	0	0.0
TOTAL	885	100.0	740	100.0	145	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	5	1.0	4	1.0	1	1.0
	18-20	29	5.9	28	7.1	1	1.0
	21-30	156	31.5	132	33.3	24	24.2
	31-40	127	25.7	97	24.5	30	30.3
	41-50	128	25.9	90	22.7	38	38.4
	51-60	41	8.3	37	9.3	4	4.0
	61-70	5	1.0	5	1.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.8	3	0.8	1	1.0
TOTAL	495	100.0	396	100.0	99	100.0	
ORANGE	UNDER 18	15	0.1	14	0.1	1	0.0
	18-20	456	3.5	378	3.5	78	3.9
	21-30	4950	38.5	4264	39.3	686	34.1
	31-40	4168	32.4	3514	32.4	654	32.6
	41-50	2209	17.2	1790	16.5	419	20.9
	51-60	780	6.1	645	5.9	135	6.7
	61-70	245	1.9	217	2.0	28	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	44	0.3	36	0.3	8	0.4
TOTAL	12867	100.0	10858	100.0	2009	100.0	
PLACER	UNDER 18	13	0.9	11	0.9	2	0.7
	18-20	86	5.8	78	6.5	8	2.8
	21-30	480	32.3	399	33.2	81	28.6
	31-40	448	30.2	346	28.8	102	36.0
	41-50	321	21.6	251	20.9	70	24.7
	51-60	87	5.9	71	5.9	16	5.7
	61-70	39	2.6	35	2.9	4	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.7	10	0.8	0	0.0
TOTAL	1484	100.0	1201	100.0	283	100.0	
PLUMAS	18-20	11	5.9	10	6.7	1	2.6
	21-30	44	23.4	38	25.3	6	15.8
	31-40	35	18.6	24	16.0	11	28.9
	41-50	57	30.3	45	30.0	12	31.6
	51-60	28	14.9	23	15.3	5	13.2
	61-70	12	6.4	9	6.0	3	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
TOTAL	188	100.0	150	100.0	38	100.0	
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	11	0.2	11	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	355	5.5	323	5.8	32	3.6
	21-30	2135	33.0	1895	33.9	240	27.1
	31-40	1973	30.5	1675	30.0	298	33.7
	41-50	1263	19.5	1054	18.9	209	23.6
	51-60	471	7.3	412	7.4	59	6.7
	61-70	203	3.1	169	3.0	34	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	57	0.9	44	0.8	13	1.5
TOTAL	6468	100.0	5583	100.0	885	100.0	
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	19	0.4	19	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	273	5.2	234	5.5	39	3.8
	21-30	1852	35.1	1507	35.4	345	33.9
	31-40	1631	30.9	1275	30.0	356	35.0
	41-50	1003	19.0	810	19.0	193	19.0
	51-60	339	6.4	278	6.5	61	6.0
	61-70	120	2.3	103	2.4	17	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	37	0.7	31	0.7	6	0.6
TOTAL	5274	100.0	4257	100.0	1017	100.0	
SAN BENITO	18-20	16	7.6	14	7.3	2	11.1
	21-30	69	32.9	66	34.4	3	16.7
	31-40	63	30.0	53	27.6	10	55.6
	41-50	45	21.4	43	22.4	2	11.1
	51-60	11	5.2	10	5.2	1	5.6
	61-70	5	2.4	5	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.5	0	0.0
TOTAL	210	100.0	192	100.0	18	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	36	0.6	33	0.6	3	0.4
	18-20	312	4.8	278	4.9	34	4.2
	21-30	2268	35.0	2047	36.1	221	27.3
	31-40	2042	31.5	1735	30.6	307	37.9
	41-50	1189	18.4	1021	18.0	168	20.8
	51-60	443	6.8	386	6.8	57	7.0
	61-70	149	2.3	132	2.3	17	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	38	0.6	36	0.6	2	0.2
	TOTAL	6477	100.0	5668	100.0	809	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	33	0.3	29	0.3	4	0.2
	18-20	564	5.0	476	5.0	88	5.3
	21-30	4232	37.6	3723	38.9	509	30.4
	31-40	3551	31.6	2980	31.1	571	34.1
	41-50	1988	17.7	1636	17.1	352	21.0
	51-60	604	5.4	492	5.1	112	6.7
	61-70	221	2.0	194	2.0	27	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	57	0.5	47	0.5	10	0.6
	TOTAL	11250	100.0	9577	100.0	1673	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	17	2.1	17	2.3	0	0.0
	21-30	286	34.6	239	33.0	47	45.6
	31-40	294	35.6	265	36.6	29	28.2
	41-50	154	18.6	133	18.4	21	20.4
	51-60	54	6.5	49	6.8	5	4.9
	61-70	19	2.3	18	2.5	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	827	100.0	724	100.0	103	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	19	0.6	16	0.6	3	0.6
	18-20	228	7.5	203	8.0	25	5.1
	21-30	959	31.5	819	32.1	140	28.3
	31-40	946	31.1	780	30.6	166	33.6
	41-50	600	19.7	490	19.2	110	22.3
	51-60	191	6.3	159	6.2	32	6.5
	61-70	77	2.5	62	2.4	15	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.7	19	0.7	3	0.6
TOTAL	3042	100.0	2548	100.0	494	100.0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	9	0.6	7	0.6	2	0.7
	18-20	111	7.4	86	7.2	25	8.3
	21-30	579	38.7	478	39.9	101	33.7
	31-40	391	26.1	306	25.5	85	28.3
	41-50	278	18.6	208	17.4	70	23.3
	51-60	96	6.4	84	7.0	12	4.0
	61-70	19	1.3	17	1.4	2	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	15	1.0	12	1.0	3	1.0
TOTAL	1498	100.0	1198	100.0	300	100.0	
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	11	0.4	7	0.3	4	0.8
	18-20	135	4.4	121	4.7	14	2.9
	21-30	1051	34.1	894	34.5	157	32.1
	31-40	950	30.9	801	30.9	149	30.5
	41-50	610	19.8	488	18.8	122	24.9
	51-60	224	7.3	191	7.4	33	6.7
	61-70	71	2.3	64	2.5	7	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.9	24	0.9	3	0.6
TOTAL	3079	100.0	2590	100.0	489	100.0	
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	13	0.6	12	0.6	1	0.3
	18-20	153	6.9	125	6.8	28	7.4
	21-30	890	39.9	760	41.1	130	34.3
	31-40	611	27.4	513	27.7	98	25.9
	41-50	381	17.1	289	15.6	92	24.3
	51-60	124	5.6	105	5.7	19	5.0
	61-70	38	1.7	28	1.5	10	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.8	17	0.9	1	0.3
TOTAL	2228	100.0	1849	100.0	379	100.0	

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	20	0.3	19	0.3	1	0.1
	18-20	261	4.0	224	3.9	37	4.4
	21-30	2536	38.7	2296	40.1	240	28.6
	31-40	2061	31.4	1755	30.7	306	36.5
	41-50	1146	17.5	959	16.8	187	22.3
	51-60	407	6.2	359	6.3	48	5.7
	61-70	110	1.7	94	1.6	16	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.3	16	0.3	4	0.5
	TOTAL	6561	100.0	5722	100.0	839	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	5	0.3	4	0.3	1	0.4
	18-20	111	6.9	94	7.0	17	6.3
	21-30	574	35.7	498	37.3	76	27.9
	31-40	486	30.3	391	29.3	95	34.9
	41-50	294	18.3	237	17.8	57	21.0
	51-60	107	6.7	85	6.4	22	8.1
	61-70	19	1.2	16	1.2	3	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.6	9	0.7	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1606	100.0	1334	100.0	272	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	6	0.7	6	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	64	7.0	51	7.2	13	6.3
	21-30	263	28.6	220	30.9	43	20.9
	31-40	270	29.4	180	25.2	90	43.7
	41-50	200	21.8	150	21.0	50	24.3
	51-60	80	8.7	72	10.1	8	3.9
	61-70	24	2.6	22	3.1	2	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	12	1.3	12	1.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	919	100.0	713	100.0	206	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	1	6.7	1	7.7	0	0.0
	21-30	1	6.7	1	7.7	0	0.0
	31-40	4	26.7	2	15.4	2	100.0
	41-50	8	53.3	8	61.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	6.7	1	7.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	15	100.0	13	100.0	2	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	2	0.6	2	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	19	6.1	17	6.3	2	4.5
	21-30	80	25.6	68	25.4	12	27.3
	31-40	80	25.6	61	22.8	19	43.2
	41-50	85	27.2	76	28.4	9	20.5
	51-60	29	9.3	28	10.4	1	2.3
	61-70	13	4.2	12	4.5	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.3	4	1.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	312	100.0	268	100.0	44	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	96	6.5	91	7.2	5	2.2
	21-30	474	31.9	426	33.8	48	21.2
	31-40	446	30.0	361	28.6	85	37.6
	41-50	299	20.1	238	18.9	61	27.0
	51-60	124	8.3	103	8.2	21	9.3
	61-70	37	2.5	31	2.5	6	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	8	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1487	100.0	1261	100.0	226	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	15	0.7	12	0.7	3	0.8
	18-20	134	6.3	113	6.5	21	5.4
	21-30	732	34.3	658	37.7	74	19.0
	31-40	591	27.7	449	25.7	142	36.4
	41-50	434	20.3	333	19.1	101	25.9
	51-60	176	8.2	140	8.0	36	9.2
	61-70	43	2.0	32	1.8	11	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.5	9	0.5	2	0.5
	TOTAL	2136	100.0	1746	100.0	390	100.0

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	20	1.1	18	1.2	2	0.8
	18-20	129	7.2	112	7.3	17	6.5
	21-30	610	34.1	539	35.3	71	27.2
	31-40	553	30.9	458	30.0	95	36.4
	41-50	304	17.0	244	16.0	60	23.0
	51-60	107	6.0	97	6.3	10	3.8
	61-70	44	2.5	40	2.6	4	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	23	1.3	21	1.4	2	0.8
	TOTAL	1790	100.0	1529	100.0	261	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	36	9.5	33	10.3	3	5.4
	21-30	131	34.7	114	35.5	17	30.4
	31-40	97	25.7	81	25.2	16	28.6
	41-50	66	17.5	51	15.9	15	26.8
	51-60	30	8.0	26	8.1	4	7.1
	61-70	8	2.1	8	2.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.9	6	1.9	1	1.8
	TOTAL	377	100.0	321	100.0	56	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	3	0.8	2	0.7	1	1.4
	18-20	27	7.2	24	8.0	3	4.1
	21-30	96	25.7	86	28.7	10	13.7
	31-40	104	27.9	85	28.3	19	26.0
	41-50	83	22.3	57	19.0	26	35.6
	51-60	43	11.5	34	11.3	9	12.3
	61-70	14	3.8	9	3.0	5	6.8
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.8	3	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	373	100.0	300	100.0	73	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	1	0.9	1	1.1	0	0.0
	21-30	21	19.6	19	20.0	2	16.7
	31-40	33	30.8	29	30.5	4	33.3
	41-50	38	35.5	34	35.8	4	33.3
	51-60	10	9.3	8	8.4	2	16.7
	61-70	3	2.8	3	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.9	1	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	107	100.0	95	100.0	12	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	9	0.4	7	0.4	2	0.9
	18-20	180	8.3	164	8.5	16	7.0
	21-30	854	39.6	779	40.4	75	32.6
	31-40	602	27.9	525	27.3	77	33.5
	41-50	367	17.0	323	16.8	44	19.1
	51-60	109	5.1	99	5.1	10	4.3
	61-70	26	1.2	22	1.1	4	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	7	0.4	2	0.9
	TOTAL	2156	100.0	1926	100.0	230	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	1.0	2	0.8	1	1.8
	18-20	17	5.5	16	6.3	1	1.8
	21-30	74	23.9	60	23.8	14	24.6
	31-40	100	32.4	80	31.7	20	35.1
	41-50	72	23.3	58	23.0	14	24.6
	51-60	32	10.4	27	10.7	5	8.8
	61-70	8	2.6	7	2.8	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.0	2	0.8	1	1.8
	TOTAL	309	100.0	252	100.0	57	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	7	0.2	3	0.1	4	0.7
	18-20	194	5.5	172	5.8	22	3.9
	21-30	1410	39.8	1230	41.2	180	32.1
	31-40	1027	29.0	843	28.3	184	32.9
	41-50	597	16.9	474	15.9	123	22.0
	51-60	226	6.4	188	6.3	38	6.8
	61-70	65	1.8	59	2.0	6	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	14	0.5	3	0.5
	TOTAL	3543	100.0	2983	100.0	560	100.0

TABLE B2: 1998 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	57	8.8	50	9.0	7	7.6
	21-30	234	36.2	217	39.2	17	18.5
	31-40	177	27.4	147	26.5	30	32.6
	41-50	131	20.3	99	17.9	32	34.8
	51-60	30	4.6	25	4.5	5	5.4
	61-70	11	1.7	10	1.8	1	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.8	5	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	646	100.0	554	100.0	92	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	0.7	2	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	15	5.1	13	5.1	2	4.5
	21-30	97	32.7	85	33.6	12	27.3
	31-40	76	25.6	66	26.1	10	22.7
	41-50	74	24.9	55	21.7	19	43.2
	51-60	22	7.4	22	8.7	0	0.0
	61-70	11	3.7	10	4.0	1	2.3
	TOTAL	297	100.0	253	100.0	44	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	73.9%		136264	2827	14603	3557	3915	422/122	2.9	2.8
ALAMEDA	67.2%	SUP OAKLAND	55	6	0	0	4	0/0	5.1	3.0
		JUV SN LEANDRO	31	0	5	2	2	0/0	3.0	3.3
		ALAMEDA	171	2	35	17	5	1/0	2.1	2.2
		BERKELEY	167	2	12	4	5	0/0	2.8	2.3
		FREMONT	822	4	38	26	23	1/2	2.9	3.5
		PLEASANTON	768	3	72	34	52	0/2	3.3	2.4
		OAKLAND	804	5	127	26	22	0/1	3.7	1.9
		HAYWARD	1341	6	85	23	97	0/2	3.6	2.4
TOTAL	4159	28	374	132	210	2/7	---	---		
ALPINE	60.7%	SUP MRKLEVILLE	16	0	4	1	0	0/0	5.1	0.7
		MARKLEEVILLE	1	0	1	0	1	0/0	8.7	1.4
		TOTAL	17	0	5	1	1	0/0	---	---
AMADOR	83.1%	JUV AMADOR	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.3	6.0
		JACKSON	176	2	16	6	2	0/0	2.1	3.0
		TOTAL	180	2	16	6	2	0/0	---	---
BUTTE	77.6%	SUP OROVILLE	17	15	0	3	1	0/0	3.7	3.5
		JUV OROVILLE	9	4	0	1	1	0/0	3.8	1.4
		CHICO	574	0	122	28	19	8/1	3.1	4.5
		GRIDLEY	25	0	0	1	0	1/0	2.9	4.4
		OROVILLE	209	8	2	23	5	3/0	3.9	2.3
		PARADISE	6	0	0	1	0	4/0	3.4	0.7
		TOTAL	840	27	124	57	26	16/1	---	---
CALAVERAS	72.7%	SUP CALAVERAS	5	4	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	1.4
		JUV CALAVERAS	2	0	1	0	0	0/0	2.9	12.6
		SAN ANDREAS	216	5	12	16	7	5/0	2.4	1.7
		TOTAL	223	9	13	16	7	5/0	---	---
COLUSA	72.5%	SUP COLUSA	1	5	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	10.7
		JUV COLUSA	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.8	2.3
		COLUSA	269	0	63	7	5	0/0	2.5	1.6
		TOTAL	274	5	63	7	5	0/0	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	72.8%	SUP C COSTA	41	59	1	1	2	0/0	6.9	2.2
		JUV C COSTA	39	3	2	2	1	0/0	2.7	2.3
		JUV CC WEST	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.6	0.7
		CONCORD	760	6	88	8	14	0/3	4.1	3.5
		RICHMOND	674	7	127	13	9	5/2	4.1	2.6
		PITTSBURG	744	11	72	10	17	0/3	4.9	3.0
		WALNUT CREEK	785	2	142	30	6	0/2	4.4	2.5
		TOTAL	3044	88	432	64	49	5/10	---	---
DEL NORTE	68.6%	SUP DEL NORTE	1	5	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	3.8
		CRESCENT CITY	191	4	49	4	7	5/0	3.0	2.9
		TOTAL	192	9	49	4	7	5/0	---	---

¹These counts are not due to an increase in dismissals but reflect a change in data source; these data were derived from DMV's semi-annual DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary report produced by the Justice and Government Liaison Branch.

