



2021

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE
CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT
INFORMATION SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

**GAVIN C. NEWSOM
Governor**

**TOKS OMISHAKIN, Secretary
California State Transportation Agency**

**STEVE GORDON
Director**

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2022

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE*Form Approved*
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) May 2022		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2021 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Sladjana Oulad Daoud				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-22-262	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	

12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES** - Corresponding author: Sladjana.OuladDaoud@dmv.ca.gov**14. ABSTRACT**

In this thirtieth annual legislatively mandated report, 2018 and 2019 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs (DUI) data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, postconviction sanctions, driver license suspension/revocation actions, and on drivers in alcohol- or drug-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested each year over a period of 29 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves for the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 2005. Two analyses were conducted to evaluate if referrals to alcohol and drug education programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes among those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of convicted first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2018, who were referred to, enrolled in, and completed DUI programs are also presented. Additionally, the numbers and percentages of DUI offenders who installed ignition interlock devices are presented by county and DUI offender status.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, DUI Program, license suspension/revocation, drugged driving, ignition interlock

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: Unclassified			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
A. REPORT Unclassified	B. ABSTRACT Unclassified	C. THIS PAGE Unclassified	None	177	Karin Oakley
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 916-657-5768

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2009 - 2019

DUI measures	YEAR										
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
DUI arrest rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	880	823	752	712	651	619	546	491	458	470	455
Total DUI arrests ^a	208531	195879	180212 ^b	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548	127437	124141
Felony DUI arrests ^a	5577	4902	4655	5047	4789	4835	4899	5186	4944	4919	4920
Misdemeanor DUI arrests ^a	202954	190977	175557	167846	155599	149908	136473	124868	118604	122518	119221
Total DUI convictions ^c	161074	148042	142121	133525	121304	116190	106627	98430	93606	93926	N/A
DUI conviction rates ^c	77.2%	73.1% ^d	73.3% ^d	73.7% ^d	72.5% ^d	72.7% ^d	72.6% ^d	73.6% ^d	72.9% ^d	71.1%	N/A
Alcohol- or drug-involved reckless driving convictions ^c	19802	19552	19204	17568	16494	14563	12887	11803	11303	12231	N/A
Percent convicted of alcohol or drug reckless driving ^c	9.5%	8.1% ^d	7.9% ^d	8.1% ^d	8.1% ^d	7.3% ^d	7.0% ^d	7.1% ^d	7.0% ^d	7.4%	N/A
Alcohol-involved crash fatalities ^e	1263	1072	1089	1169	1197	1155	1144	1223	1294	1221	1187
% of total crash fatalities	41.1	39.1	38.5	39.0	38.6	36.9	33.3	31.7	33.1	32.1	31.8
Alcohol-involved crash injuries ^e	26058	24343	23853	23905	23178	23993	25152	27394	26967	27425	27333
% of total crash injuries	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.4	10.4	9.9	9.8	9.7	10.0	10.2
Drug-involved crash fatalities ^f	713	696	709	818	892	864	831	733	829	742	798
% of total crash fatalities	23.2	25.4	25.0	27.3	28.7	27.6	24.2	19.0	21.2	19.5	21.4
Drug-involved crash injuries ^f	2309	2384	2289	2622	2489	2867	3031	3233	2982	2976	2998
% of total crash injuries	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.1

Note: N/A indicates that this information is not available yet for 2019.

^aThese totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center data.

^bDue to the underreporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, the total for 2011 is undercounted by approximately 6,500 DUI arrests.

^cThese figures show the total counts of convictions and conviction rates, by year of violation, as typically reported in Section 2 of this report.

^dThe 2010 and later DUI conviction rates and percent convicted of alcohol-reckless driving are derived using different data extraction procedures than those used in years prior to 2010 and are not comparable to figures in those prior years. These rates are computed only on “matchable DUI cases”, and not by using total DUI arrests divided by total DUI convictions presented in this table. See Section 2 for more details.

^eFor some fatalities and injuries in these figures, drugs were also involved. These figures were provided by CHP on January 4, 2022.

^fFor some fatalities and injuries in these figures, alcohol was also involved. These figures were provided by CHP on January 4, 2022.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2009 - 2019 (CONTINUED)

DUI license actions	YEAR										
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Total mandatory suspension/ revocation (S/R) actions	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833	255357	239491	227595	227719	225624
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405	130468	120339	115374	117535	117067
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213	9074	8184	7227	6561	6542
.08 First-offender suspensions	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014	86933	80371	77689	79776	80091
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823	31093	28439	27032	27409	26572
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789	3862
Commercial driver actions	3964 ^g	3614 ^g	3108 ^g	2983 ^g	2782 ^g	2498	2322	2087	1988	1818	1748
Chemical test refusal actions	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089	9257	9262	9489	10647	11016
.01 Test refusal suspensions	372	354	279	280	300	286	293	269	248	223	245
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448	5596	5648	6118	6998	7300
.08 Test refusal revocations	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789	3862
POSTCONVICTION^h											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	482	538	351	312	311	253	246	234	249	159	160
First-offender suspensions	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845	82570	78933	74400	72833	72100
Misdemeanor	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955	80492	76712	72116	70539	69624
Felony	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890	2078	2221	2284	2294	2476
Second-offender S/R actions	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935	31587	29948	28029	28051	27198
Misdemeanor	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275	30896	29183	27271	27217	26379
Felony	681	637	641	623	637	660	691	765	758	834	826
Third-offender revocations	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239	8160	7699	7358	7008	6919
Misdemeanor	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019	7938	7453	7111	6732	6648
Felony	242	198	256	231	219	220	222	246	247	276	271
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156	2326	2338	2185	2133	2180
Total postconviction S/R actions	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428	124889	119152	112221	110184	108557

^gPrevious counts have been adjusted to include commercial driver APS actions not previously identified as such.

^hThese totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2021 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

Background

The California Driving Under the Influence Management Information System (DUI-MIS) was developed in California in 1989 as a result of the legislative mandate that required the development of a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI in California.

The annual report of the California DUI-MIS provides current and comprehensive statistics on the processing of DUI offenders from the point of arrest through adjudication to treatment and license control actions. The report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, postconviction sanctions, administrative license actions, and on drivers in crashes involving alcohol and drugs. In compliance with provisions of the law, evaluations of the efficacy of specific sanctions are also included in the report. Specifically, two separate analyses were conducted to evaluate: 1) if referrals to alcohol and drug education programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes among drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and 2) if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The report is divided into six sections, with each section covering specific topics. The following are highlights from each section of the 2021 report reflecting on the current state of DUI in California.

DUI Summary Statistics

- ◆ Alcohol-involved crash fatalities decreased by 2.8% in 2019, following a decrease of 5.6% in 2018 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ Drug-involved crash fatalities increased by 7.5% in 2019, after a decrease of 10.5% in 2018.
- ◆ Of the total number of crash fatalities in 2019, 31.8% were alcohol-involved, which is only marginally lower than the 32.1% in 2018. The percentage of drug-involved fatalities increased from the prior year's 19.5% to 21.4% in 2019.
- ◆ In 2019, 10.2% of total crash injuries were alcohol-involved; relatively unchanged from 10.0% reported for 2018.

- ◆ The DUI arrest rate per 100,000 licensed drivers decreased by 3.2% in 2019, following an increase of 2.6% in 2018.

Section 1: DUI Arrests

- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 2.6% in 2019, after increasing by 3.1% in 2018. After a one-year increase in 2018, DUI arrests in 2019 potentially resumed a declining trend that began in 2008 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- ◆ The median (midpoint) age of a DUI arrestee in 2019 was 31 years and almost three-quarters (72.4%) of arrestees were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.4%) of all DUI arrestees were juveniles (under age 18) and 4.5% were drivers over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- ◆ Males comprised 77.2% of all 2019 DUI arrests, about the same as in 2018 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.8% in 2019.
- ◆ Based on data from the Department of Justice (DOJ), Hispanic drivers (51.6%) were the largest racial/ethnic group among 2019 DUI arrestees, as has been the case each year for over a decade. Hispanic individuals continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (36.7% in 2019). This is shown in Figure 3.

Section 2: Convictions

- ◆ 71.1% of 2018 DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).
- ◆ 6.0% of DUI convictions among those arrested in 2018 were driving under the influence of drugs (DUID) convictions. This represents a slight increase from 5.7% among DUI offenders arrested in 2017, which was itself marginally higher than the 5.5% among DUI offenders arrested in 2016 (see Table 5a).
- ◆ Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2018, 72.5% were first offenders and 27.5% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10 years), relatively unchanged from 2017 (see Table 8). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37%, even though prior DUI convictions are currently retained on record, and thus counted, longer than in the past (10 years compared to 7 years in 1989).

- ◆ The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.16% in 2018, which is double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).
- ◆ In 2018, 18.9% of DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) records, higher than the value in 2017 (17.6%; see Table 6). This percentage has seen a considerable increase over the course of 8 years (it was 15.5% in 2010).

Section 3: Postconviction Sanctions

- ◆ The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2018 was probation (96.0%), while the least frequently imposed court sanction was ignition interlock (9.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.5% of the cases (see Table 9a).
- ◆ Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2018, 67.8% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.9% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9a).
- ◆ The majority (59.1%) of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest were first offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties; between 37% and 50% of first DUI offenders in each pilot county (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date (see Table 10b).

Section 4: Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness

- ◆ The 1-year DUI reoffense rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2018 was 4.0% compared to 7.6% in 1990. The 1-year reoffense rate for second DUI offenders was 5.3% compared to 9.7% in 1990. Each of these represents approximately 45% to 47% fewer reoffenses compared to that of 1990 arrestees (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ Long-term reoffense rates, those occurring over years following an initial DUI conviction, are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers (see Figures 8b, 8c, and 8d).
- ◆ Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who, by court order, enrolled in a DUI program, 85.9% of first offenders and 38.2% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13).

Section 5: License Suspension/Revocation Actions

- ◆ The total number of both DMV APS and DUI postconviction suspension or revocation actions decreased by 0.9% in 2019. After a marginal increase in 2018, this potentially resumes a declining trend that started in 2008 (see Table 15).
- ◆ In 2019, 117,067 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74.0% were first-offender actions (including “zero tolerance” actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.0% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).

Section 6: Drivers in Crashes Involving Alcohol and Drugs

- ◆ While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by about 12% over the past 24 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities tripled over the same time period (see Figure 11).
- ◆ Of all 2018 DUI arrests, 20.5% were associated with a reported traffic crash, whereas 8.1% were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities. Both of these statistics have been fairly stable since 2016 (see Table 17).
- ◆ In 2018, three-fourths (74.5%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction. In contrast, the majority (59.0%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a).

DUI-MIS Dashboards

Starting in 2022, the data presented in the DUI-MIS reports can also be viewed as a set of online dashboards prepared and maintained by the Research & Development Branch of the California Department of Motor Vehicles. To access these dashboards, visit the DMV’s website at: <https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/dmv-research-reports/research-development-data-dashboards/dui-management-information-system-dashboards/>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, the author acknowledges the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, for providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, for providing annual alcohol- and drug-involved crash data and documentation. The contributions of Karin Oakley, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production and publication of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. Helen N. Tashima, Retired Annuitant, was the first author and the principal investigator of this report throughout the years and a great mentor to the author. Her essential role in the project and her dedication over the years were invaluable. Further, the author acknowledges, with great appreciation, the contributions of Heather R. Rees, Research Data Specialist I, for information presented in Section 5 of this report. Finally, the author greatly appreciates the contributions of Dario Sacchi, Research Data Supervisor II, and Bayliss J. Camp, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Author:

Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Data Specialist II, Principal Investigator.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2009-2019	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2021 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS	5
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS	7
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS	17
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS	31
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS	51
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES	54
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2018	54
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018	58
Long-Term Recidivism Rates of 2005 DUI Offenders	61
Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018	67
EVALUATIONS OF DUI PROGRAM SANCTIONS FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG- RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	68
Background	68
Methods	68
Results of the Evaluation of Alcohol and Drug Education Program Assignment for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Driving	71
Evaluation of 9-Month DUI Program Assignment for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug- Related Reckless Drivers	72
Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Program Assignment for First DUI Offenders	73
SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS	75
SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS	79
REFERENCES	91

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975	97
GLOSSARY	112
ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450	115
B APPENDIX TABLES	117
B1 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY...	117
B2 DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE	132
B3 DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT	142
B4 COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	150
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 3-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL- OR-DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	177

LIST OF TABLES

1 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, 2017–2019 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2018–2019	12
2 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST.....	13
3a 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	14
3b 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	14
3c DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2009-2019.....	15
4a DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS	22
4b DUID CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS	22
5a DUI AND DUID CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AMONG DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2015-2018.....	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
5b DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	25
5c DUID CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	26
6 ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	27
7a REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS	28
7b REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2018	29
8 DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS	29
9a COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	35
9b COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUID OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	35
9c IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	36
10a DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	37
10b IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	44
11a ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS, 1990-2018	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
11b ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUID OFFENDERS, 2015-2018.....	56
11c 2018 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	59
11d 2018 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS.....	60
12 CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 2005 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS	62
13 COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018	67
14a THE RELATIONSHIP OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG EDUCATION PROGRAM SANCTIONS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ARRESTED IN 2018	72
14b THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM SANCTIONS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018.....	74
15 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2009-2019.....	77
16 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES.....	78
17 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2008-2018	84
18 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE	85
19 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE.....	85

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
20 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE.....	86
21 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2008-2018.....	87
22a 2018 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER.....	87
22b 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED).....	87
23a 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	88
23b 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)	88
24a 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	89
24b 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED).....	89
25 2018 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES.....	90

LIST OF FIGURES

1 DUI management information system	2
2 DUI arrests, 2009-2019.....	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3	Percentage of 2019 DUI arrests and 2019 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity 11
4	DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2009-2018..... 19
5	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2018 DUI arrests) 32
6	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2018) 54
7	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2018)..... 56
8a	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 2005 DUI offenders..... 62
8b	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 2005 DUI offenders..... 63
8c	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 2005 DUI offenders..... 64
8d	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 2005 DUI offenders 65
8e	Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2005 DUI offenders..... 65
9a	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers (arrested in 2018) by alcohol and drug education program assignment 71
9b	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2018) by length of DUI program sanction 73
10	Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2009-2019 81
11	Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 1995-2019 82

INTRODUCTION

This report is the thirtieth *Annual Report of the California Driving Under the Influence Management Information System (DUI-MIS)*, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session, adding Section 1821 to the California Vehicle Code (see Appendix A). This bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to “establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted” of DUI in order to provide “accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics” to enhance “the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.” The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI-MIS was developed by Helander (1989) and is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In subsequent years, as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions within selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on “Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness.”




Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system. The publication of these data may assist others in making policy decisions, formulating improvements, and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report have led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of minor errors in processing and/or reporting of DUI data, to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994.¹ In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's MACR system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the annual number of DUI arrests.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts), they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the Automated Name Index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract information. Conviction data are subject to change since abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed after the initial abstract of conviction is reported to DMV. Also, reporting, and non-reporting errors can occur as with DUI arrest data.

Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the CHP by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

¹ Similarly, there was an undercount of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests for April 2011 by CHP.

SECTION 1:
DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information on driving under the influence (DUI) arrests presented below is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County, 2017–2019 and Annual Percentage Change, 2018-2019. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2017-2019 and the percentage change from 2018 to 2019 are shown in Table 1.


Table 2: 2019 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2019 DUI arrests by arrest type (i.e., felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor arrests), by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2019 DUI Arrests by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross-tabulates age by gender and age by race/ethnicity of 2019 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the median age for 2019 arrestees. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by gender and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 2009-2019. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 2009 to 2019. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2: DUI Arrests, 2009-2019. Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 2009 to 2019.

Figure 3: Percentage of 2019 DUI Arrests and 2019 Projected Population (Age 15 and Over, based on the 2010 Census) by Race/Ethnicity. Figure 3 shows the percentages of 2019 DUI arrests and 2019 projected population by race/ethnicity.



Note. Due to the non-reporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, an undercount is present in the figures for 2011 (with approximately 6,500 fewer total DUI arrests).

Figure 2. DUI arrests, 2009-2019.

Based on the data shown in the figures and tables listed above, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters

- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 2.6% in 2019, after increasing by 3.1% in 2018. DUI arrests have decreased after one year of increase in 2018, which itself followed a nine-year trend of continuous decline (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- ◆ Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.5 in 2019, which is the same as it has been each year since 2015. The 2019 rate represents a 72% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- ◆ The percentage of felony DUI arrests in 2019 was 3.9%, relatively unchanged from 3.8% in 2018. This type of DUI arrest constitutes a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation

- ◆ Of all 2019 California DUI arrests, 19.0% occurred in Los Angeles County. Three counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, and Orange) had over 9,000 DUI arrests each, together accounting for 35.2% of all arrests (see Table 2).
- ◆ The 2019 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.2 to 1.4 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate was 0.5). Twenty two counties had arrest rates of 0.5 or below in 2019. Thirteen counties had rates of 0.4 or below: Alameda (0.4), Calaveras (0.4), El Dorado (0.4), Los Angeles (0.4), Riverside (0.4), Sacramento (0.4), San Diego (0.4), San Mateo (0.4), Yolo (0.4), Contra Costa (0.3), Placer (0.3), Santa Clara (0.3), and San Francisco (0.2). This is shown in Table 2.
- ◆ More than two thirds of California counties (69%) had fewer DUI arrests in 2019 than in 2018. Among the 10 counties with at least 4,000 DUI arrests, the greatest percentage decrease occurred in Riverside (-13.3%), while there was an increase in DUI arrests in Sacramento (15.6%) and Fresno (9.9%). Among the remaining 48 counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Alpine (-47.4%), San Benito (-34.0%), Modoc (-21.2%), and Lake (-20.6%). Small counties showing the largest percentage increase in DUI arrests were Sierra (30.4%) and Yuba (19.9%). These are shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics

- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2019 was 31 years. Almost half (47.1%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (72.4%) were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.4%) of all DUI arrestees were juveniles (under age 18), whereas 4.5% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- ◆ Among all DUI arrestees in a year, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 18 has declined from 0.6 in 2009 to 0.4 in 2019, a 33.3% relative decrease. The percentage of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 8.5 in 2009 to 5.2 in 2019, a 38.8% relative decline. This is shown in Table 3c.
- ◆ Males comprised 77.2% of all 2019 DUI arrests (see Table 3a), relatively unchanged from 77.3% in 2018. The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.8% in 2019.

- ◆ In 2019, Hispanic drivers (51.6%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year for over a decade. Hispanic individuals continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2019 population parity of 36.7% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Black individuals were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (9.3% of arrests, 6.1% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2019 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (31.1% of arrests, 38.7% of the population) and “Other” (8.0% of arrests, 18.5% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- ◆ Among male 2019 DUI arrestees, 55.2% were Hispanic, 27.9% were White, 9.0% were Black, and 7.8% were “Other.” Among female DUI arrestees, 41.8% were White, 39.4% were Hispanic, 10.3% were Black, and 8.4% were “Other” (see Table 3b).

- ◆ In 16 out of 58 counties, Hispanic drivers comprised more than half of those arrested for DUI during 2019. In particular, the following were the eight counties with the highest percentage of Hispanic DUI arrestees: Imperial (82.2%), San Benito (76.9%), Tulare (73.9%), Monterey (73.0%), Madera (72.5%), Kings (71.7%), Merced (70.8%), and Fresno (65.2%). In 33 out of the remaining 42 counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).

- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: White and Black arrestees were the oldest with a median age of 35.0 and 33.0 years, respectively, while “Other” and Hispanic arrestees had a median age of 31.0 and 30.0 years, respectively (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2019 DUI arrests and 2019 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS^a BY COUNTY, 2017–2019 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2018–2019

COUNTY	2017	2018	2019	% CHANGE 2018-2019
STATEWIDE	123548	127437	124141	-2.6
ALAMEDA	4820	5172	5123	-0.9
ALPINE	17	19	10	-47.4
AMADOR	176	175	164	-6.3
BUTTE	1126	1062	961	-9.5
CALAVERAS	237	168	158	-6.0
COLUSA	136	148	125	-15.5
CONTRA COSTA	2639	2725	2717	-0.3
DEL NORTE	185	316	261	-17.4
EL DORADO	720	762	684	-10.2
FRESNO	3414	4873	5354	9.9
GLENN	168	165	143	-13.3
HUMBOLDT	1088	1113	1038	-6.7
IMPERIAL	674	866	764	-11.8
INYO	100	99	110	11.1
KERN	3945	4355	4000	-8.2
KINGS	690	838	807	-3.7
LAKE	411	471	374	-20.6
LASSEN	100	143	155	8.4
LOS ANGELES	25087	24642	23529	-4.5
MADERA	1080	1086	1052	-3.1
MARIN	991	1306	1118	-14.4
MARIPOSA	105	79	77	-2.5
MENDOCINO	560	590	610	3.4
MERCED	1313	1233	1316	6.7
MODOC	47	52	41	-21.2
MONO	123	141	117	-17.0
MONTEREY	2022	2114	2385	12.8
NAPA	688	812	702	-13.5
NEVADA	426	465	443	-4.7
ORANGE	10009	10934	11107	1.6
PLACER	1178	1139	994	-12.7
PLUMAS	121	159	152	-4.4
RIVERSIDE	8075	7706	6683	-13.3
SACRAMENTO	3445	4002	4625	15.6
SAN BENITO	283	426	281	-34.0
SAN BERNARDINO	7785	7599	7169	-5.7
SAN DIEGO	9866	9413	9092	-3.4
SAN FRANCISCO	841	909	934	2.8
SAN JOAQUIN	2154	2087	2240	7.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1775	1799	1633	-9.2
SAN MATEO	2281	2364	2269	-4.0
SANTA BARBARA	1848	1822	1811	-0.6
SANTA CLARA	4176	4303	4003	-7.0
SANTA CRUZ	1416	1508	1623	7.6
SHASTA	794	770	666	-13.5
SIERRA	28	23	30	30.4
SISKIYOU	300	291	228	-21.6
SOLANO	1759	1806	1625	-10.0
SONOMA	2380	2322	2382	2.6
STANISLAUS	1941	1984	2187	10.2
SUTTER	438	511	418	-18.2
TEHAMA	326	391	386	-1.3
TRINITY	144	146	125	-14.4
TULARE	2514	2511	2711	8.0
TUOLUMNE	304	335	352	5.1
VENTURA	3264	3333	3105	-6.8
YOLO	586	528	581	10.0
YUBA	429	326	391	19.9

^aDOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI ($N = 140$) removed.

TABLE 2: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF DUI ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	124141	100.0	4887	3.9	484	0.4	118770	95.7	0.5
ALAMEDA	5123	4.1	77	1.5	14	0.3	5032	98.2	0.4
ALPINE	10	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	100.0	0.9
AMADOR	164	0.1	5	3.0	0	0.0	159	97.0	0.5
BUTTE	961	0.8	39	4.1	4	0.4	918	95.5	0.6
CALAVERAS	158	0.1	4	2.5	1	0.6	153	96.8	0.4
COLUSA	125	0.1	6	4.8	1	0.8	118	94.4	0.8
CONTRA COSTA	2717	2.2	98	3.6	14	0.5	2605	95.9	0.3
DEL NORTE	261	0.2	6	2.3	2	0.8	253	96.9	1.4
EL DORADO	684	0.6	29	4.2	6	0.9	649	94.9	0.4
FRESNO	5354	4.3	140	2.6	24	0.4	5190	96.9	0.9
GLENN	143	0.1	4	2.8	0	0.0	139	97.2	0.7
HUMBOLDT	1038	0.8	20	1.9	6	0.6	1012	97.5	1.1
IMPERIAL	764	0.6	21	2.7	4	0.5	739	96.7	0.6
INYO	110	0.1	2	1.8	0	0.0	108	98.2	0.8
KERN	4000	3.2	115	2.9	13	0.3	3872	96.8	0.7
KINGS	807	0.7	21	2.6	2	0.2	784	97.1	1.0
LAKE	374	0.3	14	3.7	2	0.5	358	95.7	0.8
LASSEN	155	0.1	5	3.2	0	0.0	150	96.8	0.8
LOS ANGELES	23529	19.0	1071	4.6	37	0.2	22421	95.3	0.4
MADERA	1052	0.8	30	2.9	5	0.5	1017	96.7	1.1
MARIN	1118	0.9	34	3.0	9	0.8	1075	96.2	0.6
MARIPOSA	77	0.1	3	3.9	0	0.0	74	96.1	0.5
MENDOCINO	610	0.5	16	2.6	4	0.7	590	96.7	0.9
MERCED	1316	1.1	53	4.0	3	0.2	1260	95.7	0.8
MODOC	41	0.0	1	2.4	0	0.0	40	97.6	0.6
MONO	117	0.1	1	0.9	1	0.9	115	98.3	1.2
MONTEREY	2385	1.9	111	4.7	13	0.5	2261	94.8	0.9
NAPA	702	0.6	34	4.8	3	0.4	665	94.7	0.7
NEVADA	443	0.4	6	1.4	4	0.9	433	97.7	0.5
ORANGE	11107	8.9	302	2.7	37	0.3	10768	96.9	0.5
PLACER	994	0.8	50	5.0	6	0.6	938	94.4	0.3
PLUMAS	152	0.1	2	1.3	2	1.3	148	97.4	0.9
RIVERSIDE	6683	5.4	223	3.3	29	0.4	6431	96.2	0.4
SACRAMENTO	4625	3.7	317	6.9	17	0.4	4291	92.8	0.4
SAN BENITO	281	0.2	6	2.1	2	0.7	273	97.2	0.6
SAN BERNARDINO	7169	5.8	345	4.8	14	0.2	6810	95.0	0.5
SAN DIEGO	9092	7.3	441	4.9	33	0.4	8618	94.8	0.4
SAN FRANCISCO	934	0.8	62	6.6	0	0.0	872	93.4	0.2
SAN JOAQUIN	2240	1.8	93	4.2	7	0.3	2140	95.5	0.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1633	1.3	53	3.2	18	1.1	1562	95.7	0.8
SAN MATEO	2269	1.8	73	3.2	9	0.4	2187	96.4	0.4
SANTA BARBARA	1811	1.5	78	4.3	21	1.2	1712	94.5	0.6
SANTA CLARA	4003	3.2	240	6.0	18	0.4	3745	93.6	0.3
SANTA CRUZ	1623	1.3	52	3.2	7	0.4	1564	96.4	0.8
SHASTA	666	0.5	30	4.5	6	0.9	630	94.6	0.5
SIERRA	30	0.0	2	6.7	0	0.0	28	93.3	1.2
SISKIYOU	228	0.2	7	3.1	0	0.0	221	96.9	0.6
SOLANO	1625	1.3	41	2.5	7	0.4	1577	97.0	0.5
SONOMA	2382	1.9	86	3.6	16	0.7	2280	95.7	0.6
STANISLAUS	2187	1.8	103	4.7	10	0.5	2074	94.8	0.6
SUTTER	418	0.3	20	4.8	3	0.7	395	94.5	0.6
TEHAMA	386	0.3	14	3.6	2	0.5	370	95.9	0.9
TRINITY	125	0.1	1	0.8	0	0.0	124	99.2	1.3
TULARE	2711	2.2	101	3.7	15	0.6	2595	95.7	1.0
TUOLUMNE	352	0.3	9	2.6	3	0.9	340	96.6	0.8
VENTURA	3105	2.5	143	4.6	26	0.8	2936	94.6	0.5
YOLO	581	0.5	19	3.3	0	0.0	562	96.7	0.4
YUBA	391	0.3	8	2.0	4	1.0	379	96.9	0.8

TABLE 3a: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	124141	100.0	95839	77.2	28302	22.8	38627	31.1	64058	51.6	11554	9.3	9902	8.0
UNDER 18	486	0.4	372	76.5	114	23.5	167	34.4	281	57.8	12	2.5	26	5.3
18-20	5986	4.8	4761	79.5	1225	20.5	1341	22.4	3917	65.4	282	4.7	446	7.5
21-30	52068	41.9	39713	76.3	12355	23.7	13190	25.3	30114	57.8	4433	8.5	4331	8.3
31-40	31415	25.3	24592	78.3	6823	21.7	9148	29.1	16485	52.5	3139	10.0	2643	8.4
41-50	17201	13.9	13336	77.5	3865	22.5	5949	34.6	8120	47.2	1788	10.4	1344	7.8
51-60	11424	9.2	8813	77.1	2611	22.9	5470	47.9	3877	33.9	1303	11.4	774	6.8
61-70	4669	3.8	3578	76.6	1091	23.4	2737	58.6	1112	23.8	543	11.6	277	5.9
71 & ABOVE	892	0.7	674	75.6	218	24.4	625	70.1	152	17.0	54	6.1	61	6.8
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		31.0		35.0		30.0		33.0		31.0	

TABLE 3b: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

GENDER	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		124141	100.0	38627	31.1	64058	51.6	11554	9.3	9902	8.0
MALE	UNDER 18	372	0.4	120	32.3	225	60.5	7	1.9	20	5.4
	18-20	4761	5.0	994	20.9	3220	67.6	205	4.3	342	7.2
	21-30	39713	41.4	9210	23.2	24132	60.8	3171	8.0	3200	8.1
	31-40	24592	25.7	6413	26.1	13848	56.3	2340	9.5	1991	8.1
	41-50	13336	13.9	3971	29.8	6951	52.1	1381	10.4	1033	7.7
	51-60	8813	9.2	3731	42.3	3399	38.6	1026	11.6	657	7.5
	61-70	3578	3.7	1906	53.3	999	27.9	455	12.7	218	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	674	0.7	439	65.1	133	19.7	51	7.6	51	7.6
	TOTAL	95839	100.0	26784	27.9	52907	55.2	8636	9.0	7512	7.8
FEMALE	UNDER 18	114	0.4	47	41.2	56	49.1	5	4.4	6	5.3
	18-20	1225	4.3	347	28.3	697	56.9	77	6.3	104	8.5
	21-30	12355	43.7	3980	32.2	5982	48.4	1262	10.2	1131	9.2
	31-40	6823	24.1	2735	40.1	2637	38.6	799	11.7	652	9.6
	41-50	3865	13.7	1978	51.2	1169	30.2	407	10.5	311	8.0
	51-60	2611	9.2	1739	66.6	478	18.3	277	10.6	117	4.5
	61-70	1091	3.9	831	76.2	113	10.4	88	8.1	59	5.4
	71 & ABOVE	218	0.8	186	85.3	19	8.7	3	1.4	10	4.6
	TOTAL	28302	100.0	11843	41.8	11151	39.4	2918	10.3	2390	8.4

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2009-2019

AGE		2009	2010	2011 ^a	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548	127437	124141
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	1262	1085	891	746	600	529	517	496	539	526	486
	%	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
18-20	<i>N</i>	16382	14859	13073	11767	9846	9048	8084	7627	6624	6345	5986
	%	7.9	7.6	7.3	6.8	6.1	5.8	5.7	5.9	5.4	5.0	4.8
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	17644	15944	13964	12513	10446	9577	8601	8123	7163	6871	6472
	%	8.5	8.1	7.8	7.2	6.5	6.1	6.1	6.2	5.8	5.4	5.2

^aThe non-reporting of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests by CHP for the month of April 2011 is reflected in this table's 2011 figures.

SECTION 2:
CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of driving under the influence (DUI) arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2019, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. Tables in this section presents combined and cross-tabulated DUI conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like “2018 convictions” refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2018 and subsequently convicted. Starting with the 2013 DUI Management Information System (DUI-MIS) report, the data source, placement, and type of information provided in Figure 4 and Tables 5 and 6 have changed. In particular, since some DUI arrest data from the Department of Justice (DOJ) Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system could not be matched to the driver records on the DMV database, the information in Table 6 is estimated based only on DUI cases whose arrest and/or conviction were found on the DMV database (“matchable DUI cases”). Starting with the 2019 DUI-MIS report, separate information on drug-specific DUI conviction (DUID) is presented in this section in addition to existing overall DUI conviction information. This section contains the following tables and figures:

Table 4a: DUI Convictions by Age and Gender for 2018 DUI Arrests. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and gender. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 4b: DUID Convictions by Age and Gender for 2018 DUI Arrests. This table cross tabulates statewide DUID conviction information by age and gender.

Table 5a: DUI and DUID Convictions by County among DUI Offenders, 2015-2018. This table shows the total numbers of DUI and DUID convictions statewide and by county among DUI offenders arrested in the years 2015-2018. It also shows the percentages of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions for those years.

Table 5b: DUI Conviction Data for 2018 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows county and statewide DUI-related conviction data (felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions as well as alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. For DUI convictions, it also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.


Table 5c: DUID Conviction Data for 2018 DUI Arrests By County. This table shows county and statewide DUID conviction data (violations of CVC 23152 and CVC 23153 involving either drugs alone or the combined influence of alcohol and drugs) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. The table also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to DUID conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.

Table 6: Adjudication Status of 2018 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status (court disposition) of 2018 DUI arrests statewide and by county. It includes the estimated percentages of arrests that resulted in DUI convictions (DUI conviction rates), misdemeanor and felony DUI convictions, reckless driving convictions (alcohol/drug and non-alcohol/drug related), other convictions, and the percentage of DUI arrests with no record of any conviction. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS report, these estimates are limited to DUI arrests or individual cases from the MACR file for which a matching arrest and/or conviction was found in the DMV database. These arrest cases were tracked individually to determine their final adjudication status. In early DUI-MIS reports, the information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status in this table was obtained by dividing the total number of convictions by the total number of arrests, either statewide or by county, without matching individual cases. Starting with 2010 DUI conviction rates, this information is estimated by tracking matched individual DUI arrest cases and by calculating percentages of those that resulted in conviction of DUI, of some other type of violation, and those that resulted in no conviction.

