STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

2003

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

JANUARY 2003

GRAY DAVIS
Governor

MARIA CONTRERAS-SWEET, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

STEVEN GOURLEY
Director

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2003

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 2003	Final Report

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
2003 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J. Helander

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Branch
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-03-198

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

In this twelfth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2000 and 2001 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the 1-year postconviction records of first- and second-DUI offenders over a time period of eleven years. The postconviction driving records of second-DUI offenders arrested in 1998 and 2000 were evaluated for 3- and 1-year periods respectively. Additional analyses were conducted on the effectiveness of alcohol education programs upon the 1-year postconviction records of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and on the effectiveness of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs on the 1-year postconviction records of first offenders.

14. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification

15. NUMBER OF PAGES
144

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1991 - 2001

	YEAR										
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Total DUI arrests[1]	312571	260150	233673	208844	200754	203794	193250	190098	190286	183955	178950
Felony DUI arrests	11220	9803	8738	7567	6642	6364	5612	5379	5269	5524	5711
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	301351	250347	224935	201277	194112	197430	187638	184719	185017	178431	173239
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest)[2]	224904[r]	189200[r]	170075[r]	152413[r]	149376[r]	149193[r]	141455[r]	142656[r]	147370[r]	139752[r]	130297
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 2002	72%	73%	73%	73%	74%	73%	73%	75%	77%[r]	76%[r]	73%
Estimated final DUI convictions[3]	225748	188116	168964	151550	148725	147153	139626	139245	143414	139147	138766
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	72%	72%	72%	72%	73%[6]	72%	72%	73%	75%	76%	77%
Total reckless driving convictions[4]	39386	34186	27835	27374	24516	25701	25879	22851	24020	22798	23783
Alcohol-involved reckless	27093	23675	18645	18246	16329	17446	16867	15945	15514	14465	15773
Total mandatory susp/rev[5]	373131[r]	308399	277447	243645	226158[r]	230600[r]	205462	238612	236141	240597	231217
Admin per se/refusal susp/rev	272273[r]	228790	209006	184045	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840
Postconviction susp/rev[8]	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257[r]	35951[7]	63247[7]	56809	67991	66377
Alcohol-involved fatalities	2048	1832	1569	1488	1343	1254	1100	1072	1170	1233	1308
% of total fatalities	44.1	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2	31.6	30.0	31.0	32.8	33.1	33.3
Alcohol-involved injuries	55779	48969	42936	39437	36961	35654	31189	30985	29833	30971	31806
% of total injuries	15.9	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1	11.9	10.9	10.7	10.3	10.2	10.4

[1]These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates (1.2% in 2001).

[2]These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

[3]These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

[4]These totals were taken from the Research DUI data file and the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

[5]Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.

[6]This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.

[7]The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

[8]These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1990 - 2000 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158[r]	230600[r]	205462[7]	238612[7]	236141	240597
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	142525[r]	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608[r]	9327[r]	11517	15640	17775	18185
.08 First-offender suspensions	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636[r]	122111[r]	114247	116827	119621	114997
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218[r]	43922[r]	39636	39024	38487	36147
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277
Commercial driver actions	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743[r]	4939[r]	4496	4609	4471	4139
Chemical test refusal actions	22915	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662	13264	11711[r]	11436[r]	10110	9935	9435	9433
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268	270
.08 Test refusal suspensions[9]	15128	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256	7022	6307[r]	6299[r]	5865	5832	5718	5886
.08 Test refusal revocations[9]	7787	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406	6063	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606
POSTCONVICTION[8]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769[7]	1026[7]	918	741
First-offender suspensions	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847[7]	9588[7]	15072	29924
Misdemeanor	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834[7]	7497[7]	13401	28118
Felony	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013[7]	2091[7]	1671	1806
Second-offender S/R actions	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945[7]	40238[7]	31940	29097
Misdemeanor	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532[7]	39065[7]	31455	28571
Felony	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413[7]	633[7]	485	526
Third-offender revocations	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569[7]	9397[7]	6573	6163
Misdemeanor	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471[7]	9167[7]	6452	6015
Felony	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98[7]	230[7]	121	148
Fourth-offender revocations	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821[7]	2998[7]	2306	2066
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257[r]	35951[7]	63247[7]	56809	67991

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2003 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 6.1% in 2001, the third consecutive annual increase after well over a decade of continuous decline.

- DUI arrests decreased by 2.7% in 2001, following a 3.8% decrease in 2000.

- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents rose by 2.7% in 2001, following a 3.8% increase in 2000 (which was the first such increase in 14 years). Since 1991, however, alcohol-involved injuries have dropped by 43%.

- 13.5% of all 2000 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.5% in 1999, 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. 44.1% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .163% in 2000 (same as the last several years), which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.

- Among 2001 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.7%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (31.3% in 2001). The ethnic distribution among DUI arrestees who are convicted fairly closely parallels the ethnic distribution of the arrestees.

- The average age of an arrested DUI offender in 2001 was 33.5 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).

- Among convicted DUI offenders in 2000, 74.8% were first offenders and 25.2% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.

- 10.1% of 2000 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is a decrease from 17.3% in 1999, 14.4% in 1998 and 15.8% in 1997.

- Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, continued to be the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among second DUI offenders. Similar to last year's findings, second offenders assigned to

ignition interlock, in addition to license restriction and alcohol treatment, did not show a significantly different 1-year DUI incident rate from that of the second offender alcohol treatment group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, and Kevin L. Nguyen, Staff Programmer Analyst, and David J. DeYoung, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigator and
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager III, Project Manager and Chief, Research & Development Branch.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1991-2001 i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2003 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v
INTRODUCTION 1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 10
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 22
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 29
EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS 36
Subject Selection and Data Collection 36
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures 39
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-2000 40
One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-2000 42
Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation 43
Results of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Program Evaluation 44
Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation 45
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS 48
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL 52
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 63
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975 64
REFERENCES 73
GLOSSARY 75

APPENDICES

NUMBER

A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450 77
B1 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY 79
B2 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE 94
B3 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT 103
B4 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND VARIABLES OFFENDER STATUS 113

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	144
B6	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS	144

LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1999-2001	7
2	2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	8
3a	2001 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	9
3b	2001 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	9
4	2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	15
5	MATCHABLE 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX	16
6	ADJUSTED 2000 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	16
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES	17
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	19
9a	2000 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS	20
9b	2000 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21	21
10	2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL	21
11	2000 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS	25
12	2000 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS	26
13	2000 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS	27
14a	ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION,1990-2000	41
14b	ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST	

AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-2000 42

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
15a	ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	43
15b	FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	44
15c	SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR	45
16	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES	46
17	MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1991-2001	44
18	ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	51
19	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE	55
20	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST	55
21	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE	56
22	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST	56
23	2000 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST	57
24a	2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX	58
24b	2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)	58
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS	59
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)	59
26a	2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	60
26b	2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)	60

27 1-, 3- AND 5-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS 62

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

NUMBER		PAGE
1	DUI management information system	3
2	DUI arrests 1991-2001	4
3	Percentage of 2001 DUI arrests and 2001 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity	6
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1991-2001	12
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity	15
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2000)	23
7a	Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000)	31
7b	Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000)	31
8a	Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000)	32
8b	Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000)	32
9a	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2000-2001 (fiscal year) alcohol-reckless drivers by type of sanction	33
9b	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of first offender drivers (arrested in year 2000) by length of alcohol education program	34
10a	Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1998 second offenders by type of sanction	35
10b	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2000 second offenders by type of sanction	35
11	Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1991-2001	49
12	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1991-2001	53

INTRODUCTION

This report is the twelfth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness." It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI

cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

Figure 1 . DUI management information system.



SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 1999-2001. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1999-2001 and the percentage change from 2000-2001 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2001 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2001 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2001 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2001 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1991 to 2001.



Figure 2 . DUI arrests 1991-2001.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

DUI arrests decreased by 2.7% in 2001, following a 3.8% decrease in 2000.

The per capita DUI arrest rate was 0.8% in 2001 (as it was in 2000, but down from 0.9% in 1997-99). This represents over a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.

Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) were up very slightly in 2001 (3.1% of all DUI arrests, compared to 3.0% in 2000), yet continue to constitute a relatively small proportion of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

21.7% of all 2001 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 43.2% of all arrests.

The 2001 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 5.9 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Ten counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Alameda, Orange, and Santa Clara (0.6), Calaveras, Contra Costa, Los Angeles, Mariposa, San Mateo, and Solano (0.7). Four counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Alpine (5.9), Trinity (2.6), Colusa and Tehama (2.2).

As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 2001. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage decline occurred in Orange (-13.8%) and Santa Clara (-13.0%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Modoc (-30.2%) San Benito (-25.4%), and Plumas (-21.8%). Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were Alpine (106.9%), Tehama (60.7%), and Yuba (49.9%).

Demographic Characteristics:

The average age of a DUI arrestee in 2001 was 33.5 years. After increasing each year during the early 1990's, the average age of a DUI arrestee has declined slightly each year since 1997. Roughly half (47.4%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.2%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.2% of all arrestees were over age 60.

Males comprised 84.8% of all 2001 DUI arrests. The proportion of females among DUI arrestees has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 15.2% in 2001.

In 2001, Hispanics (44.7%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2001 population parity of 31.3% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.0% of arrests, 6.7% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2001 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (42.6% of arrests, 49.7% of the population), and "Other" (6.3% of arrests, 12.4% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 2001 DUI arrests and 2001 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.

Among male 2001 DUI arrestees, 48.0% were Hispanic, 38.9% were White, 6.8% were Black, and 6.3% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 63.3% were White, 22.3% were Hispanic, 8.2% were Black, and 6.2% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.

In the following 7 counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2001: Tulare (76.3%), Merced (67.7%), Fresno (67.6%), San Benito (66.2%), Imperial (65.4%), Monterey (63.7%), and Madera (60.0%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.

The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.0 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.3 years.



Figure 3. Percentage of 2001 DUI arrests and 2001 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1999-2001

COUNTY	1999	2000	2001	% CHANGE 2000-2001
STATEWIDE	188523	181336	176490	-2.7
ALAMEDA	6611	5786	5916	2.2
ALPINE	23	29	60	106.9
AMADOR	207	230	233	1.3
BUTTE	1407	1406	1395	-0.8
CALAVERAS	299	237	235	-0.8
COLUSA	340	316	267	-15.5
CONTRA COSTA	4829	4619	4899	6.1
DEL NORTE	297	334	291	-12.9
EL DORADO	1203	1272	1123	-11.7
FRESNO	6434	5548	5442	-1.9
GLENN	285	296	320	8.1
HUMBOLDT	1248	1357	1349	-0.6
IMPERIAL	1659	1341	1321	-1.5
INYO	276	260	229	-11.9
KERN	4497	4493	4548	1.2
KINGS	1000	953	983	3.1
LAKE	639	701	814	16.1
LASSEN	252	279	259	-7.2
LOS ANGELES	43099	41547	38329	-7.7
MADERA	830	756	913	20.8
MARIN	1480	1305	1455	11.5
MARIPOSA	88	100	97	-3.0
MENDOCINO	851	869	786	-9.6
MERCED	1880	1659	1648	-0.7
MODOC	94	116	81	-30.2
MONO	110	119	137	15.1
MONTEREY	3213	3254	3194	-1.8
NAPA	1030	1073	1115	3.9
NEVADA	755	692	599	-13.4
ORANGE	15629	14002	12074	-13.8
PLACER	1547	1631	1708	4.7
PLUMAS	245	293	229	-21.8
RIVERSIDE	9484	8105	7858	-3.0
SACRAMENTO	7474	6918	7261	5.0
SAN BENITO	398	409	305	-25.4
SAN BERNARDINO	10397	10539	10674	1.3
SAN DIEGO	14461	14443	15193	5.2
SAN FRANCISCO	1246	1436	1754	22.1
SAN JOAQUIN	3604	3760	4364	16.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2265	2185	2298	5.2
SAN MATEO	3735	3572	3500	-2.0
SANTA BARBARA	3172	3211	2824	-12.1
SANTA CLARA	7660	8185	7119	-13.0
SANTA CRUZ	2065	1871	1744	-6.8
SHASTA	1412	1222	1164	-4.7
SIERRA	32	51	42	-17.6
SISKIYOU	399	398	380	-4.5
SOLANO	1771	1774	1700	-4.2
SONOMA	3345	3254	2775	-14.7
STANISLAUS	2505	2557	2230	-12.8
SUTTER	767	669	762	13.9
TEHAMA	512	527	847	60.7
TRINITY	168	234	257	9.8
TULARE	3127	3157	3169	0.4
TUOLUMNE	436	347	464	33.7
VENTURA	4169	3983	4008	0.6
YOLO	1214	1211	1082	-10.7
YUBA	348	445	667	49.9

TABLE 2: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	
STATEWIDE	176490	100.0	5557	3.1	1645	0.9	169288	95.9	0.8
ALAMEDA	5916	3.4	77	1.3	42	0.7	5797	98.0	0.6
ALPINE	60	0.0	2	3.3	0	0.0	58	96.7	5.9
AMADOR	233	0.1	8	3.4	5	2.1	220	94.4	0.9
BUTTE	1395	0.8	24	1.7	21	1.5	1350	96.8	1.0
CALAVERAS	235	0.1	8	3.4	1	0.4	226	96.2	0.7
COLUSA	267	0.2	5	1.9	2	0.7	260	97.4	2.2
CONTRA COSTA	4899	2.8	96	2.0	85	1.7	4718	96.3	0.7
DEL NORTE	291	0.2	12	4.1	0	0.0	279	95.9	1.7
EL DORADO	1123	0.6	53	4.7	9	0.8	1061	94.5	0.9
FRESNO	5442	3.1	193	3.5	52	1.0	5197	95.5	1.2
GLENN	320	0.2	16	5.0	5	1.6	299	93.4	1.8
HUMBOLDT	1349	0.8	38	2.8	34	2.5	1277	94.7	1.4
IMPERIAL	1321	0.7	33	2.5	17	1.3	1271	96.2	1.5
INYO	229	0.1	13	5.7	4	1.7	212	92.6	1.6
KERN	4548	2.6	107	2.4	72	1.6	4369	96.1	1.2
KINGS	983	0.6	13	1.3	14	1.4	956	97.3	1.6
LAKE	814	0.5	19	2.3	15	1.8	780	95.8	1.9
LASSEN	259	0.1	9	3.5	5	1.9	245	94.6	1.4
LOS ANGELES	38329	21.7	1549	4.0	146	0.4	36634	95.6	0.7
MADERA	913	0.5	24	2.6	4	0.4	885	96.9	1.3
MARIN	1455	0.8	17	1.2	9	0.6	1429	98.2	0.8
MARIPOSA	97	0.1	6	6.2	0	0.0	91	93.8	0.7
MENDOCINO	786	0.4	24	3.1	18	2.3	744	94.7	1.2
MERCED	1648	0.9	57	3.5	22	1.3	1569	95.2	1.4
MODOC	81	0.0	3	3.7	1	1.2	77	95.1	1.3
MONO	137	0.1	6	4.4	2	1.5	129	94.2	1.5
MONTEREY	3194	1.8	79	2.5	44	1.4	3071	96.1	1.3
NAPA	1115	0.6	34	3.0	11	1.0	1070	96.0	1.3
NEVADA	599	0.3	14	2.3	6	1.0	579	96.7	0.8
ORANGE	12074	6.8	232	1.9	83	0.7	11759	97.4	0.6
PLACER	1708	1.0	54	3.2	17	1.0	1637	95.8	0.9
PLUMAS	229	0.1	3	1.3	12	5.2	214	93.4	1.3
RIVERSIDE	7858	4.5	243	3.1	62	0.8	7553	96.1	0.8
SACRAMENTO	7261	4.1	370	5.1	88	1.2	6803	93.7	0.9
SAN BENITO	305	0.2	15	4.9	9	3.0	281	92.1	0.9
SAN BERNARDINO	10674	6.0	355	3.3	50	0.5	10269	96.2	1.0
SAN DIEGO	15193	8.6	417	2.7	173	1.1	14603	96.1	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1754	1.0	102	5.8	8	0.5	1644	93.7	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4364	2.5	105	2.4	56	1.3	4203	96.3	1.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2298	1.3	57	2.5	47	2.0	2194	95.5	1.3
SAN MATEO	3500	2.0	77	2.2	30	0.9	3393	96.9	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	2824	1.6	69	2.4	29	1.0	2726	96.5	1.0
SANTA CLARA	7119	4.0	246	3.5	63	0.9	6810	95.7	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	1744	1.0	45	2.6	33	1.9	1666	95.5	1.0
SHASTA	1164	0.7	45	3.9	21	1.8	1098	94.3	0.9
SIERRA	42	0.0	4	9.5	0	0.0	38	90.5	1.6
SISKIYOU	380	0.2	8	2.1	9	2.4	363	95.5	1.1
SOLANO	1700	1.0	50	2.9	27	1.6	1623	95.5	0.7
SONOMA	2775	1.6	80	2.9	34	1.2	2661	95.9	0.8
STANISLAUS	2230	1.3	132	5.9	36	1.6	2062	92.5	0.8
SUTTER	762	0.4	31	4.1	6	0.8	725	95.1	1.4
TEHAMA	847	0.5	29	3.4	10	1.2	808	95.4	2.2
TRINITY	257	0.1	13	5.1	1	0.4	243	94.6	2.6
TULARE	3169	1.8	73	2.3	33	1.0	3063	96.7	1.5
TUOLUMNE	464	0.3	12	2.6	3	0.6	449	96.8	1.1
VENTURA	4008	2.3	99	2.5	40	1.0	3869	96.5	0.8
YOLO	1082	0.6	27	2.5	15	1.4	1040	96.1	1.0
YUBA	667	0.4	25	3.7	4	0.6	638	95.7	1.8

TABLE 3a: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	176490	100.0	149653	84.8	26837	15.2	75199	42.6	77793	44.1	12395	7.0	11103	6.3
UNDER 18	1645	0.9	1358	82.6	287	17.4	894	54.3	623	37.9	43	2.6	85	5.2
18-20	14075	8.0	12176	86.5	1899	13.5	6033	42.9	6542	46.5	579	4.1	921	6.5
21-30	68009	38.5	58924	86.6	9085	13.4	24013	35.3	35629	52.4	3871	5.7	4496	6.6
31-40	47230	26.8	39570	83.8	7660	16.2	19256	40.8	21381	45.3	3814	8.1	2779	5.9
41-50	30305	17.2	24702	81.5	5603	18.5	15635	51.6	9975	32.9	2767	9.1	1928	6.4
51-60	11300	6.4	9543	84.5	1757	15.5	6769	59.9	2860	25.3	976	8.6	695	6.2
61-70	3021	1.7	2592	85.8	429	14.2	1938	64.2	647	21.4	280	9.3	156	5.2
71 & ABOVE	905	0.5	788	87.1	117	12.9	661	73.0	136	15.0	65	7.2	43	4.8
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.5		33.3		34.4		35.4		31.3		36.0		33.2	

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		176490	100.0	75199	42.6	77793	44.1	12395	7.0	11103	6.3
MALE	UNDER 18	1358	0.9	690	50.8	570	42.0	35	2.6	63	4.6
	18-20	12176	8.1	4836	39.7	6088	50.0	491	4.0	761	6.3
	21-30	58924	39.4	18904	32.1	33086	56.2	3188	5.4	3746	6.4
	31-40	39570	26.4	14423	36.4	19675	49.7	3091	7.8	2381	6.0
	41-50	24702	16.5	11779	47.7	9028	36.5	2218	9.0	1677	6.8
	51-60	9543	6.4	5435	57.0	2632	27.6	849	8.9	627	6.6
	61-70	2592	1.7	1585	61.1	600	23.1	263	10.1	144	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	788	0.5	560	71.1	126	16.0	63	8.0	39	4.9
	TOTAL	149653	100.0	58212	38.9	71805	48.0	10198	6.8	9438	6.3
FEMALE	UNDER 18	287	1.1	204	71.1	53	18.5	8	2.8	22	7.7
	18-20	1899	7.1	1197	63.0	454	23.9	88	4.6	160	8.4
	21-30	9085	33.9	5109	56.2	2543	28.0	683	7.5	750	8.3
	31-40	7660	28.5	4833	63.1	1706	22.3	723	9.4	398	5.2
	41-50	5603	20.9	3856	68.8	947	16.9	549	9.8	251	4.5
	51-60	1757	6.5	1334	75.9	228	13.0	127	7.2	68	3.9
	61-70	429	1.6	353	82.3	47	11.0	17	4.0	12	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	117	0.4	101	86.3	10	8.5	2	1.7	4	3.4
	TOTAL	26837	100.0	16987	63.3	5988	22.3	2197	8.2	1665	6.2

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "2000 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2000, who were subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 2000 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2000 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2000 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2000 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 2000 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1991-2001" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average

(mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2000 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2000 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 2000 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 2000 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2000 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (80.2%) than on abstracts of conviction, those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 54.3% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2000 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1991 to 2001, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Estimated final conviction rate	72%	72%	72%	72%	73%	72%	72%	73%	75%	76%	77%

Note . Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1991-2001."

Figure 4 . DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1991-2001.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- The estimated DUI conviction rate for 2001 arrestees (77%) increased slightly from previous years.

- 10.1% of 2000 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 17.8% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related on the abstracts.

- 1.5% of 2000 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, down slightly from the previous several years.

- 10.1% of 2000 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 17.3% in 1999, 14.4% in 1998, 15.8% in 1997, 18.5% in 1996, 16.3% in 1995, 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 2000, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.163%, which is the same as the last several years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%.

- Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.159% BAC for a first offense to a 0.182% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.

- Among 2000 convicted DUI offenders, 74.8% were first offenders, 20.0% were second offenders, 4.2% were third offenders, and 1.0% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (25.2%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).

- The average (mean) adjudication time lags were 3.0 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 3.1 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling over 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update is similar to that in prior years.

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 2000 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 92.9% in Orange and 89.3% in Ventura to a low of 60.8% in Fresno. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost a quarter of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 74.0%.

- Among the smaller counties, 2000 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 93.9% in Tuolumne to a low of 38.5% in Trinity.

- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over 25% in Del Norte County to 0% in Alpine, Marin and Ventura counties.

- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 9.8%. Seven counties had rates of 5% or more: San Francisco, Glenn, Alpine, Amador, Lassen, Calaveras, and Mariposa.

- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 9.8%. Sierra, San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, Alpine and Amador counties had rates of 3% or more.

- In 3 counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Trinity, Sutter, and Imperial. Twenty-five counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Mono, Shasta, Tehama, and Tuolumne at 0%.

Variation by Court:

- As was true for prior years, the 2000 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.

- Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 7.5 months in the Brawley court (Imperial County) to a low of 1.4 months for the Santa Maria court (Santa Barbara County). Interestingly, the busiest DUI court in the state, Los Angeles Metro, had a time lag from arrest to conviction of only 1.6 months.

- Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all other dispositions resulting from DUI arrests, was about 10% in 2000 (slightly up from last year). Several counties adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions, including Del Norte, Plumas, and San Francisco.

- Statewide, 17.8% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 2000 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, compared to 21.1% in 1999, 19.6% in 1998 and 21% in 1997. In Alpine County, 6.9% of all 2000 DUI arrests resulted in a reckless driving conviction, all of them inappropriately designated as nonalcohol.

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 2000 was 34.6 years.

- 43% of 2000 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 72% were 40 years or younger.

- Females comprised 15.1% of all 2000 convicted DUI offenders. The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1993.

- The racial/ethnic distribution of 2000 DUI convictions (White = 44.1%; Hispanic = 43.2%; Black = 6.2%; "Other" = 6.6%) generally paralleled that of 2000 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely, and Blacks somewhat less likely, to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).



Figure 5 . Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	138349	100.0	117446	84.9	20903	15.1
UNDER 18	361	0.3	316	87.5	45	12.5
18-20	7384	5.3	6415	86.9	969	13.1
21-30	51333	37.1	44680	87.0	6653	13.0
31-40	40612	29.4	34072	83.9	6540	16.1
41-50	25815	18.7	20983	81.3	4832	18.7
51-60	9433	6.8	8029	85.1	1404	14.9
61-70	2672	1.9	2315	86.6	357	13.4
71 & ABOVE	739	0.5	636	86.1	103	13.9
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.6		34.4		35.6	

*County-specific tabulations of 2000 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)															
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER			
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	118127	100.0	40376	34.2	11730	9.9	47092	39.9	3862	3.3	6017	5.1	1286	1.1	6558	5.6	1206	1.0
UNDER 18	594	0.5	280	47.1	67	11.3	188	31.6	18	3.0	5	0.8	1	0.2	30	5.1	5	0.8
18-20	8423	7.1	3063	36.4	763	9.1	3548	42.1	298	3.5	256	3.0	34	0.4	388	4.6	73	0.9
21-30	43702	37.0	12901	29.5	3382	7.7	20854	47.7	1569	3.6	1763	4.0	354	0.8	2357	5.4	522	1.2
31-40	33759	28.6	10721	31.8	3631	10.8	13609	40.3	1110	3.3	1975	5.9	505	1.5	1874	5.6	334	1.0
41-50	21105	17.9	8174	38.7	2723	12.9	6480	30.7	678	3.2	1270	6.0	309	1.5	1265	6.0	206	1.0
51-60	7707	6.5	3734	48.4	868	11.3	1859	24.1	153	2.0	504	6.5	56	0.7	483	6.3	50	0.6
61-70	2229	1.9	1152	51.7	222	10.0	451	20.2	31	1.4	200	9.0	24	1.1	135	6.1	14	0.6
71 & ABOVE	608	0.5	351	57.7	74	12.2	103	16.9	5	0.8	44	7.2	3	0.5	26	4.3	2	0.3

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2000 DUI CONVICTION RATES[1] AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD[2] OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.76	1.00	0.80	1.05	0.74	0.97	0.70	0.92	0.74	0.97
UNDER 18	0.46	0.60	0.50	0.66	0.41	0.54	0.16	0.21	0.47	0.62
18-20	0.70	0.91	0.74	0.97	0.68	0.90	0.56	0.74	0.60	0.78
21-30	0.74	0.97	0.80	1.05	0.71	0.93	0.67	0.88	0.71	0.94
31-40	0.78	1.03	0.81	1.06	0.77	1.01	0.74	0.97	0.77	1.01
41-50	0.80	1.05	0.81	1.06	0.82	1.07	0.72	0.94	0.79	1.03
51-60	0.81	1.07	0.82	1.07	0.83	1.08	0.73	0.96	0.83	1.09
61-70	0.82	1.07	0.81	1.06	0.86	1.13	0.80	1.05	0.81	1.06
71 & ABOVE	0.75	0.99	0.76	1.00	0.78	1.02	0.71	0.93	0.67	0.88

[1] Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

[2] Relative Likelihood = $\frac{\text{Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate}}{\text{Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate}}$

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[2]	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[3]/ UNCONST[4]	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	76.3%	135142	3207	14564	3093	2691	947/54	3.0	3.1
ALAMEDA	61.0%	3497	33	334	91	168	91/3	3.5	3.6
ALPINE	75.9%	20	2	0	2	1	0/0	4.8	1.4
AMADOR	73.9%	162	8	18	15	7	0/0	2.9	3.7
BUTTE	83.6%	1144	31	135	42	13	17/0	3.7	2.0
CALAVERAS	63.7%	146	5	22	13	5	2/0	2.6	1.4
COLUSA	69.6%	219	1	48	8	2	8/0	2.5	1.6
CONTRA COSTA	77.2%	3474	92	518	66	42	11/6	4.4	3.7
DEL NORTE	52.7%	169	7	86	7	9	3/0	2.5	4.3
EL DORADO	67.6%	805	55	76	22	5	13/1	3.3	4.6
FRESNO	60.8%	3283	90	809	80	53	53/1	4.5	5.2
GLENN	65.2%	189	4	23	23	3	0/1	2.2	3.2
HUMBOLDT	57.3%	745	32	161	45	10	3/1	3.5	5.9
IMPERIAL	54.8%	727	8	117	30	8	3/0	6.4	1.5
INYO	70.0%	181	1	29	7	1	0/0	2.7	3.1
KERN	81.1%	3556	87	415	92	90	35/1	2.0	3.1
KINGS	77.5%	715	24	88	8	12	8/0	2.6	2.0
LAKE	71.0%	482	16	63	3	6	8/1	4.4	6.2
LASSEN	78.1%	216	2	1	17	2	0/0	2.9	4.1
LOS ANGELES	74.0%	30297	439	3278	544	1768	78/16	2.5	1.9
MADERA	68.4%	502	15	69	22	3	0/0	3.6	2.5
MARIN	86.6%	1121	9	0	1	17	6/0	3.0	3.5
MARIPOSA[5]	86.0%	84	2	10	7	1	1/0	2.7	3.6
MENDOCINO	68.8%	581	17	137	36	14	4/0	2.5	6.4
MERCED	66.5%	1076	27	128	37	30	8/0	3.7	2.7
MODOC	62.9%	73	0	10	2	1	1/0	2.4	3.3
MONO	89.1%	104	2	13	0	0	2/0	2.2	1.9

[1]Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.

