

2012

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE
CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT
INFORMATION SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

JANUARY 2012

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Governor

TRACI STEVENS, Acting Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

GEORGE VALVERDE
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) January 2012		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2012 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Sladjana Oulad Daoud and Helen N. Tashima				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-12-236	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT In this twenty-first annual legislatively-mandated report, 2009 and 2010 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 20 years. Also, the long term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Two analyses were conducted to evaluate if referrals to DUI programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes among those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of convicted first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2009, who were referred, enrolled, and completed DUI programs are also presented.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: Unclassified			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 162	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Douglas P. Rickard
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 916-657-5768

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2000 - 2010

	YEAR										
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
DUI Arrest Rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	847	803	783	809	792	786	849	863	906	880	823
Total DUI arrests ¹	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879
Felony DUI arrests ¹	5476	5647	5859	5856	5646	5962	6191	6264	5966	5577	4902
Misdemeanor DUI arrests ¹	175860	170843	171197	177704	175311	174326	191057	197602	208845	202954	190977
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) ²	144730	141032	140635	145858	145400	146533	160540	165000	172273	161074	141552
Percent convicted of DUI as of Oct. 2011 ²	80%	80%	79%	79%	80%	81%	81%	81%	80%	77%	72%
Alcohol-involved reckless driving convictions as of Oct. 2011 ²	14465	15773	15694	15735	14801	14452	15563	16085	17887	19802	18666
Percent convicted of alcohol reckless driving	8.0%	8.9%	8.9%	8.6%	8.2%	8.0%	7.9%	7.9%	8.3%	9.5%	9.5%
Alcohol-involved crash fatalities ³	1233	1308	1416	1445	1462	1574	1597	1489	1355	1263	1072
% of crash fatalities	33.1	33.3	34.2	34.2	35.7	36.6	38.1	37.5	39.8	41.1	39.1
Alcohol-involved crash injuries ³	30971	31806	32013	31322	31538	30810	31099	30783	28463	26058	24343
% of crash injuries	10.2	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.5	11.2	11.5	11.8	11.2	10.6
Drug-involved crash fatalities ⁴	428	509	639	784	799	880	859	749	726	713	696
% of crash fatalities	11.5	13.0	15.6	18.6	19.5	20.4	20.5	18.9	21.3	23.2	25.4
Drug-involved crash injuries ⁴	1917	2106	2373	2580	2646	2722	2421	2464	2227	2309	2384
% of crash injuries	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0

¹These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center data, and as of the 2006 DUI-MIS report, the counts for all the years reflect this change.

²These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts (data received from the courts), by year of violation, minus duplicates. Each year, the DUI conviction totals are updated to include the amended and new abstracts for current and previous years. These additions are also reflected in changes for the percent convicted. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will underestimate the final conviction totals.

³These figures include overlap with drugs. That is, drugs are also involved in some of these cases.

⁴These figures include overlap with alcohol. That is, alcohol is also involved in some of these cases.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2000 - 2010 (continued)

	YEAR										
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/REVOCACTION (S/R) ACTIONS	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796 ²	362859 ²	392319 ²	382111 ²	351802 ²
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851	183743
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861	18684
.08 First-offender suspensions	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933	117884
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747	44101
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074
Commercial driver actions	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172	2924	2776
Chemical test refusal actions	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737	8275
.01 Test refusal suspensions	270	280	290	341	326	364	419	426	433	372	354
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055	4847
.08 Test refusal revocations	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074
POSTCONVICTION¹											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	741	714	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917	482	538
First-offender suspensions	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525 ²	124436 ²	136480 ²	132709 ²	120254 ²
Misdemeanor	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227 ²	122102 ²	133987 ²	130462 ²	118168 ²
Felony	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247	2086
Second-offender S/R actions	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836	35565
Misdemeanor	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155	34928
Felony	526	577	597	577	553	595	634	647	658	681	637
Third-offender revocations	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187	8905
Misdemeanor	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945	8707
Felony	148	142	175	195	152	183	225	233	231	242	198
Fourth-offender revocations	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046	2797
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315 ²	170646 ²	187987 ²	183260 ²	168059 ²

¹These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

²This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2012 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- ◆ Alcohol-involved crash fatalities decreased by 15.1% in 2010, the biggest decline since a decreasing trend started 4 years ago (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ Drug-involved crash fatalities declined slightly, by 2.4% in 2010, but still reflect an increase of 63% in the past decade, from 428 in 2000 to 696 in 2010 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ Of the total number of crash fatalities, the percentage of alcohol-involved fatalities decreased from 41.1% in 2009 to 39.1% in 2010. However, the percentage of drug-involved fatalities increased from 23.2% to 25.4% during the same time period.
- ◆ The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved crashes decreased by 6.6% in 2010, following a decrease of 8.4% in 2009 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 6.1% in 2010, following a decrease of 2.9% in 2009 and increases of 5.4% in 2008, 3.4% in 2007, and 9.4% in 2006 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- ◆ The DUI arrest rate declined by 6.5% in 2010 following a decline of 2.9% in 2009 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ 13.4% of all 2009 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 14.2% in 2008. 5.2% of 2009 DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, relatively unchanged from 5.5% in 2008 (see Table 19).
- ◆ Among 2010 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (43.7%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (33.7% in 2010). This is shown in Figure 3.
- ◆ The median (midpoint) age of a DUI arrestee in 2010 was 30 years. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests were juveniles (under age 18). This is shown in Table 3a.
- ◆ Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2009, 73.0% were first offenders and 27.0% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10 years). The

proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37% (see Table 10).

- ◆ The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.15% in 2009, same as in the last 5 years, yet almost double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).
- ◆ 10.1% of 2009 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records, which is relatively unchanged from 10.0% in 2008 (see Table 8).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, in providing annual had-been-drinking (HBD) crash data and documentation. The contributions of Douglas Rickard, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as his general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, Michael Gebers, Research Scientist III, Scott Masten, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects, and David J. DeYoung, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:

Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist II and
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigators

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2000-2010	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2012 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS	5
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS	13
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS	24
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS	35
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES	38
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2009	38
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2009	40
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders	44
The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2009	49
DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	50
Methods	50
Results of the DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- Reckless Driving	53
9-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol-Related Reckless Drivers	54
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders	55
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS	57
SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL	61
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS	73
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975	75
REFERENCES	89
GLOSSARY	93

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450	96
B APPENDIX TABLES	98
B1 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	98
B2 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE.....	113
B3 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT	123
B4 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS	131
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2009.....	161

LIST OF TABLES

1 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY 2008–2010 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2009–2010	9
2 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST.....	10
3a 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	11
3b 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	11
3c DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2000-2010.....	12
4 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	17
5 MATCHABLE 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX	18
6 ADJUSTED 2009 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY	18
7 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY..... 21
9a	2009 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS..... 22
9b	2009 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21..... 23
10	2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL 23
11	2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS 26
12	2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS..... 27
13a	ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2009..... 39
13b	2009 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS 42
13c	2009 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS 43
14	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS 44
15	COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2009 50
16a	THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING..... 54

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
16b THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	56
17 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2000-2010.....	59
18 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES.....	60
19 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1999-2009	65
20 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL	66
21 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY LEVEL	66
22 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL	67
23 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1999-2009	68
24a 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX.....	68
24b 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED).....	68
24c 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH.....	69
25a 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS.....	70

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
25b 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED).....	70
26a 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	71
26b 2008 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED).....	71
27 2009 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES	72

LIST OF FIGURES

1	DUI management information system.....	2
2	DUI arrests 2000-2010.....	6
3	Percentage of 2010 DUI arrests and 2010 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity	8
4	DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 2000-2010.....	15
5	Relative likelihood of DUI conviction by race/ethnicity	17
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2009).....	25
7	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2009)	38
8	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2009).....	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
9a Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs)	45
9b Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions	46
9c Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.....	46
9d Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date)	47
9e Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2004 DUI offenders.....	48
10a Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol-reckless drivers (arrested in 2009) by DUI program assignment.....	53
10b Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2009) by length of DUI program.....	55
11 Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2000-2010	63
12 Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 2000-2010	63

INTRODUCTION

This report is the twenty-first *Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System*, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to “establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted” of DUI in order to provide “accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics” to enhance “the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.” The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions in selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on “Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness.”




Figure1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County 2008–2010 and Annual Percentage Change, 2009–2010. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2008–2010 and the percentage change from 2009–2010 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2010 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2010 DUI arrests by felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2010 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross-tabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2010 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the average (mean) age for 2010 arrestees. In addition to the mean, the median (midpoint) was reported to minimize the influence of data values that are not equally distributed. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 2000–2010. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 2000 to 2010. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 2000 to 2010.

Figure 3 shows the percentages of 2010 DUI arrests and 2010 projected population by race/ethnicity.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 2000-2010.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 6.1% in 2010, after decreasing by 2.9% in 2009 (see Table 1).
- ◆ Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.8 in 2010, slightly lower than 0.9 in 2007-2009, and unchanged from 0.8 in 2000-2006. This represents a 56% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- ◆ The percentage of DUI arrests that were felonies (involving bodily injury or death) decreased slightly, from 2.6% in 2009 to 2.5% in 2010. Felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation:

- ◆ 20.9% of all 2010 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Five counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 49.6% of all arrests (see Table 2).
- ◆ The 2010 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 3.6 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate is 0.8). Eight counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These counties with low arrest rates were San Francisco (0.3), Santa Clara (0.5), Contra Costa (0.6), Solano (0.6), Amador (0.7), Los Angeles (0.7), Placer (0.7), and San Mateo (0.7). Three counties

had rates of 2.0 or higher—Alpine (3.6), Trinity (2.5), and Kings (2.0). This is shown in Table 2.

- ◆ Most counties had fewer DUI arrests in 2010. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage decrease occurred in Riverside (-7.5%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decrease in DUI arrests occurred in Sierra (-39.3%). Counties showing the largest percentage increase in DUI arrests were Alpine (29.6%), Kings (24.4%), and Mariposa (20.2%). This is shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics:

- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2010 was 30 years. Slightly more than half (51.4%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (73.2%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.8% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- ◆ Among all DUI arrestees, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 18 remained unchanged from 2009 to 2010 at 0.6. However, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 8.5 in 2009, to 8.1 in 2010. This is shown in Table 3c.
- ◆ Males comprised 77.6% of all 2010 DUI arrests (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.4% in 2010.
- ◆ In 2010, Hispanics (43.7%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2010 population parity of 33.7% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrestees (8.6% of arrests, 5.9% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2010 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (39.4% of arrests, 45.2% of the population), and “Other” (8.3% of arrests, 15.2% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.
- ◆ Among male 2010 DUI arrestees, 48.0% were Hispanic, 35.3% were White, 8.5% were Black, and 8.2% were “Other.” Among female DUI arrestees, 53.6% were White, 28.6%

were Hispanic, 9.1% were Black, and 8.7% were “Other.” The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males (see Table 3b).

- ◆ In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, the DUI arrest rate is also high. For example, in the following eight counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2010: Imperial (72.2%), Tulare (70.7%), San Benito (69.7%), Fresno (66.2%), Merced (65.2%), Madera (63.5%), Kings (61.4%), and Monterey (60.6%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).
- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 33.0 years, while “Other” were the youngest, with a median age of 28.0 years (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2010 DUI arrests and 2010 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS¹ BY COUNTY 2008–2010 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2009–2010

COUNTY	2008	2009	2010	% CHANGE 2009-2010
STATEWIDE	214811	208531	195879	-6.1
ALAMEDA	8203	7837	7966	1.6
ALPINE	20	27	35	29.6
AMADOR	324	251	198	-21.1
BUTTE	2208	1840	1672	-9.1
CALAVERAS	380	362	304	-16.0
COLUSA	228	237	221	-6.8
CONTRA COSTA	4775	4583	4464	-2.6
DEL NORTE	268	262	211	-19.5
EL DORADO	1343	1366	1278	-6.4
FRESNO	7751	7084	6411	-9.5
GLENN	498	472	333	-29.4
HUMBOLDT	1424	1624	1416	-12.8
IMPERIAL	1406	1488	1116	-25.0
INYO	350	345	264	-23.5
KERN	5890	5683	5863	3.2
KINGS	1218	1130	1406	24.4
LAKE	571	515	430	-16.5
LASSEN	197	238	203	-14.7
LOS ANGELES	43867	42508	40872	-3.8
MADERA	1171	1305	1288	-1.3
MARIN	1609	1560	1548	-0.8
MARIPOSA	92	104	125	20.2
MENDOCINO	1027	828	793	-4.2
MERCED	2506	2488	2067	-16.9
MODOC	99	99	81	-18.2
MONO	142	146	111	-24.0
MONTEREY	3219	2857	2653	-7.1
NAPA	990	1281	1068	-16.6
NEVADA	773	724	683	-5.7
ORANGE	17575	16993	15966	-6.0
PLACER	2428	2132	1738	-18.5
PLUMAS	294	313	226	-27.8
RIVERSIDE	10872	10873	10056	-7.5
SACRAMENTO	8586	8529	7979	-6.4
SAN BENITO	312	423	333	-21.3
SAN BERNARDINO	13984	13506	12998	-3.8
SAN DIEGO	18588	17717	17305	-2.3
SAN FRANCISCO	1483	1534	1480	-3.5
SAN JOAQUIN	4496	4639	4413	-4.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2504	2581	1918	-25.7
SAN MATEO	3541	3864	3682	-4.7
SANTA BARBARA	3065	3113	2722	-12.6
SANTA CLARA	7484	7172	6447	-10.1
SANTA CRUZ	1482	1488	1630	9.5
SHASTA	1699	1570	1380	-12.1
SIERRA	60	61	37	-39.3
SISKIYOU	503	492	480	-2.4
SOLANO	2104	1870	1720	-8.0
SONOMA	3622	3607	2989	-17.1
STANISLAUS	3342	3417	3108	-9.0
SUTTER	645	616	537	-12.8
TEHAMA	991	711	550	-22.6
TRINITY	236	296	265	-10.5
TULARE	4385	3950	3963	0.3
TUOLUMNE	516	487	393	-19.3
VENTURA	5265	5421	4775	-11.9
YOLO	1470	1233	1030	-16.5
YUBA	730	679	679	0.0

¹DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (N = 251) removed.

TABLE 2: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	195879	100.0	4849	2.5	1085	0.6	189945	97.0	0.8
ALAMEDA	7966	4.1	96	1.2	31	0.4	7839	98.4	0.8
ALPINE	35	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	100.0	3.6
AMADOR	198	0.1	10	5.1	0	0.0	188	94.9	0.7
BUTTE	1672	0.9	33	2.0	13	0.8	1626	97.2	1.1
CALAVERAS	304	0.2	17	5.6	2	0.7	285	93.8	0.8
COLUSA	221	0.1	4	1.8	2	0.9	215	97.3	1.7
CONTRA COSTA	4464	2.3	102	2.3	29	0.6	4333	97.1	0.6
DEL NORTE	211	0.1	5	2.4	0	0.0	206	97.6	1.2
EL DORADO	1278	0.7	51	4.0	18	1.4	1209	94.6	0.9
FRESNO	6411	3.3	137	2.1	36	0.6	6238	97.3	1.3
GLENN	333	0.2	11	3.3	2	0.6	320	96.1	1.8
HUMBOLDT	1416	0.7	28	2.0	7	0.5	1381	97.5	1.5
IMPERIAL	1116	0.6	28	2.5	8	0.7	1080	96.8	1.1
INYO	264	0.1	6	2.3	3	1.1	255	96.6	1.8
KERN	5863	3.0	216	3.7	32	0.5	5615	95.8	1.3
KINGS	1406	0.7	14	1.0	5	0.4	1387	98.6	2.0
LAKE	430	0.2	10	2.3	4	0.9	416	96.7	0.9
LASSEN	203	0.1	7	3.4	0	0.0	196	96.6	1.0
LOS ANGELES	40872	20.9	1203	2.9	117	0.3	39552	96.8	0.7
MADERA	1288	0.7	33	2.6	9	0.7	1246	96.7	1.6
MARIN	1548	0.8	21	1.4	11	0.7	1516	97.9	0.8
MARIPOSA	125	0.1	3	2.4	0	0.0	122	97.6	0.8
MENDOCINO	793	0.4	11	1.4	4	0.5	778	98.1	1.2
MERCED	2067	1.1	52	2.5	16	0.8	1999	96.7	1.5
MODOC	81	0.0	3	3.7	1	1.2	77	95.1	1.2
MONO	111	0.1	1	0.9	0	0.0	110	99.1	1.2
MONTEREY	2653	1.4	78	2.9	30	1.1	2545	95.9	1.1
NAPA	1068	0.5	19	1.8	9	0.8	1040	97.4	1.2
NEVADA	683	0.3	23	3.4	3	0.4	657	96.2	0.8
ORANGE	15966	8.2	257	1.6	123	0.8	15586	97.6	0.8
PLACER	1738	0.9	48	2.8	15	0.9	1675	96.4	0.7
PLUMAS	226	0.1	4	1.8	2	0.9	220	97.3	1.3
RIVERSIDE	10056	5.1	202	2.0	62	0.6	9792	97.4	0.8
SACRAMENTO	7979	4.1	211	2.6	16	0.2	7752	97.2	0.9
SAN BENITO	333	0.2	9	2.7	2	0.6	322	96.7	1.0
SAN BERNARDINO	12998	6.6	334	2.6	73	0.6	12591	96.9	1.1
SAN DIEGO	17305	8.8	413	2.4	96	0.6	16796	97.1	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1480	0.8	64	4.3	0	0.0	1416	95.7	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4413	2.3	96	2.2	24	0.5	4293	97.3	1.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1918	1.0	42	2.2	9	0.5	1867	97.3	1.0
SAN MATEO	3682	1.9	65	1.8	28	0.8	3589	97.5	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	2722	1.4	71	2.6	23	0.8	2628	96.5	1.0
SANTA CLARA	6447	3.3	234	3.6	44	0.7	6169	95.7	0.5
SANTA CRUZ	1630	0.8	32	2.0	16	1.0	1582	97.1	0.9
SHASTA	1380	0.7	42	3.0	9	0.7	1329	96.3	1.0
SIERRA	37	0.0	4	10.8	0	0.0	33	89.2	1.4
SISKIYOU	480	0.2	7	1.5	2	0.4	471	98.1	1.3
SOLANO	1720	0.9	36	2.1	9	0.5	1675	97.4	0.6
SONOMA	2989	1.5	40	1.3	25	0.8	2924	97.8	0.9
STANISLAUS	3108	1.6	57	1.8	15	0.5	3036	97.7	1.0
SUTTER	537	0.3	20	3.7	2	0.4	515	95.9	0.9
TEHAMA	550	0.3	11	2.0	9	1.6	530	96.4	1.4
TRINITY	265	0.1	9	3.4	1	0.4	255	96.2	2.5
TULARE	3963	2.0	127	3.2	28	0.7	3808	96.1	1.7
TUOLUMNE	393	0.2	10	2.5	0	0.0	383	97.5	1.0
VENTURA	4775	2.4	136	2.8	43	0.9	4596	96.3	0.9
YOLO	1030	0.5	22	2.1	15	1.5	993	96.4	0.8
YUBA	679	0.3	24	3.5	2	0.3	653	96.2	1.5

TABLE 3a: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY¹

AGE	TOTAL		SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	195879	100.0	152014	77.6	43865	22.4	77190	39.4	85521	43.7	16894	8.6	16274	8.3
UNDER 18	1085	0.6	813	74.9	272	25.1	525	48.4	442	40.7	48	4.4	70	6.5
18-20	14859	7.6	11537	77.6	3322	22.4	5542	37.3	7324	49.3	818	5.5	1175	7.9
21-30	84630	43.2	64846	76.6	19784	23.4	29873	35.3	40325	47.6	6237	7.4	8195	9.7
31-40	42793	21.8	33923	79.3	8870	20.7	14458	33.8	20593	48.1	4213	9.8	3529	8.2
41-50	31009	15.8	23813	76.8	7196	23.2	14335	46.2	11395	36.7	3282	10.6	1997	6.4
51-60	16203	8.3	12749	78.7	3454	21.3	8999	55.5	4421	27.3	1770	10.9	1013	6.3
61-70	4417	2.3	3593	81.3	824	18.7	2824	63.9	888	20.1	445	10.1	260	5.9
71 & ABOVE	883	0.5	740	83.8	143	16.2	634	71.8	133	15.1	81	9.2	35	4.0
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.5		33.7		33.1		35.6		31.6		35.7		31.8	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		29.0		32.0		29.0		33.0		28.0	

¹Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL			RACE/ETHNICITY							
					WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		195879	100.0		77190	39.4	85521	43.7	16894	8.6	16274	8.3
MALE	UNDER 18	813	0.5		353	43.4	374	46.0	37	4.6	49	6.0
	18-20	11537	7.6		3889	33.7	6121	53.1	648	5.6	879	7.6
	21-30	64846	42.7		20612	31.8	33681	51.9	4599	7.1	5954	9.2
	31-40	33923	22.3		10010	29.5	17941	52.9	3178	9.4	2794	8.2
	41-50	23813	15.7		9695	40.7	9933	41.7	2519	10.6	1666	7.0
	51-60	12749	8.4		6463	50.7	3977	31.2	1442	11.3	867	6.8
	61-70	3593	2.4		2168	60.3	804	22.4	392	10.9	229	6.4
	71 & ABOVE	740	0.5		501	67.7	128	17.3	79	10.7	32	4.3
	TOTAL	152014	100.0		53691	35.3	72959	48.0	12894	8.5	12470	8.2
FEMALE	UNDER 18	272	0.6		172	63.2	68	25.0	11	4.0	21	7.7
	18-20	3322	7.6		1653	49.8	1203	36.2	170	5.1	296	8.9
	21-30	19784	45.1		9261	46.8	6644	33.6	1638	8.3	2241	11.3
	31-40	8870	20.2		4448	50.1	2652	29.9	1035	11.7	735	8.3
	41-50	7196	16.4		4640	64.5	1462	20.3	763	10.6	331	4.6
	51-60	3454	7.9		2536	73.4	444	12.9	328	9.5	146	4.2
	61-70	824	1.9		656	79.6	84	10.2	53	6.4	31	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	143	0.3		133	93.0	5	3.5	2	1.4	3	2.1
	TOTAL	43865	100.0		23499	53.6	12562	28.6	4000	9.1	3804	8.7

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2000-2010

AGE		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	1527	1645	1557	1576	1488	1436	1697	1635	1494	1262	1085
	%	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6
18-20	<i>N</i>	14145	14075	14410	14612	14672	14617	16837	17201	17558	16382	14859
	%	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.2	7.9	7.6
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	15672	15720	15967	16188	16160	16053	18534	18836	19052	17644	15944
	%	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.4	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.1

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2010, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2009 in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. The following tables compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. Beginning with the 2007 DUI-MIS report, the median was calculated and reported to describe certain characteristics of the conviction data, in addition to the mean, to minimize the influence of data values that are not symmetrically distributed. In what follows, expressions like “2009 convictions” refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2009, and subsequently convicted. This section contains the following tables and figures:

Table 4: 2009 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table cross-tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2009 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. “Matchable” DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2009 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction rates for each age and race/ethnicity group in this table were proportionally adjusted to the overall conviction rate to avoid the underestimate that would result from the “matchable DUI convictions” data reported in Table 5 (not all reported convictions are “matchable” to an arrest).

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2009 DUI Arrests. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where DUI charges were not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not-guilty verdict. Like in the past 2 years, the DUI conviction rates by county were not calculated for this

report due to still unresolved data reporting problems. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of “other” lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and “other” convictions. The median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2009 DUI Arrests by County. As in the previous year's report, this table only shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2009 DUI arrests statewide. Included are the percentages of arrests which resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of “other” offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because of data reporting problems, the adjudication status of 2009 DUI arrests by county is not available this year.

Table 9a: 2009 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI and Alcohol-Reckless Convictions and Table 9b: 2009 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because the forms on which APS actions are reported more completely report BAC levels than do abstracts of conviction, APS forms are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2009 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level. This table displays the percentages of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years as defined by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (opposite) shows, for the years 2000 to 2010, the number of DUI abstracts of conviction received to date by DMV from the courts, and conviction rates based on the data received as of October 2011.




Figure 4. DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 2000-2010.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- ◆ 77.2% of 2009 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of DUI offenses (see Table 7).
- ◆ In California, DUI convictions remain on the driving record for 10 years. Based on the DUI conviction data for the arrests over 10 years (2000-2009), 4.8% of California drivers (including those who do not have a permanent driving record) have one or more DUI convictions on their record.
- ◆ 11.1% of 2009 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 14.8% (3437/23239) of these were nonalcohol-related reckless violations (see Table 7).
- ◆ 1.5% of 2009 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, which is slightly lower than the 1.6% reported last year (see Table 8).
- ◆ 10.1% of 2009 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, up slightly from 10.0% last year, and down from 16.3% in 1995 (see Table 8). As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease to some extent.

- ◆ The average reported non-zero BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2009, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.16% (median BAC level was 0.15%), which is the same as in the past 5 years, yet still double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).
- ◆ Average and median non-zero BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increases from a 0.16% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense (when the median is reported, BAC level increases from a 0.15% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense). This is shown in Table 10.
- ◆ Among 2009 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.0% were first offenders, 20.5% were second offenders, 5.0% were third offenders, and 1.5% were on their fourth-or-more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California has traditionally been 7 years, although that period was changed to 10 years by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (27.0%), shown in Table 10, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years. For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5% versus 27.0% in 2009.
- ◆ The median adjudication time lags were 83 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 7 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling about 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update appears substantially shorter in the last six annual reports, ever since elapsed time for conviction data reported here was calculated using the median instead of the mean (see Table 7).

Demographic Characteristics:

- ◆ The median age of a convicted DUI offender in 2009 was 30.0 years (see Table 4).
- ◆ 50.7% of 2009 DUI convictees were 30 years of age or younger and 73.1% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).
- ◆ Females comprised 21.2% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2009 (see Table 4). The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.

- ◆ The racial/ethnic distribution of 2009 DUI convictions (White = 41.2%; Hispanic = 43.6%; Black = 7.3%; “Other” = 7.8%, see Table 5) generally paralleled that of 2009 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 and Table 6 below).




Figure 5. Relative likelihood of DUI conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate of ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX¹

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	161074	100.0	126988	78.8	34086	21.2
UNDER 18	598	0.4	478	79.9	120	20.1
18-20	12047	7.5	9343	77.6	2704	22.4
21-30	68973	42.8	53981	78.3	14992	21.7
31-40	36078	22.4	29266	81.1	6812	18.9
41-50	26441	16.4	20352	77.0	6089	23.0
51-60	12836	8.0	10179	79.3	2657	20.7
61-70	3413	2.1	2809	82.3	604	17.7
71 & ABOVE	688	0.4	580	84.3	108	15.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.6		33.7		33.3	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		30.0	

¹County-specific tabulations of 2009 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX¹

AGE	RACE/ETHNICITY																					
	TOTAL				WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER					
			MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	139194	100.0	40110	28.8	17312	12.4	52580	37.8	8096	5.8	7853	5.6	2363	1.7	8337	6.0	2543	1.8				
UNDER 18	506	0.4	185	36.6	89	17.6	166	32.8	22	4.3	12	2.4	1	0.2	20	4.0	11	2.2				
	10664	7.7	3037	28.5	1393	13.1	4294	40.3	752	7.1	310	2.9	94	0.9	567	5.3	217	2.0				
	60007	43.1	15703	26.2	6977	11.6	24023	40.0	4223	7.0	2777	4.6	963	1.6	3934	6.6	1407	2.3				
	30598	22.0	7511	24.5	3173	10.4	13159	43.0	1688	5.5	2013	6.6	625	2.0	1911	6.2	518	1.7				
	22795	16.4	7371	32.3	3488	15.3	7430	32.6	1034	4.5	1577	6.9	481	2.1	1134	5.0	280	1.2				
51-60	11072	8.0	4556	41.1	1688	15.2	2798	25.3	314	2.8	862	7.8	170	1.5	597	5.4	87	0.8				
61-70	2958	2.1	1399	47.3	429	14.5	617	20.9	53	1.8	252	8.5	25	0.8	163	5.5	20	0.7				
71 & ABOVE	594	0.4	348	58.6	75	12.6	93	15.7	10	1.7	50	8.4	4	0.7	11	1.9	3	0.5				

¹Not all convictions are matchable to an existing record, so these counts underestimate the total number of convictions.TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2009 DUI CONVICTION RATES¹ AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD² OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY									
			WHITE			HISPANIC			BLACK			OTHER
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
STATEWIDE	0.77	1.00	0.81	1.04	0.75	0.97	0.73	0.94	0.77	0.99		
UNDER 18	0.46	0.60	0.53	0.69	0.40	0.51	0.46	0.59	0.43	0.55		
18-20	0.75	0.98	0.79	1.02	0.75	0.97	0.61	0.79	0.72	0.93		
21-30	0.77	1.00	0.83	1.07	0.74	0.96	0.72	0.93	0.77	1.00		
31-40	0.77	1.00	0.82	1.06	0.75	0.97	0.75	0.98	0.76	0.99		
41-50	0.79	1.02	0.81	1.04	0.79	1.02	0.73	0.95	0.79	1.02		
51-60	0.78	1.01	0.77	1.00	0.80	1.04	0.73	0.95	0.78	1.01		
61-70	0.76	0.98	0.73	0.95	0.82	1.06	0.76	0.98	0.84	1.09		
71 & ABOVE	0.73	0.95	0.72	0.94	0.79	1.03	0.80	1.04	0.51	0.66		

¹Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.²Relative Likelihood = Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate/Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ²	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ³	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ⁴	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	77.2%	156851	4223	19802	3437	3141	1920	83	7
ALAMEDA	—	5418	50	1034	155	116	62	92	5
ALPINE	—	20	0	8	0	0	0	90	16
AMADOR	—	177	6	30	8	7	4	60	5
BUTTE	—	1417	43	291	56	24	37	95	15
CALAVERAS	—	232	6	65	9	11	10	48	4
COLUSA	—	133	5	42	7	1	5	72	5
CONTRA COSTA	—	3337	99	600	5	45	49	156	7
DEL NORTE	—	145	7	46	3	6	11	71	98
EL DORADO	—	992	40	277	25	14	12	84	9
FRESNO	—	4648	253	912	41	53	32	104	1
GLENN	—	268	8	82	8	11	0	80	7
HUMBOLDT	—	995	12	303	47	28	20	102	42
IMPERIAL	—	801	5	155	142	20	66	153	17
INYO	—	188	8	45	10	2	3	80	2
KERN	—	4305	141	643	121	69	114	32	12
KINGS	—	1062	36	64	2	9	5	128	0
LAKE	—	354	7	74	20	8	260	112	56
LASSEN	—	167	5	17	7	7	6	123	10
LOS ANGELES	—	30405	536	3118	712	1222	133	75	9
MADERA	—	988	23	188	30	13	19	137	20
MARIN	—	1341	15	0	1	30	18	58	25
MARIPOSA	—	87	2	8	10	1	1	73	8
MENDOCINO	—	648	20	150	18	11	11	54	103
MERCED	—	1558	24	259	48	27	62	195	95
MODOC	—	52	0	16	0	1	21	66	9
MONO	—	120	3	22	5	1	1	60	14
MONTEREY	—	2213	44	360	41	40	24	46	14
NAPA	—	1101	58	101	4	11	11	60	5

¹Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.²The information on DUI conviction rates by county is not available in this report due to still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.³This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.⁴These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS¹ - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ²	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ³	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED ⁴	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NEVADA	—	587	17	102	45	17	12	59	35
ORANGE	—	14747	356	843	122	117	70	95	0
PLACER	—	1765	76	220	9	15	44	84	36
PLUMAS	—	209	4	8	50	4	0	52	14
RIVERSIDE	—	8674	195	344	218	98	71	96	3
SACRAMENTO	—	6297	190	534	7	81	5	53	12
SAN BENITO	—	365	7	29	2	5	7	80	15
SAN BERNARDINO	—	9101	296	1032	330	213	95	130	7
SAN DIEGO	—	13803	487	2633	357	142	192	67	7
SAN FRANCISCO	—	1072	35	268	137	12	71	86	22
SAN JOAQUIN	—	3384	98	777	43	74	45	38	5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	—	1962	66	465	44	67	7	54	18
SAN MATEO	—	2851	83	618	8	34	47	100	9
SANTA BARBARA	—	2686	107	363	101	57	12	47	29
SANTA CLARA	—	6292	182	494	103	68	8	69	8
SANTA CRUZ	—	1167	35	167	36	15	15	57	11
SHASTA	—	1241	70	174	11	34	21	69	13
SIERRA	—	21	2	4	2	2	0	79	100
SISKIYOU	—	264	9	104	18	14	10	90	7
SOLANO	—	1543	27	284	30	17	26	88	14
SONOMA	—	2886	124	586	26	32	38	51	14
STANISLAUS	—	2617	63	288	72	32	17	71	13
SUTTER	—	413	13	107	3	6	1	53	20
TEHAMA	—	360	18	69	9	7	8	51	7
TRINITY	—	126	5	9	31	2	2	82	15
TULARE	—	2833	59	118	12	92	21	50	25
TUOLUMNE	—	366	15	27	26	13	12	59	8
VENTURA	—	4683	62	0	2	68	45	82	0
YOLO	—	953	54	141	43	10	16	77	27
YUBA	—	411	12	84	5	5	5	85	11

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY¹

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ²
	% MIS- DEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	75.2	2.0	9.5	1.6	1.5	10.1
ALAMEDA	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALPINE	—	—	—	—	—	—
AMADOR	—	—	—	—	—	—
BUTTE	—	—	—	—	—	—
CALAVERAS	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUSA	—	—	—	—	—	—
CONTRA COSTA	—	—	—	—	—	—
DEL NORTE	—	—	—	—	—	—
EL DORADO	—	—	—	—	—	—
FRESNO	—	—	—	—	—	—
GLENN	—	—	—	—	—	—
HUMBOLDT	—	—	—	—	—	—
IMPERIAL	—	—	—	—	—	—
INYO	—	—	—	—	—	—
KERN	—	—	—	—	—	—
KINGS	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAKE	—	—	—	—	—	—
LASSEN	—	—	—	—	—	—
LOS ANGELES	—	—	—	—	—	—
MADERA	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARIN	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARIPOSA	—	—	—	—	—	—
MENDOCINO	—	—	—	—	—	—
MERCED	—	—	—	—	—	—
MODOC	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONO	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONTEREY	—	—	—	—	—	—
NAPA	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEVADA	—	—	—	—	—	—
ORANGE	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLACER	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLUMAS	—	—	—	—	—	—
RIVERSIDE	—	—	—	—	—	—
SACRAMENTO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN BENITO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN BERNARDINO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN DIEGO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN FRANCISCO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN JOAQUIN	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN LUIS OBISPO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN MATEO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA BARBARA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA CLARA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA CRUZ	—	—	—	—	—	—
SHASTA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SIERRA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SISKIYOU	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOLANO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SONOMA	—	—	—	—	—	—
STANISLAUS	—	—	—	—	—	—
SUTTER	—	—	—	—	—	—
TEHAMA	—	—	—	—	—	—
TRINITY	—	—	—	—	—	—
TULARE	—	—	—	—	—	—
TUOLUMNE	—	—	—	—	—	—
VENTURA	—	—	—	—	—	—
YOLO	—	—	—	—	—	—
YUBA	—	—	—	—	—	—

¹The information on adjudication status by county is not available in this report due to still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.²These include dismissals and failures-to-appear (FTA); the statewide FTA average is 3.6%.

