



2014

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE
CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT
INFORMATION SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

JANUARY 2014

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Governor

BRIAN P. KELLY, Secretary
California State Transportation Agency

JEAN SHIOMOTO
Director

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2014

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) January 2014		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2014 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Sladjana Oulad Daoud				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-14-246	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					
				11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES - Corresponding author: Sladjana.OuladDaoud@dmv.ca.gov					
14. ABSTRACT In this twenty-third annual legislatively-mandated report, 2011 and 2012 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs (DUI) data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions, and alcohol- or drug-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 22 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Two analyses were conducted to evaluate if referrals to DUI programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes among those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of convicted first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2011, who were referred, enrolled, and completed DUI programs are also presented.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification, drugged driving					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: Unclassified			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 159	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Douglas P. Rickard
A. REPORT Unclassified	B. ABSTRACT Unclassified	C. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 916-657-5768

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2002 - 2012

DUI measures	YEAR										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
DUI arrest rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	783	809	792	786	849	863	906	880	823	752	712
Total DUI arrests ^a	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212 ^b	172893
Felony DUI arrests ^a	5859	5856	5646	5962	6191	6264	5966	5577	4902	4655	5047
Misdemeanor DUI arrests ^a	171197	177704	175311	174326	191057	197602	208845	202954	190977	175557	167846
Total DUI convictions ^c	136794	140847	139331	140879	156595	160591	169035	161074	148042	142121	N/A
DUI conviction rates ^c	77.3%	76.7%	77.0%	78.1%	79.4%	78.8%	78.7%	77.2%	73.1% ^d	73.3% ^d	N/A
Alcohol- or drug-involved reckless driving convictions ^c	14418	15413	14801	14452	15563	16085	17887	19802	19552	19204	N/A
Percent convicted of alcohol or drug reckless driving ^c	8.1%	8.4%	8.2%	8.0%	7.9%	7.9%	8.3%	9.5%	8.1% ^d	7.9% ^d	N/A
Alcohol-involved crash fatalities ^e	1416	1445	1462	1574	1597	1489	1355	1263	1072	1089	1169
% of crash fatalities	34.2	34.2	35.7	36.6	38.1	37.5	39.8	41.1	39.1	38.5	39.0
Alcohol-involved crash injuries ^e	32013	31322	31538	30810	31099	30783	28463	26058	24343	23621	23868
% of crash injuries	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.5	11.2	11.5	11.8	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.5
Drug-involved crash fatalities ^f	639	784	799	880	859	749	726	713	696	709	818
% of crash fatalities	15.6	18.6	19.5	20.4	20.5	18.9	21.3	23.2	25.4	25.0	27.3
Drug-involved crash injuries ^f	2373	2580	2646	2722	2421	2464	2227	2309	2384	2289	2622
% of crash injuries	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2

Note: N/A indicates that this information is not available yet for 2012.

^aThese totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center data.

^bDue to the underreporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, the total for 2011 is undercounted by approximately 6,500 DUI arrests.

^cIn the past, these data were updated for prior years in each successive DUI-MIS report. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS report, these figures show the total counts of convictions and conviction rates, by year of violation, as typically reported in Section 2 of this report. They are no longer updated each year so are not comparable to data presented in the past.

^dThe 2010 and later DUI conviction rates and percent convicted of alcohol-reckless driving are derived using different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to figures for prior years.

^eThese figures include cases in which drugs were also involved. They are provided annually by CHP prior to publication of this report.

^fThese figures include cases in which alcohol was also involved. They are provided annually by CHP prior to publication of this report.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2002 – 2012 (CONTINUED)

	YEAR										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
DUI license actions	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796	362859	392319	382111	351802	337700 ^g	313870
Total mandatory suspension/ revocation (S/R) actions											
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851	183743	177231 ^g	163522
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861	18684	17463 ^g	14835
.08 First-offender suspensions	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933	117884	114858 ^g	106562
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747	44101	42127 ^g	39563
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783 ^g	2562
Commercial driver actions	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172	2924	2776	2309 ^g	2233
Chemical test refusal actions	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737	8275	7520 ^g	7069
.01 Test refusal suspensions	290	341	326	364	419	426	433	372	354	279 ^g	280
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055	4847	4458 ^g	4227
.08 Test refusal revocations	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783 ^g	2562
POSTCONVICTION^h											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917	482	538	351	312
First-offender suspensions	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525	124436	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035
Misdemeanor	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227	122102	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013
Felony	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022
Second-offender S/R actions	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156
Misdemeanor	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533
Felony	597	577	553	595	634	647	658	681	637	641	623
Third-offender revocations	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083
Misdemeanor	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852
Felony	175	195	152	183	225	233	231	242	198	256	231
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762
Total postconviction S/R actions	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315	170646	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348

^gSome figures for 2011 have been slightly revised to adjust for duplicate records found after publishing last year's report. ^hThese totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event. Total counts for 2006 and later increased as a result of a law change, effective 09/20/2005. This law assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2014 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- ◆ Alcohol-involved crash fatalities increased by 7.3% in 2012, following an increase of 1.6% in 2011 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ Drug-involved crash fatalities increased substantially, by 15.4%, in 2012, after an increase of 1.9% in 2011, which is an increase of 28.0% in the past decade (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ Of the total number of crash fatalities, the percentage of alcohol-involved fatalities increased from 38.5% in 2011 to 39.0% in 2012. The percentage of drug-involved fatalities increased from 25.0% to 27.3% during the same time period.
- ◆ The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved crashes increased by 1.0% in 2012, following a decrease of 3.0% in 2011 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 4.1% in 2012, following decreases of 8.0% in 2011 and 6.1% in 2010 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- ◆ The DUI arrest rate per 100,000 licensed drivers declined by 5.3% in 2012, following a decline of 8.6% in 2011 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ 13.0% of all 2011 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 12.6% in 2010. 5.0% of 2011 DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, similar to 4.8% in 2010 (see Table 17).
- ◆ Among 2012 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.1%) were the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have been each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (35.0% in 2012). This is shown in Figure 3.
- ◆ The median (midpoint) age of a DUI arrestee in 2012 was 30 years. Less than 0.5% of all DUI arrests were juveniles (under age 18). This is shown in Table 3a.
- ◆ Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2011, 73.7% were first offenders and 26.3% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10 years). The

proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37%, even though prior DUI convictions are counted over 10 years now, but only over 7 years in 1989 (see table 8).

- ◆ The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.15% in 2011, same as in the last 7 years, yet almost double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).
- ◆ 15.8% of 2011 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records (see Table 6).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, in providing annual alcohol- and drug-involved crash data and documentation.

The conceptual design of this project was developed by former Research and Development Branch Chief Clifford J. Helander who was also its co-author for many years. His critical role in the establishment and creation of the project is greatly appreciated.

The first author and the principal investigator of this report for 22 years was Helen N. Tashima, former Research Program Specialist II. From the start, Helen worked diligently on all segments of the report, from the development of numerous data extraction and statistical analysis procedures, which are still in use, to putting a final touch on the information presented in the report. She was also a wonderful mentor and a guide to the author on the report. Helen's essential role in the project and her dedication throughout the years is invaluable.

The contributions of Douglas Rickard, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as his general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. The author also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, Michael Gebers, Research Scientist III, and Scott Masten, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drugs Projects, Research and Development Branch.

Report Author:

Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2002-2012	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2014 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS	5
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS	13
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS	23
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS	33
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES	36
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2011	36
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2011	38
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders	42
The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2011	47
DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	48
Methods	48
Results of the DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving	51
9-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers	52
Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders	53
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS	55
SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS	59
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS	71
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975	73
REFERENCES	87
GLOSSARY	91

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**APPENDICES**

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450	95
B APPENDIX TABLES	97
B1 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY	97
B2 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE.....	112
B3 DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT	122
B4 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	132
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2011.....	159

LIST OF TABLES

1 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, 2010–2012 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2011–2012	9
2 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST.....	10
3a 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	11
3b 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	11
3c DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2002-2012.....	12
4 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX	17
5 DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS	18
6 ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY	19
7a 2011 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS	20
7b 2011 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
8 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL	21
9 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS	25
10 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS	26
11a ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2011	37
11b 2011 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	40
11c 2011 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	41
12 CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS	42
13 COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2011	48
14a THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ARRESTED IN 2011	52
14b THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2011	54
15 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2002-2012	57
16 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES	58
17 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2001-2011	63

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
18 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL.....	64
19 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	64
20 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	65
21 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2001-2011.....	67
22a 2011 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX	67
22b 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT SUSPENDED UPON ARREST OR CONVICTED)	67
23a 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS.....	68
23b 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT SUSPENDED UPON ARREST OR CONVICTED).....	68
24a 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS	69
24b 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT SUSPENDED UPON ARREST OR CONVICTED).....	69
25 2011 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	70

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1 DUI management information system	2
2 DUI arrests 2002-2012.....	6
3 Percentage of 2012 DUI arrests and 2012 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity	8
4 DUI convictions and conviction rates, 2002-2011	15
5 Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2011).....	23
6 Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2011)	36
7 Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2011).....	38
8a Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for the 1994 DUI offenders.....	43
8b Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 1994 DUI offenders.....	44
8c Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by sex for the 1994 DUI offenders.....	44
8d Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 1994 DUI offenders	45
8e Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2004 DUI offenders.....	46
9a Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers (arrested in 2011) by DUI program assignment.....	51

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
9b Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2011) by length of DUI program.....	53
10 Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2002-2012.....	61
11 Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 2002-2012	61

INTRODUCTION

This report is the twenty-third *Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System*, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session, adding Section 1821 to the vehicle code (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to “establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted” of DUI in order to provide “accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics” to enhance “the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.” The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions in selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on “Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness.”




Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements, and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County, 2010–2012 and Annual Percentage Change, 2011-2012. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2010-2012 and the percentage change from 2011-2012 are shown in Table 1.


Table 2: 2012 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2012 DUI arrests by felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2012 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross tabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2012 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the median age for 2012 arrestees. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 2002-2012. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 2002 to 2012. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 2002 to 2012.

Figure 3 shows the percentages of 2012 DUI arrests and 2012 projected population by race/ethnicity.



Note. Due to the non-reporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, an undercount is present in the figures for 2011 (with approximately 6,500 fewer total DUI arrests).

Figure 2. DUI arrests 2002-2012.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters

- ◆ DUI arrests decreased by 4.1% in 2012, after decreasing by 8.0% in 2011 (see Table 1).
- ◆ Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.7 in 2012, slightly lower than 0.8 in 2010 and 2011. This represents a 61% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- ◆ The percentage of DUI arrests that were felonies (involving bodily injury or death) increased from 2.6% in 2011 to 2.9% in 2012. Felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation

- ◆ 23.0% of all 2012 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Five counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 51.9% of all arrests (see Table 2).
- ◆ The 2012 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 3.0 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate is 0.7). Three counties had rates of 0.5 or below. These counties

with low arrest rates were San Francisco (0.3), Santa Clara (0.5), and Solano (0.5). Two counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Alpine (3.0) and Trinity (2.0). This is shown in Table 2.

- ◆ Most counties had fewer DUI arrests in 2012. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage decrease occurred in San Diego (-14.0%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decrease in DUI arrests occurred in Inyo (-35.3%) and San Benito (-32.4%). Counties showing the largest percentage increase in DUI arrests were Fresno (26.9%), Lassen (25.6%), Amador (21.7%), and Santa Cruz (20.3%). This is shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics

- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2012 was 30 years. Slightly more than half (51.4%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (73.1%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 3.1% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- ◆ Among all DUI arrestees, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 18 decreased slightly from 0.5 in 2011, to 0.4 in 2012. The percentage of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 7.8 in 2011, to 7.2 in 2012. This is shown in Table 3c.
- ◆ Males comprised 76.5% of all 2012 DUI arrests, the same as in 2011 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 23.5% in 2012.
- ◆ In 2012, Hispanics (44.1%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2012 population parity of 35.0% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (8.3% of arrests, 5.9% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2012 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (38.6% of arrests, 42.7% of the population) and “Other” (9.0% of arrests, 16.4% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.
- ◆ Among male 2012 DUI arrestees, 48.1% were Hispanic, 34.8% were White, 8.2% were Black, and 8.9% were “Other.” Among female DUI arrestees, 51.2% were White, 31.0% were Hispanic, 8.4% were Black, and 9.4% were “Other.” The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders appears to be limited to males (see Table 3b).

- ◆ In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, their DUI arrest rate is also high. For example, in the following seven counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2012: Imperial (75.5%), Tulare (70.6%), San Benito (68.1%), Madera (63.7%), Merced (62.4%), Monterey (62.3%), and Fresno (62.0%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).
- ◆ The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 33.0 years, while “Other” and Hispanics had a median age of 29.0 years (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2012 DUI arrests and 2012 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS^a BY COUNTY, 2010–2012 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2011–2012

COUNTY	2010	2011	2012	% CHANGE 2011-2012
STATEWIDE	195879	180212	172893	-4.1
ALAMEDA	7966	7287	7124	-2.2
ALPINE	35	23	28	21.7
AMADOR	198	203	163	-19.7
BUTTE	1672	1558	1300	-16.6
CALAVERAS	304	255	222	-12.9
COLUSA	221	198	218	10.1
CONTRA COSTA	4464	4305	4315	0.2
DEL NORTE	211	189	173	-8.5
EL DORADO	1278	1208	1141	-5.5
FRESNO	6411	4512	5725	26.9
GLENN	333	290	238	-17.9
HUMBOLDT	1416	1270	1107	-12.8
IMPERIAL	1116	915	965	5.5
INYO	264	278	180	-35.3
KERN	5863	4633	4356	-6.0
KINGS	1406	1030	1095	6.3
LAKE	430	331	313	-5.4
LASSEN	203	172	216	25.6
LOS ANGELES	40872	40249	39741	-1.3
MADERA	1288	1027	1050	2.2
MARIN	1548	1278	1282	0.3
MARIPOSA	125	84	100	19.0
MENDOCINO	793	663	728	9.8
MERCED	2067	1485	1303	-12.3
MODOC	81	69	72	4.3
MONO	111	156	128	-17.9
MONTEREY	2653	2306	2187	-5.2
NAPA	1068	1014	965	-4.8
NEVADA	683	525	551	5.0
ORANGE	15966	16003	14629	-8.6
PLACER	1738	1622	1695	4.5
PLUMAS	226	187	164	-12.3
RIVERSIDE	10056	10003	10142	1.4
SACRAMENTO	7979	7419	5598	-24.5
SAN BENITO	333	306	207	-32.4
SAN BERNARDINO	12998	11977	11586	-3.3
SAN DIEGO	17305	15615	13425	-14.0
SAN FRANCISCO	1480	1766	1728	-2.2
SAN JOAQUIN	4413	3269	3223	-1.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1918	1844	1995	8.2
SAN MATEO	3682	3053	3026	-0.9
SANTA BARBARA	2722	2289	2229	-2.6
SANTA CLARA	6447	6196	5811	-6.2
SANTA CRUZ	1630	1293	1556	20.3
SHASTA	1380	1109	1098	-1.0
SIERRA	37	33	38	15.2
SISKIYOU	480	448	355	-20.8
SOLANO	1720	1543	1399	-9.3
SONOMA	2989	2830	2745	-3.0
STANISLAUS	3108	3011	2898	-3.8
SUTTER	537	540	502	-7.0
TEHAMA	550	531	470	-11.5
TRINITY	265	251	215	-14.3
TULARE	3963	3574	3555	-0.5
TUOLUMNE	393	430	447	4.0
VENTURA	4775	4182	3829	-8.4
YOLO	1030	815	818	0.4
YUBA	679	560	524	-6.4

^aDOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI ($N = 210$) removed. The non-reporting of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests by CHP for the month of April 2011 is reflected in this table's 2011 figures.

TABLE 2: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	172893	100.0	5008	2.9	746	0.4	167139	96.7	0.7
ALAMEDA	7124	4.1	93	1.3	17	0.2	7014	98.5	0.7
ALPINE	28	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	100.0	3.0
AMADOR	163	0.1	3	1.8	2	1.2	158	96.9	0.6
BUTTE	1300	0.8	44	3.4	11	0.8	1245	95.8	0.8
CALAVERAS	222	0.1	8	3.6	1	0.5	213	95.9	0.6
COLUSA	218	0.1	8	3.7	0	0.0	210	96.3	1.6
CONTRA COSTA	4315	2.5	95	2.2	23	0.5	4197	97.3	0.6
DEL NORTE	173	0.1	4	2.3	0	0.0	169	97.7	1.0
EL DORADO	1141	0.7	49	4.3	0	0.0	1092	95.7	0.8
FRESNO	5725	3.3	192	3.4	23	0.4	5510	96.2	1.1
GLENN	238	0.1	2	0.8	0	0.0	236	99.2	1.3
HUMBOLDT	1107	0.6	29	2.6	4	0.4	1074	97.0	1.2
IMPERIAL	965	0.6	23	2.4	8	0.8	934	96.8	0.9
INYO	180	0.1	5	2.8	3	1.7	172	95.6	1.3
KERN	4356	2.5	193	4.4	27	0.6	4136	94.9	0.9
KINGS	1095	0.6	30	2.7	5	0.5	1060	96.8	1.5
LAKE	313	0.2	16	5.1	0	0.0	297	94.9	0.7
LASSEN	216	0.1	4	1.9	3	1.4	209	96.8	1.2
LOS ANGELES	39741	23.0	1437	3.6	77	0.2	38227	96.2	0.7
MADERA	1050	0.6	43	4.1	5	0.5	1002	95.4	1.3
MARIN	1282	0.7	22	1.7	9	0.7	1251	97.6	0.7
MARIPOSA	100	0.1	3	3.0	1	1.0	96	96.0	0.7
MENDOCINO	728	0.4	16	2.2	6	0.8	706	97.0	1.1
MERCED	1303	0.8	37	2.8	9	0.7	1257	96.5	0.9
MODOC	72	0.0	2	2.8	2	2.8	68	94.4	1.1
MONO	128	0.1	3	2.3	0	0.0	125	97.7	1.4
MONTEREY	2187	1.3	72	3.3	16	0.7	2099	96.0	0.9
NAPA	965	0.6	24	2.5	6	0.6	935	96.9	1.0
NEVADA	551	0.3	11	2.0	4	0.7	536	97.3	0.7
ORANGE	14629	8.5	257	1.8	82	0.6	14290	97.7	0.7
PLACER	1695	1.0	50	2.9	24	1.4	1621	95.6	0.6
PLUMAS	164	0.1	4	2.4	2	1.2	158	96.3	1.0
RIVERSIDE	10142	5.9	200	2.0	36	0.4	9906	97.7	0.7
SACRAMENTO	5598	3.2	200	3.6	22	0.4	5376	96.0	0.6
SAN BENITO	207	0.1	10	4.8	3	1.4	194	93.7	0.6
SAN BERNARDINO	11586	6.7	365	3.2	33	0.3	11188	96.6	0.9
SAN DIEGO	13425	7.8	391	2.9	53	0.4	12981	96.7	0.6
SAN FRANCISCO	1728	1.0	61	3.5	1	0.1	1666	96.4	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	3223	1.9	78	2.4	13	0.4	3132	97.2	0.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1995	1.2	42	2.1	19	1.0	1934	96.9	1.0
SAN MATEO	3026	1.8	55	1.8	17	0.6	2954	97.6	0.6
SANTA BARBARA	2229	1.3	50	2.2	12	0.5	2167	97.2	0.8
SANTA CLARA	5811	3.4	238	4.1	34	0.6	5539	95.3	0.5
SANTA CRUZ	1556	0.9	27	1.7	28	1.8	1501	96.5	0.9
SHASTA	1098	0.6	31	2.8	11	1.0	1056	96.2	0.8
SIERRA	38	0.0	5	13.2	0	0.0	33	86.8	1.5
SISKIYOU	355	0.2	7	2.0	5	1.4	343	96.6	1.0
SOLANO	1399	0.8	29	2.1	7	0.5	1363	97.4	0.5
SONOMA	2745	1.6	43	1.6	11	0.4	2691	98.0	0.8
STANISLAUS	2898	1.7	80	2.8	17	0.6	2801	96.7	0.9
SUTTER	502	0.3	17	3.4	5	1.0	480	95.6	0.8
TEHAMA	470	0.3	14	3.0	2	0.4	454	96.6	1.2
TRINITY	215	0.1	4	1.9	2	0.9	209	97.2	2.0
TULARE	3555	2.1	103	2.9	28	0.8	3424	96.3	1.5
TUOLUMNE	447	0.3	19	4.3	5	1.1	423	94.6	1.1
VENTURA	3829	2.2	129	3.4	6	0.2	3694	96.5	0.7
YOLO	818	0.5	19	2.3	4	0.5	795	97.2	0.7
YUBA	524	0.3	12	2.3	2	0.4	510	97.3	1.2

TABLE 3a: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		SEX		RACE/ETHNICITY					
					WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK	
	N	%	MALE	FEMALE	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	172893	100.0	132321	40572	66796	38.6	76193	44.1	14280	8.3
UNDER 18	746	0.4	553	193	378	50.7	303	40.6	16	2.1
18-20	11767	6.8	9144	2623	4041	34.3	6187	52.6	578	4.9
21-30	76365	44.2	57487	18878	26453	34.6	36667	48.0	5387	7.1
31-40	37467	21.7	29344	8123	12512	33.4	17933	47.9	3551	9.5
41-50	26175	15.1	19919	6256	11592	44.3	9972	38.1	2675	10.2
51-60	14999	8.7	11631	3368	8273	55.2	4120	27.5	1610	10.7
61-70	4435	2.6	3505	930	2906	65.5	857	19.3	387	8.7
71 & ABOVE	939	0.5	738	201	641	68.3	154	16.4	76	8.1
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0	29.0	32.0		29.0		33.0	
									29.0	

TABLE 3b: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY					
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		172893	100.0	66796	38.6	76193	44.1	14280	8.3
MALE									
	UNDER 18	553	0.4	244	44.1	263	47.6	12	2.2
	18-20	9144	6.9	2834	31.0	5167	56.5	433	4.7
	21-30	57487	43.4	18120	31.5	29721	51.7	3918	6.8
	31-40	29344	22.2	8716	29.7	15257	52.0	2694	9.2
	41-50	19919	15.1	7694	38.6	8608	43.2	2094	10.5
	51-60	11631	8.8	5818	50.0	3677	31.6	1298	11.2
	61-70	3505	2.6	2140	61.1	781	22.3	335	9.6
	71 & ABOVE	738	0.6	474	64.2	134	18.2	69	9.3
	TOTAL	132321	100.0	46040	34.8	63608	48.1	10853	8.2
FEMALE									
	UNDER 18	193	0.5	134	69.4	40	20.7	4	2.1
	18-20	2623	6.5	1207	46.0	1020	38.9	145	5.5
	21-30	18878	46.5	8333	44.1	6946	36.8	1469	7.8
	31-40	8123	20.0	3796	46.7	2676	32.9	857	10.6
	41-50	6256	15.4	3898	62.3	1364	21.8	581	9.3
	51-60	3368	8.3	2455	72.9	443	13.2	312	9.3
	61-70	930	2.3	766	82.4	76	8.2	52	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	201	0.5	167	83.1	20	10.0	7	3.5
	TOTAL	40572	100.0	20756	51.2	12585	31.0	3427	8.4
									8.9
									7.8
									9.6
									11.3
									9.8
									6.6
									4.7
									3.9
									3.5
									9.4

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2002-2012

AGE		2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011 ^a	2012
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	1557	1576	1488	1436	1697	1635	1494	1262	1085	891	746
	%	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4
18-20	<i>N</i>	14410	14612	14672	14617	16837	17201	17558	16382	14859	13073	11767
	%	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.2	7.9	7.6	7.3	6.8
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	15967	16188	16160	16053	18534	18836	19052	17644	15944	13964	12513
	%	9.0	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.4	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.1	7.8	7.2

^aThe non-reporting of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests by CHP for the month of April 2011 is reflected in this table's 2011 figures.

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2012, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2011 in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. Tables in this section compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like “2011 convictions” refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2011, and subsequently convicted. Starting last year, the data source, placement, and type of information provided in Figure 4 and Tables 5 and 6 have changed. Namely, because of the unresolved data reporting problems, discovered several years ago, in DUI arrest data from the Department of Justice (DOJ) MACR system, there was a change in the data extraction procedures for the information provided in Table 6. Since some DUI arrest data from the MACR system could not be matched to the DMV master file (in part due to previously mentioned data reporting problems), the information in Table 6 is estimated based only on DUI cases whose arrest and/or conviction was found on the DMV master file (“matchable DUI cases”) starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report (2010 conviction rates). This section contains the following tables and figures:

Table 4: 2011 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: DUI conviction Data for 2011. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data (DUI felony and misdemeanor convictions and alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. For DUI convictions, it also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.


Table 6: Adjudication Status of 2011 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status (court disposition) of 2011 DUI arrests statewide and by county. It includes the percentages of arrests that resulted in DUI convictions (DUI conviction rates), misdemeanor and felony DUI convictions, reckless driving convictions (alcohol/drug and non-alcohol/drug related), other convictions, and the percentage of DUI arrests with no record of any conviction. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report, these estimates are limited to DUI arrest cases from the MACR file whose arrest and/or conviction was found in the DMV master file and who were tracked individually to determine their final adjudication status.

In the past, the information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status in this table was obtained by dividing the total number of convictions by the total number of arrests, statewide and by county, without matching individual cases. Starting with 2010 convictions, this information is estimated by tracking matched individual DUI arrest cases and by calculating percentages of those who were convicted of DUI or some other type of violation, or who were not convicted.

Table 7a: 2011 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI and Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Convictions and Table 7b: 2011 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 7a shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI and alcohol- or drug-reckless convictions. Because the APS forms more completely report BAC levels than do abstracts of conviction, they are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 7b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 8: 2011 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level. This table displays the percentages of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms for each offense level.

Figure 4 shows, for the years 2002 to 2011, the total number of DUI convictions and DUI conviction rates based on the violation year.



DUI conviction rate (percent convicted) ^a	77.3%	76.7%	77.0%	78.1%	79.4%	78.8%	78.7%	77.2%	73.1% ^b	73.3% ^b
---	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------------------	--------------------

^aIn the past, this figure presented convictions rates and counts based on updated data. Starting with 2010 conviction counts and rates will no longer be updated for past years; instead, they will remain unchanged after the initial year of publication. ^bStarting in 2010, DUI conviction rates are based on different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to prior years (see footnote Table 6).

Figure 4. DUI convictions and conviction rates, 2002-2011.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters

- ◆ 73.3% of 2011 DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).
- ◆ In California, DUI convictions remain on the driving record for 10 years. Based on the DUI conviction data for arrests within 10 years (2002-2011), 4.9% of all California drivers (including those who do not have a permanent driving record) have one or more DUI convictions on their record.
- ◆ 7.9% of 2011 DUI arrests resulted in alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions and 1.6% resulted in standard reckless driving convictions (see Table 6).
- ◆ 1.5% of 2011 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, such as speed contest or driving with a suspended or revoked license (see Table 6).
- ◆ 15.8% of 2011 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction that could be found on DMV's database (see Table 6).

- ◆ The average reported non-zero BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2011, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.16% (median BAC level was 0.15%), which is the same as in the past 7 years, yet still double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).
- ◆ Average and median non-zero BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increases from a 0.16% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense (the median BAC level increases from a 0.15% BAC for a first offense to a 0.18% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense). This is shown in Table 8.
- ◆ Among 2011 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.7% were first offenders, 19.8% were second offenders, 5.0% were third offenders, and 1.5% were on their fourth-or-more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is 10 years). The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (26.3%), shown in Table 8, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years (by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005). For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5% versus 26.3% in 2011.
- ◆ The median adjudication time lags were 91 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 7 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling about 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record (see Table 5).

Demographic Characteristics

- ◆ The median age of a convicted DUI offender in 2011 was 30.0 years (see Table 4).
- ◆ 50.8% of 2011 DUI convictees were 30 years of age or younger and 72.8% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).
- ◆ Females comprised 23.4% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2011 (see Table 4). The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.

TABLE 4: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STATEWIDE	142121	100.0	108933	76.6	33188	23.4
UNDER 18	387	0.3	308	79.6	79	20.4
18-20	9701	6.8	7412	76.4	2289	23.6
21-30	62078	43.7	46881	75.5	15197	24.5
31-40	31286	22.0	24547	78.5	6739	21.5
41-50	22381	15.7	16970	75.8	5411	24.2
51-60	12210	8.6	9532	78.1	2678	21.9
61-70	3439	2.4	2761	80.3	678	19.7
71 & ABOVE	639	0.4	522	81.7	117	18.3
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.7		33.9		33.3	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		30.0	

^a County-specific tabulations of 2011 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2. Percents may not add to 100% due to rounding.