²These 1998 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The courts reported here are those of the current DUI conviction and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EL DORADO	78.0%	SUP EL DORADO	129	4	6	0	0	0/0	5.3	8.5
		SUPIUV PLCRVLE	2	1	0	0	0	0/0	1.3	1.5
		CAMERON PARK	50	1	1	1	0	0/0	2.3	9.0
		PLACERVILLE	1	0	0	0	2	0/0	4.7	12.8
		SO LAKE TAHOE	180	8	16	0	2	1/0	2.7	6.8
		PLACERVILLE	423	21	44	3	0	2/0	2.6	6.5
		TOTAL	785	35	67	4	4	3/0	---	---
FRESNO	56.5%	SUP FRESNO	19	41	0	1	1	0/0	6.6	4.2
		JUV FRESNO	41	5	0	1	0	0/0	4.5	2.4
		FRESNO	2157	45	734	48	34	35/1	4.4	4.9
		CLOVIS	276	0	84	2	5	2/1	3.8	6.1
		COALINGA	124	2	11	2	1	0/0	2.8	5.8
		FIREBAUGH	155	4	47	1	5	1/0	3.2	6.2
		FOWLER	187	4	45	2	1	0/1	4.4	5.6
		KERMAN	97	6	30	0	3	0/0	5.2	4.1
		KINGSBURG	77	2	18	0	2	0/0	4.0	5.4
		REEDLEY MUNI	194	4	38	1	5	1/1	2.5	6.2
		RIVERDALE	18	3	9	0	1	0/0	4.1	3.3
		SANGER	110	3	14	0	2	0/0	2.8	7.1
		SELMA MUNI	131	0	27	6	2	0/0	4.4	2.5
		US CT FRESNO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	8.6	6.4
TOTAL	3587	119	1057	64	62	39/4	---	---		
GLENN	81.1%	SUP WILLOWS	3	2	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	13.0
		JUV GLENN	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.9	2.7
		ORLAND	90	4	10	6	1	1/0	2.6	1.6
		WILLOWS	83	4	10	2	2	2/0	2.3	1.9
		TOTAL	179	10	20	8	3	3/0	---	---
HUMBOLDT	57.6%	SUPIUV HMBLDT	710	26	156	28	15	0/1	2.9	3.3
		EUREKA	1	0	0	0	0	1/0	1.7	12.2
		GARBRVLE SUPMUN	43	0	13	3	2	0/0	3.3	3.1
		HOOPA SUPMUN	3	0	0	0	0	1/0	7.9	4.0
TOTAL	757	26	169	31	17	2/1	---	---		
IMPERIAL	48.7%	SUP IMPERIAL	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	1.6
		JUV IMPERIAL	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.5	9.4
		BRAWLEY	143	1	14	7	5	2/0	4.6	1.5
		CALEXICO	418	1	65	18	5	21/1	5.6	0.7
		WINTER HAVN MUN	0	0	0	0	0	2/0	0.0	0.0
		EL CENTRO	230	3	38	38	11	5/0	5.1	1.7
		TOTAL	803	5	117	63	21	30/1	---	---
INYO	66.8%	SUP INYO	4	4	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	4.1
		BISHOP	160	3	36	4	3	0/0	3.3	2.5
		INDEPENDENCE	2	0	1	0	0	0/0	4.9	11.7
		TOTAL	166	7	37	4	3	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN	80.2%	SUP KERN	79	25	1	0	3	0/0	2.7	1.6
		JUV KERN	47	1	8	0	0	0/0	2.4	1.3
		ARVIN LAMONT	267	5	24	2	5	0/0	2.3	3.4
		BAKERSFIELD	1877	19	203	5	26	2/4	2.0	3.9
		DELANO	337	9	19	3	4	4/0	2.4	3.9
		LAKE ISABELLA	98	1	1	5	1	0/0	1.8	2.7
		WASCO MUNI	0	0	0	0	0	2/0	0.0	0.0
		TAFT	161	1	32	2	4	0/0	1.8	4.0
		SHAFTER	265	8	31	11	13	2/0	1.7	3.3
		MOJAVE	264	8	79	17	14	0/0	2.1	2.7
		RIDGECREST	207	4	17	2	8	3/0	1.7	2.9
TOTAL	3602	81	415	47	78	13/0	---	---		
KINGS	83.3%	SUP KINGS	21	7	0	0	0	0/0	2.6	0.9
		JUV HANFORD	7	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	0.7
		HANFORD	487	2	39	3	6	0/0	2.1	2.6
		AVENAL	59	1	3	0	1	0/0	2.6	2.3
		CORCORAN	57	0	1	0	1	0/0	3.2	3.0
		LEMOORE	187	2	18	1	2	0/0	2.8	1.9
		TOTAL	818	12	61	4	10	0/0	---	---
LAKE	70.3%	SUP LAKE	2	2	0	0	0	1/0	8.8	1.8
		JUV LAKEPORT	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	27.0
		CLEARLAKE	162	5	18	4	6	5/0	4.0	7.0
		LAKEPORT	188	7	23	2	5	0/0	3.6	6.4
		TOTAL	353	14	41	6	11	6/0	---	---
LASSEN	81.9%	SUP LASSEN	1	6	0	0	1	0/0	9.2	1.3
		JUV LASSEN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.1	3.1
		SUSANVILLE	190	6	0	10	5	2/0	4.3	5.3
		TOTAL	192	12	0	10	6	2/0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	73.5%	SUP LA	130	42	0	0	3	0/0	3.7	1.3
		SUP POMONA	89	27	0	0	2	0/0	3.0	0.8
		SUP LANCSTR	25	15	0	0	1	0/0	4.8	0.7
		SUP VAN NUYS	86	18	5	0	3	0/0	3.4	1.0
		SUP PASADENA	47	12	0	1	1	0/0	3.8	0.9
		SUP VAN NUYS	50	12	0	0	0	0/0	2.8	1.0
		SUP LONG BEACH	34	11	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	1.8
		SUP COMPTON	23	3	0	0	1	0/0	3.8	0.8
		SUP NORWALK	81	29	0	0	3	0/0	4.7	1.7
		SUP TORRANCE	29	8	0	0	0	0/0	3.6	1.9
		SUP SANTA MONCA	24	15	0	0	0	0/0	5.5	2.0
		JUV LA	5	0	0	0	2	0/0	6.0	3.9
		LA JUV CENTRAL	9	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	2.7
		LA MUNI	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	16.5
		ALHAMBRA	669	5	113	6	18	0/1	2.7	2.8
		LANCASTER	757	2	146	9	19	0/0	2.2	1.8

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES cont.		BEVERLY HILLS	240	6	19	5	6	1/0	3.4	1.6
		BURBANK	307	1	37	4	4	1/0	2.4	2.6
		WEST COVINA	2014	20	98	7	45	0/0	2.8	2.1
		COMPTON	1566	14	176	82	28	0/1	3.0	2.7
		CULVER CITY	140	2	14	1	8	0/0	2.9	2.3
		DOWNEY	808	2	43	4	14	2/1	2.7	3.9
		EAST LA	1238	7	128	12	35	1/0	3.7	1.6
		EL MONTE	1092	15	37	4	23	0/0	2.6	2.3
		GLENDALE	574	5	53	9	13	0/0	2.7	3.0
		INGLEWOOD	941	13	149	30	29	1/3	2.6	2.3
		LONG BEACH	1314	3	221	82	41	1/3	2.0	2.8
		LA METRO	7648	14	871	22	722	1/2	1.3	1.8
		BELLFLOWER	381	2	20	4	7	0/1	2.9	2.8
		VALENCIA	821	6	127	32	10	3/2	2.2	2.6
		PASADENA	602	1	125	37	21	0/0	3.0	1.6
		MALIBU	359	4	99	21	8	0/2	3.9	2.4
		CALABASAS MUNI	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---
		POMONA	909	11	52	8	19	0/0	2.6	2.0
		HUNTINGTON PARK	613	6	12	1	10	0/0	2.5	1.6
		MONROVIA	403	0	76	12	7	0/0	2.6	2.7
		SANTA MONICA	295	1	123	28	11	0/0	2.6	1.6
		TORRANCE	1184	6	410	47	22	0/4	2.8	1.6
		SOUTH GATE	561	2	14	6	17	1/0	2.7	2.0
		WHITTIER	854	10	41	4	21	1/0	3.4	3.1
		HOLLYWOOD	311	1	48	5	16	0/0	1.6	1.6
		SAN FERNANDO	1934	11	307	10	72	0/1	1.5	2.1
		SAN PEDRO	479	0	52	5	22	0/2	1.6	2.2
	VAN NUYS	2667	24	466	14	423	0/1	1.7	2.3	
	LOS ANGELES	745	5	167	10	102	0/0	2.3	2.6	
	AVALON	10	0	2	0	0	0/0	2.5	1.7	
	TOTAL	33071	392	4251	522	1810	13/24	---	---	
MADERA	62.7%	SUP MADERA	12	10	0	0	2	0/0	2.8	3.2
		JUV MADERA	5	0	3	0	0	0/0	2.1	1.4
		CHOWCHILLA	195	3	13	15	4	0/0	4.0	2.0
		BORDEN	54	2	12	4	2	5/0	3.2	2.2
		MADERA	99	4	7	6	2	0/0	3.2	4.2
		BASS LAKE	66	4	21	3	1	0/0	2.9	2.8
		TOTAL	431	23	56	28	11	5/0	---	---
MARIN	83.5%	SAN RAFAEL	1355	10	0	0	33	2/3	3.1	3.8
		TOTAL	1355	10	0	0	33	2/3	---	---
MARIPOSA	47.3%	SUP MARIPOSA	2	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.5	0.8
		MARIPOSA	56	0	9	5	1	0/0	3.1	2.3
		USMAG YOSEMITE	11	0	12	0	0	0/0	2.3	2.6
		TOTAL	69	1	21	5	1	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MENDOCINO	73.1%	SUP UKIAH	27	14	1	3	0	0/0	3.8	7.5
		JUV UKIAH	8	1	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	5.1
		WILLITS	80	5	40	4	5	2/0	3.0	6.3
		UKIAH	287	6	52	13	3	1/1	2.4	7.5
		BOONVILLE	8	0	2	0	0	0/0	1.9	9.0
		PT. ARENA	13	0	4	0	0	0/0	2.0	6.3
		LEGGETT	25	2	17	0	1	0/0	2.9	5.4
		COVELO	4	0	3	0	0	0/0	1.6	0.3
		FORT BRAGG	89	2	24	1	0	0/0	2.5	6.2
TOTAL	541	30	143	21	9	3/1	---	---		
MERCED	59.3%	SUP MERCED	39	25	3	0	5	0/0	4.1	2.3
		JUV MERCED	5	0	2	0	2	0/0	3.6	6.4
		MERCED	749	10	146	38	27	3/0	4.6	3.7
		LOS BANOS	294	5	59	11	9	5/1	3.6	6.2
		TOTAL	1087	40	210	49	43	8/1	---	---
MODOC	67.1%	SUP MODOC	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	13.3
		ALTURAS	54	0	6	1	0	0/0	2.7	3.0
		TOTAL	55	0	6	1	0	0/0	---	---
MONO	74.0%	SUP MONO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	0.7
		BRIDGEPORT	20	2	4	0	0	0/0	1.9	2.8
		MAMMOTH LAKES	47	1	14	0	2	1/0	2.2	3.5
		TOTAL	68	3	18	0	2	1/0	---	---
MONTEREY	75.0%	SUP MONTEREY	39	53	0	0	0	0/1	2.7	2.3
		JUV SALINAS	6	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	2.3
		MARINA MUNI	572	2	35	35	7	1/1	2.1	2.9
		SALINAS	1309	13	173	34	11	0/0	1.2	2.2
		KING CITY	355	0	53	1	4	0/0	1.5	5.0
		TOTAL	2281	68	261	70	22	1/2	---	---
NAPA	82.7%	NAPA SUP	23	19	1	0	1	0/0	5.1	3.1
		NAPA JUV	3	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	5.0
		NAPA MUNI	831	8	69	13	9	6/0	2.7	2.0
		TOTAL	857	28	70	13	10	6/0	---	---
NEVADA	74.0%	NEVADA COURTS	10	8	1	0	1	0/0	3.7	2.5
		NEVADA CITY MUN	273	5	84	9	1	1/0	2.0	5.5
		TRUCKEE MUNI	195	4	56	3	1	0/0	2.1	5.8
		TOTAL	478	17	141	12	3	1/0	---	---
ORANGE	87.8%	SUP SANTA ANA	190	40	0	0	6	0/1	4.1	2.6
		JUV ORANGE	45	0	4	0	0	0/0	3.7	2.3
		FULLERTON	3202	33	57	52	31	6/3	2.6	2.7
		WESTMINSTER	2584	50	95	15	23	23/1	3.1	1.9
		LAGUNA HILLS	1863	21	144	15	19	30/0	4.0	1.3
		LAGUNA NIGUEL	0	0	0	0	0	39/0	0.0	0.0
		NEWPORT BEACH	1931	13	133	12	16	3/0	2.6	2.4
		SANTA ANA MUNI	2878	17	62	24	27	0/1	3.3	2.7
		TOTAL	12693	174	495	118	122	101/6	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
PLACER	84.9%	SUP AUBURN	634	12	62	10	7	0/0	3.0	3.2
		JUV AUBURN	10	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	1.2
		JUV TAHOE VSTA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	0.7
		AUBURN SUPMUNI	508	6	44	6	3	1/0	2.0	2.5
		FOREST HILL	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		ROSEVILLE SUPMU	0	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		TAHOE CITY	303	10	17	4	3	2/0	3.1	1.9
		TOTAL	1456	28	124	20	13	3/0	---	---
PLUMAS	72.6%	PORTOLA	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.0	15.1
		QUINCY	178	5	38	5	1	0/0	2.4	7.8
		TOTAL	183	5	38	5	1	0/0	---	---
RIVERSIDE	72.9%	SUP RIVERSIDE	4225	126	36	123	66	0/1	3.4	3.0
		SUP INDIO	1867	50	126	90	56	0/2	3.8	1.6
		JUV INDIO	3	0	1	0	0	0/0	2.7	2.6
		CORONA	4	1	0	0	2	0/0	11.5	7.3
		HEMET MUNI	16	2	0	0	0	0/0	11.2	6.3
		BANNING	26	0	0	0	2	0/0	5.0	7.7
		INDIO CONSOLID	23	0	1	1	3	0/0	17.1	5.2
		MORENO VLY RIV	52	1	0	2	8	0/0	11.8	11.2
		PALM SPRINGS	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		BLYTHE SUPMUN	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	6.0
		PERRIS CNSOLID	65	1	4	0	2	0/0	5.7	11.8
		TEMECULA MUNI	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	---	---
		TOTAL	6287	181	168	216	141	0/3	---	---
SACRAMENTO	68.4%	SUP SACRAMENTO	167	45	4	4	4	0/0	3.9	1.8
		JUV SACTO TRF	28	2	1	1	2	0/0	2.7	2.7
		SACRAMENTO	4674	118	154	890	46	7/3	3.1	2.4
		ELK GROVE	117	0	23	22	10	0/0	2.4	5.2
		GALT	74	0	10	4	3	1/1	3.0	5.6
		WALNUT GROVE	32	0	6	0	0	0/0	2.9	4.6
		SACTO US MAG	17	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.9	4.8
		TOTAL	5109	165	198	921	65	8/4	---	---
SAN BENITO	82.0%	SUP SAN BENITO	3	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	6.6
		JUV HOLLISTER	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.1	4.4
		HOLLISTER	204	1	30	4	4	0/1	2.6	6.7
		TOTAL	208	2	30	4	4	0/1	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	62.9%	SAN BERN SUPMUN	1596	52	147	16	89	0/2	3.9	1.1
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	1693	44	105	48	60	0/1	3.4	1.0
		VCTRVLE SUPMUN	419	29	97	22	39	0/0	4.5	1.6
		BARSTOW SUPMUN	142	18	10	7	11	0/1	4.2	1.1
		JOSHTRREE SUPMUN	234	10	45	9	9	0/0	3.2	1.3
		JUV TF SAN BERNO	48	0	1	0	1	0/0	2.2	3.6
		JUV SAN BERND0	3	2	0	0	1	0/0	2.1	1.9
		CHINO SUPMUN	394	11	7	5	8	0/1	3.5	1.4