TABLE 9a: 2009 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS¹

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1636	1.2	.00	494	3.0
.01	73	0.1	.01	24	0.2
.02	88	0.1	.02	29	0.2
.03	73	0.1	.03	31	0.2
.04	138	0.1	.04	45	0.3
.05	507	0.4	.05	103	0.6
.06	640	0.5	.06	305	1.9
.07	1031	0.8	.07	1067	6.5
.08	2708	2.0	.08	3744	22.7
.09	4702	3.4	.09	4287	26.0
.10	7692	5.6	.10	2854	17.3
.11	9638	7.0	.11	1367	8.3
.12	10241	7.4	.12	659	4.0
.13	10771	7.8	.13	451	2.7
.14	10566	7.6	.14	254	1.5
.15	10344	7.5	.15	170	1.0
.16	9877	7.2	.16	136	0.8
.17	9143	6.6	.17	96	0.6
.18	8101	5.9	.18	92	0.6
.19	7228	5.2	.19	73	0.4
.20	6205	4.5	.20	45	0.3
.21	5431	3.9	.21	31	0.2
.22	4433	3.2	.22	22	0.1
.23	3486	2.5	.23	21	0.1
.24	2951	2.1	.24	22	0.1
.25	2357	1.7	.25	8	0.1
.26	1830	1.3	.26	14	0.1
.27	1430	1.0	.27	7	0.0
.28	1116	0.8	.28	6	0.0
.29	861	0.6	.29	7	0.0
.30	644	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.31	549	0.4	.31	4	0.0
.32	388	0.3	.32	4	0.0
.33	352	0.3	.33	2	0.0
.34	246	0.2	.34	2	0.0
.35	217	0.2	.36	1	0.0
.36	134	0.1	.43	1	0.0
.37	113	0.1			
.38	84	0.1			
.39	55	0.0			
.40	67	0.1			
.41	17	0.0			
.42	23	0.0			
.43	7	0.0			
.44	11	0.0			
.45	8	0.0			
.46	3	0.0			
.47	3	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	2	0.0			
.55	1	0.0			
.56	1	0.0			
TOTAL	138223	100.0	TOTAL	16480	100.0
MEAN ² BAC .16			MEAN ² BAC .10		
MEDIAN ² BAC .15			MEDIAN ² BAC .09		

¹The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form. The percentage of BAC levels found on these forms for DUI convictees arrested in 2009 is 85.8%.

²The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 9b: 2009 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21¹

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	132	1.2	.22	212	1.9
.01	24	0.2	.23	146	1.3
.02	25	0.2	.24	83	0.8
.03	20	0.2	.25	71	0.7
.04	61	0.6	.26	44	0.4
.05	364	3.3	.27	26	0.2
.06	413	3.8	.28	18	0.2
.07	477	4.3	.29	13	0.1
.08	392	3.6	.30	3	0.0
.09	508	4.6	.31	7	0.1
.10	760	6.9	.32	3	0.0
.11	888	8.1	.33	3	0.0
.12	847	7.7	.34	3	0.0
.13	883	8.0	.35	3	0.0
.14	835	7.6	.36	1	0.0
.15	755	6.9			
.16	716	6.5			
.17	648	5.9			
.18	540	4.9			
.19	457	4.2	TOTAL	10985	100.0
.20	325	3.0		MEAN ² BAC .13	
.21	279	2.5		MEDIAN ² BAC .13	

¹ The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The percentage of BAC levels found on these forms for 2009 convicted under age 21 cases is 86.9%.

² The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 10: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL¹

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ²	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ²
STATEWIDE	100.0	.16	.15
1 ST DUI	73.0	.16	.15
2 ND DUI	20.5	.17	.16
3 RD DUI	5.0	.18	.18
4 TH +DUI	1.5	.19	.19

¹ The source of BAC data is identical to that of Table 9a.

² The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2009. The counts of postconviction court license actions are no longer included in this section due to a law change on September 20, 2005 (SB 1697, Torlakson), which gave DMV responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions on DUI offenders. As a result, the count of court license restrictions and suspensions, based on abstracts of DUI convictions, decreased dramatically. Therefore, this section (Tables 11, 12, and Appendix Table B4) no longer includes information on postconviction license actions. Instead, the Administrative Action Section (Section 5) provides information on both APS license suspensions and revocations, and postconviction license actions. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 11: 2009 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2009 DUI Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

Figure 6 shows the percentage representation of court-ordered post-conviction sanctions for DUI offenders arrested in 2009.

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2009 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- ◆ The court sanction most frequently applied to all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95.8%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (6.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 74.0% of the cases (in many jurisdictions, however, a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service rather than actual jail time). This is shown in Table 11, and graphically in Figure 6 (next page). Because virtually all

offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.




Figure 6. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2009).

County Variation:

- ◆ The use of DUI programs among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 18 counties to 33.6% in San Benito County (see Table 12).

Court Variation:

- ◆ Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they use available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Lancaster) assigned jail to 83.3% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 1,556$), while another court (Malibu) in the same county assigned jail to only 23.9% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 268$). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.
- ◆ 0.2% of all DUI offenders arrested in 2009 were referred to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 11). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month programs (see Table B4 in the Appendix).
- ◆ Statewide, courts required 6.3% of all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2009 to install an ignition interlock device, which is slightly up from 6.0% for the DUI arrestees in 2008.

Variation by Offender Status:

- ◆ 66.2% of first DUI offenders arrested in 2009 were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.0% of all repeat offenders (see Table 11).
- ◆ 89.5% of first DUI offenders were assigned by courts to DUI intervention programs, along with 87.0% of second offenders, 73.0% of third offenders, and 40.6% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 11. (By statute, however, all DUI offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement).
- ◆ 19.1% of repeat DUI offenders arrested in 2009 were assigned ignition interlocks, compared to 18.1% of those arrested in 2008. Despite the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of “mandatory” assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlocks.

TABLE 11: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS¹

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	161074	95.8	74.0	64.6	21.0	0.2	6.3
1 ST DUI	117642	96.7	66.2	87.2	2.3	0.0	1.5
REPEAT DUI	43432	93.1	95.0	9.2	71.5	0.6	19.1
2 ND DUI	33017	96.2	94.3	12.1	74.8	0.1	17.2
3 RD DUI	7997	91.2	97.5	2.8	68.3	1.9	28.1
4 TH + DUI	2418	58.0	97.5	1.7	36.8	2.1	15.5

¹Entries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2009 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4. The percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions were removed from this table and can be found in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		161074	95.8	74.0	64.6	21.0	0.2	6.3
ALAMEDA	1 ST DUI	3840	98.8	98.4	85.7	2.1	0.0	1.8
	2 ND DUI	1259	99.2	99.0	13.1	69.2	0.0	26.1
	3 RD DUI	296	99.0	97.6	5.1	58.4	0.0	27.4
	4 TH + DUI	73	97.3	98.6	1.4	46.6	0.0	19.2
	TOTAL	5468	98.8	98.5	63.5	21.2	0.0	9.0
ALPINE	1 ST DUI	13	100.0	76.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	40.0
	3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	20	100.0	75.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	20.0
AMADOR	1 ST DUI	129	93.8	96.9	89.9	2.3	0.0	14.0
	2 ND DUI	39	89.7	97.4	7.7	82.1	0.0	59.0
	3 RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	12.5	75.0	0.0	75.0
	4 TH + DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6
	TOTAL	183	90.2	96.7	65.6	23.5	0.0	26.8
BUTTE	1 ST DUI	986	92.5	93.9	93.4	2.5	0.1	0.9
	2 ND DUI	351	96.3	96.3	18.8	78.3	2.3	4.8
	3 RD DUI	90	80.0	97.8	6.7	53.3	28.9	47.8
	4 TH + DUI	33	78.8	84.8	0.0	51.5	30.3	66.7
	TOTAL	1460	92.3	94.5	68.0	25.0	3.1	6.2
CALAVERAS	1 ST DUI	159	95.0	96.9	90.6	1.3	0.0	12.6
	2 ND DUI	64	100.0	100.0	39.1	56.3	0.0	56.3
	3 RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	9.1	72.7	0.0	45.5
	4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0
	TOTAL	238	95.8	97.9	71.4	20.2	0.4	26.1
COLUSA	1 ST DUI	91	94.5	98.9	82.4	4.4	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	34	82.4	100.0	26.5	64.7	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	138	90.6	99.3	60.9	21.0	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST DUI	2352	97.1	95.5	91.8	1.5	0.0	0.5
	2 ND DUI	808	97.5	98.1	13.1	73.9	0.0	13.7
	3 RD DUI	215	96.3	98.6	0.5	76.3	0.0	25.6
	4 TH + DUI	61	73.8	90.2	0.0	34.4	0.0	18.0
	TOTAL	3436	96.7	96.2	66.0	23.8	0.0	5.5
DEL NORTE	1 ST DUI	100	94.0	98.0	89.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
	2 ND DUI	38	76.3	97.4	2.6	73.7	2.6	63.2
	3 RD DUI	10	50.0	90.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	4 TH + DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	84.2	97.4	59.2	23.0	0.7	20.4
EL DORADO	1 ST DUI	701	97.9	96.6	82.2	4.4	0.0	2.9
	2 ND DUI	231	97.8	97.0	17.7	69.7	0.0	14.3
	3 RD DUI	75	96.0	98.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	28.0
	4 TH + DUI	25	68.0	92.0	0.0	44.0	0.0	28.0
	TOTAL	1032	97.0	96.7	59.8	24.5	0.0	7.8

¹Due to a law change, SB 1697, which shifted responsibility for license actions from courts to DMV as of September 20, 2005, the percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions by county and offender status are no longer presented in this table. Statewide information on these sanctions is provided in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	1 ST DUI	3280	94.9	96.6	91.0	2.0	0.0	1.1
	2 ND DUI	1179	95.3	99.7	13.7	81.0	0.1	8.3
	3 RD DUI	309	90.9	99.4	2.6	81.2	0.0	9.7
	4 TH + DUI	133	51.1	100.0	4.5	28.6	1.5	3.8
	TOTAL	4901	93.6	97.6	64.5	26.7	0.1	3.4
GLENN	1 ST DUI	184	97.8	23.9	41.8	0.5	0.0	1.1
	2 ND DUI	68	91.2	85.3	11.8	29.4	0.0	1.5
	3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	10.0
	4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	276	95.3	45.7	30.8	11.2	0.0	2.2
HUMBOLDT	1 ST DUI	708	97.7	38.3	80.6	0.8	0.0	4.8
	2 ND DUI	221	95.9	79.6	16.3	19.0	0.0	49.8
	3 RD DUI	64	96.9	92.2	6.3	23.4	0.0	64.1
	4 TH + DUI	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	42.9
	TOTAL	1007	97.0	51.6	60.7	6.4	0.1	19.0
IMPERIAL	1 ST DUI	603	94.9	13.1	69.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	157	93.0	65.0	21.0	56.1	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	36	83.3	77.8	2.8	52.8	0.0	2.8
	4 TH + DUI	10	90.0	70.0	20.0	20.0	10.0	0.0
	TOTAL	806	93.9	26.8	56.1	14.3	0.1	0.1
INYO	1 ST DUI	132	97.7	40.2	89.4	5.3	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	44	95.5	79.5	20.5	70.5	6.8	2.3
	3 RD DUI	14	85.7	100.0	7.1	78.6	0.0	42.9
	4 TH + DUI	6	33.3	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	196	94.4	54.6	65.3	26.0	1.5	3.6
KERN	1 ST DUI	3143	95.5	96.9	70.7	1.6	0.0	0.9
	2 ND DUI	938	94.6	99.0	11.1	20.6	0.2	11.0
	3 RD DUI	273	90.5	100.0	4.4	13.2	0.0	26.4
	4 TH + DUI	92	48.9	100.0	1.1	4.3	5.4	7.6
	TOTAL	4446	94.0	97.6	52.6	6.3	0.2	4.7
KINGS	1 ST DUI	759	92.8	98.0	78.0	3.3	0.0	8.2
	2 ND DUI	238	88.7	97.9	9.7	69.3	0.0	37.0
	3 RD DUI	73	76.7	95.9	1.4	65.8	0.0	45.2
	4 TH + DUI	28	21.4	100.0	3.6	28.6	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	1098	89.0	97.9	56.2	22.4	0.0	17.3
LAKE	1 ST DUI	234	94.0	44.4	73.5	1.7	0.0	1.7
	2 ND DUI	101	94.1	75.2	23.8	50.5	0.0	8.9
	3 RD DUI	22	90.9	100.0	0.0	59.1	0.0	9.1
	4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	361	93.1	57.1	54.3	18.8	0.0	4.2
LASSEN	1 ST DUI	126	92.9	92.9	84.1	0.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	34	91.2	97.1	41.2	41.2	0.0	2.9
	3 RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	172	92.4	93.6	71.5	11.6	0.0	1.7

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	1 ST DUI	23897	97.0	32.1	88.2	2.7	0.1	0.1
	2 ND DUI	5630	96.0	88.4	12.6	76.2	0.4	1.9
	3 RD DUI	1138	88.9	96.9	2.4	61.3	9.9	3.6
	4 TH + DUI	276	39.9	99.6	1.8	18.8	8.0	1.8
	TOTAL	30941	96.0	45.3	70.5	18.4	0.6	0.6
MADERA	1 ST DUI	690	95.7	95.7	87.5	2.8	0.1	0.0
	2 ND DUI	219	95.4	96.3	25.1	65.8	0.5	0.0
	3 RD DUI	79	92.4	96.2	5.1	77.2	1.3	1.3
	4 TH + DUI	23	82.6	100.0	4.3	34.8	17.4	0.0
	TOTAL	1011	95.1	95.9	65.7	22.9	0.7	0.1
MARIN	1 ST DUI	1065	98.3	18.6	83.8	1.6	0.0	0.5
	2 ND DUI	230	99.6	90.9	7.0	76.5	0.0	15.2
	3 RD DUI	42	100.0	97.6	0.0	33.3	0.0	42.9
	4 TH + DUI	19	78.9	94.7	5.3	47.4	0.0	42.1
	TOTAL	1356	98.3	34.4	67.0	15.9	0.0	4.9
MARIPOSA	1 ST DUI	62	100.0	93.5	79.0	6.5	0.0	3.2
	2 ND DUI	22	95.5	95.5	27.3	54.5	0.0	13.6
	3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	89	95.5	94.4	61.8	19.1	0.0	5.6
MENDOCINO	1 ST DUI	420	93.6	93.6	87.9	1.4	0.0	1.0
	2 ND DUI	168	94.6	97.0	12.5	72.6	0.0	56.0
	3 RD DUI	67	94.0	97.0	4.5	77.6	0.0	68.7
	4 TH + DUI	13	61.5	100.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	7.7
	TOTAL	668	93.3	94.9	58.8	27.5	0.0	21.7
MERCED	1 ST DUI	1072	86.6	94.6	68.0	3.0	0.2	0.0
	2 ND DUI	368	85.1	98.9	23.4	63.3	0.8	2.2
	3 RD DUI	107	91.6	98.1	5.6	67.3	2.8	5.6
	4 TH + DUI	35	54.3	94.3	0.0	22.9	5.7	11.4
	TOTAL	1582	85.8	95.8	51.9	21.8	0.6	1.1
MODOC	1 ST DUI	41	92.7	51.2	43.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	8	62.5	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	52	84.6	55.8	36.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONO	1 ST DUI	88	98.9	58.0	94.3	1.1	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	22	100.0	72.7	31.8	63.6	0.0	9.1
	3 RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	123	98.4	65.0	73.2	21.1	0.0	1.6
MONTEREY	1 ST DUI	1619	98.3	98.3	70.2	3.3	0.0	15.6
	2 ND DUI	476	98.1	99.8	8.4	79.2	0.0	79.6
	3 RD DUI	115	93.9	99.1	2.6	72.2	0.0	83.5
	4 TH + DUI	47	70.2	100.0	2.1	59.6	0.0	46.8
	TOTAL	2257	97.5	98.7	52.3	24.0	0.0	33.2

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	1 ST DUI	822	98.3	97.3	89.2	3.0	0.0	14.2
	2 ND DUI	259	97.7	98.5	20.5	69.5	0.0	50.2
	3 RD DUI	57	93.0	98.2	0.0	84.2	0.0	61.4
	4 TH + DUI	21	61.9	100.0	0.0	52.4	0.0	19.0
	TOTAL	1159	97.2	97.7	67.8	22.8	0.0	24.7
NEVADA	1 ST DUI	416	97.1	98.3	91.8	1.7	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	141	97.9	99.3	15.6	75.9	0.0	2.8
	3 RD DUI	40	90.0	100.0	2.5	80.0	0.0	7.5
	4 TH + DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	28.6
	TOTAL	604	96.5	98.7	67.1	24.5	0.0	1.5
ORANGE	1 ST DUI	11461	98.1	34.4	93.4	1.6	0.0	0.8
	2 ND DUI	2886	98.0	92.5	7.2	84.2	0.0	24.4
	3 RD DUI	628	92.8	95.7	2.1	83.6	0.2	40.9
	4 TH + DUI	128	48.4	98.4	0.0	37.5	0.0	21.9
	TOTAL	15103	97.5	48.6	72.3	21.1	0.0	7.2
PLACER	1 ST DUI	1322	97.8	98.6	87.6	2.5	0.0	2.0
	2 ND DUI	388	97.2	99.5	16.2	76.0	0.0	27.6
	3 RD DUI	100	85.0	100.0	8.0	73.0	0.0	63.0
	4 TH + DUI	31	35.5	100.0	6.5	25.8	0.0	29.0
	TOTAL	1841	95.9	98.9	66.9	22.2	0.0	11.1
PLUMAS	1 ST DUI	152	97.4	96.7	91.4	1.3	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	44	97.7	100.0	18.2	79.5	0.0	0.0
	3 RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	13.3
	4 TH + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	213	96.7	97.7	69.0	24.4	0.0	0.9
RIVERSIDE	1 ST DUI	6580	97.1	96.3	92.9	2.5	0.0	0.3
	2 ND DUI	1736	95.8	97.5	10.9	83.2	0.0	7.3
	3 RD DUI	401	92.5	98.5	3.0	87.0	0.0	16.0
	4 TH + DUI	152	63.2	95.4	0.7	55.9	0.0	7.9
	TOTAL	8869	96.0	96.6	71.2	23.0	0.0	2.5
SACRAMENTO	1 ST DUI	4661	97.3	96.6	91.8	2.3	0.0	0.3
	2 ND DUI	1346	98.0	99.1	10.0	85.1	0.0	11.9
	3 RD DUI	375	94.9	98.7	3.2	87.7	0.0	26.4
	4 TH + DUI	105	69.5	97.1	1.0	62.9	0.0	13.3
	TOTAL	6487	96.9	97.3	68.3	25.4	0.0	4.5
SAN BENITO	1 ST DUI	265	95.8	96.2	33.6	0.8	0.0	1.1
	2 ND DUI	81	97.5	100.0	4.9	22.2	0.0	24.7
	3 RD DUI	23	87.0	100.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	69.6
	4 TH + DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	372	95.4	97.3	25.0	5.6	0.0	10.8
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST DUI	6782	95.8	68.8	89.9	2.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	1926	93.7	94.4	10.4	79.4	0.0	0.1
	3 RD DUI	481	86.7	94.6	1.7	50.9	0.0	1.0
	4 TH + DUI	208	47.1	94.7	1.4	27.4	0.0	0.5
	TOTAL	9397	93.9	76.0	67.1	21.6	0.0	0.1

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	10631	96.1	17.1	85.7	2.2	0.0	0.6
	2 ND DUI	2854	95.8	84.1	12.1	74.6	0.0	3.5
	3 RD DUI	641	89.1	96.4	3.1	73.5	0.0	13.9
	4 TH + DUI	164	57.3	98.2	4.9	34.8	0.0	5.5
	TOTAL	14290	95.3	35.0	66.3	20.3	0.0	1.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	833	97.7	99.3	94.1	1.7	0.0	2.3
	2 ND DUI	227	97.8	99.6	20.7	75.8	0.0	46.3
	3 RD DUI	40	92.5	100.0	10.0	80.0	2.5	65.0
	4 TH + DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	16.7
	TOTAL	1106	97.5	99.4	75.5	19.9	0.2	13.7
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST DUI	2323	97.7	97.4	89.7	2.8	0.0	1.5
	2 ND DUI	818	97.4	99.5	12.0	83.1	0.1	39.2
	3 RD DUI	247	95.5	99.2	2.4	88.3	0.4	53.4
	4 TH + DUI	94	77.7	97.9	0.0	77.7	0.0	43.6
	TOTAL	3482	96.9	98.0	62.8	29.8	0.1	15.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST DUI	1430	96.5	95.9	92.2	0.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	456	96.1	99.1	11.2	79.6	0.0	2.2
	3 RD DUI	108	95.4	100.0	0.9	83.3	1.9	4.6
	4 TH + DUI	34	73.5	100.0	0.0	67.6	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2028	96.0	96.9	67.6	24.1	0.1	0.7
SAN MATEO	1 ST DUI	2216	95.1	96.7	87.1	2.3	0.0	0.9
	2 ND DUI	578	96.7	99.7	8.1	82.9	0.0	27.0
	3 RD DUI	119	90.8	100.0	1.7	74.8	0.0	22.7
	4 TH + DUI	21	66.7	100.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	4.8
	TOTAL	2934	95.0	97.4	67.5	21.2	0.0	6.9
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	1982	96.1	58.5	89.3	1.6	0.0	1.2
	2 ND DUI	614	92.7	94.5	9.8	75.6	0.0	28.5
	3 RD DUI	156	84.6	97.4	1.3	71.8	0.0	42.3
	4 TH + DUI	41	29.3	97.6	0.0	19.5	0.0	4.9
	TOTAL	2793	93.7	69.1	65.6	22.1	0.0	9.6
SANTA CLARA	1 ST DUI	4818	98.5	97.2	92.5	3.0	0.0	2.2
	2 ND DUI	1282	98.0	99.4	12.2	81.8	0.0	34.5
	3 RD DUI	283	95.1	98.9	2.8	75.6	0.0	56.9
	4 TH + DUI	91	75.8	98.9	2.2	59.3	0.0	20.9
	TOTAL	6474	97.9	97.7	71.4	22.6	0.0	11.3
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	827	98.2	97.1	66.7	0.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	273	99.6	98.5	6.2	49.1	0.0	0.4
	3 RD DUI	78	97.4	98.7	1.3	21.8	0.0	1.3
	4 TH + DUI	24	58.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1202	97.7	97.6	47.4	13.1	0.0	0.2
SHASTA	1 ST DUI	894	93.4	96.9	89.1	2.1	0.0	25.2
	2 ND DUI	314	94.9	99.7	8.6	79.6	0.0	75.8
	3 RD DUI	85	80.0	97.6	1.2	34.1	0.0	67.1
	4 TH + DUI	19	31.6	100.0	0.0	15.8	0.0	10.5
	TOTAL	1312	92.0	97.6	62.9	22.9	0.0	39.8

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
SIERRA	1 ST DUI	18	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	4 TH + DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	23	100.0	100.0	87.0	13.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST DUI	182	96.7	90.7	77.5	3.8	0.0	1.1
	2 ND DUI	68	97.1	98.5	16.2	60.3	0.0	13.2
	3 RD DUI	20	95.0	95.0	0.0	70.0	5.0	50.0
	4 TH + DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	273	96.7	93.0	55.7	23.1	0.4	8.4
SOLANO	1 ST DUI	1087	96.2	97.4	91.8	1.9	0.0	1.5
	2 ND DUI	355	95.2	99.2	8.2	86.8	0.0	9.6
	3 RD DUI	98	85.7	99.0	0.0	82.7	0.0	59.2
	4 TH + DUI	30	76.7	90.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	53.3
	TOTAL	1570	95.0	97.8	65.4	27.4	0.0	7.9
SONOMA	1 ST DUI	2051	96.7	95.2	69.9	0.6	0.0	3.1
	2 ND DUI	700	96.1	97.6	5.7	52.6	0.0	18.4
	3 RD DUI	206	93.2	95.1	0.5	39.8	0.0	36.9
	4 TH + DUI	53	58.5	96.2	0.0	5.7	0.0	13.2
	TOTAL	3010	95.6	95.7	49.0	15.5	0.0	9.1
STANISLAUS	1 ST DUI	1916	97.8	97.9	90.6	4.8	0.0	0.7
	2 ND DUI	562	98.0	99.6	11.9	83.8	0.0	6.4
	3 RD DUI	152	94.1	100.0	5.9	86.8	0.0	18.4
	4 TH + DUI	50	76.0	100.0	2.0	68.0	0.0	28.0
	TOTAL	2680	97.2	98.4	67.6	27.2	0.0	3.4
SUTTER	1 ST DUI	297	94.6	97.6	91.2	1.3	0.0	5.1
	2 ND DUI	90	95.6	100.0	7.8	85.6	0.0	82.2
	3 RD DUI	30	86.7	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	73.3
	4 TH + DUI	9	55.6	100.0	11.1	44.4	0.0	44.4
	TOTAL	426	93.4	98.4	65.5	25.6	0.0	27.0
TEHAMA	1 ST DUI	270	89.3	98.9	81.5	3.3	0.0	1.9
	2 ND DUI	81	87.7	98.8	8.6	76.5	0.0	11.1
	3 RD DUI	18	77.8	100.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	61.1
	4 TH + DUI	9	22.2	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1
	TOTAL	378	86.8	98.9	60.1	22.8	0.0	6.9
TRINITY	1 ST DUI	91	97.8	98.9	89.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	25	88.0	100.0	12.0	40.0	0.0	4.0
	3 RD DUI	11	90.9	90.9	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1
	4 TH + DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	131	93.1	98.5	64.1	9.9	0.0	1.5
TULARE	1 ST DUI	1950	94.6	91.4	67.3	2.8	0.1	7.4
	2 ND DUI	670	92.7	96.4	8.5	75.8	0.0	30.6
	3 RD DUI	184	90.2	96.2	2.7	69.0	0.0	47.3
	4 TH + DUI	88	56.8	97.7	3.4	27.3	1.1	17.0
	TOTAL	2892	92.7	93.0	47.6	24.7	0.1	15.6

TABLE 12: 2009 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
TUOLUMNE	1 ST DUI	260	96.9	95.8	86.5	0.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND DUI	97	95.9	95.9	11.3	75.3	0.0	2.1
	3 RD DUI	18	94.4	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	55.6
	4 TH + DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	381	95.8	96.1	61.9	20.5	0.0	3.1
VENTURA	1 ST DUI	3594	97.7	95.4	87.3	2.4	0.0	4.2
	2 ND DUI	886	98.6	97.3	13.0	78.6	0.0	68.6
	3 RD DUI	200	94.5	99.0	4.0	82.5	0.0	84.0
	4 TH + DUI	65	64.6	100.0	0.0	56.9	0.0	61.5
	TOTAL	4745	97.3	96.0	68.7	20.7	0.0	20.4
YOLO	1 ST DUI	733	96.3	96.9	86.2	2.5	0.0	0.7
	2 ND DUI	198	96.0	100.0	19.7	72.2	0.0	66.7
	3 RD DUI	56	91.1	98.2	7.1	78.6	0.0	73.2
	4 TH + DUI	20	55.0	100.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	1007	95.1	97.6	67.0	21.1	0.0	18.1
YUBA	1 ST DUI	284	93.0	95.1	87.7	2.1	0.0	0.7
	2 ND DUI	106	97.2	97.2	15.1	76.4	0.0	14.2
	3 RD DUI	29	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.1	0.0	62.1
	4 TH + DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	423	94.1	96.0	62.6	27.2	0.0	8.5

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving and for first DUI offenders.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2009, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2009, 3) percentages of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2009, and 4) long term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 1994.