TABLE 5: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS^a

COUNTY	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^b	UNDER 21 DUI ^c	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
					VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	136921	3967	1233	19204	91	7
ALAMEDA	4860	32	36	1310	95	4
ALPINE	12	0	0	7	46	4
AMADOR	139	8	1	12	85	15
BUTTE	1181	31	25	230	108	14
CALAVERAS	173	6	2	46	55	3
COLUSA	104	7	2	40	79	6
CONTRA COSTA	3113	107	43	589	181	10
DEL NORTE	123	7	6	36	78	106
EL DORADO	871	19	13	267	98	24
FRESNO	3904	204	60	643	128	0
GLENN	170	7	0	43	141	11
HUMBOLDT	828	17	7	238	85	36
IMPERIAL	487	8	8	146	145	14
INYO	151	7	1	55	86	2
KERN	3644	121	17	618	31	13
KINGS	782	33	9	107	121	0
LAKE	284	14	1	29	101	71
LASSEN	124	1	5	14	120	7
LOS ANGELES	27677	461	169	3787	85	7
MADERA	882	23	7	115	156	35
MARIN	1168	36	12	1	66	28
MARIPOSA	58	7	0	3	90	4
MENDOCINO	535	17	5	98	71	66
MERCED	962	19	18	168	197	76
MODOC	43	1	0	14	99	9
MONO	136	2	2	19	111	39
MONTEREY	1963	39	5	352	54	10
NAPA	881	29	9	107	63	3
NEVADA	525	11	6	57	86	14
ORANGE	14566	359	97	917	110	0
PLACER	1398	87	21	182	102	7
PLUMAS	127	2	4	8	77	1
RIVERSIDE	8267	179	51	59	108	2
SACRAMENTO	6008	244	76	724	82	10
SAN BENITO	258	11	4	39	90	55
SAN BERNARDINO	7773	323	73	1041	140	6
SAN DIEGO	11941	465	130	2530	72	11
SAN FRANCISCO	1085	37	6	241	78	10
SAN JOAQUIN	2438	112	29	638	37	4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1510	74	20	271	58	13
SAN MATEO	2492	81	22	471	121	15
SANTA BARBARA	2053	83	18	307	48	20
SANTA CLARA	5322	197	38	544	73	11
SANTA CRUZ	1133	14	17	141	67	32
SHASTA	809	52	5	246	83	4
SIERRA	12	2	0	6	72	95
SISKIYOU	263	18	2	74	128	9
SOLANO	1225	37	18	196	96	13
SONOMA	2271	69	24	489	64	6
STANISLAUS	2181	68	13	312	77	11
SUTTER	263	13	3	100	60	24
TEHAMA	269	13	3	89	59	16
TRINITY	114	5	1	43	117	21
TULARE	2554	26	32	188	59	22
TUOLUMNE	339	11	7	29	80	7
VENTURA	3448	48	33	0	96	0
YOLO	654	41	15	88	88	14
YUBA	368	22	2	80	85	33

^aConviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3. DUI conviction rates by county are in Table 6.^bThis count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in 10 years) which are statutorily defined as felonies. ^cViolations of VC 23140.

TABLE 6: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY^a

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ^b
		% MIS- DEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL OR DRUG	% NONALCOHOL NOR DRUG		
STATEWIDE	73.3	72.0	1.3	7.9	1.6	1.5	15.8
ALAMEDA	60.9	60.6	0.3	13.3	2.1	1.2	22.5
ALPINE	47.8	47.8	0.0	26.1	0.0	4.4	21.7
AMADOR	73.0	70.4	2.6	5.1	8.7	1.0	12.2
BUTTE	76.8	75.9	0.9	9.8	1.5	0.6	11.3
CALAVERAS	68.7	67.9	0.8	14.4	3.7	1.2	11.9
COLUSA	57.0	55.4	1.6	16.7	4.8	1.6	19.9
CONTRA COSTA	69.1	68.5	0.6	9.3	0.3	1.7	19.7
DEL NORTE	69.0	67.9	1.1	11.6	1.1	1.1	17.4
EL DORADO	68.1	67.2	0.9	14.3	2.3	0.5	14.9
FRESNO	68.4	67.3	1.1	6.2	0.2	0.3	25.1
GLENN	66.4	64.8	1.6	8.9	4.1	0.4	20.2
HUMBOLDT	62.8	61.5	1.3	14.0	3.9	1.6	17.8
IMPERIAL	54.6	53.9	0.7	13.6	5.3	0.7	25.9
INYO	67.1	65.4	1.7	13.1	0.8	0.4	18.6
KERN	74.8	73.1	1.7	9.2	1.8	0.8	13.5
KINGS	74.5	73.1	1.4	8.3	0.7	0.4	16.1
LAKE	75.4	72.4	3.0	5.4	0.0	0.8	18.4
LASSEN	73.3	72.7	0.6	5.8	2.3	0.6	18.0
LOS ANGELES	69.1	68.0	1.1	7.8	2.0	3.2	18.1
MADERA	71.1	69.7	1.4	6.2	3.0	0.9	19.0
MARIN	86.3	85.1	1.2	0.1	0.0	1.5	12.1
MARIPOSA	75.1	69.1	6.0	2.4	11.9	1.2	9.5
MENDOCINO	75.6	73.2	2.4	8.2	0.7	0.8	14.7
MERCED	62.5	61.5	1.0	8.1	0.9	0.7	27.8
MODOC	58.3	56.9	1.4	13.9	1.4	0.0	26.4
MONO	77.9	77.3	0.6	5.2	0.0	0.6	16.3
MONTEREY	74.7	73.4	1.3	10.5	1.1	0.8	12.8
NAPA	83.1	82.2	0.9	7.9	0.8	0.8	7.4
NEVADA	79.2	78.4	0.8	5.2	2.5	0.8	12.4
ORANGE	84.8	83.8	1.0	4.6	0.3	0.5	9.8
PLACER	81.6	78.8	2.8	6.5	0.3	0.3	11.3
PLUMAS	66.0	64.9	1.1	1.6	13.5	1.1	17.8
RIVERSIDE	75.6	74.1	1.5	0.4	3.6	0.8	19.7
SACRAMENTO	78.9	77.2	1.7	7.0	0.1	0.8	13.2
SAN BENITO	82.4	81.4	1.0	6.9	0.0	1.3	9.5
SAN BERNARDINO	69.4	67.5	1.9	7.3	2.6	2.2	18.6
SAN DIEGO	75.3	73.8	1.5	12.6	1.6	0.5	10.0
SAN FRANCISCO	58.3	56.7	1.6	10.3	3.0	1.1	27.4
SAN JOAQUIN	67.9	66.9	1.0	13.6	0.6	2.0	15.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	77.4	75.8	1.6	10.2	1.8	2.2	8.4
SAN MATEO	75.1	74.0	1.1	10.9	0.0	1.4	12.7
SANTA BARBARA	75.9	74.4	1.5	9.1	2.4	1.0	11.7
SANTA CLARA	80.9	78.7	2.2	6.7	1.8	1.0	9.6
SANTA CRUZ	76.8	75.8	1.0	7.6	2.1	0.9	12.6
SHASTA	70.5	68.5	2.0	13.1	0.9	0.4	15.3
SIERRA	52.2	47.8	4.4	21.7	4.4	0.0	21.7
SISKIYOU	64.8	62.8	2.0	8.8	1.0	0.7	24.8
SOLANO	75.6	74.0	1.6	10.3	1.9	1.0	11.2
SONOMA	75.2	74.2	1.0	12.3	0.6	0.9	11.1
STANISLAUS	69.7	68.5	1.2	7.7	2.5	0.5	19.6
SUTTER	64.0	62.5	1.5	17.3	0.7	1.5	16.6
TEHAMA	63.8	62.4	1.4	11.9	2.1	0.7	21.6
TRINITY	60.1	57.9	2.2	12.0	1.1	0.0	26.8
TULARE	74.4	73.7	0.7	4.4	0.2	1.9	19.1
TUOLUMNE	80.2	79.0	1.2	4.4	4.9	0.9	9.7
VENTURA	80.1	79.0	1.1	0.0	0.1	1.1	18.8
YOLO	77.1	74.2	2.9	7.2	3.1	0.7	12.0
YUBA	76.1	74.1	2.0	9.2	0.6	0.4	13.7

^aThe adjudication status and DUI conviction rates since 2010 are derived using different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to figures for prior years. These estimates are based only on DUI arrest cases from the MACR system whose arrests or convictions were found on the DMV database.

^bThese include dismissals and failures-to-appear (FTA); the statewide FTA average is 2.7%.

TABLE 7a: 2011 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS^a

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1586	1.3	.00	418	2.6
.01	85	0.1	.01	24	0.2
.02	87	0.1	.02	34	0.2
.03	84	0.1	.03	31	0.2
.04	124	0.1	.04	51	0.3
.05	444	0.4	.05	98	0.6
.06	644	0.5	.06	271	1.7
.07	875	0.7	.07	914	5.7
.08	2273	1.8	.08	3601	22.4
.09	4009	3.2	.09	4184	26.0
.10	6818	5.5	.10	2830	17.6
.11	8749	7.1	.11	1393	8.7
.12	9594	7.7	.12	762	4.7
.13	9792	7.9	.13	446	2.8
.14	9760	7.9	.14	297	1.9
.15	9503	7.7	.15	189	1.2
.16	8767	7.1	.16	118	0.7
.17	8012	6.5	.17	82	0.5
.18	7361	5.9	.18	75	0.5
.19	6322	5.1	.19	75	0.5
.20	5658	4.6	.20	33	0.2
.21	4722	3.8	.21	30	0.2
.22	3874	3.1	.22	32	0.2
.23	3243	2.6	.23	23	0.1
.24	2510	2.0	.24	16	0.1
.25	1947	1.6	.25	12	0.1
.26	1605	1.3	.26	10	0.1
.27	1211	1.0	.27	8	0.1
.28	984	0.8	.28	5	0.0
.29	820	0.7	.29	2	0.0
.30	618	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.31	474	0.4	.31	2	0.0
.32	399	0.3	.32	1	0.0
.33	279	0.2	.35	1	0.0
.34	217	0.2	.38	1	0.0
.35	188	0.2			
.36	121	0.1			
.37	81	0.1			
.38	88	0.1			
.39	71	0.1			
.40	63	0.1			
.41	29	0.0			
.42	15	0.0			
.43	16	0.0			
.44	11	0.0			
.45	3	0.0			
.46	3	0.0			
.47	3	0.0			
.48	6	0.0			
.49	3	0.0			
.54	1	0.0			
.56	1	0.0			
.58	1	0.0			
	-----	----		-----	----
TOTAL	124154	100.0	TOTAL	16071	100.0
	MEAN ^b BAC .16			MEAN ^b BAC .10	
	MEDIAN ^b BAC .15			MEDIAN ^b BAC .09	

^aThe source of BAC data is the APS reporting form. The percentage of DUI convictees arrested in 2011 with BAC levels found on these forms is 87.4%.

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 7b: 2011 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS
OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21^a

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	170	1.9	.23	128	1.4
.01	22	0.2	.24	81	0.9
.02	20	0.2	.25	47	0.5
.03	19	0.2	.26	30	0.3
.04	44	0.5	.27	24	0.3
.05	309	3.4	.28	11	0.1
.06	394	4.3	.29	12	0.1
.07	404	4.4	.30	9	0.1
.08	330	3.6	.31	2	0.0
.09	456	5.0	.32	1	0.0
.10	583	6.4	.33	1	0.0
.11	688	7.6	.34	2	0.0
.12	757	8.3	.35	1	0.0
.13	732	8.0	.36	2	0.0
.14	695	7.6	.37	1	0.0
.15	644	7.1	.39	2	0.0
.16	552	6.1	.54	1	0.0
.17	524	5.8	.56	1	0.0
.18	436	4.8			
.19	365	4.0	TOTAL	9110	100.0
.20	280	3.1			
.21	186	2.0			
.22	144	1.6			
				MEAN ^b BAC .13	
				MEDIAN ^b BAC .13	

^aThe source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The percentage of 2011 convicted under age 21 cases with BAC levels found on these forms is 90.3%.

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 8: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND
REPORTED BAC LEVEL^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ^b	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) ^b
STATEWIDE	100.0	.16	.15
1 ST DUI	73.7	.16	.15
2 ND DUI	19.8	.17	.16
3 RD DUI	5.0	.18	.17
4 TH +DUI	1.5	.19	.18

^aThe source of BAC data is identical to that of Table 7a.

^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2011. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 9: 2011 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 10: 2011 DUI Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

Figure 5 shows the percentage representation of court-ordered post-conviction sanctions for DUI offenders arrested in 2011.




Figure 5. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2011).

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2011 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Sanctions

- ◆ The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95.9%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (5.4%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.3% of the cases. In many jurisdictions, however, all or a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service or home confinement rather than actual jail time. This is shown in Table 9, and graphically in Figure 5 (previous page). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.

County Variation

- ◆ The use of first-offender DUI programs (mostly from 3 to 9 months long) among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 20 counties to 17.6% in Glenn County (see Table 10).

Court Variation

- ◆ Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they use available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Lancaster) assigned jail to 81.1% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 1,234$), while another court (Malibu) in the same county assigned jail to only 24.5% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 277$). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.
- ◆ 0.2% of all DUI offenders arrested in 2011 were referred to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 9). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month programs (see Table 10).
- ◆ Statewide, courts required 5.4% of all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2011 to install an ignition interlock device, which is similar to 5.7% for the DUI offenders arrested in 2010 (see Table 9).

Variation by Offender Status

- ◆ 65.2% of first DUI offenders arrested in 2011 were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.8% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9).
- ◆ 90.9% of first DUI offenders were assigned by courts to DUI programs, along with 89.1% of second offenders, 77.7% of third offenders, and 42.9% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 9. (By statute, however, all DUI offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- ◆ 16.9% of repeat DUI offenders arrested in 2011 were required by the courts to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles, compared to 17.4% of those arrested in 2010. Despite the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not require interlocks for these offenders (over 75% of “mandatory” assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlocks. Also, on July 1, 2010, two new ignition interlock laws took effect. The first law (SB 598 – Huff) allows second and third DUI offenders, whose violations involved alcohol only, to reinstate early if they install an ignition interlock device. The second law (AB 91 – Feuer) creates a pilot program in four Counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires first-time and repeat DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in all vehicles they own or operate for a specific time period based on their number of prior DUI convictions.

TABLE 9: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS^a

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL <i>N</i>	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE	142121	95.9	73.3	67.3	21.7	0.2	5.4
1 ST	104699	96.7	65.2	88.6	2.3	0.0	1.2
REPEAT	37422	93.5	95.8	7.7	76.0	0.5	16.9
2 ND	28069	96.6	95.4	9.3	79.7	0.1	15.6
3 RD	7163	91.7	97.2	3.2	72.6	1.9	22.6
4 TH +	2190	58.7	96.0	2.1	39.6	1.2	15.0

^aEntries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2011 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are not exclusive; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL <i>N</i>	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE		14212	95.9	73.3	67.3	21.7	0.2	5.4
ALAMEDA	1 ST	3559	98.6	98.3	86.9	2.8	0.0	3.2
	2 ND	1031	99.3	99.0	10.8	76.5	0.1	14.2
	3 RD	267	97.4	94.0	4.1	67.8	5.2	16.5
	4 TH +	71	93.0	95.8	2.8	49.3	0.0	8.5
	TOTAL	4928	98.6	98.2	65.2	22.4	0.3	6.3
ALPINE	1 ST	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3
	TOTAL	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3
AMADOR	1 ST	102	91.2	96.1	68.6	1.0	0.0	6.9
	2 ND	35	94.3	100.0	37.1	25.7	0.0	40.0
	3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	55.6
	4 TH +	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	148	90.5	97.3	56.8	8.8	0.0	17.6
BUTTE	1 ST	864	94.4	89.4	94.7	1.3	0.1	0.8
	2 ND	274	95.3	99.3	15.3	74.8	6.2	7.7
	3 RD	74	79.7	95.9	6.8	40.5	37.8	37.8
	4 TH +	25	48.0	96.0	4.0	24.0	24.0	40.0
	TOTAL	1237	92.8	92.1	70.0	20.4	4.2	5.3
CALAVERAS	1 ST	127	95.3	97.6	93.7	1.6	0.0	8.7
	2 ND	37	100.0	100.0	48.6	45.9	0.0	48.6
	3 RD	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	69.2	0.0	61.5
	4 TH +	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	181	96.7	98.3	77.9	17.1	0.0	22.1
COLUSA	1 ST	81	91.4	95.1	72.8	3.7	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	23	87.0	100.0	21.7	56.5	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4 TH +	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	113	90.3	96.5	56.6	18.6	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1 ST	2283	96.9	93.5	89.5	2.2	0.0	0.7
	2 ND	704	98.4	97.4	6.8	84.2	0.0	17.9
	3 RD	190	96.8	95.8	1.1	78.9	0.0	18.9
	4 TH +	86	84.9	88.4	0.0	46.5	0.0	20.9
	TOTAL	3263	96.9	94.4	64.1	25.6	0.0	6.0
DEL NORTE	1 ST	96	86.5	93.8	82.3	3.1	1.0	5.2
	2 ND	26	84.6	100.0	3.8	80.8	0.0	57.7
	3 RD	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0
	4 TH +	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	136	82.4	94.9	58.8	22.8	0.7	17.6
EL DORADO	1 ST	594	96.6	95.5	87.9	4.5	0.0	1.5
	2 ND	231	98.7	97.0	10.8	81.8	0.0	26.0
	3 RD	55	96.4	96.4	3.6	81.8	0.0	34.5
	4 TH +	23	56.5	82.6	4.3	34.8	0.0	17.4
	TOTAL	903	96.1	95.6	60.9	29.8	0.0	10.2

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	1 ST	2790	95.2	95.9	92.0	1.9	0.0	0.4
	2 ND	937	95.5	98.9	8.2	86.6	0.0	10.2
	3 RD	320	87.2	99.7	3.4	76.9	0.9	20.9
	4 TH +	121	38.8	100.0	6.6	28.9	0.8	3.3
	TOTAL	4168	93.0	97.0	63.9	27.4	0.1	4.3
GLENN	1 ST	119	99.2	36.1	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	39	94.9	87.2	5.1	17.9	0.0	10.3
	3 RD	17	88.2	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	58.8
	4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	177	96.6	54.2	13.0	4.5	0.0	8.5
HUMBOLDT	1 ST	606	97.7	87.0	92.4	1.2	0.0	1.3
	2 ND	184	98.4	92.4	13.0	77.2	0.5	71.2
	3 RD	50	98.0	94.0	4.0	82.0	8.0	78.0
	4 TH +	12	66.7	91.7	0.0	16.7	8.3	41.7
	TOTAL	852	97.4	88.6	68.8	22.5	0.7	21.5
IMPERIAL	1 ST	400	92.3	11.0	76.3	1.2	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	75	90.7	45.3	26.7	56.0	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	24	91.7	79.2	4.2	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4 TH +	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	503	91.5	20.1	64.8	12.5	0.0	0.0
INYO	1 ST	106	99.1	28.3	90.6	1.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	35	94.3	80.0	17.1	74.3	0.0	8.6
	3 RD	14	100.0	85.7	7.1	71.4	7.1	14.3
	4 TH +	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	159	96.9	46.5	64.8	24.5	0.6	3.1
KERN	1 ST	2662	96.9	97.7	68.1	0.6	0.0	1.3
	2 ND	837	96.2	99.6	10.0	13.9	0.5	23.9
	3 RD	214	91.1	98.1	6.5	12.6	0.9	38.8
	4 TH +	69	47.8	100.0	5.8	10.1	2.9	11.6
	TOTAL	3782	95.5	98.2	50.6	4.4	0.2	8.6
KINGS	1 ST	571	92.6	95.6	86.2	5.1	0.0	0.2
	2 ND	168	92.9	98.8	8.3	79.8	0.0	0.6
	3 RD	62	85.5	100.0	3.2	80.6	0.0	1.6
	4 TH +	23	47.8	100.0	4.3	21.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	824	90.9	96.7	61.8	26.5	0.0	0.4
LAKE	1 ST	215	89.3	49.8	70.2	1.9	0.0	1.9
	2 ND	59	89.8	86.4	8.5	57.6	1.7	11.9
	3 RD	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	78.3	0.0	26.1
	4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	299	89.6	61.2	52.2	18.7	0.3	5.7
LASSEN	1 ST	101	90.1	92.1	72.3	4.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	23	100.0	100.0	21.7	43.5	0.0	4.3
	3 RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	130	92.3	93.8	60.0	14.6	0.0	0.8

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL <i>N</i>	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES	1 ST	22167	96.5	27.9	87.9	2.6	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	4870	95.6	91.3	8.3	80.8	0.3	0.3
	3 RD	1034	87.7	96.9	2.4	63.7	7.2	1.2
	4 TH +	236	40.7	98.3	1.7	15.3	2.5	0.0
	TOTAL	28307	95.6	41.9	70.3	18.4	0.4	0.1
MADERA	1 ST	628	96.2	94.6	90.9	1.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	191	94.8	96.9	16.8	71.7	0.5	0.0
	3 RD	63	98.4	95.2	7.9	77.8	1.6	0.0
	4 TH +	30	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	10.0	0.0
	TOTAL	912	95.5	95.3	66.7	22.9	0.5	0.0
MARIN	1 ST	912	98.7	17.4	86.4	1.2	0.0	0.5
	2 ND	225	99.6	91.1	4.4	86.2	0.0	19.1
	3 RD	61	93.4	95.1	0.0	34.4	0.0	45.9
	4 TH +	18	83.3	100.0	0.0	38.9	0.0	72.2
	TOTAL	1216	98.4	36.2	65.6	19.2	0.0	7.3
MARIPOSA	1 ST	40	100.0	100.0	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	33.3	6.7	26.7
	3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	22.2	33.3	0.0	11.1
	4 TH +	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	65	95.4	100.0	44.6	12.3	1.5	7.7
MENDOCINO	1 ST	364	90.9	94.2	86.5	1.9	0.3	3.6
	2 ND	133	92.5	98.5	12.8	73.7	0.0	30.8
	3 RD	53	92.5	96.2	5.7	84.9	0.0	64.2
	4 TH +	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	14.3
	TOTAL	557	91.4	95.5	60.1	27.6	0.2	16.0
MERCED	1 ST	721	78.2	95.6	76.4	2.8	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	214	80.8	99.1	10.3	78.0	0.0	3.7
	3 RD	49	79.6	93.9	4.1	61.2	4.1	14.3
	4 TH +	15	46.7	86.7	6.7	13.3	0.0	6.7
	TOTAL	999	78.4	96.1	57.7	21.9	0.2	1.6
MODOC	1 ST	36	100.0	69.4	77.8	0.0	0.0	2.8
	2 ND	8	87.5	87.5	37.5	37.5	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	44	97.7	72.7	70.5	6.8	0.0	2.3
MONO	1 ST	108	96.3	50.9	89.8	1.9	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	24	100.0	100.0	20.8	70.8	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	14.3
	4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	140	97.1	62.1	72.9	19.3	0.0	0.7
MONTEREY	1 ST	1455	98.8	98.4	77.9	1.7	0.0	11.3
	2 ND	416	97.8	99.0	8.4	72.1	0.0	64.4
	3 RD	111	96.4	96.4	1.8	68.5	0.0	67.6
	4 TH +	25	80.0	100.0	0.0	36.0	0.0	16.0
	TOTAL	2007	98.3	98.4	58.3	20.4	0.0	25.5

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	1 ST	692	97.8	96.5	91.6	2.2	0.0	8.8
	2 ND	176	96.0	97.7	9.7	84.1	0.0	78.4
	3 RD	38	94.7	92.1	0.0	78.9	0.0	84.2
	4 TH +	13	53.8	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	15.4
	TOTAL	919	96.7	96.6	70.8	21.8	0.0	25.4
NEVADA	1 ST	384	98.2	97.1	93.5	1.3	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	111	99.1	100.0	27.9	67.6	0.0	2.7
	3 RD	37	100.0	100.0	16.2	78.4	0.0	2.7
	4 TH +	10	60.0	100.0	10.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	542	97.8	98.0	73.2	21.0	0.0	0.7
ORANGE	1 ST	11302	98.2	38.8	93.7	2.0	0.0	0.9
	2 ND	2920	98.2	93.0	5.7	88.2	0.0	19.3
	3 RD	637	93.9	96.5	2.0	86.5	0.0	23.9
	4 TH +	163	51.5	96.3	0.6	43.6	0.0	9.2
	TOTAL	15022	97.5	52.4	71.7	22.8	0.0	5.5
PLACER	1 ST	1084	97.2	96.3	89.1	4.1	0.0	1.9
	2 ND	305	95.1	99.7	12.1	81.3	0.0	55.1
	3 RD	74	89.2	98.6	2.7	85.1	0.0	75.7
	4 TH +	43	58.1	100.0	9.3	46.5	0.0	46.5
	TOTAL	1506	95.3	97.2	67.0	24.9	0.0	17.6
PLUMAS	1 ST	95	94.7	94.7	80.0	11.6	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	29	100.0	100.0	3.4	86.2	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4 TH +	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	133	94.7	96.2	57.9	31.6	0.0	0.8
RIVERSIDE	1 ST	6395	97.5	96.0	93.2	2.4	0.0	0.2
	2 ND	1583	95.3	97.2	7.3	86.5	0.0	1.3
	3 RD	376	92.6	97.3	2.9	88.3	0.0	2.9
	4 TH +	143	64.3	88.8	1.4	58.7	0.0	4.9
	TOTAL	8497	96.3	96.1	71.7	22.9	0.0	0.6
SACRAMENTO	1 ST	4448	97.5	96.8	90.3	1.6	0.0	0.9
	2 ND	1347	97.0	99.2	8.5	85.2	0.0	1.9
	3 RD	388	93.6	99.0	1.0	88.1	0.0	4.6
	4 TH +	145	55.2	96.6	0.0	56.6	0.0	22.1
	TOTAL	6328	96.2	97.4	65.3	25.9	0.0	1.8
SAN BENITO	1 ST	177	97.7	94.4	22.6	0.6	0.0	0.6
	2 ND	63	95.2	100.0	4.8	12.7	0.0	25.4
	3 RD	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	26.1
	4 TH +	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
	TOTAL	273	96.7	96.3	15.8	3.7	0.0	8.8
SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST	5852	95.2	71.4	89.8	2.7	0.0	0.2
	2 ND	1651	95.2	96.9	9.0	82.7	0.0	0.9
	3 RD	495	86.9	96.4	2.6	55.2	0.0	0.6
	4 TH +	171	45.0	86.0	1.8	27.5	0.0	2.3
	TOTAL	8169	93.6	78.4	66.4	22.6	0.0	0.4

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL <i>N</i>	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN DIEGO	1 ST	9324	96.7	12.5	89.3	2.1	0.0	0.1
	2 ND	2475	97.1	87.4	8.7	81.5	0.0	1.3
	3 RD	601	90.5	96.2	3.0	78.2	0.0	4.8
	4 TH +	136	52.9	97.1	2.9	31.6	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	12536	96.0	32.2	68.3	21.7	0.0	0.5
SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	879	98.0	99.0	94.7	2.5	0.0	3.3
	2 ND	188	98.9	100.0	9.6	85.1	0.5	69.1
	3 RD	54	98.1	100.0	1.9	85.2	9.3	77.8
	4 TH +	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	71.4	14.3	42.9
	TOTAL	1128	98.0	99.2	75.4	20.7	0.6	18.1
SAN JOAQUIN	1 ST	1711	97.5	97.9	93.6	2.1	0.0	1.3
	2 ND	617	98.4	99.7	9.2	87.7	0.0	52.8
	3 RD	172	95.9	99.4	4.1	91.9	0.0	58.7
	4 TH +	79	70.9	100.0	1.3	81.0	0.0	46.8
	TOTAL	2579	96.8	98.5	64.6	31.0	0.0	18.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	1128	97.6	96.7	91.7	1.6	0.0	0.3
	2 ND	345	98.6	99.7	10.1	83.8	0.0	2.9
	3 RD	99	99.0	100.0	3.0	87.9	1.0	12.1
	4 TH +	32	68.8	100.0	0.0	59.4	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1604	97.3	97.6	66.8	25.7	0.1	1.6
SAN MATEO	1 ST	1935	91.2	97.7	83.8	1.3	0.0	0.6
	2 ND	527	95.8	99.1	4.6	82.2	0.0	11.6
	3 RD	106	87.7	100.0	2.8	73.6	0.0	37.7
	4 TH +	27	88.9	100.0	3.7	18.5	0.0	11.1
	TOTAL	2595	91.9	98.1	63.6	20.9	0.0	4.5
SANTA BARBARA	1 ST	1596	96.7	78.4	90.0	1.8	0.0	1.8
	2 ND	402	97.0	94.5	8.2	82.1	0.0	22.9
	3 RD	120	92.5	95.8	0.8	80.0	0.0	26.7
	4 TH +	36	63.9	97.2	2.8	41.7	0.0	5.6
	TOTAL	2154	96.0	82.7	68.3	21.8	0.0	7.2
SANTA CLARA	1 ST	4168	98.5	97.6	93.4	3.0	0.1	4.2
	2 ND	1105	98.4	99.7	14.5	81.0	0.0	39.7
	3 RD	221	95.9	100.0	9.0	78.3	0.0	69.2
	4 TH +	63	74.6	100.0	4.8	55.6	1.6	60.3
	TOTAL	5557	98.1	98.1	73.4	22.1	0.1	14.5
SANTA CRUZ	1 ST	832	97.7	95.3	79.9	1.4	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	222	99.5	98.6	11.7	57.7	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	90	94.4	98.9	3.3	34.4	0.0	1.1
	4 TH +	20	90.0	100.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1164	97.7	96.3	59.6	14.8	0.0	0.1
SHASTA	1 ST	575	96.9	97.6	85.2	1.4	0.0	28.9
	2 ND	217	94.5	98.6	17.5	63.6	0.9	74.2
	3 RD	55	87.3	100.0	1.8	76.4	1.8	70.9
	4 TH +	19	68.4	100.0	0.0	15.8	5.3	5.3
	TOTAL	866	95.0	98.0	61.1	22.1	0.5	42.4