[2]This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

[3]These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

[4]These 2000 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

[5]The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES[1] - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[2]	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED[3]/ UNCONST[4]	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	81.9%	2630	35	278	125	23	7/0	1.7	4.4
NAPA	86.3%	901	25	97	26	5	3/1	2.7	3.1
NEVADA	81.9%	555	12	96	19	3	11/1	1.9	4.8
ORANGE	92.9%	12792	210	565	119	110	125/3	3.1	2.2
PLACER	84.2%	1345	29	42	30	10	6/0	3.2	3.1
PLUMAS	74.4%	215	3	53	8	4	1/0	2.4	2.9
RIVERSIDE	85.5%	6721	209	297	107	89	70/1	3.7	4.9
SACRAMENTO	68.6%	4475	268	666	304	72	11/1	3.2	6.7
SAN BENITO	78.7%	299	23	51	3	4	1/0	3.5	3.9
SAN BERNARDINO	72.4%	7337	293	617	131	306	133/0	4.4	1.5
SAN DIEGO	78.3%	11188	121	1079	163	204	5/4	2.7	4.3
SAN FRANCISCO	62.5%	881	16	243	141	17	24/1	3.0	0.8
SAN JOAQUIN	77.1%	2799	100	285	56	44	25/1	2.2	2.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	71.8%	1511	57	279	85	119	20/2	2.3	4.7
SAN MATEO	78.4%	2765	37	401	35	40	1/1	2.9	2.7
SANTA BARBARA	80.7%	2546	45	429	112	53	10/1	2.0	3.7
SANTA CLARA	85.2%	6757	218	601	94	87	0/1	3.2	1.6
SANTA CRUZ	76.6%	1402	31	276	68	34	7/1	1.9	4.8
SHASTA	88.9%	1037	49	85	51	0	6/0	2.8	4.4
SIERRA	56.9%	28	1	9	0	5	0/0	4.7	5.6
SISKIYOU	74.9%	281	17	37	8	4	10/0	3.6	2.6
SOLANO	80.2%	1403	20	193	20	15	21/1	2.9	3.5
SONOMA	73.0%	2260	117	559	47	40	18/0	2.9	4.9
STANISLAUS	63.8%	1573	58	237	62	16	0/1	2.9	4.0
SUTTER	44.7%	284	15	86	10	2	3/0	2.4	3.3
TEHAMA	87.9%	453	10	64	0	0	13/1	2.5	4.1
TRINITY	38.5%	87	3	24	8	5	0/0	3.1	3.6
TULARE	71.9%	2206	65	51	15	30	16/0	2.9	6.2
TUOLUMNE	93.9%	319	7	21	0	0	0/0	2.8	0.8
VENTURA	89.3%	3492	63	1	2	70	32/1	2.4	3.0
YOLO	65.9%	765	33	214	20	7	10/0	3.7	1.4
YUBA	61.8%	267	8	40	4	2	5/0	2.7	2.2

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[1]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION[2]
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	76.6	1.8	8.3	1.8	1.5	10.1
ALAMEDA	60.4	0.6	5.8	1.6	2.9	28.7
ALPINE	69.0	6.9	0.0	6.9	3.4	13.8
AMADOR	70.4	3.5	7.8	6.5	3.0	8.7
BUTTE	81.4	2.2	9.6	3.0	0.9	2.9
CALAVERAS	61.6	2.1	9.3	5.5	2.1	19.4
COLUSA	69.3	0.3	15.2	2.5	0.6	12.0
CONTRA COSTA	75.2	2.0	11.2	1.4	0.9	9.2
DEL NORTE	50.6	2.1	25.7	2.1	2.7	16.8
EL DORADO	63.3	4.3	6.0	1.7	0.4	24.3
FRESNO	59.2	1.6	14.6	1.4	1.0	22.2
GLENN	63.9	1.4	7.8	7.8	1.0	18.2
HUMBOLDT	54.9	2.4	11.9	3.3	0.7	26.8
IMPERIAL	54.2	0.6	8.7	2.2	0.6	33.6
INYO	69.6	0.4	11.2	2.7	0.4	15.8
KERN	79.1	1.9	9.2	2.0	2.0	5.6
KINGS	75.0	2.5	9.2	0.8	1.3	11.1
LAKE	68.8	2.3	9.0	0.4	0.9	18.7
LASSEN	77.4	0.7	0.4	6.1	0.7	14.7
LOS ANGELES	72.9	1.1	7.9	1.3	4.3	12.6
MADERA	66.4	2.0	9.1	2.9	0.4	19.2
MARIN	85.9	0.7	0.0	0.1	1.3	12.0
MARIPOSA[3]	59.6	1.4	7.1	5.0	0.7	26.2
MENDOCINO	66.9	2.0	15.8	4.1	1.6	9.7
MERCED	64.9	1.6	7.7	2.2	1.8	21.8
MODOC	62.9	0.0	8.6	1.7	0.9	25.9
MONO	87.4	1.7	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	80.8	1.1	8.5	3.8	0.7	5.0
NAPA	84.0	2.3	9.0	2.4	0.5	1.8
NEVADA	80.2	1.7	13.9	2.7	0.4	1.0
ORANGE	91.4	1.5	4.0	0.8	0.8	1.5
PLACER	82.5	1.8	2.6	1.8	0.6	10.7
PLUMAS	73.4	1.0	18.1	2.7	1.4	3.4
RIVERSIDE	82.9	2.6	3.7	1.3	1.1	8.4
SACRAMENTO	64.7	3.9	9.6	4.4	1.0	16.4
SAN BENITO	73.1	5.6	12.5	0.7	1.0	7.1
SAN BERNARDINO	69.6	2.8	5.9	1.2	2.9	17.6
SAN DIEGO	77.5	0.8	7.5	1.1	1.4	11.7
SAN FRANCISCO	61.4	1.1	16.9	9.8	1.2	9.6
SAN JOAQUIN	74.4	2.7	7.6	1.5	1.2	12.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	69.2	2.6	12.8	3.9	5.4	6.1
SAN MATEO	77.4	1.0	11.2	1.0	1.1	8.2
SANTA BARBARA	79.3	1.4	13.4	3.5	1.7	0.8
SANTA CLARA	82.6	2.7	7.3	1.1	1.1	5.2
SANTA CRUZ	74.9	1.7	14.8	3.6	1.8	3.2
SHASTA	84.9	4.0	7.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
SIERRA	54.9	2.0	17.6	0.0	9.8	15.7
SISKIYOU	70.6	4.3	9.3	2.0	1.0	12.8
SOLANO	79.1	1.1	10.9	1.1	0.8	6.9
SONOMA	69.5	3.6	17.2	1.4	1.2	7.1
STANISLAUS	61.5	2.3	9.3	2.4	0.6	23.9
SUTTER	42.5	2.2	12.9	1.5	0.3	40.7
TEHAMA	86.0	1.9	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
TRINITY	37.2	1.3	10.3	3.4	2.1	45.7
TULARE	69.9	2.1	1.6	0.5	1.0	25.0
TUOLUMNE	91.9	2.0	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	87.7	1.6	0.0	0.1	1.8	8.9
YOLO	63.2	2.7	17.7	1.7	0.6	14.2
YUBA	60.0	1.8	9.0	0.9	0.4	27.9

[1]The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

[2]These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.3%.

[3]The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9a: 2000 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	121	0.1	.01	14	0.1
.02	72	0.1	.02	15	0.1
.03	62	0.1	.03	21	0.2
.04	85	0.1	.04	28	0.3
.05	120	0.1	.05	71	0.6
.06	182	0.2	.06	173	1.5
.07	404	0.4	.07	572	5.1
.08	1821	1.7	.08	2699	24.0
.09	3341	3.0	.09	3455	30.7
.10	6136	5.6	.10	2017	17.9
.11	7921	7.2	.11	944	8.4
.12	8629	7.9	.12	385	3.4
.13	8936	8.1	.13	222	2.0
.14	8712	7.9	.14	153	1.4
.15	8571	7.8	.15	118	1.1
.16	8181	7.4	.16	92	0.8
.17	7400	6.7	.17	79	0.7
.18	6725	6.1	.18	43	0.4
.19	5923	5.4	.19	30	0.3
.20	5240	4.8	.20	30	0.3
.21	4436	4.0	.21	30	0.3
.22	3614	3.3	.22	16	0.1
.23	2927	2.7	.23	19	0.2
.24	2419	2.2	.24	8	0.1
.25	1843	1.7	.25	3	0.0
.26	1376	1.3	.26	4	0.0
.27	1081	1.0	.27	4	0.0
.28	900	0.8	.28	4	0.0
.29	674	0.6	.29	1	0.0
.30	518	0.5	.30	1	0.0
.31	389	0.4	.33	1	0.0
.32	301	0.3	.34	1	0.0
.33	209	0.2			
.34	167	0.2			
.35	127	0.1			
.36	111	0.1			
.37	86	0.1			
.38	66	0.1			
.39	34	0.0			
.40	31	0.0			
.41	22	0.0			
.42	15	0.0			
.43	12	0.0			
.44	12	0.0			
.45	10	0.0			
.46	3	0.0			
.47	2	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	2	0.0			
.50+	2	0.0			
	---	---		---	---
TOTAL	109972	100.0	TOTAL	11253	100.0
	MEAN BAC .163			MEAN BAC .095	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (80.2% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 54.3% showing BAC levels). This chart does not include 885 zero BAC levels.

TABLE 9b: 2000 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	55	0.9	.20	164	2.7
.02	16	0.3	.21	141	2.4
.03	12	0.2	.22	96	1.6
.04	21	0.4	.23	57	1.0
.05	34	0.6	.24	49	0.8
.06	49	0.8	.25	30	0.5
.07	104	1.7	.26	14	0.2
.08	216	3.6	.27	10	0.2
.09	333	5.6	.28	8	0.1
.10	490	8.2	.29	4	0.1
.11	597	10.0	.30	1	0.0
.12	572	9.5	.31	2	0.0
.13	580	9.7	.33	1	0.0
.14	543	9.1	.35	1	0.0
.15	494	8.2	.49	1	0.0
.16	441	7.4			
.17	320	5.3		------	-------
.18	291	4.9	TOTAL	6001	100.0
.19	254	4.2		MEAN BAC .137	

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 2000 convicted under age 21 cases is 82.6%.

TABLE 10: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.163	.161
1ST DUI	74.8	.159	.158
2ND DUI	20.0	.172	.169
3RD DUI	4.2	.180	.178
4TH+ DUI	1.0	.182	.177

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2000. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 2000 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2000 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as in Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 2000 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 2000. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.5%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (2.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 72.4% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.



Figure 6 . Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2000).

County Variation:

- The proportion of 2000 first-DUI offenders receiving a court or DMV postconviction suspension varied by county from 16.5% in Plumas County to 81.3% in Alpine County.

- Use of first DUI offender alcohol programs plus license restriction varies by county from over 70% in Plumas and Tuolumne counties to less than 10% in Tehama County.

- Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Amador, Contra Costa, Humboldt, Mariposa, Placer, and Tehama, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)

Court Variation:

- Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Santa Barbara County alone, one court (Santa Maria) assigned jail to 92.5% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 848), while another court (Lompoc) in the same county assigned jail to only 37.9% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 317).

- In Los Angeles County, three municipal courts (Burbank, Compton, and Lancaster) used jail as a sanction in 95% or more of their DUI sentences. On the other hand, six

other courts (Inglewood, Long Beach, Monrovia, Santa Monica, Malibu and Bellflower) used jail as a sanction in less than 30% of their DUI sentences.

- In 2000, Los Angeles was the only county with an active 30-month third offender treatment program. Even within this county, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality varied by court from highs of about 45% of third offenders sentenced in the Lancaster and Malibu courts to 0% of such offenders in many other municipal courts within Los Angeles County.

- Statewide, courts required only 2.3% of all convicted DUI offenders to install the ignition interlock device in 2000. This is down from 6.3% in 1997 and 1998, primarily because new legislation shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new "mandatory" interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- Less than 70% of 2000 first-DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.

- 87% of first DUI-offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 83% of second offenders, 55% of third offenders, and 29% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- 4.9% of first-DUI offenders and 11.1% of repeat-DUI offenders received court license suspensions in 2000. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30-day to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.

- Only 7.5% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 2000, down from 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. In spite of the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of "mandatory" assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old "mandatory" law.

TABLE 11: 2000 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	138349	96.5	72.4	66.2	18.1	0.2	74.4	6.5	2.3
1ST DUI	103629	97.3	65.1	85.3	2.1	0.0	80.7	4.9	0.6
2ND DUI	27394	96.1	94.0	11.0	71.9	0.2	66.2	10.1	7.1
3RD DUI	5815	91.2	94.2	3.7	49.0	2.6	18.9	16.1	10.5
4TH+ DUI	1511	64.9	94.7	2.0	25.6	1.2	5.2	13.4	3.7

*Entries represent percentages of 2000 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 2000 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL[2]	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL[2]	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION[1]	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	103629	39.7	0.2	0.1	55.6	1.0	3.3
ALAMEDA	2607	37.7	0.1	0.3	55.7	0.9	5.2
ALPINE	16	81.3	0.0	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	112	40.2	0.0	0.0	40.2	0.0	19.6
BUTTE	840	35.6	0.0	0.2	60.7	1.3	2.1
CALAVERAS	112	50.9	0.0	0.0	48.2	0.0	0.9
COLUSA	156	42.3	3.8	0.0	52.6	1.3	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	2620	28.4	0.1	0.1	58.2	1.1	12.2
DEL NORTE	121	38.0	0.0	0.0	57.0	2.5	2.5
EL DORADO	597	36.0	0.5	0.2	58.3	2.7	2.3
FRESNO	2314	43.3	0.0	0.1	53.7	1.7	1.1
GLENN	136	39.7	0.0	0.0	52.2	1.5	6.6
HUMBOLDT	589	29.4	0.0	0.2	42.8	8.0	19.7
IMPERIAL	597	32.0	0.0	0.3	61.6	1.2	4.9
INYO	133	34.6	0.0	0.0	55.6	0.8	9.0
KERN	2695	50.0	0.1	0.4	44.0	0.4	5.1
KINGS	549	53.9	1.5	0.2	41.3	1.1	2.0
LAKE	341	40.2	0.3	0.0	54.8	2.9	1.8
LASSEN	162	34.6	0.6	0.0	59.3	0.6	4.9
LOS ANGELES	23827	40.6	0.1	0.1	54.8	0.7	3.7
MADERA	389	61.7	0.5	0.3	34.4	2.3	0.8
MARIN	907	33.8	0.1	0.0	59.2	1.4	5.4
MARIPOSA	48	45.8	0.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	12.5
MENDOCINO	432	44.0	1.4	0.2	46.5	1.4	6.5
MERCED	774	35.7	0.0	0.1	56.3	1.7	6.2
MODOC	53	43.4	0.0	0.0	39.6	7.5	9.4
MONO	82	39.0	0.0	0.0	58.5	1.2	1.2
MONTEREY	1994	67.8	0.1	0.2	30.5	0.5	0.9
NAPA	689	48.0	0.0	0.3	47.3	1.0	3.3
NEVADA	429	34.5	0.0	0.2	62.5	0.9	1.9
ORANGE	10002	36.9	0.1	0.3	61.3	0.7	0.8
PLACER	1052	39.1	0.0	0.0	40.6	2.2	18.2
PLUMAS	164	16.5	0.0	0.0	78.7	3.7	1.2
RIVERSIDE	5119	34.3	0.0	0.1	61.7	1.1	2.8
SACRAMENTO	3294	47.5	0.9	0.0	49.1	0.8	1.7
SAN BENITO	226	61.1	0.0	0.0	36.7	1.8	0.4
SAN BERNARDINO	5790	31.9	0.0	0.1	65.9	1.3	0.9
SAN DIEGO	8711	28.5	0.3	0.1	67.3	1.3	2.6
SAN FRANCISCO	715	46.0	0.0	0.0	52.7	0.7	0.6
SAN JOAQUIN	2033	44.2	0.2	0.0	53.8	0.5	1.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1134	39.2	0.2	0.2	58.4	0.9	1.1
SAN MATEO	2164	43.3	0.0	0.1	53.1	0.4	3.1
SANTA BARBARA	1953	43.8	0.1	0.1	52.2	0.4	3.5
SANTA CLARA	5107	44.3	0.4	0.1	50.4	1.1	3.7
SANTA CRUZ	1019	50.9	0.2	0.1	46.6	0.6	1.6
SHASTA	755	38.0	0.4	0.3	58.1	1.6	1.6
SIERRA	21	38.1	0.0	0.0	52.4	9.5	0.0
SISKIYOU	196	54.6	0.0	0.0	41.8	1.0	2.6
SOLANO	1039	35.3	0.0	0.5	62.6	0.6	1.1
SONOMA	1672	50.5	0.4	0.1	45.7	0.3	3.0
STANISLAUS	1170	45.3	0.0	0.3	51.4	1.0	2.1
SUTTER	223	36.8	0.9	0.4	61.4	0.0	0.4
TEHAMA	330	46.7	1.2	0.0	7.9	1.2	43.0
TRINITY	48	45.8	0.0	0.0	52.1	2.1	0.0
TULARE	1634	48.2	0.1	0.4	46.0	1.3	4.0
TUOLUMNE	222	26.6	0.5	0.0	71.2	0.9	0.9
VENTURA	2770	40.3	0.6	0.1	57.5	0.8	0.6
YOLO	568	40.5	0.0	0.5	54.2	1.6	3.2
YUBA	177	37.3	0.0	0.0	58.8	3.4	0.6

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.

[1]Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

[2]The number of offenders in both categories (no license action in either group) has decreased considerably since courts implemented the provisions of AB 762. This law requires that the licenses of all first offenders be suspended or restricted upon a DUI conviction, in addition to other penalties.

TABLE 13: 2000 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
	N	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*
STATEWIDE	34720	25.6	20.8	53.6	27394	47.6	50.8	1.6	5815	71.7	26.2	2.1	1511
ALAMEDA	923	17.0	26.6	56.4	706	40.5	56.4	3.1	163	70.4	27.8	1.9	54
ALPINE	6	20.0	40.0	40.0	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
AMADOR	58	26.7	51.1	22.2	45	20.0	80.0	0.0	10	66.7	33.3	0.0	3
BUTTE	335	24.7	22.6	52.7	243	27.1	72.9	0.0	70	54.5	45.5	0.0	22
CALAVERAS	39	16.7	30.0	53.3	30	25.0	75.0	0.0	4	80.0	20.0	0.0	5
COLUSA	64	28.0	20.0	52.0	50	90.9	9.1	0.0	11	33.3	66.7	0.0	3
CONTRA COSTA	946	15.3	20.4	64.3	737	59.9	40.1	0.0	172	59.5	40.5	0.0	37
DEL NORTE	55	35.1	18.9	45.9	37	25.0	75.0	0.0	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	6
EL DORADO	263	21.4	35.3	43.3	201	44.7	53.2	2.1	47	73.3	20.0	6.7	15
FRESNO	1059	21.8	21.2	57.0	786	48.4	50.2	1.4	215	82.8	8.6	8.6	58
GLENN	57	43.5	19.6	37.0	46	54.5	45.5	0.0	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
HUMBOLDT	188	35.8	16.2	48.0	148	57.1	42.9	0.0	35	80.0	20.0	0.0	5
IMPERIAL	138	48.3	14.7	37.1	116	42.1	52.6	5.3	19	100.0	0.0	0.0	3
INYO	49	21.6	13.5	64.9	37	45.5	54.5	0.0	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
KERN	948	62.7	13.5	23.8	748	81.6	17.7	0.6	158	90.5	7.1	2.4	42
KINGS	190	50.6	16.0	33.3	156	88.9	7.4	3.7	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	7
LAKE	157	32.8	20.0	47.2	125	77.8	22.2	0.0	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
LASSEN	56	25.6	18.6	55.8	43	66.7	33.3	0.0	9	25.0	75.0	0.0	4
LOS ANGELES	6909	18.6	17.4	64.0	5577	43.6	53.8	2.6	1094	86.1	11.8	2.1	238
MADERA	128	31.3	9.1	59.6	99	63.6	31.8	4.5	22	85.7	14.3	0.0	7
MARIN	223	21.3	20.2	58.5	188	89.3	7.1	3.6	28	57.1	42.9	0.0	7
MARIPOSA	38	75.0	6.3	18.8	32	33.3	66.7	0.0	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MENDOCINO	166	36.2	21.7	42.0	138	22.7	77.3	0.0	22	50.0	33.3	16.7	6
MERCED	329	23.5	21.5	55.0	251	48.6	48.6	2.9	70	62.5	37.5	0.0	8
MODOC	20	64.7	5.9	29.4	17	100.0	0.0	0.0	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
MONO	24	12.5	25.0	62.5	16	33.3	66.7	0.0	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
MONTEREY	671	36.3	22.7	41.0	502	62.2	37.1	0.7	143	80.8	19.2	0.0	26
NAPA	237	15.2	20.7	64.1	184	25.6	74.4	0.0	39	71.4	28.6	0.0	14
NEVADA	138	20.6	22.4	57.0	107	51.9	44.4	3.7	27	75.0	25.0	0.0	4

Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also receive fine and probation.
*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 2000 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
	N	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*	%	%	%	*N*
ORANGE	3000	13.9	27.2	58.8	2470	17.9	78.2	3.9	436	53.2	43.6	3.2	94
PLACER	322	27.6	25.6	46.7	246	38.7	58.1	3.2	62	71.4	28.6	0.0	14
PLUMAS	54	23.8	28.6	47.6	42	36.4	63.6	0.0	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	1
RIVERSIDE	1811	21.3	19.0	59.7	1362	27.2	71.9	0.9	334	40.0	60.0	0.0	115
SACRAMENTO	1449	50.7	12.0	37.3	1017	72.1	25.7	2.2	315	75.2	22.2	2.6	117
SAN BENITO	96	44.0	26.2	29.8	84	77.8	22.2	0.0	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	3
SAN BERNARDINO	1840	24.7	20.3	55.0	1476	53.7	45.6	0.7	270	71.3	26.6	2.1	94
SAN DIEGO	2598	25.1	24.3	50.6	2133	39.7	59.5	0.8	380	77.6	20.0	2.4	85
SAN FRANCISCO	182	19.2	28.5	52.3	151	55.2	44.8	0.0	29	0.0	100.0	0.0	2
SAN JOAQUIN	866	20.7	18.4	60.8	641	66.3	33.7	0.0	172	67.9	32.1	0.0	53
SAN LUIS OBISPO	434	14.7	24.2	61.1	347	18.0	80.3	1.6	61	69.2	30.8	0.0	26
SAN MATEO	638	20.8	44.0	35.3	530	27.6	72.4	0.0	98	60.0	40.0	0.0	10
SANTA BARBARA	638	44.4	20.5	35.0	511	50.0	48.1	1.9	108	63.2	36.8	0.0	19
SANTA CLARA	1868	36.1	19.3	44.5	1489	65.2	34.5	0.3	313	80.3	19.7	0.0	66
SANTA CRUZ	414	35.1	17.4	47.5	322	94.2	5.8	0.0	69	91.3	8.7	0.0	23
SHASTA	331	22.8	24.8	52.4	250	56.9	43.1	0.0	58	73.9	13.0	13.0	23
SIERRA	8	71.4	14.3	14.3	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
SISKIYOU	102	49.4	18.1	32.5	83	38.9	61.1	0.0	18	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
SOLANO	384	14.5	18.3	67.2	317	31.5	63.0	5.6	54	38.5	61.5	0.0	13
SONOMA	705	32.7	16.1	51.2	529	80.3	18.1	1.6	127	100.0	0.0	0.0	49
STANISLAUS	461	31.5	19.2	49.3	359	33.3	64.2	2.5	81	47.6	52.4	0.0	21
SUTTER	76	16.4	52.5	31.1	61	50.0	50.0	0.0	14	0.0	100.0	0.0	1
TEHAMA	133	33.6	15.9	50.5	107	57.9	42.1	0.0	19	100.0	0.0	0.0	7
TRINITY	42	45.5	0.0	54.5	33	71.4	28.6	0.0	7	50.0	50.0	0.0	2
TULARE	637	36.1	18.7	45.2	487	45.0	54.1	0.9	111	61.5	35.9	2.6	39
TUOLUMNE	104	15.4	23.1	61.5	78	93.8	6.3	0.0	16	100.0	0.0	0.0	10
VENTURA	785	19.6	20.2	60.2	638	25.8	72.5	1.7	120	37.0	51.9	11.1	27
YOLO	230	21.1	22.8	56.1	171	26.5	73.5	0.0	49	80.0	20.0	0.0	10
YUBA	98	22.9	21.7	55.4	83	78.6	21.4	0.0	14	100.0	0.0	0.0	1

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to, 1) first and second DUI offenders over a time period of eleven years,[1] 2) DUI offenders convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving (with a one-year follow-up period), and 3) a new analysis of first DUI offenders referred to 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs (one-year follow-up period). The effectiveness of alternative sanctions for the alcohol-reckless and first and second offenders is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, but also all reckless driving [alcohol or non-alcohol] and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions, and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA).

Displayed below, in Figures 7a and 7b, are proportions of DUI recidivist incidents over time from 1990 through 2000; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses for first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) from previous DUI-MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. There are typically three variants of first-offender DUI alcohol education/treatment program sanctions, and these were collapsed together into a single sanction group for ease of viewing and interpretation. This year, these figures exclude the jail group (no program referral and no license action) because since July, 1999, by law, the licenses of first offenders are either suspended or restricted, and if probation is granted, they are referred to alcohol education program. Figures 7a and 7b do not address total accidents, which are displayed in Figures 8a and 8b. They display covariate-adjusted data which are described below.

Figures 9a and 9b which also display covariate-adjusted data, as described below, show the proportion of total accident- or DUI-incident-involved alcohol-reckless offenders for the period 7/00 - 6/01 (1-year follow-up period), and first offenders who were referred to 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs for the same 1-year subsequent period. Figures 10a and 10b show similar covariate-adjusted accident and DUI-incident data for second offenders arrested in 1998 and 2000, with subsequent time periods of 3 years and 1 year, respectively. The evaluation for second-DUI offenders is reported here because the ignition interlock sanction is not imposed on all second offenders, and its assessment may contribute to clarifying and perhaps modifying current sanctioning policy. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this bill requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol/drug education program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education/counseling program for first offenders was required by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts are

[1] Third-or-more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for these offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.

required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than .20%, by weight, to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of .20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. The reader is cautioned that license suspension (as assessed in this study) refers to postconviction suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7a, 7b, 8a, and 8b, the following conclusions can be drawn about first- and second-offender sanctions from 1990 to 2000:

- One-year recidivism rates for both first-offender sanction groups increased in 2000 but continued to remain at the lower rates of the last six years. Comparing these rates to the higher rates of 1990, reductions in DUI reoffenses were equivalent to 29.6% for the suspended group and 14.3% for the combined first-offender DUI treatment group.

- The one-year reoffense rates for the second-offender sanction groups increased slightly for two groups but overall remained at the lower levels of the past six years; recividism decreased (from 1990 to 2000) by 37.6% for the suspended group, 30.8% for the SB 38/license restriction group, and 39.1% for the "other" group.

- Overall, subsequent one-year accident rates have declined from 1990 to 2000 for second offenders, but the rates have leveled out in recent years, with slight increases in the rates of all of the sanction groups in the past two years. The accident rates in 2000 for both first offender groups have increased slightly beyond the 1990 accident rates by 4.2% and 1.6%.

- The relationship between type of sanction and subsequent DUI reoffense rate has remained relatively constant for first offenders since 1990, with the alcohol treatment and license suspension groups exhibiting similar reoffense rates.



Figure 7a . Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000).



Figure 7b . Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000).



Figure 8a . Adjusted percentages of first-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000).



Figure 8b . Adjusted percentages of second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-2000).

Based on the data portrayed in Figure 9a, the following conclusions can be made about the impact of alcohol education programs upon offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving (those arrested for DUI but convicted of the reduced charge of reckless driving).

- As was evident last year, subsequent one-year accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders did not vary significantly between those assigned to an alcohol education program and the non-participants. However, in contrast to last year, the subsequent DUI offense rate of the program participants was not significantly lower than that of the non-participants.

Figure 9b displays the one-year accident and DUI-related incident rates for those referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. The following tentative conclusions can be made about the evaluation of length of alcohol education programs for first offenders.

- The one-year accident rates of first offenders attending the 3-month program did not vary significantly from those attending 6–month programs. However, the subsequent DUI offense rate of the short-term program participants was significantly lower than that of the long-term participants.



Figure 9a . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2000-2001 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction.



Figure 9b . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of first offender drivers (arrested in year 2000) by length of alcohol education program.

Based on the data represented in Figures 10a and 10b, which address total accidents as well as DUI-related incidents, the following conclusions can be drawn about second-offender sanctions:

- Consistent with ten previous DUI-MIS reports, but contrary to earlier California studies, including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 2000 do not have statistically significant lower total accident rates than do those offenders assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This finding is probably due to the implementation of administrative per se license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year. However, for the longer 3-year follow-up period, the 1998 suspended group had significantly lower total accident rates than those of the SB 38 and "other" second offenders.

- In 1998-2000, second offenders who were suspended had statistically significantly higher proportions of DUI incidents in the subsequent 3-year and 1-year periods (respectively) than did those who received the SB 38 program and license restriction sanction. The percentage increases associated with the license suspension group, relative to the SB 38 program license restriction group, for the two years (1998 and 2000) were 20.6% and 29.9%, respectively.



Figure 10a . Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1998 second offenders by type of sanction.



Figure 10b . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2000 second offenders by type of sanction.

- Similar to findings of previous evaluations, the 2000 SB 38 program/restriction sanction group had significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than those of the suspended and "other" second offender groups. Similar to last year's evaluation, the recidivism rate of the ignition interlock group was not significantly different than the rate of the SB 38 group without ignition interlock; however, in the 3-year follow-up period of the 1998 analysis, the rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than the rate of the SB 38 group.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data for first and second DUI offenders were compiled from ten previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. Additional follow-up data for the following groups were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

1) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1998 second offenders who were previously evaluated in the 2001 DUI-MIS report.

2) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI second offenders who were arrested for DUI in 2000.

3) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2000 through June, 2001.

4) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted first offenders who were arrested for DUI in 2000, and who were referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, separate analyses of the 1989 through 1997, and 1999, DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation. Although in previous reports, results of the 3-year follow-up data from the 1993, 1994, 1995 and 1996 files were included, they are not reported this year because the results are somewhat outdated, and the 3-year follow-up data for the 1998 second offenders provides sufficient longer term results. An additional analysis was conducted in which the records of the 1998, 1999 and 2000 1-year follow-up period were combined to increase the size of the sanction groups for more power.

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI offense are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 2000. For this year's report, subjects selected for evaluation were: 1) first-DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI

offenses in the past seven years, and 4) first-DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other second-offender groups. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented 17.8% of the original convicted-offender file.

Court sanctions are reported to, and recorded by, DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. Prior to AB 762 (effective 7/1/99), a convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, which include jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of all previous and current analyses (prior to 7/1/99), the following conventions were used for first offenders:

1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;

2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and

3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI and alcohol-reckless offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July 1990, virtually all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest, so only non-APS suspension was considered. Beginning July 1, 1999, under AB 762, courts may no longer discretionarily impose a six-month license suspension for first offenders under the probation option with the 48-hour jail term; this suspension is now mandatory. This means that last year's "jail-only" group now has its licenses suspended, and license restriction or suspension would be imposed on drivers in the program-jail group. These changes have become increasingly evident in this year's data as reflected in the diminished size of both the jail and program/jail groups, and an increased number of first offenders in the suspended group. For this reason, the very small "jail" group was not included, and the remaining program/jail group was merged in with the program participants. For the 1990-2000 overview analysis presented in this year's report, the three treatment program groups were combined into one group, and compared with suspended first offenders. In the evaluation of the

length of time of first offender programs, only first offenders who showed the 3-month and 6-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for this analysis. Unfortunately, there were many first offenders who were referred to the alcohol education programs that did not display the specific length of time, and were not included in this evaluation. Therefore, this analysis is limited to first offenders who were adjudicated by courts that were in compliance with the law.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations. The groups used in this analysis are: 1) (post-conviction) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1998 and 2000 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria for groups 1 or 2 but were not ordered to install interlock, and 4) a group of 1998 and 2000 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (implemented July 1993, but effectively abolished by AB 762, effective July 1999). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he/she has a BAC above a specified level. The interlock group was identified by certain Vehicle Code designations on abstracts of conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that 82% of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs, while 98.5% had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, 80.5% were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs and less than 2.7% were assigned to first-offender programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the "real world" conditions under which interlock is assigned, which is an integral part of the total impact of this sanction.[2]

The group designated as "other" represents offenders who were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. This difficulty is further exacerbated by strong self-selection biases, such as inability or unwillingness to obtain insurance, which make this group "different" from the others.

Two groups of the alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified including: 1) those who were assigned to an alcohol education program, and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. As previously noted for first and second DUI offenders, these

[2] It should be noted, however, that a 1993 policy directive from DMV to the courts originally requested that only offenders who had shown proof of installation be reported as assigned to interlock. To the extent that this directive was followed by the courts (and there is evidence that it was not), the present evaluation would be assessing only those cases where the device was actually installed. This DMV policy directive has since been corrected.

sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol education program as a condition of probation, it was found that 65% were assigned to the programs while 35% were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed us to compare subsequent accidents and DUI incidents between the two groups.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date. Appendix Tables B5 and B6 list the prior driver record variables for the second DUI offenders, the alcohol-related reckless offenders, and the 3-month and 6-month program participants, and these driving record variables were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was three years for the 1998 drivers, and one year for both the 2000 second offenders, alcohol-related reckless drivers, and the 3- and 6-month first offender program participants. A buffer period of four months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any accident, and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear).

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area as covariates. All of the traffic conviction averages were used for the 2000 drivers, after the counts of major and moving violations were corrected, but were not used for the 1998 drivers because of an undercount. (Tables B5 and B6 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on

characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In all of the second offender analyses and for accidents and DUI incidents, one or two statistically significant ($p < .01$) covariate by sanction interactions were evident in the 2000 analysis. (Statistical significance at $p < .0x$ means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than x% of the time.) These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure (DUI incidents) varied across sanction groups. The interaction effects were examined and found not to impact the sanction main effect. There were no interaction effects found in the analyses involving the alcohol-related reckless drivers nor the 3-month and 6-month program participants.

<u>One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-2000</u>

The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the ten previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figures 7a and 7b show the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 2000 who reoffended within one year after conviction. As discussed above, the reoffense rates of these sanction groups were statistically adjusted for group differences related to available covariates. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving, and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA).

Figure 7a and Table 14a show an ongoing decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for the two first-offender sanction groups from 1990 to 1999, but then reveals an upward trend in 2000 for both groups. As noted earlier, the graphs and tables do not include the "jail" group any longer because this group has diminished considerably in size due to increased court compliance with the law imposing license actions (suspension or restriction) on all first offenders. The overall decline translates into a 29.6% reduction in recidivism for the suspension group, and a 14.3% decrease for the alcohol treatment group compared to the rates of 1990. The recidivism rates of the suspended and alcohol program groups continue to appear quite similar, but the decline over time for the suspended group is actually greater (29.6%) than for the alcohol treatment group (14.3%). In the earlier years, the combined alcohol-treatment group exhibited lower reoffense rates than did the suspended group, possibly due to the initial impact of APS suspensions on a group that had previously avoided license suspension. However, midway in 1994, the rates of the two groups merge and the downward trend of both groups diminishes. Over the last four years, the suspended group's rate oscillates, but

basically shows a leveling of its rate. In 2000, the rates for both groups reflect a sharp upward trend (the two groups together comprise about 98% of first offenders.) This upward trend is puzzling, considering that the DUI arrest rate for 2001 decreased by 2.7%.