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO cont.		RNCHO CUCMNGA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		BARSTOW SUPMUN	22	3	1	0	6	0/0	7.7	4.9
		REDLND S SUPMUN	422	9	68	5	23	0/0	4.3	1.2
		SAN BERN SUPMUN	45	2	6	2	9	0/0	11.9	3.1
		FONTANA SUPMUN	947	43	43	15	26	0/2	3.8	1.0
		VCTRVL SUPMUN	101	1	6	0	18	0/0	7.6	8.4
		RNCHO CUCMNGA	20	1	3	0	7	0/0	14.8	2.5
		BIGBEAR LK SPMU	26	1	4	1	1	0/1	5.0	3.4
		TWNPEKS SUPMUN	21	0	4	0	1	0/0	6.4	4.8
		NEEDLES SUPMUN	91	1	35	4	13	0/0	5.3	1.0
		JOSHTR E SUPMUN	24	0	7	1	0	0/1	4.2	16.8
		USMG YUCCAVLLY	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	1.3
		TOTAL	6250	227	589	135	322	0/9	---	---
SAN DIEGO	78.9%	SUP SAN DIEGO	125	17	1	0	0	0/0	3.3	5.3
		SUP VISTA	26	2	1	0	0	0/0	3.2	15.9
		SUP CHULA VSTA	68	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.1	4.9
		SUP EL CAJON	44	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	4.7
		JUV SAN DIEGO	88	3	0	0	5	0/0	3.7	3.3
		EL CAJON	1728	27	313	41	29	1/0	3.5	5.0
		VISTA	3564	0	40	4	3	4/5	2.1	3.9
		SAN MARCOS	3	0	1	0	5	0/0	3.0	12.0
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	3930	5	263	59	116	2/2	3.5	3.7
		CHULA VISTA	1593	25	76	12	15	1/0	3.2	4.5
		US CT SN DIEGO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	1.6
		TOTAL	11170	80	695	116	173	8/7	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	57.2%	SUP SAN FRAN	0	4	0	0	0	0/0	11.3	1.8
		JUV SAN FRAN	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.6	3.1
		SAN FRANCISCO	802	10	131	78	6	0/0	3.2	1.7
		US DIST CT SF	7	0	1	0	0	0/0	9.8	3.5
		TOTAL	813	14	132	78	6	0/0	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	75.5%	SUP FRNCH CAMP	38	9	0	0	1	0/0	3.8	2.9
		JUV FRNCH CAMP	11	5	0	0	1	0/0	6.0	2.9
		LODI	540	11	94	6	4	0/2	2.1	2.2
		MANTECA	501	20	90	12	7	0/1	2.1	1.9
		TRACY	282	7	33	8	1	0/0	2.6	1.8
		STCKTON SUPMUN	1574	44	121	54	15	2/1	2.2	2.0
TOTAL	2946	96	338	80	29	2/4	---	---		
SAN LUIS OBISPO	72.5%	SUP SL OBISPO	18	16	1	0	0	0/0	2.5	2.1
		JUV SL OBISPO	13	0	4	0	0	0/0	2.5	1.0
		SL OBSPO SUPMUN	1444	7	321	39	70	14/1	2.2	5.3
		GROVER BEACH	0	0	0	0	0	6/0	0.0	0.0
		PASO ROBLES SPMN	0	0	0	0	0	2/0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1475	23	326	39	70	22/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	79.3%	RDWDCTY SUPMUN	80	13	1	0	3	0/0	5.4	1.9
		SNMATEO SUPMUN	22	1	0	0	1	0/0	2.8	2.6
		SNMATEO SUPMUN	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	---	---
		SO SF SUPMUN	1709	16	204	6	12	0/0	2.7	2.7
		REDWOOD CITY	1228	10	191	6	17	2/0	2.5	2.9
		TOTAL	3039	40	396	12	35	2/0	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	82.8%	SUP SNTA BARBARA	19	2	1	0	0	0/0	4.6	2.0
		SUP SNTA MARIA	35	3	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	1.9
		JUV SNTA BARBARA	10	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.5	4.5
		JUV LOMPOC	12	1	0	1	1	0/0	1.6	2.1
		SANTA BARBARA	1258	18	231	40	32	10/1	2.3	4.0
		SANTA MARIA	566	4	51	8	5	5/0	1.7	3.8
		LOMPOC	297	3	33	0	9	0/1	2.1	4.5
		TOTAL	2197	31	316	49	48	15/2	---	---
SANTA CLARA	83.9%	SUP SANTA CLARA	147	107	0	0	36	0/0	4.5	3.4
		JUV SANTA CLARA	51	2	2	1	3	0/0	3.5	3.9
		PALO ALTO MUNI	511	3	67	10	4	0/0	3.4	1.1
		SAN JOSE	4453	71	428	124	54	1/0	3.0	2.3
		TRAF SAN JOSE	2	1	0	0	2	0/0	1.7	2.1
		SANTA CLARA MUN	0	0	0	1	0	0/0	---	---
		SUNNYVALE	580	6	65	9	1	0/1	3.9	1.3
		GILROY	617	10	42	10	13	0/1	3.4	1.5
		TOTAL	6361	200	604	155	113	1/2	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	74.4%	JUV SANTA CRUZ	14	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.0	2.2
		SANTA CRUZ	1093	24	227	14	28	1/4	2.1	4.5
		WATSONVILLE	472	3	38	5	6	0/3	1.8	5.0
		TOTAL	1579	27	266	19	34	1/7	---	---
SHASTA	79.7%	SUP REDDING	2	1	1	0	0	0/0	2.0	0.3
		JUV SHASTA	11	8	1	2	0	0/0	4.5	3.3
		ANDERSON MUNI	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	7.5	0.0
		BURNEY	38	0	7	2	1	0/0	3.1	4.1
		REDDING	816	43	115	19	9	1/0	2.7	3.3
		REDDING USMG	0	0	0	0	0	2/0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	867	52	124	23	12	3/0	---	---
SIERRA	45.5%	DOWNIEVILLE	15	0	3	3	0	1/0	2.0	2.6
		TOTAL	15	0	3	3	0	1/0	---	---
SISKIYOU	77.4%	SUP SISKIYOU	4	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.3	1.2
		WEED	143	0	22	3	5	0/0	2.8	5.3
		YREKA JUD DIST	144	20	15	6	4	4/0	2.7	5.3
		TOTAL	291	21	37	9	9	4/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SOLANO	80.2%	SUP SOLANO	27	15	0	0	1	0/0	3.2	3.8
		JUV SOLANO	22	0	1	0	1	0/0	6.4	2.5
		FAIRFIELD	987	10	147	36	8	19/1	2.1	3.2
		BENICIA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	2.1
		VLEJO BENICIA	418	3	77	7	11	7/0	2.7	3.8
		VALLEJO	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	2.5
		TOTAL	1459	28	225	43	21	26/1	---	---
SONOMA	70.3%	SUP SONOMA	3	56	0	0	3	0/0	5.4	3.4
		JUV SONOMA	31	2	0	0	2	0/0	2.8	1.8
		SANTA ROSA	1983	61	514	48	36	4/2	2.8	4.7
		TOTAL	2017	119	514	48	41	4/2	---	---
STANISLAUS	65.3%	SUP STANISLAUS	909	19	143	79	12	0/0	3.9	2.9
		JUV STANISLAUS	38	2	0	1	0	0/0	2.7	5.1
		MODESTO	618	24	92	7	5	1/2	1.8	1.8
		TURLOCK	177	3	30	17	4	0/0	2.5	2.0
		TOTAL	1742	48	265	104	21	1/2	---	---
SUTTER	43.2%	YUBA CTY SUPMUN	5	7	4	0	0	0/0	2.7	1.3
		YUBA CTY JUV	2	0	1	0	0	0/0	1.0	4.2
		YUBA CTY SUPMUN	361	2	105	12	5	1/3	2.1	3.5
		TOTAL	368	9	110	12	5	1/3	---	---
TEHAMA	81.8%	SUP TEHAMA	8	9	1	0	0	0/0	5.0	0.4
		JUV TEHAMA	12	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.4	2.2
		CORNING	128	1	21	4	4	0/0	2.7	5.3
		RED BLUFF	214	1	37	2	3	0/0	1.9	2.0
		TOTAL	362	11	59	6	7	0/0	---	---
TRINITY	40.5%	SUP TRINITY	31	4	2	4	0	0/0	4.8	3.8
		JUV TRINITY	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	12.8	2.2
		HAYFORK	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	1.1
		WEAVERVILLE	67	3	21	3	5	0/0	2.4	3.7
		TOTAL	100	7	23	7	5	0/0	---	---
TULARE	64.1%	SUP TULARE	30	17	0	0	1	0/0	1.2	3.4
		JUV TULARE	23	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.4	3.9
		DINUBA MUNI	246	2	16	3	4	0/0	2.2	3.3
		EXETER MUNI	48	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.8	1.9
		LINDSY EXETER	23	0	1	0	1	2/0	1.5	3.8
		PORTERVILLE	624	13	3	18	9	2/1	2.8	2.3
		TULARE	397	3	15	0	4	1/1	3.0	2.0
		VISALIA	703	19	15	15	10	1/1	2.7	3.3
		WOODLAKE EXETR	7	0	1	0	0	0/0	1.8	4.9
		TOTAL	2101	55	51	36	30	6/3	---	---
TUOLUMNE	87.5%	SUP SONORA	15	7	1	0	0	0/0	4.5	4.5
		JUV SONORA	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	3.8
		SONORA MUNI	276	6	31	3	3	8/0	2.6	1.4
		TOTAL	296	13	32	3	3	8/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1998 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ²	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
VENTURA	86.0%	SUP VENTURA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		JUV VENTURA	10	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	3.2
		VENTURA	3483	49	0	4	110	33/1	2.5	3.1
		TOTAL	3494	49	0	4	110	33/1	---	---
YOLO	61.5%	SUP WOODLAND	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	1.8	2.0
		WOODLND SUPMUN	623	14	136	30	5	0/0	4.0	2.4
		WOODLAND	8	0	1	0	0	0/2	2.2	0.7
		TOTAL	631	15	137	30	5	0/2	---	---
YUBA	75.6%	SUP YUBA	2	2	0	0	1	0/0	2.5	2.3
		JUV YUBA	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	4.2
		MARYSVILLE	281	4	71	13	3	1/1	2.7	3.8
		TC BEALE AFB	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.5	4.1
		TOTAL	291	6	71	13	4	1/1	---	---

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			139091	96.5	75.2	66.0	19.1	0.1	48.6	5.4	6.3
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	15.4	0.0	7.7
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	31	54.8	96.8	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	68.9	96.7	0.0	8.2	1.6	3.3	0.0	1.6
	JUV SAN LEANDRO	1ST DUI	31	96.8	3.2	74.2	0.0	0.0	6.5	80.6	0.0
		TOTAL	31	96.8	3.2	74.2	0.0	0.0	6.5	80.6	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	132	100.0	99.2	92.4	2.3	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	100.0	97.2	5.6	83.3	0.0	86.1	2.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	173	100.0	98.8	71.7	20.8	0.0	23.1	4.6	0.0
	BERKELEY	1ST DUI	126	100.0	99.2	88.1	1.6	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	100.0	16.2	70.3	0.0	73.0	0.0	2.7
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	169	100.0	99.4	69.2	18.3	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.6
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	591	99.8	98.3	88.5	4.1	0.0	7.6	0.3	0.3
		2ND DUI	191	100.0	99.0	7.9	79.1	0.0	62.8	0.0	13.6
		3RD DUI	41	100.0	100.0	0.0	56.1	0.0	17.1	2.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	826	99.9	98.5	65.3	24.2	0.0	20.8	0.4	3.4
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	571	100.0	98.4	90.4	2.1	0.0	79.0	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	161	100.0	99.4	4.3	91.3	0.6	95.7	0.6	6.2
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	96.9	9.4	71.9	0.0	34.4	28.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	771	99.9	98.6	68.2	24.1	0.1	79.9	3.0	1.3
	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	592	99.5	99.3	90.4	3.5	0.0	16.6	1.7	1.5
		2ND DUI	177	99.4	98.3	25.4	66.7	0.0	77.4	1.7	59.9
		3RD DUI	34	100.0	97.1	14.7	76.5	0.0	88.2	5.9	55.9
4TH+ DUI		6	66.7	83.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	
TOTAL		809	99.3	98.9	72.3	20.8	0.0	33.3	1.9	16.8	
HAYWARD	1ST DUI	949	95.6	99.4	78.7	3.7	0.0	3.3	4.1	0.4	
	2ND DUI	316	94.0	97.8	5.1	76.6	0.0	75.0	1.6	12.7	
	3RD DUI	71	94.4	93.0	4.2	77.5	0.0	25.4	5.6	5.6	
	4TH+ DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	
	TOTAL	1347	95.2	98.7	56.9	25.0	0.0	21.2	3.9	3.6	
ALPINE	SUP MARKLEEVILLE	1ST DUI	12	100.0	25.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	93.8	31.3	62.5	18.8	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	