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
SIERRA	1 ST	9	100.0	100.0	77.8	11.1	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	14	100.0	100.0	50.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1 ST	195	93.3	91.3	75.4	3.1	0.0	1.5
	2 ND	64	96.9	98.4	15.6	64.1	0.0	21.9
	3 RD	20	95.0	80.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	75.0
	4 TH +	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	283	94.0	91.9	57.2	20.8	0.0	12.4
SOLANO	1 ST	883	96.3	96.4	91.8	2.4	0.0	1.5
	2 ND	286	96.2	100.0	10.1	85.3	0.0	11.9
	3 RD	89	92.1	98.9	1.1	88.8	0.0	66.3
	4 TH +	22	63.6	100.0	0.0	59.1	0.0	40.9
	TOTAL	1280	95.4	97.4	65.7	27.9	0.0	9.0
SONOMA	1 ST	1679	96.9	97.1	91.1	1.3	0.0	1.6
	2 ND	518	96.5	98.5	7.9	85.9	0.0	43.8
	3 RD	134	92.5	99.3	6.0	71.6	0.0	46.3
	4 TH +	33	57.6	93.9	0.0	51.5	0.0	36.4
	TOTAL	2364	96.0	97.5	66.8	24.5	0.0	13.9
STANISLAS	1 ST	1618	98.5	98.8	93.1	3.6	0.0	0.3
	2 ND	470	99.4	100.0	10.2	87.7	0.0	3.2
	3 RD	122	96.7	100.0	7.4	90.2	0.0	10.7
	4 TH +	52	61.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	5.8
	TOTAL	2262	97.7	99.2	69.1	27.4	0.0	1.6
SUTTER	1 ST	181	93.9	95.0	85.1	2.2	0.0	9.9
	2 ND	67	94.0	100.0	14.9	76.1	0.0	74.6
	3 RD	22	95.5	100.0	0.0	95.5	0.0	95.5
	4 TH +	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	44.4
	TOTAL	279	92.5	96.8	58.8	28.7	0.0	33.3
TEHAMA	1 ST	182	92.3	97.8	88.5	3.3	0.0	1.1
	2 ND	78	93.6	100.0	10.3	83.3	0.0	1.3
	3 RD	20	85.0	100.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	10.0
	4 TH +	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	60.0
	TOTAL	285	90.5	98.6	59.3	30.2	0.0	2.8
TRINITY	1 ST	81	96.3	92.6	84.0	2.5	0.0	3.7
	2 ND	28	100.0	96.4	21.4	67.9	0.0	32.1
	3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1
	4 TH +	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	120	96.7	94.2	61.7	20.8	0.0	11.7
TULARE	1 ST	1851	96.4	94.8	66.4	3.0	0.1	1.1
	2 ND	521	94.6	99.4	7.5	83.3	0.0	9.0
	3 RD	164	92.7	95.7	1.8	82.3	0.0	21.3
	4 TH +	76	86.8	97.4	1.3	48.7	1.3	28.9
	TOTAL	2612	95.6	95.9	48.7	25.3	0.1	4.8

TABLE 10: 2011 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		<i>N</i>	%	%	%	%	%	%
TUOLUMNE	1 ST	223	96.0	92.4	88.3	1.8	0.0	0.4
	2 ND	94	95.7	92.6	6.4	79.8	0.0	1.1
	3 RD	33	81.8	93.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	36.4
	4 TH +	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
	TOTAL	357	94.1	92.7	56.9	23.0	0.8	3.9
VENTURA	1 ST	2729	97.7	97.3	95.3	1.8	0.0	2.9
	2 ND	610	99.0	98.0	9.7	89.0	0.0	83.1
	3 RD	142	96.5	97.2	0.7	93.0	0.0	90.8
	4 TH +	48	54.2	97.9	0.0	54.2	0.0	52.1
	TOTAL	3529	97.3	97.4	75.4	21.3	0.0	21.0
YOLO	1 ST	512	94.7	95.7	83.2	2.3	0.0	1.0
	2 ND	140	97.9	99.3	30.7	56.4	0.0	30.0
	3 RD	43	95.3	100.0	9.3	74.4	0.0	55.8
	4 TH +	15	33.3	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	13.3
	TOTAL	710	94.1	96.8	66.6	17.9	0.0	10.3
YUBA	1 ST	260	95.4	86.2	89.6	1.5	0.0	0.0
	2 ND	92	96.7	96.7	31.5	62.0	0.0	2.2
	3 RD	31	93.5	100.0	3.2	80.6	0.0	22.6
	4 TH +	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1
	TOTAL	392	94.1	90.1	67.1	22.4	0.0	2.6

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for drivers convicted of alcohol-or drug-related reckless driving and for first DUI offenders.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1991-2011, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2011, 3) percentages of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2011, and 4) long term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 1994.

The second part of the section contains the results of the analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for two groups of offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- ◆ The 1-year recidivism rates for all first DUI offenders decreased to the lowest level seen in the past 22 years. The DUI reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 2011 was 50.0% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ The 1-year reoffense rate for second DUI offenders also decreased about 50% in the past 22 years, from 9.7% in 1990 to 4.9% in 2011 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).
- ◆ Subsequent 1-year crash rates among second DUI offenders have declined from 4.0% in 1990 to 1.7% in 2011, a 57.5% relative decrease. The crash rate for first offenders has also declined; their 2011 rate is 52.8% lower than their 1990 crash rate (see Figure 7 and Table 11a).

- ◆ Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2011 who enrolled in a DUI intervention program, 87.8% of first offenders and 41.1% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13).
- ◆ At the end of 18 years, 32% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 35% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 8a).
- ◆ Over 18 years, DUI recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third-or-more offenders reoffending was 43%, while 35% of second offenders and 29% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 8b).
- ◆ Males showed a much higher cumulative percentage (33%) of reoffenses than did females (24%) over the 18-year time period (see Figure 8c).
- ◆ Long term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 8d).
- ◆ After 5 years, the percentage of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the percentages reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%), and was equivalent to the percentage reoffending in the 2004 group (18%). This is shown in Figure 8e.
- ◆ Unlike in the last 8 years' evaluations, this year's results show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders assigned to a DUI program were significantly lower than those who were not assigned. Also, the subsequent DUI incident rates of those assigned to DUI programs were significantly lower than the rates of those who were not assigned (see Table 14a).
- ◆ One-year subsequent DUI incident and crash rates of first DUI offenders referred to 3-month DUI programs were not significantly different from the DUI incident and crash rates of those referred to 9-month programs (see Table 14b).

Subject Selection and Data Collection Convicted DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Subjects were chosen based on their number of DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in

2011. The following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past 10 years, and 4) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, DUI offenders arrested in 1994 and subsequently convicted were selected for the 18-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of an alcohol- or drug-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se suspensions, and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents. For first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year subsequent unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations are included.

In order to maintain comparability to the previous subject-selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. For the sanction analyses among alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders and first DUI offenders, previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a DUI felony, and those with chemical-test refusal suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from those for the misdemeanor DUI offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent follow-up period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California driver license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were out-of-state cases and drivers with “X” license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2011

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS reports and plotted onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 6 shows the percentages of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2011, who reoffended within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 6. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2011).

This figure and Table 11a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders from 1990 to 2011. The overall decline translates into a 50.0% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2011. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the implementation of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As is evident in Figure 6, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 11a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2011

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
2009	4.2	5.2	3.1	1.9
2010	4.1	5.2	2.8	1.8
2011	3.8	4.9	2.5	1.7
% DIFFERENCE 1990 TO 2011	-50.0%	-49.5%	-52.8%	-57.5%

As noted in the past eight annual DUI-MIS reports, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group, as displayed in Figure 6 and Table 11a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 11a shows that, from 1990 to 2011, the reoffense rates also decreased close to 50% among second offenders. The reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders across all years. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 7 shows the percentages of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2011 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2011).

Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2011, Figure 7 and Table 11a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and then another decline after 2001. The relative difference between first offender crash rates in 1990 and 2011 is -52.8%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years shows a greater decline in crash involvement of -57.5%.

Overall, second offenders have lower crash rates than do first offenders (Table 11a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash rates of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (2 years) license suspensions imposed on second offenders.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2011

For the 8th year, the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism and crash rates, by county, are reported for both first and second DUI offenders.

Table 11b displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2011 by county. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year varied from 6.4% in Fresno County to 2.9% in Los Angeles County. Among the smaller counties, Amador and Mariposa had DUI recidivism rates above 10.0%, while Alpine and Sierra had 0.0% DUI recidivism rates. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, Fresno County had the highest rate, with 7.7% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year, whereas Orange County's second offenders had the lowest rate at 3.2%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 11.5% (Inyo) to 0.0% (Alpine, Calaveras, Modoc, Mono, and Sierra).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2011 are displayed in Table 11c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 3.0% in Orange County to 1.9% in San Mateo County. Among the smaller counties, Amador had a crash rate of 6.3%, while Alpine, Modoc, Sierra, Tehama, and Trinity had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, the rate at which second offenders have a subsequent crash within one year varied from 2.1% (Los Angeles and Riverside) to 1.0% (Alameda). Among the smaller counties, Marin had a crash rate of 4.9%, and 20 counties had 0.0% crash rates (Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, Imperial, Inyo, Lake, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, San Benito, Santa Cruz, Sierra, Siskiyou, Trinity, and Tuolumne).

TABLE 11b: 2011 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY
FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	2975	3.8	1048	4.9
ALAMEDA	126	4.6	54	6.7
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	9	11.4	1	3.7
BUTTE	20	3.0	6	3.0
CALAVERAS	6	5.4	0	0.0
COLUSA	3	5.7	1	5.0
CONTRA COSTA	61	4.2	22	4.6
DEL NORTE	6	9.4	1	4.4
EL DORADO	13	3.1	14	8.8
FRESNO	123	6.4	52	7.7
GLENN	4	4.9	2	6.7
HUMBOLDT	15	3.2	8	5.2
IMPERIAL	10	3.6	4	6.4
INYO	1	1.2	3	11.5
KERN	106	5.6	30	4.7
KINGS	18	4.6	7	5.7
LAKE	7	4.1	2	4.1
LASSEN	3	4.2	1	4.8
LOS ANGELES	463	2.9	174	4.6
MADERA	20	6.1	11	10.0
MARIN	17	2.5	9	5.5
MARIPOSA	4	11.4	1	7.7
MENDOCINO	19	7.1	6	6.1
MERCED	16	3.9	5	4.0
MODOC	1	4.4	0	0.0
MONO	3	4.1	0	0.0
MONTEREY	32	3.7	15	5.3
NAPA	12	2.5	7	4.8
NEVADA	18	5.9	5	5.3
ORANGE	277	3.3	69	3.2
PLACER	36	4.4	13	5.8
PLUMAS	4	6.0	2	8.0
RIVERSIDE	203	4.1	68	5.5
SACRAMENTO	140	4.0	54	5.1
SAN BENITO	5	4.1	2	5.1
SAN BERNARDINO	199	4.5	58	4.8
SAN DIEGO	222	3.1	85	4.2
SAN FRANCISCO	18	2.7	5	3.4
SAN JOAQUIN	80	5.9	29	5.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	36	4.0	12	4.1
SAN MATEO	57	4.0	21	5.2
SANTA BARBARA	52	4.7	16	5.4
SANTA CLARA	121	4.0	25	3.3
SANTA CRUZ	23	3.6	6	3.5
SHASTA	15	3.3	7	3.7
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	4	3.2	1	2.1
SOLANO	40	5.9	22	9.9
SONOMA	60	4.8	17	4.2
STANISLAUS	70	5.3	27	7.1
SUTTER	3	2.3	1	2.1
TEHAMA	2	1.4	8	11.0
TRINITY	1	1.8	1	5.0
TULARE	81	6.0	20	5.2
TUOLUMNE	6	3.4	6	7.8
VENTURA	63	3.3	22	5.1
YOLO	10	2.7	5	4.6
YUBA	11	5.2	5	6.2

TABLE 11c: 2011 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 ST OFFENDER		2 ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	1899	2.5	352	1.7
ALAMEDA	71	2.6	8	1.0
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	5	6.3	0	0.0
BUTTE	15	2.2	0	0.0
CALAVERAS	3	2.7	0	0.0
COLUSA	1	1.9	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	30	2.0	9	1.9
DEL NORTE	1	1.6	1	4.4
EL DORADO	5	1.2	2	1.3
FRESNO	38	2.0	10	1.5
GLENN	2	2.5	1	3.3
HUMBOLDT	10	2.1	3	2.0
IMPERIAL	2	0.7	0	0.0
INYO	2	2.4	0	0.0
KERN	45	2.4	8	1.3
KINGS	7	1.8	3	2.5
LAKE	1	0.6	0	0.0
LASSEN	2	2.8	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	453	2.8	80	2.1
MADERA	2	0.6	2	1.8
MARIN	20	2.9	8	4.9
MARIPOSA	1	2.9	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	8	3.0	2	2.0
MERCED	6	1.4	2	1.6
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	3	4.1	0	0.0
MONTEREY	20	2.3	5	1.8
NAPA	16	3.4	1	0.7
NEVADA	4	1.3	0	0.0
ORANGE	252	3.0	33	1.5
PLACER	19	2.3	4	1.8
PLUMAS	2	3.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	138	2.8	26	2.1
SACRAMENTO	82	2.3	16	1.5
SAN BENITO	4	3.3	0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	90	2.1	15	1.2
SAN DIEGO	144	2.0	28	1.4
SAN FRANCISCO	18	2.7	1	0.7
SAN JOAQUIN	30	2.2	16	3.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	24	2.6	8	2.8
SAN MATEO	27	1.9	8	2.0
SANTA BARBARA	28	2.5	3	1.0
SANTA CLARA	71	2.4	11	1.4
SANTA CRUZ	20	3.2	0	0.0
SHASTA	12	2.6	2	1.0
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1	0.8	0	0.0
SOLANO	19	2.8	5	2.3
SONOMA	29	2.3	3	0.7
STANISLAUS	26	2.0	6	1.6
SUTTER	4	3.1	1	2.1
TEHAMA	0	0.0	2	2.7
TRINITY	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	29	2.1	7	1.8
TUOLUMNE	5	2.8	0	0.0
VENTURA	46	2.4	10	2.3
YOLO	2	0.5	1	0.9
YUBA	4	1.9	1	1.2

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 18-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 12 shows cumulative percentages of first subsequent DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 18-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 and 2004 groups.

TABLE 12: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE												
	1 ST	2 ND	3 RD	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	66+	1980	1984	1994	2004
1 ST	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5	4
2 ND	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9	8
3 RD	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13	12
4 TH	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16	15
5 TH	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18	18
6 TH	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21	NA
7 TH	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22	NA
8 TH	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24	NA
9 TH	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25	NA
10 TH	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26	NA
11 TH	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27	NA
12 TH	25	32	39	29	21	31	28	22	12	NA	NA	28	NA
13 TH	26	32	40	30	21	32	29	22	12	NA	NA	29	NA
14 TH	27	33	41	31	22	33	30	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
15 TH	27	34	41	31	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
16 TH	28	35	42	32	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	31	NA
17 TH	28	35	43	32	24	35	32	24	12	NA	NA	31	NA
18 TH	29	35	43	33	24	35	32	24	12	NA	NA	32	NA

In addition to Table 12, Figures 8a through 8e display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 18 years.




Figure 8a. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for the 1994 DUI offenders.

Figure 8a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 18 years 32% were convicted of at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 35%. These failure curves are steepest in the years following the 1994 conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 18th years. For both measures, the highest recidivism rates occur during the first year following conviction.

One way to explore the degree of alcohol-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (time frame for counting priors) of the 1994 DUI violation. Figure 8b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses for first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 12, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves are higher for DUI offenders with higher numbers of prior offenses. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain higher failure percentages over the 18-year time period. At the end of 18 years, 43% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 35% of second offenders and 29% of first offenders.




Figure 8b. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 1994 DUI offenders.

Because the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 8c and Table 12, the percentage of males that reoffend over 18 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 18 years, 33% of males have reoffended as compared to 24% of females. The failure curve for females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 18 years than the curve for males.




Figure 8c. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by sex for the 1994 DUI offenders.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 8d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 18 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of all age groups are steepest during the first few years following the 1994 conviction.

The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the fifth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could influence their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 18 years, the two youngest groups reoffended by 35% and 32%, respectively, while 24% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 8d. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 1994 DUI offenders.

The final figure, Figure 8e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2004 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 8e. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2004 DUI offenders.

Two years ago, the reoffense rates of the 2004 cohort over the 5-year time period were added along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 8e and Table 12). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 24 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 8e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, and to 18% of the 1994 and 2004 groups. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2004 groups (18%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 24 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the initial 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 12, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated versus 25% of the 1994 group. The difference between the recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of 9 years. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 group in years 8 through 14.

Continuing with Figure 8e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in reoffenses is possibly due to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions for DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 8e also shows that the reoffense levels are very similar for both the 1994 and 2004 cohorts. The reoffense rates of the 2004 offenders were only one percentage-point lower than that of the 1994 group for the first 4 years and were identical at the end of 5 years.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2004 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative percentages of reoffenses were much lower among the 1994 and 2004 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2004 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 2 decades, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2011

Beginning 5 years ago, this report captures the numbers and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicated that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI program, upon referral received from the court. Inclusion of the information on enrollments and completions was possible due to the addition of a new subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length.

Table 13 shows the percentages of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 88.6% of first offenders and 79.7% of second offenders were referred to a DUI program. Among first offenders, 71.4% enrolled in a DUI program, which usually ranges from 3 to 9 months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC level at the time of their arrest. Furthermore, 57.8% of second offenders were enrolled in an 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI programs, 87.8% of first offenders and 41.1% of second offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still have been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed).

TABLE 13: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2011

OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	<i>N</i>	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	% ^a	% ^b
1 ST OFFENDERS	104,699	92,751 ^c	88.6	74,746	71.4	65,618	62.7	87.8
2 ND OFFENDERS	28,069	22,373 ^d	79.7	16,211	57.8	6,664	23.7	41.1

^aPercent of total number of DUI offenders. ^bPercent of program enrollees. ^cReferrals to first offender DUI program (3 to 9 months). ^dReferrals to 18 month DUI program.

DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Methods

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month DUI program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). In 2004, the courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. Effective 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC levels are 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictees were identified: 1) those who were assigned to a DUI program and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol- or drug-related reckless drivers to attend at least the educational component of a DUI program as a condition of probation, it was found that 32% of such offenders arrested in 2011 were not assigned to do so. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictees with “X” license numbers and those with out-of-state ZIP codes were excluded from the analysis.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of DUI programs and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2011 that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. The records of 36% of first offenders who were referred to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were excluded from the comparison. Cases further excluded from the analysis were: first DUI offenders convicted of felony DUI, drivers with “X” license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes. Of the total sample selected, 76% were referred to 3-month programs, while 24% were assigned to 9-month programs. In order to explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders with available information on their BAC level were included in the comparison.

The conviction date was considered to be the “treatment date” for defining prior and subsequent driving record data for both alcohol- or drug-reckless and first DUI offenders, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures lasted at least 1 year from the conviction date, ranging from 12 to 29 months.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV for both alcohol- or drug-reckless and first DUI offenders. Offenders from either of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the evaluation period) were excluded from the evaluation. There were two outcome driver record measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a DUI incident (i.e., alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated, which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low during the evaluation period. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This confounding was avoided by excluding multiple incidents from the analyses.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this “quasi-experimental” design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a less-confounded comparison of the sanction groups. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions received by offenders systematically vary through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socioeconomic status may be more likely to receive a program with license restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding the DUI or alcohol- or drug-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with group differences on these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses with significant interactions, the interaction terms were included in the final logistic regression models. However, for both alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers and first DUI offenders, there were no significant interactions in either of the models.

Results of the DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving

Figure 9a and Table 14a display the results of the evaluation of the effectiveness of DUI program assignment on drivers convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving violations.




Figure 9a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers (arrested in 2011) by DUI program assignment.

Total Crashes In contrast to the past 8 years, the results show that assignment to a DUI program is statistically significantly associated with the 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders arrested in 2011 ($p < .05$). The offenders assigned to a DUI program show a 17.2% lower crash rate than those not assigned to the program. Their crash rate (3.28 per 100 drivers) is slightly lower this year when compared to last year's evaluation (3.47 per 100 drivers). At the same time, the crash rate of alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders not assigned to a DUI program (3.96 per 100 drivers) is almost identical to the previous year's evaluation (3.94 per 100 drivers).

TABLE 14a: THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ARRESTED IN 2011

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =	NUMBER DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =
				$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$		$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2011 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	NO PROGRAM (GRP 1)	4,491	3.96	-17.2%*	3.22	-19.6%*
	DUI PROGRAM (GRP 2)	9,632	3.28		2.59	

* $p < .05$.

DUI Incidents Figure 9a and Table 14a also indicate that alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders assigned to a DUI program show a statistically fewer number of DUI incidents in the 1 year following their assignment than those who were not assigned ($p < .05$). The reoffense rate of the alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders assigned to the programs is 19.6% lower than the reoffense rate of those not assigned to the programs. These findings are different than last year's, but similar to findings from prior years. These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical controls based on available covariates should remove some of the bias.

9-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers

An evaluation of a referral to a 9-month DUI program for offenders with an alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction who have a prior conviction for alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving or DUI within 10 years, was mandated by AB 2802 (Houston). This legislation requires the courts to order these offenders to enroll in a DUI intervention program for at least 9 months as a condition of probation. The records of persons arrested for DUI in 2011 and subsequently convicted of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving indicate that 1,796 of them have a prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction. The court-reported conviction abstracts for these offenders show that 48% of them were referred to DUI programs when they were granted probation. However, the records of only 60 offenders (3.3%) indicated a 9-month DUI program referral. Since this critical information indicating an assignment to the 9-month DUI program was missing on the records for 96.7% of the repeat alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders, it was not possible to evaluate this program referral for the current report.

Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes Figure 9b and Table 14b display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between DUI program length and DUI recidivism and crashes among first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program is not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. First DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program have a directionally 15.2% lower crash rate than those assigned to the 3-month program, but this difference was not statistically significant. This year's findings are consistent with prior year's results that generally did not show significant differences in 1-year subsequent crashes between the two groups.




Figure 9b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2011) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents Similar to last year's results, Figures 9b and Table 14b indicate that first DUI offenders assigned to the 3-month program do not have significantly different 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program. The reoffense rate of those assigned to the 9-month program is directionally 7.6% lower than that of those assigned to the 3-month program; a difference that is, again, not large enough to be statistically significant. In evaluations prior to the last 3 years, results indicated that DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program had significantly more subsequent DUI incidents than offenders assigned to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders assigned to the 9-month program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in those prior years, two further subanalyses were conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the outcomes of this evaluation. The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first

DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level is held constant, there were no significant differences in the DUI incident rates between DUI offenders assigned to the 3-month DUI program and those assigned to the 9-month program.

Starting 3 years ago, BAC level information has been included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between assigned program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. When the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that assignment to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than assignment to the 3-month program, which is comparable to the findings in prior years.

TABLE 14b: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2011

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =	NUMBER DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) =
				$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$		$\frac{\text{GRP 2} - \text{GRP 1}}{\text{GRP 1}} \times 100$
2011 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 1)	34,183	2.44	-15.2%	3.54	7.6%
	9-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 2)	10,555	2.07		3.81	

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months reduced DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995). A final limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstracts had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken for DUI cases are presented in this section. These statutorily-mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, DUI probation violation, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

The total count of postconviction suspension/revocation actions has dramatically increased as a result of a law change (SB 1697), effective September 20, 2005, which assigned to DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions for all DUI offenders, removing this responsibility from the courts. DMV is also responsible for issuing license restrictions to DUI offenders who meet requirements defined by the law.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 15: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 2002-2012. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 2002 through 2012. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 16: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data from 2010 to 2012.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- ◆ The total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions for 2012 was 32.7% higher than that for 2002 (see Table 15). These totals have increased markedly as of September 20, 2005 due to the law change noted earlier.
- ◆ In 2012, 163,522 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74.2% were first-offender actions (including actions for zero tolerance) and 25.8% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).
- ◆ The number of chemical test refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside) decreased by 6.0% in 2012, after decreasing by 9.5% in 2011. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 19.4% since 2002 (see Table 15).
- ◆ Total APS actions decreased by 7.9% in 2012, following a 3.6% decrease in 2011 (see Table 16).
- ◆ Requests for APS hearings increased from 29.7% of all APS actions in 2011 to 32.1% in 2012. In addition, the rate at which .08 APS S/R actions are set aside after a hearing continued to stay relatively unchanged during the past several years, from 8.6% set aside in 2010, to 8.4% set aside in 2011, to 8.5% set aside in 2012 (see Table 16).
- ◆ Total postconviction S/R actions decreased by 6.3% in 2012, after decreasing 4.5% in 2011, with the largest decrease occurring for third-offender revocations (9.4%). This is shown in Table 15.

Table 15: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2002-2012

DUI license actions	Year										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total mandatory suspension/revocation (S/R) actions	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796	362859	392319	382111	351802	337700 ^a	313870
Preconviction											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851	183743	177231 ^a	163522
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861	18684	17463 ^a	14835
.08 First-offender suspensions	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933	117884	114858 ^a	106562
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747	44101	42127 ^a	39563
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783 ^a	2562
Commercial driver actions	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172	2924	2776	2309 ^a	2233
Chemical test refusal actions	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737	8275	7520 ^a	7069
.01 Test refusal suspensions	290	341	326	364	419	426	433	372	354	279 ^a	280
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055	4847	4458 ^a	4227
.08 Test refusal revocations	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783 ^a	2562
Postconviction^b											
Total postconviction S/R actions	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315	170646	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348
Juvenile DUI suspensions	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917	482	538	351	312
First-offender suspensions	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525	124436	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035
Misdemeanor	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227	122102	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013
Felony	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022
Second-offender S/R actions	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156
Misdemeanor	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533
Felony	597	577	553	595	634	647	658	681	637	641	623
Third-offender revocations	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083
Misdemeanor	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852
Felony	175	195	152	183	225	233	231	242	198	256	231
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762

^aSome figures for 2011 have been slightly revised to adjust for duplicate records found after publishing last year's report. ^bThese totals include multiple license action activities associated with the same event. Total counts for years 2006 and later increased as a result of a law change, effective 09/20/2005. This law assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

TABLE 16: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2010	2011 ^a	2012
Total APS actions taken (including cutoff actions later set aside):	202,805	195,532	180,113
Total .08 ^b APS actions set aside	17,863	17,194	15,587
Total .01 ^c suspensions set aside	1,199	1,107	1,004
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	183,743	177,231	163,522
Net total .08 APS actions	165,059	159,768	148,687
Net total .01 actions	18,684	17,463	14,835
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:^d			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	180,967	174,922	161,289
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	2,776	2,309	2,233
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	101	104	94
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior convictions or APS actions ^e	117,884	114,858	106,562
4-month license suspensions	83,687	79,300	
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ^f restrictions	26,991	29,061	73,000
First-offender chemical test refusals	4,847	4,458	27,313
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	2,359	2,039	2,022
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior convictions	47,175	44,910	42,125
Suspensions	44,101	42,127	39,563
Revocations	3,074	2,783	2,562
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	8,795	7,956	7,418
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	501	421	338
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	19	15	11
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	8,275	7,520	7,069
Net total .08 refusal actions	7,921	7,241	6,789
Net total .01 refusal actions	354	279	280
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	4.34%	4.07%	4.12%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	4,847	4,458	4,227
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,074	2,783	2,562
APS Hearings:^g			
Total .08 and .01 in person or telephone APS hearings scheduled	61,744	58,032	57,855
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ^h	30.4%	29.7%	32.1%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	56,943	53,736	53,814
.08 actions set aside following hearings	4,894	4,511	4,579
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.6%	8.4%	8.5%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	4,516	4,119	3,932
.01 actions set aside following hearings	417	357	335
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	9.2%	8.7%	8.5%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,365	3,033	2,985
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,255	2,941	2,905
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	372	306	267

^aSome figures for 2011 have been slightly revised to adjust for duplicate records found after publishing last year's report.