TABLE 14a: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-2000

YEAR	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS		SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	1ST OFFENDER PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990*	7.90	6.78	11.47	7.96	0	9.30
1991	8.20	6.48	11.53	7.89	0	9.68
1992	7.69	5.88	10.86	7.40	0	9.67
1993	6.40	5.50	10.48	6.62	5.95	8.62
1994	4.78	5.05	8.27	5.90	5.60	7.24
1995	5.70	5.31	9.34	5.90	5.78	6.84
1996	4.36	4.76	7.86	5.31	4.50	6.28
1997	5.18	4.92	7.56	5.06	5.10	6.03
1998	4.15	5.18	7.68	5.29	4.50	6.59
1999	4.47	4.89	7.37	5.10	4.24	6.61
2000	5.56	5.81	7.16	5.51	6.02	5.66
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2000	-29.6%	-14.3%	-37.6%	-30.8%	NA	-39.1%

*All 1990 percentages were revised.

A similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second-offender groups as displayed in Figure 7b and Table 14a, but the rate of decline is virtually the same for all groups until 1998. From 1996 to 1998, the recidivism rates flattened, declined slightly in 1999, and then in 2000, the rates of the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups rise noticeably, while the rates of the suspended and "other" group decrease. Table 14a shows that, from 1990 to 2000, the reoffense rates decreased 30.8% for the SB 38 group, 37.6% for the suspended group, and 39.1% for the "other" group. Obviously, a rate change over the 1990 to 2000 time period is not available for the ignition interlock group since this sanction was rarely applied to second offenders before 1993; the overall reoffense rate for this group is slightly lower than that of the SB 38 program group, but increases noticeably in 2000. The differences in rates between second-offender sanction groups remain relatively steady across the years and, like those for first offenders, may reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences. This is particularly likely for the ignition interlock group, given the cost of installing and maintaining the device. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that, while

many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction is probably attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders which are attributable to the law.

One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990-2000: The 1-year subsequent accident rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time, just as the subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates were portrayed. Figures 8a and 8b show the proportions of 1990-2000 first and second offenders who had accidents within one year after their conviction. Statistical adjustments for group differences were made on these accident rates based on available covariates.

TABLE 14b: ONE-YEAR PERCENTAGES OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-2000

YEAR	FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS		SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	1ST OFFENDER PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990	4.27	5.09	3.82	3.78	0.00	3.97
1991	4.17	4.64	3.51	3.60	0.00	3.57
1992	3.51	4.36	3.70	3.33	0.00	3.52
1993	3.60	4.47	3.84	3.23	3.01	3.77
1994	3.52	4.36	3.24	2.82	2.85	3.32
1995	3.13	4.53	3.08	2.82	2.62	3.14
1996	2.73	4.42	2.38	2.42	2.56	2.31
1997	3.09	4.69	2.70	2.50	2.51	2.90
1998	2.80	4.82	3.09	2.51	2.12	2.76
1999	4.34	5.08	3.10	2.54	2.25	2.84
2000	4.45	5.17	3.56	3.15	2.47	2.79
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2000	+4.2%	+1.6%	-6.8%	-16.7%	NA	-29.7%

Among first offenders from 1990 through 2000, Figure 8a and Table 14b show a much flatter decline in accident rates overall than was evident among the reoffense rates. Although the accident rate of the suspended group showed the largest decline during the first seven years, surprisingly, its rate increased in 1999 and more so in 2000 to a level higher than that of the 1990 group (+3.0%, +4.2%, respectively). This change may well be related to the law change (AB 762) previously discussed, which altered the judicial sanction structure for first offenders; under the new law, more first offenders are being suspended. Similarly, the accident rate of the first offender program participants also increased in 1999 and slightly more in 2000 to a higher rate than that of 1990 (+1.6%); their accident rate has continued to increase gradually since 1996. Although all groups were suspended/restricted under APS, differences in accident

rates probably reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences.

Figure 8b indicates a declining trend in the overall accident rate of second offenders up to 1996. Following a dip in accidents in 1996, the accident rates of three groups increased in 1997, then leveled out by 1999, and increased in 2000. Compared to 1990, the SB 38/restricted group has a 16.7% drop in accidents, while the suspended group had a 6.8% reduction in accidents. The "other" group shows a 29.7% drop in their accident rate from 1990 to 2000. The ignition interlock group displays a consistent decline in accidents since 1993, followed by a greater decline from 1997 to 1998. However, the accident rate for that group increased slightly in 1999 and again in 2000. Overall, second offenders have lower accident rates than do first offenders (Table 14b), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower accident rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction/suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figure 9a and Table 15a display the results of the second evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations. Like last year, the results show that assignment to the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on the 1-year subsequent accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders. The program participants have only a .2% lower accident rate than that of the non-participants. Comparing these rates to those of the 2000 first DUI offenders, it is evident that the alcohol-related reckless drivers are more involved in accidents (5.89, 5.88 per 100 drivers) than are first offenders (4.45, 5.17). The lack of license suspension/restriction for the alcohol-related reckless drivers might explain the higher accident rates. Past studies have documented the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction.

TABLE 15a: ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
7/2000-6/2001	1) No program	(2,919)	5.89		3.97	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) Alcohol-education program	(5,515)	5.88	.2%	3.83	3.7%

DUI Incidents: Similar to the accident findings, Figure 9a and Table 15a indicate that program participants do not have significantly fewer DUI incidents in the one year

following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs. This finding contrasts with last year's results, in which the DUI incident rate of the program participants was significantly lower than that of the nonparticipants. The reoffense rate of the program participants is only 3.7% lower than that of alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs. Because random assignment to program attendance was not possible, there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Results of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Program Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figure 9b and Table 15b display the results of the first evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 6-month programs. The results show that the time length of the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on the 1-year subsequent accident rates of first DUI offenders. The 6-month program participants have only a 3.6% lower accident rate than that of the 3-month participants. Again, comparing these rates to those of the alcohol-related reckless drivers, it is evident that the alcohol-related reckless drivers are more involved in accidents (5.89, 5.88 per 100 drivers) than are first offenders (4.93, 4.76). The short-term APS license suspension/restriction for all first offenders might explain their lower accident rates. Past studies have supported the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction.

TABLE 15b: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2000	1) 3- month program	(17,153)	4.93		4.11	
(follow-up period = 1 year)	2) 6-month program	(5,209)	4.76	3.6%	6.04	-32.0%*

*p = .0001

DUI Incidents: In contrast to the accident findings, Figure 9b and Table 15b indicate that the 3-month program participants have significantly (p = .0001) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than do the 6-month program participants. The reoffense rate of the 3-month program participants is 32.0% lower than that of the 6-month participants. This finding is not surprising given that those assigned to the longer term program have higher BAC levels (.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC

levels. Since this study only selected first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of alcohol program, there are likely unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, some statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Results of the Second-Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Results from the 2000 1-year analyses (see Figures 10a and 10b, Tables 15c and 16) were different from those of the previous 1990-1997, and 1999 1-year analyses (in earlier DUI-MIS reports), in that one significant difference on total accidents was evident among the second offender sanction groups. The accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than that of the suspended group, while all of the other group comparisons were not significantly different. However, in most of the previous 1-year analyses, significant differences in accident rates were not evident between sanction groups. Overall, since 1990, the accident rates of all the groups have been declining, but within the last several years, the suspended group's accident rate increased and then flattened in 1999, and increased again in 2000. The accident rates of the SB 38 treatment/restriction group and ignition interlock group have been increasing since 1998, while the rate of the "other" group was the only one that decreased in 2000. Reasons for the increase in accidents among the three groups are still not clear but the possibility of changes in group composition since 1999 may be a contributing factor. Since 1999, all second offenders are suspended upon conviction, and if given the restriction sanction by the court, they must fulfill the necessary requirements to obtain a restricted license at DMV subsequent to the initial suspension. The size of both the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups has been diminishing in the past two years, while the size of the suspended and "other" groups has increased.

TABLE 15c: SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1 - GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
1998 (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(3,567)	7.63		17.14	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(6,213)	10.42	-26.8%	14.21	20.6%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(5,940)	7.60		11.99	
	4) Other	(6,916)	9.76		16.38	
2000 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(3,949)	3.56		7.16	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(4,628)	3.15	13.0%	5.51	29.9%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(1,579)	2.47		6.02	
	4) Other	(11,520)	2.79		5.66	
1997, 1998, 1999, & 2000 (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(15,279)	3.11		7.48	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(23,850)	2.68	16.0%	5.25	42.5%
	3) SB 38 program & interlock	(16,799)	2.34		4.80	
	4) Other	(34,491)	2.82		6.20	

However, the evaluations of the 3-year follow-up periods show different results from those of the 1-year time periods. Similar to the 1994, 1995, 1996, and 1997 3-year follow-up evaluations of the last four reports, but in contrast to the 1992 and 1993 3-year analyses, significant (p = .0001) sanction group differences on accident rates were evident among the 1998 second offenders. In fact, the results were identical to last year's findings. The accident rate of the suspended group continues to be significantly lower than that of the SB 38 and "other " groups (but not significantly lower than the rate of the ignition interlock group), suggesting that over a longer period of time (3 years), post-conviction suspension (of 18 months to 2 years duration) has a greater impact in reducing accidents than do other sanctions. Like last year's findings, the accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than that of the SB 38 program group and "other" group.

In order to increase the power of the statistical analysis for detecting the effects of the interlock sanction, an additional analysis was conducted in which the 1997, 1998, 1999, and 2000 1-year second-offender files were combined. Results from this analysis are shown in Tables 15c and 16. Differences in accident rates between sanctions were statistically significant (p = .001). The accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than those of the suspension, the SB 38, and the "other" groups, while the rate of the SB 38 program participants was significantly better than that of the suspended group.

TABLE 16: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND-OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR	SECOND-OFFENDER							
	TOTAL ACCIDENTS				DUI INCIDENTS			
GROUP	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1998 (3-year follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	ns	S1	na	S2	S3	ns
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	S3	ns		na	S3	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
2000 (1-year follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	ns	S3	ns	na	S2	ns	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	ns
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	ns
(4) Other				na				na
1997, 1998, 1999, & 2000 (1-yr. follow-up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S2	S3	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	S3	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				Na

Note: A significant (p < .06 for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the percentages of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the "S" indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "Na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

DUI Incidents: Figures 10a and 10b and Tables 15c and 16 show that in both years the suspended groups had significantly higher DUI incident rates (by 20.6% and 29.9% for 1998 and 2000, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program/restricted participants. Like last year, the "other" group in the 1998 and 2000 analyses had reoffense rates midway between the suspended group and SB 38 program/restricted group. Replicating last year's findings, reoffense rates of all four groups in 1998 (3-year follow-up period) were significantly different from each other; unlike the 1997 results, the 1998 recidivism rate of the interlock group was significantly lower than that of the SB 38 group. Over time, it appears that significant differences in the rates of the interlock group and SB 38 group have not been consistent, varying from year to year. However, there has been consistency in the finding of the 3-year recidivism rates of the SB 38 group and the interlock group being significantly lower than that of the suspension group.

Similar to previous 1-year analyses, the recidivism rate of the 2000 interlock group was not significantly lower than that of all three groups, but the SB 38 and "other" groups had rates that were significantly lower than that of the suspended group.

In summary, findings from the 2000 second-offender analyses were generally quite similar to previous post-APS 1-year evaluations of second offenders in showing little evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent total accident rates; the one exception this year was that accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than that of the SB 38 group. Similar to last year's findings from the 3-year accident analyses, the 3-year accident rate of the 1998 suspended group was significantly lower than those of two groups. The fact that the 3-year accident rate is still among the lowest could reflect the ongoing impact of the longer term suspensions required of second offenders.

The results on DUI reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction and with interlock are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over both the 3-year and 1-year (combined) follow-up periods.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1991-2001. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1991 through 2001. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 99/00 through 01/02.

Figure 11: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1991-2001. This figure graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1991 through 2001, both preconviction and postconviction.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 60% over that for 1990. These totals declined each subsequent year through 1995, and have fluctuated up and down each year since 1995. After a 1.9% increase in 2000, S/R actions decreased by 3.9% in 2001.

- In 2001, 164,840 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 77.8% were first-offender actions and 22.2% were repeat-offender actions.

- In FY 01/02, APS actions decreased by 1.0%, following a 3.5% decrease the previous fiscal year.

- Chemical test refusal actions have declined each year since 1991, and dropped by 6.3% in 2001. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 58.5% from the 1991 totals.

- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions decreased by 2.4% in 2001, following a 19.7% increase in 2000. Overall, mandatory postconviction license actions have declined by 34.2% since 1991.

- In the 12 years since APS was implemented in July 1990, almost two and a half million (2,481,811) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.

- Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 22.0% in 01/02. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing has declined the past several years, and stood at 83.2% upheld in FY 01/02.

- During the first 8.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 120,356 suspension actions have been taken.



Figure 11 . Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1991-2001.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1991-2001

	YEAR										
	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158[r]	230600[r]	205462[2]	238612[2]	236141	240597	231217
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608[r]	9327[r]	11517	15640	17775	18185	18549
.08 First-offender suspensions	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636[r]	122111[r]	114247	116827	119621	114997	109695
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218[r]	43922[r]	39636	39024	38487	36147	33517
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079
Commercial driver actions	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743[r]	4939[r]	4496	4609	4471	4139	4013
Chemical test refusal actions	21296[r]	17963[r]	15662	13264	11711[r]	11436[r]	10110	9935	9435	9433	8841
.01 Test refusal suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	179	170	154	134	229	268	270	280
.08 Test refusal suspensions	10901[r]	9374[r]	8256	7022	6307[r]	6299[r]	5865	5832	5718	5886	5482
.08 Test refusal revocations	10395[r]	8589[r]	7406	6063	5234[r]	4983[r]	4111	3874	3449	3277	3079
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696[r]	180343[r]	169511	175365	179332	172606	164840
POSTCONVICTION[1]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769[2]	1026[2]	918	741	714
First-offender suspensions	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847[2]	9588[2]	15072	29924	31097
Misdemeanor	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834[2]	7497[2]	13401	28118	29188
Felony	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013[2]	2091[2]	1671	1806	1909
Second-offender S/R actions	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945[2]	40238[2]	31940	29097	26911
Misdemeanor	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532[2]	39065[2]	31455	28571	26334
Felony	767	722	564	506	534	540	413[2]	633[2]	485	526	577
Third-offender revocations	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569[2]	9397[2]	6573	6163	5727
Misdemeanor	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471[2]	9167[2]	6452	6015	5585
Felony	368	320	264	219	210	178	98[2]	230[2]	121	148	142
Fourth-offender revocations	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821[2]	2998[2]	2306	2066	1928
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257[r]	35951[2]	63247[2]	56809	67991	66377

[1]These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements.

[2]The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

[r]Revised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/99-6/00	7/00-6/01	7/01-6/02
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	190,720	183,979	182,182
Total .08[1] APS actions set aside	13,793	14,510	15,172
Total .01[2] suspensions set aside	965	1,103	1,288
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	175,962	168,366	165,722
Net total .08 APS actions	157,945	150,092	146,781
Net total .01 suspensions	18,017	18,274	18,941
APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[3]			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	171,489	164,370	161,631
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	4,473	3,996	4,093
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	59	42	15
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions[4]	117,324	112,158	110,416
4-month license suspensions	87,992	84,960	83,287
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	1,169	1,117	1,296
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[5] restrictions	18,738	17,317	16,961
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,941	5,598	5,530
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,484	3,166	3,342
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	40,621	37,934	36,326
Suspensions	37,218	34,779	33,421
Revocations	3,403	3,155	2,944
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,120	9,601	9,356
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	470	555	579
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	22	18	23
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,628	9,028	8,754
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,344	8,753	8,473
Net total .01 refusal actions	284	275	281
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	5.31%	5.22%	5.13%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,941	5,598	5,530
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,403	3,155	2,944
APS Hearings[6]			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	41,616	39,959	40,035
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing[7]	21.8%	21.7%	22.0%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	36,286	35,408	35,115
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	32,040	30,618	29,222
Percentage of .08 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing	88.3%	86.5%	83.2%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	3,162	3,183	3,192
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	2,760	2,698	2,519
Percentage of .01 APS actions sustained/upheld following a hearing	87.3%	84.8%	78.9%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,713	2,873	2,772
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	2,542	2,714	2,563
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	2,093	2,202	2,024

[1].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[2].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[3]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

[4]Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

[5]This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

[6]These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

[7]Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.[3] Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (e.g., only 3 cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2000 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 2000 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2000 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2000 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 2000 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 2000 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Type of Arrest. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 2000 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 2000 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 2000 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 2000 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2000 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 2000 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of

[3] Among 2000 DUI arrests, 24,859 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 10,953 involving an injury or fatality, and 13,906 are PDO.

2000 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2000 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2000 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 1-, 3-, and 5-Year Total, Fatal/Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal/injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 2000, 1998, and 1996 DUI arrestees for time periods of respectively, 1, 3, and 5 years subsequent to their arrests by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1991 to 2001. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.



Figure 12 . Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1991-2001.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 6.1% in 2001, following increases of 5.4% in 2000 and 9.1% in 1999, which had been the first increase in over a decade. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved also increased (to 33.3%) for the fourth year in a row after over a decade of decreases.

- The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved increased in 2001, the first annual increase since 1987. The actual number of alcohol-involved injuries rose for only the second time in 16 years.

- 13.5% of all 2000 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.5% in 1999, 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 44.1% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.

- In almost a quarter (21.8%) of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal/injury traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 87.6% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.

- Of all 2000 fatal/injury accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 22.0% had been arrested for felony DUI.

- 6.0% (10,953) of 2000 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only 32.1% (3,516) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 12.2% (1,334) led to a conviction of felony DUI. 78.2% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.

- The fatal/injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to five years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension/revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension/revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than DUI accidents. In addition, drivers with multiple DUI offenses are more likely to have serious drinking problems.

- Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 2000 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.

- Almost three-quarters (74.3%) of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	10953	100.0	5375	49.1	4054	37.0	732	6.7	792	7.2
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10204	93.2	4925	48.3	3859	37.8	673	6.6	747	7.3
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	31	0.3	16	51.6	11	35.5	3	9.7	1	3.2
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	179	1.6	87	48.6	66	36.9	16	8.9	10	5.6
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	78	0.7	44	56.4	24	30.8	5	6.4	5	6.4
NOT REPORTED	461	4.2	303	65.7	94	20.4	35	7.6	29	6.3

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	10953	100.0	5375	49.1	4054	37.0	732	6.7	792	7.2
FELONY DUI	3516	32.1	1502	42.7	1447	41.2	274	7.8	293	8.3
JUVENILE DUI	158	1.4	89	56.3	60	38.0	1	0.6	8	5.1
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7279	66.5	3784	52.0	2547	35.0	457	6.3	491	6.7

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	10953	100.0	6210	56.7	1571	14.3	404	3.7	152	1.4	233	2.1	2383	21.8
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10204	93.2	5918	58.0	1494	14.6	384	3.8	122	1.2	198	1.9	2088	20.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	31	0.3	6	19.4	2	6.5	1	3.2	3	9.7	2	6.5	17	54.8
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	179	1.6	91	50.8	23	12.8	4	2.2	3	1.7	4	2.2	54	30.2
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	78	0.7	33	42.3	5	6.4	1	1.3	2	2.6	4	5.1	33	42.3
NOT REPORTED	461	4.2	162	35.1	47	10.2	14	3.0	22	4.8	25	5.4	191	41.4

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	10953	100.0	6210	56.7	1571	14.3	404	3.7	152	1.4	233	2.1	2383	21.8
FELONY DUI	3516	32.1	1224	34.8	1334	37.9	67	1.9	33	0.9	83	2.4	775	22.0
JUVENILE DUI	158	1.4	58	36.7	21	13.3	2	1.3	1	0.6	3	1.9	73	46.2
MISDEMEANOR DUI	7279	66.5	4928	67.7	216	3.0	335	4.6	118	1.6	147	2.0	1535	21.1

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 2000 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	4323	703	16.3	157	3.6	3463	80.1
ALAMEDA	321	19	5.9	2	0.6	300	93.5
ALPINE	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
AMADOR	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
BUTTE	23	0	0.0	2	8.7	21	91.3
CALAVERAS	10	1	10.0	0	0.0	9	90.0
COLUSA	6	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	119	10	8.4	4	3.4	105	88.2
DEL NORTE	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	4	66.7
EL DORADO	30	7	23.3	1	3.3	22	73.3
FRESNO	210	55	26.2	12	5.7	143	68.1
GLENN	4	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0
HUMBOLDT	55	10	18.2	3	5.5	42	76.4
IMPERIAL	33	7	21.2	1	3.0	25	75.8
INYO	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
KERN	88	16	18.2	2	2.3	70	79.5
KINGS	5	4	80.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
LAKE	19	5	26.3	3	15.8	11	57.9
LASSEN	5	1	20.0	0	0.0	4	80.0
LOS ANGELES	888	135	15.2	19	2.1	734	82.7
MADERA	40	9	22.5	3	7.5	28	70.0
MARIN	30	4	13.3	0	0.0	26	86.7
MENDOCINO	13	2	15.4	0	0.0	11	84.6
MERCED	29	5	17.2	4	13.8	20	69.0
MODOC	8	1	12.5	0	0.0	7	87.5
MONO	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
MONTEREY	58	10	17.2	5	8.6	43	74.1
NAPA	12	2	16.7	2	16.7	8	66.7
NEVADA	10	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	100.0
ORANGE	211	26	12.3	13	6.2	172	81.5
PLACER	39	8	20.5	1	2.6	30	76.9
PLUMAS	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	221	31	14.0	9	4.1	181	81.9
SACRAMENTO	180	34	18.9	7	3.9	139	77.2
SAN BENITO	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7
SAN BERNARDINO	273	40	14.7	7	2.6	226	82.8
SAN DIEGO	396	113	28.5	18	4.5	265	66.9
SAN FRANCISCO	72	19	26.4	0	0.0	53	73.6
SAN JOAQUIN	107	9	8.4	2	1.9	96	89.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO	23	1	4.3	3	13.0	19	82.6
SAN MATEO	66	4	6.1	5	7.6	57	86.4
SANTA BARBARA	23	5	21.7	0	0.0	18	78.3
SANTA CLARA	110	23	20.9	1	0.9	86	78.2
SANTA CRUZ	19	3	15.8	2	10.5	14	73.7
SHASTA	20	3	15.0	1	5.0	16	80.0
SIERRA	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0
SISKIYOU	8	1	12.5	1	12.5	6	75.0
SOLANO	54	8	14.8	1	1.9	45	83.3
SONOMA	55	3	5.5	3	5.5	49	89.1
STANISLAUS	138	21	15.2	2	1.4	115	83.3
SUTTER	7	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	100.0
TEHAMA	8	3	37.5	0	0.0	5	62.5
TRINITY	6	3	50.0	0	0.0	3	50.0
TULARE	87	6	6.9	5	5.7	76	87.4
TUOLUMNE	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
VENTURA	100	18	18.0	7	7.0	75	75.0
YOLO	43	8	18.6	3	7.0	32	74.4
YUBA	15	6	40.0	0	0.0	9	60.0

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a: 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS* BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	19591	100.0	15875	81.0	3716	19.0
UNDER 18	366	1.9	293	80.1	73	19.9
18-20	1811	9.2	1517	83.8	294	16.2
21-30	6747	34.4	5651	83.8	1096	16.2
31-40	4702	24.0	3649	77.6	1053	22.4
41-50	3195	16.3	2459	77.0	736	23.0
51-59	1251	6.4	1018	81.4	233	18.6
60-69	542	2.8	429	79.2	113	20.8
70 & ABOVE	310	1.6	258	83.2	52	16.8
AGE UNKNOWN	667	3.4	601	90.1	66	9.9

*These data are derived from the 2000 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8039	100.0	6483	80.6	1556	19.4
UNDER 18	131	1.6	109	83.2	22	16.8
18-20	725	9.0	600	82.8	125	17.2
21-30	2945	36.6	2470	83.9	475	16.1
31-40	2009	25.0	1541	76.7	468	23.3
41-50	1315	16.4	1033	78.6	282	21.4
51-60	541	6.7	434	80.2	107	19.8
61-70	219	2.7	169	77.2	50	22.8

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18343	100.0	8112	44.2	7963	43.4	1826	10.0	365	2.0	77	0.4
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14032	76.5	4482	31.9	7416	52.9	1713	12.2	348	2.5	73	0.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2959	16.1	2687	90.8	232	7.8	35	1.2	5	0.2	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1338	7.3	934	69.8	311	23.2	77	5.8	12	0.9	4	0.3
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	8	0.0	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	6	0.0	3	50.0	2	33.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	8039	100.0	5383	67.0	2055	25.6	484	6.0	95	1.2	22	0.3
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	3939	49.0	1828	46.4	1613	40.9	395	10.0	83	2.1	20	0.5
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2929	36.4	2668	91.1	224	7.6	32	1.1	5	0.2	0	0.0
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF	1161	14.4	879	75.7	217	18.7	56	4.8	7	0.6	2	0.2

TABLE 26a: 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18343	100.0	8112	44.2	7963	43.4	1826	10.0	365	2.0	77	0.4
FATAL	883*	4.8	656	74.3	185	21.0	33	3.7	8	0.9	1	0.1
INJURY	17460	95.2	7456	42.7	7778	44.5	1793	10.3	357	2.0	76	0.4

*The records of 79.8% (705) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2000 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	8039	100.0	5383	67.0	2055	25.6	484	6.0	95	1.2	22	0.3
FATAL	780*	9.7	603	77.3	142	18.2	27	3.5	7	0.9	1	0.1
INJURY	7259	90.3	4780	65.8	1913	26.4	457	6.3	88	1.2	21	0.3

*The records of 78.1% (609) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 27: 1-, 3- AND 5-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS			FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS			ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS		
	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (2000 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1998 ARRESTEES)	5-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (2000 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1998 ARRESTEES)	5-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (2000 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1998 ARRESTEES)	5-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)
ALL	.0422	.1304	.2029	.0135	.04115	.0645	.0099	.0283	.0405
1ST DUI	.0465	.1441	.2204	.0143	.0446	.0687	.0095	.0274	.0378
2ND DUI	.0308	.0972	.1730	.0120	.0349	.0578	.0114	.0303	.0460
3RD DUI	.0200	.0675	.1258	.0074	.0244	.0451	.0107	.0316	.0493
4TH+ DUI	.0193	.0657	.1071	.0057	.0250	.0400	.0093	.0401	.0555

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:
Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:
Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:
Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the ten-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of driving-under-the-influence with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury than for those with injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/99, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury accidents. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for six months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and alcohol treatment progam, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of

any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/99, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurtt), effective 1/1/99, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/99, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/98, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a

habitual traffic offender for a three-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional five-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a blood alcohol content (BAC) of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (PC 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/95, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the VC section 23140 to

drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/95, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first Administrative Per Se DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary ignition interlock device (IID) orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/94, amends Vehicle Code section 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the four-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test; violators receive a one-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/93, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an ignition interlock device on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/93, changes the effective date of administrative per se suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/92, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the administrative per se laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08 % or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/92, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or penal codes 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (one-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, two-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and three-year revocation for two or more

prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed $100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/90, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/90, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of .08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90 for vessel provisions and 1/1/92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of .04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above .01%, but less than .04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/90, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or

urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/89, allows the 4th DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of Section 23140 CVC.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/89, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/89, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/88, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the one-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of .05% or more (Section 23140 CVC). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence

alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5- year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/87, authorizes courts to order the installation of ignition interlock devices for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/86, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/85, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/84, requires a one-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an

immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) level of .10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (.05 - .09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/82, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of $390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/78, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (1999). Digest of Traffic Legislation. Sacramento: Authors.

California Department of Finance. (1991). *California statistical abstract, 1991*. Sacramento: Author.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (2000). *2000 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.

DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *29*(1), 17-23.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system (Report No. 90). Sacramento: California Deparment of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted.

Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be excercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing,

license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

O

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		176490	149653	84.8	26837	15.2	75199	42.6	77793	44.1	12395	7.0	11103	6.3
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	42	32	76.2	10	23.8	25	59.5	9	21.4	4	9.5	4	9.5
	18-20	463	400	86.4	63	13.6	169	36.5	172	37.1	50	10.8	72	15.6
	21-30	2134	1861	87.2	273	12.8	648	30.4	869	40.7	348	16.3	269	12.6
	31-40	1694	1392	82.2	302	17.8	631	37.2	507	29.9	386	22.8	170	10.0
	41-50	1067	860	80.6	207	19.4	492	46.1	217	20.3	247	23.1	111	10.4
	51-60	394	331	84.0	63	16.0	205	52.0	47	11.9	106	26.9	36	9.1
	61-70	95	86	90.5	9	9.5	49	51.6	13	13.7	26	27.4	7	7.4
	71 & ABOVE	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	14	51.9	2	7.4	10	37.0	1	3.7
	TOTAL	5916	4986	84.3	930	15.7	2233	37.7	1836	31.0	1177	19.9	670	11.3
ALPINE	18-20	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	31-40	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1
	41-50	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	18	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	51-60	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	60	52	86.7	8	13.3	56	93.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	6.7
AMADOR	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	11	73.3	3	20.0	0	0.0	1	6.7
	21-30	57	54	94.7	3	5.3	40	70.2	13	22.8	2	3.5	2	3.5
	31-40	53	39	73.6	14	26.4	41	77.4	9	17.0	1	1.9	2	3.8
	41-50	66	52	78.8	14	21.2	56	84.8	6	9.1	1	1.5	3	4.5
	51-60	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	24	92.3	1	3.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	233	195	83.7	38	16.3	188	80.7	32	13.7	4	1.7	9	3.9
BUTTE	UNDER 18	21	16	76.2	5	23.8	13	61.9	6	28.6	0	0.0	2	9.5
	18-20	144	115	79.9	29	20.1	116	80.6	21	14.6	2	1.4	5	3.5
	21-30	493	400	81.1	93	18.9	373	75.7	94	19.1	14	2.8	12	2.4
	31-40	315	243	77.1	72	22.9	241	76.5	47	14.9	14	4.4	13	4.1
	41-50	275	230	83.6	45	16.4	220	80.0	37	13.5	11	4.0	7	2.5
	51-60	105	84	80.0	21	20.0	98	93.3	4	3.8	0	0.0	3	2.9
	61-70	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	27	87.1	3	9.7	1	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1395	1124	80.6	271	19.4	1099	78.8	212	15.2	42	3.0	42	3.0

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	20	83.3	4	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	50	44	88.0	6	12.0	43	86.0	5	10.0	0	0.0	2	4.0
	31-40	64	53	82.8	11	17.2	52	81.3	7	10.9	2	3.1	3	4.7
	41-50	55	46	83.6	9	16.4	47	85.5	8	14.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	32	30	93.8	2	6.3	30	93.8	2	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	235	201	85.5	34	14.5	199	84.7	29	12.3	2	0.9	5	2.1
COLUSA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	20	19	95.0	1	5.0	5	25.0	15	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	84	77	91.7	7	8.3	29	34.5	51	60.7	1	1.2	3	3.6
	31-40	83	73	88.0	10	12.0	38	45.8	38	45.8	4	4.8	3	3.6
	41-50	51	46	90.2	5	9.8	31	60.8	16	31.4	2	3.9	2	3.9
	51-60	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	13	72.2	4	22.2	0	0.0	1	5.6
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	267	243	91.0	24	9.0	124	46.4	127	47.6	7	2.6	9	3.4
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	85	67	78.8	18	21.2	57	67.1	16	18.8	5	5.9	7	8.2
	18-20	435	370	85.1	65	14.9	234	53.8	119	27.4	26	6.0	56	12.9
	21-30	1785	1537	86.1	248	13.9	742	41.6	632	35.4	140	7.8	271	15.2
	31-40	1239	1017	82.1	222	17.9	622	50.2	352	28.4	131	10.6	134	10.8
	41-50	878	687	78.2	191	21.8	525	59.8	175	19.9	91	10.4	87	9.9
	51-60	351	280	79.8	71	20.2	241	68.7	54	15.4	34	9.7	22	6.3
	61-70	100	82	82.0	18	18.0	69	69.0	16	16.0	8	8.0	7	7.0
	71 & ABOVE	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	18	69.2	5	19.2	2	7.7	1	3.8
	TOTAL	4899	4061	82.9	838	17.1	2508	51.2	1369	27.9	437	8.9	585	11.9
DEL NORTE	18-20	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	13	76.5	1	5.9	1	5.9	2	11.8
	21-30	68	48	70.6	20	29.4	48	70.6	8	11.8	0	0.0	12	17.6
	31-40	75	51	68.0	24	32.0	59	78.7	8	10.7	2	2.7	6	8.0
	41-50	75	58	77.3	17	22.7	68	90.7	3	4.0	0	0.0	4	5.3
	51-60	33	28	84.8	5	15.2	28	84.8	3	9.1	0	0.0	2	6.1
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	291	219	75.3	72	24.7	238	81.8	23	7.9	3	1.0	27	9.3

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	74	59	79.7	15	20.3	56	75.7	14	18.9	0	0.0	4	5.4
	21-30	330	281	85.2	49	14.8	265	80.3	55	16.7	3	0.9	7	2.1
	31-40	308	234	76.0	74	24.0	256	83.1	41	13.3	1	0.3	10	3.2
	41-50	272	199	73.2	73	26.8	246	90.4	12	4.4	3	1.1	11	4.0
	51-60	98	82	83.7	16	16.3	95	96.9	1	1.0	1	1.0	1	1.0
	61-70	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1123	888	79.1	235	20.9	958	85.3	124	11.0	8	0.7	33	2.9
FRESNO	UNDER 18	52	44	84.6	8	15.4	13	25.0	37	71.2	0	0.0	2	3.8
	18-20	505	450	89.1	55	10.9	125	24.8	347	68.7	4	0.8	29	5.7
	21-30	2263	2027	89.6	236	10.4	449	19.8	1665	73.6	69	3.0	80	3.5
	31-40	1355	1164	85.9	191	14.1	313	23.1	935	69.0	64	4.7	43	3.2
	41-50	857	722	84.2	135	15.8	272	31.7	498	58.1	55	6.4	32	3.7
	51-60	309	280	90.6	29	9.4	119	38.5	154	49.8	17	5.5	19	6.1
	61-70	88	81	92.0	7	8.0	37	42.0	37	42.0	6	6.8	8	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	5	38.5	6	46.2	1	7.7	1	7.7
	TOTAL	5442	4780	87.8	662	12.2	1333	24.5	3679	67.6	216	4.0	214	3.9
GLENN	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	9	39.1	12	52.2	0	0.0	2	8.7
	21-30	114	109	95.6	5	4.4	41	36.0	63	55.3	2	1.8	8	7.0
	31-40	67	53	79.1	14	20.9	40	59.7	24	35.8	1	1.5	2	3.0
	41-50	65	58	89.2	7	10.8	41	63.1	16	24.6	3	4.6	5	7.7
	51-60	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	25	75.8	8	24.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	320	284	88.8	36	11.3	171	53.4	125	39.1	7	2.2	17	5.3
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	34	26	76.5	8	23.5	26	76.5	3	8.8	0	0.0	5	14.7
	18-20	142	110	77.5	32	22.5	123	86.6	7	4.9	4	2.8	8	5.6
	21-30	539	418	77.6	121	22.4	439	81.4	61	11.3	9	1.7	30	5.6
	31-40	278	213	76.6	65	23.4	235	84.5	26	9.4	7	2.5	10	3.6
	41-50	219	161	73.5	58	26.5	197	90.0	11	5.0	4	1.8	7	3.2
	51-60	92	70	76.1	22	23.9	84	91.3	5	5.4	1	1.1	2	2.2
	61-70	28	25	89.3	3	10.7	25	89.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	10.7
	71 & ABOVE	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1349	1037	76.9	312	23.1	1146	85.0	113	8.4	25	1.9	65	4.8

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	6	35.3	10	58.8	0	0.0	1	5.9
	18-20	114	100	87.7	14	12.3	43	37.7	61	53.5	5	4.4	5	4.4
	21-30	422	376	89.1	46	10.9	116	27.5	278	65.9	8	1.9	20	4.7
	31-40	353	307	87.0	46	13.0	84	23.8	252	71.4	7	2.0	10	2.8
	41-50	260	226	86.9	34	13.1	60	23.1	182	70.0	6	2.3	12	4.6
	51-60	101	86	85.1	15	14.9	40	39.6	52	51.5	6	5.9	3	3.0
	61-70	46	45	97.8	1	2.2	21	45.7	25	54.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	4	50.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1321	1160	87.8	161	12.2	374	28.3	864	65.4	32	2.4	51	3.9
INYO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
	18-20	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	10	71.4	2	14.3	0	0.0	2	14.3
	21-30	52	43	82.7	9	17.3	27	51.9	13	25.0	0	0.0	12	23.1
	31-40	62	49	79.0	13	21.0	44	71.0	6	9.7	0	0.0	12	19.4
	41-50	68	55	80.9	13	19.1	46	67.6	10	14.7	1	1.5	11	16.2
	51-60	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	17	81.0	2	9.5	2	9.5	0	0.0
	61-70	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	229	187	81.7	42	18.3	153	66.8	33	14.4	3	1.3	40	17.5
KERN	UNDER 18	72	62	86.1	10	13.9	40	55.6	28	38.9	3	4.2	1	1.4
	18-20	438	391	89.3	47	10.7	187	42.7	230	52.5	17	3.9	4	0.9
	21-30	1713	1493	87.2	220	12.8	630	36.8	989	57.7	76	4.4	18	1.1
	31-40	1174	962	81.9	212	18.1	482	41.1	596	50.8	73	6.2	23	2.0
	41-50	748	609	81.4	139	18.6	391	52.3	297	39.7	47	6.3	13	1.7
	51-60	294	253	86.1	41	13.9	179	60.9	85	28.9	24	8.2	6	2.0
	61-70	87	78	89.7	9	10.3	52	59.8	23	26.4	11	12.6	1	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	15	68.2	5	22.7	2	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4548	3868	85.0	680	15.0	1976	43.4	2253	49.5	253	5.6	66	1.5
KINGS	UNDER 18	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	6	42.9	8	57.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	110	104	94.5	6	5.5	34	30.9	64	58.2	4	3.6	8	7.3
	21-30	405	347	85.7	58	14.3	113	27.9	255	63.0	19	4.7	18	4.4
	31-40	227	179	78.9	48	21.1	70	30.8	132	58.1	16	7.0	9	4.0
	41-50	144	123	85.4	21	14.6	45	31.3	78	54.2	13	9.0	8	5.6
	51-60	61	55	90.2	6	9.8	21	34.4	29	47.5	7	11.5	4	6.6
	61-70	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	12	66.7	4	22.2	2	11.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	983	839	85.4	144	14.6	304	30.9	571	58.1	61	6.2	47	4.8

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	13	86.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	63	59	93.7	4	6.3	44	69.8	16	25.4	1	1.6	2	3.2
	21-30	218	184	84.4	34	15.6	150	68.8	50	22.9	3	1.4	15	6.9
	31-40	205	156	76.1	49	23.9	174	84.9	18	8.8	9	4.4	4	2.0
	41-50	189	145	76.7	44	23.3	170	89.9	12	6.3	5	2.6	2	1.1
	51-60	81	61	75.3	20	24.7	67	82.7	8	9.9	4	4.9	2	2.5
	61-70	32	22	68.8	10	31.3	28	87.5	1	3.1	3	9.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	9	81.8	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	9.1
	TOTAL	814	648	79.6	166	20.4	655	80.5	107	13.1	26	3.2	26	3.2
LASSEN	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	17	70.8	4	16.7	0	0.0	3	12.5
	21-30	65	59	90.8	6	9.2	50	76.9	9	13.8	1	1.5	5	7.7
	31-40	73	52	71.2	21	28.8	64	87.7	2	2.7	1	1.4	6	8.2
	41-50	60	48	80.0	12	20.0	53	88.3	2	3.3	2	3.3	3	5.0
	51-60	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	19	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	11	84.6	0	0.0	1	7.7	1	7.7
	TOTAL	259	210	81.1	49	18.9	219	84.6	17	6.6	5	1.9	18	6.9
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	146	127	87.0	19	13.0	50	34.2	74	50.7	7	4.8	15	10.3
	18-20	2233	1903	85.2	330	14.8	559	25.0	1371	61.4	105	4.7	198	8.9
	21-30	15251	13107	85.9	2144	14.1	3022	19.8	9837	64.5	1112	7.3	1280	8.4
	31-40	11413	9881	86.6	1532	13.4	2586	22.7	6754	59.2	1262	11.1	811	7.1
	41-50	6412	5482	85.5	930	14.5	1856	28.9	3005	46.9	968	15.1	583	9.1
	51-60	2193	1877	85.6	316	14.4	795	36.3	827	37.7	358	16.3	213	9.7
	61-70	546	481	88.1	65	11.9	232	42.5	161	29.5	107	19.6	46	8.4
	71 & ABOVE	135	119	88.1	16	11.9	62	45.9	33	24.4	26	19.3	14	10.4
	TOTAL	38329	32977	86.0	5352	14.0	9162	23.9	22062	57.6	3945	10.3	3160	8.2
MADERA	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	90	87	96.7	3	3.3	32	35.6	56	62.2	0	0.0	2	2.2
	21-30	373	356	95.4	17	4.6	121	32.4	240	64.3	5	1.3	7	1.9
	31-40	230	212	92.2	18	7.8	68	29.6	148	64.3	6	2.6	8	3.5
	41-50	129	114	88.4	15	11.6	54	41.9	68	52.7	3	2.3	4	3.1
	51-60	58	53	91.4	5	8.6	32	55.2	24	41.4	2	3.4	0	0.0
	61-70	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	15	62.5	7	29.2	2	8.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	913	847	92.8	66	7.2	326	35.7	548	60.0	18	2.0	21	2.3

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	94	75	79.8	19	20.2	50	53.2	32	34.0	5	5.3	7	7.4
	21-30	473	371	78.4	102	21.6	234	49.5	175	37.0	27	5.7	37	7.8
	31-40	372	269	72.3	103	27.7	243	65.3	89	23.9	19	5.1	21	5.6
	41-50	293	207	70.6	86	29.4	224	76.5	33	11.3	15	5.1	21	7.2
	51-60	161	121	75.2	40	24.8	134	83.2	10	6.2	13	8.1	4	2.5
	61-70	37	25	67.6	12	32.4	33	89.2	2	5.4	1	2.7	1	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	15	93.8	0	0.0	1	6.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1455	1090	74.9	365	25.1	941	64.7	342	23.5	81	5.6	91	6.3
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	14	73.7	4	21.1	0	0.0	1	5.3
	31-40	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	25	96.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.8
	41-50	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	21	91.3	2	8.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	18	11	61.1	7	38.9	18	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	97	75	77.3	22	22.7	89	91.8	6	6.2	0	0.0	2	2.1
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	15	83.3	2	11.1	0	0.0	1	5.6
	18-20	69	56	81.2	13	18.8	51	73.9	12	17.4	0	0.0	6	8.7
	21-30	225	186	82.7	39	17.3	139	61.8	67	29.8	2	0.9	17	7.6
	31-40	201	152	75.6	49	24.4	127	63.2	55	27.4	5	2.5	14	7.0
	41-50	162	125	77.2	37	22.8	137	84.6	16	9.9	0	0.0	9	5.6
	51-60	90	78	86.7	12	13.3	83	92.2	5	5.6	0	0.0	2	2.2
	61-70	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	14	87.5	1	6.3	0	0.0	1	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	TOTAL	786	627	79.8	159	20.2	568	72.3	160	20.4	7	0.9	51	6.5
MERCED	UNDER 18	22	17	77.3	5	22.7	8	36.4	12	54.5	0	0.0	2	9.1
	18-20	156	147	94.2	9	5.8	37	23.7	112	71.8	2	1.3	5	3.2
	21-30	627	587	93.6	40	6.4	104	16.6	497	79.3	14	2.2	12	1.9
	31-40	446	398	89.2	48	10.8	117	26.2	294	65.9	23	5.2	12	2.7
	41-50	271	231	85.2	40	14.8	97	35.8	150	55.4	16	5.9	8	3.0
	51-60	94	80	85.1	14	14.9	46	48.9	38	40.4	8	8.5	2	2.1
	61-70	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	13	46.4	12	42.9	2	7.1	1	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1648	1490	90.4	158	9.6	426	25.8	1115	67.7	65	3.9	42	2.5

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	22	18	81.8	4	18.2	18	81.8	2	9.1	0	0.0	2	9.1
	31-40	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	16	80.0	2	10.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	41-50	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	22	75.9	4	13.8	0	0.0	3	10.3
	51-60	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	81	64	79.0	17	21.0	66	81.5	8	9.9	0	0.0	7	8.6
MONO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	41	37	90.2	4	9.8	26	63.4	12	29.3	1	2.4	2	4.9
	31-40	39	35	89.7	4	10.3	29	74.4	7	17.9	1	2.6	2	5.1
	41-50	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	27	93.1	2	6.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	137	118	86.1	19	13.9	106	77.4	25	18.2	2	1.5	4	2.9
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	17	38.6	26	59.1	0	0.0	1	2.3
	18-20	274	256	93.4	18	6.6	70	25.5	188	68.6	7	2.6	9	3.3
	21-30	1384	1272	91.9	112	8.1	259	18.7	1054	76.2	26	1.9	45	3.3
	31-40	794	686	86.4	108	13.6	237	29.8	502	63.2	30	3.8	25	3.1
	41-50	484	390	80.6	94	19.4	228	47.1	214	44.2	28	5.8	14	2.9
	51-60	162	140	86.4	22	13.6	111	68.5	41	25.3	8	4.9	2	1.2
	61-70	41	33	80.5	8	19.5	31	75.6	8	19.5	2	4.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	7	63.6	3	27.3	1	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3194	2825	88.4	369	11.6	960	30.1	2036	63.7	102	3.2	96	3.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	7	63.6	4	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	92	84	91.3	8	8.7	43	46.7	46	50.0	1	1.1	2	2.2
	21-30	418	369	88.3	49	11.7	174	41.6	230	55.0	5	1.2	9	2.2
	31-40	265	211	79.6	54	20.4	144	54.3	108	40.8	4	1.5	9	3.4
	41-50	206	155	75.2	51	24.8	164	79.6	36	17.5	3	1.5	3	1.5
	51-60	81	68	84.0	13	16.0	69	85.2	7	8.6	3	3.7	2	2.5
	61-70	36	34	94.4	2	5.6	29	80.6	4	11.1	1	2.8	2	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1115	934	83.8	181	16.2	635	57.0	436	39.1	17	1.5	27	2.4

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	50	45	90.0	5	10.0	47	94.0	3	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	168	146	86.9	22	13.1	136	81.0	22	13.1	6	3.6	4	2.4
	31-40	153	118	77.1	35	22.9	130	85.0	15	9.8	3	2.0	5	3.3
	41-50	158	114	72.2	44	27.8	152	96.2	5	3.2	0	0.0	1	0.6
	51-60	49	42	85.7	7	14.3	48	98.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	599	485	81.0	114	19.0	531	88.6	47	7.8	11	1.8	10	1.7
ORANGE	UNDER 18	83	64	77.1	19	22.9	49	59.0	26	31.3	0	0.0	8	9.6
	18-20	747	635	85.0	112	15.0	368	49.3	298	39.9	13	1.7	68	9.1
	21-30	4610	3925	85.1	685	14.9	2044	44.3	2150	46.6	93	2.0	323	7.0
	31-40	3515	2935	83.5	580	16.5	1737	49.4	1442	41.0	78	2.2	258	7.3
	41-50	2102	1669	79.4	433	20.6	1252	59.6	608	28.9	65	3.1	177	8.4
	51-60	769	635	82.6	134	17.4	506	65.8	173	22.5	24	3.1	66	8.6
	61-70	190	157	82.6	33	17.4	135	71.1	41	21.6	3	1.6	11	5.8
	71 & ABOVE	58	49	84.5	9	15.5	45	77.6	8	13.8	0	0.0	5	8.6
	TOTAL	12074	10069	83.4	2005	16.6	6136	50.8	4746	39.3	276	2.3	916	7.6
PLACER	UNDER 18	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	168	148	88.1	20	11.9	141	83.9	21	12.5	4	2.4	2	1.2
	21-30	560	475	84.8	85	15.2	431	77.0	104	18.6	16	2.9	9	1.6
	31-40	431	342	79.4	89	20.6	351	81.4	63	14.6	12	2.8	5	1.2
	41-50	389	312	80.2	77	19.8	343	88.2	31	8.0	3	0.8	12	3.1
	51-60	108	89	82.4	19	17.6	100	92.6	5	4.6	1	0.9	2	1.9
	61-70	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	28	93.3	1	3.3	1	3.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1708	1409	82.5	299	17.5	1416	82.9	225	13.2	37	2.2	30	1.8
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	18-20	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	21-30	44	35	79.5	9	20.5	36	81.8	6	13.6	0	0.0	2	4.5
	31-40	59	43	72.9	16	27.1	53	89.8	3	5.1	1	1.7	2	3.4
	41-50	60	44	73.3	16	26.7	55	91.7	1	1.7	2	3.3	2	3.3
	51-60	32	25	78.1	7	21.9	32	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	229	176	76.9	53	23.1	208	90.8	10	4.4	3	1.3	8	3.5

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	62	56	90.3	6	9.7	32	51.6	28	45.2	0	0.0	2	3.2
	18-20	716	637	89.0	79	11.0	271	37.8	395	55.2	23	3.2	27	3.8
	21-30	2912	2596	89.1	316	10.9	914	31.4	1797	61.7	115	3.9	86	3.0
	31-40	2036	1739	85.4	297	14.6	818	40.2	1043	51.2	111	5.5	64	3.1
	41-50	1360	1118	82.2	242	17.8	717	52.7	498	36.6	101	7.4	44	3.2
	51-60	533	445	83.5	88	16.5	295	55.3	181	34.0	30	5.6	27	5.1
	61-70	178	145	81.5	33	18.5	133	74.7	33	18.5	9	5.1	3	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	61	55	90.2	6	9.8	48	78.7	8	13.1	2	3.3	3	4.9
	TOTAL	7858	6791	86.4	1067	13.6	3228	41.1	3983	50.7	391	5.0	256	3.3
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	88	66	75.0	22	25.0	56	63.6	21	23.9	3	3.4	8	9.1
	18-20	597	497	83.2	100	16.8	325	54.4	153	25.6	54	9.0	65	10.9
	21-30	2866	2380	83.0	486	17.0	1404	49.0	828	28.9	389	13.6	245	8.5
	31-40	1889	1492	79.0	397	21.0	1030	54.5	371	19.6	335	17.7	153	8.1
	41-50	1237	990	80.0	247	20.0	735	59.4	165	13.3	244	19.7	93	7.5
	51-60	434	358	82.5	76	17.5	284	65.4	45	10.4	61	14.1	44	10.1
	61-70	111	94	84.7	17	15.3	76	68.5	8	7.2	18	16.2	9	8.1
	71 & ABOVE	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	25	64.1	4	10.3	5	12.8	5	12.8
	TOTAL	7261	5910	81.4	1351	18.6	3935	54.2	1595	22.0	1109	15.3	622	8.6
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	5	55.6	4	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	11	37.9	18	62.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	120	110	91.7	10	8.3	18	15.0	96	80.0	2	1.7	4	3.3
	31-40	57	50	87.7	7	12.3	15	26.3	41	71.9	0	0.0	1	1.8
	41-50	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	25	49.0	24	47.1	1	2.0	1	2.0
	51-60	28	28	100.0	0	0.0	12	42.9	15	53.6	1	3.6	0	0.0
	61-70	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	305	270	88.5	35	11.5	92	30.2	202	66.2	4	1.3	7	2.3
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	50	44	88.0	6	12.0	20	40.0	24	48.0	4	8.0	2	4.0
	18-20	845	749	88.6	96	11.4	322	38.1	456	54.0	40	4.7	27	3.2
	21-30	4140	3684	89.0	456	11.0	1348	32.6	2387	57.7	279	6.7	126	3.0
	31-40	2861	2460	86.0	401	14.0	983	34.4	1508	52.7	293	10.2	77	2.7
	41-50	1855	1555	83.8	300	16.2	857	46.2	741	39.9	202	10.9	55	3.0
	51-60	670	598	89.3	72	10.7	371	55.4	207	30.9	69	10.3	23	3.4
	61-70	199	179	89.9	20	10.1	128	64.3	43	21.6	18	9.0	10	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	54	50	92.6	4	7.4	44	81.5	9	16.7	1	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	10674	9319	87.3	1355	12.7	4073	38.2	5375	50.4	906	8.5	320	3.0

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	173	143	82.7	30	17.3	95	54.9	66	38.2	9	5.2	3	1.7
	18-20	1450	1236	85.2	214	14.8	751	51.8	522	36.0	101	7.0	76	5.2
	21-30	6324	5389	85.2	935	14.8	2977	47.1	2547	40.3	444	7.0	356	5.6
	31-40	3892	3243	83.3	649	16.7	1819	46.7	1571	40.4	324	8.3	178	4.6
	41-50	2271	1815	79.9	456	20.1	1242	54.7	717	31.6	181	8.0	131	5.8
	51-60	836	709	84.8	127	15.2	537	64.2	207	24.8	51	6.1	41	4.9
	61-70	188	160	85.1	28	14.9	120	63.8	47	25.0	14	7.4	7	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	59	50	84.7	9	15.3	48	81.4	7	11.9	1	1.7	3	5.1
	TOTAL	15193	12745	83.9	2448	16.1	7589	50.0	5684	37.4	1125	7.4	795	5.2
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	94	73	77.7	21	22.3	34	36.2	16	17.0	14	14.9	30	31.9
	21-30	751	642	85.5	109	14.5	310	41.3	158	21.0	98	13.0	185	24.6
	31-40	501	425	84.8	76	15.2	245	48.9	87	17.4	85	17.0	84	16.8
	41-50	271	219	80.8	52	19.2	161	59.4	28	10.3	47	17.3	35	12.9
	51-60	92	83	90.2	9	9.8	48	52.2	8	8.7	23	25.0	13	14.1
	61-70	29	28	96.6	1	3.4	18	62.1	1	3.4	3	10.3	7	24.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1754	1482	84.5	272	15.5	829	47.3	300	17.1	271	15.5	354	20.2
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	56	45	80.4	11	19.6	26	46.4	25	44.6	2	3.6	3	5.4
	18-20	404	360	89.1	44	10.9	121	30.0	237	58.7	22	5.4	24	5.9
	21-30	1688	1537	91.1	151	8.9	437	25.9	1111	65.8	83	4.9	57	3.4
	31-40	1130	950	84.1	180	15.9	440	38.9	548	48.5	95	8.4	47	4.2
	41-50	726	600	82.6	126	17.4	321	44.2	275	37.9	98	13.5	32	4.4
	51-60	239	210	87.9	29	12.1	131	54.8	76	31.8	22	9.2	10	4.2
	61-70	88	80	90.9	8	9.1	50	56.8	29	33.0	7	8.0	2	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	28	84.8	4	12.1	0	0.0	1	3.0
	TOTAL	4364	3809	87.3	555	12.7	1554	35.6	2305	52.8	329	7.5	176	4.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	47	37	78.7	10	21.3	31	66.0	16	34.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	253	210	83.0	43	17.0	180	71.1	64	25.3	3	1.2	6	2.4
	21-30	850	719	84.6	131	15.4	558	65.6	264	31.1	12	1.4	16	1.9
	31-40	515	411	79.8	104	20.2	366	71.1	135	26.2	7	1.4	7	1.4
	41-50	404	314	77.7	90	22.3	309	76.5	80	19.8	9	2.2	6	1.5
	51-60	167	128	76.6	39	23.4	147	88.0	16	9.6	3	1.8	1	0.6
	61-70	45	35	77.8	10	22.2	38	84.4	6	13.3	1	2.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	16	94.1	0	0.0	1	5.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2298	1868	81.3	430	18.7	1645	71.6	581	25.3	36	1.6	36	1.6

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	30	27	90.0	3	10.0	14	46.7	14	46.7	0	0.0	2	6.7
	18-20	244	210	86.1	34	13.9	88	36.1	116	47.5	13	5.3	27	11.1
	21-30	1268	1115	87.9	153	12.1	396	31.2	608	47.9	38	3.0	226	17.8
	31-40	1002	842	84.0	160	16.0	468	46.7	333	33.2	57	5.7	144	14.4
	41-50	628	505	80.4	123	19.6	370	58.9	134	21.3	36	5.7	88	14.0
	51-60	248	211	85.1	37	14.9	157	63.3	32	12.9	18	7.3	41	16.5
	61-70	55	43	78.2	12	21.8	39	70.9	5	9.1	5	9.1	6	10.9
	71 & ABOVE	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	19	76.0	3	12.0	2	8.0	1	4.0
	TOTAL	3500	2971	84.9	529	15.1	1551	44.3	1245	35.6	169	4.8	535	15.3
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	29	24	82.8	5	17.2	13	44.8	15	51.7	1	3.4	0	0.0
	18-20	269	225	83.6	44	16.4	142	52.8	119	44.2	3	1.1	5	1.9
	21-30	1158	953	82.3	205	17.7	511	44.1	565	48.8	32	2.8	50	4.3
	31-40	674	533	79.1	141	20.9	318	47.2	313	46.4	26	3.9	17	2.5
	41-50	457	358	78.3	99	21.7	279	61.1	151	33.0	22	4.8	5	1.1
	51-60	181	142	78.5	39	21.5	120	66.3	51	28.2	4	2.2	6	3.3
	61-70	46	36	78.3	10	21.7	33	71.7	11	23.9	1	2.2	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2824	2279	80.7	545	19.3	1425	50.5	1226	43.4	89	3.2	84	3.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	63	46	73.0	17	27.0	29	46.0	28	44.4	0	0.0	6	9.5
	18-20	488	415	85.0	73	15.0	137	28.1	278	57.0	13	2.7	60	12.3
	21-30	2969	2602	87.6	367	12.4	810	27.3	1684	56.7	117	3.9	358	12.1
	31-40	1813	1519	83.8	294	16.2	665	36.7	839	46.3	79	4.4	230	12.7
	41-50	1206	982	81.4	224	18.6	560	46.4	428	35.5	67	5.6	151	12.5
	51-60	443	385	86.9	58	13.1	227	51.2	136	30.7	27	6.1	53	12.0
	61-70	108	94	87.0	14	13.0	58	53.7	32	29.6	3	2.8	15	13.9
	71 & ABOVE	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	15	51.7	11	37.9	1	3.4	2	6.9
	TOTAL	7119	6068	85.2	1051	14.8	2501	35.1	3436	48.3	307	4.3	875	12.3
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	21	63.6	12	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	176	147	83.5	29	16.5	92	52.3	76	43.2	5	2.8	3	1.7
	21-30	649	536	82.6	113	17.4	329	50.7	279	43.0	17	2.6	24	3.7
	31-40	404	322	79.7	82	20.3	254	62.9	133	32.9	10	2.5	7	1.7
	41-50	342	276	80.7	66	19.3	244	71.3	79	23.1	7	2.0	12	3.5
	51-60	119	93	78.2	26	21.8	101	84.9	14	11.8	1	0.8	3	2.5
	61-70	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	12	75.0	2	12.5	1	6.3	1	6.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1744	1417	81.3	327	18.8	1057	60.6	596	34.2	41	2.4	50	2.9

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	18	85.7	2	9.5	0	0.0	1	4.8
	18-20	93	78	83.9	15	16.1	81	87.1	6	6.5	1	1.1	5	5.4
	21-30	362	281	77.6	81	22.4	295	81.5	44	12.2	10	2.8	13	3.6
	31-40	298	232	77.9	66	22.1	254	85.2	26	8.7	9	3.0	9	3.0
	41-50	245	192	78.4	53	21.6	218	89.0	12	4.9	5	2.0	10	4.1
	51-60	105	80	76.2	25	23.8	100	95.2	2	1.9	1	1.0	2	1.9
	61-70	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	26	83.9	2	6.5	1	3.2	2	6.5
	71 & ABOVE	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1164	917	78.8	247	21.2	1000	85.9	95	8.2	27	2.3	42	3.6
SIERRA	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	42	35	83.3	7	16.7	42	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	24	82.8	3	10.3	0	0.0	2	6.9
	21-30	96	85	88.5	11	11.5	69	71.9	19	19.8	2	2.1	6	6.3
	31-40	89	75	84.3	14	15.7	71	79.8	10	11.2	3	3.4	5	5.6
	41-50	100	78	78.0	22	22.0	88	88.0	8	8.0	0	0.0	4	4.0
	51-60	45	40	88.9	5	11.1	44	97.8	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	380	322	84.7	58	15.3	317	83.4	41	10.8	5	1.3	17	4.5
SOLANO	UNDER 18	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	16	59.3	7	25.9	2	7.4	2	7.4
	18-20	170	146	85.9	24	14.1	97	57.1	50	29.4	14	8.2	9	5.3
	21-30	584	513	87.8	71	12.2	232	39.7	212	36.3	101	17.3	39	6.7
	31-40	415	335	80.7	80	19.3	197	47.5	98	23.6	96	23.1	24	5.8
	41-50	321	252	78.5	69	21.5	180	56.1	54	16.8	67	20.9	20	6.2
	51-60	130	109	83.8	21	16.2	78	60.0	23	17.7	23	17.7	6	4.6
	61-70	37	34	91.9	3	8.1	17	45.9	7	18.9	13	35.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	12	75.0	2	12.5	2	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1700	1426	83.9	274	16.1	829	48.8	453	26.6	318	18.7	100	5.9

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	24	70.6	8	23.5	1	2.9	1	2.9
	18-20	279	246	88.2	33	11.8	158	56.6	104	37.3	5	1.8	12	4.3
	21-30	1068	954	89.3	114	10.7	513	48.0	504	47.2	27	2.5	24	2.2
	31-40	615	495	80.5	120	19.5	398	64.7	183	29.8	13	2.1	21	3.4
	41-50	481	361	75.1	120	24.9	367	76.3	80	16.6	21	4.4	13	2.7
	51-60	232	190	81.9	42	18.1	202	87.1	19	8.2	5	2.2	6	2.6
	61-70	42	32	76.2	10	23.8	33	78.6	5	11.9	4	9.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	21	87.5	1	4.2	0	0.0	2	8.3
	TOTAL	2775	2326	83.8	449	16.2	1716	61.8	904	32.6	76	2.7	79	2.8
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	36	33	91.7	3	8.3	18	50.0	15	41.7	1	2.8	2	5.6
	18-20	239	211	88.3	28	11.7	90	37.7	140	58.6	2	0.8	7	2.9
	21-30	901	785	87.1	116	12.9	310	34.4	537	59.6	29	3.2	25	2.8
	31-40	531	432	81.4	99	18.6	244	46.0	248	46.7	28	5.3	11	2.1
	41-50	340	279	82.1	61	17.9	178	52.4	133	39.1	18	5.3	11	3.2
	51-60	138	117	84.8	21	15.2	85	61.6	37	26.8	6	4.3	10	7.2
	61-70	37	31	83.8	6	16.2	24	64.9	12	32.4	0	0.0	1	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	4	50.0	3	37.5	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2230	1896	85.0	334	15.0	953	42.7	1125	50.4	85	3.8	67	3.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	90	78	86.7	12	13.3	52	57.8	31	34.4	1	1.1	6	6.7
	21-30	273	243	89.0	30	11.0	123	45.1	112	41.0	11	4.0	27	9.9
	31-40	201	167	83.1	34	16.9	126	62.7	63	31.3	8	4.0	4	2.0
	41-50	122	88	72.1	34	27.9	78	63.9	25	20.5	6	4.9	13	10.7
	51-60	41	36	87.8	5	12.2	31	75.6	7	17.1	1	2.4	2	4.9
	61-70	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	15	75.0	3	15.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	762	644	84.5	118	15.5	437	57.3	244	32.0	28	3.7	53	7.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	49	42	85.7	7	14.3	42	85.7	7	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	280	240	85.7	40	14.3	196	70.0	77	27.5	3	1.1	4	1.4
	31-40	238	184	77.3	54	22.7	177	74.4	52	21.8	4	1.7	5	2.1
	41-50	144	117	81.3	27	18.8	126	87.5	15	10.4	1	0.7	2	1.4
	51-60	93	80	86.0	13	14.0	82	88.2	10	10.8	0	0.0	1	1.1
	61-70	30	21	70.0	9	30.0	28	93.3	2	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	847	696	82.2	151	17.8	663	78.3	164	19.4	8	0.9	12	1.4