*Entries represent percentages of 1998 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
AMADOR	JUV AMADOR	1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	
	JACKSON	1ST DUI	142	97.9	98.6	93.7	0.7	0.0	1.4	2.1	0.0	
		2ND DUI	29	100.0	96.6	17.2	79.3	0.0	24.1	51.7	0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	
		TOTAL	178	97.2	97.8	77.5	15.2	0.0	6.2	11.8	0.6	
BUTTE	SUP OROVLE	1ST DUI	18	61.1	100.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	32	56.3	100.0	28.1	15.6	0.0	3.1	6.3	0.0	
	JUV OROVLE	1ST DUI	13	100.0	30.8	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	
		TOTAL	13	100.0	30.8	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	
	CHICO MUN	1ST DUI	439	97.9	97.9	95.9	0.0	0.0	3.4	8.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	107	96.3	98.1	12.1	76.6	0.0	74.8	0.9	0.0	
		3RD DUI	25	88.0	100.0	4.0	60.0	0.0	32.0	24.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
		TOTAL	574	97.2	98.1	75.8	17.1	0.0	17.9	7.5	0.0	
	GRIDLEY	1ST DUI	22	95.5	100.0	59.1	4.5	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0	
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	25	96.0	100.0	56.0	12.0	0.0	12.0	4.0	0.0	
	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	134	91.8	97.0	50.7	8.2	0.0	5.2	3.7	0.0	
		2ND DUI	58	89.7	98.3	12.1	67.2	0.0	43.1	1.7	1.7	
		3RD DUI	19	78.9	100.0	5.3	57.9	0.0	10.5	15.8	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	217	88.9	97.2	35.0	28.6	0.0	15.7	4.6	0.5	
	PARADISE	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	83.3	100.0	66.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	
	CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	5	80.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			TOTAL	9	66.7	100.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV CALAVERAS		1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
SAN ANDREAS		1ST DUI	151	98.7	99.3	93.4	2.6	0.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	56	100.0	100.0	8.9	83.9	0.0	82.1	3.6	10.7	
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	221	98.2	99.5	66.1	24.9	0.0	24.0	3.6	2.7	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV COLUSA	1ST DUI	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	
	COLUSA	1ST DUI	188	93.6	97.3	80.3	0.5	0.0	5.3	8.5	0.0	
		2ND DUI	62	91.9	98.4	9.7	67.7	0.0	58.1	4.8	0.0	
		3RD DUI	17	64.7	100.0	5.9	35.3	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	269	91.4	97.8	58.7	18.6	0.0	17.8	7.4	0.0	
	CONTRA COSTA	SUP CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	40	80.0	97.5	37.5	15.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	0.0
			2ND DUI	20	65.0	90.0	5.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	5.0	0.0
			3RD DUI	9	66.7	100.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4TH+ DUI			31	61.3	100.0	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	
TOTAL			100	70.0	97.0	17.0	19.0	0.0	5.0	6.0	0.0	
JUV CONTRA COSTA		1ST DUI	43	23.3	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	43	23.3	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	
CONCORD		1ST DUI	566	99.6	95.4	89.2	2.7	0.0	4.2	10.2	0.0	
		2ND DUI	167	100.0	95.8	7.2	81.4	0.0	79.6	3.6	2.4	
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	100.0	3.1	34.4	0.0	31.3	6.3	3.1	
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	766	99.7	95.7	67.6	21.1	0.0	21.8	8.6	0.7	
RICHMOND		1ST DUI	470	99.4	99.6	74.9	1.7	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.2	
		2ND DUI	167	100.0	100.0	12.6	77.2	0.0	72.5	3.0	26.3	
		3RD DUI	39	100.0	97.4	12.8	51.3	0.0	35.9	2.6	20.5	
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	
		TOTAL	681	99.4	99.6	55.5	23.6	0.0	21.0	1.9	7.9	
PITTSBURG		1ST DUI	538	97.0	98.3	87.4	2.0	0.2	3.9	3.0	0.2	
		2ND DUI	167	91.6	97.6	7.8	77.8	0.0	78.4	10.2	11.4	
		3RD DUI	47	40.4	100.0	0.0	31.9	0.0	27.7	38.3	6.4	
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	755	92.2	98.3	64.0	20.7	0.1	21.9	7.0	3.0	
WALNUT CREEK		1ST DUI	607	99.2	96.4	94.2	1.2	0.3	3.8	4.8	0.2	
		2ND DUI	151	98.7	98.0	9.9	82.8	0.0	40.4	29.8	11.9	
		3RD DUI	26	96.2	92.3	3.8	53.8	0.0	3.8	69.2	3.8	
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
		TOTAL	787	98.9	96.6	74.7	18.7	0.3	10.8	11.8	2.5	
DEL NORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	CRESCENT CITY	1ST DUI	142	94.4	95.1	85.2	1.4	0.0	2.1	3.5	0.0	
		2ND DUI	43	86.0	100.0	18.6	65.1	0.0	41.9	16.3	0.0	
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	66.7	11.1	0.0	33.3	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	195	91.3	95.9	66.2	18.5	0.5	10.8	7.7	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
EL DORADO	SUP EL DORADO	1ST DUI	108	99.1	88.0	84.3	2.8	0.0	3.7	2.8	0.0	
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	90.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	133	99.2	88.7	72.2	13.5	0.0	9.0	2.3	0.0	
	JUV SUP PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1ST DUI	29	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	92.9	7.1	78.6	0.0	85.7	0.0	14.3	
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	12.5	
		TOTAL	51	100.0	96.1	58.8	35.3	0.0	39.2	0.0	5.9	
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	138	96.4	94.2	82.6	5.1	0.0	2.9	8.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	41	87.8	90.2	19.5	61.0	0.0	48.8	7.3	14.6	
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	188	94.7	93.6	64.9	20.7	0.0	14.4	7.4	3.2	
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	289	97.9	99.0	90.6	0.3	0.0	1.0	2.4	0.0	
		2ND DUI	120	99.2	95.8	10.0	76.7	0.0	75.8	1.7	19.2	
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	92.3	3.8	42.3	0.0	38.5	34.6	3.8	
		4TH+ DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	445	97.5	97.7	61.7	23.4	0.0	23.4	5.4	5.4	
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1ST DUI	27	85.2	96.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	7.4	3.7	0.0	
		2ND DUI	17	70.6	82.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	5.9	0.0	
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	13	53.8	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	60	75.0	91.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	3.3	0.0	
	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	44	97.7	2.3	6.8	0.0	0.0	36.4	59.1	0.0	
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	46	97.8	2.2	6.5	0.0	0.0	34.8	60.9	0.0	
	FRESNO	1ST DUI	1455	97.5	92.2	84.3	2.7	0.0	47.3	0.3	0.1	
		2ND DUI	545	96.9	95.8	11.4	73.2	0.0	74.5	0.2	2.6	
		3RD DUI	148	94.6	88.5	4.7	55.4	0.0	22.3	0.7	2.0	
		4TH+ DUI	54	81.5	83.3	1.9	18.5	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2202	96.7	92.6	58.9	24.1	0.0	51.3	0.3	0.8	
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	196	100.0	90.8	94.4	1.5	0.0	12.8	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	60	100.0	96.7	6.7	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	19	89.5	89.5	5.3	47.4	0.0	52.6	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	276	99.3	91.7	68.8	22.5	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0	
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	98	100.0	100.0	99.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	1.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	95.2	4.8	90.5	0.0	90.5	0.0	57.1	
3RD DUI		6	100.0	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7		
4TH+ DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL		126	100.0	99.2	78.6	18.3	0.0	27.0	0.8	10.3		

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont.)	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	104	98.1	98.1	80.8	1.0	0.0	70.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	43	100.0	97.7	7.0	58.1	0.0	60.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	159	98.7	98.1	54.7	16.4	0.0	62.3	0.0	0.0
	FOWLER	1ST DUI	127	97.6	99.2	85.0	6.3	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	100.0	100.0	19.1	68.1	0.0	72.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	35.7	0.0	35.7	7.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	191	97.9	99.0	61.8	23.6	0.0	26.2	0.5	0.0
	KERMAN	1ST DUI	69	95.7	97.1	82.6	2.9	0.0	65.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	100.0	100.0	20.8	79.2	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	103	96.1	98.1	60.2	22.3	0.0	66.0	0.0	0.0
	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	57	100.0	96.5	94.7	0.0	0.0	15.8	10.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	78.6	7.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	37.5	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	79	100.0	97.5	70.9	21.5	0.0	29.1	10.1	0.0
		TOTAL	79	100.0	97.5	70.9	21.5	0.0	29.1	10.1	0.0
	REEDLEY	1ST DUI	129	100.0	96.1	95.3	0.8	0.0	16.3	57.4	1.6
		2ND DUI	56	100.0	98.2	10.7	82.1	0.0	57.1	21.4	48.2
		3RD DUI	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	30.8	38.5	23.1
		4TH+ DUI	198	99.5	97.0	65.2	28.8	0.0	28.8	46.0	16.2
		TOTAL	198	99.5	97.0	65.2	28.8	0.0	28.8	46.0	16.2
	RIVERDALE	1ST DUI	11	90.9	100.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	57.1	28.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	95.2	100.0	47.6	28.6	0.0	23.8	9.5	0.0
	SANGER	1ST DUI	80	98.8	97.5	92.5	3.8	0.0	17.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	100.0	96.0	0.0	80.0	4.0	72.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	57.1	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
4TH+ DUI		1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		113	98.2	95.6	65.5	23.9	0.9	30.1	0.0	0.0	
SELMA	1ST DUI	76	100.0	93.4	86.8	5.3	0.0	73.7	0.0	0.0	
	2ND DUI	45	93.3	95.6	11.1	73.3	0.0	53.3	2.2	0.0	
	3RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	131	96.9	94.7	54.2	32.1	0.0	62.6	1.5	0.0	
	TOTAL	131	96.9	94.7	54.2	32.1	0.0	62.6	1.5	0.0	
US CT FRESNO	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
GLENN	SUP WILLOWS	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
JUV GLENN	1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
GLENN (cont.)	ORLAND	1ST DUI	71	97.2	85.9	87.3	1.4	0.0	8.5	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	95.2	23.8	42.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	94	97.9	88.3	71.3	11.7	0.0	13.8	1.1	0.0
	WILLOWS	1ST DUI	55	98.2	89.1	80.0	3.6	0.0	12.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	95.7	91.3	0.0	82.6	0.0	73.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	87	90.8	89.7	50.6	25.3	0.0	28.7	0.0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	SUP JUV HUMBLT EUREKA	1ST DUI	531	97.9	13.4	1.7	11.9	0.0	93.8	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	153	98.7	71.1	3.3	31.4	0.0	94.8	3.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	45	93.3	73.3	0.0	17.8	2.2	51.1	15.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	62.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	737	97.7	29.6	1.9	16.3	0.1	90.5	2.8	0.0
	GARBERVILLE	1ST DUI	30	100.0	3.3	96.7	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	100.0	75.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	43	100.0	25.6	74.4	18.6	0.0	97.7	2.3	0.0
	HOOPA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
2ND DUI		2	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		3	66.7	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	71.4	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
	JUV IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	5	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	105	100.0	20.0	61.9	5.7	0.0	83.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	100.0	87.5	3.1	84.4	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	144	100.0	38.2	45.8	23.6	0.0	81.9	0.0	0.0
CALEXICO	1ST DUI	343	99.4	18.4	82.5	1.7	0.0	87.8	0.0	0.0	
	2ND DUI	67	98.5	70.1	26.9	56.7	0.0	65.7	0.0	0.0	
	3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	11.1	55.6	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	419	99.3	28.4	72.1	11.7	0.0	83.8	0.0	0.0	
EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	177	99.4	35.6	78.5	1.7	0.0	81.4	0.6	0.0	
	2ND DUI	50	100.0	78.0	28.0	60.0	0.0	84.0	0.0	0.0	
	3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	233	99.6	46.4	65.7	16.3	0.0	79.8	1.3	0.0	
INYO	SUP INYO	1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	62.5	75.0	25.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	37.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
INYO (cont.)	BISHOP	1ST DUI	120	99.2	19.2	92.5	1.7	0.0	84.2	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	100.0	96.8	6.5	87.1	0.0	80.6	3.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	72.7	81.8	9.1	27.3	0.0	18.2	27.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	163	97.5	38.0	69.9	19.6	0.0	78.5	3.1	0.0
	INDEPENDENCE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
KERN	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	63	49.2	93.7	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	50.0	100.0	4.2	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	27.3	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	104	44.2	96.2	6.7	2.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	48	95.8	2.1	87.5	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	95.8	2.1	87.5	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
	ARVIN- LAMONT	1ST DUI	193	98.4	90.7	79.3	2.6	0.0	13.5	6.7	1.0
		2ND DUI	65	96.9	75.4	10.8	36.9	0.0	40.0	9.2	32.3
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	37.5	12.5
		TOTAL	272	97.1	85.3	58.8	11.0	0.0	20.2	8.1	9.2
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1347	98.7	96.9	75.2	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.2	1.9
		2ND DUI	400	98.8	32.5	7.8	15.0	0.0	83.0	1.0	73.8
		3RD DUI	105	94.3	32.4	2.9	1.0	0.0	68.6	1.9	63.8
		4TH+ DUI	44	47.7	65.9	2.3	2.3	0.0	34.1	0.0	27.3
		TOTAL	1896	97.3	79.0	55.3	3.3	0.0	24.2	0.5	21.1
	DELANO	1ST DUI	231	99.1	84.4	89.6	1.7	0.0	3.0	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	84	94.0	90.5	6.0	67.9	0.0	57.1	2.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	26	92.3	80.8	3.8	23.1	0.0	34.6	11.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	346	96.5	85.8	61.6	19.7	0.0	18.8	4.9	0.0
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	69	98.6	100.0	43.5	5.8	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	100.0	88.0	4.0	52.0	0.0	64.0	0.0	24.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	99	98.0	96.0	31.3	19.2	0.0	20.2	1.0	7.1
TAFT	1ST DUI	128	97.7	89.1	77.3	2.3	0.0	32.8	16.4	0.8	
	2ND DUI	30	100.0	96.7	0.0	90.0	0.0	93.3	3.3	83.3	
	3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	
	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
	TOTAL	162	98.1	90.1	61.7	19.1	0.0	44.4	14.2	17.3	
SHAFTER	1ST DUI	174	97.7	98.9	83.3	2.3	0.0	1.1	0.6	0.0	
	2ND DUI	71	98.6	98.6	8.5	69.0	0.0	32.4	0.0	4.2	
	3RD DUI	22	63.6	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	13.6	9.1	9.1	
	4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	273	93.8	98.2	55.3	20.1	0.0	10.3	1.1	1.8	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont.)	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	199	99.5	97.5	82.9	0.0	0.0	3.0	1.5	0.5
		2ND DUI	56	94.6	94.6	10.7	44.6	0.0	51.8	3.6	14.3
		3RD DUI	15	93.3	80.0	6.7	20.0	0.0	46.7	6.7	26.7
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	272	97.4	96.0	63.2	10.3	0.0	15.4	2.2	4.8
	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	157	99.4	98.7	68.2	3.8	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	93.5	100.0	8.7	41.3	0.0	41.3	2.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	211	97.2	99.1	52.6	12.3	0.0	11.4	0.9	0.0
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1ST DUI	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	21.4	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
	JUV HANFORD	1ST DUI	7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0
		TOTAL	7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	350	90.3	98.6	78.3	1.4	0.0	2.6	16.6	0.6
		2ND DUI	105	90.5	93.3	7.6	51.4	1.0	61.0	16.2	43.8
		3RD DUI	32	65.6	93.8	3.1	40.6	0.0	50.0	6.3	46.9
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	489	88.5	97.1	57.9	14.7	0.2	18.2	15.7	12.9
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	38	89.5	97.4	76.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	94.4	100.0	22.2	61.1	0.0	77.8	0.0	55.6
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0
		TOTAL	60	91.7	98.3	56.7	21.7	0.0	26.7	11.7	18.3
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	32	90.6	100.0	71.9	0.0	0.0	6.3	18.8	3.1
		2ND DUI	20	80.0	100.0	10.0	45.0	0.0	55.0	20.0	30.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
		TOTAL	57	86.0	100.0	43.9	21.1	0.0	26.3	21.1	15.8
LEMOORE	1ST DUI	143	93.7	97.9	83.2	0.0	0.0	1.4	18.9	0.0	
	2ND DUI	37	86.5	94.6	43.2	32.4	0.0	64.9	18.9	21.6	
	3RD DUI	7	71.4	85.7	14.3	14.3	0.0	42.9	28.6	28.6	
	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	189	91.5	96.8	72.5	6.9	0.0	15.3	19.6	5.3	
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	106	97.2	98.1	83.0	4.7	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	48	97.9	100.0	18.8	68.8	0.0	29.2	2.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	167	95.2	98.8	58.1	24.6	0.0	10.8	0.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
LAKE (cont.)	LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	128	99.2	98.4	97.7	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	52	100.0	100.0	17.3	82.7	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	195	97.9	99.0	68.7	26.2	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0	
LASSEN	SUP LASSEN	1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	139	95.7	100.0	87.1	5.8	0.0	1.4	0.7	0.0	
		2ND DUI	43	95.3	97.7	20.9	60.5	0.0	44.2	9.3	0.0	
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	196	94.4	99.5	67.3	21.9	0.0	13.3	2.6	0.0	
	LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1ST DUI	79	70.9	83.5	6.3	0.0	1.3	7.6	1.3	0.0
			2ND DUI	41	58.5	97.6	2.4	9.8	0.0	2.4	4.9	0.0
3RD DUI			11	36.4	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	
4TH+ DUI			41	26.8	97.6	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0	
TOTAL			172	55.2	91.3	3.5	2.9	1.2	4.7	2.3	0.0	
SUP POMONA		1ST DUI	54	57.4	90.7	18.5	1.9	0.0	9.3	5.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	19	42.1	94.7	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	
		3RD DUI	14	7.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	29	13.8	100.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	116	37.9	94.8	8.6	2.6	0.9	4.3	3.4	0.0	
SUP LANCASTER		1ST DUI	27	40.7	70.4	14.8	0.0	0.0	3.7	18.5	0.0	
		2ND DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		40	35.0	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	12.5	0.0		
SUP VAN NUYS		1ST DUI	81	72.8	84.0	3.7	1.2	0.0	4.9	1.2	0.0	
		2ND DUI	30	30.0	96.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	17	11.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	38	10.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	166	44.6	91.6	1.8	1.8	0.0	3.6	0.6	0.0	
SUP PASADENA		1ST DUI	28	78.6	92.9	7.1	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	13	76.9	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	14	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	59	67.8	93.2	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.7	1.7	0.0		
SUP LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	22	68.2	77.3	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0		
	2ND DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0		
	3RD DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	4TH+ DUI	11	9.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	45	40.0	88.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	4.4	2.2	0.0		