^b.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

^c.01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACS .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

^dAll entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

^ePrior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within 10 years (7 years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

^fThis restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

^gThese figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings, and departmental reviews.

^hBoth numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

This section presents data on drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes.¹ Beginning with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report, in addition to information about drivers under the influence of alcohol, this section contains information about drivers under the influence of drugs and about drivers under the influence of both alcohol and drugs. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 17: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 2001-2011. This table shows the number and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 2001-2011.

Table 18: 2011 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Impairment Level. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of impairment level and race/ethnicity for 2011 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes.

Table 19: 2011 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Impairment Level. This table cross tabulates crash impairment levels (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2011 DUI convictions associated with those crashes.

Table 20: 2011 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction by County and Impairment Level. This table shows the number of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by county and impairment level.

Table 21: Alcohol-Involved Drivers Under Age 21 in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 2001-2011. This table shows the total number of alcohol-involved drivers under age 21 in fatal/injury crashes in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of alcohol-involved drivers in the state over the same time period.

¹ Among 2011 DUI arrestees, 23,464 (13.0%) were involved in a reported traffic crash; 8,959 included an injury or fatality, and 14,505 were PDO.

Table 22a: 2011 Alcohol-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex. This table shows the total number of 2011 alcohol-involved drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex.

Table 22b: 2011 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex (Not Suspended Upon Arrest or Convicted). This table shows the number of 2011 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash.

Tables 23a-23b: 2011 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Impairment Level and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Suspended Upon Arrest or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2011 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal and injury crashes by impairment level and prior conviction status, both total (23a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (23b).

Tables 24a-24b: 2011 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Suspended Upon Arrest or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2011 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal and injury crashes by number of prior DUI convictions, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 25: 2011 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes. This table shows the mean, median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in 2011.

Figure 10 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 2002 to 2012. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI Summary Statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 11 (opposite page) shows numbers of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities from 2002 to 2012. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 10. Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2002-2012.




Figure 11. Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 2002-2012.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ◆ The total number of alcohol-involved crash fatalities increased by 7.3 % in 2012, following an increase of 1.6% in 2011. The last 2 years of increases followed 4 consecutive years (from 2007-2010) of declines in the number of alcohol-involved crash fatalities (see Figure 11 and DUI Summary Statistics).

- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved crash fatalities increased from 38.5% in 2011 to 39.0% in 2012, after declining for 3 consecutive years (see Figure 10).
- ◆ The number of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities increased for the second year in a row in 2012, after 5 consecutive years of decreases. The greatest proportion of crash fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 11).
- ◆ 10.5% of crash injuries in 2012 were alcohol-involved, relatively unchanged from 10.6% in 2011 (see Figure 10 and DUI Summary Statistics).
- ◆ 13.0% of all 2011 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 12.6% in 2010. 5.0% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly higher than 4.8% in 2010 (see Table 17).
- ◆ The percentage of alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 slightly decreased from 11.3% in 2001 to 10.8% in 2011 (see Table 21).
- ◆ 40.8% of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers do not have a record of any conviction in connection with their involvement in a fatal/injury crash. In 44.4% of these non-convicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Tables 19 and 20).
- ◆ Majorities of drug-involved and drug- and alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes are not convicted for DUI associated with the crash and do not have a prior DUI or alcohol- and drug-related reckless driving conviction within 10 years on their records (see Tables 19 and 23a).
- ◆ About three-fourths (75.9%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, almost two-thirds (63.2%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 17: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2001-2011^a

ARRESTS/ CRASHES	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	14.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.8%	15.8%	15.5%	15.3%	14.2%	13.4%	12.6%	13.0%
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH FATAL/ INJURY CRASHES	6.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.2%	6.6%	6.3%	6.1%	5.5%	5.2%	4.8%	5.0%

^aThese data include 2011 DUI arrest cases where the driver license was found in the DMV database and whose DUI arrest date matched the crash involvement date found on their driver record.

Table 18: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS	TOTAL	RACE/ETHNICITY											
		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN			
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		17716	100.0	7559	42.7	6536	36.9	1320	7.5	1264	7.1	1037	5.9
ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		12439	70.2	5142	41.3	5084	40.9	905	7.3	873	7.0	435	3.5
NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		1396	7.9	420	30.1	381	27.3	91	6.5	56	4.0	448	32.1
NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)		2088	11.8	879	42.1	686	32.9	203	9.7	237	11.4	83	4.0
DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)		329 ^b	1.9	174	52.9	104	31.6	28	8.5	19	5.8	4	1.2
DRUG-INVOLVED		1464	8.3	944	64.5	281	19.2	93	6.4	79	5.4	67	4.6

^a For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2011 California Highway Patrol data files. ^b 87.8% (289) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

Table 19: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS	TOTAL	TYPE OF CONVICTION											
		MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		OTHER CONVICTION		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		15660	100.0	6877	43.9	1840	11.7	551	3.5	0	0.0	9	0.1
ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		11364	72.6	6409	56.4	1659	14.6	458	4.0	0	0.0	1	0.0
NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		725	4.6	80	11.0	29	4.0	6	0.8	0	0.0	1	0.1
NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)		1921	12.3	14	0.7	3	0.2	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)		315 ^b	2.0	47	14.9	26	8.3	10	3.2	0	0.0	1	0.3
DRUG-INVOLVED		1335	8.5	327	24.5	123	9.2	75	5.6	0	0.0	6	0.4

^a For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2011 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file. ^b 87.9% (277) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

Table 20: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL

COUNTY	TOTAL	IMPAIRMENT LEVEL											
		ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%- .079%)		NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%- .049%)		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)		DRUG- INVOLVED			
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
STATEWIDE	6383	2837	44.4	609	9.5	1902	29.8	231	3.6	804	12.6		
ALAMEDA	225	107	47.6	30	13.3	63	28.0	4	1.8	21	9.3		
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
AMADOR	23	5	21.7	4	17.4	8	34.8	0	0.0	6	26.1		
BUTTE	39	16	41.0	5	12.8	5	12.8	3	7.7	10	25.6		
CALAVERAS	13	5	38.5	1	7.7	5	38.5	1	7.7	1	7.7		
COLUSA	4	3	75.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
CONTRA COSTA	134	73	54.5	15	11.2	24	17.9	3	2.2	19	14.2		
DEL NORTE	8	4	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	3	37.5		
EL DORADO	27	16	59.3	2	7.4	3	11.1	0	0.0	6	22.2		
FRESNO	247	138	55.9	17	6.9	48	19.4	13	5.3	31	12.6		
GLENN	3	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3		
HUMBOLDT	49	23	46.9	2	4.1	9	18.4	4	8.2	11	22.4		
IMPERIAL	32	10	31.3	2	6.3	17	53.1	1	3.1	2	6.3		
INYO	10	2	20.0	1	10.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	3	30.0		
KERN	175	89	50.9	9	5.1	36	20.6	8	4.6	33	18.9		
KINGS	16	8	50.0	1	6.3	2	12.5	0	0.0	5	31.3		
LAKE	31	18	58.1	4	12.9	6	19.4	1	3.2	2	6.5		
LASSEN	17	5	29.4	2	11.8	5	29.4	1	5.9	4	23.5		
LOS ANGELES	1601	716	44.7	171	10.7	534	33.4	44	2.7	136	8.5		
MADERA	44	24	54.5	5	11.4	7	15.9	1	2.3	7	15.9		
MARIN	34	14	41.2	4	11.8	12	35.3	0	0.0	4	11.8		
MARIPOSA	3	0	0.0	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3		
MENDOCINO	18	3	16.7	5	27.8	6	33.3	0	0.0	4	22.2		
MERCED	41	24	58.5	4	9.8	6	14.6	4	9.8	3	7.3		
MODOC	7	4	57.1	0	0.0	1	14.3	1	14.3	1	14.3		
MONO	3	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0		
MONTEREY	55	28	50.9	4	7.3	12	21.8	4	7.3	7	12.7		
NAPA	26	3	11.5	2	7.7	17	65.4	0	0.0	4	15.4		
NEVADA	37	15	40.5	8	21.6	6	16.2	1	2.7	7	18.9		
ORANGE	359	133	37.0	32	8.9	119	33.1	10	2.8	65	18.1		
PLACER	52	15	28.8	3	5.8	19	36.5	1	1.9	14	26.9		

Table 20: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL – continued

COUNTY	TOTAL	IMPAIRMENT LEVEL									
		ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%- .079%)		NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%- .049%)		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)		DRUG- INVOLVED	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
PLUMAS	5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	397	172	43.3	25	6.3	140	35.3	22	5.5	38	9.6
SACRAMENTO	258	123	47.7	23	8.9	73	28.3	7	2.7	32	12.4
SAN BENITO	28	11	39.3	5	17.9	5	17.9	2	7.1	5	17.9
SAN BERNARDINO	421	195	46.3	41	9.7	96	22.8	26	6.2	63	15.0
SAN DIEGO	473	192	40.6	43	9.1	156	33.0	21	4.4	61	12.9
SAN FRANCISCO	154	61	39.6	11	7.1	71	46.1	3	1.9	8	5.2
SAN JOAQUIN	145	67	46.2	5	3.4	48	33.1	4	2.8	21	14.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	54	24	44.4	5	9.3	18	33.3	2	3.7	5	9.3
SAN MATEO	94	38	40.4	11	11.7	28	29.8	1	1.1	16	17.0
SANTA BARBARA	77	25	32.5	11	14.3	28	36.4	4	5.2	9	11.7
SANTA CLARA	221	87	39.4	34	15.4	84	38.0	2	0.9	14	6.3
SANTA CRUZ	48	17	35.4	3	6.3	23	47.9	1	2.1	4	8.3
SHASTA	54	23	42.6	3	5.6	10	18.5	2	3.7	16	29.6
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	23	13	56.5	3	13.0	5	21.7	1	4.3	1	4.3
SOLANO	57	25	43.9	7	12.3	13	22.8	2	3.5	10	17.5
SONOMA	62	22	35.5	6	9.7	28	45.2	1	1.6	5	8.1
STANISLAUS	111	67	60.4	8	7.2	21	18.9	1	0.9	14	12.6
SUTTER	27	7	25.9	0	0.0	9	33.3	4	14.8	7	25.9
TEHAMA	16	4	25.0	2	12.5	2	12.5	0	0.0	8	50.0
TRINITY	14	8	57.1	3	21.4	2	14.3	0	0.0	1	7.1
TULARE	83	46	55.4	5	6.0	12	14.5	7	8.4	13	15.7
TUOLUMNE	16	4	25.0	4	25.0	5	31.3	0	0.0	3	18.8
VENTURA	163	80	49.1	10	6.1	35	21.5	7	4.3	31	19.0
YOLO	46	22	47.8	5	10.9	10	21.7	1	2.2	8	17.4
YUBA	3	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 21: ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2001-2011^a

AGE		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
TOTAL ALL AGES)	N	20530	20633	20632	20847	20818	21031	21045	19604	17874	16501	16231
UNDER 18	N	375	382	376	409	351	344	369	316	239	233	190
	%	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.2
18-20	N	1943	2016	1894	1943	1946	2226	2171	1901	1831	1641	1569
	%	9.5	9.8	9.2	9.3	9.4	10.6	10.3	9.7	10.2	9.9	9.7
UNDER 21	N	2318	2398	2270	2352	2297	2570	2540	2217	2070	1874	1759
	%	11.3	11.6	11.0	11.3	11.0	12.2	12.1	11.3	11.6	11.4	10.8

^aThese data are derived from the 2011 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22a: 2011 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	16,231	100.0	12,124	74.7	4,107	25.3
UNDER 18	190	1.2	132	69.5	58	30.5
18-20	1,569	9.7	1,155	73.6	414	26.4
21-30	6,438	39.7	4,743	73.7	1,695	26.3
31-40	2,888	17.8	2,178	75.4	710	24.6
41-50	2,263	13.9	1,626	71.9	637	28.1
51-59	1,373	8.5	1,036	75.5	337	24.5
60-69	651	4.0	505	77.6	146	22.4
70 & ABOVE	214	1.3	164	76.6	50	23.4
AGE UNKNOWN	645	4.0	585	90.7	60	9.3

^aThese data are derived from the 2011 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22b: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT SUSPENDED UPON ARREST OR CONVICTED)^a

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	4115	100.0	3003	73.0	1112	27.0
UNDER 18	55	1.3	39	70.9	16	29.1
18-20	274	6.7	204	74.5	70	25.5
21-30	1555	37.8	1143	73.5	412	26.5
31-40	784	19.1	589	75.1	195	24.9
41-50	631	15.3	441	69.9	190	30.1
51-59	447	10.9	317	70.9	130	29.1
60-69	267	6.5	195	73.0	72	27.0
70 & ABOVE	102	2.5	75	73.5	27	26.5

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23a: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS									
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	15660	100.0	6183	39.5	7167	45.8	1769	11.3	425	2.7	116	0.7		
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11364	72.6	2934	25.8	6357	55.9	1592	14.0	375	3.3	106	0.9	
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	725	4.6	517	71.3	166	22.9	34	4.7	6	0.8	2	0.3	
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	1921	12.3	1758	91.5	129	6.7	28	1.5	6	0.3	0	0.0	
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	315	2.0	190	60.3	96	30.5	25	7.9	4	1.3	0	0.0	
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1335	8.5	784	58.7	419	31.4	90	6.7	34	2.5	8	0.6	

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.TABLE 23b: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT SUSPENDED UPON ARREST OR CONVICTED)^a

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS								
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
TOTAL	4115	100.0	3592	87.3	410	10.0	83	2.0	24	0.6	6	0.1	
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	942	22.9	759	80.6	135	14.3	29	3.1	15	1.6	4	0.4
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	568	13.8	477	84.0	70	12.3	18	3.2	3	0.5	0	0.0
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	1817	44.2	1683	92.6	109	6.0	20	1.1	5	0.3	0	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL- INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	184	4.5	148	80.4	30	16.3	5	2.7	1	0.5	0	0.0
DRUG-INVOLVED	604	14.7	525	86.9	66	10.9	11	1.8	0	0.0	2	0.3	

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 24a: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS^a

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	15660	100.0	6183	39.5	7167	45.8	1769	11.3	425	2.7	116	0.7
WITH FATALITIES	1056 ^b	6.7	802	75.9	190	18.0	46	4.4	12	1.1	6	0.6
WITH INJURIES	14604	93.3	5381	36.8	6977	47.8	1723	11.8	413	2.8	110	0.8

^aThese data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file. ^bThe records of 87.1% (920) of the alcohol- and drug-involved drivers indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 24b: 2011 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT SUSPENDED UPON ARREST OR CONVICTED)^a

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	4115	100.0	3592	87.3	410	10.0	83	2.0	24	0.6	6	0.1
WITH FATALITIES	861 ^b	20.9	725	84.2	105	12.2	22	2.6	5	0.6	4	0.5
WITH INJURIES	3254	79.1	2867	88.1	305	9.4	61	1.9	19	0.6	2	0.1

^aThese figures are a subset of the counts in the table above. ^bThe records of 88.0% (758) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 25: 2011 REPORTED^a BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	402	3.9
.01	53	0.5
.02	53	0.5
.03	42	0.4
.04	95	0.9
.05	125	1.2
.06	133	1.3
.07	211	2.0
.08	303	2.9
.09	387	3.7
.10	413	4.0
.11	485	4.7
.12	483	4.7
.13	562	5.4
.14	610	5.9
.15	622	6.0
.16	627	6.1
.17	573	5.5
.18	594	5.7
.19	540	5.2
.20	526	5.1
.21	438	4.2
.22	403	3.9
.23	368	3.6
.24	278	2.7
.25	191	1.8
.26	156	1.5
.27	141	1.4
.28	110	1.1
.29	91	0.9
.30	79	0.8
.31	57	0.6
.32	44	0.4
.33	46	0.4
.34	31	0.3
.35	26	0.3
.36	17	0.2
.37	8	0.1
.38	11	0.1
.39	12	0.1
.40	12	0.1
.41	5	0.1
.44	1	0.0
.45	1	0.0
.55	1	0.0
.58	1	0.0
TOTAL	10367	100.0
MEAN ^b BAC .16		
MEDIAN ^b BAC .17		

^aThe source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers (61.3% of the records showed BAC levels). ^bThe calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be related to drug-involved drivers.

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994.¹ In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts), they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the Automated Name Index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract information. Conviction data are subject to change since abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed after the initial abstract of conviction is reported to DMV. Also, reporting and non-reporting errors can occur similar to those for DUI arrests. Although the *1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System* documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records did not appear on the DMV driver record database, an upcoming study by DMV's Justice and Government Liaison Branch will document the current level of discrepancy.

Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local

¹ Similarly, there was an undercount of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests for April 2011 by CHP.

jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 2552 (Torres), effective 1/1/2014, amends and repeals Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to separate and define distinctly the offenses of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, drug, or combined influence of alcohol and drugs, including causing bodily injury while committing any of these offenses.

AB 2020 (Pan), effective 1/1/2013, removes the option to choose a urine test to determine the drug content level for a person lawfully arrested for driving under the influence of drugs or the combination of alcohol and drugs. The bill specifies that the person's only options are a blood or breath test. A person consents to a urine test if a blood test is unavailable or if the person is exempted from a blood test for medical reasons.

AB 520 (Ammiano), effective 1/1/2012, allows persons convicted of alcohol-reckless driving and who have no more than two prior alcohol-related convictions within 10 years, to obtain an IID restricted license after completing a 90-day APS suspension period, if they enroll in a 9-month DUI program, provide proof of financial responsibility, pay the necessary fees, and provide proof of IID installation. The license restriction remains in effect for the remainder of the 12-month APS suspension period.

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three-or-more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to DMV for driver license reinstatement, 5 years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol-related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year Administrative Per Se (APS) license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender, who is on probation and is driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months

for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the penal code 192 (c) (3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill shall remain operative until January 1, 2009, and would require the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which arrests and/or convictions of DUI will be counted as prior offenses for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This new law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires the DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV Headquarters, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing driver license actions for DUI arrests and convictions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that all persons convicted of a DUI will receive a license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but does not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and DUI program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be

prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as “Courtney’s Law”; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving

privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless

manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or pcs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of pcs 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as “habitual traffic offenders.”

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay issuing the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay issuing the driving privilege of those 13 years or older for one year, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior duis from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with

three-or-more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petrus), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior duis changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2012). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (n.d.). *2011 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Retrieved December 13, 2013, from <http://www.chp.ca.gov/switrs/index.html>
- DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2011). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 233). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2012). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 236). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2013). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 243). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.
- Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72nd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington, DC.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se (“on-the-spot”) license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a “zero tolerance” statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver “had-been-drinking (HBD).”

ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction refers to a conviction of the California Vehicle Code (VC) Section VC 23103.5 of reckless driving involving alcohol and/or drugs. It is typically associated with DUI arrests with weaker circumstances (for example, BAC level lower than or close to .08%) and results in lesser penalties and sanctions than a DUI conviction. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction refers to a violation of a specific California Vehicle Code Section reported by courts to DMV in the abstract of conviction. Since courts' abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed, the conviction totals reported here are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total number of DUI arrests in a given calendar year that resulted in DUI convictions (total DUI convictions/total DUI arrests * 100).

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

P

P stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found or one larger would occur by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. Relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with
Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

- (a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.
- (b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.
- (c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.
- (d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.
- (e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court,

length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		172893	132321	76.5	40572	23.5	66796	38.6	76193	44.1	14280	8.3	15624	9.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	6	35.3	7	41.2	0	0.0	4	23.5
	18-20	371	270	72.8	101	27.2	124	33.4	151	40.7	43	11.6	53	14.3
	21-30	3131	2318	74.0	813	26.0	801	25.6	1109	35.4	608	19.4	613	19.6
	31-40	1734	1355	78.1	379	21.9	397	22.9	556	32.1	483	27.9	298	17.2
	41-50	1097	839	76.5	258	23.5	356	32.5	273	24.9	323	29.4	145	13.2
	51-60	583	446	76.5	137	23.5	258	44.3	91	15.6	156	26.8	78	13.4
	61-70	156	123	78.8	33	21.2	88	56.4	16	10.3	30	19.2	22	14.1
	71 & ABOVE	35	24	68.6	11	31.4	21	60.0	6	17.1	5	14.3	3	8.6
	TOTAL	7124	5389	75.6	1735	24.4	2051	28.8	2209	31.0	1648	23.1	1216	17.1
	ALPINE		1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	
	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	
	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	26	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	7.1	
AMADOR		2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	6	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
	21-30	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	32	86.5	5	13.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	25	18	72.0	7	28.0	17	68.0	6	24.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
	41-50	35	24	68.6	11	31.4	30	85.7	3	8.6	1	2.9	1	2.9
	51-60	43	30	69.8	13	30.2	37	86.0	4	9.3	0	0.0	2	4.7
	61-70	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	8	72.7	1	9.1	0	0.0	2	18.2
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	163	125	76.7	38	23.3	134	82.2	19	11.7	2	1.2	8	4.9	
BUTTE		11	8	72.7	3	27.3	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	132	94	71.2	38	28.8	100	75.8	17	12.9	4	3.0	11	8.3
	21-30	493	355	72.0	138	28.0	370	75.1	66	13.4	23	4.7	34	6.9
	31-40	225	169	75.1	56	24.9	176	78.2	31	13.8	9	4.0	9	4.0
	41-50	211	148	70.1	63	29.9	182	86.3	13	6.2	2	0.9	14	6.6
	51-60	164	117	71.3	47	28.7	137	83.5	11	6.7	7	4.3	9	5.5
	61-70	52	38	73.1	14	26.9	51	98.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1300	938	72.2	362	27.8	1037	79.8	140	10.8	45	3.5	78	6.0	

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	64	53	82.8	11	17.2	53	82.8	7	10.9	1	1.6	3	4.7
	31-40	35	27	77.1	8	22.9	28	80.0	6	17.1	0	0.0	1	2.9
	41-50	54	30	55.6	24	44.4	50	92.6	3	5.6	1	1.9	0	0.0
	51-60	42	32	76.2	10	23.8	40	95.2	1	2.4	0	0.0	1	2.4
	61-70	13	9	69.2	4	30.8	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	222	162	73.0	60	27.0	194	87.4	21	9.5	2	0.9	5	2.3
COLUSA	18-20	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	9	33.3	17	63.0	1	3.7	0	0.0
	21-30	62	55	88.7	7	11.3	23	37.1	36	58.1	1	1.6	2	3.2
	31-40	39	30	76.9	9	23.1	19	48.7	19	48.7	0	0.0	1	2.6
	41-50	51	39	76.5	12	23.5	35	68.6	12	23.5	1	2.0	3	5.9
	51-60	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	24	85.7	4	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	218	180	82.6	38	17.4	120	55.0	89	40.8	3	1.4	6	2.8
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	23	18	78.3	5	21.7	15	65.2	6	26.1	1	4.3	1	4.3
	18-20	226	172	76.1	54	23.9	93	41.2	85	37.6	17	7.5	31	13.7
	21-30	1799	1343	74.7	456	25.3	740	41.1	610	33.9	216	12.0	233	13.0
	31-40	988	769	77.8	219	22.2	347	35.1	310	31.4	221	22.4	110	11.1
	41-50	721	532	73.8	189	26.2	352	48.8	147	20.4	156	21.6	66	9.2
	51-60	421	320	76.0	101	24.0	241	57.2	53	12.6	93	22.1	34	8.1
	61-70	112	84	75.0	28	25.0	79	70.5	8	7.1	22	19.6	3	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	25	23	92.0	2	8.0	18	72.0	2	8.0	4	16.0	1	4.0
	TOTAL	4315	3261	75.6	1054	24.4	1885	43.7	1221	28.3	730	16.9	479	11.1
DEL NORTE	18-20	5	1	20.0	4	80.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	52	39	75.0	13	25.0	40	76.9	4	7.7	0	0.0	8	15.4
	31-40	32	22	68.8	10	31.3	25	78.1	3	9.4	0	0.0	4	12.5
	41-50	43	24	55.8	19	44.2	38	88.4	3	7.0	0	0.0	2	4.7
	51-60	31	22	71.0	9	29.0	27	87.1	2	6.5	0	0.0	2	6.5
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	173	117	67.6	56	32.4	144	83.2	13	7.5	0	0.0	16	9.2

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	18-20	84	67	79.8	17	20.2	65	77.4	15	17.9	1	1.2	3	3.6
	21-30	402	305	75.9	97	24.1	335	83.3	44	10.9	9	2.2	14	3.5
	31-40	209	145	69.4	64	30.6	174	83.3	21	10.0	4	1.9	10	4.8
	41-50	209	132	63.2	77	36.8	184	88.0	15	7.2	2	1.0	8	3.8
	51-60	170	121	71.2	49	28.8	155	91.2	7	4.1	0	0.0	8	4.7
	61-70	50	32	64.0	18	36.0	46	92.0	4	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1141	818	71.7	323	28.3	976	85.5	106	9.3	16	1.4	43	3.8
	UNDER 18	23	17	73.9	6	26.1	9	39.1	10	43.5	1	4.3	3	13.0
FRESNO	18-20	408	333	81.6	75	18.4	85	20.8	269	65.9	16	3.9	38	9.3
	21-30	2615	2053	78.5	562	21.5	568	21.7	1680	64.2	120	4.6	247	9.4
	31-40	1271	996	78.4	275	21.6	250	19.7	844	66.4	89	7.0	88	6.9
	41-50	808	649	80.3	159	19.7	235	29.1	495	61.3	45	5.6	33	4.1
	51-60	433	341	78.8	92	21.2	151	34.9	206	47.6	42	9.7	34	7.9
	61-70	141	117	83.0	24	17.0	77	54.6	42	29.8	11	7.8	11	7.8
	71 & ABOVE	26	15	57.7	11	42.3	14	53.8	6	23.1	2	7.7	4	15.4
	TOTAL	5725	4521	79.0	1204	21.0	1389	24.3	3552	62.0	326	5.7	458	8.0
	18-20	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	16	61.5	8	30.8	1	3.8	1	3.8
GLENN	21-30	78	64	82.1	14	17.9	52	66.7	20	25.6	4	5.1	2	2.6
	31-40	52	41	78.8	11	21.2	33	63.5	14	26.9	2	3.8	3	5.8
	41-50	45	30	66.7	15	33.3	34	75.6	7	15.6	1	2.2	3	6.7
	51-60	25	17	68.0	8	32.0	17	68.0	4	16.0	1	4.0	3	12.0
	61-70	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	238	184	77.3	54	22.7	162	68.1	55	23.1	9	3.8	12	5.0
	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	62	45	72.6	17	27.4	46	74.2	5	8.1	1	1.6	10	16.1
HUMBOLDT	21-30	438	315	71.9	123	28.1	359	82.0	31	7.1	9	2.1	39	8.9
	31-40	274	196	71.5	78	28.5	227	82.8	17	6.2	12	4.4	18	6.6
	41-50	167	123	73.7	44	26.3	146	87.4	6	3.6	3	1.8	12	7.2
	51-60	121	79	65.3	42	34.7	110	90.9	5	4.1	2	1.7	4	3.3
	61-70	37	25	67.6	12	32.4	33	89.2	1	2.7	0	0.0	3	8.1
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	1107	789	71.3	318	28.7	928	83.8	65	5.9	27	2.4	87	7.9
	UNDER 18	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	62	45	72.6	17	27.4	46	74.2	5	8.1	1	1.6	10	16.1