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	78	67	85.9	11	14.1	72	92.3	2	2.6	1	1.3	3	3.8
	31-40	51	38	74.5	13	25.5	47	92.2	0	0.0	1	2.0	3	5.9
	41-50	80	60	75.0	20	25.0	76	95.0	3	3.8	0	0.0	1	1.2
	51-60	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	257	206	80.2	51	19.8	243	94.6	5	1.9	2	0.8	7	2.7
TULARE	UNDER 18	33	31	93.9	2	6.1	5	15.2	27	81.8	1	3.0	0	0.0
	18-20	344	320	93.0	24	7.0	68	19.8	266	77.3	2	0.6	8	2.3
	21-30	1376	1259	91.5	117	8.5	203	14.8	1139	82.8	13	0.9	21	1.5
	31-40	792	703	88.8	89	11.2	158	19.9	605	76.4	13	1.6	16	2.0
	41-50	426	354	83.1	72	16.9	135	31.7	276	64.8	6	1.4	9	2.1
	51-60	153	138	90.2	15	9.8	57	37.3	89	58.2	2	1.3	5	3.3
	61-70	34	32	94.1	2	5.9	21	61.8	12	35.3	0	0.0	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3169	2847	89.8	322	10.2	655	20.7	2417	76.3	37	1.2	60	1.9
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	38	97.4	1	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	126	96	76.2	30	23.8	119	94.4	4	3.2	0	0.0	3	2.4
	31-40	116	91	78.4	25	21.6	108	93.1	3	2.6	2	1.7	3	2.6
	41-50	115	95	82.6	20	17.4	111	96.5	2	1.7	0	0.0	2	1.7
	51-60	47	40	85.1	7	14.9	47	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	464	372	80.2	92	19.8	443	95.5	11	2.4	2	0.4	8	1.7
VENTURA	UNDER 18	40	34	85.0	6	15.0	17	42.5	23	57.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	363	308	84.8	55	15.2	162	44.6	185	51.0	6	1.7	10	2.8
	21-30	1521	1323	87.0	198	13.0	563	37.0	887	58.3	35	2.3	36	2.4
	31-40	1068	912	85.4	156	14.6	451	42.2	560	52.4	34	3.2	23	2.2
	41-50	667	522	78.3	145	21.7	409	61.3	220	33.0	19	2.8	19	2.8
	51-60	260	215	82.7	45	17.3	176	67.7	73	28.1	7	2.7	4	1.5
	61-70	63	56	88.9	7	11.1	47	74.6	13	20.6	3	4.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	22	84.6	3	11.5	1	3.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4008	3393	84.7	615	15.3	1847	46.1	1964	49.0	105	2.6	92	2.3

TABLE B1: 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	10	66.7	5	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	117	107	91.5	10	8.5	61	52.1	50	42.7	2	1.7	4	3.4
	21-30	407	357	87.7	50	12.3	192	47.2	193	47.4	4	1.0	18	4.4
	31-40	260	214	82.3	46	17.7	148	56.9	94	36.2	10	3.8	8	3.1
	41-50	185	150	81.1	35	18.9	120	64.9	47	25.4	7	3.8	11	5.9
	51-60	69	58	84.1	11	15.9	53	76.8	15	21.7	0	0.0	1	1.4
	61-70	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	13	65.0	5	25.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1082	924	85.4	158	14.6	604	55.8	411	38.0	24	2.2	43	4.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	58	56	96.6	2	3.4	33	56.9	16	27.6	4	6.9	5	8.6
	21-30	206	172	83.5	34	16.5	125	60.7	65	31.6	7	3.4	9	4.4
	31-40	168	130	77.4	38	22.6	123	73.2	35	20.8	8	4.8	2	1.2
	41-50	149	127	85.2	22	14.8	113	75.8	25	16.8	8	5.4	3	2.0
	51-60	60	44	73.3	16	26.7	52	86.7	6	10.0	1	1.7	1	1.7
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	667	552	82.8	115	17.2	467	70.0	151	22.6	29	4.3	20	3.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		138349	100.0	117446	100.0	20903	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	8	0.2	8	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	165	4.7	148	5.0	17	3.0
	21-30	1177	33.3	1014	34.1	163	29.1
	31-40	1080	30.6	889	29.9	191	34.1
	41-50	722	20.5	590	19.9	132	23.6
	51-60	282	8.0	240	8.1	42	7.5
	61-70	71	2.0	58	2.0	13	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.7	23	0.8	2	0.4
	TOTAL	3530	100.0	2970	100.0	560	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	3	13.6	3	15.0	0	0.0
	31-40	9	40.9	8	40.0	1	50.0
	41-50	7	31.8	7	35.0	0	0.0
	51-60	3	13.6	2	10.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	22	100.0	20	100.0	2	100.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	2.5
	18-20	12	7.1	12	9.2	0	0.0
	21-30	38	22.4	31	23.8	7	17.5
	31-40	56	32.9	44	33.8	12	30.0
	41-50	36	21.2	24	18.5	12	30.0
	51-60	21	12.4	13	10.0	8	20.0
	61-70	4	2.4	4	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.2	2	1.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	170	100.0	130	100.0	40	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	9	0.8	9	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	99	8.4	84	9.0	15	6.2
	21-30	421	35.8	350	37.6	71	29.2
	31-40	276	23.5	200	21.5	76	31.3
	41-50	230	19.6	171	18.3	59	24.3
	51-60	96	8.2	80	8.6	16	6.6
	61-70	36	3.1	31	3.3	5	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.7	7	0.8	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1175	100.0	932	100.0	243	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	12	7.9	10	7.9	2	8.0
	21-30	26	17.2	25	19.8	1	4.0
	31-40	34	22.5	28	22.2	6	24.0
	41-50	50	33.1	39	31.0	11	44.0
	51-60	21	13.9	17	13.5	4	16.0
	61-70	6	4.0	5	4.0	1	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.3	2	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	151	100.0	126	100.0	25	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	15	6.8	13	6.9	2	6.5
	21-30	64	29.1	57	30.2	7	22.6
	31-40	66	30.0	53	28.0	13	41.9
	41-50	42	19.1	35	18.5	7	22.6
	51-60	25	11.4	23	12.2	2	6.5
	61-70	6	2.7	6	3.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.9	2	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	220	100.0	189	100.0	31	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	17	0.5	16	0.5	1	0.2
	18-20	188	5.3	163	5.5	25	4.0
	21-30	1231	34.5	1048	35.6	183	29.5
	31-40	1056	29.6	858	29.1	198	31.9
	41-50	695	19.5	544	18.5	151	24.3
	51-60	270	7.6	224	7.6	46	7.4
	61-70	87	2.4	73	2.5	14	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.6	19	0.6	3	0.5
	TOTAL	3566	100.0	2945	100.0	621	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
DEL NORTE	18-20	11	6.3	6	4.4	5	12.2
	21-30	47	26.7	37	27.4	10	24.4
	31-40	44	25.0	36	26.7	8	19.5
	41-50	48	27.3	34	25.2	14	34.1
	51-60	20	11.4	17	12.6	3	7.3
	61-70	3	1.7	3	2.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.7	2	1.5	1	2.4
	TOTAL	176	100.0	135	100.0	41	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	3	0.3	3	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	52	6.0	46	6.6	6	3.8
	21-30	232	27.0	198	28.2	34	21.4
	31-40	211	24.5	174	24.8	37	23.3
	41-50	259	30.1	199	28.4	60	37.7
	51-60	78	9.1	63	9.0	15	9.4
	61-70	21	2.4	15	2.1	6	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	3	0.4	1	0.6
	TOTAL	860	100.0	701	100.0	159	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	8	0.2	8	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	209	6.2	184	6.4	25	5.2
	21-30	1313	38.9	1165	40.3	148	30.5
	31-40	925	27.4	777	26.9	148	30.5
	41-50	639	18.9	512	17.7	127	26.2
	51-60	211	6.3	182	6.3	29	6.0
	61-70	48	1.4	42	1.5	6	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.6	18	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	3373	100.0	2888	100.0	485	100.0
GLENN	18-20	12	6.2	11	6.7	1	3.6
	21-30	63	32.6	55	33.3	8	28.6
	31-40	56	29.0	46	27.9	10	35.7
	41-50	44	22.8	35	21.2	9	32.1
	51-60	10	5.2	10	6.1	0	0.0
	61-70	8	4.1	8	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	193	100.0	165	100.0	28	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	42	5.4	28	4.8	14	7.1
	21-30	353	45.4	262	45.1	91	46.4
	31-40	156	20.1	108	18.6	48	24.5
	41-50	148	19.0	113	19.4	35	17.9
	51-60	63	8.1	55	9.5	8	4.1
	61-70	14	1.8	14	2.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	777	100.0	581	100.0	196	100.0
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	2	0.3	0	0.0	2	2.8
	18-20	38	5.2	36	5.4	2	2.8
	21-30	214	29.1	195	29.4	19	26.8
	31-40	220	29.9	194	29.2	26	36.6
	41-50	162	22.0	151	22.7	11	15.5
	51-60	61	8.3	55	8.3	6	8.5
	61-70	31	4.2	27	4.1	4	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.0	6	0.9	1	1.4
	TOTAL	735	100.0	664	100.0	71	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	2	1.1	2	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	7	3.8	6	3.9	1	3.3
	21-30	44	24.2	38	25.0	6	20.0
	31-40	48	26.4	39	25.7	9	30.0
	41-50	55	30.2	45	29.6	10	33.3
	51-60	22	12.1	19	12.5	3	10.0
	61-70	3	1.6	2	1.3	1	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	182	100.0	152	100.0	30	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
KERN	UNDER 18	13	0.4	11	0.4	2	0.4
	18-20	263	7.2	240	7.7	23	4.2
	21-30	1343	36.9	1177	38.0	166	30.6
	31-40	1028	28.2	844	27.2	184	33.9
	41-50	652	17.9	528	17.0	124	22.9
	51-60	260	7.1	225	7.3	35	6.5
	61-70	68	1.9	63	2.0	5	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.4	13	0.4	3	0.6
	TOTAL	3643	100.0	3101	100.0	542	100.0
KINGS	18-20	73	9.9	65	10.1	8	8.6
	21-30	322	43.6	285	44.1	37	39.8
	31-40	186	25.2	160	24.8	26	28.0
	41-50	110	14.9	94	14.6	16	17.2
	51-60	37	5.0	33	5.1	4	4.3
	61-70	10	1.4	8	1.2	2	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	739	100.0	646	100.0	93	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	2	0.4	1	0.3	1	0.8
	18-20	32	6.4	31	8.3	1	0.8
	21-30	128	25.7	101	27.1	27	21.6
	31-40	142	28.5	98	26.3	44	35.2
	41-50	131	26.3	95	25.5	36	28.8
	51-60	48	9.6	37	9.9	11	8.8
	61-70	9	1.8	5	1.3	4	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.2	5	1.3	1	0.8
	TOTAL	498	100.0	373	100.0	125	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	21	9.6	16	8.9	5	13.2
	21-30	61	28.0	50	27.8	11	28.9
	31-40	51	23.4	38	21.1	13	34.2
	41-50	55	25.2	50	27.8	5	13.2
	51-60	21	9.6	18	10.0	3	7.9
	61-70	6	2.8	5	2.8	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.9	2	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	218	100.0	180	100.0	38	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	28	0.1	25	0.1	3	0.1
	18-20	1160	3.8	1027	3.8	133	3.4
	21-30	11552	37.6	10151	37.9	1401	35.7
	31-40	9903	32.2	8610	32.1	1293	32.9
	41-50	5525	18.0	4737	17.7	788	20.1
	51-60	1909	6.2	1676	6.3	233	5.9
	61-70	524	1.7	464	1.7	60	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	135	0.4	119	0.4	16	0.4
	TOTAL	30736	100.0	26809	100.0	3927	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	3	0.6	3	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	29	5.6	24	5.2	5	9.4
	21-30	199	38.5	183	39.4	16	30.2
	31-40	145	28.0	131	28.2	14	26.4
	41-50	90	17.4	76	16.4	14	26.4
	51-60	37	7.2	33	7.1	4	7.5
	61-70	10	1.9	10	2.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.8	4	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	517	100.0	464	100.0	53	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	3	0.3	3	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	44	3.9	32	3.7	12	4.6
	21-30	348	30.8	283	32.5	65	25.0
	31-40	320	28.3	249	28.6	71	27.3
	41-50	257	22.7	172	19.8	85	32.7
	51-60	109	9.6	90	10.3	19	7.3
	61-70	36	3.2	30	3.4	6	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	13	1.2	11	1.3	2	0.8
	TOTAL	1130	100.0	870	100.0	260	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MARIPOSA	18-20	4	4.7	3	4.1	1	8.3
	21-30	18	20.9	16	21.6	2	16.7
	31-40	26	30.2	22	29.7	4	33.3
	41-50	23	26.7	18	24.3	5	41.7
	51-60	13	15.1	13	17.6	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2.3	2	2.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	86	100.0	74	100.0	12	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	45	7.5	38	7.8	7	6.4
	21-30	195	32.6	163	33.4	32	29.1
	31-40	155	25.9	123	25.2	32	29.1
	41-50	133	22.2	103	21.1	30	27.3
	51-60	54	9.0	49	10.0	5	4.5
	61-70	9	1.5	7	1.4	2	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.0	4	0.8	2	1.8
	TOTAL	598	100.0	488	100.0	110	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	9	0.8	9	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	72	6.5	66	6.8	6	4.7
	21-30	429	38.9	393	40.3	36	28.3
	31-40	292	26.5	250	25.6	42	33.1
	41-50	209	18.9	173	17.7	36	28.3
	51-60	71	6.4	64	6.6	7	5.5
	61-70	17	1.5	17	1.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1103	100.0	976	100.0	127	100.0
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1.4	1	1.6	0	0.0
	18-20	9	12.3	8	13.1	1	8.3
	21-30	12	16.4	11	18.0	1	8.3
	31-40	21	28.8	18	29.5	3	25.0
	41-50	19	26.0	15	24.6	4	33.3
	51-60	7	9.6	5	8.2	2	16.7
	61-70	2	2.7	1	1.6	1	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.7	2	3.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	73	100.0	61	100.0	12	100.0
MONO	18-20	5	4.7	5	5.3	0	0.0
	21-30	30	28.3	29	30.9	1	8.3
	31-40	30	28.3	26	27.7	4	33.3
	41-50	32	30.2	27	28.7	5	41.7
	51-60	8	7.5	7	7.4	1	8.3
	61-70	1	0.9	0	0.0	1	8.3
	TOTAL	106	100.0	94	100.0	12	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	3	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	180	6.8	166	7.1	14	4.2
	21-30	1219	45.7	1113	47.7	106	32.1
	31-40	665	25.0	561	24.0	104	31.5
	41-50	390	14.6	317	13.6	73	22.1
	51-60	148	5.6	126	5.4	22	6.7
	61-70	45	1.7	39	1.7	6	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.6	10	0.4	5	1.5
	TOTAL	2665	100.0	2335	100.0	330	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	60	6.5	53	6.5	7	6.3
	21-30	347	37.5	317	38.9	30	26.8
	31-40	250	27.0	212	26.0	38	33.9
	41-50	174	18.8	148	18.2	26	23.2
	51-60	69	7.5	61	7.5	8	7.1
	61-70	20	2.2	17	2.1	3	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	926	100.0	814	100.0	112	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	2	0.4	2	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	32	5.6	26	5.9	6	4.6
	21-30	169	29.8	135	30.9	34	26.2
	31-40	160	28.2	124	28.4	36	27.7
	41-50	130	22.9	92	21.1	38	29.2
	51-60	56	9.9	41	9.4	15	11.5
	61-70	13	2.3	12	2.7	1	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.9	5	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	567	100.0	437	100.0	130	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	21	0.2	20	0.2	1	0.0
	18-20	555	4.3	457	4.2	98	4.6
	21-30	4896	37.7	4160	38.3	736	34.3
	31-40	4059	31.2	3428	31.6	631	29.4
	41-50	2373	18.3	1884	17.4	489	22.8
	51-60	840	6.5	691	6.4	149	6.9
	61-70	200	1.5	169	1.6	31	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	58	0.4	45	0.4	13	0.6
	TOTAL	13002	100.0	10854	100.0	2148	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	9	0.7	6	0.5	3	1.2
	18-20	104	7.6	90	8.0	14	5.6
	21-30	463	33.7	407	36.1	56	22.6
	31-40	369	26.9	285	25.3	84	33.9
	41-50	261	19.0	203	18.0	58	23.4
	51-60	128	9.3	101	9.0	27	10.9
	61-70	24	1.7	20	1.8	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	16	1.2	14	1.2	2	0.8
	TOTAL	1374	100.0	1126	100.0	248	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	10	4.6	8	4.7	2	4.3
	21-30	39	17.9	33	19.2	6	13.0
	31-40	61	28.0	44	25.6	17	37.0
	41-50	55	25.2	40	23.3	15	32.6
	51-60	39	17.9	36	20.9	3	6.5
	61-70	8	3.7	6	3.5	2	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	2.8	5	2.9	1	2.2
	TOTAL	218	100.0	172	100.0	46	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	10	0.1	9	0.2	1	0.1
	18-20	387	5.6	340	5.7	47	4.7
	21-30	2456	35.4	2167	36.5	289	29.1
	31-40	2029	29.3	1730	29.1	299	30.1
	41-50	1349	19.5	1101	18.5	248	24.9
	51-60	468	6.8	396	6.7	72	7.2
	61-70	177	2.6	148	2.5	29	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	54	0.8	45	0.8	9	0.9
	TOTAL	6930	100.0	5936	100.0	994	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	22	0.5	18	0.5	4	0.4
	18-20	213	4.5	180	4.7	33	3.7
	21-30	1707	36.0	1405	36.5	302	33.9
	31-40	1438	30.3	1134	29.4	304	34.1
	41-50	904	19.1	726	18.9	178	20.0
	51-60	333	7.0	278	7.2	55	6.2
	61-70	95	2.0	85	2.2	10	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	31	0.7	25	0.6	6	0.7
	TOTAL	4743	100.0	3851	100.0	892	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	28	8.7	27	9.7	1	2.3
	21-30	112	34.8	98	35.3	14	31.8
	31-40	93	28.9	81	29.1	12	27.3
	41-50	60	18.6	47	16.9	13	29.5
	51-60	23	7.1	19	6.8	4	9.1
	61-70	3	0.9	3	1.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.6	2	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	322	100.0	278	100.0	44	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	12	0.2	11	0.2	1	0.1
	18-20	417	5.5	370	5.6	47	4.4
	21-30	2762	36.2	2444	37.2	318	29.9
	31-40	2247	29.4	1882	28.7	365	34.3
	41-50	1479	19.4	1234	18.8	245	23.0
	51-60	495	6.5	430	6.5	65	6.1
	61-70	168	2.2	148	2.3	20	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	50	0.7	46	0.7	4	0.4
	TOTAL	7630	100.0	6565	100.0	1065	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	27	0.2	22	0.2	5	0.3
	18-20	681	6.0	583	6.1	98	5.8
	21-30	4606	40.7	4012	41.7	594	35.4
	31-40	3195	28.3	2708	28.1	487	29.0
	41-50	1897	16.8	1531	15.9	366	21.8
	51-60	656	5.8	566	5.9	90	5.4
	61-70	199	1.8	169	1.8	30	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.4	41	0.4	7	0.4
	TOTAL	11309	100.0	9632	100.0	1677	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	26	2.9	22	2.9	4	2.7
	21-30	341	38.0	281	37.6	60	40.3
	31-40	283	31.5	240	32.1	43	28.9
	41-50	151	16.8	118	15.8	33	22.1
	51-60	72	8.0	65	8.7	7	4.7
	61-70	20	2.2	18	2.4	2	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	897	100.0	748	100.0	149	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	15	0.5	13	0.5	2	0.4
	18-20	233	8.0	209	8.6	24	5.1
	21-30	1015	35.0	897	36.9	118	25.2
	31-40	806	27.8	632	26.0	174	37.2
	41-50	527	18.2	420	17.3	107	22.9
	51-60	212	7.3	189	7.8	23	4.9
	61-70	78	2.7	61	2.5	17	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.4	10	0.4	3	0.6
	TOTAL	2899	100.0	2431	100.0	468	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	4	0.3	3	0.2	1	0.3
	18-20	112	7.1	91	7.2	21	7.0
	21-30	614	39.2	531	41.9	83	27.7
	31-40	385	24.6	308	24.3	77	25.7
	41-50	316	20.2	236	18.6	80	26.7
	51-60	101	6.4	74	5.8	27	9.0
	61-70	23	1.5	17	1.3	6	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.8	8	0.6	5	1.7
	TOTAL	1568	100.0	1268	100.0	300	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	15	0.5	13	0.5	2	0.5
	18-20	121	4.3	106	4.5	15	3.4
	21-30	920	32.8	812	34.3	108	24.7
	31-40	843	30.1	698	29.5	145	33.2
	41-50	578	20.6	463	19.6	115	26.3
	51-60	248	8.9	200	8.5	48	11.0
	61-70	59	2.1	56	2.4	3	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.6	17	0.7	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2802	100.0	2365	100.0	437	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	10	0.4	7	0.3	3	0.7
	18-20	200	7.7	158	7.4	42	9.2
	21-30	1088	42.0	935	43.8	153	33.6
	31-40	685	26.4	559	26.2	126	27.7
	41-50	403	15.6	316	14.8	87	19.1
	51-60	155	6.0	120	5.6	35	7.7
	61-70	38	1.5	32	1.5	6	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.5	9	0.4	3	0.7
	TOTAL	2591	100.0	2136	100.0	455	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	16	0.2	14	0.2	2	0.2
	18-20	312	4.5	275	4.6	37	4.0
	21-30	2749	39.4	2418	40.0	331	35.5
	31-40	2056	29.5	1760	29.1	296	31.8
	41-50	1260	18.1	1063	17.6	197	21.1
	51-60	446	6.4	395	6.5	51	5.5
	61-70	116	1.7	102	1.7	14	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.3	16	0.3	4	0.4
	TOTAL	6975	100.0	6043	100.0	932	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	7	0.5	7	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	102	7.1	82	6.9	20	8.0
	21-30	556	38.8	484	40.9	72	28.8
	31-40	368	25.7	304	25.7	64	25.6
	41-50	282	19.7	209	17.7	73	29.2
	51-60	94	6.6	76	6.4	18	7.2
	61-70	20	1.4	17	1.4	3	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.3	4	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1433	100.0	1183	100.0	250	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	8	0.7	8	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	87	8.0	65	7.7	22	9.2
	21-30	314	28.9	248	29.3	66	27.5
	31-40	303	27.9	229	27.1	74	30.8
	41-50	237	21.8	177	20.9	60	25.0
	51-60	99	9.1	86	10.2	13	5.4
	61-70	25	2.3	21	2.5	4	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	13	1.2	12	1.4	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1086	100.0	846	100.0	240	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	1	3.4	1	4.3	0	0.0
	21-30	5	17.2	5	21.7	0	0.0
	31-40	8	27.6	5	21.7	3	50.0
	41-50	6	20.7	5	21.7	1	16.7
	51-60	7	24.1	5	21.7	2	33.3
	61-70	2	6.9	2	8.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	29	100.0	23	100.0	6	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	2	0.7	1	0.4	1	2.3
	18-20	19	6.4	18	7.1	1	2.3
	21-30	58	19.5	49	19.3	9	20.5
	31-40	75	25.2	56	22.0	19	43.2
	41-50	86	28.9	79	31.1	7	15.9
	51-60	40	13.4	35	13.8	5	11.4
	61-70	17	5.7	15	5.9	2	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	298	100.0	254	100.0	44	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	8	0.6	7	0.6	1	0.4
	18-20	106	7.4	92	7.7	14	6.1
	21-30	438	30.8	376	31.5	62	26.8
	31-40	387	27.2	329	27.6	58	25.1
	41-50	309	21.7	237	19.9	72	31.2
	51-60	125	8.8	107	9.0	18	7.8
	61-70	43	3.0	37	3.1	6	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	7	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1423	100.0	1192	100.0	231	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	19	0.8	14	0.7	5	1.2
	18-20	168	7.1	140	7.1	28	6.7
	21-30	898	37.8	799	40.8	99	23.7
	31-40	589	24.8	475	24.2	114	27.3
	41-50	463	19.5	341	17.4	122	29.3
	51-60	198	8.3	156	8.0	42	10.1
	61-70	36	1.5	30	1.5	6	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.3	5	0.3	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2377	100.0	1960	100.0	417	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	9	0.6	8	0.6	1	0.4
	18-20	122	7.5	114	8.2	8	3.3
	21-30	639	39.2	566	40.8	73	29.8
	31-40	410	25.1	325	23.4	85	34.7
	41-50	278	17.0	222	16.0	56	22.9
	51-60	118	7.2	100	7.2	18	7.3
	61-70	47	2.9	44	3.2	3	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	7	0.5	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1631	100.0	1386	100.0	245	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	3	1.0	3	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	17	5.7	16	6.1	1	2.9
	21-30	118	39.5	105	39.8	13	37.1
	31-40	82	27.4	72	27.3	10	28.6
	41-50	49	16.4	40	15.2	9	25.7
	51-60	23	7.7	22	8.3	1	2.9
	61-70	7	2.3	6	2.3	1	2.9
	TOTAL	299	100.0	264	100.0	35	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	32	6.9	29	7.6	3	3.7
	21-30	155	33.5	132	34.6	23	28.0
	31-40	109	23.5	84	22.0	25	30.5
	41-50	121	26.1	92	24.1	29	35.4
	51-60	31	6.7	29	7.6	2	2.4
	61-70	8	1.7	8	2.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.3	6	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	463	100.0	381	100.0	82	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	7	7.8	7	9.1	0	0.0
	21-30	15	16.7	14	18.2	1	7.7
	31-40	25	27.8	22	28.6	3	23.1
	41-50	27	30.0	20	26.0	7	53.8
	51-60	10	11.1	8	10.4	2	15.4
	61-70	3	3.3	3	3.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3.3	3	3.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	90	100.0	77	100.0	13	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	11	0.5	11	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	186	8.2	169	8.4	17	6.7
	21-30	897	39.5	815	40.4	82	32.2
	31-40	657	28.9	570	28.3	87	34.1
	41-50	361	15.9	307	15.2	54	21.2
	51-60	117	5.2	107	5.3	10	3.9
	61-70	34	1.5	30	1.5	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.4	7	0.3	1	0.4
	TOTAL	2271	100.0	2016	100.0	255	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	1.4
	18-20	23	7.1	21	8.2	2	2.9
	21-30	83	25.5	70	27.2	13	18.8
	31-40	81	24.8	54	21.0	27	39.1
	41-50	94	28.8	74	28.8	20	29.0
	51-60	30	9.2	24	9.3	6	8.7
	61-70	11	3.4	11	4.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.9	3	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	326	100.0	257	100.0	69	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	3	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	161	4.5	142	4.8	19	3.3
	21-30	1396	39.3	1187	39.9	209	36.1
	31-40	1062	29.9	890	29.9	172	29.7
	41-50	626	17.6	495	16.6	131	22.6
	51-60	214	6.0	174	5.8	40	6.9
	61-70	70	2.0	63	2.1	7	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.6	22	0.7	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3555	100.0	2976	100.0	579	100.0

TABLE B2: 2000 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	6	0.8	5	0.7	1	0.9
	18-20	47	5.9	42	6.1	5	4.4
	21-30	308	38.6	269	39.3	39	34.5
	31-40	218	27.3	187	27.3	31	27.4
	41-50	144	18.0	117	17.1	27	23.9
	51-60	52	6.5	43	6.3	9	8.0
	61-70	19	2.4	18	2.6	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	798	100.0	685	100.0	113	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	16	5.8	14	6.3	2	3.7
	21-30	87	31.6	76	34.4	11	20.4
	31-40	78	28.4	61	27.6	17	31.5
	41-50	52	18.9	42	19.0	10	18.5
	51-60	29	10.5	19	8.6	10	18.5
	61-70	12	4.4	8	3.6	4	7.4
	TOTAL	275	100.0	221	100.0	54	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1] / UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	76.3%		135142	3207	14564	3093	2691	947/54	3.0	3.1
ALAMEDA	61.0%	SUP OAKLAND	60	17	0	0	4	0/0	3.7	4.3
		JUV SAN LEANDRO	23	2	0	0	1	0/0	3.4	3.6
		ALAMEDA	143	0	25	6	12	3/0	2.0	2.1
		BERKELEY	174	1	12	5	5	2/1	2.1	2.8
		FREMONT	700	7	59	19	14	9/1	2.7	3.3
		PLEASANTON	929	2	109	33	57	0/0	3.4	2.2
		OAKLAND	815	3	87	16	12	77/0	4.0	2.8
		HAYWARD	653	1	42	12	63	0/1	4.5	7.5
		TOTAL	3497	33	334	91	168	91/3	---	---
ALPINE	75.9%	SUP MARKLEVILLE	20	2	0	2	1	0/0	4.8	1.4
		TOTAL	20	2	0	2	1	0/0	---	---
AMADOR	73.9%	JUV AMADOR	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	4.0
		JACKSON	160	8	18	15	7	0/0	2.9	3.7
		TOTAL	162	8	18	15	7	0/0	---	---
BUTTE	83.6%	SUP OROVILLE	41	24	6	2	0	2/0	5.8	1.8
		JUV OROVILLE	21	0	3	3	1	0/0	2.6	2.6
		CHICO	669	0	97	9	3	8/0	3.5	2.0
		OROVILLE	408	7	27	28	8	7/0	3.6	2.2
		PARADISE	5	0	2	0	1	0/0	1.7	0.5
		TOTAL	1144	31	135	42	13	17/0	---	---
CALAVERAS	63.7%	SUP CALAVERAS	55	3	11	10	1	0/0	4.2	1.5
		JUV CALAVERAS	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.4	2.5
		SAN ANDREAS	89	2	11	3	4	2/0	1.6	1.3
		TOTAL	146	5	22	13	5	2/0	---	---
COLUSA	69.6%	SUP COLUSA	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.9	1.8
		JUV COLUSA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	1.9
		COLUSA	214	1	48	8	2	8/0	2.5	1.6
		TOTAL	219	1	48	8	2	8/0	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	77.2%	SUP CONTRA COSTA	49	77	2	0	2	0/0	6.5	3.0
		JUV CONTRA COSTA	32	3	4	0	0	1/0	2.9	3.3
		CONCORD	871	2	109	14	7	3/2	4.4	3.9
		RICHMOND	810	4	122	21	6	5/0	4.5	2.9
		PITTSBURG	797	4	108	8	19	2/2	4.7	4.0
		WALNUT CREEK	915	2	173	23	8	0/2	4.0	3.9
		TOTAL	3474	92	518	66	42	11/6	---	---
DEL NORTE	52.7%	SUP DEL NORTE	3	3	3	0	0	0/0	4.5	10.6
		CRESCENT CITY	166	4	83	7	9	3/0	2.5	4.1
		TOTAL	169	7	86	7	9	3/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1] / UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EL DORADO	67.6%	SUP EL DORADO	97	2	3	1	1	0/0	1.9	3.2
		CAMERON PARK	9	1	1	0	0	0/0	5.3	2.3
		PLACERVILLE	5	0	0	1	1	1/1	2.8	2.6
		SO LAKE TAHOE	201	9	19	15	0	2/0	3.0	5.7
		PLACERVILLE	493	43	53	5	3	10/0	3.4	4.3
		TOTAL	805	55	76	22	5	13/1	---	---
FRESNO	60.8%	SUP FRESNO	27	25	1	0	0	0/0	10.0	6.1
		JUV FRESNO	15	1	1	1	0	0/0	4.2	2.8
		FRESNO	1901	48	494	67	31	32/1	4.7	4.8
		CLOVIS	266	0	99	1	4	2/0	4.6	4.7
		COALINGA	201	4	30	2	4	1/0	3.2	5.9
		FIREBAUGH	185	0	40	1	2	0/0	3.5	5.0
		FOWLER	214	2	43	2	4	0/0	4.5	5.5
		KERMAN	58	1	18	0	0	1/0	4.8	4.3
		KINGSBURG	63	1	20	3	0	0/0	4.5	4.9
		REEDLEY MUN	122	4	16	1	3	2/0	3.4	6.3
		SANGER	124	0	22	0	1	2/0	3.4	8.3
		SELMA MUN	106	3	25	2	4	13/0	4.2	6.1
		US CT FRESNO	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	9.0	3.5
		TOTAL	3283	90	809	80	53	53/1	---	---
GLENN	65.2%	SUP WILLOWS	183	4	23	23	3	0/1	2.3	3.0
		JUV GLENN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.5	7.8
		ORLAND	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.3	5.7
		TOTAL	189	4	23	23	3	0/1	---	---
HUMBOLDT	57.3%	SUPJUV HUMBOLDT	709	32	152	39	10	1/1	3.5	5.9
		EUREKA MUN	0	0	0	0	0	2/0	---	---
		ARCATA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.5	2.1
		GARBERVLE SPMUN	28	0	8	2	0	0/0	3.5	5.0
		HOOPA SUPMUN	7	0	1	4	0	0/0	2.1	2.6
		TOTAL	745	32	161	45	10	3/1	---	---
IMPERIAL	54.8%	JUV IMPERIAL	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	8.0
		BRAWLEY	212	3	43	7	1	0/0	7.5	1.9
		CALEXICO	281	1	39	6	4	2/0	6.5	0.8
		EL CENTRO	231	4	35	17	3	1/0	5.4	1.8
		TOTAL	727	8	117	30	8	3/0	---	---
INYO	70.0%	SUP INYO	9	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	1.7
		JUV INYO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	4.9
		BISHOP	171	1	29	7	1	0/0	2.6	3.2
		TOTAL	181	1	29	7	1	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1]/ UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN	81.1%	SUP KERN	27	15	1	0	5	2/0	2.8	3.1
		JUV KERN	24	1	5	0	1	0/0	1.9	1.0
		ARVIN LAMONT	299	3	28	15	10	6/0	1.9	4.5
		BAKERSFIELD	1979	25	231	49	41	10/1	2.0	2.9
		DELANO	252	17	15	1	1	0/0	2.5	3.2
		LAKE ISABELLA	154	0	9	3	1	0/0	1.8	2.6
		TAFT	191	6	21	1	6	0/0	2.3	4.5
		SHAFTER	202	11	30	4	9	2/0	1.8	3.9
		WASCO	0	0	0	0	0	1/0	---	---
		MOJAVE	240	8	45	17	11	11/0	1.8	2.6
		RIDGECREST	187	1	27	2	5	3/0	1.9	3.1
		TC EDW AFB	1	0	3	0	0	0/0	0.9	8.2
		TOTAL	3556	87	415	92	90	35/1	---	---
KINGS	77.5%	SUP KINGS	16	12	0	0	1	1/0	4.2	1.3
		JUV HANFORD	5	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	3.9
		HANFORD	349	6	48	4	3	1/0	2.4	1.9
		AVENAL	68	2	7	0	0	0/0	3.0	0.9
		CORCORAN	99	1	4	2	4	3/0	2.4	2.3
		LEMOORE	178	2	29	2	4	3/0	2.5	2.3
		TOTAL	715	24	88	8	12	8/0	---	---
LAKE	71.0%	SUP LAKE	33	4	10	0	0	3/0	9.1	7.1
		JUV LAKEPORT	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	1.5
		CLEARLAKE	187	10	11	2	2	5/1	5.2	6.1
		LAKEPORT	254	2	42	1	4	0/0	3.1	6.3
		TOTAL	482	16	63	3	6	8/1	---	---
LASSEN	78.1%	JUV LASSEN	6	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.5	2.0
		SUSANVILLE	210	2	1	17	2	0/0	2.9	4.2
		TOTAL	216	2	1	17	2	0/0	---	---
LOS ANGELES	74.0%	SUP LA	133	71	1	0	4	0/0	5.4	1.2
		SUP POMONA	88	27	1	0	3	0/0	3.8	1.0
		SUP GLENDALE	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	1.3
		SUP LANCASTER	25	15	0	1	1	0/0	4.2	1.6
		SUP VAN NUYS	72	24	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	1.3
		SUP PASADENA	43	9	1	0	3	0/0	4.6	1.0
		SUP VAN NUYS	37	6	1	1	0	0/0	5.4	1.3
		SUP LONG BEACH	36	15	0	0	1	0/0	4.2	1.0
		SUP COMPTON	14	3	0	0	1	0/0	6.0	0.6
		SUP NORWALK	75	26	0	0	1	0/0	4.5	1.0
		SUP TORRANCE	54	9	0	0	1	0/2	5.5	0.8
		SUP SANTA MONCA	40	16	0	0	2	0/0	4.6	1.3
		JUV LA	10	0	0	0	1	0/0	2.3	3.4
		LA JUV CENTRAL	19	3	0	1	3	0/0	3.6	3.5