Table 7a: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI and Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Convictions for 2018 DUI Arrests and Table 7b: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21 Arrested in 2018. Table 7a shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI and alcohol- or drug-reckless convictions, whereas Table 7b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21. Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, submitted following most DUI arrests, are used here to calculate statewide BAC levels because they report this information more completely than do abstracts of conviction.

Table 8: DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level for 2018 DUI Arrests. This table displays the percentages of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms for each offense level.

Figure 4: DUI Convictions and Conviction Rates Based on Arrest Year, 2009-2018. Figure 4 shows, for the years 2008 to 2017, the total number of DUI convictions and DUI conviction rates based on the violation year.



DUI conviction rate (percent convicted)	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	77.2%	73.1% ^a	73.3% ^a	73.7% ^a	72.5% ^a	72.7% ^a	72.6%	73.6%	72.9%	71.1%

^aDUI conviction rates for 2010 and later are based on different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to prior years.

Figure 4. DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2009-2018.

Based on this report's DUI conviction data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters

- ◆ In 2018, 71.1% of DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).
- ◆ Based on the DUI conviction data for arrests within 10 years (2009-2018), 3.6% of all California drivers (including those who do not have a permanent driving record) have one or more DUI convictions on their record.
- ◆ The percentage of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions in California increased slightly from 5.7% among DUI offenders arrested in 2017 to 6.0% among those arrested in 2018, which is higher than the 4.7% among DUI offenders arrested in 2015. Although, the number of DUID convictions increased by 5.2%, from 5,339 in 2017 to 5,618 in 2018, the total number of DUI convictions increased only by 0.3% in the same time period (see Table 5a).
- ◆ Among 2018 DUI arrests, 7.4% resulted in alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions and 1.5% resulted in reckless driving convictions not alcohol- or drug-related (see Table 6).

- ◆ Among 2018 DUI arrests, 1.0% resulted in convictions for offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, such as speed contest or driving with a suspended or revoked license (see Table 6).
- ◆ Among 2018 statewide DUI arrests, 18.9% have not yet resulted in any conviction that could be found on DMV's database. In just under half of all counties (26 out of 58), no record of conviction could be found for 20% or more of 2018 DUI arrests (see Table 6).
- ◆ The average (mean) reported non-zero BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2018, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.169%, the same as in 2017. The midpoint (median) BAC level reported was 0.16%. Both measures are at least double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).
- ◆ The average (mean) and median non-zero BAC levels increased as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increased from 0.165% BAC for first offenders to 0.192% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders (the median BAC level increased from 0.16% BAC for first offenders to 0.19% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders). This is shown in Table 8.
- ◆ Among 2018 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 72.5% were first offenders, 20.5% were second offenders, 5.3% were third offenders, and 1.7% were fourth-or-more offenders. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors for DUI in California is 10 years.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (27.5%), shown in Table 8, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years (by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005). For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5% versus 27.5% in 2018.
- ◆ The median adjudication time lags were 132 days from DUI arrest to DUI conviction and 6 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling over 4 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record (see Table 5b). However, the median adjudication time from DUI arrest to conviction is 72% longer for DUID convictions (227 days) when compared to the same adjudication time lag for overall DUI convictions (see Table 5c).

Demographic Characteristics

- ◆ The median age of convicted DUI offenders in 2018 was 32 years, identical for females and males (see Table 4a).
- ◆ Among 2018 DUI convictees, 44.9% were 30 years of age or younger and 71.2% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4a).
- ◆ Females comprised 23.5% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2018 (see Table 4a), unchanged from 23.5% last year. In general, the proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.
- ◆ The median age of convicted DUID offenders in 2018 was 31 years. However, females convicted of DUID are older than males, which is reflected in their median age of 35.5, compared to a median age of 30.0 for males (see Table 4b).

TABLE 4a: DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	93926	100.0	71866	76.5	22060	23.5
UNDER 18	56	0.1	44	78.6	12	21.4
18-20	2572	2.7	2024	78.7	548	21.3
21-30	39529	42.1	29850	75.5	9679	24.5
31-40	24748	26.3	19217	77.7	5531	22.3
41-50	13426	14.3	10359	77.2	3067	22.8
51-60	9125	9.7	6981	76.5	2144	23.5
61-70	3716	4.0	2823	76.0	893	24.0
71 & ABOVE	754	0.8	568	75.3	186	24.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	35.5		35.5		35.4	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	32.0		32.0		32.0	

^aCounty-specific tabulations of 2018 DUI convictions by age and gender are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 4b: DUID CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	5618	100.0	4414	78.6	1204	21.4
UNDER 18	11	0.2	9	81.8	2	18.2
18-20	361	6.4	294	81.4	67	18.6
21-30	2379	42.3	1999	84.0	380	16.0
31-40	1405	25.0	1088	77.4	317	22.6
41-50	745	13.3	539	72.3	206	27.7
51-60	523	9.3	351	67.1	172	32.9
61-70	180	3.2	124	68.9	56	31.1
71 & ABOVE	14	0.2	10	71.4	4	28.6
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.1		33.2		37.3	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		30.0		35.5	

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the Table 4a. Percents may not add to 100% due to rounding.

TABLE 5a: DUI AND DUID CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AMONG DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2015-2018

COUNTY	2015			2016			2017			2018		
	DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID	
		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%
STATEWIDE	106627	5021	4.7	98430	5414	5.5	93606	5339	5.7	93926	5618	6.0
ALAMEDA	2927	69	2.4	2481	43	1.7	2209	40	1.8	2292	41	1.8
ALPINE	16	0	0.0	20	0	0.0	12	0	0.0	16	1	6.3
AMADOR	136	8	5.9	135	7	5.2	134	2	1.5	151	3	2.0
BUTTE	950	53	5.6	968	43	4.4	904	35	3.9	840	52	6.2
CALAVERAS	214	31	14.5	210	20	9.5	178	15	8.4	125	8	6.4
COLUSA	124	13	10.5	131	13	9.9	99	12	12.1	112	9	8.0
CONTRA COSTA	2016	12	0.6	1681	12	0.7	1649	36	2.2	1704	43	2.5
DEL NORTE	74	2	2.7	110	2	1.8	109	2	1.8	165	2	1.2
EL DORADO	654	42	6.4	640	48	7.5	641	44	6.9	621	37	6.0
FRESNO	4043	246	6.1	3555	197	5.5	3183	137	4.3	3695	155	4.2
GLENN	126	12	9.5	128	14	10.9	125	7	5.6	104	5	4.8
HUMBOLDT	713	57	8.0	787	48	6.1	722	32	4.4	729	10	1.4
IMPERIAL	457	5	1.1	426	6	1.4	420	4	1.0	394	3	0.8
INYO	125	11	8.8	104	0	0.0	105	9	8.6	76	4	5.3
KERN	2948	215	7.3	2799	177	6.3	2733	208	7.6	2887	227	7.9
KINGS	473	42	8.9	411	33	8.0	462	29	6.3	640	54	8.4
LAKE	303	24	7.9	293	36	12.3	310	20	6.5	297	16	5.4
LASSEN	108	5	4.6	94	3	3.2	57	3	5.3	87	2	2.3
LOS ANGELES	22040	907	4.1	19673	968	4.9	17984	749	4.2	17472	672	3.8
MADERA	479	28	5.8	576	57	9.9	609	47	7.7	657	29	4.4
MARIN	1139	53	4.7	1022	32	3.1	863	37	4.3	1072	55	5.1
MARIPOSA	84	2	2.4	47	2	4.3	80	2	2.5	56	2	3.6
MENDOCINO	401	9	2.2	476	22	4.6	451	38	8.4	488	34	7.0
MERCED	774	3	0.4	771	11	1.4	899	14	1.6	854	18	2.1
MODOC	37	1	2.7	21	2	9.5	28	4	14.3	29	0	0.0
MONO	101	5	5.0	77	2	2.6	89	4	4.5	103	3	2.9
MONTEREY	1743	103	5.9	1726	63	3.7	1474	63	4.3	1624	55	3.4
NAPA	783	15	1.9	654	11	1.7	586	21	3.6	638	28	4.4
NEVADA	408	9	2.2	358	6	1.7	418	18	4.3	472	14	3.0
ORANGE	10428	1100	10.5	9779	1173	12.0	9100	1236	13.6	9222	1271	13.8
PLACER	1227	151	12.3	1176	130	11.1	1080	110	10.2	1036	111	10.7
PLUMAS	90	3	3.3	103	7	6.8	82	4	4.9	98	1	1.0
RIVERSIDE	6494	90	1.4	6010	157	2.6	6179	491	7.9	6135	577	9.4
SACRAMENTO	4517	332	7.4	4363	489	11.2	4395	409	9.3	4284	541	12.6
SAN BENITO	203	15	7.4	195	9	4.6	234	12	5.1	327	41	12.5
SAN BERNARDINO	5302	91	1.7	5233	166	3.2	5050	91	1.8	4753	147	3.1
SAN DIEGO	8731	375	4.3	8047	527	6.5	7866	478	6.1	7614	415	5.5
SAN FRANCISCO	692	24	3.5	448	12	2.7	419	3	0.7	396	8	2.0

TABLE 5a: DUI AND DUID CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AMONG DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2015-2018
-continued

COUNTY	2015			2016			2017			2018		
	DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID		DUI	DUID	
		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%
SAN JOAQUIN	2085	57	2.7	1914	85	4.4	1668	93	5.6	1365	47	3.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1441	61	4.2	1355	98	7.2	1494	89	6.0	1499	96	6.4
SAN MATEO	1871	17	0.9	1774	32	1.8	1609	24	1.5	1610	29	1.8
SANTA BARBARA	1702	19	1.1	1629	26	1.6	1493	49	3.3	1446	48	3.3
SANTA CLARA	4266	48	1.1	3638	25	0.7	3457	48	1.4	3247	94	2.9
SANTA CRUZ	1009	29	2.9	914	41	4.5	1017	27	2.7	1085	38	3.5
SHASTA	627	33	5.3	600	24	4.0	611	32	5.2	605	49	8.1
SIERRA	13	1	7.7	9	0	0.0	10	0	0.0	12	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	162	4	2.5	126	0	0.0	152	1	0.7	177	3	1.7
SOLANO	985	13	1.3	1004	9	0.9	1038	20	1.9	999	15	1.5
SONOMA	2276	96	4.2	2086	74	3.5	1491	31	2.1	2047	80	3.9
STANISLAUS	1589	67	4.2	1587	61	3.8	1609	81	5.0	1537	68	4.4
SUTTER	326	26	8.0	311	25	8.0	275	27	9.8	321	21	6.5
TEHAMA	232	3	1.3	180	8	4.4	180	9	5.0	226	8	3.5
TRINITY	59	3	5.1	75	4	5.3	59	1	1.7	43	4	9.3
TULARE	2083	124	6.0	1813	121	6.7	1880	124	6.6	1790	151	8.4
TUOLUMNE	270	27	10.0	239	22	9.2	236	10	4.2	240	10	4.2
VENTURA	2713	176	6.5	2601	176	6.8	2685	184	6.9	2757	143	5.2
YOLO	541	18	3.3	580	20	3.4	455	12	2.6	421	15	3.6
YUBA	297	36	12.1	267	15	5.6	249	11	4.4	234	5	2.1

TABLE 5b: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY^a

COUNTY	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^b	UNDER 21 DUI ^c	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
					VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	89431	4271	224	12231	132	6
ALAMEDA	2206	85	1	1301	168	5
ALPINE	13	3	0	2	79	10
AMADOR	136	15	0	2	136	15
BUTTE	791	45	4	107	130	7
CALAVERAS	121	4	0	16	113	3
COLUSA	107	4	1	24	81	19
CONTRA COSTA	1655	43	6	7	270	4
DEL NORTE	158	5	2	54	97	9
EL DORADO	589	29	3	76	202	7
FRESNO	3469	213	13	709	179	25
GLENN	101	2	1	12	193	20
HUMBOLDT	718	8	3	113	135	14
IMPERIAL	379	7	8	207	268	6
INYO	73	3	0	14	133	2
KERN	2806	73	8	593	70	9
KINGS	620	19	1	53	140	2
LAKE	287	10	0	31	164	7
LASSEN	82	4	1	11	224	4
LOS ANGELES	16884	555	33	1778	120	6
MADERA	627	30	0	108	358	34
MARIN	1035	28	9	146	102	7
MARIPOSA	55	1	0	3	115	3
MENDOCINO	461	27	0	40	109	5
MERCED	779	72	3	69	183	6
MODOC	26	3	0	5	139	13
MONO	102	0	1	30	123	8
MONTEREY	1551	68	5	204	78	44
NAPA	613	24	1	90	116	2
NEVADA	458	11	3	47	129	9
ORANGE	8887	323	12	378	204	0
PLACER	938	96	2	98	106	4
PLUMAS	90	6	2	7	58	14
RIVERSIDE	5876	259	0	316	149	3
SACRAMENTO	3851	420	13	314	93	2
SAN BENITO	313	14	0	48	150	23
SAN BERNARDINO	4428	320	5	836	201	3
SAN DIEGO	7136	466	12	1311	77	11
SAN FRANCISCO	365	31	0	130	167	7
SAN JOAQUIN	1296	67	2	89	69	5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1431	63	5	163	88	0
SAN MATEO	1544	60	6	353	179	15
SANTA BARBARA	1362	80	4	180	99	18
SANTA CLARA	3119	122	6	772	121	32
SANTA CRUZ	1037	42	6	203	77	1
SHASTA	567	38	0	97	114	10
SIERRA	11	1	0	4	102	114
SISKIYOU	158	19	0	27	196	4
SOLANO	967	29	3	348	171	19
SONOMA	1942	101	4	200	109	490
STANISLAUS	1441	92	4	112	118	14
SUTTER	298	18	5	95	88	10
TEHAMA	214	12	0	39	102	23
TRINITY	41	2	0	1	145	12
TULARE	1700	80	10	149	137	5
TUOLUMNE	235	4	1	0	69	59
VENTURA	2663	84	10	0	134	0
YOLO	399	20	2	66	121	4
YUBA	220	11	3	43	97	3

^aConviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.^bViolations of CVC 23153 and CVC 23152 with a felony disposition code. 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years), which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153, are not included.^cViolations of CVC 23140.

TABLE 5c: DUID CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY^a

COUNTY	MISD DUID	FELONY DUID ^b	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
			VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	5161	457	227	5
ALAMEDA	33	8	216	8
ALPINE	0	1	623	8
AMADOR	3	0	366	56
BUTTE	46	6	263	15
CALAVERAS	8	0	286	5
COLUSA	7	2	294	12
CONTRA COSTA	41	2	365	4
DEL NORTE	1	1	325	33
EL DORADO	32	5	311	7
FRESNO	130	25	242	27
GLENN	5	0	532	66
HUMBOLDT	10	0	159	16
IMPERIAL	3	0	250	4
INYO	4	0	285	6
KERN	222	5	89	10
KINGS	52	2	145	4
LAKE	13	3	221	8
LASSEN	1	1	371	4
LOS ANGELES	635	37	193	6
MADERA	27	2	457	41
MARIN	53	2	222	6
MARIPOSA	2	0	229	18
MENDOCINO	30	4	328	23
MERCED	17	1	306	6
MODOC	0	0	0	0
MONO	3	0	148	18
MONTEREY	55	0	396	19
NAPA	25	3	251	8
NEVADA	13	1	227	9
ORANGE	1184	87	304	0
PLACER	94	17	204	8
PLUMAS	1	0	344	17
RIVERSIDE	539	38	206	4
SACRAMENTO	471	70	167	3
SAN BENITO	40	1	373	25
SAN BERNARDINO	133	14	268	8
SAN DIEGO	378	37	120	14
SAN FRANCISCO	5	3	303	10
SAN JOAQUIN	41	6	212	6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	90	6	109	0
SAN MATEO	24	5	175	24
SANTA BARBARA	41	7	311	23
SANTA CLARA	88	6	201	67
SANTA CRUZ	33	5	290	6
SHASTA	45	4	416	16
SIERRA	0	0	0	0
SISKIYOU	1	2	184	6
SOLANO	13	2	225	29
SONOMA	77	3	448	262
STANISLAUS	57	11	323	7
SUTTER	19	2	224	12
TEHAMA	7	1	346	5
TRINITY	3	1	393	12
TULARE	144	7	222	6
TUOLUMNE	10	0	598	49
VENTURA	133	10	255	13
YOLO	14	1	386	8
YUBA	5	0	148	5

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in Table 5b.

^bViolations of CVC 23153 and CVC 23152 with a felony disposition code. 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years), which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153, are not included.

TABLE 6: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY^a

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS ^b
		% MIS-DEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL OR DRUG	% NONALCOHOL NOR DRUG		
STATEWIDE	71.1	68.8	2.3	7.4	1.5	1.0	18.9
ALAMEDA	43.9	43.1	0.8	21.0	3.8	0.8	30.5
ALPINE	70.0	70.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
AMADOR	85.2	82.9	2.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	14.3
BUTTE	77.5	74.6	2.9	7.8	0.9	0.3	13.6
CALAVERAS	71.9	70.1	1.8	6.1	0.6	0.0	21.3
COLUSA	69.2	68.5	0.7	11.9	0.7	0.0	18.2
CONTRA COSTA	64.8	63.2	1.6	0.3	11.8	1.0	22.1
DEL NORTE	54.2	53.9	0.3	15.7	3.8	1.0	25.3
EL DORADO	76.4	73.5	2.9	6.9	0.8	0.6	15.3
FRESNO	68.4	66.8	1.6	10.7	0.2	0.5	20.3
GLENN	72.0	71.3	0.7	5.6	0.7	0.7	21.0
HUMBOLDT	66.2	65.4	0.8	8.5	3.4	0.6	21.2
IMPERIAL	48.9	48.1	0.8	21.4	1.5	0.8	27.5
INYO	68.0	68.0	0.0	5.8	1.0	1.9	23.3
KERN	65.7	64.4	1.3	12.5	2.7	1.1	18.0
KINGS	78.1	76.1	2.0	4.7	1.0	1.2	15.1
LAKE	61.9	60.0	1.9	6.0	0.4	1.1	30.6
LASSEN	59.7	58.4	1.3	6.7	2.7	1.3	29.5
LOS ANGELES	71.4	69.2	2.2	5.3	2.0	2.3	19.1
MADERA	60.0	57.6	2.4	7.4	2.2	0.6	29.8
MARIN	75.7	74.4	1.3	9.2	0.9	1.4	12.8
MARIPOSA	76.4	75.0	1.4	1.4	11.1	0.0	11.1
MENDOCINO	79.2	77.0	2.2	4.4	1.2	1.4	13.9
MERCED	67.3	64.2	3.1	4.1	0.0	0.8	27.9
MODOC	58.0	52.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	36.0
MONO	64.3	64.3	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.7	16.9
MONTEREY	70.2	67.3	2.9	7.2	1.5	1.2	19.9
NAPA	74.3	71.7	2.6	8.9	2.1	0.9	14.0
NEVADA	78.7	77.6	1.1	6.4	0.7	0.4	13.9
ORANGE	82.5	80.6	1.9	2.7	0.1	0.4	14.4
PLACER	83.9	78.7	5.2	6.1	0.2	0.4	9.4
PLUMAS	65.7	61.6	4.1	4.8	0.0	1.4	28.1
RIVERSIDE	75.5	72.5	3.0	3.2	0.7	0.7	20.0
SACRAMENTO	83.9	79.2	4.7	3.7	0.2	0.8	11.6
SAN BENITO	65.7	63.8	1.9	7.3	0.0	1.9	25.1
SAN BERNARDINO	65.3	62.7	2.6	9.4	1.4	0.7	23.1
SAN DIEGO	74.9	71.8	3.1	10.7	1.0	0.4	13.0
SAN FRANCISCO	43.0	39.9	3.1	12.1	3.7	1.1	40.1
SAN JOAQUIN	62.1	59.3	2.8	2.8	0.2	0.5	34.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	77.9	76.5	1.4	7.1	1.4	0.8	12.8
SAN MATEO	66.5	64.4	2.1	13.0	0.4	1.1	19.1
SANTA BARBARA	71.6	69.2	2.4	6.6	0.4	0.4	21.2
SANTA CLARA	66.8	64.8	2.0	13.4	2.1	1.0	16.8
SANTA CRUZ	68.3	66.5	1.8	12.1	0.7	0.9	18.0
SHASTA	76.7	73.5	3.2	10.0	0.3	0.3	12.8
SIERRA	66.7	61.1	5.6	16.7	5.6	0.0	11.1
SISKIYOU	62.2	60.8	1.4	8.1	2.8	1.4	25.4
SOLANO	55.8	54.7	1.1	13.2	6.4	0.6	24.0
SONOMA	79.2	76.5	2.7	6.4	0.3	0.5	13.6
STANISLAUS	74.0	71.1	2.9	4.4	0.6	0.2	20.8
SUTTER	68.5	65.4	3.1	14.1	1.8	0.5	15.2
TEHAMA	56.6	55.3	1.3	7.9	2.1	1.6	31.8
TRINITY	46.9	44.8	2.1	0.0	3.1	0.0	50.0
TULARE	74.6	73.3	1.3	5.0	0.2	0.8	19.4
TUOLUMNE	75.5	74.6	0.9	0.0	7.5	0.6	16.3
VENTURA	83.0	80.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.8	15.2
YOLO	70.8	68.8	2.0	7.0	3.6	0.7	18.0
YUBA	73.0	71.1	1.9	10.7	0.3	0.0	16.0

^aTable 6 estimates are based only on DUI arrest cases from the MACRS system whose arrests or convictions were found on the DMV database.

^bThese include dismissals and DUI failures-to-appear (FTA); the statewide DUI FTA average for 2018 DUI arrests was 2.1%.

TABLE 7a: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS^a

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1264	1.6	.00	416	4.3
.01	50	0.1	.01	20	0.2
.02	46	0.1	.02	24	0.3
.03	68	0.1	.03	26	0.3
.04	72	0.1	.04	43	0.4
.05	169	0.2	.05	86	0.9
.06	249	0.3	.06	231	2.4
.07	458	0.6	.07	616	6.3
.08	1384	1.8	.08	1874	19.2
.09	2217	2.9	.09	2016	20.7
.10	3504	4.5	.10	1432	14.7
.11	4356	5.6	.11	937	9.6
.12	5127	6.6	.12	525	5.4
.13	5479	7.1	.13	392	4.0
.14	5722	7.4	.14	235	2.4
.15	5732	7.4	.15	196	2.0
.16	5648	7.3	.16	170	1.7
.17	5359	6.9	.17	106	1.1
.18	4814	6.2	.18	101	1.0
.19	4378	5.6	.19	66	0.7
.20	3880	5.0	.20	66	0.7
.21	3343	4.3	.21	45	0.5
.22	2826	3.6	.22	27	0.3
.23	2236	2.9	.23	23	0.2
.24	1852	2.4	.24	22	0.2
.25	1610	2.1	.25	9	0.1
.26	1206	1.6	.26	14	0.1
.27	1003	1.3	.27	15	0.2
.28	775	1.0	.28	7	0.1
.29	616	0.8	.29	6	0.1
.30	484	0.6	.30	7	0.1
.31	387	0.5	.31	1	0.0
.32	295	0.4	.32	3	0.0
.33	258	0.3	.33	3	0.0
.34	206	0.3	.39	1	0.0
.35	136	0.2	.44	1	0.0
.36	113	0.2			
.37	89	0.1			
.38	66	0.1			
.39	37	0.1			
.40	38	0.1			
.41	25	0.0			
.42	15	0.0			
.43	9	0.0			
.44	8	0.0			
.45	8	0.0			
.46	2	0.0			
.47	3	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	1	0.0			
.52	1	0.0			
TOTAL	77625	100.0	TOTAL	9762	100.0
	MEAN ^b BAC .169			MEAN ^b BAC .10	
	MEDIAN ^b BAC .16			MEDIAN ^b BAC .09	

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in this table. The percentage of DUI convictees with BAC levels reported is 82.6%.

^bThe calculation of the mean and the median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

TABLE 7b: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS
OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2018^a

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	114	4.1	.23	50	1.8
.01	8	0.3	.24	39	1.4
.02	9	0.3	.25	34	1.2
.03	8	0.3	.26	24	0.9
.04	6	0.2	.27	16	0.6
.05	51	1.8	.28	11	0.4
.06	59	2.1	.29	8	0.3
.07	73	2.6	.30	5	0.2
.08	94	3.3	.31	11	0.4
.09	107	3.8	.32	2	0.1
.10	166	5.9	.33	2	0.1
.11	189	6.7	.34	2	0.1
.12	203	7.2	.35	3	0.1
.13	208	7.4	.36	3	0.1
.14	217	7.7	.37	1	0.0
.15	187	6.7			
.16	208	7.4			
.17	163	5.8			
.18	147	5.2			
.19	118	4.2	TOTAL	2814	100.0
.20	105	3.7			
.21	91	3.2			
.22	72	2.6			
				MEAN ^b BAC .147	
				MEDIAN ^b BAC .14	

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in the table. The percentage of DUI convictees under age 21 with BAC levels found is 93.4%.

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 8: DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND
REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ^b	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ^b
STATEWIDE	100.0	.169	.16
1 ST DUI	72.5	.165	.16
2 ND DUI	20.5	.177	.17
3 RD DUI	5.3	.184	.18
4 ^{TH+} DUI	1.7	.192	.19

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with DUI convictions presented in the table.

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

SECTION 3:
POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted driving under the influence (DUI) offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2018. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 9a: Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by court (within each county) and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 9b: Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUID Offenders Arrested in 2018. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of driving under the influence of drugs (DUID) offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock.

Table 9c: Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Installations by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018. This table shows the statewide frequency of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who installed an IID subsequent to their DUI arrest by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. For each DUI offender level, the table also shows the number and percentage of DUI offenders who installed an IID.

Table 10a: Court Sanctions by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

Table 10b: Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Installations by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018. Table 10b displays the number of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who installed an IID subsequent to their DUI arrest by county and by DUI offender status. For each county and DUI offender level, the table also shows the number and percentage of DUI offenders who installed an IID relative to the number of DUI convictions.

Figure 5: Percentage Representation of Court-Ordered DUI Sanctions (for 2018 DUI arrests). Figure 5 shows the percentage representation of court-ordered postconviction sanctions for DUI offenders arrested in 2018.




Figure 5. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2018 DUI arrests).

^aThis percentage does not include ignition interlock requirements administered by DMV (i.e., ignition interlock requirements under AB 91 law).

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2018 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Sanctions

- ◆ The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.0%), while the least frequent court sanction was ignition interlock (9.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.5% of the cases. This is shown in Table 9a, and graphically in Figure 5. In many jurisdictions, however, all or a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service or home confinement rather than actual jail time, particularly for first offenders (Guenzburger & Atkinson, 2012). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to more than 100%.
- ◆ Whereas the frequency of most court sanctions was virtually identical for 2017 and 2018 convicted DUI offenders, the frequency of IID saw a relative increase of 27% (from 7.3% in 2017 to 9.3% in 2018).
- ◆ The most frequent sanction imposed on 2018 DUID offenders was probation (88.2%), although it was not imposed as frequently as it was among all 2018 DUI offenders (96.0%). Similar to patterns observed for DUI offenders, a higher percentage of repeat DUID offenders were given jail time than first DUID offenders. However, 79.1% of DUID offenders were sentenced to

DUI program, which is lower than 91.1% of all DUI offenders who received this sanction (see Tables 9a and 9b).

- ◆ Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2018, 9.3% were ordered by courts to install an IID, whereas 16.8% of them actually installed a device subsequent to their arrest date (see Tables 9a and 9c). The higher percentage of offenders installing an IID than those who were ordered to install one is likely related to specific ignition interlock laws that were implemented starting in 2010 or later. On July 1, 2010, two ignition interlock laws took effect. The first law (SB 598 – Huff) allows second and third DUI offenders, convicted for driving under the influence of alcohol only, to reinstate licensure after 3 months and 6 months of license suspension/revocation, respectively, if they install an IID. The second law (AB 91 – Feuer) created a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires first and repeat DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in all vehicles they own or operate for a specific time period based on their number of prior DUI convictions. More recently, on January 1, 2017, SB 1046 (Hill) was implemented which extended the AB 91 pilot program until January 1, 2019. Also, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill specifies new IID requirements for all persons convicted of an alcohol-related DUI offense (relative to specific DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations) and allows DUI offenders who are willing to install an IID to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation.

County Variation

- ◆ The referral to first-offender DUI programs (mostly from 3 to 9 months long) among first DUI offenders varied by county, from 90% or more in 32 counties to only 21.5% in San Benito County (see Table 10a).
- ◆ In 2018, 1.1% of arrested repeat DUI offenders were assigned to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 9a). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month DUI programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month DUI programs (see Table 10a).
- ◆ The highest percentage of DUI offenders installing an IID subsequent to their arrest in 2018 is among DUI offenders who were subject to the AB 91 pilot program, in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare counties; the installations ranged from 46.0% (Los Angeles) to 30.9% (Tulare). This is shown in Table 10b.

- ◆ In counties not included in the AB 91 pilot program, the percentage of 2018 DUI offenders who installed an IID varied greatly. Among such counties with less than 1,000 DUI convictions, this percentage ranged from 1% (Glenn) to 15.2% (Colusa). Among counties with more than 1,000 DUI convictions, the percentage ranged from 4.2% (Kern) to 11.9% (Placer). This is shown in Table 10b.

Court Variation

- ◆ Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they prescribe available sanctions for DUI offenders, even when they are in the same county and are processing similar number of DUI offenders. For example, in Los Angeles County alone, one court (West Covina) assigned jail to 72.8% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 1,142$), while another court (Bellflower) in the same county assigned jail to only 33.7% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 1,085$). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.
- ◆ Courts in 14 counties required less than 2% of the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2018 to install an ignition interlock device (see Table 10a and Table B4 in the Appendix).

Variation by Offender Status

- ◆ Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2018 and subsequently convicted, 67.8% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.9% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9a).
- ◆ Among first DUI offenders, 92.9% were assigned by courts to attend DUI programs, as were 90.2% of second offenders, 82.5% of third offenders, and 45.1% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 9a. (By statute, however, all DUI offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- ◆ In 2018, 25.3% of repeat DUI offenders were required by the courts to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles (see Table 9a), compared to 20.3% of those arrested in 2017. Judges routinely did not require interlocks for repeat offenders despite the ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson), enacted in 1999, which provides incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate after 12 months of license suspension/revocation with interlocks and establishes mandatory interlock law for DUI suspension/revocation violators.
- ◆ The majority of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest were first offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties; the percentage of first DUI offenders in Alameda, Sacramento, Los Angeles, and Tulare counties who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date ranged from 37.1% in Tulare to 50.1% in Los Angeles (see Table 10b).

- ◆ Among repeat DUI offenders, IID installation rates are higher among second DUI offenders (see Table 9c). The percentage of second DUI offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties who installed an IID ranged from 22.6% (Alameda) to 35.8% (Los Angeles). This is shown in Table 10b.

TABLE 9a: COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	93926	96.0	75.5	68.1	22.7	0.3	9.3
1 ST	68139	97.4	67.8	90.5	2.4	0.0	3.2
REPEAT	25787	92.3	95.9	8.7	76.1	1.1	25.3
2 ND	19252	95.6	95.4	10.4	79.2	0.6	24.2
3 RD	4953	89.6	97.3	3.9	76.0	2.6	31.8
4 ^{TH+}	1582	60.0	96.6	3.4	38.7	3.0	19.6

^aEntries represent percentages of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are not exclusive; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 9b: COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUID OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	5618	88.2	78.4	59.6	19.3	0.2	4.9
1 ST	4058	89.9	72.6	78.4	2.6	0.0	1.5
REPEAT	1560	83.8	93.5	10.7	62.8	0.7	13.7
2 ND	1165	86.7	92.6	12.6	63.7	0.3	12.3
3 RD	294	84.4	95.9	5.8	70.1	2.0	19.0
4 ^{TH+}	101	49.5	96.0	3.0	31.7	2.0	13.9

TABLE 9c: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS ^a	
	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	93926	15740	16.8
1 ST	68139	10100	14.8
REPEAT	25787	5640	21.9
2 ND	19252	4726	24.5
3 RD	4953	849	17.1
4 ^{TH+}	1582	65	4.1

^aEntries represent numbers and percentages of DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date, which may be related to different IID requirements, including those administered by DMV (i.e., under AB 91 law), and may not be initiated by IID court sanctions (presented in Table 9a) or associated with DUI convictions resulting from arrests in 2018.