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	106	85	80.2	21	19.8	14	13.2	90	84.9	1	0.9	1	0.9
	21-30	408	320	78.4	88	21.6	56	13.7	323	79.2	13	3.2	16	3.9
	31-40	175	134	76.6	41	23.4	34	19.4	133	76.0	2	1.1	6	3.4
	41-50	155	131	84.5	24	15.5	41	26.5	108	69.7	1	0.6	5	3.2
	51-60	74	69	93.2	5	6.8	22	29.7	49	66.2	2	2.7	1	1.4
	61-70	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	16	51.6	15	48.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	4	50.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	965	781	80.9	184	19.1	188	19.5	729	75.5	19	2.0	29	3.0
INYO	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	9	75.0	3	25.0	7	58.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	3	25.0
	21-30	59	50	84.7	9	15.3	31	52.5	13	22.0	1	1.7	14	23.7
	31-40	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	7	24.1	8	27.6	1	3.4	13	44.8
	41-50	28	20	71.4	8	28.6	22	78.6	2	7.1	0	0.0	4	14.3
	51-60	35	28	80.0	7	20.0	23	65.7	3	8.6	1	2.9	8	22.9
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	180	146	81.1	34	18.9	107	59.4	28	15.6	3	1.7	42	23.3
KERN	UNDER 18	27	21	77.8	6	22.2	12	44.4	15	55.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	375	324	86.4	51	13.6	132	35.2	222	59.2	14	3.7	7	1.9
	21-30	1924	1559	81.0	365	19.0	648	33.7	1097	57.0	121	6.3	58	3.0
	31-40	918	743	80.9	175	19.1	322	35.1	505	55.0	69	7.5	22	2.4
	41-50	667	511	76.6	156	23.4	295	44.2	303	45.4	56	8.4	13	1.9
	51-60	333	261	78.4	72	21.6	176	52.9	114	34.2	32	9.6	11	3.3
	61-70	93	80	86.0	13	14.0	58	62.4	24	25.8	7	7.5	4	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	10	52.6	6	31.6	2	10.5	1	5.3
	TOTAL	4356	3515	80.7	841	19.3	1653	37.9	2286	52.5	301	6.9	116	2.7
KINGS	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	18-20	69	56	81.2	13	18.8	24	34.8	39	56.5	0	0.0	6	8.7
	21-30	484	396	81.8	88	18.2	143	29.5	287	59.3	37	7.6	17	3.5
	31-40	257	200	77.8	57	22.2	84	32.7	148	57.6	15	5.8	10	3.9
	41-50	166	128	77.1	38	22.9	58	34.9	91	54.8	12	7.2	5	3.0
	51-60	84	66	78.6	18	21.4	33	39.3	42	50.0	4	4.8	5	6.0
	61-70	27	25	92.6	2	7.4	13	48.1	12	44.4	1	3.7	1	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1095	877	80.1	218	19.9	359	32.8	621	56.7	69	6.3	46	4.2

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	18-20	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	10	62.5	1	6.3	3	18.8	2	12.5
	21-30	123	96	78.0	27	22.0	86	69.9	19	15.4	5	4.1	13	10.6
	31-40	53	43	81.1	10	18.9	41	77.4	7	13.2	3	5.7	2	3.8
	41-50	49	33	67.3	16	32.7	40	81.6	4	8.2	2	4.1	3	6.1
	51-60	56	40	71.4	16	28.6	50	89.3	1	1.8	1	1.8	4	7.1
	61-70	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	313	240	76.7	73	23.3	242	77.3	32	10.2	14	4.5	25	8.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	14	77.8	3	16.7	1	5.6	0	0.0
	21-30	64	54	84.4	10	15.6	52	81.3	8	12.5	1	1.6	3	4.7
	31-40	40	29	72.5	11	27.5	30	75.0	8	20.0	1	2.5	1	2.5
	41-50	42	29	69.0	13	31.0	33	78.6	5	11.9	1	2.4	3	7.1
	51-60	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	32	97.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.0
	61-70	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	12	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	216	169	78.2	47	21.8	179	82.9	24	11.1	4	1.9	9	4.2
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	77	60	77.9	17	22.1	31	40.3	37	48.1	3	3.9	6	7.8
	18-20	2367	1828	77.2	539	22.8	520	22.0	1470	62.1	165	7.0	212	9.0
	21-30	17853	13212	74.0	4641	26.0	3799	21.3	10574	59.2	1468	8.2	2012	11.3
	31-40	9357	7419	79.3	1938	20.7	1818	19.4	5459	58.3	1102	11.8	978	10.5
	41-50	6065	4928	81.3	1137	18.7	1478	24.4	3128	51.6	911	15.0	548	9.0
	51-60	3083	2587	83.9	496	16.1	967	31.4	1320	42.8	577	18.7	219	7.1
	61-70	794	680	85.6	114	14.4	294	37.0	260	32.7	166	20.9	74	9.3
	71 & ABOVE	145	122	84.1	23	15.9	65	44.8	40	27.6	26	17.9	14	9.7
	TOTAL	39741	30836	77.6	8905	22.4	8972	22.6	22288	56.1	4418	11.1	4063	10.2
MADERA	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	93	80	86.0	13	14.0	28	30.1	60	64.5	1	1.1	4	4.3
	21-30	414	354	85.5	60	14.5	98	23.7	290	70.0	14	3.4	12	2.9
	31-40	229	191	83.4	38	16.6	62	27.1	156	68.1	8	3.5	3	1.3
	41-50	175	139	79.4	36	20.6	56	32.0	105	60.0	7	4.0	7	4.0
	51-60	106	82	77.4	24	22.6	50	47.2	47	44.3	7	6.6	2	1.9
	61-70	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	13	61.9	6	28.6	2	9.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1050	874	83.2	176	16.8	314	29.9	669	63.7	39	3.7	28	2.7

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	6	66.7	2	22.2	1	11.1	0	0.0
	18-20	75	57	76.0	18	24.0	29	38.7	39	52.0	2	2.7	5	6.7
	21-30	400	280	70.0	120	30.0	216	54.0	115	28.7	22	5.5	47	11.8
	31-40	239	182	76.2	57	23.8	140	58.6	71	29.7	6	2.5	22	9.2
	41-50	263	178	67.7	85	32.3	197	74.9	47	17.9	10	3.8	9	3.4
	51-60	174	122	70.1	52	29.9	146	83.9	12	6.9	5	2.9	11	6.3
	61-70	98	67	68.4	31	31.6	86	87.8	1	1.0	6	6.1	5	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	24	12	50.0	12	50.0	21	87.5	1	4.2	0	0.0	2	8.3
	TOTAL	1282	905	70.6	377	29.4	841	65.6	288	22.5	52	4.1	101	7.9
MARIPOSA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	7	77.8	1	11.1	0	0.0	1	11.1
	21-30	32	27	84.4	5	15.6	26	81.3	1	3.1	2	6.3	3	9.4
	31-40	16	10	62.5	6	37.5	14	87.5	2	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	14	77.8	1	5.6	2	11.1	1	5.6
	51-60	17	10	58.8	7	41.2	13	76.5	0	0.0	1	5.9	3	17.6
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	100	75	75.0	25	25.0	80	80.0	6	6.0	6	6.0	8	8.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	2	33.3	3	50.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	44	34	77.3	10	22.7	27	61.4	7	15.9	1	2.3	9	20.5
	21-30	242	184	76.0	58	24.0	154	63.6	59	24.4	2	0.8	27	11.2
	31-40	181	141	77.9	40	22.1	120	66.3	46	25.4	1	0.6	14	7.7
	41-50	109	84	77.1	25	22.9	74	67.9	21	19.3	1	0.9	13	11.9
	51-60	92	68	73.9	24	26.1	83	90.2	3	3.3	0	0.0	6	6.5
	61-70	50	37	74.0	13	26.0	48	96.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	728	555	76.2	173	23.8	512	70.3	139	19.1	5	0.7	72	9.9
MERCED	UNDER 18	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	1	11.1	7	77.8	1	11.1	0	0.0
	18-20	102	78	76.5	24	23.5	25	24.5	70	68.6	5	4.9	2	2.0
	21-30	584	479	82.0	105	18.0	121	20.7	389	66.6	26	4.5	48	8.2
	31-40	291	240	82.5	51	17.5	61	21.0	196	67.4	17	5.8	17	5.8
	41-50	176	140	79.5	36	20.5	60	34.1	93	52.8	16	9.1	7	4.0
	51-60	109	90	82.6	19	17.4	49	45.0	43	39.4	12	11.0	5	4.6
	61-70	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	7	30.4	12	52.2	3	13.0	1	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1303	1061	81.4	242	18.6	330	25.3	813	62.4	80	6.1	80	6.1

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	3	42.9	2	28.6	2	28.6	0	0.0
	21-30	10	6	60.0	4	40.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	12	80.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	18	81.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	18.2
	51-60	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	72	54	75.0	18	25.0	60	83.3	6	8.3	2	2.8	4	5.6
	18-20	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	21-30	49	40	81.6	9	18.4	42	85.7	3	6.1	0	0.0	4	8.2
	31-40	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	16	61.5	9	34.6	0	0.0	1	3.8
	41-50	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	8	80.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	1	10.0
	51-60	25	20	80.0	5	20.0	22	88.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
	61-70	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	128	103	80.5	25	19.5	101	78.9	16	12.5	1	0.8	10	7.8
	UNDER 18	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	3	18.8	12	75.0	1	6.3	0	0.0
	18-20	173	146	84.4	27	15.6	36	20.8	129	74.6	1	0.6	7	4.0
MONTEREY	21-30	945	780	82.5	165	17.5	217	23.0	680	72.0	21	2.2	27	2.9
	31-40	448	376	83.9	72	16.1	120	26.8	292	65.2	12	2.7	24	5.4
	41-50	328	242	73.8	86	26.2	136	41.5	162	49.4	17	5.2	13	4.0
	51-60	203	147	72.4	56	27.6	117	57.6	76	37.4	6	3.0	4	2.0
	61-70	61	50	82.0	11	18.0	44	72.1	11	18.0	3	4.9	3	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	13	8	61.5	5	38.5	10	76.9	1	7.7	0	0.0	2	15.4
	TOTAL	2187	1763	80.6	424	19.4	683	31.2	1363	62.3	61	2.8	80	3.7
	UNDER 18	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	2	33.3	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	72	54	75.0	18	25.0	23	31.9	45	62.5	1	1.4	3	4.2
NAPA	21-30	371	289	77.9	82	22.1	174	46.9	171	46.1	10	2.7	16	4.3
	31-40	202	165	81.7	37	18.3	84	41.6	96	47.5	7	3.5	15	7.4
	41-50	141	108	76.6	33	23.4	82	58.2	41	29.1	8	5.7	10	7.1
	51-60	118	85	72.0	33	28.0	90	76.3	20	16.9	5	4.2	3	2.5
	61-70	44	31	70.5	13	29.5	36	81.8	6	13.6	2	4.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	9	81.8	1	9.1	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	965	743	77.0	222	23.0	500	51.8	384	39.8	33	3.4	48	5.0

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	21	72.4	8	27.6	19	65.5	8	27.6	2	6.9	0	0.0
	21-30	200	137	68.5	63	31.5	180	90.0	16	8.0	2	1.0	2	1.0
	31-40	106	83	78.3	23	21.7	83	78.3	16	15.1	2	1.9	5	4.7
	41-50	95	58	61.1	37	38.9	88	92.6	2	2.1	3	3.2	2	2.1
	51-60	75	49	65.3	26	34.7	68	90.7	3	4.0	1	1.3	3	4.0
	61-70	34	24	70.6	10	29.4	31	91.2	0	0.0	1	2.9	2	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	551	381	69.1	170	30.9	479	86.9	47	8.5	11	2.0	14	2.5
	UNDER 18	82	59	72.0	23	28.0	38	46.3	33	40.2	1	1.2	10	12.2
ORANGE	18-20	1087	817	75.2	270	24.8	427	39.3	522	48.0	23	2.1	115	10.6
	21-30	6575	4876	74.2	1699	25.8	2567	39.0	2968	45.1	207	3.1	833	12.7
	31-40	3088	2400	77.7	688	22.3	1147	37.1	1446	46.8	90	2.9	405	13.1
	41-50	2227	1665	74.8	562	25.2	1122	50.4	800	35.9	69	3.1	236	10.6
	51-60	1165	898	77.1	267	22.9	729	62.6	295	25.3	37	3.2	104	8.9
	61-70	334	249	74.6	85	25.4	238	71.3	58	17.4	6	1.8	32	9.6
	71 & ABOVE	71	55	77.5	16	22.5	49	69.0	8	11.3	4	5.6	10	14.1
	TOTAL	14629	11019	75.3	3610	24.7	6317	43.2	6130	41.9	437	3.0	1745	11.9
	UNDER 18	24	14	58.3	10	41.7	19	79.2	4	16.7	1	4.2	0	0.0
	18-20	102	78	76.5	24	23.5	78	76.5	17	16.7	1	1.0	6	5.9
PLACER	21-30	740	548	74.1	192	25.9	560	75.7	105	14.2	36	4.9	39	5.3
	31-40	283	197	69.6	86	30.4	203	71.7	52	18.4	14	4.9	14	4.9
	41-50	290	180	62.1	110	37.9	239	82.4	31	10.7	8	2.8	12	4.1
	51-60	182	136	74.7	46	25.3	158	86.8	15	8.2	5	2.7	4	2.2
	61-70	65	46	70.8	19	29.2	60	92.3	3	4.6	2	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1695	1207	71.2	488	28.8	1326	78.2	227	13.4	67	4.0	75	4.4
	UNDER 18	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	56	42	75.0	14	25.0	46	82.1	7	12.5	1	1.8	2	3.6
PLUMAS	31-40	22	17	77.3	5	22.7	20	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	9.1
	41-50	29	20	69.0	9	31.0	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	29	93.5	1	3.2	0	0.0	1	3.2
	61-70	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	164	122	74.4	42	25.6	147	89.6	11	6.7	1	0.6	5	3.0

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
		MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	TOTAL												
	UNDER 18	36		12	33.3	13	36.1	20	55.6	2	5.6	1	2.8
	18-20	784		160	20.4	205	26.1	502	64.0	42	5.4	35	4.5
	21-30	4629		1055	22.8	1465	31.6	2629	56.8	334	7.2	201	4.3
	31-40	2112		454	21.5	645	30.5	1219	57.7	181	8.6	67	3.2
	41-50	1456		357	24.5	604	41.5	686	47.1	119	8.2	47	3.2
	51-60	810		179	22.1	428	52.8	283	34.9	72	8.9	27	3.3
	61-70	260		58	22.3	178	68.5	53	20.4	14	5.4	15	5.8
SACRAMENTO	71 & ABOVE	55		9	16.4	37	67.3	11	20.0	5	9.1	2	3.6
	TOTAL	10142		2284	22.5	3575	35.2	5403	53.3	769	7.6	395	3.9
	UNDER 18	22		5	22.7	15	68.2	5	22.7	0	0.0	2	9.1
	18-20	378		95	25.1	174	46.0	105	27.8	51	13.5	48	12.7
	21-30	2526		732	29.0	1150	45.5	588	23.3	371	14.7	417	16.5
	31-40	1244		338	27.2	517	41.6	332	26.7	253	20.3	142	11.4
	41-50	815		256	31.4	445	54.6	145	17.8	163	20.0	62	7.6
	51-60	468		121	25.9	261	55.8	60	12.8	111	23.7	36	7.7
SAN BENITO	61-70	122		29	23.8	80	65.6	16	13.1	21	17.2	5	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	23		7	30.4	11	47.8	2	8.7	6	26.1	4	17.4
	TOTAL	5598		1583	28.3	2653	47.4	1253	22.4	976	17.4	716	12.8
	UNDER 18	3		0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19		5	26.3	6	31.6	13	68.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	78		13	16.7	19	24.4	55	70.5	1	1.3	3	3.8
	31-40	45		11	24.4	11	24.4	32	71.1	0	0.0	2	4.4
	41-50	28		9	32.1	7	25.0	20	71.4	0	0.0	1	3.6
SAN BERNARDINO	51-60	26		4	15.4	9	34.6	16	61.5	0	0.0	1	3.8
	61-70	7		0	0.0	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1		0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	207		42	20.3	57	27.5	141	68.1	2	1.0	7	3.4
	UNDER 18	33		5	15.2	12	36.4	17	51.5	2	6.1	2	6.1
	18-20	880		159	18.1	244	27.7	536	60.9	63	7.2	37	4.2
	21-30	5229		1171	22.4	1565	29.9	2932	56.1	468	9.0	264	5.0
	31-40	2466		495	20.1	723	29.3	1344	54.5	286	11.6	113	4.6
	41-50	1750		387	22.1	684	39.1	758	43.3	249	14.2	59	3.4
	51-60	916		206	22.5	453	49.5	286	31.2	134	14.6	43	4.7
	61-70	262		45	17.2	152	58.0	77	29.4	22	8.4	11	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	50		7	14.0	31	62.0	14	28.0	3	6.0	2	4.0
	TOTAL	11586		2475	21.4	3864	33.4	5964	51.5	1227	10.6	531	4.6

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	53	42	79.2	11	20.8	29	54.7	23	43.4	0	0.0	1	1.9
	18-20	882	685	77.7	197	22.3	346	39.2	424	48.1	44	5.0	68	7.7
	21-30	6347	4623	72.8	1724	27.2	2929	46.1	2306	36.3	500	7.9	612	9.6
	31-40	2724	2072	76.1	652	23.9	1287	47.2	997	36.6	218	8.0	222	8.1
	41-50	1886	1381	73.2	505	26.8	1063	56.4	557	29.5	145	7.7	121	6.4
	51-60	1147	862	75.2	285	24.8	755	65.8	268	23.4	67	5.8	57	5.0
	61-70	312	233	74.7	79	25.3	226	72.4	54	17.3	20	6.4	12	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	74	64	86.5	10	13.5	44	59.5	12	16.2	9	12.2	9	12.2
	TOTAL	13425	9962	74.2	3463	25.8	6679	49.8	4641	34.6	1003	7.5	1102	8.2
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	77	49	63.6	28	36.4	29	37.7	19	24.7	7	9.1	22	28.6
	21-30	862	644	74.7	218	25.3	295	34.2	179	20.8	102	11.8	286	33.2
	31-40	414	327	79.0	87	21.0	165	39.9	81	19.6	60	14.5	108	26.1
	41-50	212	163	76.9	49	23.1	107	50.5	32	15.1	33	15.6	40	18.9
	51-60	119	102	85.7	17	14.3	69	58.0	9	7.6	20	16.8	21	17.6
	61-70	38	32	84.2	6	15.8	25	65.8	0	0.0	6	15.8	7	18.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1728	1321	76.4	407	23.6	693	40.1	321	18.6	230	13.3	484	28.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	7	53.8	5	38.5	0	0.0	1	7.7
	18-20	254	200	78.7	54	21.3	75	29.5	138	54.3	15	5.9	26	10.2
	21-30	1426	1122	78.7	304	21.3	420	29.5	760	53.3	102	7.2	144	10.1
	31-40	661	530	80.2	131	19.8	188	28.4	344	52.0	70	10.6	59	8.9
	41-50	483	388	80.3	95	19.7	193	40.0	176	36.4	76	15.7	38	7.9
	51-60	289	228	78.9	61	21.1	141	48.8	81	28.0	46	15.9	21	7.3
	61-70	85	69	81.2	16	18.8	42	49.4	23	27.1	13	15.3	7	8.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	7	58.3	4	33.3	0	0.0	1	8.3
	TOTAL	3223	2559	79.4	664	20.6	1073	33.3	1531	47.5	322	10.0	297	9.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	19	14	73.7	5	26.3	14	73.7	5	26.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	159	119	74.8	40	25.2	104	65.4	48	30.2	4	2.5	3	1.9
	21-30	887	653	73.6	234	26.4	581	65.5	249	28.1	20	2.3	37	4.2
	31-40	370	290	78.4	80	21.6	244	65.9	104	28.1	7	1.9	15	4.1
	41-50	278	189	68.0	89	32.0	209	75.2	60	21.6	6	2.2	3	1.1
	51-60	204	140	68.6	64	31.4	172	84.3	21	10.3	6	2.9	5	2.5
	61-70	57	42	73.7	15	26.3	52	91.2	3	5.3	1	1.8	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	18	85.7	2	9.5	1	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1995	1465	73.4	530	26.6	1394	69.9	492	24.7	45	2.3	64	3.2

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	10	58.8	5	29.4	0	0.0	2	11.8
	18-20	185	132	71.4	53	28.6	62	33.5	81	43.8	1	0.5	41	22.2
	21-30	1332	1000	75.1	332	24.9	441	33.1	470	35.3	55	4.1	366	27.5
	31-40	638	491	77.0	147	23.0	218	34.2	233	36.5	27	4.2	160	25.1
	41-50	468	365	78.0	103	22.0	210	44.9	140	29.9	32	6.8	86	18.4
	51-60	280	219	78.2	61	21.8	174	62.1	52	18.6	21	7.5	33	11.8
	61-70	90	69	76.7	21	23.3	66	73.3	12	13.3	2	2.2	10	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	9	56.3	1	6.3	2	12.5	4	25.0
	TOTAL	3026	2299	76.0	727	24.0	1190	39.3	994	32.8	140	4.6	702	23.2
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	9	75.0	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	161	124	77.0	37	23.0	58	36.0	90	55.9	4	2.5	9	5.6
	21-30	996	762	76.5	234	23.5	408	41.0	499	50.1	34	3.4	55	5.5
	31-40	397	319	80.4	78	19.6	163	41.1	194	48.9	15	3.8	25	6.3
	41-50	340	249	73.2	91	26.8	193	56.8	122	35.9	12	3.5	13	3.8
	51-60	236	155	65.7	81	34.3	165	69.9	58	24.6	6	2.5	7	3.0
	61-70	66	41	62.1	25	37.9	51	77.3	12	18.2	3	4.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	21	13	61.9	8	38.1	19	90.5	2	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2229	1673	75.1	556	24.9	1066	47.8	980	44.0	74	3.3	109	4.9
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	34	20	58.8	14	41.2	16	47.1	13	38.2	0	0.0	5	14.7
	18-20	372	278	74.7	94	25.3	102	27.4	198	53.2	12	3.2	60	16.1
	21-30	2795	2090	74.8	705	25.2	750	26.8	1381	49.4	121	4.3	543	19.4
	31-40	1275	1038	81.4	237	18.6	319	25.0	669	52.5	56	4.4	231	18.1
	41-50	763	603	79.0	160	21.0	299	39.2	295	38.7	28	3.7	141	18.5
	51-60	423	331	78.3	92	21.7	217	51.3	118	27.9	25	5.9	63	14.9
	61-70	121	98	81.0	23	19.0	75	62.0	27	22.3	3	2.5	16	13.2
	71 & ABOVE	28	19	67.9	9	32.1	21	75.0	4	14.3	1	3.6	2	7.1
	TOTAL	5811	4477	77.0	1334	23.0	1799	31.0	2705	46.5	246	4.2	1061	18.3
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	28	20	71.4	8	28.6	20	71.4	5	17.9	0	0.0	3	10.7
	18-20	136	99	72.8	37	27.2	71	52.2	54	39.7	4	2.9	7	5.1
	21-30	638	459	71.9	179	28.1	331	51.9	248	38.9	10	1.6	49	7.7
	31-40	312	239	76.6	73	23.4	172	55.1	117	37.5	11	3.5	12	3.8
	41-50	228	167	73.2	61	26.8	154	67.5	64	28.1	5	2.2	5	2.2
	51-60	145	103	71.0	42	29.0	105	72.4	28	19.3	1	0.7	11	7.6
	61-70	59	42	71.2	17	28.8	49	83.1	6	10.2	1	1.7	3	5.1
	71 & ABOVE	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	TOTAL	1556	1137	73.1	419	26.9	911	58.5	522	33.5	32	2.1	91	5.8

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	18-20	59	48	81.4	11	18.6	52	88.1	3	5.1	0	0.0	4	6.8
	21-30	417	291	69.8	126	30.2	360	86.3	30	7.2	6	1.4	21	5.0
	31-40	225	156	69.3	69	30.7	191	84.9	14	6.2	7	3.1	13	5.8
	41-50	192	115	59.9	77	40.1	165	85.9	15	7.8	8	4.2	4	2.1
	51-60	127	85	66.9	42	33.1	116	91.3	5	3.9	1	0.8	5	3.9
	61-70	50	42	84.0	8	16.0	47	94.0	1	2.0	0	0.0	2	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1098	757	68.9	341	31.1	958	87.2	68	6.2	22	2.0	50	4.6
SIERRA	18-20	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	12	6	50.0	6	50.0	10	83.3	1	8.3	0	0.0	1	8.3
	41-50	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	38	26	68.4	12	31.6	31	81.6	6	15.8	0	0.0	1	2.6
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	12	80.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	117	87	74.4	30	25.6	101	86.3	8	6.8	1	0.9	7	6.0
	31-40	65	48	73.8	17	26.2	52	80.0	5	7.7	1	1.5	7	10.8
	41-50	65	49	75.4	16	24.6	55	84.6	6	9.2	1	1.5	3	4.6
	51-60	57	40	70.2	17	29.8	48	84.2	1	1.8	2	3.5	6	10.5
	61-70	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	355	265	74.6	90	25.4	303	85.4	24	6.8	5	1.4	23	6.5
SOLANO	UNDER 18	7	3	42.9	4	57.1	4	57.1	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0
	18-20	94	69	73.4	25	26.6	42	44.7	33	35.1	5	5.3	14	14.9
	21-30	587	428	72.9	159	27.1	270	46.0	170	29.0	84	14.3	63	10.7
	31-40	290	215	74.1	75	25.9	108	37.2	76	26.2	77	26.6	29	10.0
	41-50	220	159	72.3	61	27.7	115	52.3	41	18.6	50	22.7	14	6.4
	51-60	140	111	79.3	29	20.7	66	47.1	22	15.7	44	31.4	8	5.7
	61-70	53	43	81.1	10	18.9	28	52.8	7	13.2	11	20.8	7	13.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	1	12.5	3	37.5	1	12.5
	TOTAL	1399	1036	74.1	363	25.9	636	45.5	352	25.2	275	19.7	136	9.7

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	9	81.8	2	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	158	117	74.1	41	25.9	74	46.8	70	44.3	3	1.9	11	7.0
	21-30	1109	813	73.3	296	26.7	655	59.1	364	32.8	34	3.1	56	5.0
	31-40	562	442	78.6	120	21.4	334	59.4	178	31.7	17	3.0	33	5.9
	41-50	451	325	72.1	126	27.9	308	68.3	106	23.5	15	3.3	22	4.9
	51-60	298	224	75.2	74	24.8	223	74.8	42	14.1	16	5.4	17	5.7
	61-70	128	94	73.4	34	26.6	112	87.5	9	7.0	3	2.3	4	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	28	16	57.1	12	42.9	26	92.9	1	3.6	0	0.0	1	3.6
	TOTAL	2745	2038	74.2	707	25.8	1741	63.4	772	28.1	88	3.2	144	5.2
	UNDER 18	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	3	17.6	12	70.6	0	0.0	2	11.8
STANISLAUS	18-20	211	170	80.6	41	19.4	64	30.3	134	63.5	2	0.9	11	5.2
	21-30	1297	970	74.8	327	25.2	470	36.2	680	52.4	53	4.1	94	7.2
	31-40	657	519	79.0	138	21.0	238	36.2	345	52.5	44	6.7	30	4.6
	41-50	416	308	74.0	108	26.0	198	47.6	179	43.0	21	5.0	18	4.3
	51-60	225	180	80.0	45	20.0	120	53.3	73	32.4	14	6.2	18	8.0
	61-70	63	55	87.3	8	12.7	41	65.1	18	28.6	1	1.6	3	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	9	75.0	3	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2898	2229	76.9	669	23.1	1143	39.4	1444	49.8	135	4.7	176	6.1
	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	40	36	90.0	4	10.0	22	55.0	10	25.0	1	2.5	7	17.5
SUTTER	21-30	207	161	77.8	46	22.2	97	46.9	87	42.0	8	3.9	15	7.2
	31-40	101	82	81.2	19	18.8	49	48.5	36	35.6	3	3.0	13	12.9
	41-50	74	52	70.3	22	29.7	55	74.3	9	12.2	2	2.7	8	10.8
	51-60	55	46	83.6	9	16.4	33	60.0	15	27.3	0	0.0	7	12.7
	61-70	17	13	76.5	4	23.5	15	88.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	11.8
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	502	396	78.9	106	21.1	277	55.2	159	31.7	14	2.8	52	10.4
	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	34	82.9	7	17.1	28	68.3	12	29.3	1	2.4	0	0.0
	21-30	156	115	73.7	41	26.3	111	71.2	40	25.6	1	0.6	4	2.6
TEHAMA	31-40	84	62	73.8	22	26.2	60	71.4	20	23.8	0	0.0	4	4.8
	41-50	71	50	70.4	21	29.6	57	80.3	13	18.3	0	0.0	1	1.4
	51-60	82	62	75.6	20	24.4	73	89.0	8	9.8	0	0.0	1	1.2
	61-70	29	27	93.1	2	6.9	28	96.6	1	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	470	354	75.3	116	24.7	363	77.2	95	20.2	2	0.4	10	2.1