The second part of the section contains the results of the analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for two groups of offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- ◆ The 1-year recidivism rates for all first DUI offenders decreased to the lowest level seen in the past 20 years. The DUI reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 2009 was 44.7% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).
- ◆ The 1-year reoffense rate for second DUI offenders also decreased to the lowest level seen in the past 20 years. Recidivism decreased from 9.7% in 1990 to 5.2% in 2009, a 46.4% relative decrease for second DUI offenders (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).
- ◆ Subsequent 1-year crash rates among second DUI offenders have declined from 4.0% in 1990 to 1.9% in 2009, a 52.5% relative decrease. The crash rate for first offenders has also declined, although not as much as for second offenders; their 2009 rate is 41.5% lower than their 1990 crash rate (see Figure 8 and Table 13a).

- ◆ Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2009 who enrolled in a DUI intervention program, 88.0% of first offenders and 41.4% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 15).
- ◆ At the end of 16 years, 31% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 34% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 9a).
- ◆ Over 16 years, DUI recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third-or-more offenders reoffending was 42%, while 35% of second offenders and 28% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 9b).
- ◆ Males showed a much higher cumulative percentage (32%) of reoffenses than did females (23%) over the 16-year time period (see Figure 9c).
- ◆ Long term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 9d).
- ◆ After 5 years, the percentage of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the percentages reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%), and was equivalent to the percentage reoffending in the 2004 group (18%). This is shown in Figure 9e.
- ◆ Similar to the last 7 years' evaluations, this year's results continue to show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to a DUI program did not vary significantly from those who were not assigned. However, the subsequent DUI incident rates of those assigned to DUI programs were significantly lower ($p = .01$) than the rates of those who were not assigned (see Table 16a).
- ◆ One-year subsequent DUI incident and crash rates of first DUI offenders referred to the 3-month programs were not significantly different from the DUI incident and crash rates of those referred to 9-month programs (see Table 16b).

Subject Selection and Data Collection: Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Subjects were chosen based on the number of DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in 2009. The

following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past 10 years, and 4) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, DUI offenders arrested in 1994 and subsequently convicted were selected for the 16-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of an alcohol-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents. For first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year subsequent unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included.

In order to maintain comparability to the previous subject-selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. For the sanction analyses among alcohol-related reckless offenders and first DUI offenders, previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a felony, and those with chemical-test refusal suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from the misdemeanor offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California driver license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented about 25% of the original convicted offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were out-of-state cases and drivers with “X” license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2009

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from previous DUI-MIS reports and plotted onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 7 shows the percentages of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2009, who reoffended within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2009).

This figure and Table 13a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders from 1990 to 2009. The overall decline translates into a 44.7% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2009. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As is evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2009

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND DUI OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
2009	4.2	5.2	3.1	1.9
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2009	-44.7%	-46.4%	-41.5%	-52.5%

As noted in the past six annual DUI-MIS reports, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group, as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 13a shows that, from 1990 to 2009, the reoffense rates decreased 46.4% among second offenders. The reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders across all years. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2009 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 8. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2009). .

Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2009, Figure 8 and Table 13a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and then another decline after 2001. The relative difference between first offender crash rates in 1990 and 2009 is -41.5%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years shows a much greater decline in crash involvement of -52.5%.

Overall, second offenders have lower crash rates than do first offenders (Table 13a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash rates of second offenders may be related to the longer term (2 years) license suspensions imposed on second offenders.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2009

For the 6th year, the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism and crash rates, by county, are reported for both first and second DUI offenders.

Table 13b displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2009. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year varied from 6.1% in Tulare County to 3.4% in Santa Clara County. Among the smaller counties, Calaveras and Inyo had DUI recidivism rates above 8.0%, while Alpine and Sierra had 0.0% DUI recidivism rates. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, San Joaquin County had the highest rate, with 9.5% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year, whereas Orange County's second offenders had the lowest rate at 3.1%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 33.3% (Sierra) to 0.0% (Alpine, Lassen, and Mono).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2009 are displayed in Table 13c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 3.6% in Los Angeles County to 2.6% in Fresno County. Among the smaller counties, Sierra had a crash rate of 8.3%, while Alpine and Modoc had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, the rate at which second offenders have a subsequent crash within one year varied from 3.3% (San Joaquin) to 0.8% (Santa Clara). Among the smaller counties, Lake County had a crash rate of 5.6%, and 15 counties had 0.0% crash rates (Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Del Norte, Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, San Benito, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, and Trinity).

TABLE 13b: 2009 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY
FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	3558	4.2	1210	5.2
ALAMEDA	143	5.1	49	6.0
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	3	2.9	2	6.3
BUTTE	43	5.4	15	5.4
CALAVERAS	13	9.4	3	5.2
COLUSA	2	2.8	2	7.1
CONTRA COSTA	78	4.7	33	5.8
DEL NORTE	4	5.3	1	3.3
EL DORADO	15	3.4	4	2.9
FRESNO	130	5.6	66	7.8
GLENN	4	3.0	5	10.6
HUMBOLDT	24	4.3	7	4.1
IMPERIAL	14	3.4	7	6.7
INYO	8	8.8	1	3.0
KERN	123	5.9	43	6.6
KINGS	34	6.4	8	5.2
LAKE	8	4.6	5	7.0
LASSEN	5	5.9	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	623	3.6	165	4.0
MADERA	19	5.7	6	5.0
MARIN	34	4.4	6	3.3
MARIPOSA	1	1.8	2	10.5
MENDOCINO	18	5.5	12	9.2
MERCED	31	5.1	12	7.2
MODOC	2	5.9	1	20.0
MONO	2	3.3	0	0.0
MONTEREY	47	4.9	5	1.8
NAPA	21	3.9	8	4.8
NEVADA	12	3.7	12	10.3
ORANGE	288	3.5	63	3.1
PLACER	37	3.5	11	3.5
PLUMAS	4	3.2	1	2.6
RIVERSIDE	201	4.1	68	5.3
SACRAMENTO	188	5.0	81	7.7
SAN BENITO	9	5.3	1	2.1
SAN BERNARDINO	210	4.1	65	5.0
SAN DIEGO	300	3.8	102	4.6
SAN FRANCISCO	22	3.4	8	5.3
SAN JOAQUIN	90	5.2	57	9.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	50	4.4	12	3.2
SAN MATEO	49	3.0	19	4.9
SANTA BARBARA	56	4.3	15	3.9
SANTA CLARA	111	3.4	39	4.7
SANTA CRUZ	22	3.6	9	4.5
SHASTA	31	4.0	10	3.9
SIERRA	0	0.0	1	33.3
SISKIYOU	10	7.6	6	10.9
SOLANO	47	5.4	18	6.9
SONOMA	63	4.3	26	5.6
STANISLAUS	81	5.5	24	5.7
SUTTER	11	4.9	4	6.5
TEHAMA	10	5.1	4	6.1
TRINITY	3	4.0	1	5.0
TULARE	78	6.1	37	8.6
TUOLUMNE	9	4.1	7	8.5
VENTURA	89	3.6	31	5.3
YOLO	18	3.6	8	5.4
YUBA	10	4.4	2	2.4

TABLE 13c: 2009 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	2650	3.1	454	1.9
ALAMEDA	78	2.8	23	2.8
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	1	1.0	0	0.0
BUTTE	20	2.5	5	1.8
CALAVERAS	7	5.0	3	5.2
COLUSA	1	1.4	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	55	3.3	14	2.5
DEL NORTE	3	3.9	0	0.0
EL DORADO	19	4.3	2	1.4
FRESNO	60	2.6	12	1.4
GLENN	1	0.8	2	4.3
HUMBOLDT	7	1.2	5	2.9
IMPERIAL	8	2.0	4	3.8
INYO	1	1.1	0	0.0
KERN	57	2.7	12	1.8
KINGS	20	3.8	4	2.6
LAKE	5	2.9	4	5.6
LASSEN	3	3.5	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	617	3.6	94	2.3
MADERA	14	4.2	2	1.7
MARIN	28	3.6	1	0.5
MARIPOSA	1	1.8	1	5.3
MENDOCINO	12	3.7	4	3.1
MERCED	12	2.0	2	1.2
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	1	1.6	0	0.0
MONTEREY	30	3.1	1	0.4
NAPA	15	2.8	2	1.2
NEVADA	10	3.1	2	1.7
ORANGE	290	3.5	42	2.1
PLACER	26	2.4	3	1.0
PLUMAS	3	2.4	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	132	2.7	26	2.0
SACRAMENTO	124	3.3	26	2.5
SAN BENITO	2	1.2	0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	174	3.4	28	2.2
SAN DIEGO	216	2.7	38	1.7
SAN FRANCISCO	18	2.8	5	3.3
SAN JOAQUIN	57	3.3	20	3.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	33	2.9	3	0.8
SAN MATEO	45	2.8	7	1.8
SANTA BARBARA	29	2.2	3	0.8
SANTA CLARA	96	2.9	7	0.8
SANTA CRUZ	20	3.2	2	1.0
SHASTA	17	2.2	0	0.0
SIERRA	1	8.3	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	3	2.3	0	0.0
SOLANO	21	2.4	7	2.7
SONOMA	40	2.7	7	1.5
STANISLAUS	53	3.6	7	1.7
SUTTER	6	2.7	0	0.0
TEHAMA	6	3.0	1	1.5
TRINITY	1	1.3	0	0.0
TULARE	43	3.3	10	2.3
TUOLUMNE	7	3.2	2	2.4
VENTURA	79	3.2	7	1.2
YOLO	13	2.6	3	2.0
YUBA	9	3.9	1	1.2

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 16-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 14 shows cumulative percentages of first DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 16-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 and 2004 groups.

TABLE 14: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE												
	1 ST DUI	2 ND DUI	3 RD DUI	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	65+	1980	1984	1994	2004
1 ST	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5	4
2 ND	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9	8
3 RD	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13	12
4 TH	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16	15
5 TH	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18	18
6 TH	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21	NA
7 TH	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22	NA
8 TH	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24	NA
9 TH	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25	NA
10 TH	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26	NA
11 TH	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27	NA
12 TH	25	32	39	29	21	31	28	22	12	NA	NA	28	NA
13 TH	26	32	40	30	21	32	29	22	12	NA	NA	29	NA
14 TH	27	33	41	31	22	33	30	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
15 TH	27	34	41	31	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
16 TH	28	35	42	32	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	31	NA

In addition to Table 14, Figures 9a through 9e display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 16 years.




Figure 9a. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).

Figure 9a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 16 years 31% were convicted of at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 34%. These failure curves are steepest in the years following the 1994 conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 16th years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs during the first year following conviction.

One way to explore the degree of alcohol-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (time frame for counting priors) of the 1994 DUI violation. Figure 9b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 14, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain higher failure percentages over the 16-year time period. At the end of 16 years, 42% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 35% of second offenders and 28% of first offenders.




Figure 9b. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.

Because the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 9c and Table 14, the percentage of males that reoffend over 16 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 16 years, 32% of males have reoffended as compared to 23% of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 16 years as compared to the curve of males.




Figure 9c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 9d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 16 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of all age groups are steepest during the first few years following the 1994 conviction.

The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the fifth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could influence their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 16 years, the two youngest groups reoffended by 34% and 31%, respectively, while 23% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 9d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).

The final figure, Figure 9e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2004 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 9e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2004 DUI offenders.

Instead of reoffense rates of the 2000 cohort group that were presented in the past, the reoffense rates of the 2004 cohort over the 5-year time period were added this year along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 9e and Table 14). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 24 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 9e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, and to 18% of the 1994 and 2004 groups. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2004 groups (18%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 24 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 14, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated versus 25% of the 1994 group. The difference between the recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of 9 years. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 group in years 8 through 14.

Continuing with Figure 9e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in

reoffenses is possibly due to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions for DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 9e also shows that the reoffense levels are very similar for both the 1994 and 2004 cohorts. The reoffense rates of the 2004 offenders were only one percentage-point lower than that of the 1994 group for the first 4 years and were identical at the end of 5 years.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2004 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative percentages of reoffenses were much lower among the 1994 and 2004 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2004 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 24 years, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2009

Beginning 3 years ago, this report captures the number and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicated that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI program, upon referral received from the court (before that, Table 15 showed only the percentages of program referrals and completions for these offenders). Inclusion of the information on enrollments was possible due to the addition of a new subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length. Previous efforts were limited by the lack of organized fields of data even though some of this information was available.

Table 15 shows the percentages of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 87.2% of first offenders and 74.8% of second offenders were referred to a DUI program. Among first offenders, 71.1% enrolled in a DUI program, which usually range from 3 to 9 months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC level at the time of their arrest. Furthermore, 51.2% of second offenders enrolled in an 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI programs, 88.0% of first offenders and 41.4% of second

offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still be enrolled in the program at the time of data collection).

TABLE 15: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2009

DUI OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	N	N	%	N	%	N	% ¹	% ²
1 ST OFFENDERS	117,642	102,531 ³	87.2	83,672	71.1	73,614	62.6	88.0
2 ND OFFENDERS	33,017	24,692 ⁴	74.8	16,913	51.2	7,002	21.2	41.4

¹% of total number of DUI offenders

²% of program enrollees

³ referrals to first offender DUI program (3 to 9 months)

⁴ referrals to 18 month DUI program

DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Methods

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data: The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month DUI program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). In 2004, the courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. Effective 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC level is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to a DUI program and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend at least an educational component of a DUI program as a condition of probation, it was found that 35% of such offenders arrested in 2009 were not assigned to do so. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups. Alcohol-related reckless

convictees with “X” license numbers and those with out-of-state ZIP codes were excluded from the analysis.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of time of DUI programs and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2009 that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. The records of 34% of first offenders who were referred to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were excluded from the comparison. Cases further excluded from the analysis were: first DUI offenders convicted of felony DUI, drivers with “X” license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes. Of the total sample selected, 76% were referred to 3-month programs, while 24% were assigned to 9-month programs. In order to explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with both DUI program length (3 or 9 months) and DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders with available information on their BAC level were included in the comparison.

The conviction date was considered to be the “treatment date” for defining prior and subsequent driving record data for both alcohol-reckless and first DUI offenders, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures lasted 1 year from the conviction date.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV for both alcohol-reckless and first DUI offenders. Offenders from either of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the buffer period) were excluded from the evaluation. There were two outcome driver record measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a DUI incident (i.e., alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low during the evaluation period. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This confounding was avoided by excluding multiple incidents from the analyses.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures: Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this “quasi-experimental” design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a less-confounded comparison of the sanction groups. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions received by offenders systematically vary through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive a program with license restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding the DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with group differences on these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses with significant interactions, the interaction terms were included in the final logistic regression models. However, for both alcohol-reckless drivers and first DUI offenders, there were no significant interactions in either of the models.

Results of the DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving

Figure 10a and Table 16a display the results of the evaluation of the effectiveness of DUI program assignment on drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations.




Figure 10a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol-reckless drivers (arrested in 2009) by DUI program assignment.

Total Crashes: Like the past 7 years' findings, the results show that assignment to a DUI program was not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders; the slight difference between the groups may be due to chance alone. The crash rates of alcohol-reckless drivers arrested in 2009 with no DUI program assignment are similar (4.16 per 100 drivers) to last year's evaluation (4.24 per 100 drivers). For those referred to DUI programs, the crash rates are slightly lower this year (3.57 per 100 drivers) than in the previous year's evaluation (4.08 per 100 drivers). The drop in the crash rates may reflect the overall statewide decline in crashes that occurred in 2009.

TABLE 16a: THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES)	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES)
				$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$		$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2009 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	No program	5,093	4.16	-14.2%	3.42	-21.6%*
	DUI program	9,353	3.57		2.68	

Note. The findings presented in this table were derived from data selected differently than in the past and are not comparable to all prior years. The formula to calculate percentage effect was also revised in 2010 and is not comparable to all prior years.

* $p = .01$.

DUI Incidents: Figure 10a and Table 16a indicate that alcohol-reckless offenders assigned to a DUI program had fewer DUI incidents in the 1 year following their assignment than those who were not assigned and this difference is statistically significant ($p = .01$). The reoffense rate of the alcohol-reckless offenders assigned to the programs is 21.6% lower than the reoffense rate of those not assigned to the programs. These findings are different than last year's, but similar to findings from prior years. These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical controls based on available covariates should remove some of the bias.

9-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol-Related Reckless Drivers

An evaluation of a referral to a 9-month DUI program for offenders with an alcohol-related reckless conviction who have a prior conviction for alcohol-related reckless driving or DUI within 10 years, was mandated by AB 2802 (Houston). This legislation requires the courts to order these offenders to enroll in a DUI intervention program for at least 9 months as a condition of probation. The records of persons arrested for DUI in 2009 and subsequently convicted of alcohol-reckless driving indicate that 2,075 of them have a prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless conviction. The court-reported conviction abstracts for these offenders show that 46% of them were referred to DUI programs when they were granted probation. However, the records of only three offenders (0.1%) indicated a 9-month DUI program referral. Since this critical information indicating an assignment to the 9-month DUI program was missing on the records for 99.9% of the repeat alcohol-reckless offenders, it was not possible to evaluate this program referral for the current report.

Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes: Figure 10b and Table 16b display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between DUI program length and DUI recidivism and crashes among first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program is not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. First DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program have a 2.0% higher crash rate than those assigned to the 3-month program, but this difference was not sufficient to reach statistical significance. This year's findings, as well as last year's findings, were obtained using different statistical procedures than in the past. Nevertheless, they are consistent with prior year's results that generally did not show significant differences in 1-year subsequent crashes between the two groups.




Figure 10b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2009) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents: Similar to last year's results, Figures 10b and Table 16b indicate that first DUI offenders assigned to the 3-month program do not have significantly different 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program. The reoffense rate of those assigned to the 9-month program is only 3.5% higher than that of those assigned to the 3-month program; a difference that is, again, not large enough to be statistically significant. In evaluations prior to the last year, results indicated that DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program had significantly more subsequent DUI incidents than offenders assigned to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in prior years, two further subanalyses were conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the outcomes of this evaluation.

The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level is held constant, there were no significant differences in the number of DUI incident rates between DUI offenders assigned to the 3-month DUI program and those assigned to the 9-month program.

Since last year's evaluation, BAC level information has been included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between assigned program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. Therefore, when the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that assignment to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than assignment to the 3-month program, which is comparable to the findings in prior years.

TABLE 16b: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2009 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-month program	38,298	3.55	2.0%	3.40	3.5%
	9-month program	11,949	3.62		3.52	

Note. Like last year, the findings presented in this table were obtained using different statistical procedures and are not comparable to all prior years. The formula to calculate percentage effect was also revised in 2010 and is not comparable to all prior years.

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months contributed to reducing DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995). A final limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily-mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, DUI probation violation, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

The total count of postconviction suspension/revocation actions has dramatically increased as a result of a law change (SB 1697), effective September 20, 2005, which assigned to DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions for all DUI offenders, removing this responsibility from the courts. DMV is also responsible for issuing license restrictions to DUI offenders who meet requirements defined by the law.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 2000-2010. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 2000 through 2010. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data from 2008 to 2010. In prior reports, this table showed APS process measures for fiscal years rather than calendar years, so the values for this year are not comparable to values from previous years.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- ◆ The total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions for 2010 was 46.2% higher than that for 2000 (see Table 17). These totals have increased markedly as of September 20, 2005 due to the law change noted above.
- ◆ In 2010, 183,743 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74.3% were first-offender actions (including actions for zero tolerance) and 25.7% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 17).
- ◆ Total APS actions decreased by 7.0% in 2010, following a 1.9% decrease in 2009 (see Table 18).
- ◆ The number of chemical test refusal actions decreased by 5.3% in 2010, after decreasing by 7.0% in 2009. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 12.3% during the past decade (see Table 17).
- ◆ Requests for APS hearings increased from 26.5% of all APS actions in 2009 to 30.4% in 2010. In addition, the rate at which .08 APS S/R actions are set aside after a hearing continued to stay relatively unchanged during the past several years, from 8.6% set aside in 2008, to 8.7% set aside in 2009, to 8.6% set aside in 2010 (see Table 18).
- ◆ Total postconviction S/R actions decreased by 8.3% in 2010, after decreasing 2.5% in 2009, with the largest decrease occurring for first-offender suspensions (9.4%) and the smallest decrease for third-offender revocations (3.1%). This is shown in Table 17.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2000-2010

	YEAR										
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/REVOCAION (S/R) ACTIONS	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796 ²	362859 ²	392319 ²	382111 ²	351802 ²
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851	183743
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861	18684
.08 First-offender suspensions	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933	117884
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747	44101
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074
Commercial driver actions	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172	2924	2776
Chemical test refusal actions	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737	8275
.01 Test refusal suspensions	270	280	290	341	326	364	419	426	433	372	354
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055	4847
.08 Test refusal revocations	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074
POSTCONVICTION¹											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	741	714	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917	482	538
First-offender suspensions	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525 ²	124436 ²	136480 ²	132709 ²	120254 ²
Misdemeanor	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227 ²	122102 ²	133987 ²	130462 ²	118168 ²
Felony	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247	2086
Second-offender S/R actions	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836	35565
Misdemeanor	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155	34928
Felony	526	577	597	577	553	595	634	647	658	681	637
Third-offender revocations	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187	8905
Misdemeanor	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945	8707
Felony	148	142	175	195	152	183	225	233	231	242	198
Fourth-offender revocations	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046	2797
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315 ²	170646 ²	187987 ²	183260 ²	168059 ²

¹These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

²This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

TABLE 18: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2008	2009	2010
Total APS actions taken (including cutoff actions later set aside):	222,462	218,125	202,805
Total .08 ¹ APS actions set aside	16,871	18,046	17,863
Total .01 ² suspensions set aside	1,259	1,228	1,199
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	204,332	198,851	183,743
Net total .08 APS actions	182,152	177,990	165,059
Net total .01 suspensions	22,180	20,861	18,684
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:³			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	201,160	195,927	180,967
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	3,172	2,924	2,776
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	32	77	101
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions ⁴	132,266	127,933	117,884
4-month license suspensions	93,813	91,370	83,687
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ⁵ restrictions	30,159	28,885	26,991
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,459	5,055	4,847
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	2,835	2,623	2,359
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	49,886	50,057	47,175
Suspensions	46,388	46,747	44,101
Revocations	3,498	3,310	3,074
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	9,950	9,276	8,795
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	525	518	501
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	35	21	19
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,390	8,737	8,275
Net total .08 refusal actions	8,957	8,365	7,921
Net total .01 refusal actions	433	372	354
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	4.47%	4.25%	4.34%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,459	5,055	4,847
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,498	3,310	3,074
APS Hearings:⁶			
Total .08 and .01 in person or telephone APS hearings scheduled	60,572	57,713	61,744
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ⁷	27.2%	26.5%	30.4%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	55,135	52,866	56,943
.08 actions set aside following hearings	4,767	4,599	4,894
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.6%	8.7%	8.6%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	5,134	4,531	4,516
.01 actions set aside following hearings	504	448	417
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	9.8%	9.9%	9.2%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,526	3,210	3,365
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,385	3,111	3,255
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	383	382	372

¹.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

².01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

³All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

⁴Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within 10 years (7 years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

⁵This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

⁶These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

⁷Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on drivers in alcohol-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes¹. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol may also be included in the “alcohol-involved crash” category. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 19: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 1999-2009. This table shows the number of DUI arrests and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 1999-2009.

Table 20: 2009 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Level. This table shows the law enforcement officer’s determination of sobriety and race/ethnicity for 2009 HBD drivers involved in crashes.

Table 21: 2009 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Level. This table cross tabulates crash sobriety codes (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2009 DUI convictions associated with those crashes.

Table 22: 2009 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction, by County and Sobriety Level. This table shows the number of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by sobriety level, by county.

Table 23: Had-been-drinking Drivers Under Age 21 Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 1999-2009. This table shows the total number of HBD fatal/injury crash-involved drivers under age 21 in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of HBD drivers in the state, over the same time period.

Tables 24a-24b: 2009 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2009 HBD

¹ Among 2009 DUI arrests, 27,853 (13.4%) were associated with a reported traffic crash, with 10,776 involving an injury or fatality, and 17,077 PDO.

drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 24c: 2009 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Type of Crash. This table cross-tabulates type of crash by age group for HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes.

Tables 25a-25b: 2009 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Sobriety Level and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2009 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2009 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2009 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by number of prior DUI convictions, both total (26a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (26b).

Table 27: 2009 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Crashes. This table shows the mean, median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for HBD drivers involved in alcohol-related crashes in 2009.

Figure 11 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 2000 to 2010. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 12 (opposite page) shows numbers of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities from 2000 to 2010. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 11. Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2000-2010.




Figure 12. Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 2000-2010.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ◆ The total number of alcohol-involved crash fatalities decreased by 15.1% in 2010, following decreases of 6.8% in 2009, 9.0% in 2008, and 6.8% in 2007. These 4 consecutive years of declines in the number of alcohol-involved crash fatalities reversed a trend that started in 1999 (see Figure 12 and DUI Summary Statistics).

- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved crash fatalities declined from 41.1% in 2009 to 39.1% in 2010, only the second year-to-year decline since 1997 (see Figure 11).
- ◆ After an initial upward trend in the past decade, the number of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities has decreased for the past 5 years. The greatest proportion of crash fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 12).
- ◆ 10.6% of crash injuries in 2010 were alcohol-involved, slightly lower than 11.2% in 2009 (see Figure 11 and DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ The percentage of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 increased from 10.9% in 1999 to 11.6% in 2009 (6.4% increase, see Table 23).
- ◆ 13.4% of all 2009 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 14.2% in 2008. 5.2% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly lower than 5.5% in 2008 (see Table 19).
- ◆ 39.7% of HBD drivers do not have a record of any conviction in connection with their involvement in a fatal/injury crash. In 55.6% of these non-convicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Table 21).
- ◆ Non-convicted or non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury crashes in 2009 were less likely to have a prior conviction within 10 years for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving than did drivers who were convicted in conjunction with the crash (see Tables 25a and 25b).
- ◆ About two-thirds (68.8%) of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 26a). In contrast, almost two-thirds (63.4%) of drivers in alcohol-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction.
- ◆ Among 2009 HBD drivers in fatal/injury crashes, 34.7% were involved in crashes with fixed objects, while 52.7% were involved in multiple vehicle crashes. With increasing age, the proportion of HBD drivers in fixed object crashes declined, while the proportion of HBD drivers in multiple vehicle crashes increased (see Table 24c).

TABLE 19: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1999-2009

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	12.6%	13.7%	14.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.8%	15.8%	15.5%	15.3%	14.2%	13.4%
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH FATAL/ INJURY CRASHES	5.8%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.2%	6.6%	6.3%	6.1%	5.5%	5.2%

TABLE 20: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES
BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL¹

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS		TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY									
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		17850	100.0	7506	42.1	6848	38.4	1324	7.4	1140	6.4	1032	5.8
SOBRIETY LEVEL	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14020	78.5	6000	42.8	5708	40.7	988	7.0	842	6.0	482	3.4
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-079%)	1519	8.5	434	28.6	438	28.8	105	6.9	76	5.0	466	30.7
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-049%)	2311	12.9	1072	46.4	702	30.4	231	10.0	222	9.6	84	3.6

¹For each sobriety level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2009 California Highway Patrol data files.TABLE 21: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES
BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY LEVEL¹

	TOTAL	TYPE OF CONVICTION											
		MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS			
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
SOBRIETY LEVEL	TOTAL	15886	100.0	7153	45.0	1910	12.0	493	3.1	21	0.1	6309	39.7
	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	12896	81.2	7023	54.5	1866	14.5	480	3.7	21	0.2	3506	27.2
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-0.079%)	832	5.2	105	12.6	31	3.7	10	1.2	0	0.0	686	82.5
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-0.049%)	2123	13.4	18	0.8	10	0.5	2	0.1	0	0.0	2093	98.6
	HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING NOT REPORTED	27	0.2	6	22.2	2	7.4	1	3.7	0	0.0	18	66.7
		8	0.1	1	12.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	75.0

¹For each sobriety level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2009 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 22: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL

COUNTY	TOTAL	SOBRIETY LEVEL					
		HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	6285	3506	55.8	686	10.9	2093	33.3
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ALAMEDA	216	130	60.2	22	10.2	64	29.6
AMADOR	11	6	54.5	0	0.0	5	45.5
BUTTE	38	19	50.0	5	13.2	14	36.8
CALAVERAS	15	8	53.3	1	6.7	6	40.0
COLUSA	9	6	66.7	0	0.0	3	33.3
CONTRA COSTA	153	80	52.3	19	12.4	54	35.3
DEL NORTE	4	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
EL DORADO	48	23	47.9	6	12.5	19	39.6
FRESNO	159	103	64.8	18	11.3	38	23.9
GLENN	8	5	62.5	2	25.0	1	12.5
HUMBOLDT	43	27	62.8	8	18.6	8	18.6
IMPERIAL	19	11	57.9	3	15.8	5	26.3
INYO	8	3	37.5	2	25.0	3	37.5
KERN	154	98	63.6	13	8.4	43	27.9
KINGS	26	11	42.3	4	15.4	11	42.3
LAKE	20	13	65.0	2	10.0	5	25.0
LASSEN	8	4	50.0	1	12.5	3	37.5
LOS ANGELES	1692	835	49.3	204	12.1	653	38.6
MADERA	35	25	71.4	3	8.6	7	20.0
MARIN	39	24	61.5	3	7.7	12	30.8
MARIPOSA	4	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0
MENDOCINO	23	12	52.2	4	17.4	7	30.4
MERCED	69	52	75.4	5	7.2	12	17.4
MODOC	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
MONO	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0
MONTEREY	51	30	58.8	9	17.6	12	23.5
NAPA	29	12	41.4	4	13.8	13	44.8
NEVADA	22	13	59.1	1	4.5	8	36.4
ORANGE	370	189	51.1	32	8.6	149	40.3
PLACER	37	24	64.9	7	18.9	6	16.2
PLUMAS	9	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1
RIVERSIDE	375	244	65.1	37	9.9	94	25.1
SACRAMENTO	301	189	62.8	22	7.3	90	29.9
SAN BENITO	35	18	51.4	5	14.3	12	34.3
SAN BERNARDINO	409	248	60.6	46	11.2	115	28.1
SAN DIEGO	563	310	55.1	54	9.6	199	35.3
SAN FRANCISCO	93	44	47.3	9	9.7	40	43.0
SAN JOAQUIN	113	61	54.0	12	10.6	40	35.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	50	34	68.0	9	18.0	7	14.0
SAN MATEO	72	37	51.4	10	13.9	25	34.7
SANTA BARBARA	62	34	54.8	8	12.9	20	32.3
SANTA CLARA	191	101	52.9	27	14.1	63	33.0
SANTA CRUZ	45	20	44.4	4	8.9	21	46.7
SHASTA	49	33	67.3	7	14.3	9	18.4
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	20	17	85.0	1	5.0	2	10.0
SOLANO	50	22	44.0	9	18.0	19	38.0
SONOMA	67	33	49.3	3	4.5	31	46.3
STANISLAUS	130	88	67.7	12	9.2	30	23.1
SUTTER	35	18	51.4	3	8.6	14	40.0
TEHAMA	21	13	61.9	3	14.3	5	23.8
TRINITY	6	4	66.7	0	0.0	2	33.3
TULARE	68	45	66.2	8	11.8	15	22.1
TUOLUMNE	12	5	41.7	1	8.3	6	50.0
VENTURA	153	89	58.2	12	7.8	52	34.0
YOLO	40	20	50.0	6	15.0	14	35.0
YUBA	3	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3