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1]/ UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		LA MUN	689	5	103	6	47	0/0	2.5	1.3
		ALHAMBRA	587	2	80	13	25	0/2	3.5	1.8
		LANCASTER	816	3	121	9	22	0/1	2.7	2.4
		BEVERLY HILLS	253	4	16	7	4	1/0	3.3	1.0
		BURBANK	319	0	53	14	7	2/0	2.0	1.5
		WEST COVINA	1561	22	55	5	30	2/0	2.5	1.1
		COMPTON	1283	9	98	27	31	4/1	4.0	2.1
		CULVER CITY	52	1	2	0	1	0/0	3.4	1.6
		DOWNEY	893	3	50	10	29	4/0	3.3	2.4
		EAST LA	1307	10	89	67	28	1/0	3.9	1.3
		EL MONTE	806	5	43	5	29	7/1	3.0	1.2
		GLENDALE	519	4	51	4	7	0/0	2.9	2.4
		INGLEWOOD	952	15	83	85	22	3/1	2.7	1.8
		LONG BEACH	1298	11	143	55	22	0/1	2.1	2.6
		LA METRO	5970	6	661	30	768	6/3	1.6	2.9
		BELLFLOWER	419	2	10	1	7	2/1	2.8	1.6
		VALENCIA	1022	4	147	19	19	5/0	2.1	1.9
		PASADENA	586	8	128	36	21	2/0	2.9	1.2
		MALIBU	331	3	76	23	16	1/1	3.9	1.8
		POMONA	829	5	17	4	19	5/0	3.2	1.2
		HUNTNGTON PK	791	11	21	2	35	0/0	2.5	1.5
		MONROVIA	354	3	41	6	6	0/0	3.3	1.9
		SANTA MONICA	189	1	86	13	10	7/0	2.6	1.0
		TORRANCE	1228	9	245	46	55	5/1	2.6	1.0
		REDONDO BEACH	0	0	0	0	0	3/0	---	---
		SOUTH GATE	427	0	10	5	12	1/0	3.3	1.9
		WHITTIER	942	12	38	4	21	0/1	3.2	2.7
		HOLLYWOOD	315	0	49	7	23	0/0	2.0	1.2
		SAN FERNANDO	1709	12	227	27	76	0/0	1.6	1.5
		SAN PEDRO	422	0	60	2	31	2/0	1.6	1.6
		VAN NUYS	2771	45	469	9	324	15/0	1.7	1.4
		LOS ANGELES	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.9	0.0
		AVALON	8	0	2	0	0	0/0	3.4	0.8
		US DIST CT LA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.2
		TOTAL	30297	439	3278	544	1768	78/16	---	---
MADERA	68.4%	SUP MADERA	38	12	3	1	1	0/0	3.5	3.8
		JUV MADERA	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.5	1.8
		CHOWCHILLA	377	3	33	17	2	0/0	3.9	2.2
		MADERA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	1.2
		BASS LAKE	81	0	33	4	0	0/0	2.8	3.2
		TOTAL	502	15	69	22	3	0/0	---	---
MARIN	86.6%	SAN RAFAEL	1121	9	0	1	17	6/0	3.0	3.5
		TOTAL	1121	9	0	1	17	6/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1] / UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MARIPOSA	61.0%	MARIPOSA	64	2	4	7	1	1/0	2.9	4.1
		US MAG YOSEMITE	20	0	6	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.9
		TOTAL	84	2	10	7	1	1/0	---	---
MENDOCINO	68.8%	SUP UKIAH	3	4	1	1	0	0/0	3.3	6.8
		JUV UKIAH	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.8	3.1
		WILLITS	101	1	28	5	2	0/0	3.2	8.3
		UKIAH	319	5	81	28	5	4/0	2.0	5.7
		BOONVILLE	10	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.0	5.6
		PT. ARENA	18	0	4	0	0	0/0	2.7	6.2
		LEGGETT	25	0	8	1	3	0/0	4.1	6.5
		COVELO	3	0	0	1	0	0/0	1.7	6.6
		FORT BRAGG	98	7	14	0	4	0/0	3.2	6.6
		TOTAL	581	17	137	36	14	4/0	---	---
MERCED	66.5%	SUP MERCED	44	11	1	3	0	1/0	4.0	3.1
		JUV MERCED	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.2	1.8
		MERCED MUN	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	0.7
		MERCED	782	9	95	27	21	3/0	3.8	2.7
		LOS BANOS	248	7	32	7	9	4/0	3.5	2.8
		TOTAL	1076	27	128	37	30	8/0	---	---
MODOC	62.9%	SUP MODOC	73	0	10	2	1	1/0	2.4	3.3
		ALTURAS MUN	0	0	0	0	0	1/0	---	---
		TOTAL	73	0	10	2	1	1/0	---	---
MONO	89.1%	BRIDGEPORT	12	0	2	0	0	1/0	2.6	6.2
		MAMMOTH LAKES	92	2	11	0	0	1/0	2.1	1.4
		TOTAL	104	2	13	0	0	2/0	---	---
MONTEREY	81.9%	SUP MONTEREY	51	18	1	0	1	0/0	4.1	3.9
		JUV SALINAS	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.8	3.1
		MARINA MUN	73	2	8	2	2	3/0	2.1	4.4
		SALINAS	2103	13	253	120	13	4/0	1.6	4.3
		KING CITY	393	2	16	3	7	0/0	1.5	5.0
		US MAG MONTREY	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	14.8	2.9
		TOTAL	2630	35	278	125	23	7/0	---	---
NAPA	86.3%	NAPA SUP	589	23	63	19	4	0/1	3.2	3.1
		NAPA JUV	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	1.3
		NAPA MUN	311	2	34	7	1	3/0	1.6	3.2
		TOTAL	901	25	97	26	5	3/1	---	---
NEVADA	81.9%	NEVADA CITY	17	10	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	3.0
		NEVADA CITY MUN	322	2	45	8	2	8/1	1.8	4.7
		TRUCKEE MUN	216	0	51	11	1	3/0	2.0	5.3
		TOTAL	555	12	96	19	3	11/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1]/ UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
ORANGE	92.9%	SUP SANTA ANA	145	44	0	0	1	0/0	3.2	3.3
		JUV ORANGE	54	2	1	1	0	0/0	3.8	3.4
		FULLERTON	3415	51	84	63	31	25/1	2.7	3.0
		WESTMINSTER	2761	47	76	8	23	22/2	2.7	1.0
		LAGUNA HILLS	1394	15	93	14	26	20/0	3.4	0.9
		LAGUNA NIGUEL	0	0	0	0	0	38/0	---	---
		NEWPORT BEACH	2617	28	236	21	18	12/0	3.2	1.6
		SANTA ANA MUN	2406	23	75	12	11	8/0	3.6	3.8
		TOTAL	12792	210	565	119	110	125/3	---	---
PLACER	84.2%	SUP AUBURN	1038	27	16	28	6	0/0	3.2	3.3
		JUV AUBURN	11	1	0	0	1	4/0	6.3	4.6
		AUBURN SUPMUN	44	0	0	1	1	0/1	2.3	3.9
		ROSEVILLE SUPMUN	0	0	0	0	1	0/1	---	---
		ROSEVILLE	1	0	0	0	0	1/0	14.9	2.8
		TAHOE CITY	251	1	26	1	1	1/0	3.3	2.0
		TOTAL	1345	29	42	30	10	6/0	---	---
PLUMAS	74.4%	SUP PLUMAS	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		PORTOLA	6	0	1	0	0	0/0	7.5	2.6
		QUINCY	209	3	51	8	4	1/0	2.3	2.9
		TOTAL	215	3	53	8	4	1/0	---	---
RIVERSIDE	85.5%	SUP RIVERSIDE	624	82	11	3	5	24/0	2.9	8.6
		SUP INDIO	293	30	38	3	2	23/0	2.4	2.4
		JUV INDIO	6	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.4	7.2
		CORONA	528	3	9	3	4	2/0	3.0	5.9
		HEMET MUN	502	8	16	5	14	5/1	3.9	4.8
		BANNING	395	2	18	3	3	3/0	4.2	5.0
		INDIO CONSOLID	1435	15	68	32	13	0/1	4.1	5.4
		MORENO VLY RIV	1571	49	40	14	31	0/0	3.9	2.7
		PALM SPRINGS	4	0	2	2	0	0/0	7.9	2.6
		BLYTHE SUPMUN	112	1	10	7	3	2/0	2.2	7.1
		PERRIS CONSOLID	1249	19	85	35	14	11/0	3.9	4.9
		TEMCULA CONSOL	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.2	0.8
		TOTAL	6721	209	297	107	89	70/1	---	---
SACRAMENTO	68.6%	SUP SACRAMENTO	141	136	5	0	5	0/0	4.4	4.2
		JUV SACTO TRF	27	2	2	0	1	1/0	3.4	2.4
		SACRAMENTO	4063	129	634	284	53	10/0	3.1	6.9
		ELK GROVE	123	0	12	12	6	0/1	2.6	6.2
		GALT	80	1	8	6	5	0/0	2.7	6.1
		WALNUT GROVE	36	0	5	2	2	0/0	2.7	5.0
		SACTO US MAG	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	8.0	8.3
		TOTAL	4475	268	666	304	72	11/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1]/ UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BENITO	78.7%	SUP SAN BENITO	47	9	10	0	1	0/0	8.1	3.6
		JUV HOLLISTER	4	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.8	2.1
		HOLLISTER	248	13	41	3	3	1/0	2.6	4.0
		TOTAL	299	23	51	3	4	1/0	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	72.4%	SAN BERN MUN	131	37	9	1	3	5/0	7.1	2.6
		SUP R CUCAMNGA	150	38	7	3	4	6/0	6.2	2.4
		VCTRVLE SUPMUN	50	28	6	0	6	3/0	6.9	2.1
		BARSTOW SUPMUN	47	9	4	2	0	0/0	7.1	3.5
		JOSHTREE MUN	13	12	0	1	3	2/0	3.9	7.1
		JUV TF SN BERN	29	0	0	0	4	0/0	2.7	0.9
		JUV SAN BERNDO	1	4	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	3.9
		CHINO SUPMUN	345	10	16	3	6	3/0	3.9	1.1
		BARSTOW SUPMUN	374	3	76	30	10	5/0	4.3	1.5
		REDLNDS SUPMUN	500	18	62	9	38	6/0	4.5	1.2
		SN BERN SUPMUN	1356	14	131	14	97	7/0	4.3	1.4
		FONTANA SUPMUN	981	70	36	10	15	14/0	4.3	1.5
		VICTRVLE SUPMUN	755	7	45	18	32	11/0	4.9	1.5
		RANCHO CUCMNGA	1888	26	77	21	52	57/0	4.0	1.1
		BIGBEAR LK SUPMU	137	8	28	1	8	0/0	3.2	3.1
		TWINPEKS SUPMUN	175	5	27	3	0	0/0	4.0	3.0
		NEEDLES SUPMUN	114	1	31	5	23	13/0	5.5	2.1
		JOSHTREE SUPMUN	291	3	62	10	5	1/0	3.0	2.3
		TOTAL	7337	293	617	131	306	133/0	---	---
SAN DIEGO	78.3%	SUP SAN DIEGO	154	5	0	0	1	0/0	3.7	4.6
		SUP VISTA	120	8	11	2	0	1/0	3.2	5.9
		SUP CHULA VISTA	59	2	0	0	0	0/0	3.1	5.8
		SUP EL CAJON	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.8	1.3
		JUV SAN DIEGO	70	2	0	1	4	0/0	4.4	2.0
		EL CAJON	1805	41	300	57	29	0/0	2.5	4.9
		VISTA	2982	36	315	44	4	2/3	2.3	4.3
		SAN MARCOS	3	0	0	0	7	1/0	2.9	1.9
		SAN DIEGO MUN	4133	2	270	24	141	1/0	3.0	3.8
		CHULA VISTA	1853	25	183	35	18	0/1	2.8	4.9
		SAN DIEGO TRAF	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	1.6
		TOTAL	11188	121	1079	163	204	5/4	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	62.5%	SAN FRANCISCO	879	16	242	141	17	24/1	2.9	0.7
		US DIST CT SF	2	0	1	0	0	0/0	10.8	11.3
		TOTAL	881	16	243	141	17	24/1	---	---
SAN JOAQUIN	77.1%	SUP FRNCH CAMP	19	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	2.8
		JUV FRNCH CAMP	19	2	0	0	1	1/0	6.1	2.4
		LODI	537	27	53	9	12	1/0	2.1	1.4
		ESCALON MUN	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1]/ UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN JOAQUIN (cont.)		MANTECA	435	11	24	7	6	0/0	2.4	1.3
		TRACY	316	9	39	5	5	2/0	2.3	1.7
		STCKTON SUPMUN	1473	51	169	35	19	21/1	2.1	2.6
		TOTAL	2799	100	285	56	44	25/1	---	---
SAN LUIS OBISPO	71.8%	SUP SAN L OBISPO	13	48	2	0	0	1/0	4.1	1.0
		JUV SAN L OBISPO	8	1	3	0	1	0/0	2.2	1.9
		SAN L OBSPO SUPMN	1490	8	274	85	118	8/2	2.2	4.9
		GRVR BCH SUPMUN	0	0	0	0	0	2/0	---	---
		PASO RBLS SUPMUN	0	0	0	0	0	9/0	---	---
		TOTAL	1511	57	279	85	119	20/2	---	---
SAN MATEO	78.4%	RDWDCTY SUPMUN	50	16	1	0	1	0/0	5.1	2.4
		SNMATEO SUPMUN	26	2	0	0	1	1/0	2.6	2.3
		SO SF SUPMUN	1494	10	204	10	23	0/1	3.0	2.3
		REDWOOD CITY	1195	9	196	25	15	0/0	2.6	3.2
		TOTAL	2765	37	401	35	40	1/1	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	80.7%	SUP SANTA BARB	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	1.7
		SUP SANTA MARIA	9	2	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	1.3
		JUV SANTA BARB	4	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.0	3.7
		JUV LOMPOC	11	1	0	0	0	0/0	1.7	2.3
		SANTA BARBARA	1375	21	315	96	37	8/1	2.4	3.3
		SANTA MARIA	831	17	58	8	11	1/0	1.4	3.8
		LOMPOC	313	4	56	8	4	1/0	1.8	4.9
		TOTAL	2546	45	429	112	53	10/1	---	---
SANTA CLARA	85.2%	SUP SANTA CLARA	192	128	1	0	14	0/0	4.8	2.9
		JUV SANTA CLARA	40	0	1	0	1	0/0	3.8	4.5
		PALO ALTO MUN	610	2	71	9	3	0/0	3.6	1.8
		SAN JOSE	4582	75	408	73	48	0/1	3.0	1.7
		TRAF SAN JOSE	2	0	0	0	2	0/0	1.8	2.4
		SUNNYVALE	610	4	67	7	5	0/0	3.3	0.7
		GILROY	721	9	53	5	14	0/0	3.4	1.5
		TOTAL	6757	218	601	94	87	0/1	---	---
SANTA CRUZ	76.6%	SUP SANTA CRUZ	11	2	1	0	0	3/0	3.3	3.5
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	11	1	0	2	1	0/0	2.1	2.4
		SANTA CRUZ	1004	26	239	56	31	4/1	2.0	4.7
		WATSONVILLE	376	2	36	10	2	0/0	1.7	4.9
		TOTAL	1402	31	276	68	34	7/1	---	---
SHASTA	88.9%	SUP REDDING	1	2	0	0	0	0/0	5.6	1.4
		JUV SHASTA	22	3	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	3.9
		ANDERSON MUN	0	0	0	0	0	1/0	---	---
		BURNEY	47	1	1	1	0	0/0	3.8	2.9
		REDDING	967	43	84	50	0	5/0	2.7	4.4
		TOTAL	1037	49	85	51	0	6/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1]/ UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SIERRA	56.9%	DOWNIEVILLE	28	1	9	0	5	0/0	4.7	5.6
		TOTAL	28	1	9	0	5	0/0	---	---
SISKIYOU	74.9%	SUP SISKIYOU	3	5	0	0	1	0/0	7.6	5.1
		WEED	127	0	21	7	1	5/0	3.9	2.7
		YREKA JUD DIST	151	12	16	1	2	5/0	3.1	2.4
		TOTAL	281	17	37	8	4	10/0	---	---
SOLANO	80.2%	SUP SOLANO	22	9	2	0	0	0/0	4.0	8.0
		JUV SOLANO	16	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.6	4.1
		FAIRFIELD	933	10	151	7	11	12/0	2.8	4.1
		VALLEJO BENICIA	432	1	40	13	4	9/1	3.0	2.0
		TOTAL	1403	20	193	20	15	21/1	---	---
SONOMA	73.0%	SUP SONOMA	14	56	1	0	3	0/0	5.7	4.6
		JUV SONOMA	35	1	2	0	0	0/0	3.3	1.4
		SANTA ROSA	2211	60	556	47	37	18/0	2.8	5.0
		TOTAL	2260	117	559	47	40	18/0	---	---
STANISLAUS	63.8%	SUP STANISLAUS	1539	58	237	62	10	0/1	2.9	4.0
		JUV STANISLAUS	31	0	0	0	2	0/0	3.1	4.4
		MODESTO	2	0	0	0	3	0/0	4.0	2.6
		TURLOCK	1	0	0	0	1	0/0	2.8	1.1
		TOTAL	1573	58	237	62	16	0/1	---	---
SUTTER	44.7%	YUBA CTY SUPMUN	284	15	86	10	2	3/0	2.4	3.4
		TOTAL	284	15	86	10	2	3/0	---	---
TEHEMA	87.9%	SUP TEHAMA	12	9	0	0	0	0/0	7.3	3.0
		JUV TEHAMA	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	0.5
		CORNING	117	0	15	0	0	0/1	2.6	5.8
		RED BLUFF	316	1	49	0	0	13/0	2.1	3.6
		TOTAL	453	10	64	0	0	13/1	---	---
TRINITY	38.5%	SUP TRINITY	81	2	18	8	4	0/0	2.8	3.4
		WEAVERVILLE	6	1	6	0	1	0/0	7.9	5.4
		TOTAL	87	3	24	8	5	0/0	---	---
TULARE	71.9%	SUP TULARE	16	5	0	0	0	0/0	7.3	4.5
		JUV TULARE	23	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.9	1.8
		DINUBA MUN	379	4	8	1	3	1/0	2.7	8.3
		PORTERVILLE	597	19	9	4	12	4/0	2.5	5.5
		TULARE	355	23	18	2	5	2/0	3.2	6.1
		VISALIA	836	14	16	8	9	9/0	3.2	5.9
		TOTAL	2206	65	51	15	30	16/0	---	---
TUOLUMNE	93.9%	SUP TUOLUMNE	306	7	21	0	0	0/0	2.7	0.8
		SUP SONORA	13	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.2	0.4
		TOTAL	319	7	21	0	0	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2000 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM[1] / UNCONST[2]	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
VENTURA	89.3%	JUV VENTURA	4	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.1	4.8
		VENTURA	3488	62	1	2	70	32/1	2.4	3.0
		TOTAL	3492	63	1	2	70	32/1	---	---
YOLO	65.9%	SUP WOODLAND	7	1	2	1	0	0/0	3.6	2.0
		YOLO JUV	0	0	0	0	0	1/0	---	---
		WOODLND SUPMUN	758	32	212	19	7	9/0	3.7	1.4
		TOTAL	765	33	214	20	7	10/0	---	---
YUBA	61.8%	SUP YUBA	0	4	0	0	0	0/0	4.0	7.4
		JUV YUBA	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.3	5.2
		MARYSVILLE	262	4	40	4	2	5/0	2.8	2.1
		TOTAL	267	8	40	4	2	5/0	---	---

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			138349	96.5	72.4	66.2	18.1	0.2	74.4	6.5	2.3
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	30	76.7	90.0	23.3	3.3	0.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	71.4	85.7	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	54.5	100.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	29	89.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	77	77.9	94.8	11.7	3.9	0.0	5.2	6.5	0.0
	JUV SAN LEANDRO	1ST DUI	25	84.0	4.0	64.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	80.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	84.0	4.0	64.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	80.0	0.0
	ALAMEDA	1ST DUI	110	100.0	99.1	77.3	0.9	0.0	93.6	3.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	100.0	100.0	24.0	68.0	0.0	92.0	4.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	143	100.0	99.3	63.6	14.7	0.0	88.1	7.7	0.0
	BERKELEY	1ST DUI	150	100.0	94.7	86.0	1.3	0.7	88.7	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	100.0	94.4	0.0	83.3	0.0	61.1	5.6	5.6
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	175	100.0	94.9	73.7	10.9	0.6	82.9	1.1	0.6
	FREMONT	1ST DUI	523	99.6	95.4	89.9	3.3	0.0	73.0	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	146	100.0	98.6	6.8	88.4	0.0	63.7	0.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	100.0	100.0	0.0	68.6	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	707	99.7	96.3	67.9	24.3	0.0	67.6	0.3	0.0
	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	706	99.6	97.9	81.4	0.7	0.0	95.5	0.8	0.1
		2ND DUI	181	99.4	98.3	7.2	87.8	0.0	95.0	0.6	2.2
		3RD DUI	39	97.4	94.9	0.0	71.8	0.0	33.3	10.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	931	99.5	97.9	63.2	21.1	0.0	92.3	1.2	0.5
	OAKLAND	1ST DUI	617	99.7	97.6	90.6	1.1	0.2	92.4	3.6	1.0
		2ND DUI	167	100.0	97.0	12.6	71.9	0.0	77.8	2.4	41.9
		3RD DUI	33	100.0	97.0	15.2	48.5	0.0	42.4	27.3	33.3
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	818	99.8	97.4	71.5	17.6	0.1	87.3	4.3	10.6
	HAYWARD	1ST DUI	446	100.0	97.8	84.5	3.4	0.0	77.8	5.4	0.7
		2ND DUI	162	100.0	97.5	14.8	79.6	0.0	92.0	2.5	5.6
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	100.0	6.3	71.9	0.0	40.6	15.6	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	14	100.0	71.4	7.1	50.0	0.0	42.9	7.1	14.3
		TOTAL	654	100.0	97.2	61.8	26.6	0.0	78.7	5.2	2.8
ALPINE	SUP MARKLEEVLE	1ST DUI	16	75.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	6.3	43.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	77.3	36.4	50.0	18.2	4.5	45.5	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	JUV AMADOR	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

*Entries represent percentages of 2000 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
AMADOR (cont.)	JACKSON	1ST DUI	110	94.5	39.1	62.7	0.9	0.9	75.5	8.2	10.9
		2ND DUI	45	91.1	82.2	6.7	66.7	2.2	57.8	20.0	53.3
		3RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	70.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
		TOTAL	168	92.3	55.4	42.9	23.8	1.2	66.1	13.1	26.2
BUTTE	SUP OROVLLE	1ST DUI	43	88.4	93.0	72.1	0.0	0.0	53.5	9.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	83.3	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	50.0	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	57.1	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	65	83.1	93.8	50.8	12.3	0.0	44.6	12.3	0.0
	JUV OROVLLE	1ST DUI	21	76.2	23.8	38.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	61.9	0.0
		TOTAL	21	76.2	23.8	38.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	61.9	0.0
	CHICO MUNI	1ST DUI	487	99.2	97.3	96.5	0.4	0.0	86.4	10.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	134	98.5	100.0	14.9	79.1	0.0	90.3	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	34	97.1	100.0	2.9	79.4	0.0	17.6	67.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	7.1	57.1	0.0
		TOTAL	669	98.8	98.1	73.4	21.4	0.0	82.1	13.3	0.0
	OROVILLE	1ST DUI	283	98.9	96.8	89.0	4.9	0.0	80.6	5.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	97	91.8	99.0	19.6	67.0	0.0	62.9	11.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	93.1	96.6	6.9	79.3	0.0	10.3	17.2	3.4
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	414	96.4	97.3	65.9	24.9	0.0	70.5	8.0	0.2
	PARADISE	1ST DUI	5	80.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
	OROVILLE-87	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	44	100.0	100.0	95.5	0.0	0.0	68.2	0.0	4.5
		2ND DUI	11	100.0	100.0	9.1	90.9	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	58	100.0	100.0	74.1	19.0	0.0	63.8	0.0	3.4
	JUV CALAVERAS	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN ANDREAS	1ST DUI	66	100.0	98.5	98.5	0.0	0.0	57.6	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	100.0	100.0	21.1	78.9	0.0	47.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	91	97.8	98.9	75.8	19.8	0.0	52.7	1.1	0.0
COLUSA	SUP COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	JUV COLUSA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
COLUSA (cont.)	COLUSA	1ST DUI	153	90.2	98.7	78.4	2.0	0.0	72.5	5.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	90.0	100.0	12.0	68.0	0.0	74.0	10.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	72.7	100.0	18.2	9.1	0.0	27.3	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	215	88.8	99.1	59.5	17.7	0.0	70.2	6.5	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	SUP CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	63	84.1	98.4	34.9	36.5	0.0	19.0	12.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	70.4	96.3	3.7	55.6	0.0	3.7	18.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	126	74.6	98.4	18.3	39.7	0.0	10.3	10.3	0.0
	JUV CONTRA COSTA	1ST DUI	34	32.4	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	35	34.3	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
	CONCORD	1ST DUI	618	99.4	97.2	81.1	3.2	0.0	81.4	12.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	212	99.1	99.5	7.5	80.7	0.0	31.6	63.2	0.5
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	97.4	0.0	26.3	0.0	5.3	28.9	5.3
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	873	99.3	97.8	59.2	23.1	0.0	65.5	25.4	0.3
	RICHMOND	1ST DUI	587	99.7	97.4	78.2	2.2	0.2	92.5	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	173	99.4	99.4	9.8	78.6	0.0	61.3	21.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	49	100.0	98.0	4.1	44.9	0.0	8.2	12.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	814	99.6	97.9	58.7	21.5	0.1	80.2	7.4	0.0
	PITTSBURG	1ST DUI	605	94.7	97.2	73.4	1.7	0.0	90.6	4.1	0.3
		2ND DUI	158	93.7	99.4	12.0	77.2	0.0	88.6	5.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	37	56.8	97.3	0.0	37.8	0.0	13.5	43.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	801	92.8	97.6	57.8	18.2	0.0	86.5	6.2	0.2
	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	713	99.6	93.4	79.7	1.7	0.0	88.6	2.2	0.3
		2ND DUI	166	100.0	98.8	4.8	89.2	0.0	42.2	42.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	36	97.2	100.0	0.0	52.8	0.0	2.8	58.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	917	99.6	94.7	62.8	19.7	0.0	76.7	12.0	0.2
DELNORTE	SUP DEL NORTE	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	66.7	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	CRESCENT CITY	1ST DUI	117	89.7	91.5	82.9	2.6	0.0	80.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	83.8	97.3	13.5	62.2	0.0	64.9	2.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	8.3	8.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	170	86.5	93.5	60.0	20.6	0.0	72.9	1.2	0.6