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		93926	96.0	75.5	68.1	22.7	0.3	9.3
ALAMEDA	1 ST	1494	99.6	99.7	93.4	4.1	0.1	0.5
	2 ND	576	99.0	99.8	17.7	78.5	0.0	1.2
	3 RD	172	98.3	100.0	4.7	87.8	1.7	5.2
	4 ^{TH+}	50	94.0	100.0	14.0	66.0	0.0	38.0
	TOTAL	2292	99.2	99.8	66.0	30.4	0.2	1.8
ALPINE	1 ST	11	100.0	100.0	54.5	18.2	0.0	9.1
	2 ND	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	40.0
	TOTAL	16	93.8	100.0	43.8	25.0	6.3	18.8
AMADOR	1 ST	98	93.9	100.0	83.7	4.1	0.0	24.5
	2 ND	38	94.7	94.7	7.9	76.3	0.0	84.2
	3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	70.0
	4 ^{TH+}	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	151	90.7	98.7	56.3	25.8	0.0	42.4
BUTTE	1 ST	582	95.0	94.3	90.9	1.2	0.3	2.9
	2 ND	186	90.9	98.4	7.0	72.0	7.5	25.8
	3 RD	55	67.3	94.5	1.8	25.5	40.0	27.3
	4 ^{TH+}	17	52.9	94.1	0.0	5.9	47.1	35.3
	TOTAL	840	91.4	95.2	64.6	18.6	5.5	10.2
CALAVERAS	1 ST	90	97.8	100.0	95.6	0.0	0.0	2.2
	2 ND	26	100.0	100.0	23.1	65.4	0.0	26.9
	3 RD	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	75.0	0.0	37.5
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	125	96.8	100.0	74.4	18.4	0.0	10.4
COLUSA	1 ST	74	95.9	98.6	91.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	25	92.0	96.0	60.0	28.0	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	112	93.8	98.2	78.6	10.7	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	1160	98.4	94.7	88.4	3.5	0.0	2.1
	2 ND	388	97.4	96.1	9.0	79.4	0.0	28.9
	3 RD	115	94.8	98.3	1.7	79.1	0.0	53.9
	4 ^{TH+}	41	80.5	100.0	2.4	56.1	0.0	41.5
	TOTAL	1704	97.5	95.4	62.4	27.2	0.0	12.6
DEL NORTE	1 ST	125	94.4	98.4	88.0	4.0	0.0	6.4
	2 ND	31	90.3	100.0	19.4	74.2	0.0	64.5
	3 RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	42.9
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	165	91.5	98.8	70.3	20.0	0.0	18.8
EL DORADO	1 ST	433	98.4	97.9	88.2	2.1	0.0	17.8
	2 ND	141	94.3	97.9	7.1	79.4	0.0	70.2
	3 RD	31	93.5	90.3	0.0	77.4	0.0	71.0
	4 ^{TH+}	16	75.0	93.8	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	621	96.6	97.4	63.1	25.3	0.0	33.2

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	1 ST	2438	96.3	98.1	92.9	1.6	0.0	1.2
	2 ND	874	92.4	99.3	13.4	75.4	0.2	30.7
	3 RD	266	91.4	99.2	6.0	80.8	0.0	55.6
	4 ^{TH+}	117	53.8	100.0	11.1	29.9	2.6	12.8
	TOTAL	3695	93.7	98.5	65.3	25.7	0.1	12.4
GLENN	1 ST	76	98.7	53.9	86.8	1.3	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	21	95.2	95.2	23.8	47.6	14.3	4.8
	3 RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	75.0
	TOTAL	104	97.1	65.4	68.3	11.5	6.7	4.8
HUMBOLDT	1 ST	503	98.8	95.8	94.4	1.0	0.0	1.4
	2 ND	154	96.8	98.1	14.9	78.6	0.0	73.4
	3 RD	59	100.0	98.3	3.4	89.8	1.7	89.8
	4 ^{TH+}	13	46.2	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	30.8
	TOTAL	729	97.5	96.6	68.6	25.0	0.1	24.3
IMPERIAL	1 ST	303	89.4	24.4	78.2	1.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	73	83.6	69.9	35.6	46.6	0.0	1.4
	3 RD	12	75.0	83.3	0.0	50.0	8.3	16.7
	4 ^{TH+}	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	394	87.8	35.8	66.8	11.7	0.3	1.0
INYO	1 ST	61	98.4	18.0	88.5	4.9	0.0	6.6
	2 ND	9	88.9	77.8	22.2	88.9	0.0	22.2
	3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	76	96.1	31.6	73.7	17.1	0.0	7.9
KERN	1 ST	2024	98.2	98.4	76.0	2.1	0.0	1.1
	2 ND	634	97.2	98.7	12.5	57.4	0.5	24.0
	3 RD	177	92.1	97.7	5.1	53.7	0.0	37.9
	4 ^{TH+}	52	57.7	96.2	0.0	15.4	5.8	7.7
	TOTAL	2887	96.9	98.4	56.4	17.6	0.2	8.5
KINGS	1 ST	433	96.3	99.3	87.5	5.1	0.0	0.5
	2 ND	139	92.1	98.6	15.8	75.5	0.0	2.2
	3 RD	46	87.0	97.8	8.7	84.8	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	22	72.7	100.0	4.5	45.5	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	640	93.9	99.1	63.4	27.5	0.0	0.8
LAKE	1 ST	202	97.0	94.1	85.6	2.0	0.0	5.4
	2 ND	69	94.2	95.7	21.7	63.8	0.0	37.7
	3 RD	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	53.3
	4 ^{TH+}	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	18.2	0.0	18.2
	TOTAL	297	96.3	94.6	63.3	20.9	0.0	15.8
LASSEN	1 ST	67	97.0	89.6	89.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	13	100.0	100.0	38.5	61.5	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	87	95.4	90.8	75.9	16.1	0.0	0.0

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	1 ST	13546	97.3	32.2	87.6	3.0	0.1	0.0
	2 ND	3098	94.7	91.2	7.5	79.3	0.7	0.0
	3 RD	655	85.8	96.8	1.5	70.5	6.7	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	173	30.6	99.4	0.0	11.0	1.7	0.0
	TOTAL	17472	95.8	45.8	69.3	19.2	0.5	0.0
MADERA	1 ST	408	91.9	96.8	91.7	2.7	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	163	91.4	96.3	25.2	68.1	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	53	77.4	98.1	15.1	67.9	0.0	1.9
	4 ^{TH+}	33	69.7	87.9	6.1	39.4	9.1	0.0
	TOTAL	657	89.5	96.3	64.7	26.0	0.5	0.2
MARIN	1 ST	743	98.7	97.2	95.2	3.0	0.0	5.0
	2 ND	251	98.0	97.2	4.4	92.0	0.0	62.5
	3 RD	67	100.0	95.5	1.5	94.0	0.0	91.0
	4 ^{TH+}	11	45.5	100.0	9.1	36.4	0.0	45.5
	TOTAL	1072	98.0	97.1	67.2	29.9	0.0	24.3
MARIPOSA	1 ST	35	100.0	97.1	77.1	2.9	0.0	2.9
	2 ND	16	100.0	100.0	18.8	62.5	0.0	56.3
	3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	56	100.0	98.2	53.6	26.8	0.0	23.2
MENDOCINO	1 ST	320	95.3	96.6	87.2	2.2	0.0	3.1
	2 ND	108	94.4	98.1	11.1	84.3	0.0	50.9
	3 RD	50	98.0	100.0	8.0	90.0	0.0	68.0
	4 ^{TH+}	10	70.0	90.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	40.0
	TOTAL	488	94.9	97.1	60.5	30.7	0.0	21.1
MERCED	1 ST	596	97.3	97.0	78.2	4.2	0.0	2.3
	2 ND	182	96.7	97.8	9.3	79.1	0.0	34.6
	3 RD	52	90.4	96.2	1.9	82.7	0.0	46.2
	4 ^{TH+}	24	75.0	91.7	8.3	41.7	0.0	4.2
	TOTAL	854	96.1	97.0	56.9	26.0	0.0	11.9
MODOC	1 ST	20	100.0	80.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	7	100.0	100.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.3
	3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	29	100.0	82.8	51.7	20.7	0.0	3.4
MONO	1 ST	77	97.4	72.7	90.9	3.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	17	100.0	100.0	23.5	76.5	0.0	5.9
	3 RD	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	103	98.1	77.7	71.8	24.3	0.0	1.0
MONTEREY	1 ST	1125	97.5	97.4	87.7	3.3	0.0	12.2
	2 ND	370	97.8	98.6	7.8	85.9	0.0	48.6
	3 RD	105	91.4	99.0	1.9	83.8	0.0	64.8
	4 ^{TH+}	24	75.0	95.8	0.0	75.0	0.0	29.2
	TOTAL	1624	96.9	97.8	62.7	28.4	0.0	24.1

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	1 ST	470	86.8	84.5	79.8	2.1	0.0	28.9
	2 ND	125	91.2	90.4	2.4	80.0	0.0	74.4
	3 RD	32	93.8	93.8	0.0	81.3	0.0	78.1
	4 ^{TH+}	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	63.6
	TOTAL	638	87.9	86.4	59.2	22.3	0.0	40.9
NEVADA	1 ST	344	98.3	99.1	94.5	2.0	0.0	2.3
	2 ND	94	97.9	100.0	44.7	51.1	0.0	46.8
	3 RD	26	96.2	100.0	42.3	50.0	0.0	73.1
	4 ^{TH+}	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	472	98.1	99.4	80.5	15.7	0.0	16.3
ORANGE	1 ST	7067	98.3	33.9	94.0	1.6	0.0	0.2
	2 ND	1668	94.9	91.5	6.4	85.7	0.0	5.0
	3 RD	391	89.0	96.9	2.0	80.8	0.3	5.4
	4 ^{TH+}	96	65.6	97.9	0.0	61.5	0.0	1.0
	TOTAL	9222	96.9	47.7	73.3	20.8	0.0	1.3
PLACER	1 ST	736	90.8	97.4	88.0	2.9	0.0	6.1
	2 ND	245	88.6	99.6	6.5	70.6	0.0	73.5
	3 RD	36	72.2	100.0	0.0	69.4	0.0	69.4
	4 ^{TH+}	19	47.4	100.0	0.0	47.4	0.0	36.8
	TOTAL	1036	88.8	98.1	64.1	22.0	0.0	24.8
PLUMAS	1 ST	69	97.1	95.7	91.3	1.4	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	27	92.6	100.0	3.7	88.9	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	98	95.9	96.9	65.3	27.6	0.0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	1 ST	4569	97.5	96.0	94.6	2.3	0.0	3.4
	2 ND	1180	93.9	97.1	7.5	85.4	0.0	26.6
	3 RD	299	87.0	95.7	3.7	81.3	0.0	33.1
	4 ^{TH+}	87	57.5	93.1	1.1	56.3	0.0	14.9
	TOTAL	6135	95.7	96.1	72.1	22.9	0.0	9.5
SACRAMENTO	1 ST	2993	97.8	97.3	93.5	1.2	0.0	1.8
	2 ND	904	96.1	99.6	9.0	81.3	0.0	5.8
	3 RD	284	91.2	100.0	1.1	81.3	0.0	5.6
	4 ^{TH+}	103	56.3	97.1	1.0	31.1	0.0	34.0
	TOTAL	4284	96.0	97.9	67.3	24.1	0.0	3.7
SAN BENITO	1 ST	214	96.7	100.0	21.5	0.0	0.0	3.3
	2 ND	74	97.3	100.0	2.7	6.8	0.0	36.5
	3 RD	24	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.2
	4 ^{TH+}	15	53.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3
	TOTAL	327	95.1	100.0	14.7	1.5	0.0	16.8
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST	3414	97.5	72.7	92.9	2.5	0.0	1.6
	2 ND	970	95.3	94.8	12.3	79.2	0.1	15.3
	3 RD	255	87.5	97.6	2.7	68.6	0.0	16.5
	4 ^{TH+}	114	55.3	98.2	3.5	36.0	0.0	8.8
	TOTAL	4753	95.5	79.2	69.5	22.5	0.0	5.4

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO	1 ST	5614	96.8	12.8	91.8	2.1	0.0	8.2
	2 ND	1540	96.2	89.7	6.9	84.9	0.0	19.6
	3 RD	370	86.5	94.9	3.0	78.6	0.0	21.9
	4 ^{TH+}	90	50.0	98.9	1.1	21.1	0.0	4.4
	TOTAL	7614	95.6	33.4	69.3	22.8	0.0	11.1
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	292	99.3	99.0	94.5	2.4	0.0	6.2
	2 ND	88	97.7	100.0	10.2	85.2	0.0	75.0
	3 RD	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	91.7	16.7	91.7
	4 ^{TH+}	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0
	TOTAL	396	98.5	99.2	72.0	23.7	0.8	24.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	923	98.3	98.3	90.7	3.5	0.1	2.5
	2 ND	324	96.6	98.8	11.7	75.9	7.4	31.8
	3 RD	87	90.8	100.0	1.1	65.5	20.7	36.8
	4 ^{TH+}	31	74.2	100.0	0.0	25.8	38.7	16.1
	TOTAL	1365	96.8	98.5	64.2	25.1	4.0	11.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	1031	98.2	97.6	94.3	1.4	0.0	0.3
	2 ND	338	98.2	99.4	8.9	83.4	0.0	2.7
	3 RD	102	94.1	99.0	11.8	77.5	0.0	2.9
	4 ^{TH+}	28	71.4	96.4	28.6	21.4	0.0	3.6
	TOTAL	1499	97.4	98.1	68.2	25.4	0.0	1.1
SAN MATEO	1 ST	1209	98.7	98.8	93.3	2.9	0.0	3.2
	2 ND	318	96.5	98.7	8.2	82.1	0.0	56.3
	3 RD	63	93.7	93.7	3.2	81.0	0.0	60.3
	4 ^{TH+}	20	75.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	15.0
	TOTAL	1610	97.8	98.6	71.8	22.3	0.0	16.1
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	1029	97.4	88.7	91.5	3.4	0.0	1.5
	2 ND	301	94.7	98.3	8.3	82.7	0.0	25.2
	3 RD	97	79.4	96.9	0.0	77.3	0.0	29.9
	4 ^{TH+}	19	84.2	94.7	5.3	73.7	0.0	26.3
	TOTAL	1446	95.4	91.4	66.9	25.8	0.0	8.6
SANTA CLARA	1 ST	2328	99.4	98.4	95.5	2.8	0.1	6.0
	2 ND	686	99.4	99.3	10.8	87.0	0.0	68.7
	3 RD	186	96.8	99.5	5.4	89.8	0.0	81.2
	4 ^{TH+}	47	80.9	97.9	2.1	74.5	0.0	70.2
	TOTAL	3247	99.0	98.6	71.1	26.6	0.1	24.5
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST	746	97.6	94.4	92.1	0.5	0.0	0.1
	2 ND	257	98.1	98.8	49.8	42.0	0.0	0.8
	3 RD	64	89.1	96.9	31.3	53.1	0.0	4.7
	4 ^{TH+}	18	61.1	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1085	96.6	95.7	77.2	14.0	0.0	0.6
SHASTA	1 ST	395	97.0	97.7	90.4	1.8	0.0	36.5
	2 ND	154	95.5	98.7	12.3	65.6	10.4	74.0
	3 RD	43	88.4	93.0	0.0	65.1	16.3	76.7
	4 ^{TH+}	13	69.2	92.3	7.7	38.5	7.7	38.5
	TOTAL	605	95.4	97.5	62.3	23.3	4.0	48.9

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SIERRA	1 ST	12	100.0	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	12	100.0	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST	118	87.3	86.4	57.6	1.7	0.0	1.7
	2 ND	34	88.2	82.4	14.7	64.7	0.0	41.2
	3 RD	20	95.0	85.0	5.0	85.0	0.0	75.0
	4 ^{TH+}	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	177	87.6	85.9	41.8	23.7	0.0	18.1
SOLANO	1 ST	660	98.0	98.6	94.1	3.2	0.0	4.7
	2 ND	259	99.2	99.2	6.9	91.5	0.0	17.8
	3 RD	61	90.2	100.0	4.9	85.2	0.0	65.6
	4 ^{TH+}	19	78.9	100.0	5.3	73.7	0.0	73.7
	TOTAL	999	97.5	98.9	64.4	32.4	0.0	13.1
SONOMA	1 ST	1438	98.7	94.8	93.9	1.6	0.0	8.3
	2 ND	464	98.9	98.3	9.5	86.0	0.2	81.0
	3 RD	112	95.5	95.5	2.7	89.3	2.7	85.7
	4 ^{TH+}	33	60.6	90.9	0.0	51.5	0.0	48.5
	TOTAL	2047	98.0	95.6	68.2	26.3	0.2	29.7
STANISLAUS	1 ST	1054	97.0	98.8	92.2	3.5	0.2	2.7
	2 ND	337	96.4	98.8	9.8	75.7	9.2	21.1
	3 RD	101	92.1	98.0	2.0	64.4	26.7	38.6
	4 ^{TH+}	45	53.3	95.6	4.4	28.9	24.4	26.7
	TOTAL	1537	95.3	98.6	65.6	24.1	4.6	9.8
SUTTER	1 ST	215	97.7	96.7	96.3	1.9	0.0	2.8
	2 ND	77	98.7	98.7	19.5	80.5	0.0	57.1
	3 RD	22	90.9	100.0	4.5	86.4	0.0	81.8
	4 ^{TH+}	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	85.7
	TOTAL	321	97.2	97.5	69.5	28.0	0.0	23.1
TEHAMA	1 ST	168	96.4	98.2	91.1	4.2	0.0	6.0
	2 ND	45	95.6	100.0	26.7	68.9	0.0	26.7
	3 RD	11	100.0	100.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	36.4
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	226	95.6	98.7	74.3	20.4	0.0	11.5
TRINITY	1 ST	29	86.2	96.6	69.0	3.4	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	13	84.6	84.6	0.0	61.5	0.0	76.9
	3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	43	86.0	93.0	46.5	20.9	0.0	25.6
TULARE	1 ST	1201	96.7	54.2	92.7	2.2	0.0	1.3
	2 ND	419	95.9	93.6	10.7	82.3	0.0	2.9
	3 RD	102	88.2	96.1	1.0	80.4	0.0	7.8
	4 ^{TH+}	68	60.3	85.3	1.5	42.6	0.0	16.2
	TOTAL	1790	94.6	67.0	64.8	27.0	0.0	2.6

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR
DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
TUOLUMNE	1 ST	152	96.7	13.2	42.1	1.3	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	69	100.0	81.2	10.1	59.4	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	13	76.9	84.6	7.7	7.7	0.0	15.4
	4 ^{TH+}	6	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	240	94.6	37.5	30.0	18.3	0.0	0.8
VENTURA	1 ST	2134	97.2	97.5	94.2	2.5	0.0	9.4
	2 ND	492	95.1	98.6	9.6	83.5	0.0	81.7
	3 RD	108	87.0	96.3	3.7	86.1	0.0	85.2
	4 ^{TH+}	23	60.9	95.7	0.0	47.8	0.0	47.8
	TOTAL	2757	96.1	97.6	74.8	20.6	0.0	25.6
YOLO	1 ST	298	96.0	94.6	83.9	3.7	0.0	1.3
	2 ND	88	97.7	95.5	10.2	81.8	0.0	48.9
	3 RD	29	100.0	89.7	10.3	82.8	0.0	72.4
	4 ^{TH+}	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	421	96.7	94.5	62.2	26.1	0.0	16.4
YUBA	1 ST	173	96.0	66.5	95.4	0.0	0.0	1.2
	2 ND	48	100.0	83.3	22.9	77.1	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	234	96.2	71.8	75.2	20.1	0.0	1.3

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS ^a	
			N	%
STATEWIDE		93926	15740	16.8
ALAMEDA	1 ST	1494	658	44.0
	2 ND	576	130	22.6
	3 RD	172	18	10.5
	4 ^{TH+}	50	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2292	806	35.2
ALPINE	1 ST	11	0	0.0
	2 ND	5	2	40.0
	TOTAL	16	2	12.5
AMADOR	1 ST	98	1	1.0
	2 ND	38	7	18.4
	3 RD	10	3	30.0
	4 ^{TH+}	5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	151	11	7.3
BUTTE	1 ST	582	5	0.9
	2 ND	186	38	20.4
	3 RD	55	10	18.2
	4 ^{TH+}	17	1	5.9
	TOTAL	840	54	6.4
CALAVERAS	1 ST	90	1	1.1
	2 ND	26	8	30.8
	3 RD	8	3	37.5
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	125	12	9.6
COLUSA	1 ST	74	4	5.4
	2 ND	25	8	32.0
	3 RD	10	5	50.0
	4 ^{TH+}	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	112	17	15.2
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	1160	9	0.8
	2 ND	388	65	16.8
	3 RD	115	22	19.1
	4 ^{TH+}	41	2	4.9
	TOTAL	1704	98	5.8
DEL NORTE	1 ST	125	2	1.6
	2 ND	31	7	22.6
	3 RD	7	2	28.6
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	165	11	6.7
EL DORADO	1 ST	433	19	4.4
	2 ND	141	37	26.2
	3 RD	31	10	32.3
	4 ^{TH+}	16	2	12.5
	TOTAL	621	68	11.0

^aEntries represent numbers and percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2018 that installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date, which may be related to different IID requirements, including those administered by DMV (i.e., under AB 91 law), and may not be initiated by IID court sanctions (presented in Table 10a) or associated with DUI convictions resulting from arrests in 2018.

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
FRESNO	1 ST	2438	28	1.1
	2 ND	874	125	14.3
	3 RD	266	36	13.5
	4 ^{TH+}	117	2	1.7
	TOTAL	3695	191	5.2
GLENN	1 ST	76	1	1.3
	2 ND	21	0	0.0
	3 RD	3	0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	104	1	1.0
HUMBOLDT	1 ST	503	11	2.2
	2 ND	154	35	22.7
	3 RD	59	11	18.6
	4 ^{TH+}	13	0	0.0
	TOTAL	729	57	7.8
IMPERIAL	1 ST	303	1	0.3
	2 ND	73	12	16.4
	3 RD	12	4	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	394	17	4.3
INYO	1 ST	61	1	1.6
	2 ND	9	2	22.2
	3 RD	4	1	25.0
	4 ^{TH+}	2	1	50.0
	TOTAL	76	5	6.6
KERN	1 ST	2024	17	0.8
	2 ND	634	82	12.9
	3 RD	177	20	11.3
	4 ^{TH+}	52	2	3.8
	TOTAL	2887	121	4.2
KINGS	1 ST	433	7	1.6
	2 ND	139	14	10.1
	3 RD	46	5	10.9
	4 ^{TH+}	22	0	0.0
	TOTAL	640	26	4.1
LAKE	1 ST	202	3	1.5
	2 ND	69	12	17.4
	3 RD	15	1	6.7
	4 ^{TH+}	11	0	0.0
	TOTAL	297	16	5.4
LASSEN	1 ST	67	1	1.5
	2 ND	13	4	30.8
	3 RD	6	2	33.3
	4 ^{TH+}	1	1	100.0
	TOTAL	87	8	9.2

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
LOS ANGELES	1 ST	13546	6792	50.1
	2 ND	3098	1109	35.8
	3 RD	655	131	20.0
	4 ^{TH+}	173	12	6.9
	TOTAL	17472	8044	46.0
MADERA	1 ST	408	3	0.7
	2 ND	163	14	8.6
	3 RD	53	5	9.4
	4 ^{TH+}	33	0	0.0
	TOTAL	657	22	3.3
MARIN	1 ST	743	13	1.7
	2 ND	251	84	33.5
	3 RD	67	13	19.4
	4 ^{TH+}	11	3	27.3
	TOTAL	1072	113	10.5
MARIPOSA	1 ST	35	0	0.0
	2 ND	16	4	25.0
	3 RD	4	0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	56	4	7.1
MENDOCINO	1 ST	320	3	0.9
	2 ND	108	28	25.9
	3 RD	50	7	14.0
	4 ^{TH+}	10	0	0.0
	TOTAL	488	38	7.8
MERCED	1 ST	596	13	2.2
	2 ND	182	27	14.8
	3 RD	52	4	7.7
	4 ^{TH+}	24	1	4.2
	TOTAL	854	45	5.3
MODOC	1 ST	20	0	0.0
	2 ND	7	2	28.6
	3 RD	1	0	0.0
	4 ^{TH+}	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	29	2	6.9
MONO	1 ST	77	2	2.6
	2 ND	17	4	23.5
	3 RD	7	2	28.6
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	103	8	7.8
MONTEREY	1 ST	1125	55	4.9
	2 ND	370	85	23.0
	3 RD	105	21	20.0
	4 ^{TH+}	24	2	8.3
	TOTAL	1624	163	10.0

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
NAPA	1 ST	470	23	4.9
	2 ND	125	44	35.2
	3 RD	32	6	18.8
	4 ^{TH+}	11	2	18.2
	TOTAL	638	75	11.8
NEVADA	1 ST	344	8	2.3
	2 ND	94	35	37.2
	3 RD	26	8	30.8
	4 ^{TH+}	8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	472	51	10.8
ORANGE	1 ST	7067	63	0.9
	2 ND	1668	432	25.9
	3 RD	391	77	19.7
	4 ^{TH+}	96	4	4.2
	TOTAL	9222	576	6.2
PLACER	1 ST	736	15	2.0
	2 ND	245	99	40.4
	3 RD	36	8	22.2
	4 ^{TH+}	19	1	5.3
	TOTAL	1036	123	11.9
PLUMAS	1 ST	69	1	1.4
	2 ND	27	9	33.3
	3 RD	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	98	10	10.2
RIVERSIDE	1 ST	4569	68	1.5
	2 ND	1180	255	21.6
	3 RD	299	61	20.4
	4 ^{TH+}	87	5	5.7
	TOTAL	6135	389	6.3
SACRAMENTO	1 ST	2993	1402	46.8
	2 ND	904	235	26.0
	3 RD	284	21	7.4
	4 ^{TH+}	103	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4284	1658	38.7
SAN BENITO	1 ST	214	5	2.3
	2 ND	74	13	17.6
	3 RD	24	4	16.7
	4 ^{TH+}	15	1	6.7
	TOTAL	327	23	7.0
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST	3414	39	1.1
	2 ND	970	178	18.4
	3 RD	255	36	14.1
	4 ^{TH+}	114	4	3.5
	TOTAL	4753	257	5.4

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
SAN DIEGO	1 ST	5614	90	1.6
	2 ND	1540	313	20.3
	3 RD	370	68	18.4
	4 ^{TH+}	90	5	5.6
	TOTAL	7614	476	6.3
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	292	3	1.0
	2 ND	88	19	21.6
	3 RD	12	3	25.0
	4 ^{TH+}	4	1	25.0
	TOTAL	396	26	6.6
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	923	24	2.6
	2 ND	324	71	21.9
	3 RD	87	9	10.3
	4 ^{TH+}	31	2	6.5
	TOTAL	1365	106	7.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	1031	14	1.4
	2 ND	338	84	24.9
	3 RD	102	18	17.6
	4 ^{TH+}	28	1	3.6
	TOTAL	1499	117	7.8
SAN MATEO	1 ST	1209	18	1.5
	2 ND	318	101	31.8
	3 RD	63	15	23.8
	4 ^{TH+}	20	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1610	134	8.3
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	1029	12	1.2
	2 ND	301	59	19.6
	3 RD	97	11	11.3
	4 ^{TH+}	19	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1446	82	5.7
SANTA CLARA	1 ST	2328	51	2.2
	2 ND	686	161	23.5
	3 RD	186	35	18.8
	4 ^{TH+}	47	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3247	247	7.6
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST	746	12	1.6
	2 ND	257	54	21.0
	3 RD	64	11	17.2
	4 ^{TH+}	18	1	5.6
	TOTAL	1085	78	7.2
SHASTA	1 ST	395	28	7.1
	2 ND	154	51	33.1
	3 RD	43	8	18.6
	4 ^{TH+}	13	0	0.0
	TOTAL	605	87	14.4

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
SIERRA	1 ST	12	0	0.0
	TOTAL	12	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST	118	2	1.7
	2 ND	34	4	11.8
	3 RD	20	2	10.0
	4 ^{TH+}	5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	177	8	4.5
SOLANO	1 ST	660	13	2.0
	2 ND	259	59	22.8
	3 RD	61	19	31.1
	4 ^{TH+}	19	1	5.3
	TOTAL	999	92	9.2
SONOMA	1 ST	1438	32	2.2
	2 ND	464	112	24.1
	3 RD	112	26	23.2
	4 ^{TH+}	33	2	6.1
	TOTAL	2047	172	8.4
STANISLAUS	1 ST	1054	13	1.2
	2 ND	337	69	20.5
	3 RD	101	22	21.8
	4 ^{TH+}	45	1	2.2
	TOTAL	1537	105	6.8
SUTTER	1 ST	215	3	1.4
	2 ND	77	24	31.2
	3 RD	22	2	9.1
	4 ^{TH+}	7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	321	29	9.0
TEHAMA	1 ST	168	7	4.2
	2 ND	45	10	22.2
	3 RD	11	3	27.3
	4 ^{TH+}	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	226	20	8.8
TRINITY	1 ST	29	0	0.0
	2 ND	13	4	30.8
	3 RD	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	43	4	9.3
TULARE	1 ST	1201	445	37.1
	2 ND	419	95	22.7
	3 RD	102	11	10.8
	4 ^{TH+}	68	2	2.9
	TOTAL	1790	553	30.9

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND
DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			<i>N</i>	%
TUOLUMNE	1 ST	152	4	2.6
	2 ND	69	20	29.0
	3 RD	13	5	38.5
	4 ^{TH+}	6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	240	29	12.1
VENTURA	1 ST	2134	51	2.4
	2 ND	492	148	30.1
	3 RD	108	18	16.7
	4 ^{TH+}	23	2	8.7
	TOTAL	2757	219	7.9
YOLO	1 ST	298	4	1.3
	2 ND	88	16	18.2
	3 RD	29	4	13.8
	4 ^{TH+}	6	1	16.7
	TOTAL	421	25	5.9
YUBA	1 ST	173	0	0.0
	2 ND	48	7	14.6
	3 RD	10	2	20.0
	4 ^{TH+}	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	234	9	3.8

SECTION 4:
POSTCONVICTION SANCTION
EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of driving under the influence (DUI) offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI program sanctions and DUI recidivism and crash involvement for drivers convicted of alcohol-or drug-related reckless driving and for first DUI offenders.

The first part of this section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2018, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second drug-specific DUI (DUID) offenders arrested in 2015-2018, 3) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2018, 4) percentages of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2018, and 5) long-term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 2005.

The second part of this section contains the results of the analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI program sanctions and DUI recidivism and crashes for two groups of offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- ◆ The 1-year recidivism rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2018 was 4.0%, relatively unchanged from 4.1% in 2017. The 2018 first offender reoffense rate was 47.4% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ The 1-year recidivism rate for second DUI offenders arrested in 2018 was 5.3%, the same as in 2017. This rate represents a 45.4% decrease from the 9.7% rate for those arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ The subsequent 1-year crash rate among first DUI offenders was 4.5% in 2018, which is not very different from 4.7% in 2017. The 2018 first offender crash rate is 15.1% lower than the 1990 crash rate. The crash rate among second DUI offenders arrested in 2018 was 4.1%, slightly higher than 3.8% for those arrested in 2017. Despite this rate being only 2.5% higher

than the 1990 crash rate, it represents the highest value recorded for second DUI offenders in this entire time span (see Figure 7 and Table 11a).

- ◆ First and second DUID offenders arrested in 2018 have lower 1-year subsequent DUI-incident rates, but higher 1-year subsequent crash rates, compared to those of the overall first and second DUI offenders (see Tables 11a and 11b).
- ◆ Over 14 years, DUI recidivism rates of DUI offenders originally convicted in 2005 are consistently lower than the rates of those convicted in 1994 (see Table 12). At the end of 14 years, 29% of the 2005 DUI offenders incurred at least one subsequent DUI incident and 27% had at least one subsequent DUI conviction (see Figure 8a).
- ◆ Over 14 years, DUI recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of first offenders reoffending was 25%, while 30% of second offenders and 37% of third-or-more offenders reoffended (see Figure 8b).
- ◆ Males showed a much higher cumulative percentage (28%) of reoffenses than did females (22%) over the 14-year time period (see Figure 8c).
- ◆ Long term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 8d).
- ◆ After 5 years, the percentage of DUI offenders reoffending in the 2005 group was much lower (17%) compared to the percentages reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%) and was slightly lower than the percentage reoffending in the 1994 group (18%). This is shown in Figure 8e.
- ◆ Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2018 who, by court referral, enrolled in a DUI program, 85.9% of first offenders and 38.2% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13). Due to the longer program length for repeat offenders some second offenders may have still been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed.
- ◆ Based on the limited administrative data available for analysis, it appeared that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders assigned to an alcohol and drug education program were not significantly different than the rates of those who were not assigned. Similarly, the subsequent DUI incident rates of those assigned to alcohol and drug education programs were not significantly different than the rates of those who were not

assigned. Importantly, these findings reflect between-group differences (or the lack thereof) in terms of being *assigned* to alcohol and drug education programs, rather than enrolling in, or completing, such programs.

- ◆ One-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders assigned to 9-month DUI programs were not significantly different than the crash rates of those assigned to 3-month programs (see Table 14). Also, the 1-year postconviction DUI incident rates were not significantly different between the two groups.

Subject Selection and Data Collection Convicted DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) by the courts. Subjects were chosen based on their number of DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in 2018. The following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI and DUID offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI and DUID offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders with and without prior conviction for DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving within the previous 10 years, and 4) first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, DUI offenders arrested in 2005 and subsequently convicted were selected for the 14-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and DUI recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se (APS) suspensions, and DUI Failure-to-Appear (FTA) violations. For the 2005 DUI offenders, DUI recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents. For first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year subsequent unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all the previous and current evaluations are included.

To maintain comparability to the previous subject-selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. For the sanction analyses among first DUI offenders, previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a DUI with injury, and those with chemical-test refusal APS suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from those convicted of

DUI with no injury. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent follow-up period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California driver license number could be found for that driver) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. The only exclusions made for the 2005 offenders were out-of-state cases and drivers with “X” license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2018

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from previous and current DUI Management Information System (DUI-MIS) reports and plotted onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 6 shows the percentages of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2018, who reoffended within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 6. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2018).

This figure and Table 11a show an overall gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first and second offenders. The decline was steeper in the early years of the observation (1990-1994 for first offenders, 1993-1996 for second offenders), following the implementation of the APS law.

Rates in 2018 remained consistent, following a slight increase in 2017. Even so, the overall decline translates into a 47.4% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2018 and a 45.4% reduction for second offenders over the same period. As is evident in Figure 6, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses comparing first and second offenders.