TABLE 23: HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1999-2009

AGE		1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	N	18720	19591	20530	20633	20632	20847	20818	21031	21045	19604	17874
UNDER 18	N	354	366	375	382	376	409	351	344	369	316	239
	%	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.3
18-20	N	1678	1811	1943	2016	1894	1943	1946	2226	2171	1901	1831
	%	9.0	9.2	9.5	9.8	9.2	9.3	9.4	10.6	10.3	9.7	10.2
UNDER 21	N	2032	2177	2318	2398	2270	2352	2297	2570	2540	2217	2070
	%	10.9	11.1	11.3	11.6	11.0	11.3	11.0	12.2	12.1	11.3	11.6

TABLE 24a: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX¹

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	17874	100.0%	13611	76.1%	4263	23.9%
UNDER 18	239	1.3%	174	72.8%	65	27.2%
18-20	1831	10.2%	1369	74.8%	462	25.2%
21-30	6818	38.1%	5152	75.6%	1666	24.4%
31-40	3166	17.7%	2425	76.6%	741	23.4%
41-50	2746	15.4%	2008	73.1%	738	26.9%
51-59	1505	8.4%	1167	77.5%	338	22.5%
60-69	645	3.6%	501	77.7%	144	22.3%
70 & ABOVE	233	1.3%	182	78.1%	51	21.9%
AGE UNKNOWN	691	3.9%	633	91.6%	58	8.4%

¹These data are derived from the 2009 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)¹

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	3916	100.0	2944	75.2	972	24.8
UNDER 18	51	1.3	44	86.3	7	13.7
18-20	319	8.1	246	77.1	73	22.9
21-30	1536	39.2	1175	76.5	361	23.5
31-40	698	17.8	533	76.4	165	23.6
41-50	611	15.6	436	71.4	175	28.6
51-59	368	9.4	268	72.8	100	27.2
60-69	225	5.7	156	69.3	69	30.7
70 & ABOVE	108	2.8	86	79.6	22	20.4

¹These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 24c: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH

AGE	TOTAL N	TYPE OF CRASH									
		VEH/FIXED OBJECT		VEHICLE- PEDESTRIAN		MULTIPLE VEHICLE		VEHICLE- BICYCLE		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	15886	5511	34.7	337	2.1	8374	52.7	151	1.0	1513	9.5
UNDER 18	213	104	48.8	1	0.5	83	39.0	2	0.9	23	10.8
18-20	1685	798	47.4	20	1.2	684	40.6	13	0.8	170	10.1
21-30	6275	2437	38.8	106	1.7	3115	49.6	35	0.6	582	9.3
31-40	2942	915	31.1	51	1.7	1673	56.9	26	0.9	277	9.4
41-50	2544	674	26.5	84	3.3	1511	59.4	32	1.3	243	9.6
51-59	1416	407	28.7	43	3.0	793	56.0	21	1.5	152	10.7
60-69	601	141	23.5	28	4.7	365	60.7	14	2.3	53	8.8
70 & ABOVE	210	35	16.7	4	1.9	150	71.4	8	3.8	13	6.2

TABLE 25a: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS¹

	HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
		N	%	N	%								
	TOTAL	15886	100.0	6094	38.4	7422	46.7	1816	11.4	443	2.8	111	0.7
SOBRIETY LEVEL	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	12896	81.2	3568	27.7	7057	54.7	1738	13.5	426	3.3	107	0.8
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	832	5.2	594	71.4	176	21.2	45	5.4	13	1.6	4	0.5
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2123	13.4	1909	89.9	177	8.3	33	1.6	4	0.2	0	0.0
	HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	27	0.2	17	63.0	10	37.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	NOT REPORTED	8	0.1	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

¹These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's files on alcohol-involved crashes and include only those cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 25b: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)¹

	HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
		N	%	N	%								
	TOTAL	3916	100.0	3412	87.1	388	9.9	90	2.3	22	0.6	4	0.1
SOBRIETY LEVEL	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1265	32.3	1031	81.5	170	13.4	48	3.8	14	1.1	2	0.2
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	640	16.3	541	84.5	74	11.6	18	2.8	5	0.8	2	0.3
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	1992	50.9	1822	91.5	143	7.2	24	1.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	16	0.4	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	NOT REPORTED	3	0.1	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

¹These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY
PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS¹

HBD DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	15886	100.0	6094	38.4	7422	46.7	1816	11.4	443	2.8	111	0.7
WITH FATALITIES	890 ²	5.6	612	68.8	212	23.8	50	5.6	14	1.6	2	0.2
WITH INJURIES	14996	94.4	5482	36.6	7210	48.1	1766	11.8	429	2.9	109	0.7

¹These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's files on alcohol involved crashes and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

²The records of 84.6% (753) of the HBD drivers indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2009 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY
PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)¹

HBD DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	3916	100.0	3412	87.1	388	9.9	90	2.3	22	0.6	4	0.1
WITH FATALITIES	665 ²	17.0	533	80.2	90	13.5	31	4.7	9	1.4	2	0.3
WITH INJURIES	3251	83.0	2879	88.6	298	9.2	59	1.8	13	0.4	2	0.1

¹These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

²The records of 91.9% (611) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 27: 2009 REPORTED¹ BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	122	1.1
.01	33	0.3
.02	45	0.4
.03	66	0.6
.04	86	0.8
.05	125	1.2
.06	164	1.5
.07	227	2.1
.08	350	3.2
.09	400	3.7
.10	415	3.9
.11	520	4.8
.12	530	4.9
.13	592	5.5
.14	591	5.5
.15	621	5.8
.16	624	5.8
.17	656	6.1
.18	638	5.9
.19	587	5.4
.20	539	5.0
.21	491	4.6
.22	422	3.9
.23	347	3.2
.24	301	2.8
.25	256	2.4
.26	180	1.7
.27	186	1.7
.28	126	1.2
.29	105	1.0
.30	95	0.9
.31	69	0.6
.32	64	0.6
.33	51	0.5
.34	47	0.4
.35	33	0.3
.36	24	0.2
.37	17	0.2
.38	10	0.1
.39	9	0.1
.40	11	0.1
.41	5	0.1
.42	2	0.0
.43	1	0.0
.44	1	0.0
.45	3	0.0
.46	2	0.0
.47	1	0.0
.56	1	0.0
TOTAL	10791	100.0
	MEAN ² BAC .16	
	MEDIAN ² BAC .17	

¹The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for alcohol-crash drivers (63.6% of the records showed BAC levels).

²The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be related to drivers driving under the influence of drugs

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct, or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it overestimates the actual number of convictions. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. Although the *1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System* documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records did not appear on the DMV driver record database, an upcoming study by DMV's Justice and Government Liaison Branch will document the current level of discrepancy.

Alcohol-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 520 (Ammiano), effective 1/1/2012, allows persons convicted of alcohol-reckless driving and who have no more than two prior alcohol-related convictions within 10 years, to obtain an IID restricted license after completing a 90-day APS suspension period, if they enroll in a 9-month DUI program, provide proof of financial responsibility, pay the necessary fees, and provide proof of IID installation. The license restriction remains in effect for the remainder of the 12-month APS suspension period.

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three-or-more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to DMV for driver license reinstatement, five years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol-related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year Administrative Per Se (APS) license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the

offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender, who is on probation and is driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the penal code 192 (c) (3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill shall remain operative until January 1, 2009, and would require the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which arrests and/or convictions of DUI will be counted as prior offenses for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This new law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires the DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV Headquarters, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing driver license actions for DUI arrests and convictions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that all persons convicted of a DUI will receive a license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a “critical need to drive”) from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver’s record. These convictions would be considered by the court as “priors” for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person’s license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but does not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and DUI program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment

program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as “Courtney’s Law”; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the

second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as “habitual traffic offenders.”

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be

imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three-or-more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petrus), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-

month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2009). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (n.d.). *2009 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Retrieved July 14, 2010, from <http://www.chp.ca.gov/switrs/index.html>
- DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2011). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 233). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.
- Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72nd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington, DC.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se (“on-the-spot”) license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a “zero tolerance” statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver “had-been-drinking (HBD).” Crashes involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all crashes) are also included in the alcohol-involved crash category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a “wet” reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or “wet” reckless driving. “Wet” reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed, or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for “driving under the influence” of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, 192.3c, d, 192.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total DUI convictions with a violation (arrest) date in a given calendar year divided by the total number of DUI arrests in the same calendar year.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found occurred by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code, relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with
Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court,

length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		195879	152014	77.6	43865	22.4	77190	39.4	85521	43.7	16894	8.6	16274	8.3
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	31	24	77.4	7	22.6	17	54.8	8	25.8	4	12.9	2	6.5
	18-20	500	377	75.4	123	24.6	156	31.2	190	38.0	66	13.2	88	17.6
	21-30	3389	2498	73.7	891	26.3	874	25.8	1125	33.2	773	22.8	617	18.2
	31-40	1940	1515	78.1	425	21.9	455	23.5	642	33.1	577	29.7	266	13.7
	41-50	1296	988	76.2	308	23.8	458	35.3	306	23.6	377	29.1	155	12.0
	51-60	605	457	75.5	148	24.5	235	38.8	89	14.7	226	37.4	55	9.1
	61-70	170	145	85.3	25	14.7	81	47.6	17	10.0	57	33.5	15	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	35	31	88.6	4	11.4	16	45.7	3	8.6	15	42.9	1	2.9
	TOTAL	7966	6035	75.8	1931	24.2	2292	28.8	2380	29.9	2095	26.3	1199	15.1
ALPINE	21-30	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	10	76.9	1	7.7	1	7.7	1	7.7
	31-40	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	51-60	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	35	27	77.1	8	22.9	30	85.7	2	5.7	1	2.9	2	5.7
	18-20	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	14	73.7	2	10.5	1	5.3	2	10.5
	21-30	74	54	73.0	20	27.0	61	82.4	10	13.5	1	1.4	2	2.7
	31-40	29	18	62.1	11	37.9	22	75.9	5	17.2	1	3.4	1	3.4
AMADOR	41-50	35	29	82.9	6	17.1	34	97.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.9
	51-60	30	22	73.3	8	26.7	25	83.3	3	10.0	1	3.3	1	3.3
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	5	62.5	1	12.5	2	25.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	198	147	74.2	51	25.8	163	82.3	21	10.6	7	3.5	7	3.5
	UNDER 18	13	7	53.8	6	46.2	10	76.9	3	23.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	151	111	73.5	40	26.5	114	75.5	25	16.6	6	4.0	6	4.0
	21-30	703	530	75.4	173	24.6	541	77.0	108	15.4	21	3.0	33	4.7
	31-40	292	198	67.8	94	32.2	234	80.1	39	13.4	11	3.8	8	2.7
BUTTE	41-50	254	173	68.1	81	31.9	223	87.8	19	7.5	8	3.1	4	1.6
	51-60	199	157	78.9	42	21.1	178	89.4	12	6.0	5	2.5	4	2.0
	61-70	50	34	68.0	16	32.0	48	96.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1672	1219	72.9	453	27.1	1357	81.2	207	12.4	53	3.2	55	3.3

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	15	93.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	6.3
	21-30	93	66	71.0	27	29.0	77	82.8	13	14.0	1	1.1	2	2.2
	31-40	46	39	84.8	7	15.2	45	97.8	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	75	55	73.3	20	26.7	65	86.7	6	8.0	1	1.3	3	4.0
	51-60	58	46	79.3	12	20.7	50	86.2	7	12.1	0	0.0	1	1.7
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	304	232	76.3	72	23.7	268	88.2	27	8.9	2	0.7	7	2.3
COLUSA	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	5	31.3	11	68.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	60	52	86.7	8	13.3	28	46.7	30	50.0	0	0.0	2	3.3
	31-40	50	38	76.0	12	24.0	21	42.0	24	48.0	1	2.0	4	8.0
	41-50	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	26	65.0	12	30.0	0	0.0	2	5.0
	51-60	35	27	77.1	8	22.9	24	68.6	7	20.0	1	2.9	3	8.6
	61-70	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	9	60.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	3	20.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	221	178	80.5	43	19.5	116	52.5	88	39.8	3	1.4	14	6.3
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	16	55.2	7	24.1	3	10.3	3	10.3
	18-20	330	250	75.8	80	24.2	156	47.3	111	33.6	30	9.1	33	10.0
	21-30	1797	1371	76.3	426	23.7	717	39.9	642	35.7	244	13.6	194	10.8
	31-40	970	751	77.4	219	22.6	368	37.9	319	32.9	200	20.6	83	8.6
	41-50	759	572	75.4	187	24.6	393	51.8	158	20.8	161	21.2	47	6.2
	51-60	436	342	78.4	94	21.6	239	54.8	66	15.1	96	22.0	35	8.0
	61-70	107	83	77.6	24	22.4	60	56.1	17	15.9	25	23.4	5	4.7
	71 & ABOVE	36	30	83.3	6	16.7	25	69.4	5	13.9	3	8.3	3	8.3
	TOTAL	4464	3422	76.7	1042	23.3	1974	44.2	1325	29.7	762	17.1	403	9.0
DEL NORTE	18-20	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	13	65.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	4	20.0
	21-30	61	40	65.6	21	34.4	41	67.2	8	13.1	0	0.0	12	19.7
	31-40	34	25	73.5	9	26.5	30	88.2	1	2.9	0	0.0	3	8.8
	41-50	46	27	58.7	19	41.3	38	82.6	4	8.7	0	0.0	4	8.7
	51-60	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	32	88.9	3	8.3	0	0.0	1	2.8
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	211	149	70.6	62	29.4	168	79.6	19	9.0	0	0.0	24	11.4

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	13	72.2	3	16.7	0	0.0	2	11.1
	18-20	93	73	78.5	20	21.5	78	83.9	12	12.9	2	2.2	1	1.1
	21-30	432	310	71.8	122	28.2	351	81.3	58	13.4	11	2.5	12	2.8
	31-40	257	198	77.0	59	23.0	213	82.9	27	10.5	7	2.7	10	3.9
	41-50	235	162	68.9	73	31.1	202	86.0	23	9.8	5	2.1	5	2.1
	51-60	172	115	66.9	57	33.1	151	87.8	11	6.4	0	0.0	10	5.8
	61-70	57	36	63.2	21	36.8	53	93.0	1	1.8	1	1.8	2	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	14	7	50.0	7	50.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1278	917	71.8	361	28.2	1075	84.1	135	10.6	26	2.0	42	3.3
	UNDER 18	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	9	25.0	23	63.9	0	0.0	4	11.1
FRESNO	18-20	522	437	83.7	85	16.3	119	22.8	341	65.3	16	3.1	46	8.8
	21-30	2869	2321	80.9	548	19.1	563	19.6	1944	67.8	123	4.3	239	8.3
	31-40	1471	1209	82.2	262	17.8	275	18.7	1048	71.2	85	5.8	63	4.3
	41-50	944	769	81.5	175	18.5	248	26.3	592	62.7	67	7.1	37	3.9
	51-60	450	376	83.6	74	16.4	156	34.7	239	53.1	38	8.4	17	3.8
	61-70	101	89	88.1	12	11.9	40	39.6	54	53.5	3	3.0	4	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	10	55.6	4	22.2	2	11.1	2	11.1
	TOTAL	6411	5244	81.8	1167	18.2	1420	22.1	4245	66.2	334	5.2	412	6.4
	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	17	63.0	10	37.0	19	70.4	7	25.9	0	0.0	1	3.7
GLENN	21-30	117	101	86.3	16	13.7	58	49.6	48	41.0	3	2.6	8	6.8
	31-40	57	43	75.4	14	24.6	25	43.9	27	47.4	2	3.5	3	5.3
	41-50	70	51	72.9	19	27.1	59	84.3	9	12.9	0	0.0	2	2.9
	51-60	44	36	81.8	8	18.2	34	77.3	10	22.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	8	66.7	4	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	333	264	79.3	69	20.7	207	62.2	107	32.1	5	1.5	14	4.2
	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	18-20	96	64	66.7	32	33.3	67	69.8	12	12.5	0	0.0	17	17.7
	21-30	588	406	69.0	182	31.0	487	82.8	47	8.0	10	1.7	44	7.5
HUMBOLDT	31-40	303	219	72.3	84	27.7	254	83.8	17	5.6	8	2.6	24	7.9
	41-50	222	153	68.9	69	31.1	192	86.5	11	5.0	1	0.5	18	8.1
	51-60	142	99	69.7	43	30.3	123	86.6	5	3.5	2	1.4	12	8.5
	61-70	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	46	90.2	2	3.9	0	0.0	3	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3
	TOTAL	1416	994	70.2	422	29.8	1181	83.4	94	6.6	21	1.5	120	8.5

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	2	25.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
	18-20	116	94	81.0	22	19.0	16	13.8	94	81.0	1	0.9	5	4.3
	21-30	429	330	76.9	99	23.1	76	17.7	315	73.4	10	2.3	28	6.5
	31-40	252	203	80.6	49	19.4	48	19.0	185	73.4	3	1.2	16	6.3
	41-50	172	146	84.9	26	15.1	37	21.5	128	74.4	5	2.9	2	1.2
	51-60	106	93	87.7	13	12.3	34	32.1	65	61.3	4	3.8	3	2.8
	61-70	28	27	96.4	1	3.6	11	39.3	15	53.6	1	3.6	1	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	1	20.0
INYO	TOTAL	1116	905	81.1	211	18.9	227	20.3	806	72.2	25	2.2	58	5.2
	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	30	20	66.7	10	33.3	12	40.0	6	20.0	0	0.0	12	40.0
	21-30	60	48	80.0	12	20.0	45	75.0	6	10.0	1	1.7	8	13.3
	31-40	50	39	78.0	11	22.0	26	52.0	11	22.0	1	2.0	12	24.0
	41-50	51	38	74.5	13	25.5	36	70.6	4	7.8	0	0.0	11	21.6
	51-60	45	42	93.3	3	6.7	38	84.4	5	11.1	0	0.0	2	4.4
	61-70	18	18	100.0	0	0.0	16	88.9	1	5.6	0	0.0	1	5.6
KERN	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	264	214	81.1	50	18.9	182	68.9	33	12.5	2	0.8	47	17.8
	UNDER 18	32	28	87.5	4	12.5	9	28.1	21	65.6	1	3.1	1	3.1
	18-20	532	434	81.6	98	18.4	163	30.6	325	61.1	31	5.8	13	2.4
	21-30	2517	2070	82.2	447	17.8	713	28.3	1582	62.9	148	5.9	74	2.9
	31-40	1259	1017	80.8	242	19.2	389	30.9	747	59.3	100	7.9	23	1.8
	41-50	931	710	76.3	221	23.7	391	42.0	436	46.8	86	9.2	18	1.9
	51-60	454	375	82.6	79	17.4	200	44.1	184	40.5	55	12.1	15	3.3
KINGS	61-70	111	93	83.8	18	16.2	66	59.5	33	29.7	11	9.9	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	15	55.6	6	22.2	5	18.5	1	3.7
	TOTAL	5863	4751	81.0	1112	19.0	1946	33.2	3334	56.9	437	7.5	146	2.5
	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	118	96	81.4	22	18.6	24	20.3	75	63.6	2	1.7	17	14.4
	21-30	659	539	81.8	120	18.2	174	26.4	413	62.7	43	6.5	29	4.4
	31-40	291	228	78.4	63	21.6	73	25.1	191	65.6	16	5.5	11	3.8
	41-50	207	156	75.4	51	24.6	57	27.5	124	59.9	17	8.2	9	4.3
	51-60	105	85	81.0	20	19.0	37	35.2	52	49.5	12	11.4	4	3.8
	61-70	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	11	64.7	6	35.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1406	1128	80.2	278	19.8	382	27.2	863	61.4	90	6.4	71	5.0

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	4	0	0.0	4	100.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	20	60.6	4	12.1	0	0.0	9	27.3
	21-30	121	93	76.9	28	23.1	76	62.8	37	30.6	2	1.7	6	5.0
	31-40	68	54	79.4	14	20.6	46	67.6	14	20.6	1	1.5	7	10.3
	41-50	84	52	61.9	32	38.1	68	81.0	10	11.9	2	2.4	4	4.8
	51-60	79	46	58.2	33	41.8	69	87.3	4	5.1	3	3.8	3	3.8
	61-70	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	29	85.3	3	8.8	1	2.9	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
LASSEN	TOTAL	430	303	70.5	127	29.5	319	74.2	72	16.7	9	2.1	30	7.0
	18-20	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	9	81.8	1	9.1	0	0.0	1	9.1
	21-30	59	43	72.9	16	27.1	50	84.7	7	11.9	0	0.0	2	3.4
	31-40	39	25	64.1	14	35.9	29	74.4	5	12.8	0	0.0	5	12.8
	41-50	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	35	83.3	4	9.5	0	0.0	3	7.1
	51-60	33	26	78.8	7	21.2	32	97.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.0
	61-70	16	9	56.3	7	43.8	16	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	TOTAL	203	143	70.4	60	29.6	174	85.7	17	8.4	0	0.0	12	5.9
	UNDER 18	117	90	76.9	27	23.1	46	39.3	57	48.7	6	5.1	8	6.8
	18-20	2748	2123	77.3	625	22.7	672	24.5	1677	61.0	172	6.3	227	8.3
	21-30	17904	13426	75.0	4478	25.0	4071	22.7	10270	57.4	1600	8.9	1963	11.0
	31-40	9697	7800	80.4	1897	19.6	1949	20.1	5608	57.8	1230	12.7	910	9.4
	41-50	6524	5299	81.2	1225	18.8	1770	27.1	3169	48.6	1045	16.0	540	8.3
	51-60	3004	2529	84.2	475	15.8	937	31.2	1266	42.1	544	18.1	257	8.6
	61-70	757	651	86.0	106	14.0	303	40.0	233	30.8	164	21.7	57	7.5
MADERA	71 & ABOVE	121	114	94.2	7	5.8	71	58.7	24	19.8	19	15.7	7	5.8
	TOTAL	40872	32032	78.4	8840	21.6	9819	24.0	22304	54.6	4780	11.7	3969	9.7
	UNDER 18	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	126	113	89.7	13	10.3	30	23.8	91	72.2	4	3.2	1	0.8
	21-30	523	455	87.0	68	13.0	131	25.0	361	69.0	15	2.9	16	3.1
	31-40	290	245	84.5	45	15.5	68	23.4	203	70.0	12	4.1	7	2.4
	41-50	188	156	83.0	32	17.0	68	36.2	102	54.3	11	5.9	7	3.7
	51-60	118	94	79.7	24	20.3	59	50.0	52	44.1	5	4.2	2	1.7
	61-70	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	24	77.4	7	22.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1288	1098	85.2	190	14.8	390	30.3	818	63.5	47	3.6	33	2.6

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	6	54.5	4	36.4	0	0.0
	18-20	81	58	71.6	23	28.4	48	59.3	27	33.3	1	1.2
	21-30	522	386	73.9	136	26.1	265	50.8	181	34.7	30	5.7
	31-40	328	243	74.1	85	25.9	181	55.2	99	30.2	23	7.0
	41-50	295	216	73.2	79	26.8	214	72.5	50	16.9	17	5.8
	51-60	206	136	66.0	70	34.0	165	80.1	19	9.2	11	5.3
	61-70	83	57	68.7	26	31.3	81	97.6	2	2.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	22	15	68.2	7	31.8	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1548	1118	72.2	430	27.8	982	63.4	382	24.7	82	5.3
											102	6.6
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	2	40.0	3	60.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	21-30	32	26	81.3	6	18.8	21	65.6	10	31.3	0	0.0
	31-40	30	20	66.7	10	33.3	22	73.3	6	20.0	0	0.0
	41-50	27	19	70.4	8	29.6	20	74.1	5	18.5	1	3.7
	51-60	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	23	92.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	125	91	72.8	34	27.2	96	76.8	22	17.6	1	0.8
											6	4.8
											0	0.0
											1	3.1
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0
	18-20	56	43	76.8	13	23.2	40	71.4	11	19.6	1	1.8
	21-30	280	228	81.4	52	18.6	173	61.8	77	27.5	4	1.4
	31-40	175	132	75.4	43	24.6	119	68.0	38	21.7	4	2.3
	41-50	154	126	81.8	28	18.2	102	66.2	37	24.0	3	1.9
	51-60	92	72	78.3	20	21.7	78	84.8	8	8.7	1	1.1
	61-70	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	22	81.5	2	7.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	793	630	79.4	163	20.6	540	68.1	175	22.1	13	1.6
											65	8.2
MERCED	UNDER 18	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	5	31.3	10	62.5	0	0.0
	18-20	181	157	86.7	24	13.3	41	22.7	118	65.2	8	4.4
	21-30	901	751	83.4	150	16.6	188	20.9	624	69.3	45	5.0
	31-40	459	379	82.6	80	17.4	98	21.4	313	68.2	30	6.5
	41-50	309	250	80.9	59	19.1	82	26.5	192	62.1	21	6.8
	51-60	156	133	85.3	23	14.7	58	37.2	76	48.7	14	9.0
	61-70	38	28	73.7	10	26.3	22	57.9	11	28.9	3	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2067	1716	83.0	351	17.0	498	24.1	1347	65.2	121	5.9
											101	4.9

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	12	70.6	3	17.6	0	0.0	2	11.8
	31-40	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	6	75.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	1	12.5
	41-50	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	20	87.0	1	4.3	0	0.0	2	8.7
	51-60	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	14	77.8	2	11.1	0	0.0	2	11.1
	61-70	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	81	69	85.2	12	14.8	65	80.2	9	11.1	0	0.0	7	8.6
MONO	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	3	50.0	1	16.7	0	0.0	2	33.3
	21-30	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	26	59.1	11	25.0	2	4.5	5	11.4
	31-40	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	15	65.2	5	21.7	1	4.3	2	8.7
	41-50	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	13	68.4	5	26.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	51-60	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	15	88.2	0	0.0	1	5.9	1	5.9
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	111	91	82.0	20	18.0	73	65.8	22	19.8	4	3.6	12	10.8
	UNDER 18	30	25	83.3	5	16.7	7	23.3	22	73.3	1	3.3	0	0.0
	18-20	259	213	82.2	46	17.8	61	23.6	180	69.5	8	3.1	10	3.9
MONTEREY	21-30	1187	969	81.6	218	18.4	296	24.9	800	67.4	47	4.0	44	3.7
	31-40	505	428	84.8	77	15.2	126	25.0	346	68.5	13	2.6	20	4.0
	41-50	353	265	75.1	88	24.9	142	40.2	170	48.2	24	6.8	17	4.8
	51-60	232	171	73.7	61	26.3	135	58.2	70	30.2	16	6.9	11	4.7
	61-70	71	55	77.5	16	22.5	48	67.6	18	25.4	3	4.2	2	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2653	2137	80.6	516	19.4	830	31.3	1607	60.6	112	4.2	104	3.9
	UNDER 18	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	3	33.3	6	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	75	60	80.0	15	20.0	33	44.0	40	53.3	1	1.3	1	1.3
NAPA	21-30	400	306	76.5	94	23.5	172	43.0	191	47.8	6	1.5	31	7.8
	31-40	222	187	84.2	35	15.8	102	45.9	104	46.8	7	3.2	9	4.1
	41-50	201	155	77.1	46	22.9	130	64.7	52	25.9	9	4.5	10	5.0
	51-60	116	90	77.6	26	22.4	87	75.0	20	17.2	3	2.6	6	5.2
	61-70	39	32	82.1	7	17.9	30	76.9	6	15.4	1	2.6	2	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1068	843	78.9	225	21.1	561	52.5	421	39.4	27	2.5	59	5.5

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	25	92.6	2	7.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	227	159	70.0	68	30.0	196	86.3	19	8.4	3	1.3	9	4.0
	31-40	152	110	72.4	42	27.6	129	84.9	17	11.2	2	1.3	4	2.6
	41-50	153	101	66.0	52	34.0	139	90.8	9	5.9	1	0.7	4	2.6
	51-60	73	56	76.7	17	23.3	70	95.9	0	0.0	2	2.7	1	1.4
	61-70	41	30	73.2	11	26.8	38	92.7	1	2.4	2	4.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	683	488	71.4	195	28.6	607	88.9	48	7.0	10	1.5	18	2.6
	UNDER 18	123	93	75.6	30	24.4	65	52.8	45	36.6	4	3.3	9	7.3
ORANGE	18-20	1234	931	75.4	303	24.6	534	43.3	539	43.7	36	2.9	125	10.1
	21-30	7016	5251	74.8	1765	25.2	2797	39.9	3104	44.2	222	3.2	893	12.7
	31-40	3449	2751	79.8	698	20.2	1313	38.1	1609	46.7	108	3.1	419	12.1
	41-50	2504	1887	75.4	617	24.6	1362	54.4	844	33.7	67	2.7	231	9.2
	51-60	1247	969	77.7	278	22.3	797	63.9	293	23.5	38	3.0	119	9.5
	61-70	344	288	83.7	56	16.3	250	72.7	52	15.1	9	2.6	33	9.6
	71 & ABOVE	49	41	83.7	8	16.3	34	69.4	8	16.3	1	2.0	6	12.2
	TOTAL	15966	12211	76.5	3755	23.5	7152	44.8	6494	40.7	485	3.0	1835	11.5
	UNDER 18	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	145	110	75.9	35	24.1	118	81.4	16	11.0	6	4.1	5	3.4
PLACER	21-30	684	488	71.3	196	28.7	530	77.5	99	14.5	24	3.5	31	4.5
	31-40	355	269	75.8	86	24.2	267	75.2	55	15.5	13	3.7	20	5.6
	41-50	283	191	67.5	92	32.5	240	84.8	18	6.4	9	3.2	16	5.7
	51-60	189	136	72.0	53	28.0	162	85.7	16	8.5	3	1.6	8	4.2
	61-70	45	34	75.6	11	24.4	40	88.9	2	4.4	0	0.0	3	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	22	22	100.0	0	0.0	19	86.4	2	9.1	1	4.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1738	1260	72.5	478	27.5	1390	80.0	209	12.0	56	3.2	83	4.8
	UNDER 18	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	17	94.4	1	5.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	55	45	81.8	10	18.2	45	81.8	8	14.5	1	1.8	1	1.8
PLUMAS	31-40	32	19	59.4	13	40.6	28	87.5	3	9.4	0	0.0	1	3.1
	41-50	62	47	75.8	15	24.2	55	88.7	6	9.7	0	0.0	1	1.6
	51-60	45	34	75.6	11	24.4	41	91.1	3	6.7	1	2.2	0	0.0
	61-70	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	226	171	75.7	55	24.3	200	88.5	21	9.3	2	0.9	3	1.3