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ELDORADO	SUP EL DORADO	1ST DUI	82	97.6	91.5	68.3	15.9	0.0	81.7	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	93.3	0.0	80.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	99	98.0	91.9	56.6	26.3	0.0	77.8	2.0	0.0
	CAMERON PARK	1ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	70.0	20.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
	PLACERVLLE	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	141	95.0	99.3	89.4	5.0	0.0	83.7	0.0	1.4
		2ND DUI	57	87.7	98.2	15.8	71.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	21.1
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	55.6	0.0	11.1	0.0	22.2
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	210	92.4	98.1	64.3	25.2	0.0	74.8	0.0	7.6
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	362	97.0	99.4	86.7	2.2	0.0	84.5	5.8	0.3
		2ND DUI	126	99.2	99.2	11.9	74.6	0.0	81.0	7.1	8.7
		3RD DUI	36	94.4	100.0	5.6	52.8	0.0	38.9	27.8	2.8
		4TH+ DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	0.0
		TOTAL	536	96.8	99.4	61.8	23.1	0.0	78.7	8.8	2.4
FRESNO	SUP FRESNO	1ST DUI	22	86.4	95.5	13.6	4.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	57.1	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	14	64.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	52	76.9	94.2	7.7	3.8	0.0	17.3	0.0	0.0
	JUV FRESNO	1ST DUI	16	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	81.3	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0	6.3	81.3	0.0
	FRESNO	1ST DUI	1317	98.3	90.9	85.4	3.0	0.0	82.8	0.2	0.1
		2ND DUI	475	96.8	94.1	10.3	75.6	0.0	82.9	0.0	2.3
		3RD DUI	124	89.5	91.9	5.6	58.9	0.0	29.8	1.6	3.2
		4TH+ DUI	33	78.8	84.8	6.1	15.2	0.0	12.1	6.1	6.1
		TOTAL	1949	97.0	91.6	60.7	24.4	0.0	78.2	0.4	0.9
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	199	99.0	96.5	95.0	1.5	0.0	93.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	56	98.2	100.0	8.9	80.4	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	45.5	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	266	98.9	97.0	72.9	19.9	0.0	88.7	0.0	0.0
	COALINGA	1ST DUI	164	98.8	94.5	89.6	3.0	0.0	70.1	4.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	93.9	12.1	81.8	0.0	75.8	0.0	6.1
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	205	99.0	94.6	73.7	18.0	0.0	68.8	3.4	1.0
	FIREBAUGH	1ST DUI	119	96.6	98.3	77.3	7.6	0.0	72.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	100.0	97.9	10.6	70.2	0.0	80.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	185	96.8	97.3	52.4	23.2	0.0	68.1	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO (cont.)	FOWLER	1ST DUI	134	99.3	98.5	93.3	2.2	0.0	70.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	58	98.3	98.3	17.2	74.1	0.0	82.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.1	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	216	98.6	98.6	62.5	25.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	KERMAN	1ST DUI	40	100.0	100.0	87.5	2.5	0.0	82.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	100.0	100.0	7.1	85.7	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	59	100.0	100.0	61.0	28.8	0.0	74.6	0.0	0.0
	KINGSBURG	1ST DUI	43	97.7	100.0	93.0	4.7	0.0	62.8	20.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	100.0	91.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	41.7	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	64	98.4	98.4	62.5	25.0	0.0	51.6	18.8	0.0
	REEDLEY	1ST DUI	97	99.0	95.9	89.7	5.2	0.0	20.6	66.0	2.1
		2ND DUI	23	95.7	100.0	4.3	82.6	0.0	65.2	4.3	17.4
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	50.0
		TOTAL	126	98.4	96.8	70.6	23.0	0.0	29.4	52.4	7.1
	SANGER	1ST DUI	86	97.7	97.7	90.7	3.5	0.0	80.2	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	97.0	100.0	9.1	81.8	0.0	90.9	3.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	97.6	98.4	65.3	25.8	0.0	79.8	3.2	0.0
	SELMA	1ST DUI	76	98.7	77.6	92.1	1.3	0.0	61.8	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	25	88.0	92.0	4.0	76.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	109	95.4	81.7	66.1	18.3	0.0	53.2	0.9	0.0
	US CT FRESNO	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	SUP WILLOWS	1ST DUI	132	97.0	40.2	75.8	2.3	0.0	78.0	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	95.6	84.4	28.9	51.1	2.2	51.1	4.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	40.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	96.8	54.0	61.0	16.0	0.5	69.0	2.1	0.0
	JUV GLENN	1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ORLAND	1ST DUI	3	100.0	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	SUP JUV HUMBLT EUREKA	1ST DUI	560	97.0	17.9	59.1	10.0	0.0	92.7	2.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	141	98.6	70.9	14.9	61.0	0.0	87.9	3.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	100.0	62.9	5.7	42.9	0.0	60.0	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	741	97.3	30.5	47.8	21.3	0.0	89.7	3.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
HUMBOLDT (cont.)	ARCATA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	GARBRVLLE	1ST DUI	22	100.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	100.0	17.9	28.6	17.9	0.0	96.4	0.0	0.0
	HOOPA	1ST DUI	6	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
IMPERIAL	JUV IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST DUI	171	98.8	55.6	85.4	4.7	0.0	88.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	97.3	81.1	37.8	54.1	0.0	78.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	57.1	42.9	42.9	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	215	98.6	60.0	75.8	14.4	0.0	86.0	0.0	0.0
	CALEXICO	1ST DUI	226	99.6	13.3	80.5	1.8	0.0	91.6	4.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	95.7	61.7	40.4	42.6	0.0	85.1	6.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	50.0	0.0	50.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	282	98.9	24.1	72.0	9.9	0.0	89.4	4.6	0.0
	EL CENTRO	1ST DUI	197	99.5	25.4	85.3	0.0	0.0	82.7	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	100.0	78.1	34.4	53.1	0.0	71.9	6.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	235	99.6	34.5	77.0	8.5	0.0	80.0	1.7	0.0
INYO	SUP INYO	1ST DUI	7	71.4	57.1	14.3	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	66.7	66.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	33.3	11.1	0.0
	JUV INYO	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST DUI	126	97.6	9.5	77.0	0.8	0.0	85.7	0.8	0.8
		2ND DUI	36	97.2	86.1	5.6	77.8	0.0	69.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	50.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	172	97.7	27.9	57.6	20.3	0.0	79.1	0.6	0.6
KERN	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	28	46.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	38.1	100.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV KERN	1ST DUI	24	100.0	0.0	95.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	100.0	0.0	92.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KERN (cont.)	ARVIN-LAMONT	1ST DUI	211	100.0	90.0	88.2	1.4	0.0	43.1	3.8	0.9
		2ND DUI	76	96.1	98.7	18.4	59.2	0.0	30.3	17.1	2.6
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0	15.4	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	302	98.7	92.7	66.2	18.5	0.0	37.7	7.6	1.7
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1517	98.0	92.6	72.6	0.1	0.0	72.1	0.7	0.9
		2ND DUI	384	96.1	94.3	10.4	16.7	0.0	31.5	2.1	10.9
		3RD DUI	83	94.0	96.4	8.4	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	14.5
		4TH+ DUI	20	50.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0
		TOTAL	2004	97.0	93.1	57.3	3.3	0.0	61.2	0.9	3.4
	DELANO	1ST DUI	183	98.4	96.2	83.1	3.3	0.0	70.5	6.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	60	95.0	100.0	5.0	55.0	0.0	31.7	13.3	1.7
		3RD DUI	21	76.2	95.2	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	269	94.8	97.0	57.6	17.1	0.0	55.0	11.2	0.4
	LAKE ISABELLA	1ST DUI	113	98.2	98.2	69.0	0.9	0.0	69.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	93.9	100.0	9.1	24.2	0.0	36.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	154	96.1	98.7	52.6	6.5	0.0	59.7	0.0	0.0
	TAFT	1ST DUI	144	95.1	93.1	87.5	0.0	0.0	50.0	17.4	4.2
		2ND DUI	47	91.5	91.5	8.5	63.8	8.5	44.7	23.4	51.1
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	75.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		TOTAL	197	94.4	92.4	66.5	17.8	2.0	47.2	20.3	17.8
	SHAFTER	1ST DUI	155	98.7	94.8	52.3	0.6	0.0	60.6	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	97.8	93.3	2.2	71.1	0.0	53.3	6.7	6.7
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	12.5	50.0	12.5
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	213	96.7	94.4	38.5	17.8	0.0	55.9	5.6	1.9
	MOJAVE	1ST DUI	179	100.0	97.8	79.3	3.4	0.0	82.1	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	96.6	100.0	1.7	54.2	0.0	54.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	248	98.8	98.0	57.7	16.5	0.0	73.8	0.8	0.0
	RIDGECREST	1ST DUI	140	98.6	99.3	74.3	3.6	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	97.4	100.0	5.3	55.3	0.0	60.5	0.0	2.6
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	188	98.4	99.5	56.4	13.8	0.0	71.8	0.0	0.5
	EDWARDS AFB	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
KINGS	SUP KINGS	1ST DUI	16	43.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	22.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	28	32.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
KINGS (cont.)	JUV HANFORD	1ST DUI	6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST DUI	268	89.2	98.9	75.4	2.2	0.0	66.4	14.6	1.1
		2ND DUI	74	86.5	98.6	10.8	48.6	0.0	60.8	21.6	2.7
		3RD DUI	13	69.2	100.0	7.7	15.4	0.0	15.4	15.4	0.0
		TOTAL	355	87.9	98.9	59.4	12.4	0.0	63.4	16.1	1.4
	AVENAL	1ST DUI	51	94.1	100.0	86.3	0.0	0.0	78.4	13.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	87.5	100.0	6.3	75.0	0.0	81.3	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	70	91.4	100.0	64.3	17.1	0.0	75.7	14.3	0.0
	CORCORAN	1ST DUI	74	90.5	100.0	78.4	1.4	0.0	68.9	14.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	84.2	100.0	10.5	63.2	0.0	73.7	10.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	100	86.0	100.0	60.0	13.0	0.0	65.0	14.0	1.0
	LEMOORE	1ST DUI	134	90.3	97.0	74.6	0.7	0.0	63.4	19.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	84.2	100.0	44.7	28.9	0.0	68.4	18.4	2.6
		3RD DUI	7	57.1	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	180	87.2	97.8	65.6	6.7	0.0	61.7	20.0	1.1
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	24	100.0	37.5	95.8	4.2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	11.1	77.8	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	97.3	59.5	64.9	27.0	0.0	86.5	0.0	0.0
	JUV LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	8	50.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	50.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	130	96.9	75.4	64.6	10.8	0.0	64.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	96.0	96.0	24.0	54.0	0.0	30.0	2.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	197	96.4	82.7	48.7	21.8	0.0	50.3	1.0	0.0
	LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	179	100.0	35.8	96.6	1.7	0.0	96.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	98.5	86.4	24.2	71.2	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	256	98.8	51.6	73.8	20.3	0.0	91.4	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	JUV LASSEN	1ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LASSEN (cont.)	SUSANVILLE	1ST DUI	158	96.2	99.4	86.1	2.5	0.0	85.4	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	95.1	100.0	12.2	75.6	0.0	48.8	4.9	2.4
		3RD DUI	9	44.4	100.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	212	93.4	99.5	67.0	19.3	0.0	73.6	2.8	0.5
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	1ST DUI	115	70.4	80.9	27.0	0.9	0.0	23.5	3.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	38	36.8	92.1	0.0	5.3	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	37.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	25.0	95.8	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
		TOTAL	204	54.4	87.3	15.2	2.5	0.0	14.2	3.4	0.0
	SUP POMONA	1ST DUI	58	50.0	89.7	19.0	5.2	0.0	5.2	6.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	29	37.9	93.1	6.9	6.9	3.4	0.0	3.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	18.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	5.9	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
		TOTAL	115	37.4	93.0	11.3	5.2	0.9	2.6	5.2	0.0
	SUP GLENDALE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	SUP LANCASTER	1ST DUI	26	50.0	80.8	23.1	0.0	0.0	7.7	3.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	50.0	87.5	15.0	2.5	0.0	5.0	2.5	0.0
	SUP VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	81	61.7	77.8	12.3	1.2	0.0	4.9	9.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	31	38.7	93.5	3.2	6.5	0.0	16.1	3.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	19	5.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0
		TOTAL	139	47.5	85.6	7.9	2.2	0.0	6.5	7.9	0.0
	SUP PASADENA	1ST DUI	28	71.4	75.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	17.9	3.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	58.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	52	71.2	84.6	1.9	0.0	0.0	9.6	1.9	0.0
	SUP LONGBEACH	1ST DUI	29	65.5	79.3	13.8	3.4	0.0	6.9	6.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	36.4	90.9	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	51	54.9	86.3	7.8	2.0	2.0	3.9	5.9	0.0
	SUP COMPTON	1ST DUI	10	50.0	90.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	47.1	88.2	23.5	0.0	5.9	11.8	11.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SUP NORWALK	1ST DUI	58	70.7	70.7	22.4	3.4	0.0	12.1	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	60.0	85.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	45.5	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	41.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	101	62.4	79.2	12.9	5.9	0.0	9.9	2.0	0.0
	SUP TORRANCE	1ST DUI	30	63.3	90.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	66.7	83.3	0.0	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	35.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	63	55.6	92.1	7.9	3.2	3.2	11.1	0.0	0.0
	SUP SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	27	66.7	70.4	44.4	3.7	0.0	37.0	11.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	50.0	81.3	12.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	6.3	6.3
		3RD DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	56	50.0	80.4	25.0	7.1	0.0	25.0	8.9	1.8
	JUV LA	1ST DUI	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
	LA JUV CENTRAL	1ST DUI	21	95.2	9.5	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	95.5	9.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
	LA MUNI	1ST DUI	574	99.8	23.2	90.8	1.9	0.0	87.5	0.5	0.2
		2ND DUI	101	99.0	90.1	8.9	76.2	0.0	73.3	4.0	3.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	81.3	6.3	43.8	18.8	12.5	6.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	694	99.6	34.6	76.5	13.8	0.4	83.3	1.2	0.6
	ALHAMBRA	1ST DUI	449	95.8	38.3	85.5	1.1	0.0	76.6	0.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	115	95.7	94.8	7.0	74.8	0.0	60.9	0.9	0.9
		3RD DUI	23	91.3	95.7	0.0	17.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	589	95.6	51.8	66.6	16.3	0.2	70.5	0.5	0.2
	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	615	98.0	97.4	88.3	2.1	0.2	78.7	2.0	0.5
		2ND DUI	174	99.4	97.7	5.2	89.7	1.1	31.0	9.8	4.6
		3RD DUI	28	92.9	53.6	7.1	32.1	46.4	3.6	3.6	3.6
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	819	98.2	95.8	67.6	21.9	2.1	65.8	3.7	1.5
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST DUI	221	99.1	44.3	93.2	1.8	0.0	93.7	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	75.8	24.2	75.8	0.0	84.8	9.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	33.3	33.3	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	257	99.2	48.6	83.3	12.1	0.4	91.8	2.7	0.0
	BURBANK	1ST DUI	235	97.9	94.9	91.1	0.4	0.0	94.9	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	75	100.0	97.3	13.3	76.0	1.3	90.7	4.0	1.3
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	14.3	28.6	14.3	28.6	42.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	319	98.1	95.3	70.5	18.8	0.6	91.8	2.8	0.3

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	WEST COVINA	1ST DUI	1235	97.5	53.0	88.7	1.2	0.0	84.0	3.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	292	94.2	93.8	11.0	77.4	0.3	76.4	3.1	11.6
		3RD DUI	49	100.0	81.6	12.2	53.1	14.3	12.2	6.1	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1583	96.9	61.6	71.6	17.0	0.5	80.0	3.1	2.7
	COMPTON	1ST DUI	996	97.8	95.6	90.9	1.7	0.1	91.5	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	236	97.5	98.3	8.9	76.7	0.0	90.7	0.8	0.4
		3RD DUI	45	100.0	86.7	2.2	57.8	13.3	37.8	6.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	60.0	100.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1292	97.4	95.8	71.7	17.5	0.5	88.7	1.2	0.1
	CULVER CITY	1ST DUI	42	100.0	42.9	95.2	2.4	0.0	90.5	2.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	90.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	53	98.1	52.8	79.2	13.2	0.0	88.7	1.9	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST DUI	709	99.3	26.2	80.3	1.1	0.0	90.7	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	162	98.1	82.1	17.3	75.3	0.0	87.7	1.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	24	95.8	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	29.2	4.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	896	98.9	38.1	66.6	16.2	0.0	88.4	0.7	0.0
	EAST LA	1ST DUI	989	99.1	29.4	90.9	1.8	0.0	92.1	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	283	98.9	87.3	13.8	79.5	0.4	90.8	1.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	44	100.0	68.2	13.6	36.4	25.0	31.8	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1317	99.0	43.2	71.7	19.7	0.9	89.7	1.4	0.0
	EL MONTE	1ST DUI	608	98.5	28.1	92.8	2.3	0.0	89.0	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	167	97.6	80.8	13.8	75.4	1.2	78.4	4.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	34	97.1	85.3	0.0	52.9	14.7	5.9	2.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	811	98.0	41.6	72.4	19.5	0.9	83.1	2.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	414	99.3	22.2	90.1	1.0	0.0	90.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	92	96.7	89.1	13.0	72.8	2.2	75.0	0.0	5.4
		3RD DUI	17	100.0	88.2	0.0	70.6	11.8	0.0	5.9	29.4
		TOTAL	523	98.9	36.1	73.6	15.9	0.8	85.1	0.2	1.9
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	750	94.8	17.5	84.7	1.7	0.0	90.8	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	163	93.3	71.2	15.3	73.6	0.6	89.0	1.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	44	77.3	70.5	2.3	45.5	11.4	43.2	2.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	40.0	90.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	967	93.2	29.7	68.4	16.0	0.7	87.4	1.6	0.0
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	1019	99.2	18.7	78.8	0.7	0.0	69.7	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	249	99.6	57.4	5.6	75.1	0.0	67.1	0.0	0.4
		3RD DUI	38	100.0	84.2	2.6	28.9	7.9	2.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+D UI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1309	99.3	28.2	62.5	15.7	0.2	67.1	0.1	0.1

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	LA METRO	1ST DUI	4759	99.1	51.0	90.1	2.0	0.0	86.1	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	993	99.1	95.6	10.2	85.3	0.1	82.8	0.5	3.2
		3RD DUI	211	96.2	97.2	3.3	54.0	2.4	16.6	0.5	11.8
		4TH+ DUI	13	76.9	100.0	7.7	7.7	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7
		TOTAL	5976	98.9	60.1	73.6	17.7	0.1	82.9	0.6	1.0
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	340	98.8	9.1	91.5	0.0	0.0	87.9	7.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	69	100.0	84.1	10.1	79.7	1.4	81.2	11.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	421	99.0	23.3	75.5	14.5	1.0	85.3	7.6	0.0
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	792	99.5	24.6	91.0	2.1	0.0	86.4	0.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	193	97.9	95.3	5.2	88.6	0.0	85.0	0.0	0.5
		3RD DUI	36	100.0	77.8	0.0	61.1	22.2	22.2	2.8	5.6
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1026	98.9	40.1	71.2	20.6	0.9	83.4	0.2	0.3
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	446	99.6	28.7	93.7	1.6	0.0	94.6	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	120	97.5	91.7	6.7	89.2	0.8	94.2	1.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	90.9	77.3	9.1	22.7	13.6	13.6	13.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	594	98.7	43.8	72.1	20.0	0.8	90.6	1.7	0.0
	MALIBU	1ST DUI	268	99.3	7.5	97.0	0.0	0.0	94.8	1.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	96.3	94.4	5.6	83.3	3.7	74.1	14.8	1.9
		3RD DUI	9	66.7	55.6	0.0	22.2	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	334	97.3	23.7	78.7	14.4	1.8	88.3	3.9	0.3
	POMONA	1ST DUI	649	98.8	31.6	84.7	2.0	0.0	81.4	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	150	97.3	89.3	10.7	78.0	0.0	80.0	0.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	100.0	87.5	3.1	56.3	6.3	15.6	6.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	834	98.6	44.2	68.0	17.7	0.4	78.3	1.9	0.0
	HUNTINGTON PARK	1ST DUI	650	97.7	34.5	84.5	0.9	0.2	85.4	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	137	98.5	85.4	21.2	69.3	0.7	76.6	5.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	93.3	80.0	6.7	46.7	20.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	802	97.8	44.0	72.2	13.5	0.6	82.5	3.7	0.0
	MONROVIA	1ST DUI	283	98.9	14.8	85.2	2.5	0.0	87.6	8.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	66	98.5	84.8	12.1	71.2	1.5	84.8	10.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	42.9	14.3	28.6	42.9	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	357	98.9	29.4	69.7	16.0	0.6	85.7	9.8	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	1ST DUI	153	98.7	14.4	93.5	4.6	0.0	94.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	100.0	81.3	12.5	81.3	0.0	96.9	0.0	3.1
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	190	98.9	27.9	77.4	19.5	0.0	93.7	0.5	0.5

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	TORRANCE	1ST DUI	987	99.2	25.4	89.4	0.2	0.0	87.8	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	206	97.6	86.9	10.2	81.1	1.5	85.0	3.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	39	92.3	48.7	2.6	25.6	28.2	7.7	12.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1237	98.7	36.6	73.1	14.5	1.2	84.5	2.1	0.0
	SOUTHGATE	1ST DUI	357	98.3	24.6	91.9	3.1	0.0	85.4	1.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	58	100.0	93.1	8.6	87.9	0.0	93.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	100.0	66.7	8.3	25.0	33.3	25.0	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	427	98.6	35.1	78.2	15.2	0.9	84.8	1.2	0.0
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	722	97.2	18.6	87.4	0.7	0.1	90.0	4.7	0.1
		2ND DUI	200	96.5	86.5	12.0	80.5	0.5	89.5	3.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	86.2	86.2	0.0	27.6	3.4	13.8	31.0	3.4
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	954	96.6	35.0	68.7	18.2	0.4	87.3	5.3	0.2
	HOLLYWOOD	1ST DUI	258	100.0	36.0	83.3	2.7	0.0	89.5	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	49	100.0	89.8	12.2	85.7	0.0	89.8	0.0	2.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	315	100.0	46.0	70.2	17.8	0.0	88.9	0.3	0.3
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST DUI	1296	99.2	38.3	88.0	2.2	0.0	84.1	2.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	345	98.8	93.6	8.1	85.5	0.6	73.0	5.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	74	98.6	83.8	0.0	54.1	13.5	9.5	12.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1721	99.0	51.6	67.9	21.2	0.7	78.4	3.3	0.0
	SAN PEDRO	1ST DUI	338	99.4	30.5	86.4	1.8	0.0	86.7	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	69	100.0	92.8	20.3	59.4	1.4	62.3	4.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	86.7	40.0	40.0	13.3	13.3	6.7	0.0
		TOTAL	422	99.5	42.7	73.9	12.6	0.7	80.1	1.4	0.0
	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	2172	98.4	38.8	83.9	2.0	0.1	75.0	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	521	97.5	95.8	6.0	84.3	0.0	72.2	3.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	108	97.2	85.2	0.9	50.0	12.0	9.3	3.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	26.7	93.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0
		TOTAL	2816	97.8	51.4	65.8	19.1	0.5	71.6	1.4	0.0
	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	AVALON	1ST DUI	6	100.0	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	37.5	75.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	US DIST CT LA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	SUP MADERA	1ST DUI	36	88.9	97.2	69.4	2.8	0.0	11.1	16.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	71.4	100.0	28.6	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	82.0	98.0	54.0	8.0	0.0	10.0	16.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MADERA (cont.)	JUV MADERA	1ST DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1ST DUI	292	96.9	99.0	85.3	4.8	0.0	50.7	31.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	71	98.6	98.6	18.3	69.0	0.0	66.2	14.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	26.7	0.0	6.7	26.7	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	380	97.1	98.9	69.5	17.6	0.0	51.6	28.2	0.0
	MADERA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1STDUI	56	96.4	98.2	91.1	3.6	0.0	57.1	16.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	100.0	15.0	70.0	0.0	60.0	5.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	96.3	98.8	66.7	22.2	0.0	54.3	16.0	0.0
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	907	99.7	10.7	84.2	2.5	0.0	88.5	9.5	0.9
		2ND DUI	188	99.5	91.0	6.9	77.7	0.0	88.8	9.0	1.6
		3RD DUI	28	100.0	92.9	0.0	7.1	0.0	7.1	85.7	32.1
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	71.4	14.3
		TOTAL	1130	99.5	26.6	68.8	15.4	0.0	86.0	11.7	1.9
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	44	97.7	90.9	68.2	0.0	0.0	70.5	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	88.2	29.4	47.1	0.0	58.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	66	98.5	89.4	53.0	16.7	1.5	66.7	1.5	0.0
	US MAG YOSEMITE	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	93.3	93.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	90.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	UKIAH CONSLD	1ST DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
	JUV UKIAH	1ST DUI	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	WILLITS	1ST DUI	72	86.1	90.3	47.2	2.8	0.0	70.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	100.0	95.5	0.0	63.6	0.0	68.2	4.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	102	89.2	92.2	33.3	20.6	0.0	64.7	2.0	0.0
	UKIAH	1ST DUI	232	84.5	96.6	65.1	3.4	0.0	71.1	1.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	89.5	97.4	21.1	57.9	0.0	73.7	1.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	78.6	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	324	85.8	96.9	51.5	19.8	0.0	70.1	1.2	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MENDOCINO (cont.)	BOONVILLE	1ST DUI	7	100.0	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0	100.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
	PT. ARENA	1ST DUI	16	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	93.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	100.0	100.0	88.9	11.1	0.0	94.4	0.0	0.0
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	15	86.7	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	73.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	92.0	100.0	52.0	32.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
	COVELO	1ST DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST DUI	79	97.5	98.7	89.9	1.3	0.0	88.6	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	22	90.9	100.0	18.2	68.2	0.0	77.3	0.0	4.5
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	105	95.2	98.1	71.4	17.1	1.0	82.9	6.7	1.0
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	35	45.7	57.1	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	58.3	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	50.9	70.9	7.3	1.8	1.8	0.0	25.5	0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	MERCED MUNI	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	556	99.8	98.2	83.5	3.6	0.0	85.6	2.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	185	100.0	95.7	13.0	76.2	0.0	88.6	4.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	46	95.7	100.0	4.3	47.8	0.0	34.8	47.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	791	99.5	97.7	61.9	23.3	0.0	82.9	5.9	0.0
	LOS BANOS	1ST DUI	181	98.9	82.9	96.1	0.0	0.0	68.5	3.9	0.6
		2ND DUI	54	100.0	98.1	13.0	85.2	0.0	72.2	9.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	5.6	22.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	255	98.8	87.5	71.0	23.1	0.0	64.3	6.7	0.4
MODOC	SUP MODOC	1ST DUI	53	96.2	84.9	64.2	13.2	0.0	81.1	7.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	41.2	35.3	0.0	41.2	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	97.3	89.0	56.2	17.8	0.0	68.5	6.8	0.0
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	9	100.0	22.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	83.3	41.7	75.0	8.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MONO (cont.)	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	73	100.0	50.7	91.8	6.8	0.0	84.9	2.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	100.0	6.7	93.3	0.0	93.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	94	98.9	61.7	72.3	23.4	0.0	84.0	2.1	0.0
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	1STDUI	37	86.5	100.0	35.1	2.7	0.0	8.1	43.2	5.4
		2ND DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	8.3	50.0	16.7
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	87.5	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	56.3	18.8
		TOTAL	69	87.0	98.6	18.8	8.7	0.0	5.8	47.8	10.1
	JUV SALINAS	1ST DUI	8	87.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	87.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	MARINA MUNI	1ST DUI	52	100.0	98.1	88.5	1.9	0.0	67.3	13.5	11.5
		2ND DUI	21	100.0	100.0	23.8	42.9	0.0	23.8	19.0	47.6
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	75	100.0	98.7	68.0	16.0	0.0	53.3	14.7	24.0
	SALINAS	1ST DUI	1610	99.4	86.6	87.0	1.8	0.0	54.8	28.5	5.3
		2ND DUI	393	99.2	98.0	10.7	67.4	0.0	23.7	22.6	63.1
		3RD DUI	107	98.1	99.1	2.8	45.8	0.0	2.8	51.4	76.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	83.3
		TOTAL	2116	99.3	89.4	68.3	16.4	0.0	46.3	28.6	19.8
	KING CITY	1ST DUI	286	99.3	99.3	59.8	0.3	0.0	25.9	43.4	2.4
		2ND DUI	76	97.4	98.7	9.2	42.1	0.0	22.4	64.5	64.5
		3RD DUI	29	100.0	100.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	3.4	86.2	79.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0
		TOTAL	395	99.0	99.2	45.1	8.9	0.0	23.3	50.9	20.3
	US MAG MONTEREY	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
NAPA	NAPA	1ST DUI	689	97.5	96.1	89.6	2.2	0.0	87.4	1.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	184	94.6	98.4	7.6	82.6	0.0	88.0	0.0	4.9
		3RD DUI	39	92.3	100.0	10.3	74.4	0.0	82.1	0.0	10.3
		4TH+ DUI	14	50.0	100.0	7.1	28.6	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	926	96.0	96.8	68.7	21.6	0.0	86.4	0.8	1.8
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	254	98.8	89.0	96.1	0.4	0.0	88.6	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	73	94.5	97.3	5.5	80.8	0.0	72.6	0.0	1.4
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	4.5	36.4	0.0	18.2	0.0	13.6
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	351	98.0	91.5	71.2	19.4	0.0	80.3	0.3	1.1
	TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	175	99.4	98.3	90.9	2.9	0.0	94.3	5.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	100.0	23.5	76.5	0.0	91.2	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	216	99.5	98.6	77.8	17.1	0.0	93.1	6.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE	SUP SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	108	81.5	82.4	44.4	3.7	0.0	32.4	6.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	70.0	92.5	7.5	40.0	0.0	27.5	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	63.6	81.8	0.0	27.3	9.1	9.1	9.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	30	56.7	80.0	3.3	23.3	13.3	3.3	3.3	0.0
		TOTAL	189	74.1	84.1	27.5	15.9	2.6	25.4	7.4	0.0
	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	54	90.7	13.0	68.5	0.0	0.0	1.9	57.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	91.1	14.3	67.9	0.0	0.0	1.8	57.1	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2676	99.5	28.1	92.3	1.6	0.0	84.8	9.7	1.0
		2ND DUI	667	100.0	88.0	8.5	81.3	0.3	56.2	34.3	15.7
		3RD DUI	113	97.3	93.8	1.8	71.7	0.0	8.0	15.9	32.7
		4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	10.0	60.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	10.0
		TOTAL	3466	99.5	42.0	73.0	19.4	0.1	76.6	14.7	4.9
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	2135	99.1	14.5	96.2	0.8	0.0	95.5	2.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	560	98.6	92.7	7.5	84.1	0.0	72.0	16.4	7.1
		3RD DUI	91	92.3	97.8	1.1	81.3	0.0	9.9	9.9	25.3
		4TH+ DUI	22	68.2	95.5	4.5	45.5	0.0	4.5	4.5	27.3
		TOTAL	2808	98.5	33.4	74.7	20.4	0.0	87.3	5.1	2.7
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	1080	99.6	18.2	96.3	1.1	0.0	89.2	5.6	0.1
		2ND DUI	277	100.0	91.7	7.9	84.1	0.0	87.0	4.7	4.0
		3RD DUI	48	97.9	100.0	0.0	79.2	0.0	14.6	2.1	8.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1409	99.6	35.7	75.4	20.2	0.0	86.0	5.3	1.1
	NEWPORT BEACH	1ST DUI	2079	99.1	17.0	95.3	1.3	0.0	89.3	7.3	0.2
		2ND DUI	463	99.6	94.4	7.1	86.0	0.0	43.8	47.7	1.1
		3RD DUI	76	94.7	98.7	0.0	81.6	0.0	2.6	19.7	2.6
		4TH+ DUI	27	74.1	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	3.7	11.1	11.1
		TOTAL	2645	98.8	33.8	76.1	18.9	0.0	78.0	14.7	0.5
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	1870	99.6	33.3	96.8	1.6	0.0	77.1	5.1	4.4
		2ND DUI	461	99.8	92.6	11.5	86.1	0.0	70.7	2.0	17.1
		3RD DUI	97	100.0	99.0	1.0	94.8	0.0	13.4	0.0	60.8
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2429	99.7	47.2	76.8	21.4	0.0	73.3	4.3	9.1
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1ST DUI	805	98.5	96.4	58.8	3.5	0.0	85.3	2.2	5.0
		2ND DUI	195	95.4	96.9	8.2	69.7	0.0	74.4	3.1	17.9
		3RD DUI	52	92.3	98.1	1.9	57.7	0.0	15.4	5.8	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	38.5	100.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1065	96.9	96.6	46.0	18.6	0.0	78.9	2.5	8.3
	JUV AUBURN	1ST DUI	11	18.2	9.1	18.2	0.0	0.0	9.1	54.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	16.7	8.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	50.0	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1ST DUI	32	96.9	100.0	75.0	12.5	0.0	68.8	18.8	3.1
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3
		TOTAL	44	97.7	100.0	54.5	31.8	0.0	70.5	15.9	4.5