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SUP COMPTON	1ST DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	65.4	96.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0
	SUP NORWALK	1ST DUI	51	70.6	92.2	3.9	2.0	0.0	3.9	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	37.0	96.3	0.0	3.7	0.0	3.7	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	41.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	110	53.6	95.5	1.8	2.7	0.0	3.6	3.6	0.0
	SUP TORRANCE	1ST DUI	13	69.2	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	70.0	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	54.1	94.6	0.0	2.7	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	23	78.3	73.9	39.1	0.0	0.0	26.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	39	61.5	84.6	25.6	5.1	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0
	JUV LA	1ST DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
	LA JUV CENTRAL	1ST DUI	10	100.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
	LA MUN	2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	506	96.2	37.9	88.7	0.6	0.0	70.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	139	90.6	92.8	9.4	56.1	0.7	63.3	0.0	13.7
		3RD DUI	26	92.3	80.8	0.0	7.7	3.8	26.9	0.0	19.2
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	674	95.0	51.0	68.5	12.5	0.3	67.1	0.0	3.7
	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	589	98.5	99.7	95.1	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.8
		2ND DUI	143	98.6	98.6	9.1	86.0	0.7	81.1	0.7	66.4
		3RD DUI	24	100.0	58.3	4.2	45.8	37.5	33.3	0.0	20.8
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	759	98.6	97.8	75.6	19.0	1.7	17.7	0.1	13.8
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	202	99.5	79.2	95.5	1.0	0.0	91.6	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	100.0	89.5	21.1	73.7	0.0	89.5	10.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	246	99.6	79.7	82.1	12.2	1.2	89.0	5.7	0.0
	BURBANK	1ST DUI	229	99.6	96.9	92.1	2.2	0.0	88.2	2.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	100.0	100.0	6.1	84.8	0.0	90.9	4.5	9.1
3RD DUI		12	91.7	50.0	8.3	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	8.3	
4TH+ DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		308	99.4	95.8	70.1	21.1	1.9	86.4	2.6	2.3	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	1551	98.5	54.6	94.9	1.4	0.0	87.6	1.7	0.8
		2ND DUI	407	95.3	86.7	9.1	82.8	0.2	89.7	1.2	52.6
		3RD DUI	74	93.2	75.7	5.4	54.1	12.2	39.2	1.4	35.1
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	2034	97.7	61.8	74.4	19.6	0.5	86.2	1.6	12.4
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	1140	98.4	95.4	89.3	2.7	0.0	92.7	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	344	98.8	97.4	9.9	79.4	0.6	91.3	0.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	86	95.3	94.2	3.5	62.8	4.7	47.7	4.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	30.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1580	98.3	95.7	66.8	22.8	0.4	89.6	0.6	0.0
	CULVER CITY	1ST DUI	99	98.0	27.3	94.9	2.0	0.0	47.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	100.0	75.0	19.4	55.6	11.1	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	142	97.9	41.5	72.5	16.2	2.8	51.4	0.0	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	604	98.2	26.7	90.9	0.7	0.0	87.9	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	163	98.8	88.3	12.9	77.9	0.0	92.0	0.6	3.1
		3RD DUI	36	97.2	86.1	2.8	66.7	11.1	58.3	5.6	5.6
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	85.7	14.3	42.9	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	810	98.3	42.2	70.6	19.5	0.5	86.9	0.9	0.9
	EAST LA	1ST DUI	934	98.9	28.4	91.4	1.1	0.0	91.9	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	262	98.1	89.3	10.3	76.0	0.0	87.0	2.3	2.3
		3RD DUI	46	93.5	82.6	0.0	21.7	17.4	13.0	0.0	4.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1245	98.6	43.2	70.8	17.6	0.8	87.7	2.2	0.6
	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	825	98.5	39.4	94.1	1.8	0.0	77.9	1.3	0.8
		2ND DUI	233	98.3	94.0	7.7	87.1	0.0	92.7	0.4	33.0
		3RD DUI	45	97.8	91.1	0.0	53.3	6.7	35.6	11.1	11.1
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	1107	98.5	53.1	71.7	22.0	0.4	79.2	1.5	8.2
	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	444	99.1	21.6	93.0	1.4	0.0	91.4	0.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	103	98.1	87.4	12.6	80.6	0.0	85.4	1.9	4.9
		3RD DUI	24	83.3	83.3	4.2	41.7	8.3	37.5	4.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	12.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	579	97.1	37.0	73.7	17.3	0.3	86.9	0.9	1.0
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	715	94.4	19.2	85.9	1.5	0.1	86.9	3.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	198	94.4	73.2	16.2	68.2	1.5	87.4	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	80.0	77.1	2.9	42.9	14.3	42.9	5.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	954	93.9	32.8	67.8	16.9	1.0	84.8	3.6	0.0
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	984	98.7	31.6	91.3	0.9	0.1	71.3	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	272	98.5	75.4	7.0	73.2	0.0	73.9	0.4	0.4
		3RD DUI	52	98.1	84.6	1.9	23.1	5.8	15.4	1.9	1.9
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1317	98.6	43.2	69.8	16.8	0.3	69.2	0.4	0.2