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	62	49	79.0	13	21.0	23	37.1	33	53.2	3	4.8	3	4.8
	18-20	927	745	80.4	182	19.6	311	33.5	521	56.2	58	6.3	37	4.0
	21-30	4251	3370	79.3	881	20.7	1348	31.7	2467	58.0	253	6.0	183	4.3
	31-40	2143	1705	79.6	438	20.4	637	29.7	1239	57.8	190	8.9	77	3.6
	41-50	1553	1217	78.4	336	21.6	664	42.8	697	44.9	146	9.4	46	3.0
	51-60	823	641	77.9	182	22.1	448	54.4	275	33.4	73	8.9	27	3.3
	61-70	240	190	79.2	50	20.8	161	67.1	55	22.9	15	6.3	9	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	57	49	86.0	8	14.0	40	70.2	13	22.8	3	5.3	1	1.8
	TOTAL	10056	7966	79.2	2090	20.8	3632	36.1	5300	52.7	741	7.4	383	3.8
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	11	68.8	3	18.8	2	12.5	0	0.0
	18-20	589	440	74.7	149	25.3	286	48.6	144	24.4	71	12.1	88	14.9
	21-30	3694	2619	70.9	1075	29.1	1513	41.0	984	26.6	603	16.3	594	16.1
	31-40	1757	1298	73.9	459	26.1	694	39.5	488	27.8	373	21.2	202	11.5
	41-50	1216	914	75.2	302	24.8	601	49.4	241	19.8	272	22.4	102	8.4
	51-60	532	417	78.4	115	21.6	328	61.7	58	10.9	109	20.5	37	7.0
	61-70	141	108	76.6	33	23.4	95	67.4	11	7.8	25	17.7	10	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	34	26	76.5	8	23.5	26	76.5	2	5.9	5	14.7	1	2.9
	TOTAL	7979	5833	73.1	2146	26.9	3554	44.5	1931	24.2	1460	18.3	1034	13.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	36	31	86.1	5	13.9	6	16.7	29	80.6	1	2.8	0	0.0
	21-30	128	99	77.3	29	22.7	35	27.3	91	71.1	0	0.0	2	1.6
	31-40	84	71	84.5	13	15.5	12	14.3	67	79.8	2	2.4	3	3.6
	41-50	45	33	73.3	12	26.7	17	37.8	25	55.6	1	2.2	2	4.4
	51-60	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	13	50.0	11	42.3	0	0.0	2	7.7
	61-70	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	333	266	79.9	67	20.1	88	26.4	232	69.7	4	1.2	9	2.7
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	73	55	75.3	18	24.7	23	31.5	40	54.8	7	9.6	3	4.1
	18-20	1043	851	81.6	192	18.4	350	33.6	573	54.9	81	7.8	39	3.7
	21-30	5608	4523	80.7	1085	19.3	1785	31.8	3037	54.2	526	9.4	260	4.6
	31-40	2845	2337	82.1	508	17.9	846	29.7	1540	54.1	346	12.2	113	4.0
	41-50	2113	1650	78.1	463	21.9	858	40.6	903	42.7	280	13.3	72	3.4
	51-60	1011	830	82.1	181	17.9	483	47.8	332	32.8	160	15.8	36	3.6
	61-70	256	220	85.9	36	14.1	142	55.5	73	28.5	27	10.5	14	5.5
	71 & ABOVE	49	39	79.6	10	20.4	26	53.1	15	30.6	6	12.2	2	4.1
	TOTAL	12998	10505	80.8	2493	19.2	4513	34.7	6513	50.1	1433	11.0	539	4.1

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	96	67	69.8	29	30.2	58	60.4	25	26.0	7	7.3	6	6.3
	18-20	1289	962	74.6	327	25.4	577	44.8	531	41.2	74	5.7	107	8.3
	21-30	8115	6030	74.3	2085	25.7	4001	49.3	2789	34.4	604	7.4	721	8.9
	31-40	3545	2693	76.0	852	24.0	1693	47.8	1251	35.3	319	9.0	282	8.0
	41-50	2502	1874	74.9	628	25.1	1423	56.9	747	29.9	214	8.6	118	4.7
	51-60	1379	1015	73.6	364	26.4	911	66.1	302	21.9	104	7.5	62	4.5
	61-70	319	266	83.4	53	16.6	229	71.8	51	16.0	20	6.3	19	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	60	49	81.7	11	18.3	44	73.3	9	15.0	7	11.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	17305	12956	74.9	4349	25.1	8936	51.6	5705	33.0	1349	7.8	1315	7.6
	18-20	61	46	75.4	15	24.6	19	31.1	12	19.7	13	21.3	17	27.9
SAN FRANCISCO	21-30	699	530	75.8	169	24.2	263	37.6	124	17.7	85	12.2	227	32.5
	31-40	375	289	77.1	86	22.9	171	45.6	60	16.0	58	15.5	86	22.9
	41-50	206	157	76.2	49	23.8	115	55.8	21	10.2	24	11.7	46	22.3
	51-60	104	83	79.8	21	20.2	57	54.8	7	6.7	21	20.2	19	18.3
	61-70	31	24	77.4	7	22.6	23	74.2	2	6.5	4	12.9	2	6.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1480	1131	76.4	349	23.6	652	44.1	226	15.3	205	13.9	397	26.8
	18-20	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	9	37.5	12	50.0	0	0.0	3	12.5
	21-30	356	279	78.4	77	21.6	97	27.2	211	59.3	27	7.6	21	5.9
	31-40	1897	1557	82.1	340	17.9	521	27.5	1025	54.0	150	7.9	201	10.6
SAN JOAQUIN	41-50	920	742	80.7	178	19.3	239	26.0	484	52.6	121	13.2	76	8.3
	51-60	721	551	76.4	170	23.6	281	39.0	293	40.6	112	15.5	35	4.9
	61-70	355	299	84.2	56	15.8	146	41.1	117	33.0	54	15.2	38	10.7
	71 & ABOVE	115	95	82.6	20	17.4	65	56.5	27	23.5	18	15.7	5	4.3
	TOTAL	25	24	96.0	1	4.0	15	60.0	6	24.0	4	16.0	0	0.0
	18-20	4413	3568	80.9	845	19.1	1373	31.1	2175	49.3	486	11.0	379	8.6
	21-30	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	171	121	70.8	50	29.2	109	63.7	50	29.2	6	3.5	6	3.5
	41-50	853	632	74.1	221	25.9	537	63.0	258	30.2	13	1.5	45	5.3
	51-60	328	256	78.0	72	22.0	214	65.2	109	33.2	2	0.6	3	0.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	61-70	283	196	69.3	87	30.7	219	77.4	54	19.1	6	2.1	4	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	191	143	74.9	48	25.1	155	81.2	28	14.7	3	1.6	5	2.6
	TOTAL	74	53	71.6	21	28.4	66	89.2	4	5.4	3	4.1	1	1.4
	18-20	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	1918	1418	73.9	500	26.1	1315	68.6	506	26.4	33	1.7	64	3.3
	31-40	171	121	70.8	50	29.2	109	63.7	50	29.2	6	3.5	6	3.5
	41-50	853	632	74.1	221	25.9	537	63.0	258	30.2	13	1.5	45	5.3

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	28	20	71.4	8	28.6	18	64.3	7	25.0	0	0.0	3	10.7
	18-20	246	182	74.0	64	26.0	94	38.2	94	38.2	15	6.1	43	17.5
	21-30	1528	1214	79.5	314	20.5	483	31.6	634	41.5	77	5.0	334	21.9
	31-40	840	681	81.1	159	18.9	279	33.2	319	38.0	48	5.7	194	23.1
	41-50	593	478	80.6	115	19.4	298	50.3	169	28.5	36	6.1	90	15.2
	51-60	318	237	74.5	81	25.5	208	65.4	58	18.2	24	7.5	28	8.8
	61-70	105	84	80.0	21	20.0	71	67.6	10	9.5	9	8.6	15	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	24	18	75.0	6	25.0	20	83.3	1	4.2	1	4.2	2	8.3
	TOTAL	3682	2914	79.1	768	20.9	1471	40.0	1292	35.1	210	5.7	709	19.3
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	5	21.7	17	73.9	1	4.3	0	0.0
	18-20	229	188	82.1	41	17.9	73	31.9	143	62.4	6	2.6	7	3.1
	21-30	1205	942	78.2	263	21.8	512	42.5	597	49.5	26	2.2	70	5.8
	31-40	521	414	79.5	107	20.5	212	40.7	273	52.4	19	3.6	17	3.3
	41-50	397	301	75.8	96	24.2	221	55.7	152	38.3	17	4.3	7	1.8
	51-60	257	188	73.2	69	26.8	173	67.3	64	24.9	11	4.3	9	3.5
	61-70	74	59	79.7	15	20.3	56	75.7	13	17.6	4	5.4	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	16	9	56.3	7	43.8	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2722	2119	77.8	603	22.2	1267	46.5	1260	46.3	84	3.1	111	4.1
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	44	27	61.4	17	38.6	18	40.9	18	40.9	2	4.5	6	13.6
	18-20	464	349	75.2	115	24.8	152	32.8	234	50.4	17	3.7	61	13.1
	21-30	3084	2349	76.2	735	23.8	807	26.2	1599	51.8	128	4.2	550	17.8
	31-40	1429	1149	80.4	280	19.6	356	24.9	749	52.4	58	4.1	266	18.6
	41-50	855	667	78.0	188	22.0	352	41.2	355	41.5	38	4.4	110	12.9
	51-60	431	327	75.9	104	24.1	226	52.4	126	29.2	17	3.9	62	14.4
	61-70	115	90	78.3	25	21.7	60	52.2	31	27.0	4	3.5	20	17.4
	71 & ABOVE	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	15	60.0	7	28.0	1	4.0	2	8.0
	TOTAL	6447	4979	77.2	1468	22.8	1986	30.8	3119	48.4	265	4.1	1077	16.7
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	9	56.3	4	25.0	1	6.3	2	12.5
	18-20	145	107	73.8	38	26.2	72	49.7	63	43.4	1	0.7	9	6.2
	21-30	703	535	76.1	168	23.9	345	49.1	309	44.0	14	2.0	35	5.0
	31-40	319	253	79.3	66	20.7	138	43.3	168	52.7	4	1.3	9	2.8
	41-50	224	152	67.9	72	32.1	150	67.0	61	27.2	6	2.7	7	3.1
	51-60	166	108	65.1	58	34.9	124	74.7	32	19.3	7	4.2	3	1.8
	61-70	50	38	76.0	12	24.0	37	74.0	9	18.0	0	0.0	4	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1630	1210	74.2	420	25.8	881	54.0	647	39.7	33	2.0	69	4.2

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	97	77	79.4	20	20.6	83	85.6	5	5.2	1	1.0	8	8.2
	21-30	470	332	70.6	138	29.4	386	82.1	32	6.8	7	1.5	45	9.6
	31-40	286	200	69.9	86	30.1	246	86.0	23	8.0	7	2.4	10	3.5
	41-50	287	176	61.3	111	38.7	256	89.2	15	5.2	5	1.7	11	3.8
	51-60	162	120	74.1	42	25.9	153	94.4	2	1.2	4	2.5	3	1.9
	61-70	52	38	73.1	14	26.9	49	94.2	1	1.9	1	1.9	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	14	82.4	1	5.9	2	11.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1380	961	69.6	419	30.4	1196	86.7	79	5.7	27	2.0	78	5.7
SIERRA	18-20	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	10	5	50.0	5	50.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	37	25	67.6	12	32.4	37	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	20	74.1	7	25.9	22	81.5	4	14.8	0	0.0	1	3.7
	21-30	146	115	78.8	31	21.2	119	81.5	12	8.2	5	3.4	10	6.8
	31-40	107	75	70.1	32	29.9	92	86.0	7	6.5	1	0.9	7	6.5
	41-50	100	77	77.0	23	23.0	84	84.0	5	5.0	4	4.0	7	7.0
	51-60	68	57	83.8	11	16.2	63	92.6	1	1.5	3	4.4	1	1.5
	61-70	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	22	84.6	1	3.8	3	11.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	480	372	77.5	108	22.5	406	84.6	30	6.3	17	3.5	27	5.6
SOLANO	UNDER 18	9	3	33.3	6	66.7	4	44.4	3	33.3	0	0.0	2	22.2
	18-20	141	103	73.0	38	27.0	69	48.9	42	29.8	16	11.3	14	9.9
	21-30	668	523	78.3	145	21.7	273	40.9	203	30.4	115	17.2	77	11.5
	31-40	363	284	78.2	79	21.8	132	36.4	96	26.4	104	28.7	31	8.5
	41-50	325	242	74.5	83	25.5	161	49.5	56	17.2	87	26.8	21	6.5
	51-60	162	132	81.5	30	18.5	86	53.1	15	9.3	52	32.1	9	5.6
	61-70	46	38	82.6	8	17.4	28	60.9	5	10.9	12	26.1	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1720	1330	77.3	390	22.7	758	44.1	420	24.4	387	22.5	155	9.0

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	17	68.0	7	28.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	18-20	203	148	72.9	55	27.1	114	56.2	75	36.9	2	1.0	12	5.9
	21-30	1234	945	76.6	289	23.4	660	53.5	471	38.2	38	3.1	65	5.3
	31-40	545	442	81.1	103	18.9	311	57.1	188	34.5	20	3.7	26	4.8
	41-50	532	392	73.7	140	26.3	384	72.2	111	20.9	9	1.7	28	5.3
	51-60	327	239	73.1	88	26.9	273	83.5	35	10.7	5	1.5	14	4.3
	61-70	97	71	73.2	26	26.8	82	84.5	8	8.2	4	4.1	3	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	26	19	73.1	7	26.9	23	88.5	0	0.0	1	3.8	2	7.7
	TOTAL	2989	2275	76.1	714	23.9	1864	62.4	895	29.9	79	2.6	151	5.1
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	9	60.0	4	26.7	2	13.3	0	0.0
	18-20	230	170	73.9	60	26.1	73	31.7	133	57.8	12	5.2	12	5.2
	21-30	1477	1121	75.9	356	24.1	510	34.5	782	52.9	57	3.9	128	8.7
	31-40	620	496	80.0	124	20.0	221	35.6	353	56.9	17	2.7	29	4.7
	41-50	473	353	74.6	120	25.4	228	48.2	191	40.4	34	7.2	20	4.2
	51-60	215	185	86.0	30	14.0	118	54.9	66	30.7	13	6.0	18	8.4
	61-70	68	60	88.2	8	11.8	34	50.0	24	35.3	3	4.4	7	10.3
	71 & ABOVE	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3108	2405	77.4	703	22.6	1201	38.6	1555	50.0	138	4.4	214	6.9
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	54	42	77.8	12	22.2	28	51.9	19	35.2	2	3.7	5	9.3
	21-30	193	150	77.7	43	22.3	103	53.4	67	34.7	7	3.6	16	8.3
	31-40	117	84	71.8	33	28.2	66	56.4	37	31.6	5	4.3	9	7.7
	41-50	119	87	73.1	32	26.9	81	68.1	26	21.8	3	2.5	9	7.6
	51-60	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	30	71.4	7	16.7	2	4.8	3	7.1
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	537	403	75.0	134	25.0	318	59.2	157	29.2	19	3.5	43	8.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	6	66.7	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	11.1
	18-20	36	31	86.1	5	13.9	27	75.0	7	19.4	1	2.8	1	2.8
	21-30	159	120	75.5	39	24.5	104	65.4	47	29.6	3	1.9	5	3.1
	31-40	117	81	69.2	36	30.8	92	78.6	20	17.1	0	0.0	5	4.3
	41-50	116	85	73.3	31	26.7	103	88.8	11	9.5	0	0.0	2	1.7
	51-60	89	62	69.7	27	30.3	81	91.0	6	6.7	0	0.0	2	2.2
	61-70	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	17	89.5	2	10.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	550	403	73.3	147	26.7	435	79.1	95	17.3	4	0.7	16	2.9

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
		MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1											
	18-20	12		0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	82		3	25.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	44		23	28.0	74	90.2	2	2.4	0	0.0	6	7.3
	41-50	70		13	29.5	40	90.9	1	2.3	2	4.5	1	2.3
	51-60	41		22	31.4	66	94.3	0	0.0	1	1.4	3	4.3
	61-70	10		10	24.4	40	97.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	5		0	0.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	265		71	26.8	248	93.6	3	1.1	3	1.1	11	4.2
TULARE	UNDER 18	28		2	7.1	9	32.1	19	67.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	365		72	19.7	77	21.1	274	75.1	7	1.9	7	1.9
	21-30	1738		291	16.7	377	21.7	1287	74.1	29	1.7	45	2.6
	31-40	924		150	16.2	204	22.1	679	73.5	21	2.3	20	2.2
	41-50	587		115	19.6	178	30.3	388	66.1	12	2.0	9	1.5
	51-60	245		29	11.8	103	42.0	126	51.4	10	4.1	6	2.4
	61-70	65		10	15.4	33	50.8	26	40.0	4	6.2	2	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	11		1	9.1	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3963		670	16.9	990	25.0	2801	70.7	83	2.1	89	2.2
TUOLUMNE	18-20	25		6	24.0	20	80.0	2	8.0	1	4.0	2	8.0
	21-30	110		33	30.0	99	90.0	9	8.2	0	0.0	2	1.8
	31-40	69		23	33.3	60	87.0	6	8.7	1	1.4	2	2.9
	41-50	80		43	53.8	74	92.5	5	6.3	0	0.0	1	1.2
	51-60	84		23	27.4	76	90.5	4	4.8	0	0.0	4	4.8
	61-70	23		6	26.1	21	91.3	2	8.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2		0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	393		134	34.1	352	89.6	28	7.1	2	0.5	11	2.8
VENTURA	UNDER 18	43		10	23.3	22	51.2	17	39.5	2	4.7	2	4.7
	18-20	374		92	24.6	167	44.7	181	48.4	7	1.9	19	5.1
	21-30	2034		456	22.4	782	38.4	1095	53.8	66	3.2	91	4.5
	31-40	1008		189	18.8	338	33.5	588	58.3	25	2.5	57	5.7
	41-50	754		208	27.6	384	50.9	309	41.0	26	3.4	35	4.6
	51-60	423		104	24.6	273	64.5	126	29.8	8	1.9	16	3.8
	61-70	117		35	29.9	80	68.4	32	27.4	2	1.7	3	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	22		4	18.2	13	59.1	7	31.8	0	0.0	2	9.1
	TOTAL	4775		1098	23.0	2059	43.1	2355	49.3	136	2.8	225	4.7

TABLE B1: 2010 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	8	53.3	6	40.0	0	0.0
	18-20	102	88	86.3	14	13.7	46	45.1	44	43.1	5	4.9
	21-30	444	341	76.8	103	23.2	195	43.9	193	43.5	20	4.5
	31-40	200	154	77.0	46	23.0	90	45.0	95	47.5	10	5.0
	41-50	140	102	72.9	38	27.1	83	59.3	41	29.3	6	4.3
	51-60	101	86	85.1	15	14.9	63	62.4	29	28.7	5	5.0
	61-70	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	15	57.7	6	23.1	3	11.5
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1030	805	78.2	225	21.8	502	48.7	414	40.2	49	4.8
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	18-20	35	28	80.0	7	20.0	23	65.7	8	22.9	2	5.7
	21-30	270	207	76.7	63	23.3	169	62.6	59	21.9	20	7.4
	31-40	139	108	77.7	31	22.3	84	60.4	43	30.9	4	2.9
	41-50	142	110	77.5	32	22.5	118	83.1	13	9.2	5	3.5
	51-60	72	49	68.1	23	31.9	59	81.9	6	8.3	3	4.2
	61-70	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	15	93.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	679	520	76.6	159	23.4	472	69.5	129	19.0	35	5.2
												6.3

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		161074	100.0	126988	78.8	34086	21.2
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	12	0.2	9	0.2	3	0.2
	18-20	310	5.7	231	5.5	79	6.2
	21-30	2279	41.7	1704	40.7	575	45.0
	31-40	1336	24.4	1071	25.6	265	20.8
	41-50	962	17.6	726	17.3	236	18.5
	51-60	445	8.1	349	8.3	96	7.5
	61-70	102	1.9	83	2.0	19	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.4	18	0.4	4	0.3
	TOTAL	5468	100.0	4191	100.0	1277	100.0
ALPINE	UNDER 18	1	5.0	1	7.1	0	0.0
	18-20	1	5.0	1	7.1	0	0.0
	21-30	8	40.0	5	35.7	3	50.0
	31-40	5	25.0	3	21.4	2	33.3
	41-50	3	15.0	3	21.4	0	0.0
	51-60	2	10.0	1	7.1	1	16.7
	TOTAL	20	100.0	14	100.0	6	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	14	7.7	12	8.6	2	4.5
	21-30	42	23.0	36	25.9	6	13.6
	31-40	40	21.9	27	19.4	13	29.5
	41-50	45	24.6	31	22.3	14	31.8
	51-60	26	14.2	22	15.8	4	9.1
	61-70	13	7.1	9	6.5	4	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.6	2	1.4	1	2.3
	TOTAL	183	100.0	139	100.0	44	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	8	0.5	7	0.6	1	0.3
	18-20	145	9.9	103	9.4	42	11.4
	21-30	577	39.5	435	39.8	142	38.6
	31-40	287	19.7	211	19.3	76	20.7
	41-50	265	18.2	194	17.8	71	19.3
	51-60	133	9.1	102	9.3	31	8.4
	61-70	35	2.4	32	2.9	3	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.7	8	0.7	2	0.5
	TOTAL	1460	100.0	1092	100.0	368	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	12	5.0	11	6.1	1	1.7
	21-30	86	36.1	63	35.2	23	39.0
	31-40	48	20.2	36	20.1	12	20.3
	41-50	42	17.6	27	15.1	15	25.4
	51-60	36	15.1	31	17.3	5	8.5
	61-70	10	4.2	7	3.9	3	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.7	4	2.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	238	100.0	179	100.0	59	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	4.2
	18-20	10	7.2	8	7.0	2	8.3
	21-30	51	37.0	43	37.7	8	33.3
	31-40	34	24.6	28	24.6	6	25.0
	41-50	24	17.4	20	17.5	4	16.7
	51-60	15	10.9	13	11.4	2	8.3
	61-70	3	2.2	2	1.8	1	4.2
	TOTAL	138	100.0	114	100.0	24	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	7	0.2	3	0.1	4	0.5
	18-20	247	7.2	196	7.5	51	6.3
	21-30	1411	41.1	1072	40.8	339	42.1
	31-40	716	20.8	561	21.3	155	19.2
	41-50	617	18.0	458	17.4	159	19.7
	51-60	323	9.4	252	9.6	71	8.8
	61-70	95	2.8	73	2.8	22	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.6	15	0.6	5	0.6
	TOTAL	3436	100.0	2630	100.0	806	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.7	1	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	11	7.2	6	5.9	5	9.8
	21-30	46	30.3	31	30.7	15	29.4
	31-40	28	18.4	19	18.8	9	17.6
	41-50	36	23.7	22	21.8	14	27.5
	51-60	21	13.8	14	13.9	7	13.7
	61-70	8	5.3	7	6.9	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	1	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	100.0	101	100.0	51	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	7	0.7	7	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	60	5.8	43	5.5	17	6.6
	21-30	342	33.1	274	35.3	68	26.6
	31-40	202	19.6	151	19.5	51	19.9
	41-50	232	22.5	163	21.0	69	27.0
	51-60	150	14.5	106	13.7	44	17.2
	61-70	33	3.2	27	3.5	6	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.6	5	0.6	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1032	100.0	776	100.0	256	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	25	0.5	23	0.6	2	0.2
	18-20	366	7.5	290	7.3	76	8.1
	21-30	2190	44.7	1752	44.2	438	46.6
	31-40	1108	22.6	908	22.9	200	21.3
	41-50	775	15.8	620	15.6	155	16.5
	51-60	339	6.9	284	7.2	55	5.9
	61-70	79	1.6	67	1.7	12	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.4	18	0.5	1	0.1
	TOTAL	4901	100.0	3962	100.0	939	100.0
GLENN	18-20	24	8.7	22	10.0	2	3.6
	21-30	94	34.1	79	35.7	15	27.3
	31-40	51	18.5	38	17.2	13	23.6
	41-50	65	23.6	50	22.6	15	27.3
	51-60	30	10.9	20	9.0	10	18.2
	61-70	10	3.6	10	4.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	2	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	276	100.0	221	100.0	55	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.4
	18-20	66	6.6	52	6.9	14	5.6
	21-30	408	40.5	302	40.0	106	42.1
	31-40	215	21.4	170	22.5	45	17.9
	41-50	178	17.7	130	17.2	48	19.0
	51-60	113	11.2	81	10.7	32	12.7
	61-70	20	2.0	14	1.9	6	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.5	5	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1007	100.0	755	100.0	252	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	62	7.7	51	7.5	11	8.7
	21-30	299	37.1	249	36.7	50	39.4
	31-40	197	24.4	162	23.9	35	27.6
	41-50	142	17.6	121	17.8	21	16.5
	51-60	82	10.2	77	11.3	5	3.9
	61-70	19	2.4	14	2.1	5	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.6	5	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	806	100.0	679	100.0	127	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	14	7.1	12	7.7	2	4.9
	21-30	61	31.1	46	29.7	15	36.6
	31-40	38	19.4	31	20.0	7	17.1
	41-50	43	21.9	31	20.0	12	29.3
	51-60	30	15.3	27	17.4	3	7.3
	61-70	6	3.1	5	3.2	1	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.5	2	1.3	1	2.4
	TOTAL	196	100.0	155	100.0	41	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	42	0.9	37	1.0	5	0.7
	18-20	400	9.0	344	9.3	56	7.7
	21-30	1917	43.1	1616	43.5	301	41.2
	31-40	973	21.9	817	22.0	156	21.3
	41-50	707	15.9	559	15.0	148	20.2
	51-60	301	6.8	247	6.6	54	7.4
	61-70	94	2.1	83	2.2	11	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.3	12	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4446	100.0	3715	100.0	731	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	7	0.6	6	0.7	1	0.5
	18-20	81	7.4	69	7.7	12	5.8
	21-30	487	44.4	401	45.0	86	41.7
	31-40	264	24.0	213	23.9	51	24.8
	41-50	165	15.0	125	14.0	40	19.4
	51-60	76	6.9	61	6.8	15	7.3
	61-70	14	1.3	13	1.5	1	0.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1098	100.0	892	100.0	206	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	24	6.6	21	7.6	3	3.6
	21-30	118	32.7	92	33.2	26	31.0
	31-40	55	15.2	42	15.2	13	15.5
	41-50	74	20.5	53	19.1	21	25.0
	51-60	63	17.5	46	16.6	17	20.2
	61-70	22	6.1	19	6.9	3	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.1	3	1.1	1	1.2
	TOTAL	361	100.0	277	100.0	84	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	6	3.5	3	2.3	3	7.7
	21-30	59	34.3	43	32.3	16	41.0
	31-40	38	22.1	30	22.6	8	20.5
	41-50	32	18.6	23	17.3	9	23.1
	51-60	27	15.7	24	18.0	3	7.7
	61-70	8	4.7	8	6.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	172	100.0	133	100.0	39	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	20	0.1	17	0.1	3	0.0
	18-20	1925	6.2	1431	5.8	494	8.0
	21-30	13232	42.8	10287	41.5	2945	47.8
	31-40	7701	24.9	6380	25.7	1321	21.4
	41-50	5134	16.6	4189	16.9	945	15.3
	51-60	2257	7.3	1898	7.7	359	5.8
	61-70	569	1.8	490	2.0	79	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	103	0.3	88	0.4	15	0.2
	TOTAL	30941	100.0	24780	100.0	6161	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	10	1.0	9	1.0	1	0.7
	18-20	86	8.5	81	9.3	5	3.7
	21-30	397	39.3	350	40.0	47	34.6
	31-40	251	24.8	221	25.3	30	22.1
	41-50	165	16.3	131	15.0	34	25.0
	51-60	80	7.9	62	7.1	18	13.2
	61-70	21	2.1	20	2.3	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1011	100.0	875	100.0	136	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	4	0.3	4	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	94	6.9	68	6.8	26	7.2
	21-30	452	33.3	339	34.1	113	31.2
	31-40	314	23.2	235	23.6	79	21.8
	41-50	238	17.6	169	17.0	69	19.1
	51-60	176	13.0	119	12.0	57	15.7
	61-70	65	4.8	50	5.0	15	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	13	1.0	10	1.0	3	0.8
	TOTAL	1356	100.0	994	100.0	362	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	6	6.7	3	4.9	3	10.7
	21-30	17	19.1	14	23.0	3	10.7
	31-40	23	25.8	18	29.5	5	17.9
	41-50	17	19.1	10	16.4	7	25.0
	51-60	19	21.3	10	16.4	9	32.1
	61-70	6	6.7	5	8.2	1	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.1	1	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	89	100.0	61	100.0	28	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	7	1.0	6	1.2	1	0.6
	18-20	55	8.2	43	8.5	12	7.5
	21-30	226	33.8	176	34.7	50	31.1
	31-40	159	23.8	123	24.3	36	22.4
	41-50	109	16.3	76	15.0	33	20.5
	51-60	87	13.0	63	12.4	24	14.9
	61-70	21	3.1	17	3.4	4	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.6	3	0.6	1	0.6
	TOTAL	668	100.0	507	100.0	161	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	12	0.8	8	0.6	4	1.5
	18-20	131	8.3	113	8.6	18	6.6
	21-30	705	44.6	587	44.9	118	43.1
	31-40	336	21.2	275	21.0	61	22.3
	41-50	233	14.7	179	13.7	54	19.7
	51-60	127	8.0	111	8.5	16	5.8
	61-70	30	1.9	27	2.1	3	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	8	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1582	100.0	1308	100.0	274	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	18-20	3	5.8	3	7.0	0	0.0
	21-30	16	30.8	14	32.6	2	22.2
	31-40	11	21.2	8	18.6	3	33.3
	41-50	11	21.2	9	20.9	2	22.2
	51-60	8	15.4	6	14.0	2	22.2
	61-70	3	5.8	3	7.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	52	100.0	43	100.0	9	100.0
MONO	18-20	4	3.3	4	3.7	0	0.0
	21-30	50	40.7	44	40.7	6	40.0
	31-40	31	25.2	28	25.9	3	20.0
	41-50	16	13.0	11	10.2	5	33.3
	51-60	15	12.2	14	13.0	1	6.7
	61-70	6	4.9	6	5.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	1	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	123	100.0	108	100.0	15	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	12	0.5	12	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	151	6.7	130	6.9	21	5.6
	21-30	1030	45.6	859	45.7	171	45.2
	31-40	519	23.0	447	23.8	72	19.0
	41-50	337	14.9	270	14.4	67	17.7
	51-60	155	6.9	117	6.2	38	10.1
	61-70	44	1.9	37	2.0	7	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	7	0.4	2	0.5
	TOTAL	2257	100.0	1879	100.0	378	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	6	0.5	5	0.5	1	0.4
	18-20	73	6.3	59	6.4	14	6.1
	21-30	464	40.0	382	41.1	82	35.7
	31-40	274	23.6	229	24.7	45	19.6
	41-50	201	17.3	158	17.0	43	18.7
	51-60	103	8.9	70	7.5	33	14.3
	61-70	32	2.8	21	2.3	11	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.5	5	0.5	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1159	100.0	929	100.0	230	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	4	0.7	3	0.7	1	0.7
	18-20	26	4.3	20	4.4	6	3.9
	21-30	187	31.0	152	33.6	35	23.0
	31-40	143	23.7	106	23.5	37	24.3
	41-50	130	21.5	91	20.1	39	25.7
	51-60	85	14.1	59	13.1	26	17.1
	61-70	23	3.8	16	3.5	7	4.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.0	5	1.1	1	0.7
	TOTAL	604	100.0	452	100.0	152	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	76	0.5	51	0.4	25	0.7
	18-20	1170	7.7	895	7.6	275	8.2
	21-30	6729	44.6	5176	44.0	1553	46.5
	31-40	3381	22.4	2721	23.1	660	19.7
	41-50	2358	15.6	1818	15.5	540	16.2
	51-60	1069	7.1	836	7.1	233	7.0
	61-70	267	1.8	219	1.9	48	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	53	0.4	44	0.4	9	0.3
	TOTAL	15103	100.0	11760	100.0	3343	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	11	0.6	7	0.5	4	0.8
	18-20	148	8.0	114	8.4	34	7.1
	21-30	753	40.9	566	41.5	187	39.3
	31-40	346	18.8	270	19.8	76	16.0
	41-50	330	17.9	223	16.3	107	22.5
	51-60	180	9.8	131	9.6	49	10.3
	61-70	60	3.3	44	3.2	16	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.7	10	0.7	3	0.6
	TOTAL	1841	100.0	1365	100.0	476	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	16	7.5	14	9.3	2	3.2
	21-30	64	30.0	44	29.3	20	31.7
	31-40	44	20.7	32	21.3	12	19.0
	41-50	42	19.7	25	16.7	17	27.0
	51-60	41	19.2	30	20.0	11	17.5
	61-70	5	2.3	4	2.7	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	213	100.0	150	100.0	63	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	21	0.2	17	0.2	4	0.2
	18-20	744	8.4	593	8.4	151	8.3
	21-30	3736	42.1	2945	41.7	791	43.6
	31-40	1880	21.2	1540	21.8	340	18.7
	41-50	1504	17.0	1157	16.4	347	19.1
	51-60	717	8.1	587	8.3	130	7.2
	61-70	213	2.4	175	2.5	38	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	54	0.6	41	0.6	13	0.7
	TOTAL	8869	100.0	7055	100.0	1814	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	32	0.5	30	0.6	2	0.1
	18-20	555	8.6	419	8.9	136	7.8
	21-30	2843	43.8	2025	42.8	818	46.7
	31-40	1420	21.9	1059	22.4	361	20.6
	41-50	1019	15.7	726	15.3	293	16.7
	51-60	490	7.6	366	7.7	124	7.1
	61-70	100	1.5	85	1.8	15	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.4	24	0.5	4	0.2
	TOTAL	6487	100.0	4734	100.0	1753	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	1.7
	18-20	37	9.9	28	8.9	9	15.5
	21-30	170	45.7	151	48.1	19	32.8
	31-40	77	20.7	67	21.3	10	17.2
	41-50	48	12.9	37	11.8	11	19.0
	51-60	31	8.3	23	7.3	8	13.8
	61-70	5	1.3	5	1.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.8	3	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	372	100.0	314	100.0	58	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	12	0.1	12	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	688	7.3	550	7.4	138	7.1
	21-30	4042	43.0	3187	42.8	855	43.7
	31-40	2020	21.5	1611	21.7	409	20.9
	41-50	1671	17.8	1291	17.4	380	19.4
	51-60	723	7.7	579	7.8	144	7.4
	61-70	201	2.1	173	2.3	28	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	40	0.4	37	0.5	3	0.2
	TOTAL	9397	100.0	7440	100.0	1957	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	66	0.5	47	0.4	19	0.6
	18-20	1047	7.3	785	7.1	262	8.1
	21-30	6557	45.9	5024	45.5	1533	47.2
	31-40	3035	21.2	2417	21.9	618	19.0
	41-50	2177	15.2	1653	15.0	524	16.1
	51-60	1092	7.6	857	7.8	235	7.2
	61-70	264	1.8	215	1.9	49	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	52	0.4	46	0.4	6	0.2
	TOTAL	14290	100.0	11044	100.0	3246	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	30	2.7	24	2.7	6	2.6
	21-30	485	43.9	373	42.6	112	48.5
	31-40	290	26.2	226	25.8	64	27.7
	41-50	192	17.4	153	17.5	39	16.9
	51-60	82	7.4	74	8.5	8	3.5
	61-70	18	1.6	16	1.8	2	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.6	7	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1106	100.0	875	100.0	231	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	10	0.3	8	0.3	2	0.3
	18-20	268	7.7	201	7.1	67	10.5
	21-30	1480	42.5	1209	42.5	271	42.4
	31-40	779	22.4	653	23.0	126	19.7
	41-50	578	16.6	460	16.2	118	18.5
	51-60	270	7.8	230	8.1	40	6.3
	61-70	80	2.3	68	2.4	12	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	14	0.5	3	0.5
	TOTAL	3482	100.0	2843	100.0	639	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	7	0.3	5	0.3	2	0.4
	18-20	228	11.2	175	11.7	53	9.9
	21-30	865	42.7	646	43.2	219	41.1
	31-40	369	18.2	276	18.5	93	17.4
	41-50	295	14.5	191	12.8	104	19.5
	51-60	207	10.2	162	10.8	45	8.4
	61-70	50	2.5	34	2.3	16	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.3	6	0.4	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2028	100.0	1495	100.0	533	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	22	0.7	19	0.8	3	0.5
	18-20	208	7.1	156	6.8	52	8.3
	21-30	1253	42.7	964	41.8	289	46.0
	31-40	665	22.7	569	24.7	96	15.3
	41-50	465	15.8	348	15.1	117	18.6
	51-60	220	7.5	173	7.5	47	7.5
	61-70	87	3.0	68	2.9	19	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.5	9	0.4	5	0.8
	TOTAL	2934	100.0	2306	100.0	628	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	12	0.4	12	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	288	10.3	240	10.5	48	9.3
	21-30	1272	45.5	1051	46.2	221	42.7
	31-40	537	19.2	463	20.3	74	14.3
	41-50	414	14.8	307	13.5	107	20.7
	51-60	200	7.2	150	6.6	50	9.7
	61-70	56	2.0	43	1.9	13	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.5	10	0.4	4	0.8
	TOTAL	2793	100.0	2276	100.0	517	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	28	0.4	24	0.5	4	0.3
	18-20	481	7.4	364	6.9	117	9.6
	21-30	3081	47.6	2474	47.1	607	49.6
	31-40	1438	22.2	1222	23.3	216	17.6
	41-50	902	13.9	733	14.0	169	13.8
	51-60	419	6.5	330	6.3	89	7.3
	61-70	108	1.7	88	1.7	20	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.3	14	0.3	3	0.2
	TOTAL	6474	100.0	5249	100.0	1225	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	9	0.7	9	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	120	10.0	87	9.1	33	13.5
	21-30	505	42.0	407	42.5	98	40.0
	31-40	239	19.9	195	20.4	44	18.0
	41-50	171	14.2	134	14.0	37	15.1
	51-60	124	10.3	96	10.0	28	11.4
	61-70	30	2.5	26	2.7	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.3	3	0.3	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1202	100.0	957	100.0	245	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	7	0.5	6	0.6	1	0.3
	18-20	116	8.8	81	8.6	35	9.3
	21-30	453	34.5	336	35.9	117	31.2
	31-40	272	20.7	189	20.2	83	22.1
	41-50	271	20.7	181	19.3	90	24.0
	51-60	139	10.6	101	10.8	38	10.1
	61-70	49	3.7	38	4.1	11	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.4	5	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1312	100.0	937	100.0	375	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	2	8.7	1	6.3	1	14.3
	21-30	7	30.4	6	37.5	1	14.3
	31-40	3	13.0	1	6.3	2	28.6
	41-50	6	26.1	5	31.3	1	14.3
	51-60	4	17.4	2	12.5	2	28.6
	61-70	1	4.3	1	6.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	23	100.0	16	100.0	7	100.0
SISKIYOU	18-20	25	9.2	22	10.3	3	5.1
	21-30	66	24.2	46	21.5	20	33.9
	31-40	56	20.5	44	20.6	12	20.3
	41-50	56	20.5	45	21.0	11	18.6
	51-60	53	19.4	43	20.1	10	16.9
	61-70	15	5.5	12	5.6	3	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	2	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	273	100.0	214	100.0	59	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	8	0.5	3	0.2	5	1.4
	18-20	112	7.1	92	7.6	20	5.7
	21-30	610	38.9	486	39.9	124	35.1
	31-40	357	22.7	263	21.6	94	26.6
	41-50	296	18.9	225	18.5	71	20.1
	51-60	137	8.7	110	9.0	27	7.6
	61-70	42	2.7	33	2.7	9	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	5	0.4	3	0.8
	TOTAL	1570	100.0	1217	100.0	353	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	24	0.8	12	0.5	12	1.8
	18-20	224	7.4	169	7.2	55	8.4
	21-30	1225	40.7	976	41.5	249	37.9
	31-40	655	21.8	537	22.8	118	18.0
	41-50	492	16.3	371	15.8	121	18.4
	51-60	278	9.2	205	8.7	73	11.1
	61-70	100	3.3	73	3.1	27	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.4	10	0.4	2	0.3
	TOTAL	3010	100.0	2353	100.0	657	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	10	0.4	8	0.4	2	0.4
	18-20	244	9.1	198	9.3	46	8.3
	21-30	1185	44.2	921	43.4	264	47.5
	31-40	562	21.0	458	21.6	104	18.7
	41-50	429	16.0	334	15.7	95	17.1
	51-60	190	7.1	153	7.2	37	6.7
	61-70	50	1.9	44	2.1	6	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.4	8	0.4	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2680	100.0	2124	100.0	556	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	0.5	1	0.3	1	1.0
	18-20	37	8.7	28	8.6	9	9.0
	21-30	176	41.3	134	41.1	42	42.0
	31-40	90	21.1	75	23.0	15	15.0
	41-50	71	16.7	50	15.3	21	21.0
	51-60	34	8.0	25	7.7	9	9.0
	61-70	13	3.1	10	3.1	3	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	426	100.0	326	100.0	100	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	3	0.8	3	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	28	7.4	19	6.2	9	12.9
	21-30	115	30.4	94	30.5	21	30.0
	31-40	79	20.9	70	22.7	9	12.9
	41-50	80	21.2	61	19.8	19	27.1
	51-60	48	12.7	37	12.0	11	15.7
	61-70	19	5.0	18	5.8	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.6	6	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	378	100.0	308	100.0	70	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	6	4.6	6	5.9	0	0.0
	21-30	39	29.8	32	31.4	7	24.1
	31-40	28	21.4	21	20.6	7	24.1
	41-50	33	25.2	26	25.5	7	24.1
	51-60	21	16.0	13	12.7	8	27.6
	61-70	4	3.1	4	3.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	131	100.0	102	100.0	29	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	11	0.4	11	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	278	9.6	232	9.5	46	10.3
	21-30	1235	42.7	1040	42.5	195	43.6
	31-40	697	24.1	601	24.6	96	21.5
	41-50	429	14.8	354	14.5	75	16.8
	51-60	188	6.5	160	6.5	28	6.3
	61-70	44	1.5	38	1.6	6	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.3	9	0.4	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2892	100.0	2445	100.0	447	100.0