TABLE 11a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS, 1990-2018

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
2009	4.2	5.2	3.1	1.9
2010	4.1	5.2	2.8	1.8
2011	3.8	4.9	2.5	1.7
2012	3.8	4.8	2.9	2.2
2013	3.6	4.6	3.6	2.6
2014	3.7	4.7	4.0	3.2
2015	3.7	4.9	4.5	3.6
2016	3.8	4.4	4.6	3.7
2017	4.1	5.3	4.7	3.8
2018	4.0	5.3	4.5	4.1
% DIFFERENCE 1990 TO 2018	-47.4%	-45.4%	-15.1%	2.5%

TABLE 11b: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUID OFFENDERS, 2015-2018

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
2015	3.1	5.1	6.2	5.0
2016	3.4	4.0	6.4	5.4
2017	3.0	4.4	6.3	5.4
2018	3.8	4.7	6.0	4.9
% DIFFERENCE 2015 TO 2018	22.6%	-7.8%	-3.2%	-2.0%

While many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of major DUI laws enacted in the 1990s or later (e.g., SB 1623 and SB 1150; see Appendix A). Past research evaluations indicated that these DUI law changes were associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents among DUI offenders (DeYoung, 1995, 1997; DeYoung, Tashima & Masten, 2005; Helander, 2002; Peck, Wilson & Sutton, 1995; Rogers, 1995, 1997).

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 7 shows the percentages of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2018 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.



Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2018).

For the seventh consecutive year, crash rates for second offenders increased from the prior year, whereas crash rates for first offenders decreased for the first time in seven years. Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2018, Figure 7 and Table 11a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by a sustained increase after 1993, and then another decline from 2001 to 2011. The rates for second offenders follow a similar path through 2011, except for a more pronounced initial decline lasting until 1996. However, the 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders increased in 2012 and continued to increase through 2017. The 2018 first offender crash rate is 15.1% lower than the corresponding rate in 1990. In contrast, the 2018 crash rate for second offenders is 2.5% higher than the crash rate in 1990 and represents the highest value recorded in this entire time span.

Overall, second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than do first offenders (Figure 7 and Table 11a), which is not surprising considering that repeat offenders are subject to tougher sanctions (e.g., longer-term license suspensions) aimed at keeping these high risk drivers off the road. The fact that second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than first offenders has been well documented in past evaluations (Arstein-Kerslake & Peck, 1985; Hagen, 1977; Hagen, McConnell & Williams, 1980; Peck, 1987, 1991; Sadler & Perrine, 1984; Tashima & Marelich, 1989; Tashima & Peck, 1986). However, the difference in 1-year subsequent crash rates between first and second DUI offenders in 2018 was the smallest recorded over the observation period (i.e., since 1990).

Starting with the 2019 DUI-MIS report, new information on 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash rates for first and second DUID offenders (shown in Table 11b) is added to this report. The 1-year reoffense rates among first DUID offenders arrested between 2015 and 2018 ranged from 3.0% to 3.8%. At the same time, the 1-year reoffense rates for second DUID offenders arrested in those years ranged from 4.0% to 5.1%.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates are noticeably higher among both first and second DUID offenders arrested in 2015-2018 than that of overall first and second DUI offenders. Indeed, among first DUID offenders arrested in 2015-2018, the 1-year subsequent crash rates varied between 6.0% and 6.4%, while second DUID offenders had somewhat lower crash rates that varied from 4.9% to 5.4%. However, 1-year subsequent crash rates declined in 2018 for both first and second DUID offenders when compared to rates in years 2015-2017.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018

Table 11c displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2018 by county. As shown in this table, among the 10 counties with the largest number of first offenders recidivating within 1 year, the rate varied from 5.8% in Fresno to 2.9% in Los Angeles. Among the rest of the counties, Alpine, Kings, Marin, Mariposa, Merced, Monterey, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, and Tehama had DUI recidivism rates at or above 6.0%, while Calaveras, Modoc, Sierra, and Siskiyou had no first offenders recidivating within 1 year. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the 10 counties with the largest number of second offenders recidivating within 1 year, Alameda had the highest rate (9.3%), whereas Orange County had the lowest rate (3.1%). Among the rest of the counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 25.0% (Alpine) to 0.0% (Amador, Del Norte, Inyo, Modoc, San Francisco, Sierra, and Trinity).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2018 are displayed in Table 11d. Among the 10 counties with the largest number of first offenders who incurred a crash within 1 year, the rate varied from 6.2% in Contra Costa County to 3.5% in Fresno County. Among the rest of the counties, Alpine, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Trinity had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the 10 counties with the largest number of second offender incurring a crash within 1 year, rates varied from 6.2% (Alameda) to 2.1% (Fresno). Among the rest of the counties, the rates varied from 11.1% (Lassen) to 0.0% in 11 counties (Alpine, Calaveras, Glenn, Inyo, Madera, Modoc, Sierra, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, and Tuolumne).

TABLE 11c: 2018 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY
FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	1896	4.0	681	5.3
ALAMEDA	38	3.7	33	9.3
ALPINE	1	12.5	1	25.0
AMADOR	4	5.0	0	0.0
BUTTE	24	5.7	9	7.6
CALAVERAS	0	0.0	1	5.6
COLUSA	2	3.6	1	5.0
CONTRA COSTA	31	5.2	10	5.0
DEL NORTE	4	4.4	0	0.0
EL DORADO	5	1.9	4	4.6
FRESNO	93	5.8	39	7.5
GLENN	1	2.0	1	8.3
HUMBOLDT	19	5.0	9	8.1
IMPERIAL	6	4.0	3	6.8
INYO	2	4.0	0	0.0
KERN	83	5.3	28	6.3
KINGS	21	7.2	4	4.3
LAKE	6	4.4	1	2.2
LASSEN	1	2.7	1	11.1
LOS ANGELES	283	2.9	84	4.0
MADERA	6	4.8	2	4.0
MARIN	35	6.5	7	3.7
MARIPOSA	2	6.5	1	6.7
MENDOCINO	8	3.3	11	13.4
MERCED	33	8.1	13	13.1
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	2	4.1	1	7.1
MONTEREY	46	6.4	12	5.2
NAPA	13	4.0	3	3.2
NEVADA	9	3.6	4	5.4
ORANGE	145	3.3	27	3.1
PLACER	19	3.6	5	2.6
PLUMAS	2	3.9	5	22.7
RIVERSIDE	129	4.0	45	5.8
SACRAMENTO	88	3.8	49	7.2
SAN BENITO	9	5.6	4	7.7
SAN BERNARDINO	79	3.8	27	4.9
SAN DIEGO	167	3.9	46	3.8
SAN FRANCISCO	6	3.3	0	0.0
SAN JOAQUIN	56	7.5	22	8.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	42	5.0	16	5.8
SAN MATEO	16	2.1	4	2.1
SANTA BARBARA	41	5.8	4	2.0
SANTA CLARA	67	3.9	22	4.8
SANTA CRUZ	36	6.0	15	7.5
SHASTA	7	2.4	5	4.4
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	0	0.0	1	4.2
SOLANO	21	4.9	15	8.5
SONOMA	35	3.3	17	5.5
STANISLAUS	38	4.8	15	6.6
SUTTER	7	4.4	5	9.3
TEHAMA	8	6.2	2	6.3
TRINITY	1	4.8	0	0.0
TULARE	39	4.7	26	9.2
TUOLUMNE	5	3.9	2	3.7
VENTURA	44	3.0	11	3.5
YOLO	5	2.2	3	4.3
YUBA	6	4.8	5	13.5

TABLE 11d: 2018 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	2136	4.5	520	4.1
ALAMEDA	43	4.2	22	6.2
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	2	2.5	1	3.3
BUTTE	17	4.0	6	5.0
CALAVERAS	4	5.8	0	0.0
COLUSA	4	7.1	1	5.0
CONTRA COSTA	37	6.2	6	3.0
DEL NORTE	1	1.1	1	3.7
EL DORADO	6	2.3	3	3.4
FRESNO	56	3.5	11	2.1
GLENN	2	4.1	0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	14	3.7	3	2.7
IMPERIAL	5	3.3	2	4.6
INYO	2	4.0	0	0.0
KERN	70	4.5	29	6.5
KINGS	6	2.1	4	4.3
LAKE	4	2.9	1	2.2
LASSEN	0	0.0	1	11.1
LOS ANGELES	443	4.6	98	4.6
MADERA	2	1.6	0	0.0
MARIN	26	4.9	4	2.1
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	1	6.7
MENDOCINO	7	2.9	3	3.7
MERCED	28	6.9	4	4.0
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	2	4.1	1	7.1
MONTEREY	40	5.6	9	3.9
NAPA	12	3.7	6	6.4
NEVADA	9	3.6	6	8.1
ORANGE	191	4.3	35	4.0
PLACER	25	4.7	7	3.6
PLUMAS	1	1.9	2	9.1
RIVERSIDE	144	4.5	37	4.7
SACRAMENTO	118	5.1	31	4.5
SAN BENITO	13	8.1	2	3.9
SAN BERNARDINO	121	5.8	20	3.7
SAN DIEGO	170	3.9	36	3.0
SAN FRANCISCO	9	4.9	1	1.9
SAN JOAQUIN	46	6.2	16	6.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	38	4.6	9	3.3
SAN MATEO	31	4.1	4	2.1
SANTA BARBARA	24	3.4	6	3.0
SANTA CLARA	84	4.9	19	4.2
SANTA CRUZ	28	4.7	12	6.0
SHASTA	8	2.7	4	3.5
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	0	0.0	1	4.2
SOLANO	29	6.7	8	4.6
SONOMA	47	4.5	10	3.2
STANISLAUS	46	5.8	12	5.3
SUTTER	5	3.2	0	0.0
TEHAMA	2	1.5	0	0.0
TRINITY	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	39	4.7	15	5.3
TUOLUMNE	4	3.2	0	0.0
VENTURA	60	4.0	6	1.9
YOLO	5	2.2	3	4.3
YUBA	6	4.8	1	2.7

Long-Term Recidivism Rates of 2005 DUI Offenders

Although earlier year's reports displayed long-term recidivism rates for the 1994 DUI offenders over a 20-year time span, it was decided, since the 2017 report, to present the recidivism rates for a more recent group of DUI offenders; the intention is to reflect on more contemporary trends in DUI occurrences, associated DUI law changes, or other more recent efforts to reduce DUI. Therefore, the recidivism rates for the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005, over the 14 years following their conviction that resulted from the 2005 arrest, are presented in this year's report. Since all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005 were included in the 2005 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long term recidivism rates for different sub-groups within the 2005 cohort, and to examine how these sub-groups differ in their long term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 14-year follow-up period for both the 1994 and 2005 groups were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 12 shows cumulative percentages of first subsequent DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 2005 offenders, as well as 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 group, 9-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 group, and 14-year cumulative percentages for the 1994 and 2005 groups. For 20-year cumulative percentages for the 1994 cohort group, see Table 12 in the 2016 annual report.

TABLE 12: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 2005 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE												
	1 ST	2 ND	3 RD	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	66+	1980	1984	1994	2005
1 ST	4	5	6	5	3	5	4	4	2	11	7	5	4
2 ND	8	9	11	9	6	9	8	7	4	19	15	9	8
3 RD	11	13	16	13	9	14	12	10	6	25	20	13	12
4 TH	14	16	20	16	12	17	15	12	7	30	24	16	15
5 TH	16	19	24	18	14	21	17	14	8	35	27	18	17
6 TH	18	21	27	21	15	23	19	16	9	38	NA	21	20
7 TH	20	23	29	22	17	25	21	17	9	40	NA	22	21
8 TH	21	25	31	24	18	27	22	18	10	42	NA	24	23
9 TH	22	26	33	25	19	28	23	19	10	44	NA	25	24
10 TH	23	27	34	26	20	29	24	19	10	NA	NA	26	25
11 TH	23	28	35	27	20	30	25	20	10	NA	NA	27	25
12 TH	24	29	36	27	21	31	26	20	10	NA	NA	28	26
13 TH	25	30	37	28	21	32	26	21	10	NA	NA	29	27
14 TH	25	30	37	28	22	32	26	21	10	NA	NA	30	27

In addition to Table 12, Figure 8a displays recidivism rates for 2005 offenders over 14 years.




Figure 8a. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 2005 DUI offenders.

Figure 8a shows that, at the end of 14 years, 27% of all 2005 offenders were convicted of at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate is slightly higher at 29%. As evident in previous years, these failure curves are steepest in the several years following the initial conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the later years.

One way to take into account the degree of alcohol- and drug-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (statutorily defined time frame for counting priors) of the 2005 DUI violation. Figure 8b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses for first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 12, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves are higher for DUI offenders with higher numbers of prior offenses. The failure rates for third-or-more offenders are consistently higher over the 14-year time period than the failure rates of second or first offenders. At the end of 14 years, for the 2005 group, 37% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 30% of second offenders and 25% of first offenders.




Figure 8b. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 2005 DUI offenders.

Because the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (79% in 2005), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 2005 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 8c and Table 12, the percentage of males that reoffend over 14 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 14 years, 28% of males have reoffended as compared to 22% of females. The failure

curve for females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 14 years than the curve for males.




Figure 8c. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 2005 DUI offenders.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 8d displays the failure curves of four age groups, which are all steepest during the first few years following the 2005 convictions.

It is also evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 14 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group. Indeed, the failure curve of the 66+ group begins to flatten out at the sixth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could be associated with their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 14 years, 32% and 26% of the two youngest groups reoffended respectively, while 21% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 10% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 8d. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 2005 DUI offenders.

The final figure, Figure 8e, compares the 2005 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 1994 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 8e. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2005 DUI offenders.

The reoffense rates of the 2005 cohort over the 5-year time period are shown among the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984, and 1994 groups (Figure 8e and Table 12). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 25 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 8e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders recidivated compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994, and 17% of the 2005 groups. Quite dramatically, the proportion reoffending in the 1994 and 2005 groups dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 25 years. The notably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the initial 0.10% per se Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 12, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 and 2005 groups over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated versus 25% of the 1994 and 24% of the 2005 group. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 and 2005 groups in years 8 through 10. In the 11th through 14th year, there was still a one percentage-point increase for the 1994 group. However, there was no change in recidivism for the 2005 group in the 11th and in the 14th years.

Based on Figure 8e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions of the 1984 group (27%) versus the 1994 group (18%) and the 2005 group (17%) is substantial. This reduction in reoffenses is likely due to the enactment of major DUI laws in 1990 or later, most notably SB 1623 (Lockyer) and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which established the APS license action and lowered the BAC legal limit from 0.10% to 0.08% (see Appendix A). Past evaluations documented that such changes in the DUI countermeasure system were associated with reductions in DUI recidivism among DUI offenders (DeYoung, 1995, 1997; DeYoung, Tashima & Masten, 2005; Helander, 2002; Peck, Wilson & Sutton, 1995; Rogers, 1995, 1997).

In summary, the 2005 offenders have long term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2005 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative percentages of reoffenses were much lower among the more recent cohorts. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2005 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 25 years, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2018

Beginning 12 years ago, this report captures the numbers and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicate that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI program, upon referral received from the court. Inclusion of the figures on enrollments and completions was possible due to the addition of information to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length.

Table 13 shows the percentages of referrals to the various DUI programs for 2018 first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 90.5% of first offenders and 79.2% of second offenders were assigned to a DUI program. Among first offenders, 73.8% enrolled in a DUI program, which usually ranges from 3 to 9 months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC level at the time of arrest. In contrast, 58.9% of second offenders were enrolled in an 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI programs, 85.9% of first offenders and 38.2% of second offenders completed their program assignment. While some second offenders may still have been enrolled in the program at the time this report was finished, their 2018 completion rate represents a steep decline from the corresponding rate in 2017 (47.5%).

TABLE 13: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	% ^a	% ^b
1 ST OFFENDERS	68,139	61,689 ^c	90.5	50,256	73.8	43,178	63.4	85.9
2 ND OFFENDERS	19,252	15,251 ^d	79.2	11,344	58.9	4,328	22.5	38.2

^aPercent of total number of DUI offenders. ^bPercent of program enrollees. ^cReferrals to first offender DUI program (3 to 9 months). ^dReferrals to 18-month DUI program.

EVALUATIONS OF DUI PROGRAM SANCTIONS FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Background

The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of assigning offenders convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving and first DUI offenders to various DUI programs was established by legislation. The evaluation for offenders with alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of ordering first DUI offenders to a 3-month versus 6-month DUI program was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to at least a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to at least a 6-month program. Starting in 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC levels are 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Methods

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data. The evaluation pertaining to alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictees involves comparing two groups: 1) those who were ordered to an alcohol and drug education program, and 2) those who were not ordered to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to the DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol- or drug-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation, for a significant proportion of such offenders (39.8% of those arrested in 2018 and subsequently convicted) the courts provide no indication of having issued such an order. This discrepancy allows for a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups. Still, some concerns exist regarding the composition of these two groups. Indeed, this evaluation was not included in the last 4 reports, due to possible problems with a court-reported disposition code indicating alcohol and drug education program sanctions on the conviction abstracts for persons convicted of alcohol- and drug-related reckless driving. For the present report, the author conducted a thorough examination of conviction abstract data and investigated the completeness and frequency of disposition code reporting at the county and court level. The author concluded that the magnitude and frequency of potential reporting problems (i.e., missing disposition codes for alcohol and drug education program on the conviction abstract) were unlikely to significantly impact the results of this evaluation. Nevertheless, it is possible that a portion of offenders from the group whose conviction abstract indicates no program referrals was actually assigned to an alcohol and drug education program. This important limitation

should be considered when interpreting the results of this evaluation.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of DUI programs sanctions and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2018 and convicted of CVC 23152 that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. The records of 39.6% of first offenders who were ordered to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were excluded from the comparison. Cases further excluded from the analysis were: first DUI offenders convicted of DUI with injury (CVC 23152), drivers with “X” license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes (the latter two were also excluded from the evaluation of alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders described above). Of the total sample selected, 73.3% were ordered to 3-month programs, while 26.7% were ordered to 9-month programs. To explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders with available information on their BAC level were included in the comparison.

The conviction date was considered to be the “treatment date” for defining prior and subsequent driving record data for both alcohol- or drug-reckless and first DUI offenders, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures lasted at least 1 year from the conviction date, ranging from 12 to 29 months.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV for both alcohol- or drug-reckless and first DUI offenders. Offenders from either of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the evaluation period) were excluded from the evaluation. There were two driver record outcome measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a DUI incident (i.e., alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI FTAs). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated, which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low during the evaluation period. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This confounding was avoided by excluding multiple incidents from the analyses.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures. Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this “quasi-experimental” design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a less-confounded comparison of the sanction groups. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions received by offenders systematically vary through self- or judicial selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socioeconomic status may be more likely to enroll in a program, and obtain a license restriction, and less likely to receive jail than are those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding the alcohol- or drug-reckless conviction date (alcohol- or drug-reckless evaluation) and for the 3 years preceding the DUI conviction date (first DUI offenders’ evaluation). The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5. Since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with group differences on these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 2- or 3-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. However, no such interactions reached the significance level in either of the evaluations.

Results of the Evaluation of Alcohol and Drug Education Program Assignment for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Driving

Figure 9a and Table 14a display the results of the evaluation of the effectiveness of alcohol and drug education program sanctions on drivers convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving violations. Importantly, these findings reflect between-group differences (or the lack thereof) in terms of being *assigned* to alcohol and drug education programs, rather than enrolling in, or completing, such programs.




Figure 9a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers (arrested in 2018) by alcohol and drug education program assignment.

Total Crashes. The results suggest that court order to an alcohol and drug education program is not significantly associated with the 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders arrested in 2018. The offenders ordered to an alcohol and drug education program showed a 6.2% lower crash rate than those not ordered to the program, but this difference was not large enough to be statistically significant; in other words, it is possible that the difference between the two groups may be due to chance alone. The crash rates for both groups of drivers are higher compared to those of 2013 offenders, the last cohort for which this evaluation was published. Specifically, the crash rate of alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders not ordered to an alcohol and drug education program went from 4.58 to 6.33 per 100 drivers, whereas the crash rate for those ordered to a program went from 4.72 to 5.94 per 100 drivers.

TABLE 14a: THE RELATIONSHIP OF ALCOHOL AND DRUG EDUCATION PROGRAM SANCTIONS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ARRESTED IN 2018

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =	
				$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$	$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2018 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	NO PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT (GRP 1)	3,304	6.33	-6.2%	3.19
	DUI PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT (GRP 2)	4,989	5.94		2.92

DUI Incidents Figure 9a and Table 14a indicate that, even though alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders ordered to an alcohol and drug education program had less DUI incidents in the 1 year following their assignment than those who were not ordered, this difference was not statistically significant. The reoffense rate of the alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders ordered to the programs was 8.5% lower than the reoffense rate of those not ordered to the programs. As a reminder, these results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program sanction was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical controls based on available covariates should remove some of the bias.

Evaluation of 9-Month DUI Program Assignment for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers

An evaluation of a referral to a 9-month DUI program for offenders with an alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction who have a prior conviction for alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving or DUI within 10 years, was mandated by AB 2802 (Houston). This legislation requires the courts to order these offenders to enroll in a DUI program for at least 9 months as a condition of probation. The records of persons arrested for DUI in 2018 and subsequently convicted of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving indicate that 980 of them have a prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction. The court-reported conviction abstracts for these offenders show that 39% of them were ordered to DUI programs when they were granted probation. However, the records of only 29 offenders (3.0%) indicated a 9-month DUI program referral. Since this critical information indicating an assignment to the 9-month DUI program was missing on the records for 97.0% of the repeat alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders, it was not possible to evaluate this program referral for the current report.

Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Program Assignment for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes Figure 9b and Table 14b display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between the length of DUI program assignment and DUI recidivism and crashes among first DUI offenders ordered to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program sanction was not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. First DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had a directionally lower crash rate than that of those ordered to the 3-month program (Table 14b), but this difference (13.2%) was not large enough to be statistically significant. Even so, the difference was larger than the one observed between the two groups in 2017 (6.8%).




Figure 9b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2018) by length of DUI program sanction.

DUI Incidents Similar to last year's results, Figure 9b and Table 14b indicate that first DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program do not have significantly different 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month program. The reoffense rate of those ordered to the 9-month program was slightly higher than that of those ordered to the 3-month program (4.28 vs. 3.99). In evaluations prior to the last 10 years, results indicated that DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had significantly more subsequent DUI incidents than offenders ordered to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in those prior years, two further subanalyses were conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the

outcomes of this evaluation. The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level was held constant, there were no significant differences in the DUI incident rates between DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month DUI program and those ordered to the 9-month program.

TABLE 14b: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM SANCTIONS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =	
				$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$	$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2018 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 1)	19,870	4.46	-13.2% ^a	7.3% ^a
	9-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 2)	7,234	3.87		

^aThese differences in % rates are not statistically significant.

Starting 10 years ago, BAC level information has been included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between ordered program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. When the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that being ordered to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than being ordered to the 3-month program, which is comparable to the findings in prior years.

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung (1995) examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months reduced DUI recidivism.

Another limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstracts had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5:
LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION
ACTIONS

SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS

Data on Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) based upon either driving under the influence (DUI) arrest or DUI conviction are presented in this section. These statutorily-mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement Administrative Per Se (APS) report (0.08% Blood Alcohol Concentration [BAC], zero tolerance, DUI probation violation, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 15: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 2009-2019. This table shows APS and postconviction license disqualification totals from 2009 through 2019.

Table 16: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measures data from 2017 to 2019.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- ◆ The total number of DMV APS and DUI postconviction S/R actions in 2019 decreased by 0.9% in 2019. After a marginal increase in 2018, this potentially resumes a declining trend that started in 2008 (see Table 15).
- ◆ In 2019, 117,067 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74.0% were first-offender actions (including “zero tolerance” actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.0% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).
- ◆ Total APS actions (including actions later set aside) decreased less than 1.0% in 2019, following a 1.7% increase in 2018 (see Table 16).
- ◆ The number of chemical test refusal actions (excluding those later set aside) increased by 3.5% in 2019, after increasing by 12.2% in 2018.

- ◆ APS actions were set aside for 10.0% of all APS actions initiated in 2019, which is marginally less than the 2018 rate of 10.4%.
- ◆ Total postconviction S/R actions decreased by 1.5% in 2019, compared to 1.8% decrease in 2018 (see Table 15).

TABLE 15: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2009-2019

DUI license actions	Year										
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Total mandatory suspension/revocation (S/R) actions	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833	255357	239491	227595	227719	225624
PRECONVICTION											
Administrative Per Se (APS) Actions	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405	130468	120339	115374	117535	117067
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213	9074	8184	7227	6561	6542
.08 First-offender suspensions	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014	86933	80371	77689	79776	80091
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823	31093	28439	27032	27409	26572
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789	3862
Commercial driver actions	3964 ^a	3614 ^a	3108 ^a	2983 ^a	2782 ^a	2498	2322	2087	1988	1818	1748
Chemical test refusal actions	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089	9257	9262	9489	10647	11016
.01 Test refusal suspensions	372	354	279	280	300	286	293	269	248	223	245
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448	5596	5648	6118	6998	7300
.08 Test refusal revocations	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789	3862
POSTCONVICTION^b											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	482	538	351	312	311	253	246	234	249	159	160
First-offender suspensions	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845	82570	78933	74400	72833	72100
Misdemeanor	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955	80492	76712	72116	70539	69624
Felony	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890	2078	2221	2284	2294	2476
Second-offender S/R actions	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935	31587	29948	28029	28051	27198
Misdemeanor	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275	30896	29183	27271	27217	26379
Felony	681	637	641	623	637	660	691	765	758	834	826
Third-offender revocations	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239	8160	7699	7358	7008	6919
Misdemeanor	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019	7938	7453	7111	6732	6648
Felony	242	198	256	231	219	220	222	246	247	276	271
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156	2326	2338	2185	2133	2180
Total postconviction S/R actions	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428	124889	119152	112221	110184	108557

^aPrevious counts have been adjusted to include commercial driver APS actions not previously identified as such.

^bThese totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event.

TABLE 16: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2017	2018	2019
Total APS actions initiated (including actions later set aside):	128,904	131,112	130,058
Total .08 ^a APS actions set aside	12,707	12,724	12,310
Total .01 ^b suspensions set aside	823	853	681
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	115,374	117,535	117,067
Net total .08 APS actions	108,147	110,974	110,525
Net total .01 actions	7,227	6,561	6,542
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:^c			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	113,386	115,717	115,319
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	1,988	1,818	1,748
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	90	85	60
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no priors ^d	77,689	79,776	80,091
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with priors	30,458	31,198	30,434
Suspensions	27,032	27,409	26,572
Revocations	3,426	3,789	3,862
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions initiated (including actions later set aside)	9,917	11,141	11,542
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	414	479	516
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	14	15	10
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,489	10,647	11,016
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,241	10,424	10,771
Net total .01 refusal actions	248	223	245
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	7.7%	8.5%	8.9%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no priors	6,118	6,998	7,300
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with priors	3,426	3,789	3,862
APS Hearings:^e			
Total .08 and .01 in person or telephone APS hearings scheduled	43,281	44,213	44,763
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ^f	33.6%	33.7%	34.4%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	41,188	42,349	42,926
.08 actions set aside following hearings	3,796	3,701	3,472
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	9.2%	8.7%	8.1%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	2,025	1,775	1,713
.01 actions set aside following hearings	158	166	147
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	7.8%	9.4%	8.6%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,746	4,252	4,741
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,658	4,179	4,668
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	342	426	479

^a.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

^b.01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

^cAll entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

^dPriors for these APS actions are defined in CVC 13353.3.

^eThese figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings, and departmental reviews.

^fBoth numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:
DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING
ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

This section presents data on drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol (CHP). Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes.¹ Beginning with the 2013 DUI Management Information System (DUI-MIS) Report, in addition to information about drivers under the influence of alcohol, this section contains information about drivers under the influence of drugs and about drivers under the influence of both alcohol and drugs. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 17: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 2008-2018. This table shows the number and percentage of driving under the influence (DUI) arrests associated with reported crashes from 2008-2018.

Table 18: 2018 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Impairment Type. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of impairment type and race/ethnicity for 2018 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes.

Table 19: 2018 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Impairment Type. This table cross tabulates crash-involved drivers' impairment type (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for DUI convictions associated with those crash involvements.

Table 20: 2018 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by County and Impairment Type. This table shows the number of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes, by county and impairment type.

Table 21: Alcohol-Involved Drivers Under Age 21 in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 2008-2018. This table shows the total number of alcohol-involved drivers under age 21 in fatal/injury crashes in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of alcohol-involved drivers in the state over the same time period.

¹Among 2018 DUI arrestees, 26,097 (20.5%) were involved in a reported traffic crash; 10,333 of the crashes included an injury or fatality, and 15,764 involved property damage only.

Table 22a: 2018 Alcohol-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender. This table shows the total number of 2018 alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender.

Table 22b: 2018 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender (Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). This table shows the number of 2018 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash.

Tables 23a-23b: 2018 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Impairment Type and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2018 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by impairment type and prior DUI conviction status, both total (23a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (23b).

Tables 24a-24b: 2018 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2018 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by number of prior DUI convictions, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 25: 2018 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes. This table shows the mean, median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in 2018.

Figure 10: Percentages of Crash Injuries and Fatalities that were Alcohol-Involved, 2009-2019. Figure 10 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 2009 to 2019. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI Summary Statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 11: Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Fatalities, 1995-2019. Figure 11 (opposite page) shows numbers of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities from 1995 to 2019. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 10. Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2009-2019.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved crash fatalities remain relatively unchanged, going from 32.1% in 2018 to 31.8% in 2019 (see Figure 10).
- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved crash injuries remained relatively unchanged, going from 10.0% in 2018 to 10.2% in 2019 (see Figure 10 and DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ The total number of alcohol- and/or drug-involved crash fatalities increased by 0.7% in 2019, following a decrease of 6.9% in 2018. The majority of these crash fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 11).
- ◆ While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by about 12% over the past 24 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities tripled over the same time period. (see Figure 11).
- ◆ Of all 2018 DUI arrests, 20.5% were associated with a reported traffic crash, whereas 8.1% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities. Both of these statistics have been fairly stable since 2016 (see Table 17).




Figure 11. Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 1995-2019

- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 decreased from 11.3% in 2008 to 6.1% in 2018 (see Table 21).
- ◆ Among 2018 drivers in fatal/injury crashes with reported involvement of alcohol and/or drugs, alcohol only involvement was determined and reported by law enforcement 89.6% of the time, drug only involvement was reported in 8.2% of cases, while involvement of both alcohol and drugs was reported for 2.2% of these drivers (see Table 18).
- ◆ Among alcohol- and drug-involved drivers, 44.4% do not have a record of any conviction in connection with their involvement in a fatal/injury crash. In 41.8% (3,559/8,525) of these non-convicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers' ability was impaired by alcohol (see Table 19).
- ◆ Among the 10 counties with the largest number of 2018 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes, the percentage of drivers with drug-related impairment varied from 5.9% in Santa Clara to 12.7% in Orange (see Table 20). Among all other counties, five had 15% or higher percentage of drivers with drug-involved impairment: Lake (20.4%), Plumas (19.4%), Modoc (16.7%), Ventura (15.9%), and Trinity (15.0%).
- ◆ The majority of drug-involved as well as drug- and alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes are not convicted for DUI associated with the crash and have neither prior DUI convictions nor alcohol- and drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years indicated on their records (see Tables 19 and 23a).
- ◆ Three-fourths (74.5%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction. In contrast, the majority (59.0%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a).
- ◆ The median BAC level of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes was 0.16% in 2018 (see Table 25), unchanged since 2012.

TABLE 17: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2008-2018^a

ARRESTS/ CRASHES	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548	127437
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	14.2%	13.4%	12.6%	13.0%	13.8%	14.4%	15.3%	17.4%	21.0%	21.0%	20.5%
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	5.5%	5.2%	4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	5.6%	6.0%	6.9%	8.2%	8.3%	8.1%

^aThese data include DUI arrest cases where the driver license was found in the DMV database and whose DUI arrest date matched the crash involvement date found on their driver record.

TABLE 18: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY									
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		21010	100.0	7155	34.1	9197	43.8	1922	9.1	1590	7.6	1146	5.5
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	14036	66.8	4774	34.0	6753	48.1	1223	8.7	985	7.0	301	2.1
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	1792	8.5	377	21.0	543	30.3	122	6.8	83	4.6	667	37.2
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	2998	14.3	1011	33.7	1156	38.6	357	11.9	363	12.1	111	3.7
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	452	2.2	183	40.5	163	36.1	58	12.8	34	7.5	14	3.1
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1732	8.2	810	46.8	582	33.6	162	9.4	125	7.2	53	3.1

^aFor each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2018 California Highway Patrol data files.