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
		MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	TOTAL												
	UNDER 18	2		0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8		1	12.5	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	52		13	25.0	39	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	3.8
	31-40	56		14	25.0	42	75.0	1	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	37		10	27.0	27	73.0	1	2.7	0	0.0	1	2.7
	51-60	46		19	41.3	27	58.7	0	0.0	1	2.2	2	4.3
	61-70	12		1	8.3	11	91.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2		0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	215		58	27.0	157	73.0	3	1.4	1	0.5	5	2.3
TULARE	UNDER 18	28		5	17.9	23	82.1	18	64.3	1	3.6	2	7.1
	18-20	309		61	19.7	248	80.3	217	70.2	8	2.6	14	4.5
	21-30	1587		325	20.5	1262	79.5	1212	76.4	20	1.3	61	3.8
	31-40	817		166	20.3	651	79.7	603	73.8	15	1.8	26	3.2
	41-50	523		115	22.0	408	78.0	327	62.5	13	2.5	14	2.7
	51-60	216		36	16.7	180	83.3	99	45.8	9	4.2	12	5.6
	61-70	57		11	19.3	46	80.7	26	45.6	2	3.5	2	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	18		3	16.7	15	83.3	7	38.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3555		722	20.3	2833	79.7	2509	70.6	68	1.9	131	3.7
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	5		3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27		7	25.9	20	74.1	1	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	122		33	27.0	89	73.0	11	9.0	0	0.0	4	3.3
	31-40	70		18	25.7	52	74.3	7	10.0	1	1.4	0	0.0
	41-50	85		30	35.3	55	64.7	7	8.2	0	0.0	6	7.1
	51-60	93		18	19.4	75	80.6	6	6.5	1	1.1	3	3.2
	61-70	35		11	31.4	24	68.6	2	5.7	1	2.9	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	10		1	10.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	447		121	27.1	326	72.9	34	7.6	3	0.7	14	3.1
VENTURA	UNDER 18	6		1	16.7	5	83.3	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	278		68	24.5	210	75.5	122	43.9	4	1.4	3	1.1
	21-30	1656		402	24.3	1254	75.7	664	40.1	56	3.4	88	5.3
	31-40	752		158	21.0	594	79.0	264	35.1	24	3.2	44	5.9
	41-50	631		149	23.6	482	76.4	325	51.5	24	3.8	37	5.9
	51-60	381		97	25.5	284	74.5	257	67.5	12	3.1	15	3.9
	61-70	99		29	29.3	70	70.7	77	77.8	0	0.0	6	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	26		10	38.5	16	61.5	21	80.8	0	0.0	2	7.7
	TOTAL	3829		914	23.9	2915	76.1	1733	45.3	120	3.1	195	5.1

TABLE B1: 2012 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	72	56	77.8	16	22.2	37	51.4	27	37.5	0	0.0	8	11.1
	21-30	399	321	80.5	78	19.5	170	42.6	143	35.8	16	4.0	70	17.5
	31-40	132	109	82.6	23	17.4	61	46.2	56	42.4	4	3.0	11	8.3
	41-50	111	72	64.9	39	35.1	60	54.1	38	34.2	5	4.5	8	7.2
	51-60	70	46	65.7	24	34.3	47	67.1	17	24.3	3	4.3	3	4.3
	61-70	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	17	65.4	8	30.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	818	632	77.3	186	22.7	396	48.4	293	35.8	28	3.4	101	12.3
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	34	24	70.6	10	29.4	18	52.9	15	44.1	0	0.0	1	2.9
	21-30	192	139	72.4	53	27.6	136	70.8	39	20.3	10	5.2	7	3.6
	31-40	121	92	76.0	29	24.0	87	71.9	25	20.7	3	2.5	6	5.0
	41-50	87	62	71.3	25	28.7	70	80.5	8	9.2	8	9.2	1	1.1
	51-60	70	49	70.0	21	30.0	46	65.7	21	30.0	1	1.4	2	2.9
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	524	382	72.9	142	27.1	376	71.8	109	20.8	22	4.2	17	3.2

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		142121	100.0	108933	100.0	33188	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	6	0.1	5	0.1	1	0.1
	18-20	243	4.9	181	5.0	62	4.7
	21-30	2149	43.6	1525	42.4	624	47.0
	31-40	1230	25.0	930	25.8	300	22.6
	41-50	772	15.7	553	15.4	219	16.5
	51-60	392	8.0	300	8.3	92	6.9
	61-70	115	2.3	88	2.4	27	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	21	0.4	18	0.5	3	0.2
	TOTAL	4928	100.0	3600	100.0	1328	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	5	41.7	5	50.0	0	0.0
	31-40	2	16.7	1	10.0	1	50.0
	41-50	3	25.0	2	20.0	1	50.0
	51-60	1	8.3	1	10.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	8.3	1	10.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	12	100.0	10	100.0	2	100.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	0.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4.1	3	2.4	3	13.6
	21-30	50	33.8	47	37.3	3	13.6
	31-40	25	16.9	21	16.7	4	18.2
	41-50	32	21.6	29	23.0	3	13.6
	51-60	25	16.9	17	13.5	8	36.4
	61-70	9	6.1	8	6.3	1	4.5
	TOTAL	148	100.0	126	100.0	22	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	9	0.7	8	0.9	1	0.3
	18-20	127	10.3	91	10.0	36	11.0
	21-30	514	41.6	362	39.7	152	46.6
	31-40	218	17.6	170	18.7	48	14.7
	41-50	195	15.8	144	15.8	51	15.6
	51-60	137	11.1	105	11.5	32	9.8
	61-70	28	2.3	23	2.5	5	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.7	8	0.9	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1237	100.0	911	100.0	326	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	7	3.9	5	3.9	2	3.8
	21-30	51	28.2	33	25.8	18	34.0
	31-40	34	18.8	27	21.1	7	13.2
	41-50	52	28.7	35	27.3	17	32.1
	51-60	28	15.5	20	15.6	8	15.1
	61-70	8	4.4	7	5.5	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	181	100.0	128	100.0	53	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	3	2.7	3	3.1	0	0.0
	18-20	12	10.6	11	11.5	1	5.9
	21-30	41	36.3	35	36.5	6	35.3
	31-40	17	15.0	14	14.6	3	17.6
	41-50	20	17.7	14	14.6	6	35.3
	51-60	13	11.5	12	12.5	1	5.9
	61-70	7	6.2	7	7.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	113	100.0	96	100.0	17	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	7	0.2	5	0.2	2	0.2
	18-20	225	6.9	164	6.8	61	7.3
	21-30	1334	40.9	985	40.7	349	41.5
	31-40	681	20.9	521	21.5	160	19.0
	41-50	574	17.6	417	17.2	157	18.7
	51-60	331	10.1	239	9.9	92	11.0
	61-70	94	2.9	75	3.1	19	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.5	17	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3263	100.0	2423	100.0	840	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.7	1	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	11	8.1	6	6.1	5	13.2
	21-30	43	31.6	31	31.6	12	31.6
	31-40	31	22.8	20	20.4	11	28.9
	41-50	26	19.1	19	19.4	7	18.4
	51-60	16	11.8	14	14.3	2	5.3
	61-70	8	5.9	7	7.1	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	136	100.0	98	100.0	38	100.0
	TOTAL	136	100.0	98	100.0	38	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	51	5.6	43	6.3	8	3.7
	21-30	332	36.8	258	37.6	74	34.1
	31-40	186	20.6	140	20.4	46	21.2
	41-50	160	17.7	111	16.2	49	22.6
	51-60	129	14.3	102	14.9	27	12.4
	61-70	39	4.3	27	3.9	12	5.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.5
	TOTAL	903	100.0	686	100.0	217	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	15	0.4	11	0.3	4	0.5
	18-20	273	6.5	219	6.7	54	6.2
	21-30	1815	43.5	1410	42.8	405	46.3
	31-40	973	23.3	782	23.7	191	21.8
	41-50	630	15.1	493	15.0	137	15.7
	51-60	337	8.1	264	8.0	73	8.3
	61-70	109	2.6	100	3.0	9	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.4	14	0.4	2	0.2
	TOTAL	4168	100.0	3293	100.0	875	100.0
GLENN	18-20	15	8.5	12	8.8	3	7.5
	21-30	57	32.2	47	34.3	10	25.0
	31-40	38	21.5	30	21.9	8	20.0
	41-50	37	20.9	30	21.9	7	17.5
	51-60	26	14.7	17	12.4	9	22.5
	61-70	3	1.7	1	0.7	2	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	2.5
	TOTAL	177	100.0	137	100.0	40	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	43	5.0	32	5.3	11	4.5
	21-30	341	40.0	234	38.6	107	43.7
	31-40	217	25.5	160	26.4	57	23.3
	41-50	125	14.7	92	15.2	33	13.5
	51-60	96	11.3	66	10.9	30	12.2
	61-70	26	3.1	19	3.1	7	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	852	100.0	607	100.0	245	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	40	8.0	33	8.0	7	7.8
	21-30	217	43.1	176	42.6	41	45.6
	31-40	96	19.1	77	18.6	19	21.1
	41-50	95	18.9	77	18.6	18	20.0
	51-60	40	8.0	35	8.5	5	5.6
	61-70	14	2.8	14	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	503	100.0	413	100.0	90	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	7	4.4	5	4.2	2	5.0
	21-30	52	32.7	40	33.6	12	30.0
	31-40	37	23.3	26	21.8	11	27.5
	41-50	32	20.1	21	17.6	11	27.5
	51-60	21	13.2	18	15.1	3	7.5
	61-70	8	5.0	7	5.9	1	2.5
	TOTAL	159	100.0	119	100.0	40	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	24	0.6	20	0.7	4	0.5
	18-20	290	7.7	244	8.1	46	6.1
	21-30	1704	45.1	1359	44.8	345	45.9
	31-40	817	21.6	666	22.0	151	20.1
	41-50	569	15.0	430	14.2	139	18.5
	51-60	293	7.7	233	7.7	60	8.0
	61-70	78	2.1	73	2.4	5	0.7
	TOTAL	3782	100.0	3031	100.0	751	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	6	0.7	5	0.8	1	0.6
	18-20	67	8.1	58	9.0	9	5.1
	21-30	386	46.8	298	46.0	88	50.0
	31-40	182	22.1	142	21.9	40	22.7
	41-50	109	13.2	82	12.7	27	15.3
	51-60	65	7.9	54	8.3	11	6.3
	61-70	7	0.8	7	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	824	100.0	648	100.0	176	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	2	0.7	2	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	22	7.4	19	8.4	3	4.1
	21-30	77	25.8	62	27.4	15	20.5
	31-40	60	20.1	50	22.1	10	13.7
	41-50	60	20.1	36	15.9	24	32.9
	51-60	53	17.7	41	18.1	12	16.4
	61-70	20	6.7	13	5.8	7	9.6
	TOTAL	299	100.0	226	100.0	73	100.0
LASSEN	18-20	12	9.2	8	8.9	4	10.0
	21-30	47	36.2	34	37.8	13	32.5
	31-40	26	20.0	15	16.7	11	27.5
	41-50	24	18.5	14	15.6	10	25.0
	51-60	14	10.8	13	14.4	1	2.5
	61-70	6	4.6	5	5.6	1	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	1	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	130	100.0	90	100.0	40	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	11	0.0	8	0.0	3	0.0
	18-20	1695	6.0	1277	5.8	418	6.7
	21-30	12443	44.0	9313	42.2	3130	50.0
	31-40	6779	23.9	5422	24.6	1357	21.7
	41-50	4578	16.2	3698	16.8	880	14.1
	51-60	2135	7.5	1773	8.0	362	5.8
	61-70	577	2.0	491	2.2	86	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	89	0.3	70	0.3	19	0.3
	TOTAL	28307	100.0	22052	100.0	6255	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	75	8.2	68	8.6	7	5.6
	21-30	391	42.9	348	44.2	43	34.7
	31-40	194	21.3	173	22.0	21	16.9
	41-50	133	14.6	106	13.5	27	21.8
	51-60	86	9.4	66	8.4	20	16.1
	61-70	27	3.0	22	2.8	5	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.8
	TOTAL	912	100.0	788	100.0	124	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	70	5.8	48	5.7	22	6.0
	21-30	418	34.4	302	35.6	116	31.5
	31-40	258	21.2	178	21.0	80	21.7
	41-50	232	19.1	147	17.3	85	23.1
	51-60	161	13.2	107	12.6	54	14.7
	61-70	56	4.6	48	5.7	8	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	19	1.6	16	1.9	3	0.8
	TOTAL	1216	100.0	848	100.0	368	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	1	1.5	1	2.1	0	0.0
	21-30	13	20.0	9	19.1	4	22.2
	31-40	10	15.4	7	14.9	3	16.7
	41-50	22	33.8	16	34.0	6	33.3
	51-60	16	24.6	13	27.7	3	16.7
	61-70	3	4.6	1	2.1	2	11.1
	TOTAL	65	100.0	47	100.0	18	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	7	1.3	6	1.4	1	0.8
	18-20	31	5.6	23	5.3	8	6.6
	21-30	198	35.5	159	36.5	39	32.2
	31-40	124	22.3	99	22.7	25	20.7
	41-50	93	16.7	63	14.4	30	24.8
	51-60	74	13.3	61	14.0	13	10.7
	61-70	24	4.3	20	4.6	4	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.1	5	1.1	1	0.8
	TOTAL	557	100.0	436	100.0	121	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	3	0.3	2	0.2	1	0.5
	18-20	80	8.0	65	8.1	15	7.8
	21-30	423	42.3	336	41.7	87	45.1
	31-40	218	21.8	176	21.8	42	21.8
	41-50	157	15.7	124	15.4	33	17.1
	51-60	92	9.2	78	9.7	14	7.3
	61-70	21	2.1	20	2.5	1	0.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.5	5	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	999	100.0	806	100.0	193	100.0
MODOC	18-20	6	13.6	4	12.5	2	16.7
	21-30	7	15.9	6	18.8	1	8.3
	31-40	10	22.7	7	21.9	3	25.0
	41-50	14	31.8	10	31.3	4	33.3
	51-60	6	13.6	5	15.6	1	8.3
	61-70	1	2.3	0	0.0	1	8.3
	TOTAL	44	100.0	32	100.0	12	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
MONO	18-20	6	4.3	4	3.5	2	7.7
	21-30	62	44.3	48	42.1	14	53.8
	31-40	25	17.9	22	19.3	3	11.5
	41-50	27	19.3	24	21.1	3	11.5
	51-60	16	11.4	12	10.5	4	15.4
	61-70	2	1.4	2	1.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.4	2	1.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	140	100.0	114	100.0	26	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	159	7.9	139	8.4	20	5.7
	21-30	918	45.7	764	46.1	154	44.0
	31-40	432	21.5	369	22.3	63	18.0
	41-50	271	13.5	214	12.9	57	16.3
	51-60	163	8.1	119	7.2	44	12.6
	61-70	57	2.8	46	2.8	11	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.2	4	0.2	1	0.3
	TOTAL	2007	100.0	1657	100.0	350	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.5
	18-20	74	8.1	61	8.3	13	7.1
	21-30	352	38.3	291	39.5	61	33.3
	31-40	204	22.2	164	22.3	40	21.9
	41-50	149	16.2	111	15.1	38	20.8
	51-60	101	11.0	83	11.3	18	9.8
	61-70	28	3.0	19	2.6	9	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.0	6	0.8	3	1.6
	TOTAL	919	100.0	736	100.0	183	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	2	0.4	2	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	23	4.2	17	4.3	6	4.1
	21-30	186	34.3	142	35.9	44	29.9
	31-40	116	21.4	83	21.0	33	22.4
	41-50	107	19.7	72	18.2	35	23.8
	51-60	85	15.7	66	16.7	19	12.9
	61-70	22	4.1	13	3.3	9	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.7
	TOTAL	542	100.0	395	100.0	147	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	67	0.4	53	0.5	14	0.4
	18-20	1098	7.3	853	7.5	245	6.8
	21-30	6689	44.5	5024	43.9	1665	46.5
	31-40	3210	21.4	2523	22.1	687	19.2
	41-50	2361	15.7	1760	15.4	601	16.8
	51-60	1211	8.1	935	8.2	276	7.7
	61-70	314	2.1	237	2.1	77	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	72	0.5	54	0.5	18	0.5
	TOTAL	15022	100.0	11439	100.0	3583	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	4	0.3	3	0.3	1	0.2
	18-20	139	9.2	108	10.0	31	7.4
	21-30	598	39.7	449	41.4	149	35.4
	31-40	309	20.5	216	19.9	93	22.1
	41-50	250	16.6	162	14.9	88	20.9
	51-60	158	10.5	113	10.4	45	10.7
	61-70	40	2.7	28	2.6	12	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	6	0.6	2	0.5
	TOTAL	1506	100.0	1085	100.0	421	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
PLUMAS	18-20	10	7.5	7	7.1	3	8.8
	21-30	34	25.6	23	23.2	11	32.4
	31-40	25	18.8	18	18.2	7	20.6
	41-50	27	20.3	19	19.2	8	23.5
	51-60	30	22.6	26	26.3	4	11.8
	61-70	7	5.3	6	6.1	1	2.9
	TOTAL	133	100.0	99	100.0	34	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	16	0.2	12	0.2	4	0.2
	18-20	671	7.9	519	8.0	152	7.7
	21-30	3797	44.7	2890	44.3	907	45.9
	31-40	1700	20.0	1293	19.8	407	20.6
	41-50	1358	16.0	1041	16.0	317	16.0
	51-60	684	8.0	540	8.3	144	7.3
	61-70	222	2.6	182	2.8	40	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	49	0.6	42	0.6	7	0.4
	TOTAL	8497	100.0	6519	100.0	1978	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	13	0.2	11	0.2	2	0.1
	18-20	385	6.1	268	6.0	117	6.4
	21-30	2983	47.1	2079	46.2	904	49.3
	31-40	1370	21.6	1004	22.3	366	20.0
	41-50	942	14.9	650	14.5	292	15.9
	51-60	495	7.8	386	8.6	109	5.9
	61-70	115	1.8	79	1.8	36	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.4	19	0.4	6	0.3
	TOTAL	6328	100.0	4496	100.0	1832	100.0
SAN BENITO	18-20	24	8.8	18	8.1	6	11.5
	21-30	102	37.4	74	33.5	28	53.8
	31-40	54	19.8	47	21.3	7	13.5
	41-50	52	19.0	45	20.4	7	13.5
	51-60	27	9.9	26	11.8	1	1.9
	61-70	11	4.0	8	3.6	3	5.8
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.1	3	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	273	100.0	221	100.0	52	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	10	0.1	9	0.1	1	0.1
	18-20	596	7.3	467	7.3	129	7.1
	21-30	3591	44.0	2764	43.5	827	45.6
	31-40	1759	21.5	1362	21.4	397	21.9
	41-50	1310	16.0	1019	16.0	291	16.0
	51-60	696	8.5	559	8.8	137	7.6
	61-70	183	2.2	154	2.4	29	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.3	21	0.3	3	0.2
	TOTAL	8169	100.0	6355	100.0	1814	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	32	0.3	19	0.2	13	0.4
	18-20	818	6.5	616	6.5	202	6.5
	21-30	5702	45.5	4279	45.3	1423	46.1
	31-40	2738	21.8	2086	22.1	652	21.1
	41-50	1927	15.4	1456	15.4	471	15.3
	51-60	963	7.7	722	7.6	241	7.8
	61-70	308	2.5	234	2.5	74	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	48	0.4	36	0.4	12	0.4
	TOTAL	12536	100.0	9448	100.0	3088	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.4
	18-20	45	4.0	32	3.7	13	5.1
	21-30	513	45.5	368	42.1	145	57.3
	31-40	301	26.7	254	29.0	47	18.6
	41-50	166	14.7	134	15.3	32	12.6
	51-60	73	6.5	60	6.9	13	5.1
	61-70	28	2.5	26	3.0	2	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1128	100.0	875	100.0	253	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	3	0.1	3	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	186	7.2	147	7.2	39	7.2
	21-30	1138	44.1	890	43.7	248	45.8
	31-40	543	21.1	443	21.7	100	18.5
	41-50	403	15.6	315	15.5	88	16.3
	51-60	237	9.2	182	8.9	55	10.2
	61-70	56	2.2	46	2.3	10	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.5	12	0.6	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2579	100.0	2038	100.0	541	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	8	0.5	6	0.5	2	0.5
	18-20	129	8.0	96	8.1	33	7.7
	21-30	715	44.6	527	44.7	188	44.1
	31-40	261	16.3	199	16.9	62	14.6
	41-50	256	16.0	179	15.2	77	18.1
	51-60	172	10.7	128	10.9	44	10.3
	61-70	53	3.3	37	3.1	16	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.6	6	0.5	4	0.9
	TOTAL	1604	100.0	1178	100.0	426	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	14	0.5	9	0.5	5	0.8
	18-20	154	5.9	111	5.6	43	7.2
	21-30	1088	41.9	832	41.7	256	42.7
	31-40	607	23.4	486	24.4	121	20.2
	41-50	382	14.7	283	14.2	99	16.5
	51-60	255	9.8	199	10.0	56	9.3
	61-70	78	3.0	61	3.1	17	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.7	14	0.7	3	0.5
	TOTAL	2595	100.0	1995	100.0	600	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	11	0.5	9	0.5	2	0.4
	18-20	217	10.1	166	9.9	51	10.7
	21-30	924	42.9	740	44.1	184	38.6
	31-40	402	18.7	334	19.9	68	14.3
	41-50	309	14.3	229	13.7	80	16.8
	51-60	219	10.2	148	8.8	71	14.9
	61-70	60	2.8	43	2.6	17	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.6	8	0.5	4	0.8
	TOTAL	2154	100.0	1677	100.0	477	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	19	0.3	18	0.4	1	0.1
	18-20	368	6.6	274	6.3	94	8.0
	21-30	2638	47.5	1997	45.6	641	54.4
	31-40	1246	22.4	1033	23.6	213	18.1
	41-50	722	13.0	593	13.5	129	10.9
	51-60	438	7.9	354	8.1	84	7.1
	61-70	99	1.8	88	2.0	11	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.5	21	0.5	6	0.5
	TOTAL	5557	100.0	4378	100.0	1179	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	8	0.7	5	0.6	3	1.0
	18-20	98	8.4	71	8.2	27	9.0
	21-30	491	42.2	361	41.8	130	43.2
	31-40	214	18.4	164	19.0	50	16.6
	41-50	186	16.0	145	16.8	41	13.6
	51-60	136	11.7	97	11.2	39	13.0
	61-70	28	2.4	19	2.2	9	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	1	0.1	2	0.7
	TOTAL	1164	100.0	863	100.0	301	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	5	0.6	5	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	38	4.4	23	3.8	15	5.7
	21-30	343	39.6	237	39.4	106	40.2
	31-40	180	20.8	124	20.6	56	21.2
	41-50	150	17.3	101	16.8	49	18.6
	51-60	108	12.5	79	13.1	29	11.0
	61-70	35	4.0	26	4.3	9	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.8	7	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	866	100.0	602	100.0	264	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	6	42.9	4	44.4	2	40.0
	31-40	3	21.4	3	33.3	0	0.0
	41-50	2	14.3	0	0.0	2	40.0
	51-60	3	21.4	2	22.2	1	20.0
	TOTAL	14	100.0	9	100.0	5	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	11	3.9	9	3.9	2	3.7
	21-30	75	26.5	57	24.9	18	33.3
	31-40	66	23.3	55	24.0	11	20.4
	41-50	63	22.3	53	23.1	10	18.5
	51-60	37	13.1	30	13.1	7	13.0
	61-70	26	9.2	20	8.7	6	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.4	4	1.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	283	100.0	229	100.0	54	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	6	0.5	5	0.5	1	0.3
	18-20	88	6.9	57	5.8	31	10.2
	21-30	525	41.0	402	41.2	123	40.3
	31-40	271	21.2	213	21.8	58	19.0
	41-50	208	16.2	154	15.8	54	17.7
	51-60	143	11.2	112	11.5	31	10.2
	61-70	29	2.3	24	2.5	5	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.8	8	0.8	2	0.7
	TOTAL	1280	100.0	975	100.0	305	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	10	0.4	7	0.4	3	0.5
	18-20	160	6.8	118	6.7	42	7.0
	21-30	958	40.5	727	41.2	231	38.5
	31-40	480	20.3	385	21.8	95	15.8
	41-50	385	16.3	257	14.6	128	21.3
	51-60	263	11.1	188	10.7	75	12.5
	61-70	91	3.8	67	3.8	24	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.7	15	0.9	2	0.3
	TOTAL	2364	100.0	1764	100.0	600	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	14	0.6	12	0.7	2	0.3
	18-20	147	6.5	112	6.7	35	6.0
	21-30	1039	45.9	754	45.0	285	48.7
	31-40	518	22.9	385	23.0	133	22.7
	41-50	320	14.1	235	14.0	85	14.5
	51-60	171	7.6	130	7.8	41	7.0
	61-70	46	2.0	42	2.5	4	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.3	7	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2262	100.0	1677	100.0	585	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	5	1.8	5	2.2	0	0.0
	18-20	20	7.2	13	5.8	7	12.7
	21-30	105	37.6	88	39.3	17	30.9
	31-40	72	25.8	52	23.2	20	36.4
	41-50	48	17.2	40	17.9	8	14.5
	51-60	23	8.2	20	8.9	3	5.5
	61-70	5	1.8	5	2.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	279	100.0	224	100.0	55	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	2	0.7	1	0.4	1	1.8
	18-20	19	6.7	19	8.3	0	0.0
	21-30	98	34.4	76	33.3	22	38.6
	31-40	72	25.3	63	27.6	9	15.8
	41-50	47	16.5	33	14.5	14	24.6
	51-60	38	13.3	31	13.6	7	12.3
	61-70	7	2.5	4	1.8	3	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	1	0.4	1	1.8
	TOTAL	285	100.0	228	100.0	57	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	4	3.3	4	4.3	0	0.0
	21-30	33	27.5	29	31.2	4	14.8
	31-40	27	22.5	20	21.5	7	25.9
	41-50	24	20.0	16	17.2	8	29.6
	51-60	25	20.8	17	18.3	8	29.6
	61-70	7	5.8	7	7.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	120	100.0	93	100.0	27	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	8	0.3	7	0.3	1	0.2
	18-20	245	9.4	192	9.1	53	10.6
	21-30	1163	44.5	931	44.1	232	46.2
	31-40	576	22.1	470	22.3	106	21.1
	41-50	388	14.9	303	14.4	85	16.9
	51-60	179	6.9	158	7.5	21	4.2
	61-70	45	1.7	41	1.9	4	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.3	8	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2612	100.0	2110	100.0	502	100.0
TUOLUMNE	18-20	22	6.2	19	7.0	3	3.5
	21-30	93	26.1	73	26.9	20	23.3
	31-40	60	16.8	44	16.2	16	18.6
	41-50	80	22.4	52	19.2	28	32.6
	51-60	71	19.9	57	21.0	14	16.3
	61-70	20	5.6	16	5.9	4	4.7
	71 & ABOVE	11	3.1	10	3.7	1	1.2
	TOTAL	357	100.0	271	100.0	86	100.0

TABLE B2: 2011 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%
VENTURA	UNDER 18	19	0.5	16	0.6	3	0.4
	18-20	267	7.6	196	7.3	71	8.4
	21-30	1501	42.5	1155	43.1	346	40.8
	31-40	748	21.2	590	22.0	158	18.6
	41-50	564	16.0	411	15.3	153	18.0
	51-60	314	8.9	226	8.4	88	10.4
	61-70	93	2.6	66	2.5	27	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.7	21	0.8	2	0.2
	TOTAL	3529	100.0	2681	100.0	848	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	55	7.7	46	8.1	9	6.4
	21-30	364	51.3	282	49.6	82	58.2
	31-40	137	19.3	121	21.3	16	11.3
	41-50	81	11.4	58	10.2	23	16.3
	51-60	52	7.3	43	7.6	9	6.4
	61-70	18	2.5	16	2.8	2	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	710	100.0	569	100.0	141	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	16	4.1	10	3.5	6	5.5
	21-30	146	37.2	110	39.0	36	32.7
	31-40	97	24.7	68	24.1	29	26.4
	41-50	72	18.4	43	15.2	29	26.4
	51-60	37	9.4	30	10.6	7	6.4
	61-70	17	4.3	15	5.3	2	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.5	5	1.8	1	0.9
	TOTAL	392	100.0	282	100.0	110	100.0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE		136921	3967	1233	19204	91	7
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	87	14	0	0	157	45
	JUV OAKLAND	6	0	0	1	73	54
	FREMONT	664	3	6	128	89	3
	PLEASANTON	972	3	7	339	102	5
	OAKLAND	1782	9	16	408	85	2
	HAYWARD	1349	3	7	434	102	10
	TOTAL	4860	32	36	1310	95	4
ALPINE	ALPINE	12	0	0	7	46	4
	TOTAL	12	0	0	7	46	4
AMADOR	JUV AMADOR	1	0	0	0	162	33
	JACKSON	138	8	1	12	84	15
	TOTAL	139	8	1	12	85	15
BUTTE	BUTTE	1167	31	25	230	109	14
	JUV BUTTE	14	0	0	0	92	13
	TOTAL	1181	31	25	230	108	14
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	172	6	2	46	54	3
	JUV CALAVERAS	1	0	0	0	125	6
	TOTAL	173	6	2	46	55	3
COLUSA	JUV COLUSA	2	0	1	0	71	8
	COLUSA	102	7	1	40	79	6
	TOTAL	104	7	2	40	79	6
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	34	36	0	0	202	42
	MARTINEZ	14	0	1	5	172	37
	CONCORD	8	1	12	0	100	5
	RICHMOND	603	15	9	127	156	25
	PITTSBURG	937	25	12	188	238	5
	WALNUT CREEK	1517	30	9	269	164	10
	TOTAL	3113	107	43	589	181	10
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	123	7	6	36	78	106
	TOTAL	123	7	6	36	78	106

^aThis count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.^bViolations of VC 23140.