TABLE B2: 2009 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	2	0.5	2	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	27	7.1	19	7.2	8	6.9
	21-30	125	32.8	92	34.7	33	28.4
	31-40	64	16.8	35	13.2	29	25.0
	41-50	90	23.6	60	22.6	30	25.9
	51-60	52	13.6	42	15.8	10	8.6
	61-70	17	4.5	13	4.9	4	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	2	0.8	2	1.7
	TOTAL	381	100.0	265	100.0	116	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	26	0.5	22	0.6	4	0.4
	18-20	410	8.6	316	8.5	94	9.1
	21-30	2013	42.4	1597	43.1	416	40.1
	31-40	994	20.9	819	22.1	175	16.9
	41-50	798	16.8	572	15.4	226	21.8
	51-60	391	8.2	293	7.9	98	9.4
	61-70	90	1.9	68	1.8	22	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.5	20	0.5	3	0.3
	TOTAL	4745	100.0	3707	100.0	1038	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	3	0.3	3	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	74	7.3	60	7.3	14	7.7
	21-30	457	45.4	383	46.5	74	40.4
	31-40	223	22.1	184	22.3	39	21.3
	41-50	152	15.1	112	13.6	40	21.9
	51-60	72	7.1	60	7.3	12	6.6
	61-70	23	2.3	19	2.3	4	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	3	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1007	100.0	824	100.0	183	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	29	6.9	25	7.7	4	4.1
	21-30	181	42.8	149	45.7	32	33.0
	31-40	81	19.1	60	18.4	21	21.6
	41-50	74	17.5	51	15.6	23	23.7
	51-60	40	9.5	25	7.7	15	15.5
	61-70	11	2.6	10	3.1	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.2	4	1.2	1	1.0
	TOTAL	423	100.0	326	100.0	97	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	77.2%		156851	4223	19802	3437	3141	1920	83	7
ALAMEDA		OAKLAND	89	17	0	0	9	0	123	45
		JUVOAKLAND	15	0	0	3	0	0	102	77
		ALAMEDA	79	0	6	2	3	3	46	0
		FREMONT	644	8	142	16	14	9	147	3
		PLEASANTON	1001	5	326	19	9	2	92	7
		OAKLAND	2168	14	388	71	18	40	78	2
		HAYWARD	1422	6	172	44	63	8	95	7
		TOTAL	5418	50	1034	155	116	62	92	5
		ALPINE	19	0	8	0	0	0	93	15
		JUV ALPINE	1	0	0	0	0	0	29	162
AMADOR		TOTAL	20	0	8	0	0	0	90	16
		JUV AMADOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	160	15
		JACKSON	176	6	30	8	7	4	60	5
BUTTE		TOTAL	177	6	30	8	7	4	60	5
		BUTTE	1406	43	291	56	23	16	95	15
		JUV BUTTE	8	0	0	0	0	0	119	6
		CHICO	2	0	0	0	1	8	27	71
		OROVILLE	1	0	0	0	0	13	33	18
		TOTAL	1417	43	291	56	24	37	95	15
		CALAVERAS	231	6	65	9	11	10	48	4
CALAVERAS		JUV CALAVERAS	1	0	0	0	0	0	397	49
		TOTAL	232	6	65	9	11	10	48	4
		JUV COLUSA	1	0	0	0	0	0	104	147
COLUSA		COLUSA	132	5	42	7	1	5	72	5
		TOTAL	133	5	42	7	1	5	72	5
		CONTRA COSTA	15	38	0	0	0	0	306	37
CONTRA COSTA		MARTINEZ	17	0	6	0	0	0	156	57
		CONCORD	11	1	0	0	1	13	85	21
		RICHMOND	635	21	156	0	9	14	120	13
		PITTSBURG	941	19	145	3	17	11	185	4
		WALNUT CREEK	1718	20	293	2	18	11	156	8
		TOTAL	3337	99	600	5	45	49	156	7
		DEL NORTE	145	7	46	3	6	11	71	98
		TOTAL	145	7	46	3	6	11	71	98
		SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	423	2	108	9	7	8	68	18
		PLACERVILLE	569	38	169	16	7	4	97	6
EI DORADO		TOTAL	992	40	277	25	14	12	84	9

¹The information on DUI conviction rates by county is not available in this report due to still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.²This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.³These dismissals were extracted from DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO		FRESNO	26	0	1	0	1	25	151	0
		JUV FRESNO	27	3	0	1	0	0	117	70
		FRESNO CENTRAL	3426	218	757	31	42	4	102	1
		CLOVIS	373	3	49	2	1	1	117	1
		COALINGA	130	6	22	2	1	0	109	1
		FIREBAUGH	160	3	36	2	0	0	135	1
		FOWLER	78	6	13	1	2	0	117	1
		KINGSBURG	74	1	5	0	1	0	101	1
		REEDLEY	257	8	20	2	2	2	94	1
		SUP SANGER	1	0	0	0	0	0	90	253
		SELMA	96	5	9	0	3	0	87	1
		TOTAL	4648	253	912	41	53	32	104	1
		GLENN	268	8	82	8	11	0	80	7
HUMBOLDT		TOTAL	268	8	82	8	11	0	80	7
		SUP HUMBOLDT	995	12	303	47	28	20	102	42
		TOTAL	995	12	303	47	28	20	102	42
IMPERIAL		BRAWLEY	152	0	21	20	5	66	152	76
		CALEXICO	314	1	72	77	2	0	153	15
		EL CENTRO	335	4	62	45	12	0	155	14
		TOTAL	801	5	155	142	20	66	153	17
		INYO	2	7	0	0	0	0	150	22
INYO		JUV TRAFFIC INYO	1	0	0	0	0	0	74	5
		BISHOP	185	1	45	10	2	3	80	2
		TOTAL	188	8	45	10	2	3	80	2
KERN		KERN	2	0	0	0	0	67	50	292
		JUV KERN	45	2	0	0	1	0	73	9
		LAMONT	342	16	60	9	10	0	25	1
		BAKERSFIELD	2565	69	390	60	23	25	26	15
		DELANO	341	27	22	4	6	3	27	4
		LAKE ISABELLA	77	0	19	12	4	1	47	1
		TAFT	190	4	18	3	2	4	46	5
		SHAFTER	304	9	24	1	7	3	25	1
		MOJAVE	295	10	66	21	6	5	41	0
		RIDGECREST	144	4	44	11	10	6	46	0
		TOTAL	4305	141	643	121	69	114	32	12
		JUV KINGS	7	0	0	0	1	0	107	5
		HANFORD	906	32	59	2	8	4	128	0
		AVENAL	63	0	2	0	0	0	103	0
		CORCORAN	86	4	3	0	0	1	145	0
		TOTAL	1062	36	64	2	9	5	128	0
KINGS										

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LAKE		LAKE	192	4	31	8	5	138	105	31
		CLEARLAKE	162	3	43	12	3	122	120	84
		TOTAL	354	7	74	20	8	260	112	56
LASSEN		JUV LASSEN	1	0	0	0	0	0	140	16
		SUSANVILLE	166	5	17	7	7	6	122	10
		TOTAL	167	5	17	7	7	6	123	10
LOS ANGELES		LOS ANGELES	70	36	1	0	3	0	153	8
		POMONA	24	14	0	0	0	0	114	5
		LANCASTER	11	11	0	0	0	0	154	6
		SAN FERNANDO	25	20	0	0	1	0	195	6
		PASADENA	8	5	0	0	0	0	375	5
		VAN NUYS	19	18	0	0	0	0	162	13
		LONG BEACH	8	7	0	1	0	0	113	10
		COMPTON	6	11	1	0	1	0	226	5
		NORWALK	23	12	0	0	0	0	131	6
		TORRANCE	19	10	0	0	2	0	214	10
		SANTA MONICA	13	6	0	0	0	0	181	10
		JUV LOS ANGELES	1	0	0	0	0	0	60	9
		JUV EASTLAKE	8	0	0	0	0	0	175	8
		L ANGELES AIRPRT	1351	16	206	71	39	0	95	26
		ALHAMBRA	789	16	21	6	14	2	94	5
		LANCASTER	1500	34	111	21	14	23	68	5
		BEVERLY HILLS	397	9	23	13	7	0	148	6
		BURBANK	288	1	25	8	2	4	43	10
		WEST COVINA	2051	31	173	5	30	3	88	6
		COMPTON	882	30	93	22	7	12	115	9
		DOWNEY	938	10	35	19	6	4	89	5
		EAST LOS ANGELES	1223	15	133	15	28	22	72	6
		EL MONTE	674	5	39	3	11	3	87	19
		GLENDALE	482	4	53	10	10	2	103	5
		INGLEWOOD	341	5	19	5	6	7	140	6
		LONG BEACH	1902	20	78	97	44	0	63	11
		LA METRO	6199	30	818	8	671	4	40	19
		BELLFLOWER	757	16	59	10	13	0	97	6
		SANTA CLARITA	1068	20	102	37	20	9	89	8
		PASADENA	751	12	216	105	32	2	92	5
		MALIBU	266	2	58	13	9	0	118	5
		POMONA	1307	21	38	11	13	15	89	6
		TORRANCE	1515	16	331	62	17	4	95	6
		WHITTIER	915	23	58	11	12	9	91	5
		HOLLYWOOD	115	0	5	7	10	1	60	6
		SAN FERNANDO	1808	25	185	125	38	4	34	10

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont)		SAN PEDRO	4	0	0	0	0	0	188	7
		VAN NUYS	2594	22	231	27	162	3	40	6
		WEST LOS ANGELES	3	1	0	0	0	0	141	83
		AVALON	7	2	0	0	0	0	91	9
		USDT LOS ANGELES	43	0	6	0	0	0	97	29
		TOTAL	30405	536	3118	712	1222	133	75	9
MADERA		MADERA	43	13	3	1	1	14	107	8
		JUV MADERA	11	2	3	0	0	0	114	16
		CHOWCHILLA	689	1	93	19	8	3	136	70
		MADERA CRIM	51	4	6	1	2	1	159	8
		BASS LAKE SIERRA	194	3	83	9	2	1	138	5
		TOTAL	988	23	188	30	13	19	137	20
MARIN		SAN RAFAEL	1341	15	0	1	30	18	58	25
		TOTAL	1341	15	0	1	30	18	58	25
MARIPOSA		SUP MARIPOSA	87	2	8	10	1	1	73	8
		TOTAL	87	2	8	10	1	1	73	8
MENDOCINO		SUP UKIAH	15	7	0	0	0	0	40	56
		JUV MENDOCINO	12	0	0	0	0	0	139	167
		WILLITS	99	3	16	6	0	0	89	137
		UKIAH	397	6	107	9	6	11	48	110
		POINT ARENA	2	0	0	0	0	0	104	28
		COVELO	15	0	1	0	0	0	55	43
		FORT BRAGG	108	4	26	3	5	0	56	57
		TOTAL	648	20	150	18	11	11	54	103
		MERCED JUV	1	0	0	0	0	0	108	2
		MERCED	1211	21	190	31	22	58	216	95
MERCED		LOS BANOS	346	3	69	17	5	4	108	93
		TOTAL	1558	24	259	48	27	62	195	95
		ALTURAS	52	0	16	0	1	21	66	9
MODOC		TOTAL	52	0	16	0	1	21	66	9
MONO		BRIDGEPORT	17	3	4	0	0	0	30	29
		MAMMOTH LAKES	103	0	18	5	1	1	72	14
		TOTAL	120	3	22	5	1	1	60	14
MONTEREY		MONTEREY	120	29	13	0	1	0	83	13
		JUV MONTEREY	13	2	0	0	0	0	120	147
		MARINA	11	0	0	0	8	4	39	16
		SALINAS	1705	7	306	35	19	14	40	14
		KING CITY	364	6	41	6	12	6	56	15
		TOTAL	2213	44	360	41	40	24	46	14
NAPA		NAPA	1101	58	101	4	11	11	60	5
		TOTAL	1101	58	101	4	11	11	60	5

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NEVADA		NEVADA	3	8	0	0	1	0	165	36
		JUV NEVADA	2	1	0	1	0	0	201	16
		JUV TRUCKEE	1	0	0	0	0	0	142	1
		NEVADA CITY	371	4	50	40	14	10	62	172
		TRUCKEE	210	4	52	4	2	2	52	3
		TOTAL	587	17	102	45	17	12	59	35
ORANGE		JUV ORANGE	104	4	0	1	1	0	131	11
		FULLERTON	3943	83	250	40	51	12	56	0
		WESTMINSTER	3515	80	251	35	28	12	118	0
		LAGUNA HILLS	1368	34	57	4	7	1	116	0
		NEWPORT BEACH	3131	73	175	11	17	35	110	0
		SANTA ANA	2686	82	110	31	13	10	90	0
		TOTAL	14747	356	843	122	117	70	95	0
		JUV PLACER	15	0	0	0	0	0	111	57
		JUV AUBURN	1	0	0	0	0	0	136	1
		ROSEVILLE	1585	73	162	5	15	44	87	42
PLUMAS		TAHOE CITY	164	3	58	4	0	0	67	2
		TOTAL	1765	76	220	9	15	44	84	36
		CHESTER	1	0	0	0	0	0	66	12
PLUMAS		QUINCY	208	4	8	50	4	0	52	14
		TOTAL	209	4	8	50	4	0	52	14
RIVERSIDE		RIVERSIDE	4102	136	89	43	34	25	100	5
		INDIO	59	23	1	0	1	17	115	6
		JUV RIVERSIDE	29	0	0	0	0	0	140	13
		JUV MURRIETA	4	0	0	0	0	0	149	10
		HEMET	3	0	0	0	0	3	46	2
		BANNING	463	1	25	8	8	7	99	1
		INDIO	1731	15	107	113	30	2	93	2
		BLYTHE	124	0	21	12	6	10	65	1
		MURRIETA	2149	20	101	42	12	4	87	1
		TEMECULA	10	0	0	0	7	3	119	22
		TOTAL	8674	195	344	218	98	71	96	3
		SACRAMENTO	149	105	0	0	1	0	122	7
		JUV SACRAMENTO	31	5	0	1	0	0	87	42
SACRAMENTO		SACRAMENTO CM	6111	80	532	6	79	5	48	12
		USDT SACRAMENTO	6	0	2	0	1	0	99	14
		TOTAL	6297	190	534	7	81	5	53	12
		SAN BENITO	363	7	29	2	5	7	80	15
SAN BENITO		JUV SAN BENITO	2	0	0	0	0	0	191	271
		TOTAL	365	7	29	2	5	7	80	15

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO		SAN BERNARDINO	50	62	0	0	1	29	130	57
		R CUCAMONGA	45	51	0	0	0	1	96	114
		VICTORVILLE	59	40	1	0	2	0	76	75
		BARSTOW	539	22	155	20	4	4	103	10
		JOSHUATREE	16	9	0	0	0	0	85	50
		JUV S BERNARDINO	5	1	0	1	1	0	125	36
		JUV R CUCAMONGA	1	0	0	1	0	0	212	13
		JUV VICTORVILLE	9	0	0	1	2	0	131	9
		CHINO	645	10	34	6	7	0	103	8
		SN SNBRNDN DIV	2447	27	285	119	118	3	135	5
		FONTANA	994	37	83	26	16	2	133	6
		VICTORVILLE	1505	8	202	64	20	26	153	8
		SUP R CUCAMONGA	2283	23	172	40	16	22	132	6
		BIG BEAR LAKE	88	1	8	2	2	4	92	7
		SUP NEEDLES	29	0	8	0	2	1	70	7
		JOSHUA TREE DIST	386	5	84	50	22	3	110	11
		TOTAL	9101	296	1032	330	213	95	130	7
SAN DIEGO		SAN DIEGO	126	108	1	0	0	42	126	5
		VISTA	11	151	1	0	0	81	85	15
		JUV SAN DIEGO	81	6	0	0	1	0	123	4
		EL CAJON	2877	89	397	61	25	17	61	22
		VISTA	4006	62	782	193	58	2	46	5
		VISTA	42	1	1	1	11	21	65	150
		KEARNY MESA	4780	4	1248	59	38	25	85	5
		CHULA VISTA	1879	66	203	43	9	4	84	13
		USDT SOUTH SD	1	0	0	0	0	0	854	11
		TOTAL	13803	487	2633	357	142	192	67	7
		SAN FRANCISCO	5	16	0	0	0	0	217	32
		JUV SAN FRAN	2	0	0	0	0	0	80	3
		TRAF SAN FRAN	1064	19	268	137	12	71	86	22
		USDT SAN FRAN	1	0	0	0	0	0	112	14
SAN JOAQUIN		TOTAL	1072	35	268	137	12	71	86	22
		JUV SAN JOAQUIN	18	0	0	2	1	0	228	36
		LODI	485	12	74	7	10	3	39	6
		MANTECA	408	12	118	6	3	2	55	2
		TRACY	434	13	169	19	3	5	43	2
		STOCKTON	2039	61	416	9	57	35	32	7
		TOTAL	3384	98	777	43	74	45	38	5
SAN LUIS OBISPO		JUV S LUIS OBISPO	16	0	0	0	0	0	123	4
		SAN LUIS OBISPO	1946	66	465	44	67	7	54	19
		TOTAL	1962	66	465	44	67	7	54	18

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO		SAN MATEO	34	55	0	0	3	0	147	41
		JUV SAN N MATEO	29	1	2	1	1	0	113	1
		SAN MATEO NORTH	8	0	0	0	3	0	74	2
		SO SAN FRANCISCO	1536	11	380	4	13	25	97	12
		REDWOOD CITY	1244	16	236	3	14	22	103	7
		TOTAL	2851	83	618	8	34	47	100	9
SANTA BARBARA		JUV SNTA BARBRA	1	0	0	0	0	0	88	132
		JUV SNTA MARIA WST	10	0	0	0	0	0	71	8
		SANTA BARBARA	1337	37	286	76	35	10	65	24
		SUP SANTA MARIA	1141	66	50	21	19	0	35	47
		LOMPOC	197	4	27	4	3	2	47	9
		TOTAL	2686	107	363	101	57	12	47	29
SANTA CLARA		SANTA CLARA	135	141	0	0	1	0	86	53
		JUV SANTA CLARA	37	0	0	0	0	0	151	29
		PALO ALTO	1027	4	138	16	6	0	89	13
		SAN JOSE	4400	36	291	86	48	6	56	7
		SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	23	0	0	0	1	0	110	0
		SAN MARTIN	670	1	65	1	12	2	72	4
SANTA CRUZ		TOTAL	6292	182	494	103	68	8	69	8
		SANTA CRUZ	14	4	0	0	0	8	122	44
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	9	1	4	0	0	0	68	13
		TRAF SANTA CRUZ	791	21	126	29	13	7	63	21
		WATSONVILLE	353	9	37	7	2	0	41	6
		TOTAL	1167	35	167	36	15	15	57	11
SHASTA		JUV SHASTA	8	2	2	0	0	0	78	22
		BURNEY	36	0	5	0	2	0	94	9
		REDDING	1197	68	167	11	32	21	68	13
		TOTAL	1241	70	174	11	34	21	69	13
		SIERRA	21	2	4	2	2	0	79	100
		TOTAL	21	2	4	2	2	0	79	100
SISKIYOU		WEED	137	0	47	10	6	0	90	7
		YREKA	127	9	57	8	8	10	89	7
		TOTAL	264	9	104	18	14	10	90	7
SOLANO		JUV SOLANO	10	1	0	0	0	0	113	6
		FAIRFIELD	1170	12	222	23	13	21	84	11
		VALLEJO	363	14	62	7	4	5	101	42
		TOTAL	1543	27	284	30	17	26	88	14
		SONOMA	2846	122	585	21	30	0	51	14
		JUV SONOMA	32	2	1	5	0	0	65	15
SONOMA		SANTA ROSA	8	0	0	0	2	38	89	144
		TOTAL	2886	124	586	26	32	38	51	14

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2009 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE ¹	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ²	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS ³	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STANISLAUS		STANISLAUS	2575	62	288	72	29	0	71	13
		JUV STANISLAUS	17	1	0	0	0	0	117	41
		MODESTO	24	0	0	0	2	16	75	2
		TURLOCK	1	0	0	0	1	1	112	3
		TOTAL	2617	63	288	72	32	17	71	13
SUTTER		YUBA CITY	413	13	107	3	6	1	53	20
		TOTAL	413	13	107	3	6	1	53	20
TEHAMA		TEHAMA	10	7	1	0	0	6	107	37
		JUV TEHAMA	3	4	0	0	0	0	91	6
		CORNING	154	0	23	5	3	2	58	7
		RED BLUFF	193	7	45	4	4	0	43	7
		TOTAL	360	18	69	9	7	8	51	7
		TOTAL	126	5	9	31	2	2	82	15
TRINITY		TOTAL	126	5	9	31	2	2	82	15
		TOTAL	126	5	9	31	2	2	82	15
TULARE		JUV VISALIA	10	0	0	0	0	0	164	6
		DINUBA	338	8	15	1	11	0	33	105
		PORTERVILLE	871	14	27	2	14	5	41	13
		TULARE	1506	1	76	7	62	2	63	21
		VISALIA DIV	108	36	0	2	5	6	130	27
		TOTAL	2833	59	118	12	92	21	50	25
		TOTAL	364	15	27	26	13	12	59	8
		TOTAL	2	0	0	0	0	0	120	64
TUOLUMNE		TOTAL	366	15	27	26	13	12	59	8
		TOTAL	366	15	27	26	13	12	59	8
VENTURA		VENTURA	4683	62	0	2	68	45	82	0
		TOTAL	4683	62	0	2	68	45	82	0
YOLO		YOLO	953	54	141	43	10	16	77	27
		TOTAL	953	54	141	43	10	16	77	27
YUBA		YUBA	408	12	84	5	3	5	85	11
		JUV YUBA	2	0	0	0	2	0	64	21
		USDT BEALE	1	0	0	0	0	0	48	82
		TOTAL	411	12	84	5	5	5	85	11