^b92.0% (416) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

85

TABLE 19: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
				MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		OTHER CONVICTION		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		19183	100.0	7568	39.5	2495	13.0	581	3.0	1	0.0	13	0.1	8525	44.4
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	13317	69.4	7029	52.8	2232	16.8	495	3.7	1	0.0	1	0.0	3559	26.7
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	951	5.0	67	7.0	22	2.3	7	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.1	854	89.8
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	2816	14.7	6	0.2	3	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0	2806	99.6
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	434	2.3	92	21.2	60	13.8	17	3.9	0	0.0	1	0.2	264	60.8
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1665	8.7	374	22.5	178	10.7	62	3.7	0	0.0	9	0.5	1042	62.6

^aFor each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2018 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

^b91.9% (399) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

TABLE 20: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE^a

COUNTY	TOTAL	IMPAIRMENT TYPE					
		ALCOHOL INVOLVED		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED		DRUG-INVOLVED	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	19183	17084	89.1	434	2.3	1665	8.7
ALAMEDA	689	604	87.7	21	3.0	64	9.3
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	32	29	90.6	1	3.1	2	6.3
BUTTE	153	130	85.0	3	2.0	20	13.1
CALAVERAS	44	36	81.8	3	6.8	5	11.4
COLUSA	19	15	78.9	2	10.5	2	10.5
CONTRA COSTA	411	370	90.0	9	2.2	32	7.8
DEL NORTE	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
EL DORADO	167	147	88.0	3	1.8	17	10.2
FRESNO	349	309	88.5	7	2.0	33	9.5
GLENN	20	18	90.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
HUMBOLDT	125	103	82.4	5	4.0	17	13.6
IMPERIAL	77	69	89.6	2	2.6	6	7.8
INYO	27	24	88.9	0	0.0	3	11.1
KERN	454	395	87.0	8	1.8	51	11.2
KINGS	60	51	85.0	3	5.0	6	10.0
LAKE	49	38	77.6	1	2.0	10	20.4
LASSEN	17	16	94.1	0	0.0	1	5.9
LOS ANGELES	4672	4269	91.4	74	1.6	329	7.0
MADERA	126	114	90.5	1	0.8	11	8.7
MARIN	115	106	92.2	1	0.9	8	7.0
MARIPOSA	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	78	70	89.7	2	2.6	6	7.7
MERCED	199	186	93.5	3	1.5	10	5.0
MODOC	6	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7
MONO	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	277	251	90.6	3	1.1	23	8.3
NAPA	140	130	92.9	0	0.0	10	7.1
NEVADA	89	74	83.1	4	4.5	11	12.4
ORANGE	1273	1083	85.1	28	2.2	162	12.7
PLACER	167	142	85.0	4	2.4	21	12.6
PLUMAS	31	25	80.6	0	0.0	6	19.4
RIVERSIDE	1065	911	85.5	49	4.6	105	9.9
SACRAMENTO	903	816	90.4	12	1.3	75	8.3
SAN BENITO	113	109	96.5	0	0.0	4	3.5
SAN BERNARDINO	1316	1188	90.3	41	3.1	87	6.6
SAN DIEGO	1604	1441	89.8	39	2.4	124	7.7
SAN FRANCISCO	305	285	93.4	8	2.6	12	3.9
SAN JOAQUIN	461	405	87.9	13	2.8	43	9.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	150	133	88.7	4	2.7	13	8.7
SAN MATEO	283	264	93.3	4	1.4	15	5.3
SANTA BARBARA	199	171	85.9	5	2.5	23	11.6
SANTA CLARA	663	616	92.9	8	1.2	39	5.9
SANTA CRUZ	178	153	86.0	7	3.9	18	10.1
SHASTA	114	95	83.3	4	3.5	15	13.2
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	33	28	84.8	2	6.1	3	9.1
SOLANO	232	191	82.3	7	3.0	34	14.7
SONOMA	310	275	88.7	8	2.6	27	8.7
STANISLAUS	372	326	87.6	9	2.4	37	9.9
SUTTER	130	107	82.3	4	3.1	19	14.6
TEHAMA	46	37	80.4	3	6.5	6	13.0
TRINITY	20	17	85.0	0	0.0	3	15.0
TULARE	244	214	87.7	6	2.5	24	9.8
TUOLUMNE	49	47	95.9	1	2.0	1	2.0
VENTURA	389	317	81.5	10	2.6	62	15.9
YOLO	101	93	92.1	1	1.0	7	6.9
YUBA	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0

^aThese data are derived from the 2018 California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver record was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 21: ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY
CRASHES, 2008-2018^a

AGE		2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
TOTAL ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	19604	17874	16501	16231	16325	15892	16633	17633	19133	18934	19232
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	316	239	233	190	199	174	150	147	164	167	146
	%	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8
18-20	<i>N</i>	1901	1831	1641	1569	1379	1201	1214	1204	1271	1155	1033
	%	9.7	10.2	9.9	9.7	8.4	8.4	7.3	6.8	6.6	6.1	5.4
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	2217	2070	1874	1759	1578	1375	1364	1351	1435	1322	1179
	%	11.3	11.6	11.4	10.8	9.7	8.7	8.2	7.7	7.5	7.0	6.1

^aThese data are derived from the 2018 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22a: 2018 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY
AGE AND GENDER^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	19232	100.0	14403	74.9	4829	25.1
UNDER 18	146	0.8	103	70.5	43	29.5
18-20	1033	5.4	753	72.9	280	27.1
21-30	7870	40.9	5732	72.8	2138	27.2
31-40	4072	21.2	3106	76.3	966	23.7
41-50	2258	11.7	1681	74.4	577	25.6
51-59	1680	8.7	1258	74.9	422	25.1
60-69	973	5.1	731	75.1	242	24.9
70 & ABOVE	371	1.9	263	70.9	108	29.1
AGE UNKNOWN	829	4.3	776	93.6	53	6.4

^aThese data are derived from the 2018 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22b: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY
CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR
CONVICTED)^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	5551	100.0	4014	72.3	1537	27.7
UNDER 18	46	0.8	36	78.3	10	21.7
18-20	297	5.4	221	74.4	76	25.6
21-30	2044	36.8	1478	72.3	566	27.7
31-40	1212	21.8	899	74.2	313	25.8
41-50	736	13.3	534	72.6	202	27.4
51-59	608	11.0	434	71.4	174	28.6
60-69	387	7.0	273	70.5	114	29.5
70 & ABOVE	221	4.0	139	62.9	82	37.1

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23a: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
						N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		19183	100.0	8228	42.9	8246	43.0	2115	11.0	469	2.4	125	0.7
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	13317	69.4	3623	27.2	7269	54.6	1895	14.2	415	3.1	115	0.9
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	951	5.0	753	79.2	142	14.9	44	4.6	10	1.1	2	0.2
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	2816	14.7	2611	92.7	171	6.1	29	1.0	4	0.1	1	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	434	2.3	232	53.5	147	33.9	38	8.8	13	3.0	4	0.9
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1665	8.7	1009	60.6	517	31.1	109	6.5	27	1.6	3	0.2

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23b: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
						N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		5551	100.0	4925	88.7	478	8.6	117	2.1	27	0.5	4	0.1
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	1090	19.6	878	80.6	146	13.4	52	4.8	13	1.2	1	0.1
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	826	14.9	718	86.9	75	9.1	26	3.1	5	0.6	2	0.2
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED	2759	49.7	2563	92.9	166	6.0	25	0.9	4	0.1	1	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	182	3.3	145	79.7	34	18.7	3	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	DRUG-INVOLVED	694	12.5	621	89.5	57	8.2	11	1.6	5	0.7	0	0.0

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 24a: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS^a

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	19183	100.0	8228	42.9	8246	43.0	2115	11.0	469	2.4	125	0.7
WITH FATALITIES	1104 ^b	5.8	822	74.5	227	20.6	42	3.8	11	1.0	2	0.2
WITH INJURIES	18079	94.2	7406	41.0	8019	44.4	2073	11.5	458	2.5	123	0.7

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

^bThe records of 61.3% (677) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 24b: 2018 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)^a

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
TOTAL	5551	100.0	4925	88.7	478	8.6	117	2.1	27	0.5	4	0.1
WITH FATALITIES	852 ^b	15.3	710	83.3	113	13.3	24	2.8	4	0.5	1	0.1
WITH INJURIES	4699	84.7	4215	89.7	365	7.8	93	2.0	23	0.5	3	0.1

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

^bThe records of 77.8% (663) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 25: 2018 REPORTED^a BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	441	4.1
.01	28	0.3
.02	45	0.4
.03	52	0.5
.04	65	0.6
.05	119	1.1
.06	136	1.3
.07	215	2.0
.08	326	3.0
.09	381	3.5
.10	441	4.1
.11	486	4.5
.12	551	5.1
.13	599	5.5
.14	634	5.8
.15	668	6.2
.16	688	6.3
.17	682	6.3
.18	603	5.6
.19	560	5.2
.20	538	5.0
.21	431	4.0
.22	414	3.8
.23	350	3.2
.24	258	2.4
.25	243	2.2
.26	158	1.5
.27	168	1.6
.28	114	1.1
.29	109	1.0
.30	73	0.7
.31	70	0.6
.32	51	0.5
.33	51	0.5
.34	26	0.2
.35	22	0.2
.36	15	0.1
.37	21	0.2
.38	13	0.1
.39	8	0.1
.40	9	0.1
.41	2	0.0
.42	3	0.0
.44	1	0.0
.45	1	0.0
.46	1	0.0
TOTAL	10870	100.0

MEAN^b BAC .17MEDIAN^b BAC .16

^aThe BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes (54.9% of the records showed BAC levels).

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug-involved drivers.

REFERENCES

- Arstein-Kerslake, G. W., & Peck, R. C. (1985). A typological analysis of California DUI offenders and DUI recidivism correlates (Report No. 100). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2016). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- California Highway Patrol. (2020). *2017 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Retrieved August 6, 2020, from <https://www.chp.ca.gov/programs-services/services-information/switrs-internet-statewide-integrated-traffic-records-system/switrs-2017-report>
- DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Masten, S. V., & Tashima, H. N. (2005). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of ignition interlock in California* (Report No. 217). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Guenzburger, G. V., & Atkinson, D. B. (2012). *Differences between jail sentences and jail terms actually served among DUI offenders in selected Californian counties* (Report No. 239). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Hagen, R. E. (1977). *Effectiveness of license suspension for drivers convicted of multiple driving-under-the-influence offenses* (Report No. 59). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Hagen, R. E., McConnell, E. J., & Williams, R. L. (1980). *Suspension and revocation effects on the DUI offender* (Report No. 75). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Helander, C. J. (2002). *DUI countermeasures in California: What works and what doesn't with recommendations for Legislative reform* (Report No. 197). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2011). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 233). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2012). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 236). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2013). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 243). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S. (2014). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 246). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Oulad Daoud, S., Tashima, H. N., & Grippe, R. (2015). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 250). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2018). *2017 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 257). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2020). *2018 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 259). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2020). *2019 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 260). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & (2021). *2020 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 261). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1987). *An overview of study findings and policy implications: An Evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 112). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.
- Peck, R. C., Wilson, J., & Sutton, L. (1995). *Driver license strategies for controlling the persistent DUI offender* (Unpublished report). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Rogers, P. N. (1995). *The general deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws Volume 1* (Report No. 158). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). *The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 90). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Marelich, W. D. (1989). *A comparison of the relative effectiveness of alternative sanctions for DUI offenders: Volume I of development of a DUI accident and recidivism tracking system* (Report No. 122). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2018). *2016 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 256). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A**HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975**

AB 2717 (Lackey), effective 1/1/2019, clarifies that enhanced penalties for refusing to submit to driving under the influence (DUI) chemical test apply only to a person who refuses to submit to or complete the breath or urine test. In addition, this bill requires a peace officer to advise a person that their failure to submit to a chemical test would result in the administrative license suspension or revocation of the driving privilege. This bill also changes the conditions under which a peace officer can request a blood test if the officer suspects that the person was driving under the influence.

SB 611 (Hill), effective 1/1/2018, makes clarifying and conforming changes to specific provisions of SB 1046 (Hill) in regards to ignition interlock device IID programs and driver license restriction requirements for persons convicted of first and repeat alcohol- and/or drug-related DUI offenses during the period between January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2026.

SB 2687 (Achadjian), effective 7/1/2018, amends Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to make it unlawful for a person with a BAC of 0.04% or more, to drive a vehicle when a “passenger-for-hire” is in the vehicle at the time of the offense. This bill also makes it unlawful for a person with a BAC of 0.04% or more, to drive a motor vehicle and at the same time do any act that causes bodily injury to another person other than the driver.

SB 1046 (Hill), effective 1/1/2017, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties, authorized by AB 91, until January 1, 2019. In addition, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill allows persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI offense and requires persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI offense with injury and persons convicted of a repeat alcohol-related DUI offense to install an ignition interlock device for a specific period of time (relative to specific DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations). These persons were able to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation. This bill would require ignition interlock device manufacturers to adopt a fee schedule that provides for the payment of the cost of the ignition interlock device in amounts proportionate to the offenders’ income relative to the federal poverty level. The bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to report data to the California State Transportation Agency regarding the implementation and efficacy of the ignition interlock program enacted by provisions of this law by March 1, 2024.

SB 61 (Hill), effective 1/1/2016, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties authorized by AB 91 law until July 1, 2017. This pilot program mandates the use of an ignition interlock device for all persons convicted of CVC Sections 23152 and 23153 in the four pilot counties during the pilot program implementation period.

AB 2552 (Torres), effective 1/1/2014, amends and repeals Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to separate and define distinctly the offenses of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, drug, or combined influence of alcohol and drugs, including causing bodily injury while committing any of these offenses.

AB 2020 (Pan), effective 1/1/2013, removes the option to choose a urine test to determine the drug content level for a person lawfully arrested for driving under the influence of drugs or the combination of alcohol and drugs. The bill specifies that the person's only options are a blood or breath test. A person consents to a urine test if a blood test is unavailable or if the person is exempted from a blood test for medical reasons.

AB 520 (Ammiano), effective 1/1/2012, allows persons convicted of alcohol-reckless driving and who have no more than two prior alcohol-related convictions within 10 years, to obtain an IID restricted license after completing a 90-day Administrative Per Se (APS) suspension period, if they enroll in a 9-month DUI program, provide proof of financial responsibility, pay the necessary fees, and provide proof of IID installation. The license restriction remains in effect for the remainder of the 12-month APS suspension period.

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three-or-more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) for driver license reinstatement, 5 years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol-related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is

based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year (APS) license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver's license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the DMV. This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender who is on probation and is driving with

a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the Penal Code 192(c)(3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill remained operative until January 1, 2009 and required the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which convictions considered as prior for DUI will be counted for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing APS and DUI-related post-conviction driver license actions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that for all persons convicted of a DUI, license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege are DMV's responsibility.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted driver's license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of

0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within 7 years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with a BAC of 0.05% or more, to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program. Upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury. This remedy an oversight in the law which provided for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but did not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device. It also requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work

and DUI program if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for 1 year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under CVC Section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under Section 23575(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain CVC sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention

programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for 4 years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within 7 years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit or any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as “Courtney’s Law”; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to 2 years revocation for the second offense in 7 years and 3 years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC Section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (CVC 23235), although part of the repealed statutes was incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (CVC 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month APS suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, CVC 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for 1 to 3 years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person

cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of CVC 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for 1 year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within 7 years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of 1 to 3 years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for 1 year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the driver's licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed APS suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends CVC Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of CVC 2800.2 or 2800.3 (evading a peace officer in

a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within 7 years of a violation of CVC Sections 23103, 23152, 23153, or Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases and permits the court to grant subjects revoked for three-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation period have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under CVC Sections 13353 and 13353.2 to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within 7 years of two or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153, or a violation of CVC 23153 which occurred within 7 years of one or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as “habitual traffic offenders.”

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than 1 year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay issuing the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for 1 year, or delay issuing the driving privilege of those 13 years or older for 1 year, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than 1 year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Penal Code Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from 5 to 7 years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from 1 year to 18 months, and requires that offenders with three-or-

more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within 5 years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within 5 years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (CVC Sections 23152, 23153).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor,

while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for 1 year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are assigned to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from 7 to 5 years. Conviction of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for 1 year and a license restriction for 2 years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se (“on-the-spot”) license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a “zero tolerance” statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver “had-been-drinking (HBD).”

ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction refers to a conviction of the California Vehicle Code (VC) Section VC 23103.5 of reckless driving involving alcohol and/or drugs. It is typically associated with driving under the influence (DUI) arrests with weaker circumstances (for example, BAC level lower than or close to .08%) and results in lesser penalties and sanctions than a DUI conviction. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction refers to a violation of a specific California Vehicle Code Section reported by courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) in the abstract of conviction. Since courts' abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed, the conviction totals reported here are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total number of DUI arrests in a given calendar year that resulted in DUI convictions (total DUI convictions/total DUI arrests * 100).

DUID

DUID is an acronym for "driving under the influence of drugs" (either alone or in combination with other drugs and/or alcohol), a violation of subdivisions (e) and (f) prior to July 1, 2018, or later of subdivisions (f) and (g) of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

P

P stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found or one larger would occur by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely to be due to chance alone.

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. Relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with
Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to

driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		124141	95839	77.2	28302	22.8	38627	31.1	64058	51.6	11554	9.3	9902	8.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	4	28.6	8	57.1	1	7.1	1	7.1
	18-20	225	172	76.4	53	23.6	33	14.7	144	64.0	26	11.6	22	9.8
	21-30	2103	1560	74.2	543	25.8	413	19.6	989	47.0	356	16.9	345	16.4
	31-40	1371	1074	78.3	297	21.7	260	19.0	580	42.3	308	22.5	223	16.3
	41-50	754	599	79.4	155	20.6	165	21.9	284	37.7	194	25.7	111	14.7
	51-60	474	386	81.4	88	18.6	175	36.9	104	21.9	126	26.6	69	14.6
	61-70	163	122	74.8	41	25.2	70	42.9	30	18.4	47	28.8	16	9.8
	71 & ABOVE	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	11	57.9	3	15.8	2	10.5	3	15.8
TOTAL	5123	3942	76.9	1181	23.1	1131	22.1	2142	41.8	1060	20.7	790	15.4	
ALPINE	18-20	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	31-40	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
	51-60	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
AMADOR	18-20	5	2	40.0	3	60.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	49	36	73.5	13	26.5	37	75.5	6	12.2	2	4.1	4	8.2
	31-40	33	23	69.7	10	30.3	22	66.7	6	18.2	2	6.1	3	9.1
	41-50	21	10	47.6	11	52.4	17	81.0	4	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	37	25	67.6	12	32.4	31	83.8	2	5.4	3	8.1	1	2.7
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	10	76.9	3	23.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	164	110	67.1	54	32.9	126	76.8	23	14.0	7	4.3	8	4.9
BUTTE	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	57	41	71.9	16	28.1	34	59.6	14	24.6	2	3.5	7	12.3
	21-30	373	285	76.4	88	23.6	225	60.3	105	28.2	23	6.2	20	5.4
	31-40	230	169	73.5	61	26.5	168	73.0	41	17.8	8	3.5	13	5.7
	41-50	127	87	68.5	40	31.5	94	74.0	21	16.5	5	3.9	7	5.5
	51-60	116	77	66.4	39	33.6	93	80.2	14	12.1	3	2.6	6	5.2
	61-70	39	24	61.5	15	38.5	34	87.2	4	10.3	0	0.0	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	13	86.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	961	696	72.4	265	27.6	665	69.2	201	20.9	41	4.3	54	5.6	

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	43	36	83.7	7	16.3	32	74.4	6	14.0	2	4.7	3	7.0
	31-40	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	29	74.4	8	20.5	1	2.6	1	2.6
	41-50	28	18	64.3	10	35.7	22	78.6	5	17.9	0	0.0	1	3.6
	51-60	28	19	67.9	9	32.1	23	82.1	3	10.7	1	3.6	1	3.6
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	158	121	76.6	37	23.4	122	77.2	25	15.8	5	3.2	6	3.8
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	43	31	72.1	12	27.9	13	30.2	26	60.5	0	0.0	4	9.3
	31-40	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	12	42.9	7	25.0	2	7.1	7	25.0
	41-50	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	7	33.3	12	57.1	1	4.8	1	4.8
	51-60	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	8	53.3	6	40.0	0	0.0	1	6.7
	61-70	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	125	101	80.8	24	19.2	50	40.0	59	47.2	3	2.4	13	10.4
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	5	35.7	5	35.7	2	14.3	2	14.3
	18-20	116	84	72.4	32	27.6	26	22.4	62	53.4	14	12.1	14	12.1
	21-30	1037	802	77.3	235	22.7	287	27.7	491	47.3	155	14.9	104	10.0
	31-40	691	537	77.7	154	22.3	200	28.9	283	41.0	142	20.5	66	9.6
	41-50	404	295	73.0	109	27.0	130	32.2	129	31.9	108	26.7	37	9.2
	51-60	320	229	71.6	91	28.4	154	48.1	69	21.6	70	21.9	27	8.4
	61-70	119	92	77.3	27	22.7	66	55.5	12	10.1	34	28.6	7	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	8	50.0	1	6.3	3	18.8	4	25.0
	TOTAL	2717	2062	75.9	655	24.1	876	32.2	1052	38.7	528	19.4	261	9.6
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	7	58.3	1	8.3	1	8.3	3	25.0
	21-30	79	55	69.6	24	30.4	50	63.3	17	21.5	1	1.3	11	13.9
	31-40	70	51	72.9	19	27.1	51	72.9	7	10.0	1	1.4	11	15.7
	41-50	42	29	69.0	13	31.0	35	83.3	4	9.5	0	0.0	3	7.1
	51-60	34	25	73.5	9	26.5	26	76.5	4	11.8	0	0.0	4	11.8
	61-70	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	14	87.5	2	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	33.3
	TOTAL	261	187	71.6	74	28.4	188	72.0	35	13.4	3	1.1	35	13.4

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	21	14	66.7	7	33.3	15	71.4	6	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	224	158	70.5	66	29.5	169	75.4	33	14.7	8	3.6	14	6.3
	31-40	166	118	71.1	48	28.9	123	74.1	28	16.9	5	3.0	10	6.0
	41-50	102	60	58.8	42	41.2	87	85.3	9	8.8	1	1.0	5	4.9
	51-60	89	57	64.0	32	36.0	73	82.0	11	12.4	4	4.5	1	1.1
	61-70	64	53	82.8	11	17.2	61	95.3	0	0.0	1	1.6	2	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	684	475	69.4	209	30.6	545	79.7	88	12.9	19	2.8	32	4.7
FRESNO	UNDER 18	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	6	25.0	16	66.7	0	0.0	2	8.3
	18-20	295	223	75.6	72	24.4	46	15.6	207	70.2	12	4.1	30	10.2
	21-30	2351	1797	76.4	554	23.6	381	16.2	1590	67.6	156	6.6	224	9.5
	31-40	1406	1106	78.7	300	21.3	224	15.9	949	67.5	108	7.7	125	8.9
	41-50	693	543	78.4	150	21.6	145	20.9	451	65.1	57	8.2	40	5.8
	51-60	417	338	81.1	79	18.9	134	32.1	211	50.6	44	10.6	28	6.7
	61-70	139	108	77.7	31	22.3	43	30.9	59	42.4	25	18.0	12	8.6
	71 & ABOVE	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	13	44.8	10	34.5	4	13.8	2	6.9
	TOTAL	5354	4159	77.7	1195	22.3	992	18.5	3493	65.2	406	7.6	463	8.6
GLENN	18-20	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	55	44	80.0	11	20.0	16	29.1	36	65.5	1	1.8	2	3.6
	31-40	38	30	78.9	8	21.1	17	44.7	18	47.4	2	5.3	1	2.6
	41-50	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	13	68.4	6	31.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	19	10	52.6	9	47.4	14	73.7	5	26.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	143	108	75.5	35	24.5	70	49.0	67	46.9	3	2.1	3	2.1
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	26	66.7	9	23.1	0	0.0	4	10.3
	21-30	367	266	72.5	101	27.5	242	65.9	73	19.9	17	4.6	35	9.5
	31-40	314	243	77.4	71	22.6	229	72.9	46	14.6	13	4.1	26	8.3
	41-50	154	110	71.4	44	28.6	119	77.3	16	10.4	8	5.2	11	7.1
	51-60	94	65	69.1	29	30.9	79	84.0	6	6.4	1	1.1	8	8.5
	61-70	51	37	72.5	14	27.5	46	90.2	0	0.0	2	3.9	3	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	13	7	53.8	6	46.2	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1038	765	73.7	273	26.3	759	73.1	150	14.5	41	3.9	88	8.5

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	57	47	82.5	10	17.5	10	17.5	47	82.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	313	250	79.9	63	20.1	30	9.6	271	86.6	9	2.9	3	1.0
	31-40	181	139	76.8	42	23.2	17	9.4	157	86.7	2	1.1	5	2.8
	41-50	104	88	84.6	16	15.4	13	12.5	82	78.8	4	3.8	5	4.8
	51-60	65	60	92.3	5	7.7	15	23.1	50	76.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	35	34	97.1	1	2.9	19	54.3	16	45.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	764	627	82.1	137	17.9	108	14.1	628	82.2	15	2.0	13	1.7
INYO	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	43	29	67.4	14	32.6	16	37.2	14	32.6	0	0.0	13	30.2
	31-40	27	19	70.4	8	29.6	17	63.0	4	14.8	0	0.0	6	22.2
	41-50	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	7	46.7	6	40.0	0	0.0	2	13.3
	51-60	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	8	72.7	1	9.1	0	0.0	2	18.2
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	110	79	71.8	31	28.2	57	51.8	29	26.4	0	0.0	24	21.8
KERN	UNDER 18	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	2	14.3	12	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	233	193	82.8	40	17.2	42	18.0	173	74.2	8	3.4	10	4.3
	21-30	1792	1364	76.1	428	23.9	376	21.0	1211	67.6	142	7.9	63	3.5
	31-40	1025	792	77.3	233	22.7	252	24.6	656	64.0	89	8.7	28	2.7
	41-50	534	412	77.2	122	22.8	177	33.1	280	52.4	62	11.6	15	2.8
	51-60	280	224	80.0	56	20.0	128	45.7	119	42.5	22	7.9	11	3.9
	61-70	101	87	86.1	14	13.9	52	51.5	36	35.6	10	9.9	3	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	13	61.9	4	19.0	4	19.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4000	3103	77.6	897	22.4	1042	26.0	2491	62.3	337	8.4	130	3.3
KINGS	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	58	50	86.2	8	13.8	3	5.2	51	87.9	0	0.0	4	6.9
	21-30	318	255	80.2	63	19.8	43	13.5	243	76.4	16	5.0	16	5.0
	31-40	208	166	79.8	42	20.2	42	20.2	139	66.8	11	5.3	16	7.7
	41-50	98	85	86.7	13	13.3	24	24.5	67	68.4	7	7.1	0	0.0
	51-60	87	73	83.9	14	16.1	24	27.6	59	67.8	3	3.4	1	1.1
	61-70	31	31	100.0	0	0.0	6	19.4	17	54.8	6	19.4	2	6.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	807	665	82.4	142	17.6	146	18.1	579	71.7	43	5.3	39	4.8

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	4	40.0	6	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	128	98	76.6	30	23.4	63	49.2	55	43.0	3	2.3	7	5.5
	31-40	96	66	68.8	30	31.3	62	64.6	24	25.0	3	3.1	7	7.3
	41-50	55	41	74.5	14	25.5	34	61.8	14	25.5	3	5.5	4	7.3
	51-60	52	34	65.4	18	34.6	43	82.7	5	9.6	1	1.9	3	5.8
	61-70	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	20	83.3	2	8.3	2	8.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	374	272	72.7	102	27.3	233	62.3	107	28.6	12	3.2	22	5.9
LASSEN	18-20	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	45	36	80.0	9	20.0	38	84.4	2	4.4	1	2.2	4	8.9
	31-40	48	38	79.2	10	20.8	36	75.0	5	10.4	2	4.2	5	10.4
	41-50	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	17	85.0	1	5.0	2	10.0	0	0.0
	51-60	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	20	83.3	2	8.3	1	4.2	1	4.2
	61-70	13	4	30.8	9	69.2	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	155	117	75.5	38	24.5	128	82.6	11	7.1	6	3.9	10	6.5
	LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	37	26	70.3	11	29.7	6	16.2	30	81.1	1	2.7	0
18-20		962	746	77.5	216	22.5	115	12.0	701	72.9	69	7.2	77	8.0
21-30		10042	7659	76.3	2383	23.7	1420	14.1	6776	67.5	1123	11.2	723	7.2
31-40		6206	5014	80.8	1192	19.2	916	14.8	3966	63.9	836	13.5	488	7.9
41-50		3365	2742	81.5	623	18.5	558	16.6	2057	61.1	517	15.4	233	6.9
51-60		2052	1698	82.7	354	17.3	493	24.0	1005	49.0	423	20.6	131	6.4
61-70		752	630	83.8	122	16.2	262	34.8	284	37.8	161	21.4	45	6.0
71 & ABOVE		113	94	83.2	19	16.8	47	41.6	29	25.7	18	15.9	19	16.8
TOTAL		23529	18609	79.1	4920	20.9	3817	16.2	14848	63.1	3148	13.4	1716	7.3
MADERA	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	65	58	89.2	7	10.8	10	15.4	50	76.9	2	3.1	3	4.6
	21-30	450	366	81.3	84	18.7	72	16.0	346	76.9	15	3.3	17	3.8
	31-40	266	217	81.6	49	18.4	54	20.3	192	72.2	9	3.4	11	4.1
	41-50	142	118	83.1	24	16.9	26	18.3	109	76.8	3	2.1	4	2.8
	51-60	75	57	76.0	18	24.0	27	36.0	42	56.0	5	6.7	1	1.3
	61-70	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	18	43.9	20	48.8	3	7.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	4	50.0	2	25.0	1	12.5	1	12.5
	TOTAL	1052	862	81.9	190	18.1	214	20.3	763	72.5	38	3.6	37	3.5

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	3	33.3	6	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	53	45	84.9	8	15.1	16	30.2	36	67.9	0	0.0	1	1.9
	21-30	379	289	76.3	90	23.7	130	34.3	198	52.2	23	6.1	28	7.4
	31-40	278	213	76.6	65	23.4	118	42.4	112	40.3	26	9.4	22	7.9
	41-50	172	126	73.3	46	26.7	101	58.7	49	28.5	5	2.9	17	9.9
	51-60	125	85	68.0	40	32.0	91	72.8	19	15.2	6	4.8	9	7.2
	61-70	78	44	56.4	34	43.6	68	87.2	2	2.6	4	5.1	4	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	24	16	66.7	8	33.3	21	87.5	1	4.2	0	0.0	2	8.3
	TOTAL	1118	827	74.0	291	26.0	548	49.0	423	37.8	64	5.7	83	7.4
MARIPOSA	18-20	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	22	91.7	2	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	16	10	62.5	6	37.5	14	87.5	2	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	14	70.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	3	15.0
	51-60	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	77	52	67.5	25	32.5	63	81.8	11	14.3	0	0.0	3	3.9
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	8	50.0	5	31.3	0	0.0	3	18.8
	21-30	201	155	77.1	46	22.9	108	53.7	73	36.3	1	0.5	19	9.5
	31-40	173	133	76.9	40	23.1	117	67.6	44	25.4	5	2.9	7	4.0
	41-50	103	80	77.7	23	22.3	75	72.8	23	22.3	1	1.0	4	3.9
	51-60	57	31	54.4	26	45.6	43	75.4	7	12.3	2	3.5	5	8.8
	61-70	47	38	80.9	9	19.1	40	85.1	4	8.5	1	2.1	2	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	6	66.7	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	610	460	75.4	150	24.6	398	65.2	160	26.2	10	1.6	42	6.9
MERCED	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0
	18-20	91	77	84.6	14	15.4	15	16.5	69	75.8	1	1.1	6	6.6
	21-30	594	465	78.3	129	21.7	76	12.8	471	79.3	20	3.4	27	4.5
	31-40	328	256	78.0	72	22.0	71	21.6	213	64.9	19	5.8	25	7.6
	41-50	160	133	83.1	27	16.9	33	20.6	105	65.6	16	10.0	6	3.8
	51-60	107	82	76.6	25	23.4	36	33.6	59	55.1	7	6.5	5	4.7
	61-70	31	26	83.9	5	16.1	11	35.5	13	41.9	7	22.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1316	1043	79.3	273	20.7	243	18.5	932	70.8	72	5.5	69	5.2

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	8	66.7	4	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	3	42.9	1	14.3	0	0.0	3	42.9
	51-60	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	5	55.6	4	44.4	7	77.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	41	31	75.6	10	24.4	27	65.9	9	22.0	0	0.0	5	12.2
MONO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	23	57.5	12	30.0	2	5.0	3	7.5
	31-40	31	24	77.4	7	22.6	19	61.3	8	25.8	1	3.2	3	9.7
	41-50	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	13	72.2	5	27.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	15	8	53.3	7	46.7	13	86.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	1	6.7
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	117	89	76.1	28	23.9	78	66.7	29	24.8	3	2.6	7	6.0	
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	13	9	69.2	4	30.8	1	7.7	12	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	186	158	84.9	28	15.1	21	11.3	161	86.6	1	0.5	3	1.6
	21-30	1040	864	83.1	176	16.9	141	13.6	844	81.2	28	2.7	27	2.6
	31-40	567	478	84.3	89	15.7	114	20.1	427	75.3	12	2.1	14	2.5
	41-50	289	240	83.0	49	17.0	73	25.3	194	67.1	8	2.8	14	4.8
	51-60	179	137	76.5	42	23.5	84	46.9	78	43.6	10	5.6	7	3.9
	61-70	97	76	78.4	21	21.6	65	67.0	23	23.7	4	4.1	5	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	11	78.6	3	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	2385	1973	82.7	412	17.3	510	21.4	1742	73.0	63	2.6	70	2.9	
NAPA	UNDER 18	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	34	21	61.8	13	38.2	8	23.5	25	73.5	0	0.0	1	2.9
	21-30	258	188	72.9	70	27.1	89	34.5	148	57.4	10	3.9	11	4.3
	31-40	170	128	75.3	42	24.7	67	39.4	83	48.8	7	4.1	13	7.6
	41-50	113	94	83.2	19	16.8	50	44.2	49	43.4	2	1.8	12	10.6
	51-60	82	59	72.0	23	28.0	50	61.0	22	26.8	4	4.9	6	7.3
	61-70	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	22	71.0	6	19.4	2	6.5	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	9	81.8	1	9.1	1	9.1	0	0.0
TOTAL	702	522	74.4	180	25.6	297	42.3	335	47.7	26	3.7	44	6.3	