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	LA METRO	1ST DUI	6036	99.5	48.5	96.0	1.2	0.0	90.1	0.3	0.9
		2ND DUI	1362	98.8	94.2	6.7	89.0	0.1	96.8	0.4	66.7
		3RD DUI	251	96.8	62.9	1.6	55.8	2.0	86.9	0.0	80.1
		4TH+ DUI	13	61.5	76.9	0.0	23.1	0.0	46.2	0.0	46.2
		TOTAL	7662	99.2	57.1	76.9	18.7	0.1	91.1	0.3	15.3
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	290	99.0	12.8	93.4	1.4	0.0	90.0	6.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	78	98.7	87.2	14.1	71.8	0.0	83.3	6.4	17.9
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	383	98.7	31.3	73.6	17.0	0.0	85.6	7.0	3.7
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	624	98.9	19.7	92.3	1.4	0.6	82.1	0.0	0.6
		2ND DUI	177	98.9	92.1	10.7	83.1	0.0	91.5	0.0	44.1
		3RD DUI	25	100.0	84.0	0.0	44.0	12.0	48.0	4.0	24.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	827	98.9	37.2	71.9	20.3	0.8	83.0	0.1	10.6
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	453	97.8	41.1	92.7	0.4	0.0	92.5	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	120	97.5	90.0	14.2	75.8	0.0	89.2	0.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	28	100.0	96.4	17.9	28.6	3.6	42.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	603	97.8	53.6	73.3	16.9	0.2	89.4	0.5	0.0
	MALIBU	1ST DUI	277	99.3	6.5	94.6	1.4	0.0	95.7	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	71	91.5	88.7	12.7	71.8	0.0	73.2	8.5	7.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	46.2	0.0	23.1	53.8	23.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	363	97.5	24.5	74.7	16.0	1.9	88.2	1.9	1.4
	POMONA	1ST DUI	705	98.7	29.4	91.5	1.3	0.0	78.6	0.7	0.7
		2ND DUI	178	97.2	78.7	14.0	76.4	0.0	83.1	1.1	28.1
		3RD DUI	35	97.1	94.3	2.9	62.9	5.7	14.3	0.0	5.7
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	920	98.4	41.5	72.9	18.2	0.2	76.8	0.8	6.2
	HUNTINGTON PARK	1ST DUI	468	98.3	25.6	93.2	1.9	0.0	72.4	5.1	0.2
		2ND DUI	120	98.3	91.7	12.5	80.0	0.8	45.0	14.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	30	96.7	90.0	0.0	56.7	10.0	13.3	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	619	98.1	41.7	72.9	19.7	0.6	64.1	7.6	0.2
	MONROVIA	1ST DUI	315	99.7	12.7	83.8	1.9	0.0	87.0	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	100.0	92.1	7.9	78.9	0.0	85.5	9.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	81.8	18.2	9.1	0.0	18.2	18.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	403	99.8	29.8	67.5	16.6	0.0	84.6	4.5	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	237	99.2	53.6	96.2	0.8	0.0	94.9	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	49	100.0	95.9	6.1	87.8	0.0	93.9	2.0	0.0
3RD DUI		10	100.0	80.0	0.0	70.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		296	99.3	61.5	78.0	17.6	0.3	94.3	1.7	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	900	98.6	18.9	94.4	0.8	0.0	90.3	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	237	99.2	86.9	13.9	80.2	0.0	93.7	0.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	49	93.9	71.4	0.0	32.7	20.4	24.5	4.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1190	98.2	34.9	74.2	17.9	0.8	88.0	0.8	0.0
	SOUTHGATE	1ST DUI	446	98.7	35.4	94.8	1.6	0.0	68.6	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	94	98.9	91.5	7.4	87.2	1.1	88.3	3.2	1.1
		3RD DUI	21	100.0	66.7	0.0	47.6	28.6	33.3	19.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	563	98.8	46.2	76.4	17.8	1.2	70.5	3.4	0.2
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	652	97.1	24.2	92.0	1.1	0.2	89.3	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	169	98.2	87.6	10.7	77.5	1.8	83.4	11.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	90.0	92.5	5.0	25.0	2.5	25.0	22.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	864	97.0	40.0	71.8	17.2	0.6	85.0	6.4	0.0
	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	257	100.0	16.7	49.8	0.8	0.0	89.9	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	46	97.8	82.6	6.5	76.1	0.0	91.3	0.0	39.1
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	77.8	11.1	22.2	0.0	55.6	11.1	22.2
		4TH+ DUI	3	99.4	28.2	42.3	12.5	0.0	89.1	1.0	6.4
		TOTAL	312	99.4	28.2	42.3	12.5	0.0	89.1	1.0	6.4
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1438	99.5	34.2	94.6	1.9	0.1	85.3	1.0	0.1
		2ND DUI	418	99.8	94.3	10.3	83.7	0.5	88.3	1.4	11.5
		3RD DUI	83	100.0	83.1	3.6	41.0	13.3	38.6	1.2	3.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	66.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1945	99.5	49.3	72.3	21.1	0.8	83.7	1.1	2.7
	SAN PEDRO	1ST DUI	349	100.0	38.1	91.7	5.2	0.0	71.3	0.3	0.9
		2ND DUI	105	99.0	94.3	4.8	92.4	0.0	87.6	1.0	11.4
		3RD DUI	25	92.0	100.0	0.0	76.0	0.0	52.0	4.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	479	99.4	53.7	67.8	28.0	0.0	73.9	0.6	3.1
		TOTAL	479	99.4	53.7	67.8	28.0	0.0	73.9	0.6	3.1
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	2070	99.2	33.9	92.8	2.8	0.0	75.6	0.7	0.7
		2ND DUI	508	97.8	95.5	6.9	87.6	0.0	85.2	2.6	32.3
		3RD DUI	97	99.0	84.5	1.0	60.8	13.4	41.2	2.1	20.6
		4TH+ DUI	16	37.5	93.8	6.3	12.5	6.3	12.5	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	2691	98.6	47.7	72.8	20.9	0.5	75.8	1.1	7.5
	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	571	99.6	20.5	94.7	2.1	0.2	88.1	1.6	0.7
		2ND DUI	153	99.3	90.8	7.2	80.4	0.7	92.2	1.3	47.1
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	84.6	0.0	50.0	3.8	46.2	7.7	34.6
		4TH+ DUI	750	99.6	37.1	73.6	19.7	0.4	87.5	1.7	11.3
		TOTAL	750	99.6	37.1	73.6	19.7	0.4	87.5	1.7	11.3
	AVALON	1ST DUI	7	100.0	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		10	100.0	40.0	70.0	30.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	8	87.5	100.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	72.7	100.0	18.2	18.2	0.0	13.6	4.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MADERA (cont.)	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	136	98.5	98.5	94.1	1.5	0.0	2.2	8.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	93.2	100.0	13.6	72.7	0.0	68.2	13.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	71.4	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	7.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	198	94.9	99.0	67.7	19.2	0.0	18.2	10.6	0.0
	BORDEN	1ST DUI	37	97.3	89.2	89.2	2.7	0.0	10.8	5.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	88.2	11.8	88.2	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	96.4	87.5	62.5	30.4	0.0	35.7	3.6	0.0
	MADERA	1ST DUI	70	95.7	97.1	80.0	8.6	0.0	4.3	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	21	66.7	95.2	9.5	47.6	0.0	23.8	4.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	103	83.5	97.1	56.3	17.5	0.0	9.7	2.9	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1ST DUI	52	98.1	80.8	82.7	5.8	0.0	32.7	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	100.0	35.7	64.3	0.0	57.1	21.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
4TH+ DUI		70	98.6	85.7	68.6	17.1	0.0	35.7	8.6	0.0	
TOTAL		70	98.6	85.7	68.6	17.1	0.0	35.7	8.6	0.0	
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	1055	99.6	9.6	94.2	1.6	0.0	93.7	5.0	0.9
		2ND DUI	238	99.6	85.7	10.1	83.2	0.0	97.1	2.5	60.5
		3RD DUI	59	96.6	54.2	1.7	30.5	0.0	69.5	23.7	64.4
		4TH+ DUI	13	84.6	84.6	0.0	46.2	0.0	46.2	30.8	30.8
		TOTAL	1365	99.3	25.5	74.7	17.5	0.0	92.8	5.6	14.4
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	37	100.0	91.9	86.5	2.7	0.0	18.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	37.5	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	100.0	94.6	67.9	16.1	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
	USMG YOSEMITE	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2ND DUI		8	87.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
3RD DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		11	90.9	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MENDOCINO	UKIAH CONSLD	1ST DUI	13	100.0	92.3	15.4	7.7	0.0	7.7	7.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	70.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	41	80.5	97.6	4.9	22.0	0.0	7.3	2.4	0.0
	JUV UKIAH	1ST DUI	9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MENDOCINO (cont.)	WILLITS	1ST DUI	56	98.2	91.1	85.7	3.6	0.0	5.4	5.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	100.0	91.7	12.5	75.0	0.0	58.3	4.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
		TOTAL	85	98.8	91.8	60.0	27.1	0.0	20.0	9.4	0.0
	UKIAH	1ST DUI	194	96.9	95.9	78.4	2.1	0.0	1.5	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	87.9	97.0	9.1	69.7	0.0	48.5	7.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	88.9	92.6	0.0	55.6	0.0	11.1	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	293	94.2	95.9	53.9	23.5	0.0	13.0	5.8	0.0
	BOONVILLE	1ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	PT. ARENA	1ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	84.6	46.2	23.1	0.0	30.8	7.7	7.7
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	18	88.9	100.0	77.8	0.0	0.0	16.7	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	88.9	88.9	11.1	66.7	0.0	66.7	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	27	88.9	96.3	55.6	22.2	0.0	33.3	11.1	0.0
	COVELO	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	67	95.5	92.5	88.1	1.5	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	95.0	95.0	25.0	70.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0
TOTAL		91	95.6	93.4	70.3	20.9	0.0	14.3	5.5	0.0	
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	26	61.5	69.2	7.7	0.0	0.0	3.8	23.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	45.5	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	28.6	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	55.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	64	53.1	84.4	3.1	4.7	0.0	1.6	10.9	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	5	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	533	98.5	97.2	83.1	1.9	0.0	5.1	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	164	100.0	98.8	11.0	79.9	0.6	75.6	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	53	96.2	98.1	3.8	64.2	0.0	50.9	11.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	759	98.7	97.6	61.0	23.6	0.1	23.6	3.4	0.0
LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	204	100.0	97.1	84.8	4.9	0.0	5.4	0.5	0.0	
	2ND DUI	64	100.0	100.0	12.5	71.9	0.0	73.4	1.6	0.0	
	3RD DUI	25	100.0	96.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	16.0	4.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	299	99.7	97.7	60.5	20.4	0.0	20.7	1.0	0.0	
MODOC	SUP MODOC	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MODOC (cont.)	ALTURAS	1ST DUI	38	84.2	81.6	71.1	2.6	0.0	28.9	26.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	53.8	30.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	54	83.3	85.2	50.0	22.2	1.9	37.0	25.9	0.0
MONO	SUP MONO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	16	93.8	62.5	56.3	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	81.8	72.7	45.5	9.1	0.0	27.3	0.0	9.1
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	36	97.2	66.7	91.7	0.0	0.0	19.4	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	88.9	66.7	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	44.4
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	48	97.9	72.9	83.3	10.4	0.0	31.3	2.1	12.5
	MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1ST DUI	32	75.0	93.8	18.8	0.0	0.0	3.1	40.6
2ND DUI			6	66.7	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	50.0	33.3
3RD DUI			16	68.8	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	43.8	6.3
4TH+ DUI			38	78.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.8	0.0
TOTAL			92	75.0	95.7	6.5	2.2	0.0	4.3	52.2	3.3
JUV SALINAS		1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	100.0	0.0
MARINA MUN		1ST DUI	425	100.0	99.5	88.2	0.9	0.0	1.2	8.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	116	100.0	94.8	3.4	79.3	0.0	61.2	15.5	10.3
		3RD DUI	29	100.0	69.0	6.9	20.7	0.0	44.8	34.5	20.7
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	25.0
		TOTAL	574	100.0	96.5	66.6	17.8	0.0	16.0	11.3	3.5
SALINAS		1ST DUI	947	99.4	98.2	80.3	1.5	0.0	5.1	18.5	4.0
		2ND DUI	295	98.6	79.0	7.1	55.6	0.0	69.2	10.8	47.5
		3RD DUI	75	97.3	60.0	1.3	24.0	0.0	58.7	30.7	42.7
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0
		TOTAL	1322	99.1	91.6	59.2	14.8	0.0	22.5	17.5	16.0
KING CITY		1ST DUI	248	98.8	99.2	83.9	4.0	0.0	2.4	15.7	0.8
		2ND DUI	83	100.0	97.6	13.3	41.0	0.0	37.3	53.0	32.5
		3RD DUI	22	95.5	86.4	4.5	4.5	0.0	18.2	77.3	18.2
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	355	98.9	98.0	62.0	12.7	0.0	11.5	28.7	9.3
NAPA		NAPA	1ST DUI	614	98.7	97.9	94.6	1.3	0.0	2.4	1.5
	2ND DUI		208	97.6	99.0	5.3	90.4	0.0	87.5	0.0	2.4
	3RD DUI		48	95.8	95.8	2.1	87.5	0.0	81.3	0.0	4.2
	4TH+ DUI		15	60.0	93.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL		885	97.6	98.0	67.0	27.5	0.0	27.2	1.0	0.8

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	208	100.0	92.3	84.1	1.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	1.0
		2ND DUI	65	96.9	100.0	6.2	72.3	0.0	63.1	0.0	40.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	90.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	30.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	296	99.0	93.2	60.5	20.3	0.7	23.0	0.0	11.5
	TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	151	99.3	99.3	86.8	10.6	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.7
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	95.1	19.5	73.2	0.0	82.9	0.0	48.8
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	66.7	16.7	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	199	99.5	98.5	69.8	26.1	0.0	23.1	0.5	12.1
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	112	76.8	84.8	1.8	7.1	0.0	13.4	13.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	68.2	90.9	2.3	20.5	0.0	25.0	4.5	2.3
		3RD DUI	20	65.0	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	10.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	54	37.0	98.1	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0
		TOTAL	230	64.8	90.4	1.3	8.3	0.0	11.7	10.0	0.4
	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	45	100.0	31.1	73.3	4.4	0.0	13.3	68.9	0.0
		TOTAL	45	100.0	31.1	73.3	4.4	0.0	13.3	68.9	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2484	99.2	38.6	93.4	1.3	0.0	84.5	8.6	0.5
		2ND DUI	636	99.7	92.9	5.8	86.1	0.2	81.7	13.4	37.1
		3RD DUI	106	99.1	92.5	3.8	84.0	0.9	21.7	22.6	13.2
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	33.3	11.1
		TOTAL	3235	99.3	51.2	73.1	20.7	0.1	81.7	10.1	8.1
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	2008	99.3	14.5	96.2	1.1	0.0	96.0	1.5	0.4
		2ND DUI	503	98.8	87.5	8.3	84.9	0.0	96.2	1.0	55.5
		3RD DUI	99	98.0	99.0	1.0	93.9	0.0	40.4	2.0	34.3
		4TH+ DUI	24	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	20.8	8.3	12.5
		TOTAL	2634	99.0	32.4	74.9	21.0	0.0	93.3	1.5	12.3
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	1459	99.9	13.4	96.2	1.2	0.0	89.7	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	357	100.0	93.0	8.7	84.6	0.0	89.1	2.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	63	100.0	96.8	0.0	63.5	0.0	15.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1884	99.9	31.5	76.2	19.1	0.0	86.9	4.8	0.0
	NEWPORT BEACH	1ST DUI	1528	99.6	22.1	94.7	1.0	0.0	87.2	8.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	338	99.1	93.2	8.0	88.2	0.0	44.7	54.1	4.7
		3RD DUI	66	97.0	98.5	3.0	83.3	0.0	10.6	25.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1944	99.1	37.6	75.9	19.1	0.0	76.6	17.2	0.8
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	2188	99.7	33.6	97.2	1.4	0.0	75.6	3.7	1.1
		2ND DUI	621	99.4	95.0	7.6	89.7	0.2	92.9	0.6	77.3
		3RD DUI	81	100.0	97.5	1.2	96.3	0.0	86.4	1.2	79.0
4TH+ DUI		5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	
TOTAL		2895	99.7	48.7	75.1	23.2	0.0	79.7	3.0	19.8	
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1ST DUI	455	98.2	93.2	80.4	2.2	0.0	11.9	3.3	1.3
		2ND DUI	150	97.3	98.7	7.3	86.7	0.0	88.0	1.3	65.3
		3RD DUI	32	93.8	81.3	6.3	62.5	0.0	78.1	0.0	65.6
		4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	55.6	0.0	55.6
		TOTAL	646	97.4	94.0	58.7	25.5	0.0	33.4	2.6	20.1

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
PLACER (cont.)	JUV AUBURN	1ST DUI	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	
	JUV TAHOE VISTA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
	AUBURN MUN	1ST DUI	383	99.7	99.2	88.3	2.1	0.0	6.3	2.1	1.0	
		2ND DUI	98	96.9	100.0	8.2	83.7	0.0	84.7	2.0	59.2	
		3RD DUI	29	96.6	89.7	3.4	86.2	0.0	72.4	3.4	51.7	
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	514	99.0	98.8	67.5	23.2	0.0	25.3	2.1	15.4	
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	265	99.6	97.4	91.7	1.9	0.0	34.3	2.3	0.0	
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	97.4	5.1	89.7	0.0	71.8	5.1	10.3	
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	313	99.4	97.4	78.3	14.7	0.0	38.3	3.2	1.3	
	PLUMAS	PORTOLA	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2ND DUI			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
QUINCY		1ST DUI	144	97.9	97.9	88.2	2.1	0.0	5.6	1.4	0.0	
		2ND DUI	35	97.1	100.0	14.3	65.7	0.0	54.3	5.7	0.0	
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	183	97.3	98.4	72.7	14.8	0.0	14.8	2.2	0.0	
RIVERSIDE		SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	3272	96.8	78.3	88.7	1.6	0.0	22.7	5.4	0.0
			2ND DUI	834	95.7	94.2	12.1	76.6	0.0	48.6	9.8	3.7
	3RD DUI		171	91.8	97.7	3.5	75.4	0.0	11.1	19.9	0.6	
	4TH+ DUI		74	74.3	98.6	2.7	51.4	0.0	1.4	10.8	0.0	
	TOTAL		4351	96.0	82.4	69.2	19.7	0.0	26.8	6.9	0.8	
	SUP INDIO	1ST DUI	1344	97.8	15.6	89.6	1.6	0.0	90.8	3.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	444	95.9	86.9	8.8	81.3	0.0	83.3	10.6	0.2	
		3RD DUI	104	95.2	95.2	2.9	46.2	0.0	28.8	60.6	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	25	52.0	92.0	12.0	32.0	0.0	12.0	24.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1917	96.7	37.5	65.2	22.9	0.0	84.7	8.1	0.1	
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	
	CORONA	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	
	HEMET	1ST DUI	10	90.0	80.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		18	94.4	88.9	55.6	38.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0		
BANNING	1ST DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0		
	2ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
	3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	26	100.0	96.2	3.8	88.5	0.0	96.2	0.0	0.0		

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
RIVERSIDE (cont.)	INDIO	1ST DUI	11	90.9	72.7	72.7	18.2	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	23	95.7	87.0	39.1	47.8	0.0	82.6	13.0	0.0	
	MORENO VLY	1ST DUI	37	97.3	83.8	91.9	5.4	0.0	18.9	5.4	0.0	
		2ND DUI	14	85.7	92.9	7.1	64.3	0.0	28.6	7.1	0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	53	94.3	86.8	66.0	24.5	0.0	22.6	5.7	0.0	
	PALM SPRINGS	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	BLYTHE	2ND DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	PERRIS	1ST DUI	23	100.0	87.0	82.6	4.3	0.0	43.5	0.0	13.0	
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	91.9	0.0	94.6	0.0	75.7	0.0	37.8	
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	
		TOTAL	66	100.0	87.9	28.8	59.1	0.0	63.6	0.0	28.8	
	SACRAMENTO	SUP SACTO	1ST DUI	69	82.6	88.4	46.4	18.8	0.0	2.9	29.0	0.0
			2ND DUI	34	70.6	91.2	8.8	35.3	0.0	20.6	20.6	2.9
3RD DUI			27	59.3	92.6	7.4	29.6	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
4TH+ DUI			82	51.2	96.3	7.3	31.7	0.0	0.0	36.6	0.0	
TOTAL			212	65.6	92.5	20.3	27.8	0.0	4.2	31.1	0.5	
JUV SACTO		1ST DUI	28	78.6	78.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.4	0.0	
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	30	80.0	80.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
SACRAMENTO		1ST DUI	3519	99.0	94.5	90.0	1.2	0.0	5.8	5.8	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1011	98.8	98.5	5.4	62.6	0.0	61.5	23.9	0.5	
		3RD DUI	229	98.7	99.1	3.5	20.5	0.0	11.8	7.9	0.9	
		4TH+ DUI	33	93.9	93.9	0.0	6.1	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4792	98.9	95.6	67.4	15.1	0.0	17.8	9.7	0.2	
ELK GROVE		1ST DUI	90	100.0	95.6	82.2	7.8	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	22	100.0	95.5	9.1	86.4	0.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	117	100.0	95.7	65.0	23.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	
GALT		1ST DUI	55	100.0	98.2	87.3	5.5	0.0	1.8	3.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	5.9	82.4	0.0	70.6	17.6	0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	74	98.6	98.6	66.2	23.0	0.0	17.6	6.8	0.0		
WALNUT GROVE	1ST DUI	23	100.0	100.0	30.4	56.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	2ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0		
	3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	32	100.0	100.0	21.9	56.3	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0		
SACTO US MAG	1ST DUI	12	100.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	2ND DUI	5	100.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	17	100.0	11.8	0.0	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0		