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS¹

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			161074	95.8	74.0		64.6	21.0						
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	1 ST DUI	1580	98.5	98.4		79.4	1.8						6.3
		2 ND DUI	524	99.4	99.4		10.1	59.0						0.3
		3 RD DUI	127	98.4	96.1		3.9	22.8						6.3
		4 TH +DUI	57	96.5	100.0		1.8	33.3						4.7
		TOTAL	2288	98.6	98.6		57.4	16.9						10.5
		1 ST DUI	13	100.0	0.0		61.5	0.0						2.2
		2 ND DUI	2	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0						0.0
		TOTAL	15	86.7	0.0		66.7	0.0						0.0
		1 ST DUI	63	100.0	96.8		85.7	1.6						0.0
		2 ND DUI	13	100.0	92.3		7.7	76.9						0.0
	JUV OAKLAND	3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0		0.0	50.0						0.0
		4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0		0.0	100.0						0.0
		TOTAL	79	100.0	96.2		69.6	16.5						0.0
		1 ST DUI	465	99.6	99.8		89.5	3.4						0.0
		2 ND DUI	143	98.6	98.6		11.2	79.7						0.2
		3 RD DUI	42	100.0	100.0		0.0	81.0						0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0		0.0	100.0						0.0
		TOTAL	652	99.4	99.5		66.3	25.5						0.0
		1 ST DUI	745	98.5	98.7		95.3	1.5						0.2
		2 ND DUI	216	99.5	99.5		12.5	84.3						5.2
PLEASANTON	HAYWARD	3 RD DUI	41	100.0	97.6		2.4	97.6						50.9
		4 TH +DUI	4	100.0	100.0		0.0	100.0						73.2
		TOTAL	1006	98.8	98.8		73.4	23.6						75.0
		1 ST DUI	974	98.9	98.8		87.2	2.6						18.1
		3 RD DUI	361	99.4	99.2		18.3	70.9						2.4
		3 RD DUI	84	98.8	98.8		10.7	82.1						51.5
		4 TH +DUI	9	100.0	88.9		0.0	88.9						53.6
		TOTAL	1428	99.0	98.8		64.7	25.1						55.6
		1 ST DUI	12	100.0	75.0		100.0	0.0						18.1
		2 ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0		40.0	60.0						0.0
ALPINE	ALPINE	3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0		0.0	100.0						40.0
		TOTAL	19	100.0	73.7		73.7	26.3						100.0
														21.1

¹ Entries represent percentages of 2009 DUI convicts receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status. Due to a law change, as of September 20, 2005, SB 1697, the percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions by county and offender status are no longer available in this table. Statewide information on these sanctions is provided in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
ALPINE (cont)	JUV ALPINE	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
AMADOR	JUV AMADOR	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	JACKSON	1 ST DUI	128	94.5	97.7	97.7	90.6		2.3		0.0		14.1
		2 ND DUI	39	89.7	97.4	97.4	7.7		82.1		0.0		59.0
		3 RD DUI	8	87.5	87.5	87.5	12.5		75.0		0.0		75.0
		4 TH +DUI	7	28.6	100.0	100.0	0.0		28.6		0.0		28.6
		TOTAL	182	90.7	97.3	97.3	65.9		23.6		0.0		26.9
		TOTAL	977	92.7	94.8	94.8	93.4		2.6		0.1		0.9
BUTTE	BUTTE	2 ND DUI	349	96.3	96.8	96.8	18.9		78.8		2.3		4.9
		3 RD DUI	90	80.0	97.8	97.8	6.7		53.3		28.9		47.8
		4 TH +DUI	33	78.8	84.8	84.8	0.0		51.5		30.3		66.7
		TOTAL	1449	92.5	95.2	95.2	68.0		25.2		3.1		6.3
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	JUV BUTTE	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	75.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	CHICO	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	OROVILLE	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	1 ST DUI	158	94.9	97.5	97.5	90.5		1.3		0.0		12.7
		2 ND DUI	64	100.0	100.0	100.0	39.1		56.3		0.0		56.3
		3 RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	100.0	9.1		72.7		0.0		45.5
		4 TH +DUI	4	75.0	100.0	100.0	0.0		50.0		25.0		25.0
		TOTAL	237	95.8	98.3	98.3	71.3		20.3		0.4		26.2
	JUV CALAVERAS	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
COLUSA	JUV COLUSA	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	COLUSA	1 ST DUI	90	95.6	100.0	100.0	83.3		4.4		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	34	82.4	100.0	100.0	26.5		64.7		0.0		0.0
		3 RD DUI	10	90.0	100.0	100.0	0.0		30.0		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	66.7	100.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	137	91.2	100.0	100.0	61.3		21.2		0.0		0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
						DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	1 ST DUI	12	75.0	100.0	50.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	12	75.0	83.3	0.0		41.7		0.0		0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	75.0	87.5	0.0		50.0		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	21	66.7	76.2	0.0		19.0		0.0		14.3
		TOTAL	53	71.7	84.9	11.3		24.5		0.0		5.7
		1 ST DUI	17	0.0	0.0	5.9		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	17	0.0	0.0	5.9		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	12	41.7	50.0	33.3		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	12	41.7	50.0	33.3		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	432	97.5	97.2	90.0		2.3		0.0		0.2
		2 ND DUI	165	98.2	98.8	10.9		76.4		0.0		6.7
		3 RD DUI	45	100.0	100.0	0.0		77.8		0.0		28.9
		4 TH +DUI	14	71.4	100.0	0.0		14.3		0.0		14.3
		TOTAL	656	97.3	97.9	62.0		26.4		0.0		4.1
		1 ST DUI	635	96.4	96.5	90.7		1.3		0.0		0.2
		2 ND DUI	243	97.1	98.4	18.1		60.9		0.0		2.9
PITTSBURG	PITTSBURG	3 RD DUI	65	93.8	98.5	1.5		60.0		0.0		10.8
		4 TH +DUI	17	82.4	100.0	0.0		47.1		0.0		23.5
		TOTAL	960	96.1	97.2	64.7		21.1		0.0		2.0
		1 ST DUI	1244	99.4	96.0	95.2		1.4		0.0		0.8
		2 ND DUI	388	98.2	98.2	11.3		82.0		0.0		24.0
		3 RD DUI	97	97.9	99.0	0.0		88.7		0.0		36.1
		4 TH +DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0		77.8		0.0		22.2
		TOTAL	1738	98.9	96.6	70.7		24.7		0.0		8.1
		1 ST DUI	100	94.0	98.0	89.0		2.0		0.0		2.0
		2 ND DUI	38	76.3	97.4	2.6		73.7		2.6		63.2
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	3 RD DUI	10	50.0	90.0	0.0		50.0		0.0		50.0
		4 TH +DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	152	84.2	97.4	59.2		23.0		0.7		20.4
		1 ST DUI	312	98.7	97.1	83.3		7.4		0.0		6.1
		2 ND DUI	85	97.6	96.5	24.7		67.1		0.0		18.8
		3 RD DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0		65.2		0.0		52.2
		4 TH +DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0		40.0		0.0		80.0
		TOTAL	425	98.1	96.9	66.1		22.8		0.0		12.0
		1 ST DUI	312	98.7	97.1	83.3		7.4		0.0		6.1
		2 ND DUI	85	97.6	96.5	24.7		67.1		0.0		18.8
		3 RD DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0		65.2		0.0		52.2
		4 TH +DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0		40.0		0.0		80.0
		TOTAL	425	98.1	96.9	66.1		22.8		0.0		12.0
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	1 ST DUI	312	98.7	97.1	83.3		7.4		0.0		6.1
		2 ND DUI	85	97.6	96.5	24.7		67.1		0.0		18.8
		3 RD DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0		65.2		0.0		52.2
		4 TH +DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0		40.0		0.0		80.0
		TOTAL	425	98.1	96.9	66.1		22.8		0.0		12.0
		1 ST DUI	312	98.7	97.1	83.3		7.4		0.0		6.1
		2 ND DUI	85	97.6	96.5	24.7		67.1		0.0		18.8
		3 RD DUI	23	91.3	100.0	0.0		65.2		0.0		52.2
		4 TH +DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0		40.0		0.0		80.0
		TOTAL	425	98.1	96.9	66.1		22.8		0.0		12.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
EL DORADO (cont)	PLACERVILLE	1 ST DUI	389	97.2	96.1	81.2	2.1	0.0	0.3
		2 ND DUI	146	97.9	97.3	13.7	71.2	0.0	11.6
		3 RD DUI	52	98.1	98.1	0.0	67.3	0.0	17.3
		4 TH +DUI	20	60.0	95.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	15.0
		TOTAL	607	96.2	96.5	55.4	25.7	0.0	4.9
FRESNO	FRESNO	1 ST DUI	20	100.0	95.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3
	JUV FRESNO	3 RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	100.0	96.2	73.1	19.2	0.0	3.8
	FRESNO CENTRAL	1 ST DUI	30	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	2417	94.9	97.8	91.9	2.2	0.0	0.6
		2 ND DUI	885	94.8	99.7	12.8	81.0	0.1	6.0
		3 RD DUI	233	89.7	99.6	2.1	81.5	0.0	6.0
		4 TH +DUI	109	50.5	100.0	5.5	28.4	1.8	2.8
		TOTAL	3644	93.2	98.4	64.4	27.2	0.1	2.3
		1 ST DUI	268	95.5	96.3	93.3	0.4	0.0	0.4
	CLOVIS	2 ND DUI	86	98.8	100.0	16.3	86.0	0.0	8.1
		3 RD DUI	18	100.0	100.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	22.2
		4 TH +DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	376	96.3	97.3	70.7	24.7	0.0	3.5
	COALINGA	1 ST DUI	87	95.4	94.3	90.8	1.1	0.0	1.1
		2 ND DUI	35	100.0	97.1	25.7	71.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	90.9	90.9	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	136	95.6	94.9	64.7	25.7	0.0	0.7
		1 ST DUI	104	96.2	96.2	92.3	1.0	0.0	0.0
	FIREBAUGH	2 ND DUI	44	97.7	100.0	18.2	79.5	0.0	29.5
		3 RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	163	96.9	97.5	63.8	28.8	0.0	10.4
	FOWLER	1 ST DUI	57	89.5	98.2	84.2	3.5	0.0	5.3
		2 ND DUI	18	94.4	100.0	11.1	77.8	0.0	38.9
		3 RD DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		4 TH +DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	84	86.9	98.8	59.5	25.0	0.0	14.3

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO (cont)	KINGSBURG	1 ST DUI	52	94.2	98.1	90.4	1.9	0.0	9.6
		2 ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	17.6
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	75	96.0	98.7	64.0	29.3	0.0	10.7
	REEDLEY	1 ST DUI	174	96.6	99.4	92.0	2.3	0.0	2.9
		2 ND DUI	71	94.4	100.0	11.3	81.7	0.0	14.1
		3 RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	80.0	0.0	13.3
		4 TH +DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	265	94.7	99.6	63.8	27.9	0.0	6.4
	SUP SANGER	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SELMA	1 ST DUI	70	91.4	92.9	92.9	4.3	0.0	8.6
		2 ND DUI	20	90.0	100.0	25.0	70.0	0.0	20.0
		3 RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	71.4
		4 TH +DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	101	88.1	95.0	69.3	21.8	0.0	14.9
	GLENN	1 ST DUI	184	97.8	23.9	41.8	0.5	0.0	1.1
		2 ND DUI	68	91.2	85.3	11.8	29.4	0.0	1.5
		3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	10.0
		4 TH +DUI	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	TOTAL	276	95.3	45.7	30.8	11.2	0.0	2.2
		1 ST DUI	708	97.7	38.3	80.6	0.8	0.0	4.8
		2 ND DUI	221	95.9	79.6	16.3	19.0	0.0	49.8
		3 RD DUI	64	96.9	92.2	6.3	23.4	0.0	64.1
		4 TH +DUI	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	7.1	7.1	42.9
		TOTAL	1007	97.0	51.6	60.7	6.4	0.1	19.0
	BRAWLEY	1 ST DUI	110	95.5	11.8	59.1	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	34	97.1	61.8	26.5	44.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	152	94.7	26.3	48.7	14.5	0.0	0.0
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	1 ST DUI	247	94.7	8.9	60.3	0.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	57	93.0	59.6	24.6	45.6	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	75.0	75.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	66.7	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	315	93.7	20.0	52.4	10.2	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
						DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	
IMPERIAL (cont)	EL CENTRO	1 st DUI	246	94.7	17.9	82.1		1.2		0.0		0.0
		2 nd DUI	66	90.9	71.2	15.2		71.2		0.0		0.0
		3 rd DUI	20	90.0	80.0	5.0		45.0		0.0		5.0
		4 th +DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0		28.6		14.3		0.0
		TOTAL	339	93.8	33.3	62.8		18.0		0.3		0.3
INYO	INYO	1 st DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0		50.0		0.0		0.0
		2 nd DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		3 rd DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		4 th +DUI	5	20.0	80.0	0.0		20.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	9	44.4	66.7	22.2		22.2		0.0		0.0
	JUV TRAFFIC INYO	1 st DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 st DUI	129	97.7	40.3	89.9		4.7		0.0		0.0
		2 nd DUI	43	95.3	81.4	18.6		72.1		7.0		2.3
		3 rd DUI	13	92.3	100.0	7.7		84.6		0.0		46.2
	BISHOP	4 th +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	186	96.8	54.3	67.2		26.3		1.6		3.8
	KERN	3 rd DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0
		4 th +DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		100.0		0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0		50.0		50.0		0.0
	JUV KERN	1 st DUI	46	95.7	0.0	76.1		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 nd DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	47	95.7	0.0	74.5		0.0		0.0		0.0
	LAMONT	1 st DUI	232	97.8	98.7	75.9		4.7		0.0		0.0
		2 nd DUI	87	90.8	97.7	18.4		46.0		0.0		1.1
		3 rd DUI	31	93.5	100.0	3.2		45.2		0.0		3.2
		4 th +DUI	8	37.5	100.0	12.5		25.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	358	94.4	98.6	54.2		18.7		0.0		0.6
	BAKERSFIELD	1 st DUI	1856	94.3	98.5	67.8		0.1		0.1		1.1
		2 nd DUI	560	93.9	99.1	8.4		0.0		0.4		12.7
		3 rd DUI	159	89.3	100.0	2.5		0.6		0.0		35.8
		4 th +DUI	59	45.8	100.0	0.0		0.0		6.8		6.8
		TOTAL	2634	92.9	98.8	49.7		0.1		0.3		5.8

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
						DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	
KERN (cont)	DELANO	1 ST DUI	267	97.0	95.5	80.9		5.6		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	72	97.2	100.0	12.5		73.6		0.0		0.0
		3 RD DUI	20	90.0	100.0	10.0		45.0		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0		11.1		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	368	95.7	96.7	61.7		21.2		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	51	98.0	98.0	74.5		2.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	21	100.0	100.0	38.1		4.8		0.0		4.8
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	77	98.7	98.7	61.0		2.6		0.0		1.3
		1 ST DUI	130	96.2	100.0	48.5		1.5		0.0		3.8
		2 ND DUI	40	92.5	97.5	17.5		32.5		0.0		42.5
		3 RD DUI	19	100.0	100.0	5.3		5.3		0.0		57.9
	TAFT	4 TH +DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		40.0
		TOTAL	194	95.4	99.5	36.6		8.2		0.0		18.0
		1 ST DUI	230	95.2	99.1	78.3		6.5		0.0		0.4
		2 ND DUI	66	97.0	100.0	10.6		71.2		0.0		3.0
		3 RD DUI	13	76.9	100.0	0.0		53.8		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0		25.0		0.0		0.0
	SHAFTER	TOTAL	313	94.2	99.4	59.7		22.4		0.0		1.0
		1 ST DUI	217	97.2	98.6	75.6		0.9		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	65	98.5	100.0	3.1		52.3		0.0		15.4
		3 RD DUI	20	90.0	100.0	5.0		15.0		0.0		15.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		33.3
		TOTAL	305	96.4	99.0	54.8		12.8		0.0		4.6
	MOJAVE	1 ST DUI	114	100.0	97.4	79.8		0.9		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	26	96.2	100.0	30.8		19.2		0.0		3.8
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	40.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	148	99.3	98.0	68.2		4.1		0.0		0.7
		1 ST DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	RIDGECREST	2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
KINGS	JUV KINGS	2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%		
KINGS (cont)	HANFORD	1 ST DUI	654	93.0	98.8	77.4	3.5	0.0	6.6					
		2 ND DUI	199	86.9	98.0	9.0	67.8	0.0	36.7					
		3 RD DUI	59	76.3	98.3	1.7	66.1	0.0	40.7					
		4 TH +DUI	26	23.1	100.0	3.8	30.8	0.0	26.9					
	TOTAL	938	88.7	98.6	56.1	21.9	0.0	15.7						
	AVENAL	1 ST DUI	42	97.6	100.0	85.7	2.4	0.0	31.0					
		2 ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	18.8	75.0	0.0	43.8					
		3 RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0					
		TOTAL	63	96.8	98.4	61.9	25.4	0.0	36.5					
	CORCORAN	1 ST DUI	58	94.8	96.6	86.2	1.7	0.0	10.3					
		2 ND DUI	22	100.0	100.0	9.1	81.8	0.0	36.4					
		3 RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0					
4 TH +DUI		2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						
TOTAL	90	93.3	97.8	57.8	27.8	0.0	22.2							
LAKE	LAKE	1 ST DUI	123	95.9	41.5	73.2	1.6	0.0	1.6					
		2 ND DUI	59	91.5	76.3	22.0	57.6	0.0	6.8					
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	9.1					
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	TOTAL	196	93.9	56.1	52.6	22.4	0.0	3.6						
	CLEARLAKE	1 ST DUI	111	91.9	47.7	73.9	1.8	0.0	1.8					
		2 ND DUI	42	97.6	73.8	26.2	40.5	0.0	11.9					
		3 RD DUI	11	81.8	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	9.1					
		4 TH +DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	TOTAL	165	92.1	58.2	56.4	14.5	0.0	4.8						
	LASSEN	JUV LASSEN	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
			TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
SUSANVILLE		1 ST DUI	125	93.6	93.6	84.8	0.8	0.0	0.0					
		2 ND DUI	34	91.2	97.1	41.2	41.2	0.0	2.9					
		3 RD DUI	8	100.0	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0					
		4 TH +DUI	4	75.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	50.0					
TOTAL		171	93.0	94.2	71.9	11.7	0.0	1.8						
LOS ANGELES		1 ST DUI	51	58.8	92.2	33.3	2.0	0.0	0.0					
	2 ND DUI	18	55.6	100.0	11.1	27.8	0.0	0.0						
	3 RD DUI	15	20.0	100.0	0.0	13.3	6.7	0.0						
	4 TH +DUI	22	27.3	100.0	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0						
	TOTAL	106	46.2	96.2	17.9	9.4	2.8	0.0						

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	POMONA	1 ST DUI	1014	95.8	17.6	93.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	258	96.1	84.9	13.6	81.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	60	86.7	95.0	3.3	76.7	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	34	44.1	97.1	0.0	35.3	32.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	LANCASTER	TOTAL	1366	94.1	35.7	72.0	21.4	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1157	94.5	79.7	84.5	2.2	0.3	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	
		2 ND DUI	315	92.1	93.0	13.0	73.0	1.6	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	
		3 RD DUI	67	80.6	95.5	3.0	50.7	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	
	SAN FERNANDO	4 TH +DUI	17	23.5	100.0	0.0	17.6	5.9	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8	
		TOTAL	1556	92.6	83.3	65.6	18.8	1.4	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
		1 ST DUI	1367	97.1	26.8	71.8	5.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	403	94.3	89.6	11.2	61.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	PASADENA	3 RD DUI	83	84.3	97.6	2.4	45.8	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	25	32.0	100.0	4.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1878	95.1	44.4	54.8	19.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	610	96.9	48.4	89.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	VAN NUYS	2 ND DUI	128	96.9	80.5	17.2	72.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	29	89.7	89.7	0.0	51.7	17.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	776	96.4	55.8	73.5	15.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	LONG BEACH	1 ST DUI	2038	98.2	35.1	91.5	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	489	96.7	92.0	10.6	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	106	90.6	98.1	4.7	40.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	20	30.0	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	COMPTON	TOTAL	2653	97.1	48.6	72.4	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1498	97.7	39.3	91.7	2.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	351	97.2	88.0	10.3	82.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	76	85.5	97.4	1.3	55.3	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	COMPTON	4 TH +DUI	12	16.7	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1937	96.6	50.7	72.8	18.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	712	96.3	49.4	89.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	169	94.1	84.6	25.4	62.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	COMPTON	3 RD DUI	34	88.2	88.2	8.8	73.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	14	35.7	100.0	7.1	14.3	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	929	94.7	58.0	73.3	16.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	NORWALK	1 ST DUI	20	45.0	85.0		15.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	10	10.0	90.0		0.0		10.0		0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
	TORRANCE	TOTAL	35	31.4	88.6		8.6		2.9		0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1167	97.4	32.0		92.3		2.1		0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	319	99.1	89.0		14.1		83.4		0.6	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	54	88.9	94.4		1.9		57.4		22.2	0.0	
	SANTA MONICA	4 TH +DUI	20	45.0	100.0		5.0		20.0		0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1560	96.8	46.7		72.1		20.8		0.9	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	13	92.3	53.8		69.2		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
	JUV LOS ANGELES	3 RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0		50.0		50.0		0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	1	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	19	78.9	63.2		52.6		5.3		0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
	JUV EASTLAKE	TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	8	100.0	0.0		12.5		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0		12.5		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1050	98.4	12.4		92.2		2.6		0.1	0.0	
	LOS ANGELES AIRPORT	2 ND DUI	260	96.9	83.8		11.9		79.2		0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	45	91.1	93.3		6.7		46.7		4.4	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	12	50.0	100.0		0.0		8.3		8.3	0.0	
		TOTAL	1367	97.4	29.4		73.3		18.7		0.3	0.0	
	ALHAMBRA	1 ST DUI	642	97.4	42.2		92.1		1.7		0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	126	97.6	89.7		11.9		82.5		0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	30	96.7	100.0		0.0		73.3		16.7	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	7	71.4	100.0		0.0		28.6		28.6	0.0	
	BEVERLY HILLS	TOTAL	805	97.1	52.3		75.3		17.3		0.9	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	351	98.9	17.9		94.0		2.3		0.3	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	45	100.0	91.1		6.7		93.3		0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0		0.0		87.5		0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	2	50.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	406	98.8	28.1		82.0		14.0		0.2	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BURBANK	1 ST DUI	222	94.6	38.3	77.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	49	98.0	89.8	10.2	77.6	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEST COVINA	TOTAL	289	94.8	50.9	61.2	18.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1608	97.1	18.5	94.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
		2 ND DUI	398	97.5	88.9	13.6	81.7	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0	8.8
		3 RD DUI	63	85.7	98.4	0.0	68.3	14.3	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1
	DOWNEY	4 TH +DUI	13	30.8	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7
		TOTAL	2082	96.4	34.9	75.3	19.1	0.4	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.5
		1 ST DUI	746	97.7	16.2	91.4	2.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
		2 ND DUI	164	98.2	82.3	17.7	78.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6
	EAST LOS ANGELES	3 RD DUI	37	94.6	100.0	0.0	64.9	24.3	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.7
		4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	948	97.7	31.0	75.0	17.7	1.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
		1 ST DUI	963	97.4	34.9	93.4	2.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL MONTE	2 ND DUI	222	97.3	84.7	20.3	73.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	44	95.5	100.0	2.3	77.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1238	96.9	46.6	76.3	17.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	1 ST DUI	547	96.7	34.9	78.6	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	111	98.2	92.8	8.1	72.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	17	100.0	100.0	0.0	88.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	INGLEWOOD	TOTAL	679	96.8	46.4	64.7	17.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	381	98.2	8.7	92.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	83	95.2	80.7	21.7	72.3	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.2
		3 RD DUI	21	95.2	95.2	4.8	47.6	19.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3
	TOTAL	4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	486	97.5	24.9	76.3	15.0	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.8
		1 ST DUI	264	95.5	39.4	84.1	2.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	64	95.3	79.7	23.4	60.9	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	3 RD DUI	16	81.3	93.8	6.3	43.8	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	346	94.8	49.7	68.8	15.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL										

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	LA METRO	1 ST DUI	4971	97.8	97.8	35.6	92.5	92.5	4.0	4.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
		2 ND DUI	1044	97.3	97.3	93.6	8.3	8.3	84.9	84.9	0.2	0.2	3.3
		3 RD DUI	191	93.7	93.7	99.5	1.0	1.0	78.5	78.5	3.7	3.7	6.3
		4 TH +DUI	23	34.8	34.8	100.0	8.7	8.7	13.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6229	97.3	97.3	47.5	75.2	75.2	19.9	19.9	0.2	0.2	0.8
	BELLFLOWER	1 ST DUI	587	98.1	98.1	13.6	72.9	72.9	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	152	98.0	98.0	88.8	8.6	8.6	62.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	29	89.7	89.7	86.2	3.4	3.4	58.6	58.6	6.9	6.9	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	5	40.0	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	773	97.4	97.4	31.7	57.2	57.2	15.4	15.4	0.3	0.3	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	1 ST DUI	831	98.0	98.0	26.1	60.9	60.9	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	201	93.5	93.5	86.6	8.5	8.5	56.7	56.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	49	89.8	89.8	100.0	2.0	2.0	59.2	59.2	2.0	2.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	7	14.3	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1088	96.2	96.2	41.1	48.2	48.2	16.1	16.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
	MALIBU	1 ST DUI	206	98.1	98.1	5.8	91.3	91.3	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	44	100.0	100.0	79.5	15.9	15.9	70.5	70.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	16	100.0	100.0	93.8	0.0	0.0	37.5	37.5	43.8	43.8	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	268	98.5	98.5	23.9	72.8	72.8	15.3	15.3	2.6	2.6	0.0
	WHITTIER	1 ST DUI	729	96.4	96.4	12.2	94.4	94.4	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.4
		2 ND DUI	175	94.3	94.3	76.0	21.7	21.7	70.3	70.3	0.0	0.0	2.3
		3 RD DUI	29	93.1	93.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	86.2	86.2	3.4	3.4	17.2
		4 TH +DUI	5	80.0	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	40.0
		TOTAL	938	95.8	95.8	27.3	77.4	77.4	16.6	16.6	0.2	0.2	1.5
	HOLLYWOOD	1 ST DUI	93	100.0	100.0	16.1	73.1	73.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	20	95.0	95.0	80.0	20.0	20.0	65.0	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	115	99.1	99.1	28.7	62.6	62.6	13.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN PEDRO	1 ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEST LOS ANGELES	1 ST DUI	4	50.0	50.0	25.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	50.0	25.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	AVALON	1 ST DUI	8	87.5	87.5	37.5	87.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	88.9	44.4	77.8	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	US DISTRICT LA	1 ST DUI	34	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	43	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	MADERA	1 ST DUI	31	87.1	93.5	58.1	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	8	62.5	87.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	9	77.8	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	82.1	94.6	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV MADERA	1 ST DUI	13	30.8	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	30.8	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	487	97.1	97.9	91.4	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	2 ND DUI	149	97.3	99.3	24.2	69.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	47	91.5	93.6	6.4	74.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MADERA CRIM DIV	TOTAL	690	96.7	98.0	70.1	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	35	100.0	100.0	82.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	11	81.8	90.9	27.3	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BASS LAKE	TOTAL	55	94.5	98.2	58.2	23.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	124	97.6	89.5	90.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	51	98.0	90.2	27.5	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	17	94.1	100.0	5.9	88.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9
		4 TH +DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	TOTAL	197	97.5	90.9	64.5	26.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1065	98.3	18.6	83.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
		2 ND DUI	230	99.6	90.9	7.0	76.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.2
		3 RD DUI	42	100.0	97.6	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9
		4 TH +DUI	19	78.9	94.7	5.3	47.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.1
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	TOTAL	1356	98.3	34.4	67.0	15.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9
		1 ST DUI	62	100.0	93.5	79.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2
		2 ND DUI	22	95.5	95.5	27.3	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	TOTAL	89	95.5	94.4	61.8	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1 ST DUI	5	60.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
	JUV MENDOCINO	TOTAL	22	68.2	100.0	4.5	18.2	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	55	100.0	96.4	94.5	1.8	0.0	1.8
	WILLITS	2 ND DUI	31	96.8	96.8	12.9	77.4	0.0	38.7
		3 RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	46.2
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	102	97.1	97.1	54.9	35.3	0.0	18.6
	UKIAH	1 ST DUI	260	95.8	95.8	91.9	1.5	0.0	1.2
		2 ND DUI	99	93.9	97.0	11.1	78.8	0.0	61.6
		3 RD DUI	41	90.2	95.1	4.9	82.9	0.0	80.5
		4 TH +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
	POINT ARENA	TOTAL	403	94.8	96.0	62.5	29.5	0.0	24.3
		1 ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	10	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	COVELO	2 ND DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	100.0	73.3	26.7	0.0	20.0
		1 ST DUI	76	97.4	97.4	85.5	1.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	27	100.0	96.3	18.5	55.6	0.0	66.7
	FORT BRAGG	3 RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	62.5	0.0	87.5
		4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	112	98.2	97.3	63.4	18.8	0.0	22.3
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	1 ST DUI	836	86.6	95.1	71.4	3.3	0.2	0.0
		2 ND DUI	285	87.0	99.3	22.1	63.2	1.1	0.0
		3 RD DUI	86	89.5	97.7	4.7	74.4	3.5	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	25	60.0	92.0	0.0	20.0	8.0	12.0
		TOTAL	1232	86.4	96.2	53.9	22.5	0.8	0.2