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EI DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	360	2	4	94	64	49
	PLACERVILLE	511	17	9	173	123	12
	TOTAL	871	19	13	267	98	24
FRESNO	FRESNO CENTRAL	3060	179	48	509	128	0
	CLOVIS	272	3	5	43	118	0
	COALINGA	88	0	1	21	133	1
	FIREBAUGH	114	3	1	24	169	2
	KINGSBURG	183	7	0	24	117	0
	REEDLEY	187	12	3	22	120	0
	SUP SANGER	0	0	1	0	217	388
	SELMA	0	0	1	0	81	0
	TOTAL	3904	204	60	643	128	0
GLENN	GLENN	170	7	0	43	141	11
	TOTAL	170	7	0	43	141	11
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	828	17	7	238	85	36
	TOTAL	828	17	7	238	85	36
IMPERIAL	JUV IMPERIAL	2	0	0	0	154	15
	BRAWLEY	106	0	2	30	158	65
	CALEXICO	158	0	4	57	146	14
	EL CENTRO	221	8	1	59	135	6
	WINTERHAVEN	0	0	1	0	93	121
	TOTAL	487	8	8	146	145	14
INYO	INYO	1	6	0	0	261	13
	JUV TRAFFIC INYO	2	0	0	1	106	6
	BISHOP	148	1	1	54	83	2
	TOTAL	151	7	1	55	86	2
KERN	KERN	5	1	0	0	139	54
	JUV KERN	24	0	0	0	50	7
	LAMONT	227	18	1	69	24	1
	BAKERSFIELD	2417	73	12	394	29	15
	DELANO	211	17	0	13	23	5
	LAKE ISABELLA	59	0	2	11	55	13
	TAFT	133	1	1	14	38	3

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN (cont)	SHAFTER	166	5	0	10	25	1
	MOJAVE	272	3	1	76	43	0
	RIDGECREST	130	3	0	31	67	0
	TOTAL	3644	121	17	618	31	13
KINGS	JUV KINGS	11	0	1	0	143	3
	HANFORD	691	33	3	93	123	0
	AVENAL	47	0	2	8	98	0
	CORCORAN	33	0	0	6	70	0
	LEMOORE	0	0	3	0	102	0
	TOTAL	782	33	9	107	121	0
LAKE	LAKE	168	5	1	18	94	121
	CLEARLAKE	116	9	0	11	111	58
	TOTAL	284	14	1	29	101	71
LASSEN	LASSEN	1	0	0	0	381	76
	SUSANVILLE	123	1	5	14	120	7
	TOTAL	124	1	5	14	120	7
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	51	34	0	2	162	8
	POMONA	18	18	0	0	172	25
	LANCASTER	20	18	0	1	167	6
	SAN FERNANDO	19	4	0	0	146	6
	PASADENA	6	6	0	2	337	8
	VAN NUYS	19	2	0	1	151	34
	LONG BEACH	11	4	0	0	133	9
	COMPTON	3	11	0	0	197	11
	NORWALK	7	10	0	0	245	19
	TORRANCE	12	5	0	0	216	5
	SANTA MONICA	12	5	0	2	179	9
	JUV LOS ANGELES	0	0	1	0	128	0
	JUV EASTLAKE	7	0	0	0	112	5
	L ANGELES AIRPORT	963	20	1	127	96	12
	ALHAMBRA	690	17	7	76	101	5
	LANCASTER	1160	32	4	128	68	6
	BEVERLY HILLS	467	8	3	11	148	5

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BURBANK	363	4	0	60	88	5
	WEST COVINA	2053	10	27	173	95	6
	CHATSWORTH	0	0	7	0	257	0
	COMPTON	856	28	0	142	115	6
	DOWNEY	993	16	2	54	109	5
	EAST LOS ANGELES	764	5	15	161	94	5
	EL MONTE	579	13	9	24	86	12
	GLENDALE	513	2	0	60	109	5
	INGLEWOOD	508	10	3	77	110	6
	LONG BEACH	1884	16	9	25	78	19
	LOS ANGELES NRTH	1	0	0	0	76	13
	LA METRO	5628	29	18	922	54	10
	BELLFLOWER	786	5	1	71	93	6
	SANTA CLARITA	1134	19	8	193	97	5
	PASADENA	822	5	6	240	97	4
	MALIBU	273	4	0	72	110	9
	POMONA	1062	21	11	62	90	5
	HUNTINGTON PARK	1	0	5	0	109	0
	TORRANCE	1248	11	6	296	99	6
	WHITTIER	812	14	3	54	95	5
	HOLLYWOOD	2	0	0	1	69	12
	SAN FERNANDO	1352	23	6	288	50	9
	VAN NUYS	2556	31	15	458	53	6
	WEST LOS ANGELES	0	0	2	0	168	29
	AVALON	1	1	0	0	61	10
	USDT LOS ANGELES	21	0	0	4	108	30
	TOTAL	27677	461	169	3787	85	7
MADERA	MADERA	101	16	0	14	156	14
	CHOWCHILLA	605	0	6	43	142	198
	MADERA CRIM	3	0	0	0	259	11
	BASS LAKE SIERRA	173	7	1	58	208	14
	TOTAL	882	23	7	115	156	35

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1168	36	12	1	66	28
	TOTAL	1168	36	12	1	66	28
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	58	7	0	3	90	4
	TOTAL	58	7	0	3	90	4
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	16	5	0	2	75	121
	JUV MENDOCINO	6	0	3	1	78	38
	UKIAH	404	7	2	75	68	67
	POINT ARENA	3	0	0	0	193	2
	COVELO	4	0	0	0	138	255
	FORT BRAGG	102	5	0	20	74	59
	TOTAL	535	17	5	98	71	66
MERCED	MERCED	700	15	10	119	212	77
	LOS BANOS	262	4	8	49	167	66
	TOTAL	962	19	18	168	197	76
MODOC	ALTURAS	43	1	0	14	99	9
	TOTAL	43	1	0	14	99	9
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	11	1	1	5	57	27
	MAMMOTH LAKES	125	1	1	14	115	41
	TOTAL	136	2	2	19	111	39
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	95	27	0	24	94	14
	JUV MONTEREY	4	0	0	0	120	267
	MARINA	2	0	2	1	126	263
	SALINAS	1501	8	3	283	52	10
	KING CITY	361	4	0	44	52	9
	TOTAL	1963	39	5	352	54	10
NAPA	NAPA	881	29	9	107	63	3
	TOTAL	881	29	9	107	63	3
NEVADA	NEVADA	0	6	0	0	144	25
	JUV NEVADA	2	0	0	0	24	47
	NEVADA CITY	339	5	6	42	101	34
	TRUCKEE	184	0	0	15	59	2
	TOTAL	525	11	6	57	86	14

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
ORANGE	JUV ORANGE	87	3	2	0	97	8
	FULLERTON	3900	76	19	107	80	0
	WESTMINSTER	3179	79	18	187	101	0
	LAGUNA HILLS	8	1	9	0	177	0
	NEWPORT BEACH	4715	120	21	476	165	0
	SANTA ANA	2677	80	28	147	82	0
	TOTAL	14566	359	97	917	110	0
PLACER	JUV PLACER	9	0	0	1	138	2
	JUV AUBURN	0	0	1	0	164	1
	ROSEVILLE	1227	83	15	145	107	6
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	0	0	3	0	78	1
	TAHOE CITY	162	4	2	36	73	9
	TOTAL	1398	87	21	182	102	7
PLUMAS	QUINCY	127	2	4	8	77	1
	TOTAL	127	2	4	8	77	1
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	3994	120	30	18	115	3
	INDIO	124	13	0	0	402	2
	JUV RIVERSIDE	23	1	0	0	131	21
	HEMET	3	0	4	0	102	470
	BANNING	446	5	5	8	94	2
	INDIO	1484	17	5	0	107	1
	BLYTHE	76	0	4	0	95	2
	MURRIETA	2115	23	0	33	91	2
	TEMECULA	2	0	3	0	60	124
	TOTAL	8267	179	51	59	108	2
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	165	176	0	1	77	8
	JUV SACRAMENTO	18	0	2	1	78	19
	SACRAMENTO CM	5824	68	74	722	83	10
	USDT SACRAMENTO	1	0	0	0	258	329
	TOTAL	6008	244	76	724	82	10
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	258	11	2	39	90	55
	JUV SAN BENITO	0	0	2	0	86	274
	TOTAL	258	11	4	39	90	55

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	147	55	0	20	375	12
	R CUCAMONGA	156	56	0	14	374	34
	VICTORVILLE	109	50	0	8	201	12
	BARSTOW	418	19	4	110	115	7
	JOSHUA TREE	16	12	0	0	30	50
	JUV S BERNARDINO	2	0	0	0	105	18
	JUV R CUCAMONGA	2	2	0	0	101	1
	JUV VICTORVILLE	4	0	0	0	142	16
	CHINO	520	15	11	52	118	6
	SAN BERNARDINO	1858	31	18	314	146	5
	FONTANA	1051	51	12	86	147	8
	VICTORVILLE	1137	14	10	194	134	5
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1946	11	14	162	142	6
	SUP NEEDLES	1	0	0	0	255	188
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	406	7	4	81	96	8
	TOTAL	7773	323	73	1041	140	6
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	109	93	0	0	141	18
	VISTA	4	128	0	2	129	13
	JUV SAN DIEGO	39	6	5	0	111	27
	EL CAJON	2298	101	17	474	61	44
	VISTA	3439	71	6	660	53	4
	VISTA2	2	1	23	0	70	25
	KEARNY MESA	4632	3	45	1242	82	6
	CHULA VISTA	1415	62	34	152	83	11
	USDT SOUTH SD	3	0	0	0	777	3
	TOTAL	11941	465	130	2530	72	11
	SAN FRANCISCO	6	15	0	0	232	22
SAN FRANCISCO	JUV SAN FRAN	1	0	1	0	85	271
	SAN FRAN YOUTH	1	0	0	0	80	0
	TRAF SAN FRAN	1077	22	5	241	76	9
	TOTAL	1085	37	6	241	78	10

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN JOAQUIN	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	4	1	0	0	197	27
	LODI	345	15	2	98	38	5
	MANTECA	412	20	5	134	65	3
	TRACY	169	7	6	96	47	2
	STOCKTON	1508	69	16	310	31	5
	TOTAL	2438	112	29	638	37	4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	JUV S LUIS OBISPO	8	1	1	0	133	11
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1502	73	19	271	58	13
	TOTAL	1510	74	20	271	58	13
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	30	64	0	0	217	47
	JUV SAN MATEO	16	0	1	0	108	6
	SAN MATEO NORTH	0	0	3	0	52	1
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1348	11	10	240	127	23
	REDWOOD CITY	1098	6	8	231	107	10
	TOTAL	2492	81	22	471	121	15
SANTA BARBARA	JUV SNTA BARBARA	6	3	0	0	79	26
	JUV SNTA MARIA WST	5	0	1	0	89	26
	SANTA BARBARA	1058	27	14	197	55	15
	SUP SANTA MARIA	842	50	3	93	40	26
	LOMPOC	142	3	0	17	47	135
	TOTAL	2053	83	18	307	48	20
	SANTA CLARA	92	132	0	1	115	61
SANTA CLARA	JUV SANTA CLARA	25	2	1	0	105	27
	PALO ALTO	961	14	4	107	86	12
	SAN JOSE	3608	48	9	365	67	10
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	0	0	21	0	95	1
	SAN MARTIN	636	1	3	71	92	6
	TOTAL	5322	197	38	544	73	11
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	17	7	0	0	196	66
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	9	0	0	1	80	11
	TRAF SANTA CRUZ	1106	7	17	140	65	32
	WATSONVILLE	1	0	0	0	32	871
	TOTAL	1133	14	17	141	67	32

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SHASTA	JUV SHASTA	3	1	0	1	55	22
	BURNEY	22	0	0	2	74	10
	REDDING	784	51	5	243	84	4
	TOTAL	809	52	5	246	83	4
SIERRA	SIERRA	12	2	0	6	72	95
	TOTAL	12	2	0	6	72	95
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU	1	0	0	0	393	175
	WEED	155	0	1	42	128	9
	YREKA	107	18	1	32	128	9
	TOTAL	263	18	2	74	128	9
SOLANO	JUV SOLANO	6	2	0	0	157	3
	FAIRFIELD	889	20	15	128	90	14
	VALLEJO	330	15	3	68	106	14
	TOTAL	1225	37	18	196	96	13
SONOMA	SONOMA	2261	69	13	489	64	6
	JUV SONOMA	10	0	4	0	101	36
	SANTA ROSA	0	0	7	0	92	93
	TOTAL	2271	69	24	489	64	6
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	2163	68	1	312	76	11
	JUV STANISLAUS	18	0	1	0	139	26
	MODESTO	0	0	11	0	67	1
	TOTAL	2181	68	13	312	77	11
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	263	13	3	100	60	24
	TOTAL	263	13	3	100	60	24
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	3	5	0	0	203	111
	JUV TEHAMA	2	0	0	0	59	22
	CORNING	104	1	3	33	60	8
	RED BLUFF	160	7	0	56	56	22
TRINITY	TOTAL	269	13	3	89	59	16
	TRINITY	114	5	1	43	117	21
	TOTAL	114	5	1	43	117	21

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2011 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI ^a	UNDER 21 DUI ^b	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
TULARE	JUV VISALIA	11	0	0	0	185	7
	DINUBA	17	0	2	2	325	29
	PORTERVILLE	677	3	8	42	48	13
	TULARE	1639	3	6	134	54	21
	VISALIA DIV TOTAL	210 2554	20 26	16 32	10 188	155 59	34 22
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	339	11	7	29	80	7
	TOTAL	339	11	7	29	80	7
VENTURA	VENTURA	3448	48	33	0	96	0
	TOTAL	3448	48	33	0	96	0
YOLO	YOLO	654	41	15	88	88	14
	TOTAL	654	41	15	88	88	14
YUBA	YUBA	365	22	2	80	85	33
	JUV YUBA	1	0	0	0	46	0
	USDT BEALE	2	0	0	0	67	71
	TOTAL	368	22	2	80	85	33

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS^a

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
STATEWIDE ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	1 ST	142121	95.9	73.3	67.3	21.7	0.2	5.4				
		2 ND	1364	97.9	98.0	79.9	1.8	0.1	0.2				
		3 RD	385	99.2	99.7	9.1	64.2	0.3	1.8				
		4 TH +	102	97.1	93.1	3.9	34.3	9.8	0.0				
		TOTAL	57	93.0	94.7	3.5	40.4	0.0	3.5				
		1 ST	1908	98.0	98.0	59.3	17.2	0.6	0.6				
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		1 ST	6	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	506	98.6	98.6	92.5	3.0	0.0	0.2				
		TOTAL	135	100.0	100.0	10.4	84.4	0.0	2.2				
JUV OAKLAND	FREMONT	1 ST	27	96.3	96.3	11.1	77.8	0.0	7.4				
		2 ND	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0				
		3 RD	673	98.8	98.8	72.1	22.9	0.0	0.9				
		4 TH +	730	99.0	99.0	92.2	2.6	0.0	13.0				
		TOTAL	203	99.0	99.5	9.9	88.7	0.0	64.0				
		1 ST	47	100.0	100.0	2.1	100.0	0.0	85.1				
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0				
		3 RD	982	99.1	99.2	70.7	25.3	0.0	27.2				
		4 TH +	953	99.1	98.7	89.7	4.5	0.0	1.6				
		TOTAL	308	99.4	97.4	13.6	80.5	0.0	1.9				
HAYWARD	HAYWARD	1 ST	91	96.7	91.2	3.3	85.7	4.4	2.2				
		2 ND	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	28.6				
		3 RD	1359	98.9	97.9	66.2	27.6	0.3	1.8				
		4 TH +	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		TOTAL	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		3 RD	101	92.1	97.0	68.3	1.0	0.0	0.0				
		4 TH +	35	94.3	100.0	37.1	25.7	0.0	6.9				
		TOTAL	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	40.0				
ALPINE	ALPINE	1 ST	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6				
		2 ND	147	91.2	98.0	56.5	8.8	0.0	0.0				
		3 RD	147	91.2	98.0	56.5	8.8	0.0	0.0				
		4 TH +	147	91.2	98.0	56.5	8.8	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	147	91.2	98.0	56.5	8.8	0.0	0.0				
		1 ST	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		2 ND	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		3 RD	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		4 TH +	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
AMADOR	JUV AMADOR	1 ST	101	92.1	97.0	68.3	1.0	0.0	6.9				
		2 ND	35	94.3	100.0	37.1	25.7	0.0	40.0				
		3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	55.6				
		4 TH +	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	147	91.2	98.0	56.5	8.8	0.0	0.0				
		1 ST	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		2 ND	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		3 RD	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		4 TH +	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
JACKSON	JACKSON	1 ST	101	92.1	97.0	68.3	1.0	0.0	6.9				
		2 ND	35	94.3	100.0	37.1	25.7	0.0	40.0				
		3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	11.1	33.3	0.0	55.6				
		4 TH +	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	147	91.2	98.0	56.5	8.8	0.0	0.0				
		1 ST	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		2 ND	12	91.7	100.0	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		3 RD	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		4 TH +	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				

^aEntries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2011 receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
BUTTE	BUTTE	1 ST	850	94.4	90.8	95.2	1.3	0.1	0.8
		2 ND	274	95.3	99.3	15.3	74.8	6.2	7.7
		3 RD	74	79.7	95.9	6.8	40.5	37.8	37.8
		4 TH +	25	48.0	96.0	4.0	24.0	24.0	40.0
		TOTAL	1223	92.7	93.1	70.1	20.6	4.3	5.4
		1 ST	14	100.0	0.0	64.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	TOTAL	14	100.0	0.0	64.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	126	95.2	98.4	93.7	1.6	0.0	8.7
		2 ND	37	100.0	100.0	48.6	45.9	0.0	48.6
		3 RD	13	100.0	100.0	23.1	69.2	0.0	61.5
		4 TH +	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	180	96.7	98.9	77.8	17.2	0.0	22.2
COLUSA	JUV CALAVERAS	1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	78	93.6	98.7	75.6	3.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	23	87.0	100.0	21.7	56.5	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	COLUSA	3 RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	110	91.8	99.1	58.2	19.1	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	16	81.3	87.5	18.8	6.3	0.0	6.3
		2 ND	15	73.3	66.7	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	7	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARTINEZ	CONCORD	4 TH +	32	78.1	68.8	0.0	15.6	0.0	18.8
		TOTAL	70	78.6	67.1	4.3	12.9	0.0	10.0
		1 ST	14	7.1	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	6.7	13.3	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	17	29.4	29.4	17.6	5.9	0.0	0.0
CONCORD	CONCORD	2 ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7
		4 TH +	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	38.1	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0	9.5

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	RICHMOND	1 ST	427	97.7	95.3	86.2	2.8	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	147	98.6	98.6	8.8	72.8	0.0	2.0
		3 RD	42	95.2	100.0	2.4	47.6	0.0	16.7
		4 TH +	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	54.5	0.0	18.2
	PITTSBURG	TOTAL	627	97.6	96.5	60.9	23.1	0.0	2.1
		1 ST	661	97.6	96.1	92.4	1.5	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	234	99.6	98.3	6.8	88.0	0.0	9.8
	WALNUT CREEK	3 RD	62	98.4	100.0	0.0	90.3	0.0	12.9
		4 TH +	17	88.2	100.0	0.0	64.7	0.0	17.6
		TOTAL	974	97.9	96.9	64.4	29.1	0.0	3.6
		1 ST	1148	98.5	93.5	92.1	2.4	0.0	1.2
		2 ND	304	99.0	97.7	5.9	90.5	0.0	32.2
		3 RD	79	97.5	97.5	1.3	93.7	0.0	26.6
		4 TH +	25	92.0	100.0	0.0	72.0	0.0	28.0
DEL NORTE		TOTAL	1556	98.5	94.6	69.2	25.3	0.0	9.0
		1 ST	96	86.5	93.8	82.3	3.1	1.0	5.2
		2 ND	26	84.6	100.0	3.8	80.8	0.0	57.7
		3 RD	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0
		4 TH +	4	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	136	82.4	94.9	58.8	22.8	0.7	17.6
		1 ST	259	97.3	95.8	87.6	4.6	0.0	3.1
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	2 ND	93	98.9	95.7	10.8	79.6	0.0	48.4
		3 RD	12	91.7	83.3	8.3	83.3	0.0	58.3
		4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	366	97.3	95.4	65.0	26.5	0.0	16.4
	PLACERVILLE	1 ST	335	96.1	95.2	88.1	4.5	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	138	98.6	97.8	10.9	83.3	0.0	10.9
		3 RD	43	97.7	100.0	2.3	81.4	0.0	27.9
FRESNO		4 TH +	21	57.1	81.0	4.8	33.3	0.0	19.0
		TOTAL	537	95.3	95.7	58.1	32.0	0.0	6.0
	FRESNO CENTRAL	1 ST	2177	94.7	95.5	91.7	1.8	0.0	0.4
		2 ND	759	95.7	98.8	6.3	87.9	0.0	9.1
		3 RD	247	86.2	99.6	4.0	76.5	0.4	18.6
	CLOVIS	4 TH +	104	39.4	100.0	6.7	30.8	1.0	3.8
		TOTAL	3287	92.5	96.7	62.7	28.2	0.1	3.9
		1 ST	212	96.2	97.2	94.3	0.5	0.0	0.9
		2 ND	47	91.5	100.0	6.4	87.2	0.0	48.9
		3 RD	21	95.2	100.0	0.0	90.5	4.8	66.7
		TOTAL	280	95.4	97.9	72.5	21.8	0.4	13.9

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO (cont)	COALINGA	1 ST	64	96.9	93.8	89.1	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	17	94.1	100.0	11.8	76.5	0.0	5.9
		3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	14.3
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	96.6	95.5	67.4	23.6	1.1	2.2
	FIREBAUGH	1 ST	80	98.8	98.8	91.3	6.3	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	26	96.2	96.2	19.2	84.6	0.0	7.7
		3 RD	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	27.3
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	KINGSBURG	TOTAL	118	98.3	98.3	66.1	32.2	0.0	4.2
		1 ST	126	98.4	99.2	94.4	3.2	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	45	95.6	100.0	15.6	82.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	76.9	0.0	7.7
	REEDLEY	4 TH +	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		190	94.7	99.5	66.3	26.8	0.0	1.1	
1 ST		129	97.7	97.7	94.6	0.8	0.0	0.0	
2 ND		43	97.7	100.0	27.9	72.1	0.0	2.3	
3 RD		21	76.2	100.0	0.0	61.9	0.0	9.5	
SUP SANGER	4 TH +	9	33.3	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	202	92.6	98.5	66.8	22.8	0.0	1.5	
	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
GLENN	TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	119	99.2	36.1	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	39	94.9	87.2	5.1	17.9	0.0	10.3	
	3 RD	17	88.2	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	58.8	
	4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	
HUMBOLDT	TOTAL	177	96.6	54.2	13.0	4.5	0.0	8.5	
	1 ST	606	97.7	87.0	92.4	1.2	0.0	1.3	
	2 ND	184	98.4	92.4	13.0	77.2	0.5	71.2	
	3 RD	50	98.0	94.0	4.0	82.0	8.0	78.0	
	4 TH +	12	66.7	91.7	0.0	16.7	8.3	41.7	
IMPERIAL	TOTAL	852	97.4	88.6	68.8	22.5	0.7	21.5	
	JUV IMPERIAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
IMPERIAL (cont)	BRAWLEY	1 ST	85	95.3	10.6	78.8	1.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	17	88.2	52.9	17.6	58.8	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	108	93.5	21.3	64.8	13.9	0.0	0.0
	CALEXICO	1 ST	137	86.9	6.6	63.5	0.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	20	85.0	50.0	25.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL CENTRO	TOTAL	162	87.0	13.6	56.8	7.4	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	175	96.6	14.9	86.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	38	94.7	39.5	31.6	63.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	14	92.9	85.7	7.1	64.3	0.0	0.0
	WINTERHAVEN	4 TH +	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		230	94.8	24.3	71.3	15.7	0.0	0.0	
1 ST		1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
INYO	INYO	2 ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3
		3 RD	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0
		4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	57.1	0.0	42.9
	JUV TRAFFIC	1 ST	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	104	99.0	28.8	91.3	1.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	32	93.8	78.1	18.8	71.9	0.0	6.3
	BISHOP	3 RD	12	100.0	91.7	8.3	75.0	8.3	0.0
		4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	150	97.3	45.3	68.0	23.3	0.7	1.3
		1 ST	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	KERN	2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TH +		2	50.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL		6	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	
1 ST		24	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JUV KERN	TOTAL	24	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN (cont)	LAMONT	1 ST	157	96.8	98.7	56.1	5.1	0.0	0.6
		2 ND	57	96.5	100.0	17.5	52.6	0.0	3.5
		3 RD	25	88.0	92.0	12.0	32.0	0.0	8.0
		4 TH +	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
	BAKERSFIELD	TOTAL	246	95.1	98.4	41.1	19.1	0.0	2.0
		1 ST	1753	96.7	98.5	69.1	0.1	0.1	1.8
		2 ND	577	95.8	99.7	6.9	0.5	0.7	32.8
		3 RD	131	92.4	98.5	5.3	3.1	0.8	56.5
	DELANO	4 TH +	41	53.7	100.0	7.3	2.4	2.4	17.1
		TOTAL	2502	95.6	98.8	50.4	0.4	0.3	12.0
		1 ST	156	98.1	98.1	55.1	0.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	50	98.0	98.0	10.0	48.0	0.0	0.0
	LAKE ISABELLA	3 RD	14	92.9	100.0	7.1	35.7	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	228	95.2	98.2	40.4	13.6	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	38	94.7	94.7	57.9	0.0	0.0	2.6
KINGS	TAFT	2 ND	14	100.0	100.0	21.4	7.1	0.0	14.3
		3 RD	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6
		TOTAL	61	96.7	96.7	41.0	1.6	1.6	13.1
		1 ST	96	97.9	97.9	52.1	3.1	0.0	0.0
	SHAFTER	2 ND	35	94.3	100.0	11.4	40.0	0.0	2.9
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	135	97.0	98.5	40.7	14.1	0.0	0.7
		1 ST	127	96.1	100.0	78.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
	MOJAVE	2 ND	31	96.8	100.0	22.6	54.8	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	171	93.0	100.0	62.0	14.6	0.0	0.0
	RIDGECREST	1 ST	206	97.1	99.5	79.1	0.5	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	48	100.0	100.0	10.4	52.1	0.0	12.5
		3 RD	16	93.8	100.0	12.5	18.8	0.0	12.5
		4 TH +	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	16.7
KINGS	JUV KINGS	TOTAL	276	96.0	99.6	61.6	10.9	0.4	3.6
		1 ST	102	98.0	100.0	71.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	24	95.8	100.0	37.5	8.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	133	96.2	100.0	61.7	1.5	0.0	0.0
	JUV KINGS	1 ST	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KINGS (cont)	HANFORD	1 ST	496	95.4	98.6	88.5	5.4	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	155	92.3	98.7	8.4	80.6	0.0	0.6
		3 RD	55	83.6	100.0	1.8	81.8	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	21	42.9	100.0	4.8	23.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	727	92.3	98.8	62.4	27.8	0.0	0.3
	AVENAL	1 ST	33	90.9	90.9	81.8	6.1	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	11	100.0	100.0	9.1	63.6	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	49	93.9	93.9	59.2	26.5	0.0	2.0
	CORCORAN	1 ST	27	96.3	100.0	96.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LEMOORE	TOTAL	33	97.0	100.0	78.8	9.1	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LAKE	LAKE	1 ST	125	85.6	39.2	68.8	0.8	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	35	85.7	85.7	5.7	57.1	0.0	11.4
		3 RD	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	16.7
		4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	174	86.2	53.4	50.6	17.8	0.0	4.0
	CLEAR LAKE	1 ST	90	94.4	64.4	72.2	3.3	0.0	3.3
		2 ND	24	95.8	87.5	12.5	58.3	4.2	12.5
		3 RD	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	125	94.4	72.0	54.4	20.0	0.8	8.0
	LASSEN	1 ST	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	100	91.0	92.0	73.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	23	100.0	100.0	21.7	43.5	0.0	4.3
3 RD		6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	
LOS ANGELES	TOTAL	129	93.0	93.8	60.5	14.7	0.0	0.8	
	1 ST	46	52.2	93.5	28.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	16	37.5	100.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD	10	10.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	
	4 TH +	13	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	85	36.5	96.5	17.6	5.9	1.2	0.0		