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
PLACER (cont.)	ROSEVILLE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	204	98.5	97.5	72.1	4.9	0.5	90.7	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	40	97.5	97.5	12.5	62.5	0.0	52.5	10.0	2.5
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	71.4	0.0	85.7	0.0	28.6	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	252	98.4	96.8	60.7	16.3	0.4	82.5	4.4	0.4
PLUMAS	PORTOLA	1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	100.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	159	99.4	98.1	90.6	5.7	0.0	96.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	95.1	100.0	14.6	73.2	0.0	82.9	2.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	212	98.6	98.6	70.8	22.2	0.0	92.0	0.5	0.0
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	489	91.6	86.3	79.6	3.5	0.0	63.6	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	159	84.9	96.9	26.4	54.7	0.0	61.6	3.1	6.3
		3RD DUI	30	66.7	100.0	3.3	60.0	0.0	16.7	6.7	10.0
		4TH+ DUI	28	53.6	96.4	7.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0
		TOTAL	706	87.5	89.7	61.5	19.0	0.0	58.6	2.8	1.8
	SUP INDIO	1ST DUI	245	98.4	40.4	90.2	1.6	0.0	88.2	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	87.2	87.2	14.9	63.8	0.0	66.0	10.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	22.2	55.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	22	72.7	95.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	13.6	40.9	0.0
		TOTAL	323	94.7	52.3	70.6	15.8	0.0	78.0	9.3	0.0
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	6	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	0.0
	CORONA	1ST DUI	423	99.5	98.8	95.5	0.9	0.0	95.3	2.1	0.5
		2ND DUI	93	100.0	98.9	18.3	79.6	0.0	80.6	17.2	1.1
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	20.0	73.3	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	531	99.6	98.9	79.8	16.8	0.0	91.1	5.3	0.6
	HEMET	1ST DUI	358	96.6	95.0	88.8	2.8	0.0	90.8	0.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	113	95.6	96.5	18.6	72.6	0.0	62.8	6.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	29	82.8	93.1	0.0	69.0	0.0	24.1	10.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	510	95.3	95.3	66.7	23.3	0.0	79.4	2.5	0.0
	BANNING	1ST DUI	291	97.9	64.3	93.5	2.4	0.0	75.3	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	80	98.8	95.0	15.0	77.5	0.0	66.3	11.3	7.5
		3RD DUI	25	96.0	100.0	4.0	92.0	0.0	12.0	8.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	397	98.0	72.8	71.8	23.4	0.0	69.3	6.3	1.5

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE (cont.)	INDIO	1ST DUI	1060	98.2	62.6	90.0	2.3	0.0	93.4	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	292	97.9	91.4	13.0	76.4	0.0	88.7	4.5	0.3
		3RD DUI	74	90.5	94.6	5.4	59.5	0.0	37.8	43.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	24	79.2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1450	97.4	70.7	68.7	20.9	0.0	88.1	4.8	0.1
	MORENO VLY	1ST DUI	1194	97.2	81.0	88.1	1.8	0.0	81.2	4.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	322	95.7	96.6	12.4	80.4	0.0	72.4	3.7	0.6
		3RD DUI	90	96.7	96.7	3.3	77.8	0.0	20.0	14.4	2.2
		4TH+ DUI	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	21.4	7.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1620	96.7	85.1	67.6	22.2	0.0	75.5	4.6	0.2
	PALM SPRINGS	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
	BLYTHE	1ST DUI	90	100.0	18.9	94.4	2.2	0.0	87.8	5.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	86.7	13.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	12.5	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	113	99.1	35.4	75.2	17.7	0.0	82.3	8.0	0.0
	PERRIS	1ST DUI	959	99.0	71.2	94.9	2.1	0.0	74.9	4.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	239	98.7	93.3	13.8	79.5	0.0	31.8	4.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	54	100.0	96.3	5.6	85.2	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3
		TOTAL	1268	99.0	76.8	74.6	21.5	0.0	63.1	3.9	0.1
	TEMECULA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO	SUP SACTO	1ST DUI	113	77.9	94.7	38.9	12.4	0.0	8.0	41.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	61	73.8	98.4	9.8	39.3	0.0	11.5	37.7	1.6
		3RD DUI	36	69.4	97.2	2.8	38.9	0.0	2.8	27.8	2.8
		4TH+ DUI	67	58.2	97.0	7.5	29.9	0.0	0.0	31.3	3.0
		TOTAL	277	71.1	96.4	20.2	26.0	0.0	6.1	36.5	1.4
	JUV SACTO	1ST DUI	29	100.0	100.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	6.9	58.6	0.0
		TOTAL	29	100.0	100.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	6.9	58.6	0.0
	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	2970	98.5	95.3	76.7	1.4	0.0	64.8	11.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	909	98.9	97.9	8.3	46.3	0.0	45.5	36.7	0.3
		3RD DUI	266	97.4	99.2	2.6	25.6	0.0	7.5	17.3	0.8
		4TH+ DUI	47	78.7	93.6	4.3	12.8	0.0	2.1	17.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4192	98.3	96.1	56.4	12.8	0.0	56.3	17.5	0.1
	ELK GROVE	1ST DUI	87	97.7	97.7	87.4	1.1	0.0	82.8	2.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	23	95.7	95.7	13.0	73.9	0.0	87.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	123	97.6	97.6	64.2	16.3	0.0	75.6	1.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SACRAMENTO (cont.)	GALT	1ST DUI	61	100.0	95.1	90.2	1.6	0.0	85.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	94.1	17.6	76.5	0.0	88.2	5.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	81	100.0	95.1	72.8	17.3	0.0	84.0	1.2	0.0
	WALNUT GROVE	1ST DUI	29	96.6	96.6	75.9	6.9	0.0	82.8	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	36	97.2	97.2	61.1	19.4	0.0	80.6	2.8	0.0
	SACTO US MAG	1ST DUI	5	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANBENITO	SUP SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	30	93.3	96.7	40.0	3.3	0.0	36.7	46.7	6.7
		2ND DUI	24	95.8	100.0	25.0	33.3	0.0	54.2	20.8	8.3
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	92.9	98.2	32.1	16.1	0.0	42.9	33.9	7.1
	JUV HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	HOLLISTER	1ST DUI	191	98.4	99.0	71.7	2.6	0.0	69.1	23.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	60	98.3	100.0	5.0	61.7	0.0	63.3	21.7	1.7
		3RD DUI	8	75.0	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	12.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	261	97.7	98.9	53.6	16.9	0.0	65.9	22.6	0.4
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP MUN SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	99	92.9	69.7	76.8	4.0	0.0	68.7	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	86.5	89.2	16.2	67.6	0.0	56.8	8.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	88.2	94.1	0.0	52.9	0.0	29.4	11.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	15	40.0	60.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	168	86.3	75.6	48.8	25.0	0.0	56.0	3.6	0.0
	SUP RANCHO CUCAMNGA	1ST DUI	123	90.2	59.3	75.6	7.3	0.0	69.1	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	94.0	90.0	24.0	70.0	0.0	68.0	4.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	188	89.4	68.6	56.4	23.9	0.0	64.4	2.7	0.0
	SUP VICTORVILE	1ST DUI	54	92.6	68.5	64.8	7.4	0.0	46.3	3.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	64.7	70.6	11.8	47.1	0.0	35.3	11.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	78	83.3	67.9	47.4	17.9	0.0	41.0	5.1	0.0
	SUP MUN BARSTOW	1ST DUI	340	97.4	61.2	91.8	2.6	0.0	91.2	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	82	93.9	90.2	13.4	74.4	0.0	84.1	3.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	433	95.8	67.2	74.6	17.3	0.0	87.8	1.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	20	75.0	45.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	25	80.0	52.0	48.0	12.0	0.0	44.0	20.0	0.0
	JUV SAN BERNARDINO	1ST DUI	33	12.1	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	14.7	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.2	0.0
	SUP MUN CHINO	1ST DUI	272	98.2	43.0	93.4	2.6	0.4	85.7	1.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	58	94.8	87.9	12.1	82.8	0.0	87.9	3.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	90.0	85.0	5.0	45.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	355	96.9	53.5	73.8	18.3	0.3	81.1	5.4	0.0
	SUP MUN REDLANDS	1ST DUI	395	96.7	63.3	89.4	4.6	0.0	90.6	2.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	94	98.9	86.2	13.8	80.9	0.0	88.3	1.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	95.0	20.0	65.0	0.0	65.0	30.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	77.8	0.0	66.7	0.0	11.1	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	518	96.9	68.9	71.4	21.8	0.0	87.8	3.5	0.0
	SAN BERNARDO	1ST DUI	999	99.1	66.5	91.3	2.6	0.0	85.8	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	306	97.4	91.2	20.6	65.0	0.0	57.2	20.6	1.3
		3RD DUI	56	91.1	96.4	7.1	32.1	0.0	16.1	23.2	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	44.4	22.2	0.0
		TOTAL	1370	98.4	73.4	71.5	18.0	0.0	76.3	6.6	0.3
	SUP MUN FONTANA	1ST DUI	798	98.4	34.7	94.1	1.8	0.0	90.5	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	207	97.6	90.3	12.1	81.2	0.0	80.2	0.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	34	91.2	97.1	0.0	50.0	0.0	32.4	11.8	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1051	97.2	47.7	73.8	18.9	0.0	85.5	0.9	0.0
	VICTORVILLE	1ST DUI	582	97.1	47.3	92.8	1.7	0.0	82.3	3.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	145	97.9	88.3	24.1	66.9	0.0	76.6	4.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	27	92.6	96.3	3.7	55.6	0.0	51.9	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	762	96.9	57.3	75.6	16.3	0.0	79.4	3.9	0.0
	SUP MUN RNCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	1511	98.7	32.9	95.2	1.5	0.0	85.1	1.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	342	98.0	90.1	18.1	75.7	0.0	82.7	5.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	49	98.0	98.0	4.1	46.9	0.0	46.9	16.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	66.7	91.7	8.3	33.3	0.0	25.0	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1914	98.3	45.1	78.6	16.1	0.0	83.3	2.6	0.0
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	107	99.1	86.9	96.3	0.9	0.0	88.8	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	96.9	90.6	12.5	84.4	0.0	50.0	46.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	145	97.9	87.6	73.8	20.0	0.0	76.6	14.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	TWINPEAKS	1ST DUI	139	97.8	49.6	90.6	2.2	0.0	92.1	0.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	94.1	11.8	82.4	0.0	61.8	29.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	28.6	57.1	0.0
		TOTAL	180	98.3	60.0	72.2	20.6	0.0	83.9	8.3	0.0
	SUP MUN NEEDLES	1ST DUI	86	96.5	43.0	76.7	0.0	0.0	76.7	4.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	65.0	15.0	45.0	0.0	65.0	25.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	42.9	14.3	14.3	14.3	57.1	28.6	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	115	97.4	47.8	60.9	10.4	0.9	72.2	9.6	0.9
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	232	97.0	29.3	92.7	1.3	0.0	89.2	3.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	49	98.0	73.5	30.6	63.3	0.0	85.7	4.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	77.8	11.1	55.6	0.0	66.7	11.1	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	294	97.3	39.1	78.6	13.6	0.0	86.7	3.4	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SUP SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	94	70.2	95.7	14.9	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	76.2	90.5	7.1	9.5	4.8	11.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	66.7	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	63.6	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	159	71.1	95.0	10.7	3.8	1.3	10.1	0.0	0.0
	SUP VISTA	1ST DUI	49	61.2	89.8	16.3	2.0	0.0	20.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	65.6	96.9	3.1	21.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	65.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	51.9	100.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	128	60.9	95.3	7.0	8.6	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	36	58.3	100.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	14	71.4	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	21.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	60.7	100.0	4.9	6.6	0.0	6.6	0.0	0.0
	SUP EL CAHON	1ST DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	69	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1372	96.5	16.7	83.6	1.9	0.0	83.0	1.3	0.3
		2ND DUI	381	96.3	87.9	6.6	64.8	0.0	70.3	1.3	0.5
		3RD DUI	78	89.7	94.9	5.1	26.9	0.0	23.1	25.6	2.6
		4TH+ DUI	15	73.3	93.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1846	96.0	35.3	63.7	16.0	0.0	77.2	2.5	0.4

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO (cont.)	VISTA	1ST DUI	2270	98.2	26.0	89.1	4.6	0.0	87.6	1.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	618	97.1	87.5	10.8	78.3	0.3	39.0	1.6	2.1
		3RD DUI	119	96.6	95.0	3.4	75.6	0.0	13.4	2.5	3.4
		4TH+ DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3018	97.8	41.6	69.4	22.7	0.1	74.4	1.2	0.8
	SAN MARCOS	1ST DUI	3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0
	SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	3330	98.3	96.3	88.9	2.9	0.0	93.0	3.0	1.7
		2ND DUI	706	97.6	98.3	16.0	74.9	0.0	89.8	1.6	48.6
		3RD DUI	92	96.7	98.9	5.4	80.4	0.0	54.3	2.2	66.3
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	42.9	0.0	57.1
		TOTAL	4135	98.2	96.7	74.4	17.0	0.0	91.5	2.7	11.3
	CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	1484	97.8	11.8	91.3	3.5	0.0	93.6	1.1	0.2
		2ND DUI	334	97.6	77.5	16.5	75.4	0.0	77.8	16.8	3.9
		3RD DUI	55	89.1	96.4	0.0	67.3	3.6	21.8	60.0	5.5
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1878	97.5	26.2	75.1	18.3	0.1	88.4	5.8	1.0
	SAN DIEGO TRF	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SAN FRNCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	714	98.5	98.9	95.1	0.8	0.0	93.7	0.0	0.4
		2ND DUI	150	98.0	98.0	14.0	78.7	0.0	88.0	0.0	34.7
		3RD DUI	29	96.6	82.8	0.0	44.8	0.0	51.7	0.0	62.1
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	895	98.3	98.2	78.2	15.5	0.0	91.4	0.0	8.3
	US DIST CT SF	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON	1ST DUI	1041	97.3	97.9	87.8	2.4	0.0	72.9	9.9	0.1
		2ND DUI	357	97.5	97.5	9.8	81.5	0.6	77.3	7.8	1.7
		3RD DUI	112	95.5	78.6	5.4	19.6	12.5	21.4	51.8	3.6
		4TH+ DUI	33	93.9	84.8	3.0	18.2	12.1	9.1	39.4	0.0
		TOTAL	1543	97.1	96.1	62.0	22.3	1.3	68.8	13.1	0.7
	FRENCH CAMP	1ST DUI	21	100.0	61.9	4.8	0.0	0.0	9.5	4.8	0.0
		TOTAL	21	100.0	61.9	4.8	0.0	0.0	9.5	4.8	0.0
	LODI	1ST DUI	404	99.5	99.5	85.4	0.2	0.0	74.0	21.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	117	100.0	100.0	7.7	73.5	0.0	78.6	20.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	34	88.2	100.0	2.9	14.7	0.0	17.6	79.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	100.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	11.1	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	564	98.6	99.6	63.3	16.3	0.0	70.6	25.2	0.0
	MANTECA	1ST DUI	327	99.7	99.1	93.6	0.6	0.0	79.5	9.2	0.6

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN (cont.)	TRACY	1ST DUI	240	100.0	99.6	95.4	1.2	0.0	75.8	11.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	100.0	97.4	15.8	75.0	1.3	50.0	5.3	5.3
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3	71.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	325	100.0	98.8	74.2	20.0	0.6	68.0	11.7	1.2
SAN LUIS OBSPO	SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBSPO	1ST DUI	25	92.0	100.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	11	63.6	100.0	9.1	27.3	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	65.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	61	78.7	100.0	21.3	18.0	0.0	11.5	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN LUIS OBSPO	1ST DUI	9	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	1100	96.8	98.8	91.9	1.5	0.0	88.4	4.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	336	97.9	99.7	8.0	85.4	0.0	90.5	0.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	56	98.2	98.2	3.6	82.1	1.8	32.1	3.6	3.6
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1498	97.0	99.0	69.4	23.5	0.1	86.4	3.6	0.1
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	31	77.4	96.8	22.6	16.1	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	19	26.3	100.0	0.0	15.8	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	66	53.0	98.5	10.6	16.7	0.0	9.1	3.0	0.0
	SUP SAN MATEO	1ST DUI	27	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.5	70.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	28	100.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9	67.9	3.6
	SO SAN FRANCSCO	1ST DUI	1194	97.6	98.4	91.0	1.0	0.0	79.1	0.2	1.5
		2ND DUI	264	97.7	99.6	8.3	85.2	0.0	30.7	8.0	57.2
		3RD DUI	45	95.6	97.8	6.7	82.2	0.0	13.3	4.4	55.6
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1504	97.5	98.6	73.9	18.3	0.0	68.6	1.7	12.9
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	912	89.7	98.4	83.1	2.0	0.0	77.3	0.2	0.3
		2ND DUI	247	91.5	98.0	7.3	73.3	0.0	28.3	2.8	23.9
		3RD DUI	45	84.4	100.0	0.0	75.6	0.0	4.4	2.2	11.1
		TOTAL	1204	89.9	98.3	64.5	19.4	0.0	64.5	0.8	5.6
SANTA BARBARA	SUP SANTA BARABRA	1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA BARABRA	1ST DUI	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
	JUV LOMPOC	1ST DUI	12	91.7	8.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	12	91.7	8.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA BARBARA (cont.)	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	1089	97.6	62.4	90.4	0.8	0.0	84.8	13.4	0.1
		2ND DUI	259	96.5	96.9	6.9	36.7	0.0	42.5	57.1	1.2
		3RD DUI	43	93.0	97.7	7.0	62.8	0.0	23.3	74.4	2.3
		4TH+ DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1396	97.2	70.0	72.1	9.6	0.0	74.7	23.6	0.4
	SANTA MARIA	1ST DUI	616	98.2	90.4	61.0	1.8	0.0	60.9	32.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	175	97.7	97.1	4.0	72.0	0.0	34.9	58.9	6.9
		3RD DUI	47	91.5	100.0	4.3	36.2	0.0	2.1	87.2	29.8
		4TH+ DUI	10	40.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	10.0
		TOTAL	848	97.1	92.5	45.4	18.5	0.0	51.5	41.0	3.2
	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	223	99.6	11.7	84.8	5.8	0.0	84.3	7.6	0.9
		2ND DUI	74	100.0	100.0	5.4	82.4	0.0	33.8	54.1	2.7
		3RD DUI	17	88.2	100.0	5.9	58.8	0.0	11.8	70.6	5.9
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	317	99.1	37.9	61.2	26.8	0.0	67.8	22.4	1.6
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	155	76.1	97.4	35.5	9.0	0.0	11.6	10.3	1.3
		2ND DUI	84	45.2	100.0	1.2	23.8	0.0	7.1	4.8	3.6
		3RD DUI	31	32.3	100.0	0.0	12.9	0.0	3.2	0.0	12.9
		4TH+ DUI	50	52.0	100.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0
		TOTAL	320	60.0	98.8	17.5	14.7	0.0	7.8	6.3	4.1
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	39	92.3	56.4	66.7	0.0	0.0	5.1	76.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	92.5	57.5	67.5	0.0	0.0	5.0	75.0	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	487	99.0	90.3	90.3	1.6	0.0	89.7	6.2	2.3
		2ND DUI	106	93.4	99.1	3.8	84.0	0.0	59.4	12.3	31.1
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	93.8	6.3	43.8	6.3	12.5	0.0	37.5
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	612	97.9	92.0	72.7	17.3	0.2	82.2	7.0	8.2
	SAN JOSE	1ST DUI	3453	90.8	88.4	77.5	2.0	0.0	78.1	6.8	1.0
		2ND DUI	988	82.2	98.4	7.5	59.9	0.0	62.6	11.0	7.3
		3RD DUI	205	73.2	99.5	1.5	38.5	0.0	10.2	3.9	12.2
		4TH+ DUI	11	54.5	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.1
		TOTAL	4657	88.1	91.0	59.1	15.9	0.0	71.6	7.6	2.8
	SAN JOSE TRAF	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SUNNYVALE	1ST DUI	461	99.6	93.5	92.4	2.2	0.0	90.5	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	134	98.5	98.5	9.0	68.7	0.0	67.2	20.1	13.4
		3RD DUI	19	89.5	100.0	0.0	31.6	0.0	10.5	10.5	10.5
		TOTAL	614	99.0	94.8	71.3	17.6	0.0	82.9	9.1	3.3
	GILROY	1ST DUI	511	98.4	97.5	78.9	3.1	0.2	47.2	22.1	0.8
		2ND DUI	175	98.9	100.0	10.3	72.0	0.0	21.1	40.0	1.7
		3RD DUI	42	100.0	100.0	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0	14.3	11.9
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	730	98.5	98.2	57.7	21.0	0.1	38.1	25.9	1.6

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	5	80.0	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	69.2	76.9	15.4	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	12	91.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.7	0.0
		TOTAL	12	91.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.7	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	734	99.5	98.8	89.2	1.2	0.0	88.3	4.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	230	99.1	99.1	7.8	71.7	0.0	78.3	11.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	47	97.9	100.0	6.4	8.5	0.0	12.8	48.9	2.1
		4TH+ DUI	19	78.9	94.7	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	47.4	0.0
		TOTAL	1030	98.9	98.8	65.6	17.5	0.0	81.0	9.1	0.1
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	268	99.3	99.3	79.5	1.1	0.0	77.6	9.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	87	100.0	98.9	4.6	47.1	0.0	50.6	18.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	378	99.5	99.2	57.4	11.6	0.0	66.7	11.6	0.0
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	JUV SHASTA	1ST DUI	24	25.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	24.0	36.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST DUI	30	96.7	86.7	90.0	3.3	0.0	70.0	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	12.5	68.8	0.0	81.3	6.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	48	97.9	91.7	60.4	29.2	0.0	72.9	6.3	0.0
	REDDING	1ST DUI	699	97.7	98.3	90.3	2.4	0.0	82.0	6.9	0.6
		2ND DUI	232	97.0	99.1	10.8	75.9	0.0	81.5	2.6	3.0
		3RD DUI	57	94.7	100.0	8.8	42.1	0.0	29.8	17.5	3.5
		4TH+ DUI	22	22.7	95.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1010	95.7	98.5	65.4	21.7	0.0	77.1	6.8	1.3
SIERRA	DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	21	100.0	90.5	85.7	9.5	0.0	95.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	100.0	100.0	28.6	28.6	0.0	57.1	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	100.0	93.1	69.0	13.8	0.0	82.8	3.4	0.0
SISKIYOU	SUP SISKIYOU	1ST DUI	5	80.0	60.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	20.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	75.0	75.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	12.5	12.5
	WEED	1ST DUI	80	100.0	88.8	75.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	2.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	42	97.6	95.2	33.3	40.5	0.0	40.5	0.0	9.5
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	127	99.2	91.3	58.3	17.3	0.0	52.8	1.6	4.7

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SISKIYOU (cont.)	YREKA JUDIST	1ST DUI	111	97.3	89.2	80.2	4.5	0.0	72.1	0.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	94.9	94.9	25.6	53.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	2.6
		3RD DUI	13	76.9	100.0	7.7	46.2	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7
		TOTAL	163	95.1	91.4	61.3	19.6	0.0	57.7	0.6	1.2
SOLANO	SUP SOLANO	1ST DUI	15	93.3	100.0	66.7	13.3	0.0	6.7	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	71.0	96.8	32.3	25.8	0.0	3.2	12.9	0.0
	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	16	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.8	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.8	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST DUI	694	98.3	99.3	92.2	3.6	0.0	74.4	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	210	96.2	100.0	7.1	88.6	0.0	24.3	1.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	73.7	100.0	2.6	68.4	0.0	5.3	23.7	2.6
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	943	96.8	99.5	69.6	25.2	0.0	60.3	1.9	0.1
	VALLEJO	1ST DUI	314	98.4	76.4	94.6	2.2	0.0	95.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	99	96.0	93.9	25.3	70.7	0.0	92.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	433	97.9	81.5	74.8	21.5	0.0	93.8	0.0	0.0
SONOMA	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	27	92.6	100.0	44.4	14.8	0.0	40.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	66.7	94.4	0.0	27.8	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	25.0	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	17	76.5	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	70	74.3	97.1	18.6	12.9	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SONOMA	1ST DUI	35	17.1	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	36	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0
	SANTA ROSA	1ST DUI	1610	98.1	94.9	78.8	0.7	0.1	80.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	510	98.2	99.0	6.3	66.9	0.0	66.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	119	91.6	100.0	1.7	20.2	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	32	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2271	97.5	96.2	57.4	16.6	0.0	72.6	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	1137	98.7	96.9	89.1	3.3	0.0	70.4	1.1	0.1
		2ND DUI	358	98.0	97.8	10.3	67.0	0.0	50.6	5.6	3.4
		3RD DUI	81	96.3	100.0	2.5	66.7	0.0	9.9	6.2	9.9
		4TH+ DUI	21	76.2	95.2	0.0	52.4	0.0	4.8	9.5	0.0
		TOTAL	1597	98.1	97.2	65.9	21.4	0.0	62.0	2.5	1.3
	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	30	50.0	23.3	46.7	0.0	0.0	13.3	70.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	51.6	22.6	48.4	0.0	0.0	12.9	71.0	0.0
	MODESTO	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STANISLAUS (cont.)	TURLOCK	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER	SUP MUN YUBA	1ST DUI	12	58.3	100.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	56.3	100.0	31.3	18.8	0.0	12.5	6.3	12.5
	YUBA CITY	1ST DUI	211	95.3	98.1	91.0	0.9	0.0	82.9	1.9	1.9
		2ND DUI	58	98.3	100.0	10.3	86.2	0.0	65.5	0.0	36.2
		3RD DUI	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	7.7	7.7	61.5
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	283	95.8	98.6	70.0	21.2	0.0	75.6	1.8	12.0
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	1ST DUI	11	45.5	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2	18.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	28.6	95.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	9.5	0.0
	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.5	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.5	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	81	91.4	95.1	88.9	1.2	0.0	35.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	29	96.6	96.6	17.2	75.9	0.0	34.5	3.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	117	89.7	95.7	66.7	21.4	0.0	33.3	0.9	0.0
	RED BLUFF	1ST DUI	230	91.3	97.8	1.3	1.3	0.0	86.1	6.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	73	84.9	100.0	0.0	63.0	0.0	79.5	4.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	42.9	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	35.7	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	317	87.7	98.4	0.9	17.4	0.0	82.3	6.0	0.0
TRINITY	SUP TRINITY	1ST DUI	45	95.6	100.0	88.9	2.2	0.0	75.6	6.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	100.0	90.0	13.3	50.0	3.3	70.0	10.0	6.7
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	83	97.6	95.2	53.0	22.9	1.2	67.5	9.6	2.4
	WEAVERVILLE TRINITY	1ST DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	85.7	100.0	42.9	28.6	0.0	57.1	14.3		
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1ST DUI	12	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	61.9	95.2	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0
	JUV TULARE	1ST DUI	23	39.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.5	0.0
		TOTAL	23	39.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.5	0.0

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE (cont.)	DINUBA	1ST DUI	264	98.1	98.1	62.1	1.5	0.0	86.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	95	96.8	100.0	22.1	38.9	0.0	76.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	95.2	100.0	23.8	14.3	0.0	38.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	383	97.1	98.7	49.6	11.5	0.0	80.7	0.0	0.0
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	441	97.5	98.2	84.4	2.7	0.0	49.0	0.2	1.1
		2ND DUI	134	97.8	97.8	14.2	70.1	0.0	33.6	0.0	11.2
		3RD DUI	29	96.6	100.0	6.9	69.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	6.9
		4TH+ DUI	12	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		TOTAL	616	97.2	98.2	63.8	21.4	0.0	43.0	0.3	3.6
	TULARE	1ST DUI	278	97.8	59.4	86.7	6.5	0.0	48.6	2.2	0.4
		2ND DUI	76	100.0	92.1	18.4	73.7	0.0	55.3	1.3	5.3
		3RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	15.8	78.9	0.0	5.3	5.3	10.5
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	378	98.4	68.5	68.3	24.9	0.0	47.4	2.1	2.1
	VISALIA	1ST DUI	616	97.7	80.7	85.9	4.1	0.0	81.7	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	177	96.6	94.9	22.0	63.8	0.0	78.5	0.6	0.6
		3RD DUI	41	97.6	95.1	9.8	53.7	0.0	41.5	0.0	2.4
		4TH+ DUI	16	81.3	93.8	0.0	18.8	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	850	97.2	84.6	67.3	19.2	0.0	77.5	0.9	0.2
TUOLUMNE	SUP SONORA	1ST DUI	222	98.2	99.1	94.1	0.9	0.0	88.7	6.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	78	97.4	100.0	11.5	80.8	0.0	82.1	12.8	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	60.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	326	96.9	98.5	66.9	20.2	0.0	80.4	7.7	0.0
VENTURA	JUV VENTURA	1ST DUI	5	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA MUNI	1ST DUI	2765	98.4	99.1	90.8	1.5	0.0	85.6	5.2	2.0
		2ND DUI	638	97.8	98.7	10.5	79.0	0.0	87.0	4.4	20.4
		3RD DUI	120	91.7	97.5	3.3	73.3	0.0	57.5	14.2	23.3
		4TH+ DUI	27	66.7	100.0	3.7	59.3	0.0	29.6	11.1	22.2
		TOTAL	3550	97.8	99.0	72.8	18.3	0.0	84.5	5.4	6.2
YOLO	SUP WOODLAND	1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	WOODLAND	1ST DUI	562	96.8	95.9	87.7	2.8	0.0	81.0	6.4	0.2
		2ND DUI	170	95.9	96.5	13.5	76.5	0.0	89.4	0.6	1.8
		3RD DUI	49	91.8	98.0	2.0	73.5	0.0	22.4	14.3	6.1
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	100.0	11.1	22.2	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	790	96.1	96.2	65.6	23.3	0.0	78.5	5.7	0.9

TABLE B4: 2000 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
YUBA	SUP YUBA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV YUBA	1ST DUI	5	80.0	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	60.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
	MARYSVILLE	1ST DUI	171	98.8	86.0	88.9	5.3	0.0	83.6	1.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	81	100.0	100.0	13.6	76.5	0.0	67.9	0.0	2.5
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	21.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	266	98.9	91.0	61.3	27.8	0.0	75.6	0.8	0.8

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR*** VIOLATIONS	MOVING*** VIOLATIONS
1998 (3-year follow up)												
Suspension	3,567	10.0	36.0	2.6	2.7	1.8	3.3	6.3	1.54	.41	.059	2.62
SB 38 program & restriction	6,213	12.2	36.2	2.7	2.8	1.8	2.4	5.6	1.52	.42	.059	2.62
SB 38 program & Interlock	5,940	9.6	36.1	2.1	2.7	1.7	2.3	5.4	1.58	.42	.053	2.65
Other	6,916	11.1	36.7	2.5	2.7	1.8	3.0	5.7	1.54	.42	.054	2.65
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 25.78*	F = 4.7*	X^2 = 5.59	F = .223	F = .398	F = 45.2*	F = 6.5*	F = 83.6*	F = 10.3*	F = 98.4*	F = 7.6*
2000 (1-year follow up)												
Suspension	3,949	12.3	36.0	2.3	3.3	1.9	4.0	7.5	1.57	.40	.50	2.08
SB 38 program & restriction	4,628	12.9	35.5	2.5	3.6	2.1	5.1	8.1	1.61	.41	.46	2.11
SB 38 program & Interlock	1,579	12.1	36.3	2.6	3.4	2.1	3.6	6.7	1.58	.40	.42	2.03
Other	11,520	11.8	36.8	2.5	3.3	2.0	3.2	6.8	1.63	.42	.47	2.18
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 3.5	F = 18.8*	X^2 = .693	F = 2.37	F = 2.41	F = 155.11*	F = 18.05*	F = 49.8*	F = 42.9*	F = 56.8*	F = 56.8*

*Statistical significance at p<.05, (two-tailed).
**The ZIP Code indices for the 1998 and 2000 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data.
***These violations are undercounted for the 1998 cases due to an error in the program that tallies these violations. The counts for the 2000 cases are correct.

TABLE B6: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**			
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
7/00-6/01												
No program	2,919	21.1	33.7	3.9	2.8	1.0	.20	8.9	1.55	.40	.45	2.01
Alcohol education program	5,515	19.9	33.4	3.2	2.8	1.0	.10	9.2	1.62	.40	.41	2.07
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 1.8	F = 1.38	X^2 = 3.0	F = .16	F = .018	F = 10.6*	F = 1.04	F = 93.0*	F = 5.25*	F = 115.5*	F = 19.2*
2000												
3-month program	17,153	21.2	34.5	2.8	3.1	1.4	.10	8.1	1.62	.40	.43	2.0
6-month program	5,209	20.4	37.4	2.8	3.6	2.3	.20	6.1	1.60	.40	.43	2.0
Statistical significance test		X^2 = 1.7	F = 252.0*	X^2 = .002	F = 34.9*	F = 202.9*	F = .36	F = 117.3*	F = 15.5*	F = 1.04	F = 2.01	F = 1.67