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
MERCED (cont)	LOS BANOS	1 ST DUI	235	86.8		93.2	56.2		1.7		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	83	78.3		97.6	27.7		63.9		0.0		9.6
		3 RD DUI	21	100.0		100.0	9.5		38.1		0.0		28.6
		4 TH +DUI	10	40.0		100.0	0.0		30.0		0.0		10.0
		TOTAL	349	84.2		94.8	45.0		19.5		0.0		4.3
MODOC	ALTURAS	1 ST DUI	41	92.7		51.2	43.9		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	8	62.5		75.0	12.5		0.0		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3		66.7	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	52	84.6		55.8	36.5		0.0		0.0		0.0
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1 ST DUI	12	100.0		91.7	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	5	100.0		80.0	20.0		80.0		0.0		20.0
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0		100.0	0.0		50.0		0.0		0.0
		4 TH +DUI	1	0.0		100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0		90.0	65.0		25.0		0.0		5.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1 ST DUI	76	98.7		52.6	93.4		1.3		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	17	100.0		70.6	35.3		58.8		0.0		5.9
		3 RD DUI	10	100.0		100.0	0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	103	99.0		60.2	74.8		20.4		0.0		1.0
		TOTAL	70	84.3		98.6	52.9		4.3		0.0		11.4
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	2 ND DUI	32	81.3		100.0	9.4		62.5		0.0		46.9
		3 RD DUI	15	66.7		100.0	0.0		60.0		0.0		53.3
		4 TH +DUI	32	71.9		100.0	0.0		62.5		0.0		46.9
		TOTAL	149	79.2		99.3	26.8		34.9		0.0		30.9
		1 ST DUI	15	100.0		0.0	40.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	JUV MONTEREY	TOTAL	15	100.0		0.0	40.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	10	50.0		30.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		10.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0		100.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	MARINA	TOTAL	11	54.5		36.4	9.1		0.0		0.0		9.1
		1 ST DUI	1274	99.4		99.6	75.7		2.5		0.0		14.5
	SALINAS	2 ND DUI	357	99.7		99.7	7.8		81.2		0.0		82.4
		3 RD DUI	76	98.7		100.0	3.9		72.4		0.0		86.8
		4 TH +DUI	5	80.0		100.0	20.0		60.0		0.0		40.0
		TOTAL	1712	99.4		99.6	58.2		22.2		0.0		32.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
MONTEREY (cont)	KING CITY	1 ST DUI	250	98.8	100.0		52.0	7.2	0.0	23.2			
		2 ND DUI	86	97.7	100.0		9.3	77.9	0.0	81.4			
		3 RD DUI	24	95.8	95.8		0.0	79.2	0.0	91.7			
		4 TH +DUI	10	60.0	100.0		0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0			
		TOTAL	370	97.3	99.7		37.3	29.5	0.0	41.9			
NAPA	NAPA	1 ST DUI	822	98.3	97.3		89.2	3.0	0.0	14.2			
		2 ND DUI	259	97.7	98.5		20.5	69.5	0.0	50.2			
		3 RD DUI	57	93.0	98.2		0.0	84.2	0.0	61.4			
		4 TH +DUI	21	61.9	100.0		0.0	52.4	0.0	19.0			
		TOTAL	1159	97.2	97.7		67.8	22.8	0.0	24.7			
NEVADA	NEVADA	1 ST DUI	6	66.7	100.0		33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0		0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0			
		TOTAL	11	72.7	100.0		18.2	9.1	0.0	9.1			
	JUV NEVADA	1 ST DUI	3	100.0	66.7		66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7		66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	JUV TRUCKEE	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		1 ST DUI	247	97.2	98.8		93.1	0.4	0.0	0.0			
		2 ND DUI	99	97.0	100.0		10.1	79.8	0.0	4.0			
	NEVADA CITY	3 RD DUI	26	88.5	100.0		0.0	80.8	0.0	11.5			
		4 TH +DUI	3	100.0	100.0		0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3			
		TOTAL	375	96.5	99.2		64.0	27.2	0.0	2.1			
	TRUCKEE	1 ST DUI	159	98.1	98.7		93.1	3.8	0.0	0.0			
		2 ND DUI	41	100.0	97.6		29.3	68.3	0.0	0.0			
		3 RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0		8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0			
		4 TH +DUI	2	0.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		TOTAL	214	97.7	98.6		75.2	21.0	0.0	0.0			
ORANGE	JUV ORANGE	1 ST DUI	107	94.4	10.3		87.9	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		TOTAL	108	94.4	11.1		87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
						DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	
ORANGE (cont)	FULLERTON	1 ST DUI	3056	99.1	36.9	94.6		1.7		0.0		0.7
		2 ND DUI	781	98.3	92.4	6.8		84.3		0.0		25.2
		3 RD DUI	160	92.5	93.1	2.5		83.8		0.0		46.3
		4 TH +DUI	29	44.8	100.0	0.0		27.6		0.0		17.2
		TOTAL	4026	98.3	50.4	73.2		21.2		0.0		7.4
		1 ST DUI	2643	98.1	12.9	92.9		1.8		0.0		0.9
		2 ND DUI	758	98.2	90.4	6.1		86.0		0.1		20.2
		3 RD DUI	161	96.3	96.9	1.2		84.5		0.6		41.0
		4 TH +DUI	33	54.5	97.0	0.0		42.4		0.0		36.4
		TOTAL	3595	97.7	33.7	69.7		23.6		0.1		7.1
	LAGUNA HILLS	1 ST DUI	1078	96.8	50.9	93.5		0.9		0.0		0.1
		2 ND DUI	244	95.5	92.6	11.9		78.3		0.0		11.5
		3 RD DUI	67	97.0	95.5	4.5		85.1		0.0		23.9
		4 TH +DUI	13	46.2	100.0	0.0		38.5		0.0		15.4
	NEWPORT BEACH	TOTAL	1402	96.1	60.8	74.2		18.8		0.0		3.4
		1 ST DUI	2484	98.0	48.2	93.8		1.2		0.0		0.4
		2 ND DUI	561	98.6	93.0	7.3		84.1		0.0		15.0
		3 RD DUI	130	90.0	97.7	0.8		83.1		0.0		33.8
	SANTA ANA	4 TH +DUI	29	44.8	100.0	0.0		41.4		0.0		13.8
		TOTAL	3204	97.3	58.6	74.0		19.4		0.0		4.4
		1 ST DUI	2093	97.7	34.2	91.8		2.3		0.0		1.9
		2 ND DUI	541	97.8	95.0	7.4		84.3		0.0		44.9
	TOTAL	3 RD DUI	110	89.1	95.5	2.7		81.8		0.0		51.8
		4 TH +DUI	24	50.0	95.8	0.0		37.5		0.0		20.8
		TOTAL	2768	97.0	49.0	71.0		21.8		0.0		12.4
PLACER	JUV PLACER	1 ST DUI	15	100.0	93.3	6.7		0.0		0.0		0.0
	JUV AUBURN	TOTAL	15	100.0	93.3	6.7		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	ROSEVILLE	TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	1180	97.7	98.6	89.7		2.7		0.0		2.2
		2 ND DUI	355	96.9	99.4	14.6		78.3		0.0		30.1
		3 RD DUI	94	84.0	100.0	6.4		74.5		0.0		67.0
		4 TH +DUI	29	34.5	100.0	6.9		27.6		0.0		31.0
	TOTAL	TOTAL	1658	95.7	98.9	67.5		23.4		0.0		12.4
		TOTAL	15	100.0	93.3	6.7		0.0		0.0		0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
PLACER (cont)	TAHOE CITY	1 ST DUI	126	99.2	100.0	100.0	77.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	33	100.0	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	51.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	100.0	33.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	167	98.8	100.0	100.0	66.5	0.0	12.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PLUMAS	CHESTER	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1 ST DUI	151	98.0	97.4	97.4	92.1	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	44	97.7	100.0	100.0	18.2	79.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	15	93.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	13.3
		4 TH +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	212	97.2	98.1	98.1	69.3	24.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	1 ST DUI	3163	96.9	97.7	97.7	93.1	2.8	83.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
		2 ND DUI	806	94.9	97.5	97.5	9.8	83.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
		3 RD DUI	183	89.6	98.4	98.4	1.1	86.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	12.6
		4 TH +DUI	86	55.8	93.0	93.0	1.2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	4.7
		TOTAL	4238	95.4	97.6	97.6	71.4	22.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.8
	INDIO	1 ST DUI	1326	97.2	93.7	93.7	91.9	2.5	79.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
		2 ND DUI	369	97.0	97.0	97.0	15.2	79.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
		3 RD DUI	90	94.4	98.9	98.9	2.2	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.3
		4 TH +DUI	43	69.8	97.7	97.7	0.0	58.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	14.0
		TOTAL	1828	96.4	94.7	94.7	69.9	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1 ST DUI	28	64.3	10.7	10.7	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	62.1	13.8	13.8	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV MURRIETA	1 ST DUI	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HEMET	1 ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BANNING	1 ST DUI	344	97.7	95.6	95.6	92.4	2.3	76.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
		2 ND DUI	95	93.7	97.9	97.9	13.7	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.2	23.2
		3 RD DUI	20	100.0	100.0	100.0	5.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		4 TH +DUI	5	80.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	464	96.8	96.3	96.3	71.6	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	7.3

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
						DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	
RIVERSIDE (cont)	BLYTHE	1 ST DUI	84	92.9	95.2	92.9		1.2		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	33	93.9	100.0	12.1		78.8		0.0		9.1
		3 RD DUI	7	71.4	100.0	28.6		42.9		0.0		28.6
		TOTAL	124	91.9	96.8	67.7		24.2		0.0		4.0
	MURRIETA	1 ST DUI	1621	98.6	98.1	95.9		1.9		0.0		0.3
		2 ND DUI	429	97.4	97.9	8.9		87.2		0.0		13.5
		3 RD DUI	101	96.0	98.0	5.0		89.1		0.0		25.7
		4 TH +DUI	18	77.8	100.0	0.0		72.2		0.0		11.1
	TEMECULA	TOTAL	2169	98.1	98.1	73.6		23.4		0.0		4.2
		1 ST DUI	7	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	2 ND DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0		66.7		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	10	20.0	20.0	0.0		20.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	85	83.5	92.9	61.2		15.3		0.0		2.4
		2 ND DUI	49	65.3	98.0	6.1		49.0		0.0		8.2
	JUV SACRAMENTO	3 RD DUI	35	57.1	97.1	0.0		45.7		0.0		11.4
		4 TH +DUI	85	69.4	96.5	0.0		61.2		0.0		12.9
		TOTAL	254	71.7	95.7	21.7		41.3		0.0		8.3
	SACRAMENTO CM	1 ST DUI	34	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	36	100.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
	US DISTRICT SAC	1 ST DUI	4537	97.7	97.5	93.2		2.0		0.0		0.3
		2 ND DUI	1295	99.3	99.3	10.2		86.6		0.0		12.0
		3 RD DUI	339	98.8	99.1	3.5		92.3		0.0		28.0
		4 TH +DUI	20	70.0	100.0	5.0		70.0		0.0		15.0
		TOTAL	6191	98.0	98.0	70.7		24.9		0.0		4.3
		1 ST DUI	5	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1 ST DUI	263	96.6	97.0	33.8		0.8		0.0		1.1
		2 ND DUI	81	97.5	100.0	4.9		22.2		0.0		24.7
		3 RD DUI	23	87.0	100.0	0.0		4.3		0.0		69.6
		4 TH +DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		33.3
		TOTAL	370	95.9	97.8	25.1		5.7		0.0		10.8

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN BENITO (cont)	JUV SAN BENITO	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST DUI	37	83.8	91.9	56.8	56.8	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	19	52.6	94.7	5.3	5.3	42.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	16	50.0	93.8	0.0	0.0	31.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3
		4 TH +DUI	40	40.0	97.5	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	R CUCAMONGA	TOTAL	112	58.0	94.6	19.6	19.6	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
		1 ST DUI	28	50.0	92.9	21.4	21.4	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6
		2 ND DUI	20	50.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	10	20.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
		4 TH +DUI	38	23.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	18.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6
	VICTORVILLE	TOTAL	96	36.5	95.8	6.3	6.3	17.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1
		1 ST DUI	1145	94.7	71.6	86.6	86.6	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	335	91.9	94.9	7.8	7.8	77.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	101	81.2	94.1	0.0	0.0	74.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BARSTOW		4 TH +DUI	31	48.4	87.1	0.0	0.0	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1612	92.4	78.2	63.2	63.2	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	422	94.3	66.4	86.5	86.5	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	106	96.2	97.2	11.3	11.3	82.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	22	90.9	90.9	4.5	4.5	86.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5
		4 TH +DUI	11	27.3	81.8	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	561	93.2	73.4	67.4	67.4	22.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
		1 ST DUI	9	44.4	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	2 ND DUI	10	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	32.0	100.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV SAN BERNARDINO		1 ST DUI	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV R CUCAMONGA	1 ST DUI	9	100.0	0.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	0.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	CHINO	1 ST DUI	499	96.8	58.5	91.8	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	125	96.8	93.6	12.8	83.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	29	86.2	100.0	3.4	37.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		4 TH +DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	SAN BERNARDINO DIV	TOTAL	655	96.3	67.2	72.5	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1770	96.4	63.2	91.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	536	96.6	91.2	11.8	80.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	133	90.2	90.2	1.5	40.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	FONTANA	4 TH +DUI	35	62.9	97.1	2.9	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2474	95.6	71.2	68.2	21.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	739	93.8	93.1	87.7	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	217	91.2	97.7	10.1	78.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	3 RD DUI	46	89.1	100.0	6.5	60.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	29	58.6	93.1	6.9	44.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1031	92.0	94.4	65.5	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	1751	97.8	64.4	93.5	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	BIG BEAR LAKE	2 ND DUI	438	98.2	95.2	10.7	84.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	102	96.1	98.0	0.0	31.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	15	66.7	93.3	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2306	97.6	71.9	73.0	19.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SUP NEEDLES	1 ST DUI	53	100.0	92.5	98.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	31	96.8	100.0	12.9	83.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	TOTAL	89	98.9	95.5	62.9	34.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	25	96.0	80.0	84.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	TOTAL	29	96.6	79.3	75.9	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	288	97.9	70.8	92.7	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	87	85.1	94.3	11.5	73.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	391	95.1	77.2	70.8	23.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1 ST DUI	125	81.6	80.8	53.6	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	53	77.4	94.3	11.3	50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	16	50.0	100.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		4 TH +DUI	40	67.5	100.0	7.5	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	VISTA	TOTAL	234	76.1	88.5	32.5	23.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	3058	98.3	35.4	74.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		
		2 ND DUI	883	95.9	88.3	9.3	63.2	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8		
		3 RD DUI	232	88.4	97.4	3.0	59.9	0.0	0.0	8.6	8.6		
	JUV SAN DIEGO	4 TH +DUI	57	45.6	98.2	3.5	29.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	4230	96.5	50.7	55.6	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7		
		1 ST DUI	87	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	87	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	EL CAJON	1 ST DUI	2154	96.2	14.2	93.1	1.9	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.4		
		2 ND DUI	628	94.9	88.1	11.3	81.2	0.0	0.0	7.8	7.8		
		3 RD DUI	150	90.7	97.3	3.3	82.7	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0		
		4 TH +DUI	34	64.7	97.1	5.9	41.2	0.0	0.0	17.6	17.6		
	VISTA2	TOTAL	2966	95.3	35.0	70.3	23.2	0.0	0.0	4.6	4.6		
		1 ST DUI	38	44.7	28.9	28.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		2 ND DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3 RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	KEARNY MESA	TOTAL	43	44.2	34.9	25.6	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST DUI	3679	97.4	3.6	94.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		
		2 ND DUI	918	97.6	74.6	16.3	81.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.9		
		3 RD DUI	171	94.2	94.2	4.7	88.9	0.0	0.0	15.8	15.8		
CHULA VISTA	4 TH +DUI	16	75.0	93.8	6.3	62.5	0.0	0.0	18.8	18.8			
	TOTAL	4784	97.3	20.7	76.3	21.1	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.2			
	1 ST DUI	1490	96.2	12.8	85.1	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5			
	2 ND DUI	368	95.7	89.4	9.5	78.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	4.9			
US DISTRICT SOUTH SD	3 RD DUI	70	87.1	97.1	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	17.1			
	4 TH +DUI	17	41.2	100.0	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	TOTAL	1945	95.3	31.1	67.0	19.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0			
	3 RD DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	11	100.0	100.0	81.8	9.1	0.0	27.3
		2 ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4 TH +DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	16.7
		TOTAL	21	90.5	100.0	42.9	28.6	4.8	23.8
	JUV SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	820	97.9	99.5	94.5	1.6	0.0	2.0
	TRAF SAN FRANCISCO	2 ND DUI	224	98.2	99.6	21.0	75.9	0.0	46.9
		3 RD DUI	39	92.3	100.0	10.3	79.5	2.6	64.1
		TOTAL	1083	97.8	99.5	76.3	19.8	0.1	13.5
SAN JOAQUIN	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST DUI	18	94.4	27.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	94.4	27.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1 ST DUI	325	97.2	98.2	70.5	1.2	0.0	0.9
		2 ND DUI	132	98.5	100.0	11.4	74.2	0.0	3.0
		3 RD DUI	30	100.0	100.0	6.7	53.3	0.0	10.0
		4 TH +DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	40.0
	MANTECA	TOTAL	497	97.8	98.8	49.5	25.2	0.0	2.8
		1 ST DUI	296	98.0	98.3	93.9	2.4	0.0	1.4
		2 ND DUI	93	98.9	98.9	14.0	82.8	0.0	22.6
		3 RD DUI	25	96.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	28.0
		4 TH +DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	33.3
	TRACY	TOTAL	420	97.9	98.6	69.3	27.1	0.0	8.1
		1 ST DUI	307	96.4	97.1	88.9	5.5	0.0	2.3
		2 ND DUI	107	98.1	100.0	17.8	75.7	0.9	18.7
		3 RD DUI	22	100.0	100.0	0.0	86.4	4.5	50.0
	STOCKTON	4 TH +DUI	11	54.5	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	447	96.0	98.0	65.3	28.2	0.4	9.4
		1 ST DUI	1377	98.0	98.0	94.6	2.8	0.0	1.5
		2 ND DUI	486	96.7	99.4	10.5	87.2	0.0	56.8
		3 RD DUI	170	94.1	98.8	2.4	92.9	0.0	65.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	JUV SAN LUIS OBISPO	4 TH +DUI	67	77.6	97.0	0.0	77.6	0.0	46.3
		TOTAL	2100	96.8	98.3	64.7	32.0	0.0	20.9
		1 ST DUI	16	81.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	81.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
						DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	
SAN LUIS OBISPO (cont)	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST DUI	1414	96.7	97.0	93.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	456	96.1	99.1	11.2	79.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0
		3 RD DUI	108	95.4	100.0	0.9	83.3	1.9	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	34	73.5	100.0	0.0	67.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2012	96.1	97.7	68.1	24.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0
		TOTAL	47	76.6	97.9	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1 ST DUI	15	60.0	100.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	10	70.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	17	64.7	100.0	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	89	70.8	98.9	5.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	96.7	6.7	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN MATEO	1 ST DUI	30	96.7	6.7	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	30	96.7	6.7	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN MATEO NORTH	1 ST DUI	8	25.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1199	96.2	98.1	90.8	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST DUI	291	98.3	99.7	8.9	84.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.7	0.0
		2 ND DUI	55	92.7	100.0	1.8	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.1	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	1547	96.4	98.4	72.1	20.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0
	REDWOOD CITY	TOTAL	932	95.1	98.5	89.2	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
		1 ST DUI	272	97.1	99.6	7.7	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	54	92.6	100.0	1.9	81.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.4	0.0
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	1260	95.4	98.8	67.7	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1 ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA MARIA	TOTAL	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1024	94.5	55.3	88.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
		2 ND DUI	268	92.9	95.1	13.1	73.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	3 RD DUI	68	91.2	98.5	1.5	79.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.3	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	14	57.1	92.9	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1374	93.7	65.6	68.9	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1374	93.7	65.6	68.9	19.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%		
SANTA BARBARA (cont)	SUP SANTA MARIA	1 ST DUI	815	97.8	67.0	91.3	3.1	0.0	2.3					
		2 ND DUI	290	92.8	95.5	7.6	78.6	0.0	45.2					
		3 RD DUI	76	76.3	96.1	1.3	64.5	0.0	48.7					
		4 TH +DUI	26	15.4	100.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	1207	93.5	76.4	63.5	25.4	0.0	15.5					
	LOMPOC	1 ST DUI	132	97.0	35.6	87.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND DUI	56	91.1	85.7	5.4	71.4	0.0	21.4					
		3 RD DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	41.7					
		4 TH +DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	201	95.0	53.7	59.2	24.4	0.0	8.5					
	SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1 ST DUI	118	89.0	97.5	53.4	18.6	0.0	7.6				
			2 ND DUI	50	68.0	100.0	6.0	62.0	0.0	8.0				
			3 RD DUI	33	63.6	97.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	15.2				
			4 TH +DUI	75	72.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	14.7				
			TOTAL	276	77.5	98.6	23.9	43.1	0.0	10.5				
JUV SANTA CLARA		1 ST DUI	36	97.2	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	37	97.3	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		PALO ALTO	1 ST DUI	822	99.1	97.9	94.3	2.7	0.0	0.9				
			2 ND DUI	173	99.4	100.0	19.7	78.6	0.0	34.7				
3 RD DUI			35	100.0	97.1	2.9	80.0	0.0	71.4					
4 TH +DUI			1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0					
TOTAL			1031	99.2	98.3	78.6	18.1	0.0	9.0					
SAN JOSE		1 ST DUI	3339	99.4	98.6	95.1	2.7	0.0	2.4					
		2 ND DUI	917	99.3	99.3	10.6	84.8	0.0	37.5					
	3 RD DUI	172	98.8	100.0	3.5	86.0	0.0	65.7						
	4 TH +DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	37.5	0.0	87.5						
	TOTAL	4436	99.3	98.8	73.9	23.0	0.0	12.3						
SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	1 ST DUI	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	TOTAL	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
	SAN MARTIN	1 ST DUI	480	98.3	97.9	92.1	1.9	0.0	2.3					
		2 ND DUI	141	98.6	99.3	16.3	73.8	0.0	24.1					
		3 RD DUI	43	100.0	97.7	2.3	39.5	0.0	41.9					
4 TH +DUI		7	85.7	85.7	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0						
TOTAL		671	98.4	98.1	69.6	20.1	0.0	9.4						

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%		
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	4	50.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	8	37.5	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	18	50.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	8	100.0	0.0		37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	10	100.0	0.0		30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TRAFFIC SANTA CRUZ	1 ST DUI	574	98.1	97.9		76.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	181	99.4	99.4		8.3	55.2	0.0	55.2	0.0	0.6	0.6	
		3 RD DUI	47	100.0	97.9		2.1	36.2	0.0	36.2	0.0	2.1	2.1	
		4 TH +DUI	10	60.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	812	98.0	98.3		55.9	15.0	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.2	0.2		
	WATSONVILLE	1 ST DUI	241	99.2	98.3		46.1	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND DUI	87	100.0	98.9		2.3	39.1	0.0	39.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD DUI	28	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +DUI	6	83.3	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	362	99.2	98.6		31.2	9.9	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SHASTA	USDT REDDING	3 RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV SHASTA	1 ST DUI	10	0.0	0.0		10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	10	0.0	0.0		10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST DUI	26	100.0	100.0		96.2	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	15.4	15.4	
	BURNEY	2 ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0		0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5	87.5	
		3 RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0		0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	
		TOTAL	36	100.0	100.0		69.4	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	
	REDDING	1 ST DUI	858	94.3	97.9		89.9	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	25.8	25.8	
		2 ND DUI	306	94.8	99.7		8.8	79.4	0.0	79.4	0.0	75.5	75.5	
		3 RD DUI	82	79.3	97.6		1.2	34.1	0.0	34.1	0.0	68.3	68.3	
		4 TH +DUI	19	31.6	100.0		0.0	15.8	0.0	15.8	0.0	10.5	10.5	
	TOTAL	1265	92.5	98.3		63.2	23.1	0.0	23.1	0.0	40.3	40.3		
	SIERRA	SIERRA	1 ST DUI	18	100.0	100.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2 ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0		50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			4 TH +DUI	1	100.0	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			TOTAL	23	100.0	100.0		87.0	13.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SISKIYOU	WEED	1 ST DUI	87	97.7	90.8	79.3	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	39	94.9	97.4	25.6	56.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	11	100.0	90.9	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	137	97.1	92.7	57.7	24.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	95	95.8	90.5	75.8	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
	YREKA	2 ND DUI	29	100.0	100.0	3.4	65.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	136	96.3	93.4	53.7	22.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	11	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SOLANO	JUV SOLANO	TOTAL	11	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	829	96.5	98.4	92.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	260	94.6	98.8	6.9	87.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	73	87.7	98.6	0.0	83.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	20	80.0	90.0	0.0	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	TOTAL	1182	95.3	98.4	66.7	26.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	247	96.4	98.4	92.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	95	96.8	100.0	11.6	85.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	25	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	10	70.0	90.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
SONOMA	SONOMA	TOTAL	377	94.7	98.7	63.4	30.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	2010	97.5	96.8	69.8	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	699	96.3	97.6	5.6	52.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.5	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	206	93.2	95.1	0.5	39.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.9	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	53	58.5	96.2	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	0.0	0.0
	JUV SONOMA	TOTAL	2968	96.2	96.8	48.6	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	33	69.7	21.2	93.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	67.6	23.5	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	SANTA ROSA	TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST DUI	1874	99.1	99.5	92.2	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	561	98.2	99.8	11.9	84.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD DUI	152	94.1	100.0	5.9	86.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.4	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +DUI	50	76.0	100.0	2.0	68.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.0	0.0	0.0
	STANISLAUS	TOTAL	2637	98.2	99.6	68.4	27.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK %
							%		%		%	
STANISLAUS (cont)	JUV STANISLAUS	1 ST DUI	18	83.3	61.1		38.9		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	18	83.3	61.1		38.9		0.0		0.0	
		1 ST DUI	23	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2 ND DUI	1	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	24	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	TURLOCK	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		1 ST DUI	297	94.6	97.6		91.2		1.3		0.0	5.1
		2 ND DUI	90	95.6	100.0		7.8		85.6		0.0	82.2
		3 RD DUI	30	86.7	100.0		0.0		80.0		0.0	73.3
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	4 TH +DUI	9	55.6	100.0		11.1		44.4		0.0	44.4
		TOTAL	426	93.4	98.4		65.5		25.6		0.0	27.0
		1 ST DUI	9	55.6	100.0		33.3		11.1		0.0	33.3
		2 ND DUI	3	33.3	100.0		0.0		33.3		0.0	33.3
		3 RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0		0.0		50.0		0.0	50.0
	TEHAMA	4 TH +DUI	3	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	17	41.2	100.0		17.6		17.6		0.0	35.3
		1 ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0		66.7		16.7		0.0	16.7
		4 TH +DUI	1	0.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	85.7	100.0		57.1		14.3		0.0	14.3
CORNING	JUV TEHAMA	1 ST DUI	110	90.0	100.0		86.4		3.6		0.0	0.9
		2 ND DUI	36	86.1	97.2		13.9		72.2		0.0	16.7
		3 RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0	66.7
		4 TH +DUI	2	50.0	100.0		0.0		50.0		0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	154	89.0	99.4		64.9		24.0		0.0	7.1
	RED BLUFF	1 ST DUI	145	90.3	97.9		81.4		2.1		0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	42	92.9	100.0		4.8		83.3		0.0	4.8
		3 RD DUI	10	70.0	100.0		0.0		60.0		0.0	60.0
		4 TH +DUI	3	33.3	100.0		0.0		33.3		0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	200	89.0	98.5		60.0		22.5		0.0	4.0
TRINITY	TRINITY	1 ST DUI	91	97.8	98.9		89.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
		2 ND DUI	25	88.0	100.0		12.0		40.0		0.0	4.0
		3 RD DUI	11	90.9	90.9		0.0		18.2		0.0	9.1
		4 TH +DUI	4	25.0	100.0		0.0		25.0		0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	131	93.1	98.5		64.1		9.9		0.0	1.5

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
TULARE	JUV VISALIA	1 ST DUI	8	87.5		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		2 ND DUI	2	100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	248	96.0	97.6	97.6	58.9		4.4		0.0		1.6
	DINUBA	2 ND DUI	73	94.5	95.9	95.9	16.4		65.8		0.0		15.1
		3 RD DUI	18	88.9	100.0	100.0	11.1		38.9		0.0		11.1
		4 TH +DUI	7	14.3	100.0	100.0	14.3		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	346	93.6	97.4	97.4	46.5		19.1		0.0		4.9
	PORTERVILLE	1 ST DUI	561	95.4	97.5	97.5	67.9		2.0		0.0		0.5
		2 ND DUI	217	92.2	95.9	95.9	6.5		79.3		0.0		22.6
		3 RD DUI	70	92.9	98.6	98.6	1.4		74.3		0.0		44.3
		4 TH +DUI	37	54.1	97.3	97.3	0.0		35.1		0.0		18.9
	TULARE	TOTAL	885	92.7	97.2	97.2	44.7		28.0		0.0		10.2
		1 ST DUI	1054	96.4	87.9	87.9	43.4		3.0		0.0		12.5
		2 ND DUI	357	94.4	98.0	98.0	5.0		79.3		0.0		39.5
		3 RD DUI	81	92.6	95.1	95.1	0.0		81.5		0.0		64.2
TUOLUMNE	VISALIA DIV	4 TH +DUI	15	86.7	93.3	93.3	0.0		46.7		0.0		46.7
		TOTAL	1507	95.6	90.7	90.7	31.5		25.7		0.0		22.0
		1 ST DUI	79	62.0	84.8	84.8	16.5		1.3		1.3		6.3
		2 ND DUI	21	61.9	85.7	85.7	4.8		23.8		0.0		19.0
	TUOLUMNE	3 RD DUI	15	66.7	86.7	86.7	0.0		13.3		0.0		13.3
		4 TH +DUI	29	55.2	100.0	100.0	0.0		13.8		3.4		3.4
		TOTAL	144	61.1	88.2	88.2	9.7		8.3		1.4		8.3
		1 ST DUI	258	97.3	96.5	96.5	87.2		0.8		0.0		0.0
	JUV TUOLUMNE	2 ND DUI	97	95.9	95.9	95.9	11.3		75.3		0.0		2.1
		3 RD DUI	18	94.4	100.0	100.0	0.0		11.1		0.0		55.6
		4 TH +DUI	6	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.0		16.7		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	379	96.0	96.6	96.6	62.3		20.6		0.0		3.2
	VENTURA	1 ST DUI	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		1 ST DUI	3594	97.7	95.4	95.4	87.3		2.4		0.0		4.2
		2 ND DUI	886	98.6	97.3	97.3	13.0		78.6		0.0		68.6
VENTURA	JUV VENTURA	3 RD DUI	200	94.5	99.0	99.0	4.0		82.5		0.0		84.0
		4 TH +DUI	65	64.6	100.0	100.0	0.0		56.9		0.0		61.5
		TOTAL	4745	97.3	96.0	96.0	68.7		20.7		0.0		20.4

TABLE B4: 2009 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
YOLO	YOLO	1 ST DUI	733	96.3	96.9	86.2	2.5	0.0	0.7
		2 ND DUI	198	96.0	100.0	19.7	72.2	0.0	66.7
		3 RD DUI	56	91.1	98.2	7.1	78.6	0.0	73.2
		4 TH +DUI	20	55.0	100.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	1007	95.1	97.6	67.0	21.1	0.0	18.1
YUBA	YUBA	1 ST DUI	281	93.2	95.7	88.6	2.1	0.0	0.7
		2 ND DUI	106	97.2	97.2	15.1	76.4	0.0	14.2
		3 RD DUI	29	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.1	0.0	62.1
		4 TH +DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	420	94.3	96.4	63.1	27.4	0.0	8.6
	JUV YUBA	1 ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	USDT BEALE AFB	1 ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2009

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS			ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES				
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
ARO													
No program	5,093 (35.3%)	27.0	34.3	3.1	19.5	2.6	0.8	0.16	8.7	1.08	0.22	0.44	2.05
Alcohol education program	9,353 (64.7%)	28.3	33.6	1.9	19.4	2.3	0.7	0.11	8.9	1.15	0.22	0.38	1.99
* <i>p</i> < .05		$\chi^2 = 2.7$	<i>F</i> = 12.6*	$\chi^2 = 20.4^*$	<i>F</i> = 2.1	<i>F</i> = 9.2*	<i>F</i> = 5.3*	<i>F</i> = 6.5*	<i>F</i> = 0.6	<i>F</i> = 336.1*	<i>F</i> = 2.2	<i>F</i> = 311.2*	<i>F</i> = 72.6*
FDO													
3-month program	38,298 (76.2%)	28.1	33.5	1.4	20.1	2.9	1.4	0.07	8.4	1.19	0.23	0.39	2.07
9-month program	11,949 (23.8%)	28.8	37.0	1.7	20.3	4.0	2.5	0.06	6.1	1.18	0.23	0.40	2.06
* <i>p</i> < .05		$\chi^2 = 2.6$	<i>F</i> = 728.2*	$\chi^2 = 4.7^*$	<i>F</i> = 18.2*	<i>F</i> = 326.5*	<i>F</i> = 796.5*	<i>F</i> = 0.5	<i>F</i> = 383.0*	<i>F</i> = 19.9*	<i>F</i> = 0.5	<i>F</i> = 12.3*	<i>F</i> = 0.9

Note. ARO = Alcohol-reckless offenders; FDO = First DUI offenders.