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BENITO	SUP SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV HOLLISTER	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	137	94.2	99.3	71.5	1.5	0.0	3.6	21.9	1.5
		2ND DUI	50	98.0	70.0	10.0	54.0	0.0	82.0	4.0	64.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	33.3	0.0	27.8	0.0	94.4	0.0	77.8
		TOTAL	205	95.6	86.3	50.2	16.6	0.0	30.7	15.6	23.4
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP MUN SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	1251	98.3	52.8	90.2	2.2	0.0	42.5	2.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	312	96.2	89.1	17.0	68.9	0.3	70.5	6.1	7.1
		3RD DUI	64	98.4	96.9	6.3	60.9	0.0	42.2	4.7	7.8
		4TH+ DUI	21	61.9	76.2	0.0	38.1	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1648	97.5	61.7	71.9	17.5	0.1	47.5	3.5	1.7
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	1335	99.1	30.2	95.7	1.3	0.0	81.6	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	312	98.1	87.8	15.7	81.1	0.0	91.3	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	71	98.6	95.8	2.8	60.6	0.0	66.2	22.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	68.4	78.9	0.0	52.6	0.0	31.6	15.8	0.0
		TOTAL	1737	98.6	43.8	76.5	18.7	0.0	82.2	2.5	0.0
	SUP VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	362	97.8	59.9	88.4	1.7	0.0	63.0	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	53	92.5	77.4	41.5	34.0	1.9	45.3	7.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	95.2	95.2	9.5	42.9	0.0	52.4	38.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	66.7	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	448	96.2	64.1	76.8	8.0	0.2	58.7	3.3	0.0
	SUP MUN BARSTOW	1ST DUI	130	92.3	62.3	78.5	10.8	0.0	54.6	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	90.3	87.1	12.9	58.1	0.0	64.5	6.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	71.4	78.6	7.1	57.1	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	50.0	90.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	185	88.1	69.2	57.8	22.7	0.0	54.1	3.8	0.0
	SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	181	97.2	35.4	90.1	3.3	0.0	86.7	2.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	44	97.7	68.2	34.1	59.1	0.0	70.5	13.6	2.3
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	73.3	0.0	60.0	13.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
TOTAL		244	97.5	46.3	73.0	18.4	0.0	80.7	6.1	0.4	
JUV SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	53	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	
	TOTAL	53	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	
SUP MUN CHINO	1ST DUI	296	99.0	42.2	93.6	2.4	0.0	82.8	7.4	0.0	
	2ND DUI	91	95.6	92.3	12.1	78.0	0.0	78.0	9.9	0.0	
	3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	62.5	0.0	43.8	25.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	405	98.3	55.8	71.6	22.0	0.0	80.0	8.9	0.0	
REDLAND SUP MUN	1ST DUI	314	99.0	60.8	90.8	3.5	0.0	78.3	2.9	0.0	
	2ND DUI	88	100.0	96.6	22.7	75.0	0.0	85.2	3.4	0.0	
	3RD DUI	22	95.5	95.5	18.2	50.0	0.0	36.4	18.2	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	42.9	0.0	
	TOTAL	431	98.6	69.6	71.9	20.6	0.0	76.6	4.4	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	S BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	23	95.7	60.9	87.0	0.0	0.0	65.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	93.3	6.7	86.7	0.0	53.3	20.0	6.7
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	14.3	57.1	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	47	97.9	76.6	46.8	38.3	0.0	61.7	6.4	2.1
	FONTANA SUP MUN	1ST DUI	724	97.8	35.1	92.0	1.7	0.0	86.6	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	200	99.0	87.0	15.5	76.0	0.0	89.0	2.0	1.0
		3RD DUI	47	91.5	93.6	6.4	42.6	0.0	44.7	8.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	63.2	68.4	10.5	26.3	0.0	21.1	10.5	0.0
		TOTAL	990	97.1	49.0	70.9	19.1	0.0	83.8	2.9	0.2
	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	21	95.2	71.4	71.4	23.8	0.0	52.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	96.6	94.9	0.0	91.5	3.4	88.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	102	97.1	91.2	14.7	77.5	2.0	80.4	0.0	0.0
	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	11	90.9	63.6	72.7	18.2	0.0	54.5	9.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	95.5	81.8	36.4	59.1	0.0	72.7	4.5	0.0
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	7	100.0	85.7	85.7	14.3	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	100.0	94.4	0.0	94.4	0.0	88.9	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	27	100.0	92.6	22.2	74.1	0.0	81.5	7.4	0.0
	TWINPEAKS	1ST DUI	9	100.0	33.3	88.9	11.1	0.0	88.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	91.7	91.7	16.7	66.7	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	95.2	66.7	47.6	42.9	0.0	90.5	0.0	0.0
	NEEDLES SUP MUN	1ST DUI	72	100.0	36.1	88.9	1.4	0.0	66.7	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	100.0	66.7	27.8	44.4	0.0	77.8	5.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	92	100.0	43.5	75.0	12.0	0.0	69.6	5.4	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	15	66.7	40.0	33.3	20.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
2ND DUI		8	87.5	50.0	37.5	50.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	
3RD DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		24	75.0	45.8	33.3	33.3	0.0	70.8	0.0	0.0	
USMG YUCCA VALLEY	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	87	74.7	96.6	3.4	3.4	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	55.9	97.1	0.0	14.7	0.0	8.8	0.0	2.9
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	53.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	142	66.9	97.2	2.1	5.6	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.7
	SUP VISTA	1ST DUI	12	91.7	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	62.5	100.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	67.9	100.0	25.0	7.1	0.0	7.1	0.0	3.6

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO (cont.)	SUP CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	50	60.0	98.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	6.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	44.4	100.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	69	59.4	98.6	11.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	7.2	0.0
	SUP EL CAHON	1ST DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	43.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	44	47.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	91	2.2	8.8	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.4	0.0
		TOTAL	91	2.2	8.8	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.4	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1313	96.6	94.1	80.7	1.6	0.0	8.2	3.8	0.8
		2ND DUI	354	94.4	84.2	3.1	68.6	0.0	81.9	0.6	43.2
		3RD DUI	77	85.7	70.1	0.0	39.0	0.0	64.9	13.0	37.7
		4TH+ DUI	11	54.5	90.9	0.0	27.3	0.0	27.3	9.1	18.2
		TOTAL	1755	95.4	91.1	61.0	16.9	0.0	25.7	3.6	11.1
	VISTA	1ST DUI	2653	98.5	97.9	80.9	3.8	0.0	3.3	3.2	1.8
		2ND DUI	722	98.1	95.3	7.8	74.8	0.0	57.2	3.3	39.6
		3RD DUI	164	91.5	90.9	1.2	48.8	0.0	39.0	9.8	31.1
		4TH+ DUI	25	56.0	100.0	12.0	8.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	8.0
		TOTAL	3564	97.8	97.0	62.0	20.3	0.0	15.9	3.5	10.8
	SAN MARCOS	2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	3077	99.1	98.1	91.7	3.3	0.0	82.2	1.8	2.4
		2ND DUI	733	98.2	97.4	8.9	84.6	0.0	93.3	0.5	68.9
		3RD DUI	117	97.4	86.3	2.6	74.4	0.0	86.3	0.0	73.5
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	62.5
		TOTAL	3935	98.9	97.7	73.5	20.8	0.0	84.4	1.5	17.0
CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	1276	98.2	93.7	87.6	5.3	0.0	13.4	4.1	0.8	
	2ND DUI	294	95.9	92.2	13.6	71.1	0.0	69.4	6.1	33.3	
	3RD DUI	42	97.6	92.9	11.9	52.4	0.0	47.6	40.5	33.3	
	4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	16.7	
	TOTAL	1618	97.6	93.4	71.9	18.5	0.0	24.5	5.4	7.6	
US CT SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	SUP SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
	JUV SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	640	97.3	94.8	91.9	1.4	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.5
		2ND DUI	151	96.0	93.4	20.5	68.9	0.7	70.2	0.0	17.2
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	90.0	15.0	45.0	5.0	20.0	0.0	5.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	812	97.0	94.5	76.6	15.1	0.2	24.5	0.0	3.8