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	137	95	69.3	42	30.7	110	80.3	21	15.3	2	1.5	4	2.9
	31-40	132	94	71.2	38	28.8	109	82.6	15	11.4	1	0.8	7	5.3
	41-50	75	55	73.3	20	26.7	60	80.0	9	12.0	3	4.0	3	4.0
	51-60	49	38	77.6	11	22.4	42	85.7	6	12.2	0	0.0	1	2.0
	61-70	30	13	43.3	17	56.7	29	96.7	1	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	443	310	70.0	133	30.0	367	82.8	55	12.4	6	1.4	15	3.4
ORANGE	UNDER 18	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	15	40.5	20	54.1	0	0.0	2	5.4
	18-20	564	466	82.6	98	17.4	168	29.8	318	56.4	16	2.8	62	11.0
	21-30	4936	3729	75.5	1207	24.5	1517	30.7	2607	52.8	246	5.0	566	11.5
	31-40	2538	1952	76.9	586	23.1	927	36.5	1193	47.0	111	4.4	307	12.1
	41-50	1491	1139	76.4	352	23.6	621	41.6	619	41.5	70	4.7	181	12.1
	51-60	1069	798	74.6	271	25.4	629	58.8	277	25.9	47	4.4	116	10.9
	61-70	389	293	75.3	96	24.7	264	67.9	68	17.5	16	4.1	41	10.5
	71 & ABOVE	83	53	63.9	30	36.1	60	72.3	19	22.9	0	0.0	4	4.8
	TOTAL	11107	8460	76.2	2647	23.8	4201	37.8	5121	46.1	506	4.6	1279	11.5
PLACER	UNDER 18	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0	1	14.3
	18-20	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	25	64.1	11	28.2	2	5.1	1	2.6
	21-30	336	258	76.8	78	23.2	207	61.6	76	22.6	30	8.9	23	6.8
	31-40	228	170	74.6	58	25.4	159	69.7	45	19.7	11	4.8	13	5.7
	41-50	186	126	67.7	60	32.3	141	75.8	21	11.3	7	3.8	17	9.1
	51-60	127	86	67.7	41	32.3	104	81.9	18	14.2	3	2.4	2	1.6
	61-70	62	32	51.6	30	48.4	57	91.9	2	3.2	3	4.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	994	717	72.1	277	27.9	707	71.1	174	17.5	56	5.6	57	5.7
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	3	42.9	3	42.9	0	0.0	1	14.3
	21-30	39	25	64.1	14	35.9	35	89.7	3	7.7	1	2.6	0	0.0
	31-40	35	24	68.6	11	31.4	28	80.0	1	2.9	4	11.4	2	5.7
	41-50	30	23	76.7	7	23.3	29	96.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.3
	51-60	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	23	95.8	1	4.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	111	73.0	41	27.0	135	88.8	8	5.3	5	3.3	4	2.6

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	4	13.8	24	82.8	0	0.0	1	3.4
	18-20	350	303	86.6	47	13.4	64	18.3	257	73.4	15	4.3	14	4.0
	21-30	2874	2241	78.0	633	22.0	608	21.2	1911	66.5	221	7.7	134	4.7
	31-40	1668	1290	77.3	378	22.7	407	24.4	1029	61.7	150	9.0	82	4.9
	41-50	863	665	77.1	198	22.9	263	30.5	505	58.5	58	6.7	37	4.3
	51-60	593	470	79.3	123	20.7	249	42.0	267	45.0	60	10.1	17	2.9
	61-70	244	197	80.7	47	19.3	144	59.0	67	27.5	24	9.8	9	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	62	43	69.4	19	30.6	42	67.7	12	19.4	5	8.1	3	4.8
	TOTAL	6683	5233	78.3	1450	21.7	1781	26.6	4072	60.9	533	8.0	297	4.4
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	17	8	47.1	9	52.9	7	41.2	6	35.3	1	5.9	3	17.6
	18-20	194	159	82.0	35	18.0	75	38.7	72	37.1	23	11.9	24	12.4
	21-30	1944	1392	71.6	552	28.4	719	37.0	627	32.3	359	18.5	239	12.3
	31-40	1218	879	72.2	339	27.8	462	37.9	345	28.3	257	21.1	154	12.6
	41-50	623	465	74.6	158	25.4	262	42.1	160	25.7	145	23.3	56	9.0
	51-60	428	328	76.6	100	23.4	214	50.0	82	19.2	89	20.8	43	10.0
	61-70	170	124	72.9	46	27.1	99	58.2	23	13.5	36	21.2	12	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	24	77.4	3	9.7	4	12.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4625	3380	73.1	1245	26.9	1862	40.3	1318	28.5	914	19.8	531	11.5
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	1	5.3	18	94.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	117	91	77.8	26	22.2	14	12.0	95	81.2	3	2.6	5	4.3
	31-40	72	51	70.8	21	29.2	19	26.4	52	72.2	1	1.4	0	0.0
	41-50	40	28	70.0	12	30.0	12	30.0	27	67.5	0	0.0	1	2.5
	51-60	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	5	25.0	15	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	3	42.9	4	57.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	281	216	76.9	65	23.1	55	19.6	216	76.9	4	1.4	6	2.1
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	4	28.6	9	64.3	1	7.1	0	0.0
	18-20	292	243	83.2	49	16.8	47	16.1	209	71.6	20	6.8	16	5.5
	21-30	3064	2361	77.1	703	22.9	614	20.0	1986	64.8	328	10.7	136	4.4
	31-40	1911	1486	77.8	425	22.2	446	23.3	1101	57.6	274	14.3	90	4.7
	41-50	1001	793	79.2	208	20.8	269	26.9	560	55.9	125	12.5	47	4.7
	51-60	631	495	78.4	136	21.6	230	36.5	269	42.6	108	17.1	24	3.8
	61-70	228	191	83.8	37	16.2	106	46.5	78	34.2	38	16.7	6	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	28	24	85.7	4	14.3	18	64.3	8	28.6	1	3.6	1	3.6
	TOTAL	7169	5604	78.2	1565	21.8	1734	24.2	4220	58.9	895	12.5	320	4.5

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	33	24	72.7	9	27.3	17	51.5	13	39.4	1	3.0	2	6.1
	18-20	460	348	75.7	112	24.3	135	29.3	261	56.7	26	5.7	38	8.3
	21-30	4082	3076	75.4	1006	24.6	1334	32.7	2053	50.3	398	9.8	297	7.3
	31-40	2129	1638	76.9	491	23.1	793	37.2	951	44.7	234	11.0	151	7.1
	41-50	1152	880	76.4	272	23.6	494	42.9	486	42.2	96	8.3	76	6.6
	51-60	833	615	73.8	218	26.2	455	54.6	249	29.9	83	10.0	46	5.5
	61-70	345	243	70.4	102	29.6	234	67.8	77	22.3	24	7.0	10	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	58	36	62.1	22	37.9	46	79.3	9	15.5	1	1.7	2	3.4
	TOTAL	9092	6860	75.5	2232	24.5	3508	38.6	4099	45.1	863	9.5	622	6.8
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	28	20	71.4	8	28.6	11	39.3	14	50.0	1	3.6	2	7.1
	21-30	409	302	73.8	107	26.2	115	28.1	144	35.2	61	14.9	89	21.8
	31-40	248	197	79.4	51	20.6	80	32.3	75	30.2	43	17.3	50	20.2
	41-50	142	125	88.0	17	12.0	62	43.7	36	25.4	23	16.2	21	14.8
	51-60	74	66	89.2	8	10.8	34	45.9	11	14.9	18	24.3	11	14.9
	61-70	28	24	85.7	4	14.3	14	50.0	3	10.7	5	17.9	6	21.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	TOTAL	934	738	79.0	196	21.0	319	34.2	283	30.3	151	16.2	181	19.4
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	1	14.3	5	71.4	1	14.3	0	0.0
	18-20	102	83	81.4	19	18.6	15	14.7	75	73.5	4	3.9	8	7.8
	21-30	890	664	74.6	226	25.4	210	23.6	492	55.3	85	9.6	103	11.6
	31-40	617	483	78.3	134	21.7	169	27.4	308	49.9	75	12.2	65	10.5
	41-50	322	232	72.0	90	28.0	98	30.4	142	44.1	47	14.6	35	10.9
	51-60	211	155	73.5	56	26.5	91	43.1	69	32.7	33	15.6	18	8.5
	61-70	74	55	74.3	19	25.7	29	39.2	23	31.1	15	20.3	7	9.5
	71 & ABOVE	17	10	58.8	7	41.2	11	64.7	3	17.6	2	11.8	1	5.9
	TOTAL	2240	1688	75.4	552	24.6	624	27.9	1117	49.9	262	11.7	237	10.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	18	11	61.1	7	38.9	10	55.6	6	33.3	0	0.0	2	11.1
	18-20	94	75	79.8	19	20.2	40	42.6	49	52.1	2	2.1	3	3.2
	21-30	631	499	79.1	132	20.9	289	45.8	285	45.2	17	2.7	40	6.3
	31-40	355	270	76.1	85	23.9	202	56.9	122	34.4	6	1.7	25	7.0
	41-50	234	162	69.2	72	30.8	159	67.9	61	26.1	3	1.3	11	4.7
	51-60	180	133	73.9	47	26.1	146	81.1	22	12.2	6	3.3	6	3.3
	61-70	95	60	63.2	35	36.8	86	90.5	7	7.4	2	2.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1633	1230	75.3	403	24.7	956	58.5	554	33.9	36	2.2	87	5.3

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	96	77	80.2	19	19.8	15	15.6	60	62.5	3	3.1	18	18.8
	21-30	937	699	74.6	238	25.4	211	22.5	454	48.5	53	5.7	219	23.4
	31-40	595	485	81.5	110	18.5	165	27.7	290	48.7	33	5.5	107	18.0
	41-50	301	224	74.4	77	25.6	103	34.2	111	36.9	19	6.3	68	22.6
	51-60	232	182	78.4	50	21.6	136	58.6	51	22.0	11	4.7	34	14.7
	61-70	83	54	65.1	29	34.9	52	62.7	11	13.3	4	4.8	16	19.3
	71 & ABOVE	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	13	81.3	2	12.5	1	6.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2269	1741	76.7	528	23.3	703	31.0	980	43.2	124	5.5	462	20.4
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	3	14.3	17	81.0	0	0.0	1	4.8
	18-20	108	90	83.3	18	16.7	24	22.2	79	73.1	1	0.9	4	3.7
	21-30	785	642	81.8	143	18.2	194	24.7	537	68.4	20	2.5	34	4.3
	31-40	402	323	80.3	79	19.7	110	27.4	268	66.7	10	2.5	14	3.5
	41-50	201	155	77.1	46	22.9	78	38.8	113	56.2	3	1.5	7	3.5
	51-60	185	129	69.7	56	30.3	116	62.7	62	33.5	2	1.1	5	2.7
	61-70	90	65	72.2	25	27.8	59	65.6	21	23.3	6	6.7	4	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	11	57.9	6	31.6	0	0.0	2	10.5
	TOTAL	1811	1438	79.4	373	20.6	595	32.9	1103	60.9	42	2.3	71	3.9
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	18	11	61.1	7	38.9	5	27.8	12	66.7	0	0.0	1	5.6
	18-20	200	148	74.0	52	26.0	30	15.0	142	71.0	8	4.0	20	10.0
	21-30	1713	1326	77.4	387	22.6	301	17.6	1039	60.7	96	5.6	277	16.2
	31-40	1026	857	83.5	169	16.5	198	19.3	615	59.9	54	5.3	159	15.5
	41-50	567	449	79.2	118	20.8	148	26.1	290	51.1	35	6.2	94	16.6
	51-60	344	272	79.1	72	20.9	163	47.4	117	34.0	15	4.4	49	14.2
	61-70	115	95	82.6	20	17.4	58	50.4	31	27.0	9	7.8	17	14.8
	71 & ABOVE	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	11	55.0	5	25.0	1	5.0	3	15.0
	TOTAL	4003	3174	79.3	829	20.7	914	22.8	2251	56.2	218	5.4	620	15.5
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	3	42.9	4	57.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	84	66	78.6	18	21.4	22	26.2	52	61.9	3	3.6	7	8.3
	21-30	697	519	74.5	178	25.5	267	38.3	381	54.7	17	2.4	32	4.6
	31-40	366	268	73.2	98	26.8	196	53.6	155	42.3	4	1.1	11	3.0
	41-50	217	169	77.9	48	22.1	120	55.3	83	38.2	7	3.2	7	3.2
	51-60	158	116	73.4	42	26.6	114	72.2	41	25.9	2	1.3	1	0.6
	61-70	75	57	76.0	18	24.0	62	82.7	9	12.0	3	4.0	1	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	18	94.7	1	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1623	1213	74.7	410	25.3	802	49.4	726	44.7	36	2.2	59	3.6

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	17	58.6	12	41.4	21	72.4	6	20.7	1	3.4	1	3.4
	21-30	236	174	73.7	62	26.3	176	74.6	23	9.7	11	4.7	26	11.0
	31-40	150	114	76.0	36	24.0	108	72.0	18	12.0	2	1.3	22	14.7
	41-50	104	70	67.3	34	32.7	85	81.7	6	5.8	7	6.7	6	5.8
	51-60	82	60	73.2	22	26.8	70	85.4	5	6.1	3	3.7	4	4.9
	61-70	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	44	86.3	2	3.9	1	2.0	4	7.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	6	75.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	1	12.5
	TOTAL	666	486	73.0	180	27.0	514	77.2	63	9.5	25	3.8	64	9.6
SIERRA	21-30	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	3	27.3	2	18.2	2	18.2	4	36.4
	31-40	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	41-50	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	4	40.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	4	40.0
	51-60	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	60.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	12	40.0	4	13.3	2	6.7	12	40.0
SISKIYOU	18-20	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	5	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	72	55	76.4	17	23.6	46	63.9	7	9.7	3	4.2	16	22.2
	31-40	61	42	68.9	19	31.1	47	77.0	8	13.1	3	4.9	3	4.9
	41-50	36	21	58.3	15	41.7	28	77.8	2	5.6	1	2.8	5	13.9
	51-60	34	24	70.6	10	29.4	30	88.2	1	2.9	1	2.9	2	5.9
	61-70	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	9	75.0	1	8.3	0	0.0	2	16.7
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	228	164	71.9	64	28.1	167	73.2	25	11.0	8	3.5	28	12.3
SOLANO	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	82	56	68.3	26	31.7	20	24.4	47	57.3	8	9.8	7	8.5
	21-30	609	450	73.9	159	26.1	184	30.2	220	36.1	149	24.5	56	9.2
	31-40	465	352	75.7	113	24.3	139	29.9	154	33.1	126	27.1	46	9.9
	41-50	247	189	76.5	58	23.5	85	34.4	61	24.7	75	30.4	26	10.5
	51-60	131	105	80.2	26	19.8	57	43.5	21	16.0	42	32.1	11	8.4
	61-70	69	50	72.5	19	27.5	33	47.8	9	13.0	17	24.6	10	14.5
	71 & ABOVE	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	10	66.7	2	13.3	3	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1625	1218	75.0	407	25.0	532	32.7	517	31.8	420	25.8	156	9.6

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	3	18.8	11	68.8	1	6.3	1	6.3
	18-20	110	85	77.3	25	22.7	34	30.9	69	62.7	4	3.6	3	2.7
	21-30	853	653	76.6	200	23.4	340	39.9	435	51.0	35	4.1	43	5.0
	31-40	608	475	78.1	133	21.9	281	46.2	266	43.8	23	3.8	38	6.3
	41-50	373	276	74.0	97	26.0	221	59.2	116	31.1	14	3.8	22	5.9
	51-60	233	170	73.0	63	27.0	161	69.1	50	21.5	8	3.4	14	6.0
	61-70	154	105	68.2	49	31.8	118	76.6	20	13.0	11	7.1	5	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	35	24	68.6	11	31.4	31	88.6	2	5.7	0	0.0	2	5.7
	TOTAL	2382	1802	75.7	580	24.3	1189	49.9	969	40.7	96	4.0	128	5.4
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	3	30.0	6	60.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	18-20	103	93	90.3	10	9.7	22	21.4	73	70.9	2	1.9	6	5.8
	21-30	926	692	74.7	234	25.3	225	24.3	589	63.6	45	4.9	67	7.2
	31-40	591	472	79.9	119	20.1	166	28.1	355	60.1	37	6.3	33	5.6
	41-50	300	232	77.3	68	22.7	93	31.0	170	56.7	15	5.0	22	7.3
	51-60	169	126	74.6	43	25.4	70	41.4	84	49.7	10	5.9	5	3.0
	61-70	76	65	85.5	11	14.5	42	55.3	23	30.3	8	10.5	3	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	7	58.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	3	25.0
	TOTAL	2187	1695	77.5	492	22.5	628	28.7	1302	59.5	118	5.4	139	6.4
SUTTER	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	31	26	83.9	5	16.1	7	22.6	19	61.3	2	6.5	3	9.7
	21-30	164	131	79.9	33	20.1	64	39.0	72	43.9	9	5.5	19	11.6
	31-40	109	88	80.7	21	19.3	52	47.7	40	36.7	6	5.5	11	10.1
	41-50	47	34	72.3	13	27.7	26	55.3	15	31.9	3	6.4	3	6.4
	51-60	48	39	81.3	9	18.8	30	62.5	11	22.9	0	0.0	7	14.6
	61-70	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	7	50.0	7	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	418	334	79.9	84	20.1	187	44.7	166	39.7	20	4.8	45	10.8
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	8	57.1	6	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	130	107	82.3	23	17.7	73	56.2	49	37.7	1	0.8	7	5.4
	31-40	103	78	75.7	25	24.3	60	58.3	35	34.0	3	2.9	5	4.9
	41-50	47	36	76.6	11	23.4	27	57.4	16	34.0	1	2.1	3	6.4
	51-60	55	44	80.0	11	20.0	39	70.9	12	21.8	1	1.8	3	5.5
	61-70	26	19	73.1	7	26.9	17	65.4	7	26.9	0	0.0	2	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	386	304	78.8	82	21.2	234	60.6	125	32.4	6	1.6	21	5.4

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	18-20	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	36	32	88.9	4	11.1	33	91.7	3	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	37	34	91.9	3	8.1	35	94.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.4
	41-50	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	12	85.7	2	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	22	95.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.3
	61-70	9	5	55.6	4	44.4	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	125	108	86.4	17	13.6	116	92.8	6	4.8	0	0.0	3	2.4
TULARE	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	0	0.0	14	93.3	0	0.0	1	6.7
	18-20	172	135	78.5	37	21.5	20	11.6	142	82.6	4	2.3	6	3.5
	21-30	1234	968	78.4	266	21.6	173	14.0	950	77.0	35	2.8	76	6.2
	31-40	673	523	77.7	150	22.3	109	16.2	510	75.8	20	3.0	34	5.1
	41-50	366	290	79.2	76	20.8	88	24.0	250	68.3	6	1.6	22	6.0
	51-60	168	134	79.8	34	20.2	54	32.1	96	57.1	8	4.8	10	6.0
	61-70	69	55	79.7	14	20.3	25	36.2	36	52.2	3	4.3	5	7.2
	71 & ABOVE	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	7	50.0	6	42.9	1	7.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2711	2130	78.6	581	21.4	476	17.6	2004	73.9	77	2.8	154	5.7
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	5	50.0	5	50.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	21-30	113	81	71.7	32	28.3	95	84.1	13	11.5	2	1.8	3	2.7
	31-40	84	68	81.0	16	19.0	66	78.6	15	17.9	0	0.0	3	3.6
	41-50	60	40	66.7	20	33.3	50	83.3	7	11.7	0	0.0	3	5.0
	51-60	46	35	76.1	11	23.9	42	91.3	3	6.5	1	2.2	0	0.0
	61-70	31	20	64.5	11	35.5	27	87.1	1	3.2	2	6.5	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	352	255	72.4	97	27.6	296	84.1	40	11.4	5	1.4	11	3.1
VENTURA	UNDER 18	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	8	30.8	17	65.4	0	0.0	1	3.8
	18-20	155	122	78.7	33	21.3	33	21.3	116	74.8	1	0.6	5	3.2
	21-30	1311	1016	77.5	295	22.5	367	28.0	843	64.3	53	4.0	48	3.7
	31-40	723	570	78.8	153	21.2	242	33.5	415	57.4	22	3.0	44	6.1
	41-50	440	333	75.7	107	24.3	188	42.7	217	49.3	17	3.9	18	4.1
	51-60	307	235	76.5	72	23.5	185	60.3	107	34.9	9	2.9	6	2.0
	61-70	122	90	73.8	32	26.2	81	66.4	28	23.0	5	4.1	8	6.6
	71 & ABOVE	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	18	85.7	2	9.5	1	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3105	2403	77.4	702	22.6	1122	36.1	1745	56.2	108	3.5	130	4.2

TABLE B1: 2019 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	18-20	34	31	91.2	3	8.8	9	26.5	21	61.8	0	0.0	4	11.8
	21-30	221	171	77.4	50	22.6	68	30.8	93	42.1	28	12.7	32	14.5
	31-40	152	122	80.3	30	19.7	54	35.5	67	44.1	12	7.9	19	12.5
	41-50	77	63	81.8	14	18.2	38	49.4	30	39.0	3	3.9	6	7.8
	51-60	62	42	67.7	20	32.3	36	58.1	17	27.4	6	9.7	3	4.8
	61-70	31	23	74.2	8	25.8	20	64.5	8	25.8	2	6.5	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	581	456	78.5	125	21.5	228	39.2	237	40.8	51	8.8	65	11.2
YUBA	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	22	15	68.2	7	31.8	11	50.0	7	31.8	0	0.0	4	18.2
	21-30	154	107	69.5	47	30.5	76	49.4	61	39.6	9	5.8	8	5.2
	31-40	101	78	77.2	23	22.8	61	60.4	29	28.7	3	3.0	8	7.9
	41-50	55	38	69.1	17	30.9	33	60.0	17	30.9	1	1.8	4	7.3
	51-60	38	29	76.3	9	23.7	27	71.1	7	18.4	1	2.6	3	7.9
	61-70	15	9	60.0	6	40.0	12	80.0	2	13.3	0	0.0	1	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	391	281	71.9	110	28.1	223	57.0	125	32.0	14	3.6	29	7.4

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		93926	100.0	71866	76.5	22060	23.5
ALAMEDA	18-20	30	1.3	19	1.1	11	2.1
	21-30	856	37.3	628	35.7	228	42.7
	31-40	720	31.4	573	32.6	147	27.5
	41-50	394	17.2	307	17.5	87	16.3
	51-60	212	9.2	166	9.4	46	8.6
	61-70	71	3.1	59	3.4	12	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	6	0.3	3	0.6
	TOTAL	2292	100.0	1758	100.0	534	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	5	31.3	3	25.0	2	50.0
	31-40	4	25.0	4	33.3	0	0.0
	41-50	4	25.0	4	33.3	0	0.0
	51-60	2	12.5	0	0.0	2	50.0
	61-70	1	6.3	1	8.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	16	100.0	12	100.0	4	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	1	0.7	1	1.0	0	0.0
	21-30	50	33.1	38	36.5	12	25.5
	31-40	38	25.2	23	22.1	15	31.9
	41-50	25	16.6	18	17.3	7	14.9
	51-60	24	15.9	16	15.4	8	17.0
	61-70	12	7.9	8	7.7	4	8.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	2.1
	TOTAL	151	100.0	104	100.0	47	100.0
BUTTE	18-20	19	2.3	14	2.2	5	2.3
	21-30	330	39.3	251	40.2	79	36.7
	31-40	198	23.6	149	23.8	49	22.8
	41-50	119	14.2	82	13.1	37	17.2
	51-60	102	12.1	79	12.6	23	10.7
	61-70	63	7.5	44	7.0	19	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.1	6	1.0	3	1.4
	TOTAL	840	100.0	625	100.0	215	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	2	1.6	2	2.2	0	0.0
	21-30	40	32.0	33	36.3	7	20.6
	31-40	21	16.8	13	14.3	8	23.5
	41-50	27	21.6	21	23.1	6	17.6
	51-60	23	18.4	14	15.4	9	26.5
	61-70	10	8.0	7	7.7	3	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.6	1	1.1	1	2.9
	TOTAL	125	100.0	91	100.0	34	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	1	0.9	1	1.1	0	0.0
	21-30	36	32.1	31	33.3	5	26.3
	31-40	37	33.0	29	31.2	8	42.1
	41-50	16	14.3	14	15.1	2	10.5
	51-60	19	17.0	15	16.1	4	21.1
	61-70	3	2.7	3	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE						
	TOTAL	112	100.0	93	100.0	19	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	3	0.2	2	0.2	1	0.2
	18-20	27	1.6	18	1.4	9	2.0
	21-30	615	36.1	448	36.0	167	36.2
	31-40	450	26.4	335	27.0	115	24.9
	41-50	294	17.3	215	17.3	79	17.1
	51-60	212	12.4	150	12.1	62	13.4
	61-70	78	4.6	58	4.7	20	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	25	1.5	17	1.4	8	1.7
	TOTAL	1704	100.0	1243	100.0	461	100.0
DEL NORTE	18-20	4	2.4	3	2.7	1	1.9
	21-30	55	33.3	40	35.4	15	28.8
	31-40	49	29.7	31	27.4	18	34.6
	41-50	22	13.3	13	11.5	9	17.3
	51-60	26	15.8	17	15.0	9	17.3
	61-70	6	3.6	6	5.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.8	3	2.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	165	100.0	113	100.0	52	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.5
	18-20	8	1.3	5	1.2	3	1.6
	21-30	215	34.6	162	37.6	53	27.9
	31-40	148	23.8	107	24.8	41	21.6
	41-50	112	18.0	65	15.1	47	24.7
	51-60	80	12.9	61	14.2	19	10.0
	61-70	52	8.4	26	6.0	26	13.7
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.8	5	1.2	0	0.0
TOTAL	621	100.0	431	100.0	190	100.0	
FRESNO	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	113	3.1	85	3.0	28	3.2
	21-30	1594	43.1	1183	41.8	411	47.6
	31-40	1045	28.3	821	29.0	224	26.0
	41-50	495	13.4	393	13.9	102	11.8
	51-60	309	8.4	244	8.6	65	7.5
	61-70	119	3.2	91	3.2	28	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.5	13	0.5	5	0.6
TOTAL	3695	100.0	2832	100.0	863	100.0	
GLENN	18-20	6	5.8	4	5.0	2	8.3
	21-30	37	35.6	30	37.5	7	29.2
	31-40	26	25.0	21	26.2	5	20.8
	41-50	13	12.5	11	13.8	2	8.3
	51-60	12	11.5	8	10.0	4	16.7
	61-70	9	8.7	5	6.3	4	16.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	104	100.0	80	100.0	24	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	14	1.9	5	1.0	9	4.4
	21-30	284	39.0	198	37.7	86	42.2
	31-40	217	29.8	164	31.2	53	26.0
	41-50	125	17.1	95	18.1	30	14.7
	51-60	61	8.4	43	8.2	18	8.8
	61-70	22	3.0	15	2.9	7	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.8	5	1.0	1	0.5
	TOTAL	729	100.0	525	100.0	204	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	14	3.6	13	4.0	1	1.4
	21-30	160	40.6	131	40.4	29	41.4
	31-40	98	24.9	76	23.5	22	31.4
	41-50	62	15.7	52	16.0	10	14.3
	51-60	41	10.4	35	10.8	6	8.6
	61-70	16	4.1	14	4.3	2	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.8	3	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	394	100.0	324	100.0	70	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	1	1.3	1	1.6	0	0.0
	18-20	2	2.6	2	3.3	0	0.0
	21-30	19	25.0	14	23.0	5	33.3
	31-40	11	14.5	10	16.4	1	6.7
	41-50	15	19.7	12	19.7	3	20.0
	51-60	12	15.8	11	18.0	1	6.7
	61-70	14	18.4	11	18.0	3	20.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.6	0	0.0	2	13.3
TOTAL	76	100.0	61	100.0	15	100.0	
KERN	UNDER 18	5	0.2	4	0.2	1	0.2
	18-20	110	3.8	89	4.0	21	3.2
	21-30	1299	45.0	993	44.7	306	46.1
	31-40	803	27.8	613	27.6	190	28.6
	41-50	392	13.6	307	13.8	85	12.8
	51-60	192	6.7	147	6.6	45	6.8
	61-70	76	2.6	60	2.7	16	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.3	10	0.4	0	0.0
TOTAL	2887	100.0	2223	100.0	664	100.0	
KINGS	18-20	29	4.5	22	4.4	7	5.1
	21-30	261	40.8	197	39.1	64	47.1
	31-40	193	30.2	160	31.7	33	24.3
	41-50	90	14.1	69	13.7	21	15.4
	51-60	47	7.3	38	7.5	9	6.6
	61-70	19	3.0	17	3.4	2	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	640	100.0	504	100.0	136	100.0
LAKE	18-20	7	2.4	3	1.4	4	4.9
	21-30	87	29.3	68	31.5	19	23.5
	31-40	86	29.0	69	31.9	17	21.0
	41-50	38	12.8	21	9.7	17	21.0
	51-60	44	14.8	29	13.4	15	18.5
	61-70	27	9.1	18	8.3	9	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	2.7	8	3.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	297	100.0	216	100.0	81	100.0
LASSEN	18-20	2	2.3	1	1.6	1	3.8
	21-30	30	34.5	21	34.4	9	34.6
	31-40	27	31.0	21	34.4	6	23.1
	41-50	12	13.8	5	8.2	7	26.9
	51-60	13	14.9	11	18.0	2	7.7
	61-70	3	3.4	2	3.3	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE						
	TOTAL	87	100.0	61	100.0	26	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	3	0.0	3	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	364	2.1	286	2.1	78	2.0
	21-30	7361	42.1	5570	40.8	1791	46.7
	31-40	4884	28.0	3840	28.2	1044	27.2
	41-50	2542	14.5	2014	14.8	528	13.8
	51-60	1609	9.2	1342	9.8	267	7.0
	61-70	602	3.4	500	3.7	102	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	107	0.6	83	0.6	24	0.6
TOTAL	17472	100.0	13638	100.0	3834	100.0	
MADERA	18-20	27	4.1	19	3.5	8	7.1
	21-30	256	39.0	217	39.9	39	34.5
	31-40	180	27.4	149	27.4	31	27.4
	41-50	105	16.0	86	15.8	19	16.8
	51-60	64	9.7	53	9.7	11	9.7
	61-70	19	2.9	15	2.8	4	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.9	5	0.9	1	0.9
	TOTAL	657	100.0	544	100.0	113	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.4
	18-20	32	3.0	25	3.1	7	2.7
	21-30	379	35.4	309	38.2	70	26.5
	31-40	258	24.1	200	24.8	58	22.0
	41-50	170	15.9	120	14.9	50	18.9
	51-60	149	13.9	99	12.3	50	18.9
	61-70	67	6.3	45	5.6	22	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	16	1.5	10	1.2	6	2.3
TOTAL	1072	100.0	808	100.0	264	100.0	
MARIPOSA	21-30	20	35.7	16	36.4	4	33.3
	31-40	13	23.2	9	20.5	4	33.3
	41-50	10	17.9	8	18.2	2	16.7
	51-60	11	19.6	10	22.7	1	8.3
	61-70	2	3.6	1	2.3	1	8.3
	TOTAL	56	100.0	44	100.0	12	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	12	2.5	10	2.6	2	2.1
	21-30	174	35.7	136	34.7	38	39.6
	31-40	144	29.5	121	30.9	23	24.0
	41-50	82	16.8	65	16.6	17	17.7
	51-60	43	8.8	32	8.2	11	11.5
	61-70	24	4.9	21	5.4	3	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	1.6	6	1.5	2	2.1
TOTAL	488	100.0	392	100.0	96	100.0	
MERCED	18-20	22	2.6	20	2.9	2	1.2
	21-30	357	41.8	278	40.2	79	48.5
	31-40	234	27.4	190	27.5	44	27.0
	41-50	128	15.0	109	15.8	19	11.7
	51-60	74	8.7	60	8.7	14	8.6
	61-70	31	3.6	27	3.9	4	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.9	7	1.0	1	0.6
	TOTAL	854	100.0	691	100.0	163	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	21-30	6	20.7	5	23.8	1	12.5
	31-40	7	24.1	3	14.3	4	50.0
	41-50	4	13.8	3	14.3	1	12.5
	51-60	8	27.6	6	28.6	2	25.0
	61-70	3	10.3	3	14.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	3.4	1	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	29	100.0	21	100.0	8	100.0
MONO	18-20	2	1.9	2	2.7	0	0.0
	21-30	38	36.9	28	38.4	10	33.3
	31-40	23	22.3	17	23.3	6	20.0
	41-50	17	16.5	11	15.1	6	20.0
	51-60	12	11.7	7	9.6	5	16.7
	61-70	8	7.8	8	11.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2.9	0	0.0	3	10.0
TOTAL	103	100.0	73	100.0	30	100.0	
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	3	0.2	2	0.1	1	0.4
	18-20	66	4.1	56	4.2	10	3.6
	21-30	745	45.9	629	46.6	116	42.2
	31-40	397	24.4	334	24.8	63	22.9
	41-50	221	13.6	181	13.4	40	14.5
	51-60	131	8.1	96	7.1	35	12.7
	61-70	45	2.8	37	2.7	8	2.9
71 & ABOVE	16	1.0	14	1.0	2	0.7	
TOTAL	1624	100.0	1349	100.0	275	100.0	
NAPA	18-20	19	3.0	15	3.2	4	2.3
	21-30	284	44.5	204	43.8	80	46.5
	31-40	154	24.1	121	26.0	33	19.2
	41-50	87	13.6	60	12.9	27	15.7
	51-60	70	11.0	48	10.3	22	12.8
	61-70	23	3.6	17	3.6	6	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
TOTAL	638	100.0	466	100.0	172	100.0	
NEVADA	18-20	9	1.9	5	1.5	4	2.8
	21-30	156	33.1	112	33.8	44	31.2
	31-40	135	28.6	95	28.7	40	28.4
	41-50	75	15.9	56	16.9	19	13.5
	51-60	61	12.9	40	12.1	21	14.9
	61-70	29	6.1	16	4.8	13	9.2
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.5	7	2.1	0	0.0
TOTAL	472	100.0	331	100.0	141	100.0	
ORANGE	UNDER 18	3	0.0	3	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	253	2.7	207	3.0	46	2.0
	21-30	4210	45.7	3137	45.1	1073	47.4
	31-40	2197	23.8	1712	24.6	485	21.4
	41-50	1252	13.6	945	13.6	307	13.6
	51-60	897	9.7	656	9.4	241	10.6
	61-70	346	3.8	254	3.7	92	4.1
71 & ABOVE	64	0.7	44	0.6	20	0.9	
TOTAL	9222	100.0	6958	100.0	2264	100.0	

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	38	3.7	33	4.3	5	1.9
	21-30	369	35.6	276	36.0	93	34.6
	31-40	273	26.4	192	25.0	81	30.1
	41-50	164	15.8	125	16.3	39	14.5
	51-60	114	11.0	85	11.1	29	10.8
	61-70	68	6.6	48	6.3	20	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.8	6	0.8	2	0.7
TOTAL	1036	100.0	767	100.0	269	100.0	
PLUMAS	18-20	5	5.1	4	5.1	1	5.3
	21-30	33	33.7	27	34.2	6	31.6
	31-40	21	21.4	16	20.3	5	26.3
	41-50	16	16.3	12	15.2	4	21.1
	51-60	10	10.2	7	8.9	3	15.8
	61-70	12	12.2	12	15.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	1	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	98	100.0	79	100.0	19	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	2	0.0	2	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	171	2.8	135	2.9	36	2.4
	21-30	2681	43.7	1991	42.9	690	46.3
	31-40	1535	25.0	1174	25.3	361	24.2
	41-50	816	13.3	636	13.7	180	12.1
	51-60	623	10.2	475	10.2	148	9.9
	61-70	238	3.9	185	4.0	53	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	69	1.1	47	1.0	22	1.5
TOTAL	6135	100.0	4645	100.0	1490	100.0	
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	1	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	95	2.2	71	2.3	24	2.0
	21-30	1730	40.4	1208	39.2	522	43.4
	31-40	1241	29.0	904	29.3	337	28.0
	41-50	623	14.5	449	14.6	174	14.5
	51-60	416	9.7	314	10.2	102	8.5
	61-70	151	3.5	114	3.7	37	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.6	21	0.7	6	0.5
TOTAL	4284	100.0	3082	100.0	1202	100.0	
SAN BENITO	18-20	9	2.8	6	2.2	3	5.2
	21-30	149	45.6	119	44.2	30	51.7
	31-40	78	23.9	67	24.9	11	19.0
	41-50	42	12.8	37	13.8	5	8.6
	51-60	34	10.4	28	10.4	6	10.3
	61-70	15	4.6	12	4.5	3	5.2
	TOTAL	327	100.0	269	100.0	58	100.0
	SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	2	0.0	2	0.1	0
18-20		95	2.0	76	2.1	19	1.8
21-30		2017	42.4	1502	40.8	515	48.0
31-40		1265	26.6	991	26.9	274	25.5
41-50		677	14.2	542	14.7	135	12.6
51-60		472	9.9	375	10.2	97	9.0
61-70		182	3.8	153	4.2	29	2.7
71 & ABOVE		43	0.9	38	1.0	5	0.5
TOTAL	4753	100.0	3679	100.0	1074	100.0	

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	11	0.1	9	0.2	2	0.1
	18-20	218	2.9	177	3.1	41	2.2
	21-30	3426	45.0	2569	44.4	857	46.8
	31-40	1892	24.8	1442	24.9	450	24.6
	41-50	996	13.1	749	12.9	247	13.5
	51-60	722	9.5	570	9.9	152	8.3
	61-70	281	3.7	218	3.8	63	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	68	0.9	50	0.9	18	1.0
TOTAL	7614	100.0	5784	100.0	1830	100.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	3	0.8	1	0.3	2	2.1
	21-30	169	42.7	122	40.4	47	50.0
	31-40	99	25.0	72	23.8	27	28.7
	41-50	62	15.7	56	18.5	6	6.4
	51-60	44	11.1	37	12.3	7	7.4
	61-70	15	3.8	10	3.3	5	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	4	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	396	100.0	302	100.0	94	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	58	4.2	48	4.5	10	3.3
	21-30	515	37.7	401	37.7	114	37.7
	31-40	376	27.5	297	27.9	79	26.2
	41-50	217	15.9	168	15.8	49	16.2
	51-60	145	10.6	108	10.2	37	12.3
	61-70	41	3.0	29	2.7	12	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.8	10	0.9	1	0.3
TOTAL	1365	100.0	1063	100.0	302	100.0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.3
	18-20	53	3.5	39	3.5	14	3.5
	21-30	623	41.6	483	43.9	140	35.0
	31-40	362	24.1	255	23.2	107	26.7
	41-50	198	13.2	153	13.9	45	11.3
	51-60	165	11.0	107	9.7	58	14.5
	61-70	81	5.4	49	4.5	32	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	16	1.1	13	1.2	3	0.8
TOTAL	1499	100.0	1099	100.0	400	100.0	
SAN MATEO	18-20	29	1.8	23	1.9	6	1.6
	21-30	621	38.6	475	38.8	146	37.8
	31-40	445	27.6	342	27.9	103	26.7
	41-50	231	14.3	180	14.7	51	13.2
	51-60	198	12.3	143	11.7	55	14.2
	61-70	68	4.2	48	3.9	20	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	18	1.1	13	1.1	5	1.3
	TOTAL	1610	100.0	1224	100.0	386	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	78	5.4	66	5.7	12	4.2
	21-30	633	43.8	518	44.6	115	40.5
	31-40	325	22.5	264	22.7	61	21.5
	41-50	190	13.1	156	13.4	34	12.0
	51-60	144	10.0	106	9.1	38	13.4
	61-70	62	4.3	41	3.5	21	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.9	10	0.9	3	1.1
TOTAL	1446	100.0	1162	100.0	284	100.0	
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.1
	18-20	104	3.2	86	3.4	18	2.6
	21-30	1483	45.7	1128	44.1	355	51.5
	31-40	781	24.1	642	25.1	139	20.2
	41-50	457	14.1	370	14.5	87	12.6
	51-60	273	8.4	211	8.2	62	9.0
	61-70	128	3.9	102	4.0	26	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.6	19	0.7	1	0.1
TOTAL	3247	100.0	2558	100.0	689	100.0	
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	46	4.2	30	3.8	16	5.5
	21-30	478	44.1	363	45.7	115	39.5
	31-40	255	23.5	189	23.8	66	22.7
	41-50	144	13.3	98	12.3	46	15.8
	51-60	99	9.1	71	8.9	28	9.6
	61-70	54	5.0	36	4.5	18	6.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.7	6	0.8	2	0.7
TOTAL	1085	100.0	794	100.0	291	100.0	
SHASTA	18-20	21	3.5	17	3.7	4	2.6
	21-30	200	33.1	151	33.3	49	32.5
	31-40	153	25.3	106	23.3	47	31.1
	41-50	93	15.4	74	16.3	19	12.6
	51-60	76	12.6	56	12.3	20	13.2
	61-70	50	8.3	39	8.6	11	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	12	2.0	11	2.4	1	0.7
	TOTAL	605	100.0	454	100.0	151	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	5	41.7	4	40.0	1	50.0
	41-50	3	25.0	3	30.0	0	0.0
	51-60	3	25.0	2	20.0	1	50.0
	61-70	1	8.3	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	12	100.0	10	100.0	2	100.0
SISKIYOU	18-20	2	1.1	2	1.5	0	0.0
	21-30	54	30.5	43	31.9	11	26.2
	31-40	45	25.4	36	26.7	9	21.4
	41-50	30	16.9	21	15.6	9	21.4
	51-60	26	14.7	20	14.8	6	14.3
	61-70	15	8.5	11	8.1	4	9.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	2.8	2	1.5	3	7.1
TOTAL	177	100.0	135	100.0	42	100.0	

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SOLANO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.4
	18-20	13	1.3	9	1.2	4	1.5
	21-30	342	34.2	245	33.7	97	35.5
	31-40	318	31.8	241	33.2	77	28.2
	41-50	168	16.8	123	16.9	45	16.5
	51-60	103	10.3	69	9.5	34	12.5
	61-70	47	4.7	33	4.5	14	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.7	6	0.8	1	0.4
TOTAL	999	100.0	726	100.0	273	100.0	
SONOMA	UNDER 18	1	0.0	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	61	3.0	43	2.8	18	3.6
	21-30	781	38.2	598	38.8	183	36.3
	31-40	530	25.9	414	26.8	116	23.0
	41-50	301	14.7	226	14.6	75	14.9
	51-60	228	11.1	168	10.9	60	11.9
	61-70	114	5.6	76	4.9	38	7.5
	71 & ABOVE	31	1.5	17	1.1	14	2.8
TOTAL	2047	100.0	1543	100.0	504	100.0	
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	59	3.8	48	4.0	11	3.4
	21-30	668	43.5	511	42.2	157	48.2
	31-40	416	27.1	341	28.2	75	23.0
	41-50	208	13.5	167	13.8	41	12.6
	51-60	124	8.1	95	7.8	29	8.9
	61-70	50	3.3	39	3.2	11	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.7	9	0.7	2	0.6
TOTAL	1537	100.0	1211	100.0	326	100.0	
SUTTER	18-20	13	4.0	10	4.0	3	4.2
	21-30	139	43.3	114	45.6	25	35.2
	31-40	85	26.5	70	28.0	15	21.1
	41-50	43	13.4	33	13.2	10	14.1
	51-60	23	7.2	15	6.0	8	11.3
	61-70	14	4.4	7	2.8	7	9.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.2	1	0.4	3	4.2
	TOTAL	321	100.0	250	100.0	71	100.0
TEHAMA	18-20	6	2.7	5	2.8	1	2.2
	21-30	78	34.5	62	34.4	16	34.8
	31-40	57	25.2	48	26.7	9	19.6
	41-50	36	15.9	29	16.1	7	15.2
	51-60	26	11.5	20	11.1	6	13.0
	61-70	21	9.3	15	8.3	6	13.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.9	1	0.6	1	2.2
	TOTAL	226	100.0	180	100.0	46	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	2	4.7	2	5.3	0	0.0
	21-30	11	25.6	10	26.3	1	20.0
	31-40	14	32.6	13	34.2	1	20.0
	41-50	5	11.6	5	13.2	0	0.0
	51-60	9	20.9	8	21.1	1	20.0
	61-70	2	4.7	0	0.0	2	40.0
	71 & ABOVE						
	TOTAL	43	100.0	38	100.0	5	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY
COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
TULARE	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	93	5.2	76	5.5	17	4.3
	21-30	831	46.4	648	46.5	183	46.2
	31-40	455	25.4	343	24.6	112	28.3
	41-50	230	12.8	188	13.5	42	10.6
	51-60	124	6.9	95	6.8	29	7.3
	61-70	48	2.7	39	2.8	9	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.4	4	0.3	4	1.0
TOTAL		1790	100.0	1394	100.0	396	100.0
TUOLUMNE	18-20	4	1.7	2	1.2	2	2.9
	21-30	80	33.3	61	35.5	19	27.9
	31-40	62	25.8	42	24.4	20	29.4
	41-50	42	17.5	30	17.4	12	17.6
	51-60	33	13.8	24	14.0	9	13.2
	61-70	14	5.8	10	5.8	4	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	2.1	3	1.7	2	2.9
	TOTAL		240	100.0	172	100.0	68
VENTURA	UNDER 18	4	0.1	2	0.1	2	0.3
	18-20	76	2.8	63	3.0	13	2.1
	21-30	1201	43.6	910	42.7	291	46.6
	31-40	683	24.8	560	26.3	123	19.7
	41-50	396	14.4	305	14.3	91	14.6
	51-60	267	9.7	193	9.1	74	11.8
	61-70	114	4.1	86	4.0	28	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.6	13	0.6	3	0.5
TOTAL		2757	100.0	2132	100.0	625	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	16	3.8	14	4.3	2	2.0
	21-30	167	39.7	132	40.9	35	35.7
	31-40	120	28.5	97	30.0	23	23.5
	41-50	54	12.8	36	11.1	18	18.4
	51-60	42	10.0	29	9.0	13	13.3
	61-70	18	4.3	11	3.4	7	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
TOTAL		421	100.0	323	100.0	98	100.0
YUBA	18-20	9	3.8	6	3.3	3	5.6
	21-30	86	36.8	69	38.3	17	31.5
	31-40	62	26.5	46	25.6	16	29.6
	41-50	36	15.4	27	15.0	9	16.7
	51-60	25	10.7	19	10.6	6	11.1
	61-70	12	5.1	10	5.6	2	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.7	3	1.7	1	1.9
	TOTAL		234	100.0	180	100.0	54

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE		89431	4271	224	12231	132	6
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND 1	21	18	0	4	255	62
	FREMONT	577	20	0	350	166	3
	PLEASANTON	499	40	0	268	262	2
	OAKLAND 2	1109	7	1	679	129	9
	TOTAL	2206	85	1	1301	168	5
ALPINE	ALPINE	13	3	0	2	79	10
	TOTAL	13	3	0	2	79	10
AMADOR	JACKSON	136	15	0	2	136	15
	TOTAL	136	15	0	2	136	15
BUTTE	BUTTE	791	45	4	107	130	7
	TOTAL	791	45	4	107	130	7
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	121	4	0	16	113	3
	TOTAL	121	4	0	16	113	3
COLUSA	COLUSA	107	4	1	24	81	19
	TOTAL	107	4	1	24	81	19
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	11	13	0	0	277	7
	RICHMOND	914	26	4	6	220	4
	WALNUT CREEK	730	4	2	1	301	4
	TOTAL	1655	43	6	7	270	4
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	158	5	2	54	97	9
	TOTAL	158	5	2	54	97	9
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	195	6	1	20	193	20
	PLACERVILLE	394	23	2	56	209	6
	TOTAL	589	29	3	76	202	7
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	12	0	0	1	207	25
	FRESNO CENTRAL	3446	213	13	705	179	25
	USDT FRESNO	11	0	0	3	122	22
	TOTAL	3469	213	13	709	179	25

^aViolations of CVC 23153. They do not include 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years) which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153.

^bViolations of CVC 23140.

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
GLENN	GLENN	101	2	1	12	193	20
	TOTAL	101	2	1	12	193	20
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	718	8	3	113	135	14
	TOTAL	718	8	3	113	135	14
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY	63	2	0	26	261	4
	EL CENTRO	249	5	2	154	278	6
	WINTERHAVEN	67	0	6	27	179	6
	TOTAL	379	7	8	207	268	6
INYO	INYO JUV TRAFF	1	0	0	0	72	41
	BISHOP	72	3	0	14	135	2
	TOTAL	73	3	0	14	133	2
KERN	KERN	2	2	0	0	184	52
	KERN JUV	11	1	0	0	83	3
	LAMONT	135	7	2	62	45	1
	BAKERSFIELD	2121	34	4	430	72	10
	DELANO	123	10	0	15	51	1
	SHAFTER	119	8	1	9	67	1
	MOJAVE	206	9	1	50	89	0
	RIDGECREST	89	2	0	27	81	0
	TOTAL	2806	73	8	593	70	9
KINGS	KINGS TRAF	2	0	0	0	41	10
	HANFORD	618	19	1	53	140	2
	TOTAL	620	19	1	53	140	2
LAKE	LAKE	284	10	0	31	162	7
	CLEARLAKE	3	0	0	0	185	8
	TOTAL	287	10	0	31	164	7
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	82	4	1	11	224	4
	TOTAL	82	4	1	11	224	4

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	9	6	0	0	96	5
	POMONA 1	9	4	0	0	282	6
	LANCASTER 1	11	14	0	0	228	9
	SAN FERNANDO 1	3	6	0	0	241	30
	PASADENA 1	4	8	0	0	328	6
	VAN NUYS 1	14	2	0	0	244	9
	LONG BEACH 1	6	3	0	0	199	6
	COMPTON 1	2	4	0	0	317	6
	NORWALK 1	7	7	0	0	382	18
	TORRANCE 1	6	2	0	0	226	6
	SANTA MONICA 1	5	5	0	0	308	5
	LOS ANGELES JUV	3	2	0	0	201	1
	EASTLAKE JUV	1	0	0	0	221	3
	LOS ANGELES AIRPRT	868	39	2	83	147	6
	ALHAMBRA	460	3	0	36	146	5
	LANCASTER 2	850	47	4	94	104	5
	BURBANK	182	4	1	38	122	9
	WEST COVINA	1103	37	2	47	131	6
	CHATSWORTH	0	0	2	0	148	0
	COMPTON 2	555	37	0	58	159	5
	DOWNEY	869	28	1	43	156	5
	EAST LOS ANGELES	538	6	1	61	152	5
	EL MONTE	509	12	1	42	150	5
	GLENDALE	342	13	0	43	180	5
	INGLEWOOD	420	15	0	51	184	10
	LONG BEACH 2	1045	28	1	66	102	6
	LOS ANGELES NORTH	39	33	0	0	313	7
	LA METRO	2890	20	10	340	59	14
	BELLFLOWER	1040	44	1	98	145	5
	SANTA CLARITA	730	4	1	72	144	5
	PASADENA 2	496	19	1	111	157	5
	POMONA 2	816	34	0	70	146	6

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont)	TORRANCE 2	702	16	1	119	123	9
	SAN FERNANDO 2	745	21	2	83	82	6
	VAN NUYS 2	1574	31	2	219	87	6
	AVALON	7	1	0	0	127	11
	USDT LOS ANGELES	24	0	0	4	138	71
	TOTAL	16884	555	33	1778	120	6
MADERA	MADERA	190	30	0	30	353	40
	CHOWCHILLA	436	0	0	78	360	31
	MADERA CRIM	1	0	0	0	12	41
	TOTAL	627	30	0	108	358	34
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1035	28	9	146	102	7
	TOTAL	1035	28	9	146	102	7
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	55	1	0	3	115	3
	TOTAL	55	1	0	3	115	3
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	8	20	0	1	167	83
	MENDOCINO JUV	3	0	0	0	339	19
	UKIAH	363	2	0	31	114	3
	FORT BRAGG	87	5	0	8	88	14
	TOTAL	461	27	0	40	109	5
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1	0	0	0	387	38
	MERCED	563	59	2	49	189	5
	LOS BANOS	215	13	1	20	165	10
	TOTAL	779	72	3	69	183	6
MODOC	ALTURAS	26	3	0	5	139	13
	TOTAL	26	3	0	5	139	13
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	102	0	1	30	123	8
	TOTAL	102	0	1	30	123	8
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1543	67	0	203	77	44
	MONTEREY JUV	8	1	0	1	91	54
	MARINA	0	0	5	0	136	1
	TOTAL	1551	68	5	204	78	44

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NAPA	NAPA	613	24	1	90	116	2
	TOTAL	613	24	1	90	116	2
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	304	9	1	28	140	11
	TRUCKEE	154	2	2	19	107	7
	TOTAL	458	11	3	47	129	9
ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	10	0	0	0	252	5
	FULLERTON	2569	93	2	77	167	0
	WESTMINSTER	2416	114	3	75	227	0
	NEWPORT BEACH	2533	63	5	156	206	0
	SANTA ANA	1359	53	2	70	215	0
	TOTAL	8887	323	12	378	204	0
PLACER	PLACER JUV	6	1	1	0	138	38
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	833	89	0	92	108	4
	TAHOE CITY	99	6	1	6	86	5
	TOTAL	938	96	2	98	106	4
PLUMAS	QUINCY	90	6	2	7	58	14
	TOTAL	90	6	2	7	58	14
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	2619	125	0	133	166	2
	INDIO	1230	50	0	89	146	4
	RIVERSIDE JUV	1	0	0	1	92	22
	INDIO JUV	4	0	0	0	195	336
	MURRIETA JUV	4	0	0	0	69	10
	BANNING	736	26	0	23	129	3
	INDIO	10	0	0	0	154	302
	BLYTHE	75	2	0	12	116	5
	MURRIETA	1197	56	0	58	123	3
	TOTAL	5876	259	0	316	149	3
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	3843	420	2	314	93	2
	SACRAMENTO JUV	4	0	0	0	208	21
	SACRAMENTO CM	0	0	11	0	93	0
	USDT SACRAMENTO	4	0	0	0	149	71
	TOTAL	3851	420	13	314	93	2

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	313	14	0	48	150	23
	TOTAL	313	14	0	48	150	23
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO 1	1327	97	0	326	213	1
	R CUCAMONGA	2112	140	0	245	191	6
	VICTORVILLE 1	833	72	0	207	220	1
	BARSTOW	0	0	3	0	106	0
	JOSHUA TREE	149	11	1	58	146	5
	SAN BERNARDINO JUV	5	0	0	0	80	29
	FONTANA	0	0	1	0	165	2
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	2	0	0	0	160	106
	TOTAL	4428	320	5	836	201	3
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	54	97	0	1	137	26
	VISTA 1	22	137	0	0	114	49
	SAN DIEGO JUV	23	6	0	0	98	23
	EL CAJON	1313	75	0	205	73	13
	VISTA 2	2213	59	0	557	62	19
	VISTA 3	31	0	2	18	150	7
	KEARNY MESA	2383	5	7	407	89	5
	CHULA VISTA	1076	87	3	117	80	11
	USDT SOUTH SD	21	0	0	6	455	31
	TOTAL	7136	466	12	1311	77	11
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1	12	0	0	240	23
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	364	19	0	130	167	7
	TOTAL	365	31	0	130	167	7
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN	7	1	0	0	193	5
	LODI	184	7	0	15	60	11
	MANTECA	545	22	0	36	86	3
	STOCKTON	560	37	2	38	51	8
	TOTAL	1296	67	2	89	69	5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1428	63	5	163	88	0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO JUV	3	0	0	0	131	18
	TOTAL	1431	63	5	163	88	0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1542	60	5	353	179	15
	SAN MATEO JUV	2	0	0	0	159	74
	REDWOOD CITY	0	0	1	0	290	0
	TOTAL	1544	60	6	353	179	15
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA JUV	2	0	0	0	181	6
	SANTA MARIA W JUV	2	1	0	0	111	5
	SANTA BARBARA	596	45	4	116	121	18
	SUP SANTA MARIA	668	30	0	45	87	20
	LOMPOC	94	4	0	19	98	10
	TOTAL	1362	80	4	180	99	18
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	21	15	0	2	111	88
	SANTA CLARA JUV	6	0	0	0	167	53
	PALO ALTO	561	14	0	157	127	42
	SAN JOSE	2013	78	2	485	129	43
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	0	0	4	0	206	0
	SAN MARTIN	518	15	0	128	95	8
	TOTAL	3119	122	6	772	121	32
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	0	1	0	0	182	31
	SANTA CRUZ JUV	3	0	0	0	163	5
	SANTA CRUZ TRAF	946	41	6	201	77	1
	WATSONVILLE	88	0	0	2	65	1
	TOTAL	1037	42	6	203	77	1
SHASTA	SHASTA JUV	1	0	0	0	65	16
	BURNEY	1	0	0	0	418	21
	REDDING	565	38	0	97	114	10
	TOTAL	567	38	0	97	114	10
SIERRA	SIERRA	11	1	0	4	102	114
	TOTAL	11	1	0	4	102	114
SISKIYOU	YREKA	158	19	0	27	196	4
	TOTAL	158	19	0	27	196	4

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SOLANO	SOLANO JUV	0	1	0	0	107	8
	FAIRFIELD	669	16	1	266	178	25
	VALLEJO	298	12	2	82	157	8
	TOTAL	967	29	3	348	171	19
SONOMA	SONOMA	1938	101	1	199	109	490
	SONOMA JUV	4	0	0	1	116	7
	SANTA ROSA	0	0	3	0	113	0
	TOTAL	1942	101	4	200	109	490
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1440	92	1	112	118	14
	STANISLAUS JUV	1	0	0	0	32	27
	MODESTO	0	0	3	0	149	0
	TOTAL	1441	92	4	112	118	14
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	298	18	5	95	88	10
	TOTAL	298	18	5	95	88	10
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	214	12	0	39	102	23
	TOTAL	214	12	0	39	102	23
TRINITY	TRINITY	41	2	0	1	145	12
	TOTAL	41	2	0	1	145	12
TULARE	VISALIA	1045	49	9	88	143	3
	PORTERVILLE	651	31	1	61	126	7
	TULARE	4	0	0	0	49	16
	TOTAL	1700	80	10	149	137	5
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	235	4	1	0	69	59
	TOTAL	235	4	1	0	69	59
VENTURA	VENTURA JUV	6	1	0	0	132	83
	VENTURA	2657	83	10	0	134	0
	TOTAL	2663	84	10	0	134	0
YOLO	YOLO	399	20	2	66	121	4
	TOTAL	399	20	2	66	121	4
YUBA	YUBA	220	11	3	43	97	3
	TOTAL	220	11	3	43	97	3

**TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018^a**

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			93926	96.0	75.5	68.1	22.7	0.3	9.3
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND RCD	1 ST	20	90.0	100.0	45.0	25.0	0.0	5.0
		2 ND	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	11	90.9	100.0	27.3	27.3	0.0	27.3
		TOTAL	39	92.3	100.0	43.6	25.6	0.0	12.8
	FREMONT	1 ST	382	99.7	99.7	95.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	163	99.4	100.0	15.3	80.4	0.0	0.6
		3 RD	39	100.0	100.0	5.1	94.9	0.0	7.7
		4 ^{TH+}	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	69.2
		TOTAL	597	99.7	99.8	65.3	31.5	0.0	2.2
	PLEASANTON	1 ST	343	100.0	99.7	93.9	4.7	0.3	1.2
		2 ND	135	97.8	100.0	14.8	81.5	0.0	4.4
		3 RD	48	95.8	100.0	0.0	91.7	4.2	10.4
		4 ^{TH+}	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	53.8
		TOTAL	539	99.1	99.8	63.6	33.8	0.6	4.1
	OAKLAND TRAFFIC	1 ST	749	99.6	99.7	93.6	4.3	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	273	99.3	99.6	19.4	76.9	0.0	0.0
3 RD		82	98.8	100.0	6.1	84.1	1.2	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}		13	84.6	100.0	23.1	46.2	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		1117	99.3	99.7	68.2	28.4	0.1	0.2	
ALPINE	ALPINE	1 ST	11	100.0	100.0	54.5	18.2	0.0	9.1
		2 ND	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	40.0
		TOTAL	16	93.8	100.0	43.8	25.0	6.3	18.8
AMADOR	JACKSON	1 ST	98	93.9	100.0	83.7	4.1	0.0	24.5
		2 ND	38	94.7	94.7	7.9	76.3	0.0	84.2
		3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	70.0
		4 ^{TH+}	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	151	90.7	98.7	56.3	25.8	0.0	42.4

^aEntries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2018 receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
BUTTE	BUTTE	1 ST	582	95.0	94.3	90.9	1.2	0.3	2.9
		2 ND	186	90.9	98.4	7.0	72.0	7.5	25.8
		3 RD	55	67.3	94.5	1.8	25.5	40.0	27.3
		4 ^{TH+}	17	52.9	94.1	0.0	5.9	47.1	35.3
		TOTAL	840	91.4	95.2	64.6	18.6	5.5	10.2
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	1 ST	90	97.8	100.0	95.6	0.0	0.0	2.2
		2 ND	26	100.0	100.0	23.1	65.4	0.0	26.9
		3 RD	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	75.0	0.0	37.5
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	125	96.8	100.0	74.4	18.4	0.0	10.4
COLUSA	COLUSA	1 ST	74	95.9	98.6	91.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	25	92.0	96.0	60.0	28.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	112	93.8	98.2	78.6	10.7	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	6	50.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7
		2 ND	9	33.3	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		4 ^{TH+}	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	24	54.2	91.7	8.3	16.7	0.0	25.0
	RICHMOND	1 ST	296	100.0	96.6	92.9	3.7	0.0	1.7
		2 ND	85	97.6	96.5	8.2	84.7	0.0	27.1
		3 RD	19	100.0	94.7	5.3	89.5	0.0	68.4
		4 ^{TH+}	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	404	99.0	96.5	70.0	25.2	0.0	10.4
	PITTSBURG	1 ST	367	97.8	95.6	91.8	3.8	0.0	2.5
		2 ND	124	99.2	96.8	7.3	91.1	0.0	37.1
		3 RD	32	93.8	96.9	3.1	84.4	0.0	65.6
		4 ^{TH+}	17	82.4	100.0	5.9	76.5	0.0	29.4
TOTAL		540	97.4	96.1	64.4	30.9	0.0	15.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK		
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%		
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	WALNUT CREEK	1 ST	491	98.4	92.7	83.7	3.3	0.0	1.8		
		2 ND	170	99.4	96.5	11.2	72.4	0.0	24.7		
		3 RD	60	93.3	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	43.3		
		4 ^{TH+}	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0		
		TOTAL	736	98.1	94.3	58.4	25.8	0.0	11.7		
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	1 ST	125	94.4	98.4	88.0	4.0	0.0	6.4		
		2 ND	31	90.3	100.0	19.4	74.2	0.0	64.5		
		3 RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	42.9		
		4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	165	91.5	98.8	70.3	20.0	0.0	18.8		
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	1 ST	143	99.3	95.8	83.2	4.2	0.0	3.5		
		2 ND	45	95.6	97.8	8.9	75.6	0.0	60.0		
		3 RD	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7		
		4 ^{TH+}	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0		
		TOTAL	202	97.5	95.5	60.9	24.8	0.0	20.3		
	PLACERVILLE	1 ST	290	97.9	99.0	90.7	1.0	0.0	24.8		
		2 ND	96	93.8	97.9	6.3	81.3	0.0	75.0		
		3 RD	22	95.5	90.9	0.0	81.8	0.0	72.7		
		4 ^{TH+}	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	45.5		
		TOTAL	419	96.2	98.3	64.2	25.5	0.0	39.4		
		FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	1 ST	8	100.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				2 ND	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
				TOTAL	12	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FRESNO	1 ST		2422	96.3	98.5	93.4	1.7	0.0	1.2		
	2 ND		867	92.4	99.5	13.4	75.8	0.2	30.9		
	3 RD		266	91.4	99.2	6.0	80.8	0.0	55.6		
	4 ^{TH+}		117	53.8	100.0	11.1	29.9	2.6	12.8		
	TOTAL		3672	93.7	98.9	65.6	25.8	0.1	12.5		
USDT FRESNO	1 ST		8	87.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	2 ND		3	100.0	33.3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	11	90.9	9.1	45.5	18.2	0.0	0.0			

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
GLENN	GLENN	1 ST	76	98.7	53.9	86.8	1.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	21	95.2	95.2	23.8	47.6	14.3	4.8
		3 RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	75.0
		TOTAL	104	97.1	65.4	68.3	11.5	6.7	4.8
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	1 ST	503	98.8	95.8	94.4	1.0	0.0	1.4
		2 ND	154	96.8	98.1	14.9	78.6	0.0	73.4
		3 RD	59	100.0	98.3	3.4	89.8	1.7	89.8
		4 ^{TH+}	13	46.2	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	30.8
		TOTAL	729	97.5	96.6	68.6	25.0	0.1	24.3
IMPERIAL	BRAWLEY	1 ST	44	93.2	40.9	86.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	17	76.5	76.5	29.4	58.8	0.0	5.9
		3 RD	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	65	87.7	53.8	66.2	18.5	0.0	1.5
	EL CENTRO	1 ST	197	94.4	23.9	82.7	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	45	84.4	68.9	37.8	46.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	12.5	25.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	256	91.8	35.2	70.3	12.1	0.4	1.2	
	WINTERHAVEN	1 ST	62	71.0	14.5	58.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	11	90.9	63.6	36.4	27.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	74.0	21.9	54.8	4.1	0.0	0.0
	INYO	INYO JUV TRAFF	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BISHOP		1 ST	60	98.3	16.7	88.3	5.0	0.0	6.7
		2 ND	9	88.9	77.8	22.2	88.9	0.0	22.2
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	75	96.0	30.7	73.3	17.3	0.0	8.0		