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN FRANCISCO (cont.)	US DIST CT SF	2ND DUI	7	100.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	
SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	1117	96.6	97.1	59.8	1.7	0.0	1.6	6.4	0.1	
		2ND DUI	399	97.5	97.2	10.5	63.7	0.0	30.8	31.3	5.5	
		3RD DUI	104	94.2	95.2	11.5	22.1	0.0	5.8	37.5	1.0	
		4TH+ DUI	45	77.8	86.7	6.7	11.1	0.0	11.1	17.8	0.0	
		TOTAL	1665	96.2	96.8	43.5	18.1	0.0	9.1	14.7	1.4	
	FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	16	100.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	0.0	
		TOTAL	16	100.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	0.0	
	LODI	1ST DUI	363	99.2	99.7	88.2	0.8	0.0	2.5	15.4	0.8	
		2ND DUI	137	99.3	90.5	5.8	75.2	0.0	85.4	12.4	45.3	
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	63.2	0.0	18.4	0.0	52.6	71.1	26.3	
		4TH+ DUI	13	92.3	53.8	0.0	15.4	0.0	46.2	61.5	30.8	
		TOTAL	551	99.1	93.8	59.5	20.9	0.0	27.6	19.6	14.3	
	MANTECA	1ST DUI	376	98.7	98.9	91.8	0.3	0.0	2.1	7.4	0.3	
		2ND DUI	106	100.0	75.5	9.4	56.6	0.0	71.7	38.7	20.8	
		3RD DUI	27	96.3	63.0	3.7	33.3	0.0	59.3	37.0	18.5	
		4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	75.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	25.0	58.3	8.3	
		TOTAL	521	98.3	91.7	68.3	13.8	0.0	19.8	16.5	5.6	
	TRACY	1ST DUI	202	100.0	99.0	84.2	1.5	0.0	2.5	8.4	1.0	
		2ND DUI	71	97.2	98.6	8.5	81.7	0.0	80.3	5.6	36.6	
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	75.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	50.0	
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	289	98.6	99.0	61.2	25.3	0.0	24.6	9.7	11.8	
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	10	90.0	100.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2ND DUI	7	57.1	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3
3RD DUI			3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4TH+ DUI			14	57.1	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			34	64.7	97.1	8.8	8.8	0.0	2.9	0.0	2.9	
JUV SL OBISPO		1ST DUI	13	100.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	15.4	61.5	0.0	
		TOTAL	13	100.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	15.4	61.5	0.0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO		1ST DUI	1051	98.4	97.4	94.8	0.8	0.0	2.9	1.1	0.0	
		2ND DUI	305	99.0	99.0	6.9	87.2	0.0	84.6	0.3	3.9	
		3RD DUI	84	97.6	96.4	4.8	75.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	3.6	
	4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	54.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	1451	98.3	97.7	70.4	23.6	0.0	21.3	0.9	1.0		
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	37	73.0	94.6	13.5	13.5	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	22	59.1	100.0	4.5	18.2	0.0	9.1	0.0	4.5	
		3RD DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	
		4TH+ DUI	25	52.0	88.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	16.0	4.0	16.0	
		TOTAL	93	61.3	94.6	6.5	17.2	0.0	8.6	1.1	6.5	
	SUP SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	23	87.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	17.4	65.2	0.0	
		TOTAL	23	87.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	17.4	65.2	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN MATEO (cont.)	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	1330	98.6	97.1	93.3	1.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	1.0	
		2ND DUI	330	98.5	97.3	5.2	89.1	0.0	82.1	2.4	70.6	
		3RD DUI	59	96.6	98.3	0.0	89.8	0.0	78.0	0.0	74.6	
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	66.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	1725	98.5	97.1	72.9	21.3	0.0	20.4	0.5	17.0	
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	915	98.5	99.2	93.8	1.4	0.0	2.4	0.1	1.1	
		2ND DUI	266	96.6	98.5	5.3	87.6	0.0	78.6	1.1	66.9	
		3RD DUI	49	89.8	98.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	57.1	2.0	46.9	
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	1238	97.7	98.9	70.4	23.7	0.0	21.2	0.4	17.4	
	SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
			2ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3RD DUI			3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	
4TH+ DUI			6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			21	76.2	90.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	
SUP SANTA MARIA		1ST DUI	9	66.7	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	12	50.0	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	
		TOTAL	38	44.7	94.7	0.0	2.6	0.0	5.3	0.0	2.6	
JUV SANTA BARBARA		1ST DUI	10	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	
TOTAL		10	80.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0		
JUV LOMPOC		1ST DUI	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
2ND DUI		1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
TOTAL		13	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	0.0		
SANTA BARBARA		1ST DUI	990	96.9	69.3	92.8	0.6	0.0	81.7	15.2	0.2	
		2ND DUI	227	96.5	95.6	5.3	35.2	0.0	39.2	57.7	13.7	
		3RD DUI	52	92.3	98.1	3.8	71.2	0.0	15.4	69.2	1.9	
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3	85.7	14.3	
		TOTAL	1276	96.6	75.2	73.1	10.0	0.0	71.1	25.3	2.7	
SANTA MARIA		1ST DUI	399	99.2	90.5	73.2	2.5	0.0	26.6	20.8	0.5	
	2ND DUI	139	97.1	97.8	5.0	70.5	0.0	43.2	56.8	15.8		
	3RD DUI	29	96.6	100.0	0.0	55.2	0.0	20.7	75.9	13.8		
	4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7		
	TOTAL	570	98.4	92.8	52.5	22.1	0.0	30.4	32.3	5.3		
LOMPOC	1ST DUI	211	97.6	15.6	89.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	10.4	0.0		
	2ND DUI	67	100.0	89.6	10.4	83.6	0.0	76.1	7.5	29.9		
	3RD DUI	22	90.9	100.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	36.4	54.5	9.1		
	TOTAL	300	97.7	38.3	65.3	23.3	0.0	20.3	13.0	7.3		
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	114	82.5	91.2	31.6	4.4	0.0	10.5	18.4	0.9	
		2ND DUI	51	72.5	94.1	5.9	21.6	0.0	11.8	15.7	0.0	
		3RD DUI	32	59.4	90.6	9.4	12.5	0.0	9.4	0.0	6.3	
		4TH+ DUI	57	64.9	93.0	0.0	21.1	0.0	5.3	1.8	0.0	
		TOTAL	254	73.6	92.1	16.5	12.6	0.0	9.4	11.8	1.2	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SANTA CLARA (cont.)	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	52	78.8	38.5	67.3	0.0	0.0	1.9	67.3	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	53	79.2	39.6	66.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	66.0	0.0	
	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	394	98.7	96.7	90.1	1.5	0.0	6.3	4.6	0.3	
		2ND DUI	94	98.9	96.8	7.4	79.8	0.0	89.4	0.0	33.0	
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	90.9	0.0	36.4	0.0	40.9	0.0	31.8	
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	514	98.8	96.5	70.4	17.5	0.0	23.2	3.5	7.6	
	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	3198	87.9	95.1	77.4	2.2	0.0	7.8	16.5	2.3	
		2ND DUI	1050	84.4	92.4	5.2	63.0	0.0	69.8	13.5	63.7	
		3RD DUI	257	76.7	59.5	2.3	18.3	0.0	56.4	6.6	64.2	
		4TH+ DUI	19	63.2	57.9	0.0	10.5	0.0	52.6	0.0	68.4	
		TOTAL	4524	86.3	92.3	56.0	17.2	0.0	25.1	15.2	20.4	
	SAN JOSE TRAF	2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
	SUNNYVALE	1ST DUI	435	97.7	94.7	88.7	1.6	0.0	6.2	10.8	0.7	
		2ND DUI	127	97.6	98.4	11.0	81.1	0.0	79.5	5.5	28.3	
		3RD DUI	21	100.0	81.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	9.5	
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	
		TOTAL	586	97.8	95.1	68.4	19.5	0.0	23.0	9.2	7.2	
	GILROY	1ST DUI	442	97.1	96.8	77.4	4.1	0.0	7.7	15.2	1.8	
		2ND DUI	144	96.5	98.6	6.9	68.8	0.0	53.5	27.1	33.3	
		3RD DUI	36	100.0	94.4	0.0	16.7	0.0	11.1	2.8	11.1	
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	
TOTAL		627	96.8	97.0	56.1	19.6	0.0	18.5	17.1	9.7		
SANTA CRUZ	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	14	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	7.1	64.3	0.0	
		TOTAL	14	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	7.1	64.3	0.0	
	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	764	99.2	97.0	93.1	1.0	0.0	5.4	0.7	0.0	
		2ND DUI	264	98.1	98.9	4.2	72.3	0.0	73.5	1.5	0.0	
		3RD DUI	71	95.8	97.2	1.4	5.6	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	18	72.2	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	1117	98.3	97.3	64.7	18.2	0.0	21.3	1.3	0.0	
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	321	99.7	98.4	91.0	0.3	0.0	6.9	1.9	0.0	
		2ND DUI	113	99.1	100.0	3.5	49.6	0.0	51.3	0.9	0.0	
		3RD DUI	37	94.6	97.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	475	99.2	98.7	62.3	12.0	0.0	16.8	2.1	0.0	
	SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
TOTAL			3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
JUV SHASTA		1ST DUI	10	70.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	19	63.2	63.2	21.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.9	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SHASTA (cont.)	BURNEY	1ST DUI	33	100.0	97.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	38	97.4	94.7	84.2	7.9	0.0	10.5	0.0	2.6
	REDDING	1ST DUI	581	98.1	98.3	89.2	2.4	0.0	9.5	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	197	92.9	99.0	9.1	74.6	0.0	61.9	11.2	6.6
		3RD DUI	65	96.9	86.2	3.1	49.2	1.5	26.2	32.3	10.8
		4TH+ DUI	16	25.0	93.8	6.3	6.3	0.0	6.3	6.3	0.0
		TOTAL	859	95.5	97.4	62.7	22.6	0.1	22.7	9.1	2.3
		SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	14	100.0	92.9	92.9	0.0	0.0	14.3
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	93.3	86.7	6.7	0.0	20.0	6.7	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	5	80.0	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEED	1ST DUI	102	98.0	88.2	82.4	1.0	0.0	7.8	8.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	96.8	93.5	9.7	74.2	0.0	45.2	6.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	90.0	0.0	90.0	10.0	30.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	143	97.9	89.5	60.8	23.1	0.7	17.5	8.4	0.0
	YREKA JUDIST	1ST DUI	118	98.3	95.8	83.9	2.5	0.0	1.7	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	84.4	100.0	15.6	62.5	0.0	21.9	6.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	100.0	22.2	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	164	92.7	97.0	64.6	15.9	0.0	6.1	3.0	0.0
		SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1ST DUI	18	83.3	100.0	33.3	11.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	28.6	100.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	66.7	91.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	42	64.3	95.2	19.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	19.0	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	22	40.9	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
		TOTAL	22	40.9	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	697	98.9	99.7	92.7	3.4	0.0	7.0	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	244	97.5	99.2	11.1	83.2	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	51	74.5	98.0	3.9	56.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	997	97.0	99.5	67.7	25.9	0.1	9.9	0.2	0.0
	BENICIA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
	TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
VALLEJO	1ST DUI	312	99.7	88.8	96.8	1.3	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	
	2ND DUI	88	100.0	98.9	13.6	85.2	0.0	81.8	0.0	1.1	
	3RD DUI	21	90.5	95.2	4.8	71.4	0.0	61.9	0.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	424	98.8	91.3	74.5	22.2	0.0	30.2	0.0	0.2	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	20	70.0	90.0	15.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	24	54.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	59	55.9	96.6	5.1	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	33	27.3	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	33	27.3	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1469	97.8	96.9	81.5	1.2	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	420	97.4	99.0	6.9	66.2	0.0	59.8	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	122	92.6	97.5	0.8	18.0	0.0	10.7	0.0	1.6	
		4TH+ DUI	33	87.9	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2044	97.2	97.5	60.0	15.7	0.0	16.6	0.0	0.1	
	STANISLAUS	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	635	98.0	97.5	90.4	3.0	0.0	7.1	1.1	0.3
			2ND DUI	207	96.6	95.2	10.6	75.8	0.0	33.3	13.0	2.4
3RD DUI			66	98.5	98.5	3.0	77.3	0.0	7.6	21.2	1.5	
4TH+ DUI			20	70.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	
TOTAL			928	97.1	97.1	64.4	25.1	0.0	12.8	5.4	0.9	
JUV STANISLAUS		1ST DUI	40	37.5	30.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	40	37.5	30.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	0.0	
MODESTO		1ST DUI	500	98.8	96.4	95.6	2.2	0.0	4.6	1.2	0.2	
		2ND DUI	115	99.1	98.3	8.7	80.0	0.0	32.2	20.9	4.3	
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	93.8	6.3	68.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	6.3	
		4TH+ DUI	11	72.7	90.9	0.0	45.5	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	
		TOTAL	642	98.4	96.6	76.2	18.5	0.0	9.7	4.8	1.1	
TURLOCK		1ST DUI	134	99.3	99.3	92.5	3.7	0.0	1.5	2.2	0.7	
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	100.0	10.3	87.2	0.0	35.9	7.7	17.9	
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	83.3	16.7	83.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	180	99.4	98.9	71.7	25.0	0.0	10.6	3.3	5.0	
SUTTER		YUBA SUP MUN	1ST DUI	8	75.0	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
	2ND DUI		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
	3RD DUI		3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	
	TOTAL		12	83.3	100.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
	JUV YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	254	98.8	98.0	94.9	1.6	0.0	3.1	2.8	0.0	
		2ND DUI	82	97.6	98.8	12.2	79.3	0.0	57.3	13.4	1.2	
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	100.0	3.8	46.2	0.0	11.5	23.1	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	363	98.3	98.3	69.4	22.3	0.0	16.0	6.6	0.3	
	TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1ST DUI	8	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
2ND DUI			2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
3RD DUI			2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4TH+ DUI			5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			17	47.1	100.0	11.8	11.8	0.0	5.9	11.8	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TEHAMA (cont.)	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	11	90.9	72.7	18.2	0.0	0.0	36.4	54.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	91.7	75.0	16.7	8.3	0.0	41.7	50.0	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	87	93.1	93.1	89.7	0.0	0.0	78.2	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	77.8	100.0	11.1	66.7	0.0	66.7	11.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	129	85.3	95.3	63.6	19.4	0.0	72.1	4.7	0.0
	RED BLUFF	1ST DUI	148	95.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	9.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	55	83.6	100.0	3.6	58.2	0.0	56.4	7.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	33.3	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	215	89.3	99.1	0.9	15.8	0.0	20.0	8.8	0.0
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1ST DUI	20	95.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	5.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	90.9	0.0	63.6	9.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	35	94.3	94.3	45.7	28.6	2.9	25.7	5.7	0.0
	JUV TRINITY	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	HAYFORK	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEAVERVILLE TRINITY	1ST DUI	50	98.0	96.0	82.0	6.0	0.0	2.0	6.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	87.5	31.3	62.5	0.0	81.3	6.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
TOTAL		70	98.6	94.3	65.7	20.0	0.0	20.0	8.6	0.0	
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1ST DUI	36	72.2	97.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	47	66.0	97.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV TULARE	1ST DUI	24	41.7	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	37.5	0.0
		TOTAL	24	41.7	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	37.5	0.0
	DINUBA	1ST DUI	176	97.7	98.9	84.7	1.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.6
		2ND DUI	63	93.7	98.4	7.9	25.4	0.0	27.0	0.0	3.2
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	248	96.8	98.8	62.1	7.3	0.0	10.5	0.0	1.2
EXETER	1ST DUI	37	97.3	97.3	75.7	16.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2ND DUI	10	90.0	100.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	48	95.8	97.9	60.4	31.3	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	
LINDSAY- EXETER	1ST DUI	14	100.0	100.0	85.7	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	
	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	23	100.0	100.0	52.2	39.1	0.0	8.7	4.3	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE (cont.)	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	439	98.6	98.9	82.7	4.8	0.0	4.6	0.2	0.2
		2ND DUI	144	97.2	98.6	9.0	75.0	0.0	52.8	0.7	14.6
		3RD DUI	39	92.3	94.9	0.0	59.0	0.0	25.6	7.7	12.8
		4TH+ DUI	15	73.3	93.3	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	13.3
		TOTAL	637	97.3	98.4	59.0	24.3	0.0	17.1	0.8	4.6
	TULARE	1ST DUI	287	99.3	98.3	88.9	3.5	0.0	5.6	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	91	97.8	98.9	6.6	60.4	0.0	60.4	16.5	2.2
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	0.0	47.4	0.0	42.1	10.5	5.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	400	99.0	98.5	65.3	18.5	0.0	19.7	5.5	0.8
	VISALIA	1ST DUI	553	97.5	98.2	78.1	10.7	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.7
		2ND DUI	133	94.7	95.5	7.5	77.4	0.8	72.9	0.0	15.8
		3RD DUI	27	96.3	96.3	11.1	74.1	0.0	44.4	3.7	14.8
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	722	96.3	97.6	61.6	25.5	0.1	19.3	0.1	4.2
	WOODLAKE-EXETER	1ST DUI	5	80.0	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
4TH+ DUI		1	85.7	85.7	57.1	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		7	85.7	85.7	57.1	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	
TUOLUMNE	SUP SONORA	1ST DUI	212	95.3	98.1	91.0	1.4	0.0	3.3	9.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	78	96.2	100.0	6.4	84.6	0.0	80.8	3.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	73.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	26.7	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	309	93.5	98.7	64.1	23.9	0.0	23.9	7.8	0.0
VENTURA	SUP VENTURA	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	JUV VENTURA	1ST DUI	10	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA MUN	1ST DUI	2656	98.2	99.1	87.8	1.5	0.0	2.3	8.2	0.2
		2ND DUI	696	97.8	97.6	13.5	71.8	0.0	80.2	6.9	16.4
		3RD DUI	147	90.5	98.0	7.5	64.6	0.0	68.0	13.6	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	33	81.8	90.9	9.1	42.4	3.0	36.4	9.1	6.1
		TOTAL	3532	97.7	98.7	69.1	18.3	0.0	20.7	8.2	4.0
YOLO	SUP WOODLAND	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WOODLAND	1ST DUI	471	98.7	96.4	90.4	3.0	0.0	4.2	5.3	0.2
		2ND DUI	134	97.8	99.3	11.2	77.6	0.7	75.4	0.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	100.0	3.1	37.5	0.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	645	98.1	97.2	68.8	20.2	0.2	20.0	4.7	0.2		
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1998 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
YUBA (cont.)	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	190	97.4	95.3	92.6	1.1	0.0	0.5	1.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	75	100.0	98.7	8.0	76.0	0.0	69.3	5.3	0.0	
		3RD DUI	20	95.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	25.0	10.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	285	97.9	96.5	63.9	22.8	0.0	20.4	3.2	0.0	
	BEALE AFB	1ST DUI	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR*** VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
1996												
Suspension	4,637	8.6	35.2	2.5	2.93	1.79	3.88	8.47	1.44	.41	.06	2.65
SB 38 program & restriction	7,823	11.6	35.9	2.9	2.94	1.67	3.02	7.53	1.52	.42	.05	2.64
SB 38 program & Interlock	5,412	9.5	35.9	2.2	3.23	1.97	3.05	7.86	1.53	.42	.05	2.74
Other	7,304	10.8	36.0	2.9	3.03	1.79	3.54	7.97	1.48	.41	.05	2.66
Statistical significance test		$\chi^2 = 34.1^*$	$F = 6.4^*$	$\chi^2 = 9.23^*$	$F = 3.56^*$	$F = 5.6^*$	$F = 40.1^*$	$F = 6.2^*$	$F = 97.8^*$	$F = 19.6^*$	$F = 84.5^*$	$F = 28.9^*$
1998												
Suspension	3,581	10.1	36.0	2.6	2.73	1.80	3.26	6.29	1.52	.42	.06	2.62
SB 38 program & restriction	6,248	12.2	36.2	2.7	2.78	1.82	2.44	5.69	1.58	.43	.05	2.65
SB 38 program & Interlock	5,975	9.6	36.1	2.1	2.74	1.75	2.34	5.44	1.61	.43	.05	2.71
Other	6,961	11.1	36.7	2.6	2.74	1.78	2.97	5.70	1.57	.42	.05	2.65
Statistical significance test		$\chi^2 = 24.9^*$	$F = 4.6^*$	$\chi^2 = 5.6^*$	$F = .19$	$F = .36$	$F = 44.2^*$	$F = 6.2^*$	$F = 84.2^*$	$F = 10.6^*$	$F = 98.6^*$	$F = 7.66^*$

*Statistical significance at $p < .05$, (two-tailed).

**The ZIP Code indices for the 1996 and 1998 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data.

***These violations are undercounted due to an error in the program that tallies these violations.

TABLE B6: ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	MONTHS IN STUDY	PERCENT SINGLE	PERCENT LEAVE WORK 4 PM	PERCENT ELEM. EDUCATION	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT RENTING	TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MIN.)
1996								
Suspension	4,637	44.4	28.8	NA	15.7	6.5	NA	25.6
SB 38 program & restriction	7,823	43.9	28.6	NA	12.9	6.4	NA	26.5
SB 38 program & interlock	5,412	44.3	30.5	NA	15.1	8.1	NA	27.0
Other	7,304	44.1	28.3	NA	14.2	6.5	NA	26.4
Statistical significance test		$F = 16.9^*$	$F = 106.5^*$		$F = 64.7^*$	$F = 32.1^*$		$F = 75.9^*$
1998								
Suspension	3,581	19.9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SB 38 program & restriction	6,248	19.9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
SB 38 program & interlock	5,975	19.9	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Other	6,961	19.8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Statistical significance test		$F = 1.5$						

*Statistical significance at $p < .05$, (two-tailed).