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN	KERN	1 ST	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	KERN JUV	1 ST	12	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LAMONT	1 ST	99	96.0	97.0	34.3	4.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	33	97.0	100.0	6.1	15.2	0.0	6.1
		3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	144	94.4	97.9	25.0	8.3	0.0	1.4
	BAKERSFIELD	1 ST	1519	98.7	99.3	78.7	1.9	0.0	1.4
		2 ND	471	97.5	98.5	8.9	63.7	0.6	30.4
		3 RD	130	92.3	97.7	4.6	58.5	0.0	50.0
		4 ^{TH+}	39	61.5	94.9	0.0	7.7	7.7	10.3
		TOTAL	2159	97.4	98.9	57.6	18.9	0.3	10.8
	DELANO	1 ST	88	100.0	98.9	90.9	2.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	34	100.0	100.0	26.5	58.8	0.0	8.8
		3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	14.3
		4 ^{TH+}	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	133	97.0	99.2	66.9	21.8	0.0	3.0
	SHAFTER	1 ST	96	92.7	95.8	79.2	4.2	0.0	1.0
		2 ND	23	95.7	95.7	17.4	65.2	0.0	17.4
		3 RD	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	128	93.0	95.3	62.5	19.5	0.0	4.7
	MOJAVE	1 ST	145	97.9	98.6	77.2	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	53	94.3	100.0	28.3	45.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	216	95.8	99.1	59.3	15.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont)	RIDGECREST	1 ST	63	98.4	100.0	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	19	100.0	100.0	36.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	9	100.0	100.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	91	98.9	100.0	48.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
KINGS	KINGS TRAFFIC	1 ST	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1 ST	431	96.3	99.3	87.7	5.1	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	139	92.1	98.6	15.8	75.5	0.0	2.2
		3 RD	46	87.0	97.8	8.7	84.8	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	22	72.7	100.0	4.5	45.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	638	93.9	99.1	63.5	27.6	0.0	0.8
LAKE	LAKE	1 ST	199	97.0	94.0	85.4	2.0	0.0	5.5
		2 ND	69	94.2	95.7	21.7	63.8	0.0	37.7
		3 RD	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	53.3
		4 ^{TH+}	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	18.2	0.0	18.2
		TOTAL	294	96.3	94.6	62.9	21.1	0.0	16.0
	CLEARLAKE	1 ST	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	1 ST	67	97.0	89.6	89.6	3.0
2 ND	13			100.0	100.0	38.5	61.5	0.0	0.0
3 RD	6			83.3	100.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	0.0
4 ^{TH+}	1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	87			95.4	90.8	75.9	16.1	0.0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	1 ST	8	62.5	100.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	60.0	100.0	20.0	6.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	POMONA 1	1 ST	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	7.7	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
	LANCASTER 1	1 ST	14	64.3	92.9	42.9	7.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	6	33.3	66.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	44.0	88.0	24.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO 1	1 ST	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	PASADENA 1	1 ST	6	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	50.0	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
	VAN NUYS 1	1 ST	6	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		16	25.0	100.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LONG BEACH 1	1 ST	7	57.1	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	9	55.6	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	COMPTON 1	1 ST	4	50.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	NORWALK	1 ST	6	33.3	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	28.6	100.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TORRANCE 1	1 ST	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA MONICA 1	1 ST	6	83.3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	60.0	90.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LOS ANGELES JUV	1 ST	5	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EASTLAKE JUV	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA AIRPORT	1 ST	736	98.5	28.8	88.6	2.6	0.0	0.0
2 ND		139	100.0	89.2	9.4	81.3	1.4	0.0	
3 RD		26	84.6	96.2	0.0	76.9	3.8	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}		8	25.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		909	97.7	40.6	73.2	16.8	0.3	0.0	
ALHAMBRA	1 ST	376	99.5	12.2	93.4	2.7	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	71	100.0	90.1	7.0	88.7	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD	13	84.6	92.3	7.7	76.9	0.0	0.0	
	4 ^{TH+}	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	463	98.7	27.0	77.1	17.9	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	LANCASTER 2	1 ST	651	92.8	31.5	87.7	2.6	0.2	0.0
		2 ND	184	86.4	92.9	5.4	78.3	1.1	0.0
		3 RD	53	75.5	96.2	0.0	67.9	5.7	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	13	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	901	89.1	48.8	64.5	21.9	0.7	0.0
	BURBANK	1 ST	144	97.9	18.1	84.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
		2 ND	38	89.5	76.3	13.2	68.4	2.6	0.0
		3 RD	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	95.7	31.6	67.9	16.0	1.1	0.5
	WEST COVINA	1 ST	885	97.9	66.3	93.2	3.1	0.2	0.1
		2 ND	208	91.8	93.8	6.3	84.1	0.5	0.0
		3 RD	42	81.0	100.0	0.0	76.2	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1142	95.8	72.8	73.4	20.5	0.4	0.1
	CHATSWORTH	1 ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	COMPTON 2	1 ST	452	96.7	21.0	82.3	2.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	110	93.6	88.2	5.5	80.0	0.9	0.0
		3 RD	19	68.4	100.0	5.3	57.9	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	27.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	592	93.9	37.5	64.0	18.6	0.2	0.0
	DOWNEY	1 ST	694	98.0	15.9	87.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	163	93.9	89.6	9.8	76.7	2.5	0.0
3 RD		38	94.7	97.4	0.0	60.5	23.7	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}		3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		898	96.9	33.0	69.0	19.0	1.4	0.0	
EAST LOS ANGELES	1 ST	426	98.4	17.1	83.6	1.9	0.2	0.0	
	2 ND	101	98.0	83.2	11.9	72.3	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD	18	100.0	88.9	5.6	77.8	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	545	98.3	31.7	67.7	17.4	0.2	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	EL MONTE	1 ST	407	99.0	41.8	89.9	3.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	90	98.9	97.8	3.3	86.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	16	93.8	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	522	98.1	54.2	70.7	20.7	0.4	0.0
	GLENDALE	1 ST	278	98.6	17.3	92.4	2.5	0.4	0.0
		2 ND	64	96.9	90.6	4.7	89.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	13	92.3	92.3	7.7	76.9	7.7	0.0
		TOTAL	355	98.0	33.2	73.5	20.8	0.6	0.0
	INGLEWOOD	1 ST	335	97.9	19.1	92.5	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	81	91.4	85.2	12.3	76.5	1.2	0.0
		3 RD	18	83.3	88.9	5.6	50.0	27.8	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	435	96.1	34.5	73.8	18.2	1.4	0.0
	LONG BEACH 2	1 ST	820	98.0	50.6	83.8	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	195	93.8	85.1	9.2	73.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	49	85.7	93.9	0.0	63.3	8.2	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	10	20.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1074	96.0	59.3	65.6	18.5	0.4	0.0
	LOS ANGELES NORTH	1 ST	44	79.5	77.3	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND		19	68.4	73.7	5.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	
3 RD		5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}		4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		72	69.4	79.2	18.1	0.0	1.4	0.0	
LA METRO	1 ST	2346	97.6	48.6	87.5	4.9	0.1	0.0	
	2 ND	459	96.3	96.1	5.2	86.5	0.2	0.0	
	3 RD	95	98.9	97.9	1.1	85.3	6.3	0.0	
	4 ^{TH+}	20	40.0	100.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	2920	97.0	58.0	71.1	20.4	0.3	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BELLFLOWER	1 ST	834	98.4	17.1	85.0	1.6	0.1	0.0
		2 ND	211	96.2	87.2	13.3	66.8	0.5	0.0
		3 RD	34	79.4	97.1	2.9	67.6	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1085	97.0	33.7	68.0	16.4	0.2	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	1 ST	550	99.5	9.1	92.2	2.9	0.2	0.0
		2 ND	147	100.0	89.1	9.5	85.0	0.7	0.0
		3 RD	32	93.8	96.9	0.0	87.5	3.1	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	735	98.9	29.5	70.9	23.1	0.4	0.0
	PASADENA 2	1 ST	394	98.2	13.7	94.7	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	102	98.0	87.3	8.8	86.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	9	77.8	100.0	11.1	55.6	11.1	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	516	96.5	31.6	74.2	20.2	0.2	0.0
	POMONA 2	1 ST	652	97.1	27.1	87.0	2.3	0.2	0.0
		2 ND	152	94.7	92.8	6.6	77.6	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	35	91.4	97.1	2.9	68.6	11.4	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	850	95.2	42.7	68.0	18.5	0.6	0.0
	TORRANCE 2	1 ST	563	98.2	23.6	92.5	3.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	126	96.8	96.0	7.9	82.5	0.8	0.0
		3 RD	22	90.9	95.5	4.5	72.7	9.1	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		719	97.1	39.4	74.0	19.2	0.4	0.0	
SAN FERNANDO 2	1 ST	573	96.2	29.5	89.2	2.1	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	139	95.0	95.0	5.0	83.5	2.2	0.0	
	3 RD	46	84.8	100.0	0.0	69.6	10.9	0.0	
	4 ^{TH+}	10	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	768	94.3	46.5	67.4	20.8	1.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	VAN NUYS 2	1 ST	1279	98.6	26.9	86.6	3.8	0.1	0.0	
		2 ND	268	97.4	94.0	5.6	79.9	0.4	0.0	
		3 RD	53	90.6	98.1	0.0	71.7	3.8	0.0	
		4 ^{TH+}	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1607	98.0	40.8	69.9	18.9	0.2	0.0	
	AVALON	1 ST	7	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	8	100.0	25.0	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	
	USDT LOS ANGELES	1 ST	24	20.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	24	20.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	MADERA	MADERA	1 ST	121	91.7	93.4	85.1	3.3	0.0	0.0
			2 ND	51	80.4	90.2	21.6	60.8	0.0	0.0
3 RD			23	69.6	95.7	4.3	65.2	0.0	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}			25	60.0	84.0	0.0	36.0	12.0	0.0	
TOTAL			220	83.2	91.8	52.3	26.8	1.4	0.0	
CHOWCHILLA		1 ST	286	92.3	98.3	94.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	112	96.4	99.1	26.8	71.4	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	30	83.3	100.0	23.3	70.0	0.0	3.3	
		4 ^{TH+}	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	436	92.9	98.6	71.1	25.7	0.0	0.2	
MADERA CRIM		1 ST	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MARIN		SAN RAFAEL	1 ST	743	98.7	97.2	95.2	3.0	0.0	5.0
			2 ND	251	98.0	97.2	4.4	92.0	0.0	62.5
	3 RD		67	100.0	95.5	1.5	94.0	0.0	91.0	
	4 ^{TH+}		11	45.5	100.0	9.1	36.4	0.0	45.5	
	TOTAL		1072	98.0	97.1	67.2	29.9	0.0	24.3	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1 ST	35	100.0	97.1	77.1	2.9	0.0	2.9
		2 ND	16	100.0	100.0	18.8	62.5	0.0	56.3
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	100.0	98.2	53.6	26.8	0.0	23.2
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1 ST	14	85.7	100.0	78.6	7.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	71.4	0.0	28.6
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	25.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	28	82.1	96.4	39.3	42.9	0.0	17.9
	MENDOCINO JUV	1 ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	UKIAH	1 ST	247	95.5	96.8	87.0	2.0	0.0	3.6
		2 ND	84	95.2	98.8	13.1	83.3	0.0	57.1
		3 RD	32	96.9	100.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	68.8
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	365	95.6	97.5	63.0	28.8	0.0	22.2
	FORT BRAGG	1 ST	57	100.0	98.2	93.0	1.8	0.0	1.8
		2 ND	17	100.0	100.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	29.4
3 RD		14	100.0	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	78.6	
4 ^{TH+}		4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		92	98.9	98.9	58.7	35.9	0.0	18.5	
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MERCED	1 ST	440	96.8	96.6	74.1	4.1	0.0	1.6	
	2 ND	125	98.4	99.2	9.6	80.8	0.0	20.8	
	3 RD	39	89.7	94.9	2.6	79.5	0.0	35.9	
	4 ^{TH+}	20	75.0	90.0	10.0	40.0	0.0	5.0	
	TOTAL	624	96.0	96.8	54.6	25.3	0.0	7.7	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
MERCED (cont)	LOS BANOS	1 ST	155	98.7	98.7	90.3	4.5	0.0	4.5
		2 ND	57	93.0	94.7	8.8	75.4	0.0	64.9
		3 RD	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	76.9
		4 ^{TH+}	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	229	96.5	97.8	63.3	27.9	0.0	23.6
MODOC	ALTURAS	1 ST	20	100.0	80.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	7	100.0	100.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	14.3
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	100.0	82.8	51.7	20.7	0.0	3.4
MONO	MAMMOTH LAKES	1 ST	77	97.4	72.7	90.9	3.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	17	100.0	100.0	23.5	76.5	0.0	5.9
		3 RD	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	103	98.1	77.7	71.8	24.3	0.0	1.0
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1 ST	1111	98.5	98.6	88.8	3.3	0.0	12.3
		2 ND	370	97.8	98.6	7.8	85.9	0.0	48.6
		3 RD	105	91.4	99.0	1.9	83.8	0.0	64.8
		4 ^{TH+}	24	75.0	95.8	0.0	75.0	0.0	29.2
		TOTAL	1610	97.5	98.6	63.2	28.6	0.0	24.3
	MONTEREY JUV	1 ST	9	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA	1 ST	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NAPA	NAPA	1 ST	470	86.8	84.5	79.8	2.1	0.0	28.9
		2 ND	125	91.2	90.4	2.4	80.0	0.0	74.4
		3 RD	32	93.8	93.8	0.0	81.3	0.0	78.1
		4 ^{TH+}	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	63.6
		TOTAL	638	87.9	86.4	59.2	22.3	0.0	40.9

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%	
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1 ST	219	98.2	99.5	94.1	3.2	0.0	3.7	
		2 ND	66	97.0	100.0	22.7	71.2	0.0	66.7	
		3 RD	22	95.5	100.0	31.8	59.1	0.0	86.4	
		4 ^{TH+}	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	85.7	
		TOTAL	314	97.8	99.7	72.9	23.2	0.0	24.5	
		TRUCKEE	1 ST	125	98.4	98.4	95.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	28	100.0	100.0	96.4	3.6	0.0	0.0		
	3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	4 ^{TH+}	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	158	98.7	98.7	95.6	0.6	0.0	0.0		
	ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	1 ST	10	60.0	10.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	60.0	10.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		FULLERTON	1 ST	2027	97.6	35.7	92.9	1.4	0.0	0.2
			2 ND	484	93.8	91.9	5.4	84.1	0.0	1.4
3 RD			124	91.1	99.2	2.4	84.7	0.0	3.2	
4 ^{TH+}			29	55.2	93.1	0.0	55.2	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL			2664	96.1	49.5	71.8	20.9	0.0	0.6	
WESTMINSTER		1 ST	1952	98.2	22.4	94.9	1.3	0.0	0.4	
		2 ND	449	94.4	89.8	6.5	85.5	0.0	11.6	
		3 RD	104	86.5	96.2	3.8	76.0	0.0	7.7	
		4 ^{TH+}	28	82.1	100.0	0.0	82.1	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2533	96.8	38.3	74.4	20.2	0.0	2.6	
NEWPORT BEACH		1 ST	2035	99.0	49.5	94.2	1.9	0.0	0.1	
		2 ND	450	97.8	92.2	7.1	87.8	0.0	2.7	
		3 RD	92	92.4	98.9	0.0	85.9	1.1	2.2	
		4 ^{TH+}	24	62.5	100.0	0.0	58.3	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2601	98.2	59.1	74.9	20.3	0.0	0.6	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE (cont)	SANTA ANA	1 ST	1043	98.8	21.9	94.3	2.1	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	285	93.0	92.6	7.0	85.3	0.0	4.6
		3 RD	71	84.5	91.5	1.4	74.6	0.0	9.9
		4 ^{TH+}	15	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	6.7
		TOTAL	1414	96.5	40.5	71.1	22.9	0.0	1.6
PLACER	PLACER JUV	1 ST	8	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	1 ST	646	95.4	98.6	94.1	2.9	0.0	6.7
		2 ND	224	91.5	99.6	6.7	73.7	0.0	76.8
		3 RD	34	73.5	100.0	0.0	70.6	0.0	70.6
		4 ^{TH+}	18	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	38.9
		TOTAL	922	92.7	98.9	67.6	23.5	0.0	26.7
	TAHOE CITY	1 ST	82	54.9	97.6	48.8	2.4	0.0	2.4
		2 ND	21	57.1	100.0	4.8	38.1	0.0	38.1
		3 RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
4 ^{TH+}		1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		106	54.7	98.1	38.7	10.4	0.0	10.4	
PLUMAS	QUINCY	1 ST	69	97.1	95.7	91.3	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	27	92.6	100.0	3.7	88.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	98	95.9	96.9	65.3	27.6	0.0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	1 ST	2065	97.4	98.3	94.5	2.6	0.0	1.5
		2 ND	512	93.6	98.0	6.4	85.9	0.0	19.1
		3 RD	134	92.5	96.3	3.0	86.6	0.0	26.9
		4 ^{TH+}	32	71.9	93.8	0.0	68.8	0.0	9.4
		TOTAL	2743	96.2	98.1	72.5	23.0	0.0	6.1
	INDIO	1 ST	955	97.0	91.5	94.1	2.3	0.0	4.2
		2 ND	253	94.5	95.3	7.9	85.0	0.0	32.0
		3 RD	63	77.8	100.0	3.2	73.0	0.0	36.5
		4 ^{TH+}	19	42.1	94.7	0.0	42.1	0.0	15.8
		TOTAL	1290	94.7	92.7	71.4	22.6	0.0	11.4

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont)	RIVERSIDE JUV	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	INDIO JUV	1 ST	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MURRIETA JUV	1 ST	4	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BANNING	1 ST	557	97.5	95.7	95.0	2.2	0.0	2.3
		2 ND	148	91.2	95.9	7.4	83.8	0.0	48.6
		3 RD	48	79.2	91.7	6.3	72.9	0.0	33.3
		4 ^{TH+}	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	44.4
		TOTAL	762	94.9	95.5	71.3	23.5	0.0	13.8
	BLYTHE	1 ST	54	98.1	83.3	96.3	1.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	16	100.0	100.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	6.3
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	75.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	77	97.4	87.0	71.4	26.0	0.0	6.5
	MURRIETA	1 ST	929	98.2	96.7	95.3	1.5	0.0	7.5
		2 ND	250	95.2	97.6	8.4	86.0	0.0	24.4
		3 RD	50	90.0	92.0	4.0	84.0	0.0	42.0
		4 ^{TH+}	24	41.7	91.7	4.2	37.5	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	1253	96.2	96.6	72.5	22.3	0.0	12.3
	TEMECULA	2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	1 ST	2974	98.4	97.9	94.1	1.2	0.0	1.8
		2 ND	904	96.1	99.6	9.0	81.3	0.0	5.8
		3 RD	284	91.2	100.0	1.1	81.3	0.0	5.6
		4 ^{TH+}	103	56.3	97.1	1.0	31.1	0.0	34.0
		TOTAL	4265	96.4	98.4	67.6	24.2	0.0	3.7
	SACRAMENTO JUV	1 ST	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SACRAMENTO (cont)	SACRAMENTO CM	1 ST	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	USDT SACRAMENTO	1 ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1 ST	214	96.7	100.0	21.5	0.0	0.0	3.3
		2 ND	74	97.3	100.0	2.7	6.8	0.0	36.5
		3 RD	24	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.2
		4 ^{TH+}	15	53.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3
		TOTAL	327	95.1	100.0	14.7	1.5	0.0	16.8
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO 1	1 ST	1024	97.6	68.0	93.4	2.2	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	292	93.8	91.4	16.1	73.6	0.0	2.4
		3 RD	72	91.7	94.4	2.8	75.0	0.0	4.2
		4 ^{TH+}	36	69.4	100.0	2.8	36.1	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	1424	95.8	74.9	70.6	21.4	0.0	1.1
	R CUCAMONGA	1 ST	1638	98.3	76.1	94.1	2.4	0.0	3.1
		2 ND	443	97.3	97.1	8.4	85.6	0.2	30.5
		3 RD	122	86.1	98.4	2.5	61.5	0.0	29.5
		4 ^{TH+}	49	44.9	100.0	2.0	28.6	0.0	12.2
		TOTAL	2252	96.3	82.0	70.3	22.5	0.0	10.1
	VICTORVILLE 1	1 ST	623	96.5	71.1	91.0	3.0	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	203	92.1	95.6	14.8	72.4	0.0	2.5
		3 RD	56	85.7	100.0	3.6	75.0	0.0	5.4
		4 ^{TH+}	23	52.2	100.0	4.3	47.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	905	93.7	79.1	66.3	24.2	0.0	1.2
	BARSTOW	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1 ST	118	94.9	79.7	88.1	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	32	100.0	90.6	15.6	84.4	0.0	3.1
		3 RD	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
4 ^{TH+}		6	66.7	66.7	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		161	94.4	82.0	68.3	23.0	0.0	0.6	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	S BERNARDINO JUV	1 ST	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FONTANA	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1 ST	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1 ST	85	80.0	90.6	15.3	1.2	0.0	4.7
		2 ND	32	71.9	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	13	61.5	100.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7
		4 ^{TH+}	21	61.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	151	74.2	94.7	8.6	2.6	0.0	3.3
	VISTA 1	1 ST	68	79.4	95.6	66.2	7.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	51	66.7	100.0	3.9	56.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	15	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	25	28.0	100.0	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	159	61.6	98.1	29.6	27.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO JUV	1 ST	29	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1 ST	980	98.6	12.2	94.2	2.6	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	308	98.1	89.3	6.5	85.4	0.0	1.9
		3 RD	76	82.9	93.4	1.3	71.1	0.0	7.9
		4 ^{TH+}	24	54.2	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	8.3
		TOTAL	1388	96.8	35.3	68.0	24.9	0.0	1.1
	VISTA 2	1 ST	1652	98.4	12.4	94.2	2.8	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	488	96.7	89.1	5.7	89.3	0.0	3.3
		3 RD	126	95.2	95.2	5.6	88.9	0.0	5.6
		4 ^{TH+}	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2272	97.8	33.7	70.1	26.4	0.0	1.6

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO (cont)	VISTA 3	1 ST	25	92.0	4.0	88.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	28.6
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	93.9	27.3	66.7	24.2	0.0	6.1
	KEARNY MESA	1 ST	1865	98.2	5.8	95.6	1.4	0.0	23.3
		2 ND	439	99.3	86.3	10.9	88.2	0.0	57.2
		3 RD	88	92.0	95.5	1.1	89.8	0.0	60.2
		4 ^{TH+}	3	66.7	66.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	2395	98.2	23.9	76.5	20.6	0.0	30.9
	CHULA VISTA	1 ST	891	97.3	15.9	91.1	1.7	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	214	96.7	94.9	4.2	85.5	0.0	12.6
		3 RD	50	88.0	94.0	4.0	82.0	0.0	28.0
		4 ^{TH+}	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1
		TOTAL	1166	96.2	34.6	70.6	20.7	0.0	4.2
	USDT SOUTH SD	1 ST	19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 RD		1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	8	87.5	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	37.5
		2 ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4 ^{TH+}	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		TOTAL	13	92.3	100.0	30.8	23.1	7.7	53.8
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	1 ST	284	99.6	98.9	95.8	2.5	0.0	5.3
		2 ND	85	97.6	100.0	10.6	84.7	0.0	74.1
		3 RD	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	91.7	16.7	91.7
		4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
TOTAL	383	98.7	99.2	73.4	23.8	0.5	23.5		
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	8	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN (cont)	LODI	1 ST	128	96.9	96.1	95.3	0.8	0.0	1.6
		2 ND	41	90.2	92.7	22.0	68.3	0.0	17.1
		3 RD	13	84.6	100.0	7.7	46.2	30.8	30.8
		4 ^{TH+}	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	22.2	44.4	0.0
		TOTAL	191	94.8	95.8	69.1	19.4	4.2	6.8
	MANTECA	1 ST	400	99.8	100.0	96.0	2.5	0.3	2.0
		2 ND	134	97.0	100.0	13.4	67.9	14.9	43.3
		3 RD	25	100.0	100.0	0.0	72.0	28.0	76.0
		4 ^{TH+}	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	37.5	25.0
		TOTAL	567	98.8	100.0	70.9	21.7	5.5	15.3
	STOCKTON	1 ST	387	97.2	99.0	85.5	5.4	0.0	3.4
		2 ND	149	98.0	99.3	7.4	85.2	2.7	25.5
		3 RD	49	87.8	100.0	0.0	67.3	14.3	18.4
		4 ^{TH+}	14	57.1	100.0	0.0	14.3	35.7	21.4
		TOTAL	599	95.7	99.2	57.1	30.6	2.7	10.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO CIV	2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO JUV	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	1028	98.4	97.9	94.6	1.4	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	337	98.2	99.4	8.9	83.4	0.0	2.7
		3 RD	102	94.1	99.0	11.8	77.5	0.0	2.9
4 ^{TH+}		28	71.4	96.4	28.6	21.4	0.0	3.6	
TOTAL	1495	97.6	98.3	68.4	25.4	0.0	1.1		
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1 ST	1206	98.8	99.1	93.5	2.9	0.0	3.2
		2 ND	318	96.5	98.7	8.2	82.1	0.0	56.3
		3 RD	63	93.7	93.7	3.2	81.0	0.0	60.3
		4 ^{TH+}	20	75.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	15.0
		TOTAL	1607	97.8	98.8	71.9	22.3	0.0	16.1
	SAN MATEO JUV	1 ST	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN MATEO (cont)	REDWOOD CITY	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA JUV	1 ST	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA MARIA JUV	1 ST	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	477	96.2	91.0	92.5	2.7	0.0	1.0
		2 ND	116	93.1	99.1	6.9	82.8	0.0	8.6
		3 RD	44	81.8	93.2	0.0	84.1	0.0	20.5
		4 ^{TH+}	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	645	94.6	92.6	69.6	23.6	0.0	4.0
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1 ST	468	98.5	89.3	91.9	4.3	0.0	1.9
		2 ND	171	95.3	97.7	8.8	83.0	0.0	38.0
		3 RD	49	75.5	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	40.8
		4 ^{TH+}	10	80.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	698	95.8	92.3	63.9	29.2	0.0	13.8
	LOMPOC	1 ST	79	98.7	77.2	89.9	2.5	0.0	1.3
		2 ND	14	100.0	100.0	14.3	78.6	0.0	7.1
3 RD		4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	
4 ^{TH+}		1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	
TOTAL		98	99.0	81.6	74.5	17.3	0.0	3.1	
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1 ST	15	80.0	100.0	73.3	6.7	0.0	13.3
		2 ND	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	14.3
		3 RD	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		4 ^{TH+}	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	36	80.6	100.0	30.6	47.2	0.0	27.8
	SANTA CLARA JUV	1 ST	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA (cont)	PALO ALTO	1 ST	403	99.8	98.3	95.8	3.0	0.0	4.7
		2 ND	136	99.3	99.3	6.6	92.6	0.0	69.9
		3 RD	28	96.4	100.0	10.7	89.3	0.0	60.7
		4 ^{TH+}	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5
		TOTAL	575	99.1	98.6	69.2	29.6	0.0	24.0
	SAN JOSE	1 ST	1512	99.7	99.1	95.6	3.1	0.2	7.1
		2 ND	437	99.5	99.3	12.6	84.9	0.0	74.8
		3 RD	123	96.7	100.0	5.7	88.6	0.0	88.6
		4 ^{TH+}	21	76.2	95.2	0.0	66.7	0.0	61.9
		TOTAL	2093	99.2	99.2	72.0	25.8	0.1	26.6
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	1 ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN MARTIN	1 ST	388	99.7	98.2	98.5	1.3	0.0	2.8
		2 ND	106	100.0	99.1	9.4	87.7	0.0	45.3
		3 RD	31	100.0	96.8	0.0	96.8	0.0	77.4
		4 ^{TH+}	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	100.0	0.0	87.5
		TOTAL	533	99.8	98.3	73.7	25.5	0.0	16.9
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ JUV	1 ST	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ TRAF	1 ST	684	97.4	94.3	92.7	0.4	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	237	97.9	98.7	53.2	39.7	0.0	0.8
		3 RD	54	87.0	96.3	31.5	50.0	0.0	3.7
		4 ^{TH+}	18	61.1	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	993	96.3	95.6	78.5	13.1	0.0	0.5
	WATSONVILLE	1 ST	58	100.0	96.6	87.9	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	20	100.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
3 RD		10	100.0	100.0	30.0	70.0	0.0	10.0	
TOTAL		88	100.0	97.7	63.6	25.0	0.0	1.1	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SHASTA	SHASTA JUV	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	REDDING	1 ST	393	97.2	98.0	90.6	1.8	0.0	36.6
		2 ND	154	95.5	98.7	12.3	65.6	10.4	74.0
		3 RD	43	88.4	93.0	0.0	65.1	16.3	76.7
		4 ^{TH+}	13	69.2	92.3	7.7	38.5	7.7	38.5
		TOTAL	603	95.5	97.7	62.4	23.4	4.0	49.1
	SIERRA	SIERRA	1 ST	12	100.0	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			12	100.0	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	YREKA	1 ST	118	87.3	86.4	57.6	1.7	0.0	1.7
		2 ND	34	88.2	82.4	14.7	64.7	0.0	41.2
		3 RD	20	95.0	85.0	5.0	85.0	0.0	75.0
		4 ^{TH+}	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	177	87.6	85.9	41.8	23.7	0.0	18.1
SOLANO	SOLANO JUV	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1 ST	460	98.3	98.9	93.5	4.1	0.0	5.4
		2 ND	172	99.4	99.4	7.0	90.7	0.0	20.9
		3 RD	39	84.6	100.0	7.7	76.9	0.0	66.7
		4 ^{TH+}	15	86.7	100.0	6.7	80.0	0.0	80.0
		TOTAL	686	97.5	99.1	65.0	31.6	0.0	14.4
	VALLEJO	1 ST	199	98.0	98.5	96.0	1.0	0.0	3.0
		2 ND	87	98.9	98.9	6.9	93.1	0.0	11.5
		3 RD	22	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	63.6
4 ^{TH+}		4	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	
TOTAL		312	97.8	98.7	63.1	34.3	0.0	10.3	

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SONOMA	SONOMA	1 ST	1431	99.2	95.2	94.3	1.6	0.0	8.3
		2 ND	464	98.9	98.3	9.5	86.0	0.2	81.0
		3 RD	112	95.5	95.5	2.7	89.3	2.7	85.7
		4 ^{TH+}	33	60.6	90.9	0.0	51.5	0.0	48.5
		TOTAL	2040	98.3	95.9	68.5	26.4	0.2	29.8
	SONOMA JUV	1 ST	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1 ST	1050	97.2	99.0	92.5	3.5	0.2
2 ND			337	96.4	98.8	9.8	75.7	9.2	21.1
3 RD			101	92.1	98.0	2.0	64.4	26.7	38.6
4 ^{TH+}			45	53.3	95.6	4.4	28.9	24.4	26.7
TOTAL			1533	95.4	98.8	65.8	24.1	4.6	9.7
STANISLAUS JUV		1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
MODESTO		1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER		YUBA CITY	1 ST	215	97.7	96.7	96.3	1.9	0.0
	2 ND		77	98.7	98.7	19.5	80.5	0.0	57.1
	3 RD		22	90.9	100.0	4.5	86.4	0.0	81.8
	4 ^{TH+}		7	85.7	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	85.7
	TOTAL		321	97.2	97.5	69.5	28.0	0.0	23.1
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	1 ST	168	96.4	98.2	91.1	4.2	0.0	6.0
		2 ND	45	95.6	100.0	26.7	68.9	0.0	26.7
		3 RD	11	100.0	100.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	36.4
		4 ^{TH+}	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	226	95.6	98.7	74.3	20.4	0.0	11.5

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
TRINITY	TRINITY	1 ST	29	86.2	96.6	69.0	3.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	13	84.6	84.6	0.0	61.5	0.0	76.9
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	43	86.0	93.0	46.5	20.9	0.0	25.6
TULARE	VISALIA	1 ST	752	96.7	31.9	92.8	2.4	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	248	96.4	93.1	11.3	83.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	61	91.8	96.7	1.6	78.7	0.0	3.3
		4 ^{TH+}	42	61.9	85.7	2.4	40.5	0.0	2.4
		TOTAL	1103	95.0	51.3	66.0	26.4	0.0	0.5
	PORTERVILLE	1 ST	447	96.6	91.5	92.4	2.0	0.0	3.1
		2 ND	169	95.3	94.1	10.1	79.9	0.0	7.1
		3 RD	41	82.9	95.1	0.0	82.9	0.0	14.6
		4 ^{TH+}	26	57.7	84.6	0.0	46.2	0.0	38.5
		TOTAL	683	94.0	92.1	63.0	27.8	0.0	6.1
	TULARE	1 ST	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	1 ST	152	96.7	13.2	42.1	1.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	69	100.0	81.2	10.1	59.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	13	76.9	84.6	7.7	7.7	0.0	15.4
		4 ^{TH+}	6	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	240	94.6	37.5	30.0	18.3	0.0	0.8
VENTURA	VENTURA JUV	1 ST	7	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA	1 ST	2127	97.3	97.8	94.5	2.5	0.0	9.4
		2 ND	492	95.1	98.6	9.6	83.5	0.0	81.7
		3 RD	108	87.0	96.3	3.7	86.1	0.0	85.2
		4 ^{TH+}	23	60.9	95.7	0.0	47.8	0.0	47.8
		TOTAL	2750	96.2	97.9	75.0	20.7	0.0	25.7

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
YOLO	YOLO	1 ST	298	96.0	94.6	83.9	3.7	0.0	1.3
		2 ND	88	97.7	95.5	10.2	81.8	0.0	48.9
		3 RD	29	100.0	89.7	10.3	82.8	0.0	72.4
		4 ^{TH+}	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	421	96.7	94.5	62.2	26.1	0.0	16.4
YUBA	YUBA	1 ST	173	96.0	66.5	95.4	0.0	0.0	1.2
		2 ND	48	100.0	83.3	22.9	77.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0
		4 ^{TH+}	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	234	96.2	71.8	75.2	20.1	0.0	1.3

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 3-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2018

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	MEAN 3-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
ARO													
No program	3,304 (39.8%)	26.9	34.9	2.4	18.59	0.31	0.14	0.013	0.51	0.1032	0.0276	0.0172	0.0903
Alcohol education program	4,989 (60.2%)	26.0	34.2	2.1	18.65	0.30	0.14	0.006	0.49	0.1045	0.0282	0.0158	0.0868
		$\chi^2 = 1.0$	$F = 5.8^*$	$\chi^2 = 0.5$	$F = 0.5$	$F = 0.4$	$F = 0.1$	$F = 8.9^*$	$F = 0.9$	$F = 4.7^*$	$F = 9.2^*$	$F = 63.5^*$	$F = 31.4^*$
FDO													
3-month program	19,870 (73.3%)	27.7	34.6	1.1	19.1	0.48	0.25	0.007	0.67	0.1068	0.0284	0.0158	0.0855
9-month program	7,234 (26.7%)	30.0	37.6	1.2	19.3	0.56	0.36	0.008	0.49	0.1066	0.0291	0.0157	0.0860
		$\chi^2 = 13.9^*$	$F = 295.9^*$	$\chi^2 = 0.5$	$F = 13.7^*$	$F = 66.6^*$	$F = 293.5^*$	$F = 1.2$	$F = 170.2^*$	$F = 0.4$	$F = 34.0^*$	$F = 0.2$	$F = 2.4$

Note. ARO = Alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders; FDO = First DUI offenders. For ARO, mean prior incidents were expressed for the prior 2 years.
 * $p < .05$.