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	POMONA	1 ST	852	94.5	25.7	90.7	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	208	94.7	94.7	6.3	87.0	1.0	0.5				
		3 RD	56	83.9	98.2	0.0	69.6	7.1	0.0				
		4 TH +	14	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	LANCASTER	TOTAL	1130	93.0	42.9	69.6	21.2	0.5	0.1				
		1 ST	922	93.3	76.8	87.1	2.0	0.2	0.0				
		2 ND	231	92.6	93.1	13.9	75.8	0.9	0.0				
		3 RD	66	86.4	97.0	0.0	54.5	16.7	0.0				
	SAN FERNANDO	4 TH +	15	26.7	93.3	0.0	13.3	6.7	0.0				
		TOTAL	1234	92.0	81.1	67.7	18.7	1.3	0.0				
		1 ST	1067	96.0	17.0	84.5	3.7	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	252	96.4	92.1	9.9	81.0	0.0	0.0				
	PASADENA	3 RD	66	81.8	98.5	0.0	60.6	6.1	0.0				
		4 TH +	19	36.8	94.7	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	1404	94.6	35.3	66.0	20.3	0.3	0.0				
		1 ST	642	98.1	6.2	90.3	0.9	0.0	0.0				
	VAN NUYS	2 ND	164	98.2	80.5	14.6	75.6	1.2	0.0				
		3 RD	33	93.9	97.0	3.0	39.4	18.2	0.0				
		4 TH +	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	845	97.5	24.9	71.6	16.9	0.9	0.0				
	LONG BEACH	1 ST	2042	98.6	26.2	91.5	2.4	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	467	98.5	95.1	6.4	84.6	0.2	0.0				
		3 RD	86	91.9	96.5	3.5	39.5	1.2	0.0				
		4 TH +	28	42.9	96.4	0.0	10.7	0.0	0.0				
COMPTON	TOTAL	2623	97.8	41.5	72.5	18.4	0.1	0.0					
	1 ST	1520	97.3	50.6	92.0	2.8	0.0	0.0					
	2 ND	326	95.4	91.4	7.7	84.0	0.0	0.3					
	3 RD	59	78.0	96.6	5.1	66.1	5.1	0.0					
COMPTON	4 TH +	19	36.8	100.0	0.0	21.1	5.3	0.0					
	TOTAL	1924	95.8	59.4	74.2	18.7	0.2	0.1					
	1 ST	700	96.0	22.0	74.0	2.4	0.0	0.0					
	2 ND	137	92.0	82.5	13.1	60.6	0.0	0.0					
COMPTON	3 RD	48	97.9	93.8	4.2	58.3	14.6	0.0					
	4 TH +	13	53.8	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0					
	TOTAL	898	94.9	36.2	59.9	14.6	0.8	0.0					

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	NORWALK	1 ST	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	29.4	94.1	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TORRANCE	1 ST	976	97.6	26.7	92.5	12.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
		2 ND	247	95.5	85.0	0.0	0.0	79.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
		3 RD	51	86.3	98.0	0.0	0.0	72.5	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1282	96.6	41.3	72.9	20.7	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
	SANTA MONICA	1 ST	7	85.7	85.7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	52.9	94.1	17.6	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV LOS ANGELES	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV EASTLAKE	1 ST	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LOS ANGELES AIRPORT	1 ST	778	98.5	13.4	85.7	1.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	163	98.2	83.4	17.8	73.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	33	93.9	97.0	6.1	39.4	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	10	80.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	984	98.1	28.7	71.0	14.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1 ST	564	97.9	19.0	87.9	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	118	97.5	89.8	8.5	75.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
		3 RD	27	96.3	96.3	3.7	70.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	714	97.8	34.2	71.1	16.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BEVERLY HILLS	1 ST	420	98.8	22.4	92.6	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
		2 ND	51	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	478	98.3	31.8	81.4	14.4	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BURBANK	1 ST	300	98.3	20.0	89.7	3.0	0.0	0.3				
		2 ND	57	98.2	86.0	8.8	78.9	1.8	0.0				
		3 RD	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	25.0				
		4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	WEST COVINA	TOTAL	367	98.1	32.4	75.2	16.1	0.3	0.8				
		1 ST	1638	97.4	14.8	94.6	1.5	0.1	0.0				
		2 ND	381	97.6	91.9	9.2	86.9	0.3	0.0				
		3 RD	62	91.9	100.0	1.6	87.1	4.8	0.0				
		4 TH +	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0				
	CHATSWORTH	TOTAL	2090	97.0	31.7	75.9	19.8	0.2	0.0				
		1 ST	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	DOWNEY	1 ST	770	97.7	18.3	91.2	2.7	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	189	94.7	94.7	6.3	85.2	0.0	0.0				
		3 RD	48	95.8	89.6	10.4	79.2	6.3	6.3				
		4 TH +	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	EAST LOS ANGELES	TOTAL	1011	96.6	36.3	71.1	21.8	0.3	0.3				
		1 ST	605	96.7	15.9	90.7	1.8	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	150	94.7	86.0	10.7	78.7	0.0	0.0				
		3 RD	24	87.5	95.8	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0				
	EL MONTE	4 TH +	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	784	95.8	32.3	72.1	19.3	0.0	0.0				
		1 ST	479	96.5	37.8	90.4	2.7	0.2	0.0				
		2 ND	91	95.6	91.2	13.2	80.2	0.0	0.0				
	GLENDALE	3 RD	26	80.8	96.2	3.8	69.2	0.0	3.8				
		4 TH +	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	601	95.3	48.9	74.2	17.8	0.2	0.2				
		1 ST	411	97.3	16.8	94.4	1.7	0.0	0.0				
		2 ND	86	96.5	84.9	10.5	83.7	0.0	0.0				
		3 RD	15	66.7	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0				
		4 TH +	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0				
		TOTAL	515	96.1	31.1	77.1	17.1	0.2	0.2				

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
LOS ANGELES (cont)	INGLEWOOD	1 ST	411	94.6	48.4	53.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	88	96.6	83.0	12.5	42.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.0	2.3
		3 RD	18	72.2	100.0	0.0	22.2	11.1	11.1	11.1	11.1	0.0	5.6
		4 TH +	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LOS ANGELES NORTH	TOTAL	521	94.0	56.4	44.5	8.6	1.3	1.3	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.6
		1 ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA METRO	1 ST	4586	95.6	34.3	89.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	895	95.1	95.1	4.5	87.9	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9
		3 RD	169	91.1	98.2	0.6	78.7	5.9	5.9	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0
		4 TH +	25	40.0	96.0	4.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BELLFLOWER	TOTAL	5675	95.1	46.1	73.4	19.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3
		1 ST	612	98.0	12.1	66.8	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	152	95.4	91.4	3.9	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	24	87.5	100.0	0.0	54.2	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	4 TH +	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	792	97.0	30.4	52.4	14.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	894	96.2	20.6	82.3	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	219	95.4	91.8	4.6	78.1	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9
	MALIBU	3 RD	41	97.6	100.0	0.0	87.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	7	42.9	100.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1161	95.8	37.3	64.3	20.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2
		1 ST	216	100.0	6.0	77.8	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HUNTINGTON PARK	2 ND	47	95.7	89.4	8.5	74.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	14	100.0	92.9	14.3	42.9	35.7	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	277	99.3	24.5	62.8	16.6	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	6	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WHITTIER	TOTAL	6	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	656	97.1	19.1	93.3	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	129	95.3	96.1	4.7	86.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	38	94.7	92.1	2.6	60.5	15.8	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HOLLYWOOD	4 TH +	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	829	96.4	35.0	74.7	17.9	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	WEST LOS ANGELES AVALON US DISTRICT LA	1 ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	16	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	MADERA CHOWCHILLA MADERA CRIMINAL BASS LAKE	1 ST	70	87.1	88.6	77.1	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	22	86.4	100.0	31.8	40.9	4.5	0.0
		3 RD	6	100.0	83.3	16.7	66.7	16.7	0.0
		4 TH +	19	73.7	100.0	0.0	26.3	15.8	0.0
		TOTAL	117	85.5	92.3	53.0	17.1	4.3	0.0
		1 ST	438	97.7	96.6	93.2	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	123	95.1	98.4	15.4	73.2	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	44	97.7	97.7	4.5	77.3	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	611	97.2	97.1	70.2	22.4	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	117	97.4	91.5	93.2	0.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	46	97.8	91.3	13.0	82.6	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	13	100.0	92.3	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	181	97.2	91.7	64.6	28.7	0.0	0.0
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1 ST	912	98.7	17.4	86.4	1.2	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	225	99.6	91.1	4.4	86.2	0.0	19.1
		3 RD	61	93.4	95.1	0.0	34.4	0.0	45.9
		4 TH +	18	83.3	100.0	0.0	38.9	0.0	72.2
		TOTAL	1216	98.4	36.2	65.6	19.2	0.0	7.3
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1 ST	40	100.0	100.0	65.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	33.3	6.7	26.7
		3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	22.2	33.3	0.0	11.1
		4 TH +	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	65	95.4	100.0	44.6	12.3	1.5	7.7

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1 ST	7	85.7	85.7	85.7	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	6	83.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	2	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	6	83.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	21	81.0	95.2	95.2	9.5	38.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
	JUV MENDOCINO	1 ST	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	UKIAH	1 ST	275	92.7	96.4	96.4	90.5	1.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	4.0	0.0
		2 ND	99	92.9	99.0	99.0	8.1	79.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	0.0
		3 RD	39	92.3	97.4	97.4	0.0	89.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.8	0.0
	POINT ARENA	TOTAL	413	92.7	97.1	97.1	62.2	28.6	0.2	0.2	0.0	18.2	0.0
		1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	COVELO	2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		1 ST	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
MERCED	FORT BRAGG	3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		1 ST	69	95.7	98.6	98.6	88.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
		2 ND	26	92.3	96.2	96.2	30.8	57.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0
		3 RD	11	100.0	90.9	90.9	27.3	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
	LOS BANOS	4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	107	95.3	97.2	97.2	67.3	23.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0
		1 ST	518	79.3	95.9	95.9	74.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	2 ND	159	82.4	98.7	98.7	9.4	78.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0
		3 RD	36	77.8	94.4	94.4	2.8	66.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0
		4 TH +	12	58.3	91.7	91.7	8.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
MODOC	ALTURAS	TOTAL	725	79.6	96.4	96.4	55.9	23.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.8	0.0
		1 ST	203	75.4	94.6	94.6	80.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	55	76.4	100.0	100.0	12.7	76.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0
		3 RD	13	84.6	92.3	92.3	7.7	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	0.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	TOTAL	274	75.2	95.3	95.3	62.4	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0
		1 ST	36	100.0	69.4	69.4	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0
		2 ND	8	87.5	87.5	87.5	37.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MONO	TOTAL	44	97.7	72.7	72.7	70.5	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
		1 ST	10	90.0	70.0	70.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	92.3	76.9	76.9	53.8	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
MONO (cont)	MAMMOTH LAKES	1 ST	98	96.9	49.0	92.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	21	100.0	100.0	19.0	76.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	127	97.6	60.6	74.8	19.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0
		TOTAL	70	87.1	95.7	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1 ST	27	85.2	88.9	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0
		2 ND	10	70.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
		3 RD	15	80.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0
		4 TH +	122	84.4	93.4	23.0	17.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.7	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV MONTEREY	TOTAL	4	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	MARINA	TOTAL	4	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1107	99.6	98.8	77.5	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0
	SALINAS	1 ST	314	98.7	99.7	9.6	70.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.7	0.0
		2 ND	87	98.9	97.7	2.3	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.8	0.0
		3 RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4 TH +	1512	99.4	98.9	58.9	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0
		TOTAL	270	99.3	98.9	91.1	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.2	0.0
NAPA	KING CITY	1 ST	75	98.7	100.0	6.7	90.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.7	0.0
		2 ND	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	92.9	0.0
		3 RD	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	365	98.6	99.2	68.8	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.0	0.0
		TOTAL	692	97.8	96.5	91.6	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.0
	NAPA	1 ST	176	96.0	97.7	9.7	84.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.4	0.0
		2 ND	38	94.7	92.1	0.0	78.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.2	0.0
		3 RD	13	53.8	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0
		4 TH +	919	96.7	96.6	70.8	21.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.4	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NEVADA	NEVADA	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	6	83.3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV NEVADA	1 ST	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
NEVADA (cont)	NEVADA CITY	1 ST	245	97.1	97.1	92.2	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	74	98.6	100.0	8.1	85.1	0.0	2.7
		3 RD	26	100.0	100.0	3.8	88.5	0.0	3.8
		4 TH +	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	350	97.1	98.0	66.6	26.6	0.0	0.9
	TRUCKEE	1 ST	134	100.0	97.8	96.3	0.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	36	100.0	100.0	69.4	30.6	0.0	2.8
		3 RD	11	100.0	100.0	45.5	54.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	184	99.5	98.4	86.4	10.3	0.0	0.5
ORANGE	JUV ORANGE	1 ST	89	96.6	11.2	83.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	92	96.7	12.0	82.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FULLERTON	1 ST	3074	98.7	33.9	94.0	2.1	0.0	1.0
		2 ND	738	98.6	93.9	4.7	90.4	0.0	25.3
		3 RD	151	95.4	96.0	1.3	88.7	0.0	40.4
		4 TH +	32	56.3	100.0	0.0	53.1	0.0	18.8
		TOTAL	3995	98.2	47.9	73.2	22.1	0.0	7.1
	WESTMINSTER	1 ST	2443	98.3	14.0	93.7	2.0	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	645	98.4	90.5	6.8	87.1	0.0	3.9
		3 RD	148	93.2	95.9	2.7	87.2	0.0	8.1
		4 TH +	40	50.0	97.5	0.0	45.0	0.0	5.0
		TOTAL	3276	97.5	33.8	71.3	23.2	0.0	1.3
	LAGUNA HILLS	1 ST	13	30.8	7.7	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	5	100.0	80.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	18	50.0	27.8	33.3	11.1	0.0	5.6
	NEWPORT BEACH	1 ST	3625	98.7	66.0	94.8	1.8	0.0	0.4
		2 ND	963	98.3	93.7	6.3	87.3	0.0	7.9
		3 RD	208	93.8	97.1	1.4	86.5	0.0	7.7
		4 TH +	60	58.3	91.7	1.7	45.0	0.0	3.3
		TOTAL	4856	97.9	73.1	72.1	22.9	0.0	2.2
	SANTA ANA	1 ST	2058	97.2	29.0	92.3	2.4	0.0	2.4
		2 ND	566	97.2	94.2	4.1	88.9	0.0	48.6
		3 RD	130	93.1	96.9	3.1	83.1	0.0	48.5
		4 TH +	31	35.5	100.0	0.0	29.0	0.0	16.1
		TOTAL	2785	96.3	46.2	69.2	24.1	0.0	14.1

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
PLACER	JUV PLACER	1 ST	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV AUBURN	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	1 ST	942	97.1	97.0	97.0	91.1	4.2	83.3	0.0	0.0	2.2	61.1
		2 ND	275	94.9	99.6	99.6	10.5	2.8	85.9	0.0	0.0	78.9	50.0
		3 RD	71	88.7	98.6	100.0	7.5	26.2	45.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0
		4 TH +	40	55.0	100.0	97.7	67.2	3.0	63.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	TOTAL	1328	95.0	98.5	98.5	81.8	26.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	132	98.5	100.0	100.0	33.3	69.6	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	30	96.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	168	98.2	98.8	98.8	33.3	69.6	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PLUMAS	QUINCY	1 ST	95	94.7	94.7	94.7	80.0	11.6	86.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	29	100.0	100.0	100.0	3.4	86.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	6	83.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	66.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	TOTAL	133	94.7	96.2	96.2	57.9	31.6	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
		1 ST	3116	96.9	97.4	97.4	93.6	2.3	86.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
		2 ND	745	94.6	97.7	97.7	7.7	86.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	
		3 RD	183	91.3	96.7	96.7	3.3	85.8	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.6	
	INDIO	4 TH +	100	65.0	87.0	87.0	2.0	59.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	
		TOTAL	4144	95.5	97.2	97.2	71.9	22.5	22.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
		1 ST	1226	98.5	92.4	92.4	93.1	2.4	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	319	93.4	96.6	96.6	10.0	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	
		3 RD	78	91.0	96.2	96.2	5.1	50.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	
		4 TH +	20	55.0	85.0	85.0	0.0	22.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	
	JUV RIVERSIDE	TOTAL	1643	96.6	93.3	93.3	71.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	24	100.0	29.2	29.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	29.2	29.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	6	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HEMET	3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	7	42.9	42.9	42.9	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	341	97.7	95.0	95.0	93.3	2.1	88.6	0.0	0.0	5.7	5.7	
	2 ND	88	97.7	96.6	96.6	5.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	3.8		
BANNING	3 RD	26	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH +	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	456	97.6	95.6	95.6	70.8	24.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3		
	TOTAL	456	97.6	95.6	95.6	70.8	24.3	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3		

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
RIVERSIDE (cont)	BLYTHE	1 ST	63	90.5	85.7	77.8	9.5	0.0	3.2
		2 ND	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	27.3
		3 RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	40.0
		4 TH +	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	80	91.3	88.8	61.3	27.5	0.0	8.8
	MURRIETA	1 ST	1615	98.6	98.0	95.1	2.4	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	419	97.1	96.7	5.3	90.7	0.0	1.9
		3 RD	83	94.0	98.8	1.2	92.8	0.0	6.0
		4 TH +	21	76.2	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	9.5
		TOTAL	2138	97.9	97.8	72.9	23.9	0.0	0.9
TEMECULA		1 ST	4	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	1 ST	97	72.2	96.9	58.8	10.3	0.0	18.6
		2 ND	78	53.8	97.4	5.1	38.5	0.0	19.2
		3 RD	43	41.9	100.0	0.0	34.9	0.0	30.2
		4 TH +	123	50.4	95.9	0.0	51.2	0.0	25.2
		TOTAL	341	56.3	97.1	17.9	34.6	0.0	22.6
	JUV SACRAMENTO	1 ST	20	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	95.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SACRAMENTO CRIMINAL	1 ST	4331	98.0	97.3	91.4	1.4	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	1268	99.7	99.4	8.8	88.1	0.0	0.8
		3 RD	345	100.0	98.8	1.2	94.8	0.0	1.4
		4 TH +	22	81.8	100.0	0.0	86.4	0.0	4.5
		TOTAL	5966	98.4	97.8	68.3	25.5	0.0	0.6
US DISTRICT SAC		2 ND	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1 ST	175	98.9	95.4	22.9	0.6	0.0	0.6
		2 ND	63	95.2	100.0	4.8	12.7	0.0	25.4
		3 RD	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	26.1
		4 TH +	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	271	97.4	97.0	15.9	3.7	0.0	8.9
JUV SAN BENITO		1 ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION	JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER	18-MONTH	30-MONTH	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	DUI PROGRAM	%	DUI PROGRAM	
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	1 ST	83	79.5	90.4	66.3	4.8	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	58	89.7	96.6	8.6	70.7	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	31	83.9	96.8	0.0	48.4	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	30	43.3	93.3	0.0	30.0	0.0	3.3
	TOTAL	202	77.7	93.6	29.7	34.2	0.0	0.5	
	R CUCAMONGA	1 ST	110	85.5	88.2	73.6	3.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	41	85.4	95.1	12.2	68.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	28	67.9	92.9	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	33	30.3	78.8	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	212	74.5	88.7	40.6	21.7	0.0	0.0	
	VICTORVILLE	1 ST	905	93.7	79.0	87.3	3.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	285	89.5	97.2	3.2	81.8	0.0	0.4
3 RD		91	81.3	95.6	0.0	68.1	0.0	0.0	
4 TH +		39	25.6	92.3	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	
BARSTOW	TOTAL	1320	89.9	84.5	60.5	24.8	0.0	0.1	
	1 ST	323	93.8	61.3	86.4	4.3	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	92	94.6	92.4	7.6	84.8	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD	23	82.6	95.7	0.0	73.9	0.0	0.0	
JOSHUA TREE	4 TH +	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	441	93.0	69.8	64.9	24.9	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	15	66.7	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	6	66.7	83.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	
JUV SAN BRNRDNO	3 RD	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	
	4 TH +	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	28	60.7	96.4	39.3	17.9	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JUV R CUCAMONGA	TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
JUV VICTORVILLE	TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1 ST	416	95.9	53.6	94.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	
	2 ND	100	99.0	95.0	7.0	92.0	0.0	0.0	
	3 RD	22	100.0	95.5	0.0	77.3	0.0	0.0	
CHINO	4 TH +	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	546	96.2	63.4	72.9	22.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	SAN BERNARDINO CRT	1 ST	1383	95.7	80.7	91.3	1.9	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	394	97.5	98.5	7.1	86.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	122	86.9	96.7	2.5	39.3	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1907	95.4	85.5	67.9	21.8	0.0	0.0
	FONTANA	1 ST	763	94.1	77.2	87.0	3.8	0.0	1.0
		2 ND	247	96.4	97.6	20.2	72.1	0.0	5.7
		3 RD	68	88.2	97.1	13.2	54.4	0.0	4.4
		4 TH +	36	66.7	72.2	5.6	44.4	0.0	5.6
		TOTAL	1114	93.4	82.8	65.1	23.3	0.0	2.4
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1 ST	1532	98.2	57.6	94.5	2.2	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	350	98.3	97.4	7.4	88.3	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	81	97.5	97.5	0.0	54.3	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	8	50.0	87.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1971	98.0	66.4	74.8	19.7	0.0	0.1
	SUP NEEDLES	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	1 ST	311	94.2	86.5	89.4	4.5	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	78	94.9	93.6	12.8	82.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	24	95.8	95.8	4.2	87.5	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	417	94.2	88.5	69.3	24.5	0.0	0.2
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1 ST	103	83.5	85.4	27.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	37	83.8	83.8	16.2	8.1	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	20	60.0	95.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	42	50.0	95.2	7.1	9.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	202	74.3	88.1	18.3	5.4	0.0	0.0
	VISTA	1 ST	2613	99.0	20.6	82.9	2.2	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	781	98.0	89.0	5.6	75.9	0.0	0.1
		3 RD	211	92.4	98.1	1.4	74.4	0.0	0.9
		4 TH +	43	55.8	100.0	0.0	46.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3648	97.9	40.7	60.7	22.7	0.0	0.1
	JUV SAN DIEGO	1 ST	50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO (cont)	EL CAJON	1 ST	1759	96.6	15.0	92.8	3.0					0.0	0.0		
		2 ND	518	95.6	87.5	8.9	85.5					0.0	0.0		
		3 RD	115	88.7	92.2	2.6	82.6					0.0	0.0		
		4 TH +	24	45.8	95.8	0.0	25.0					0.0	0.0		
	VISTA2	TOTAL	2416	95.5	35.0	69.6	24.7					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	25	8.0	4.0	8.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	26	11.5	7.7	7.7	3.8					0.0	0.0		
	KEARNY MESA	1 ST	3596	97.7	3.9	95.6	1.7					0.0	0.0		
		2 ND	881	98.4	85.8	10.6	87.1					0.0	0.0		
		3 RD	192	93.2	97.4	3.6	87.5					0.0	0.0		
		4 TH +	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	63.6					0.0	0.0		
	CHULA VISTA	TOTAL	4680	97.6	23.4	75.6	21.4					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	1175	95.7	11.1	90.4	2.0					0.0	0.0		
		2 ND	258	95.3	88.8	10.1	81.4					0.0	0.0		
		3 RD	62	88.7	93.5	8.1	72.6					0.0	0.0		
SAN FRANCISCO	USDT SOUTH SD	4 TH +	16	50.0	93.8	0.0	37.5					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	1511	94.8	28.7	72.3	18.9					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
	SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	11	90.9	100.0	81.8	9.1					0.0	0.0		
		2 ND	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	25.0					0.0	0.0		
		4 TH +	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7					16.7	0.0		
		TOTAL	21	85.7	100.0	47.6	28.6					4.8	0.0		
	JUV SAN FRANCISCO	1 ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	865	98.4	99.3	95.1	2.4					0.0	0.0		
		2 ND	184	98.9	100.0	9.2	86.4					0.5	0.0		
SAN JOAQUIN	TRAFFIC SAN FRANCISCO	3 RD	54	98.1	100.0	1.9	85.2					9.3	0.0		
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	1104	98.5	99.5	76.2	20.6					0.5	0.0		
		1 ST	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0		

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN JOAQUIN (cont)	LODI	1 ST	253	98.8	98.8	91.3	1.6	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	86	100.0	100.0	9.3	83.7	0.0	17.4
		3 RD	16	87.5	93.8	0.0	81.3	0.0	6.3
		4 TH +	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	42.9
	MANTECA	TOTAL	362	98.1	98.9	66.0	25.7	0.0	5.8
		1 ST	277	98.6	98.2	94.2	2.2	0.0	1.1
		2 ND	118	99.2	100.0	11.0	87.3	0.0	37.3
		3 RD	27	100.0	100.0	3.7	96.3	0.0	70.4
	TRACY	4 TH +	15	73.3	100.0	6.7	93.3	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	437	97.9	98.9	63.2	34.1	0.0	17.4
		1 ST	128	94.5	94.5	90.6	3.1	0.0	2.3
		2 ND	39	100.0	100.0	28.2	69.2	0.0	48.7
	STOCKTON	3 RD	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	54.5
		4 TH +	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	182	95.1	96.2	69.8	24.2	0.0	17.0
		1 ST	1048	97.3	98.2	94.8	2.1	0.0	1.4
2 ND		374	97.6	99.5	6.7	90.6	0.0	66.3	
3 RD		118	96.6	100.0	5.1	92.4	0.0	63.6	
4 TH +		53	69.8	100.0	0.0	81.1	0.0	39.6	
TOTAL		1593	96.4	98.7	64.3	32.2	0.0	22.5	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	JUV SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 ST	10	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	TOTAL	10	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	1118	97.8	97.6	92.5	1.6	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	345	98.6	99.7	10.1	83.8	0.0	2.9
		3 RD	99	99.0	100.0	3.0	87.9	1.0	12.1
	4 TH +	32	68.8	100.0	0.0	59.4	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	1594	97.4	98.2	67.3	25.9	0.1	1.6	
	SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1 ST	36	63.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 ND		22	68.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	
3 RD		15	53.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	
4 TH +		21	85.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	
TOTAL		94	68.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	
JUV SAN MATEO		1 ST	14	92.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
						%	%			%	%
SAN MATEO (cont)	SAN MATEO NORTH	1 ST	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	1026	94.0	98.5	88.0	2.5	90.7	1.6	0.0	0.8
		2 ND	280	97.5	99.6	3.3	33.3	86.7	0.0	0.0	15.0
		3 RD	60	93.3	100.0	100.0	66.7	23.7	0.0	0.0	48.3
	REDWOOD CITY	4 TH +	3	100.0	98.8	66.7	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1369	94.7	98.8	84.0	7.7	80.6	0.0	0.0	5.8
		1 ST	856	89.3	98.4	7.7	80.6	83.9	0.0	0.0	0.5
		2 ND	222	96.4	99.5	3.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	7.7
		3 RD	31	93.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.0
SANTA BARBARA	JUV SANTA BARBARA	4 TH +	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1112	90.8	98.7	66.3	19.6	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0
		1 ST	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	830	96.4	81.2	92.9	8.1	86.0	1.1	0.0	0.2
		2 ND	186	96.8	97.8	8.1	86.0	90.5	0.0	0.0	4.3
		3 RD	63	93.7	96.8	1.6	90.5	45.0	0.0	0.0	9.5
		4 TH +	20	70.0	95.0	5.0	45.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	5.0
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA MARIA	TOTAL	1099	95.8	85.2	71.7	21.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0
		1 ST	643	96.7	82.4	88.5	3.0	81.6	0.0	0.0	3.6
		2 ND	190	96.8	92.1	7.4	81.6	70.8	0.0	0.0	39.5
		3 RD	48	89.6	95.8	0.0	70.8	35.7	0.0	0.0	41.7
		4 TH +	14	50.0	100.0	0.0	35.7	23.8	0.0	0.0	7.1
	LOMPOC	TOTAL	895	95.6	85.5	65.1	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3
		1 ST	108	99.1	44.4	88.9	0.0	57.7	0.0	0.0	3.7
		2 ND	26	100.0	88.5	15.4	57.7	55.6	0.0	0.0	34.6
		3 RD	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	55.6	50.0	0.0	0.0	66.7
		4 TH +	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CLARA	TOTAL	145	99.3	55.9	69.0	14.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.1	
	1 ST	105	86.7	100.0	75.2	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	
	2 ND	49	71.4	100.0	12.2	46.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.4	
	3 RD	18	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	
	4 TH +	52	69.2	100.0	5.8	48.1	1.9	0.4	0.4	57.7	
TOTAL	224	77.7	100.0	39.3	30.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.4		

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %		
SANTA CLARA (cont)	JUV SANTA CLARA	1 ST	28	96.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	28	96.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1 ST	744	99.3	97.0	93.1	2.3	0.0	0.4		
		2 ND	200	100.0	98.5	42.5	53.5	0.0	19.0		
		3 RD	34	100.0	100.0	38.2	47.1	0.0	29.4		
	PALO ALTO	4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	979	99.5	97.4	80.8	14.4	0.0	5.2	5.2	
		1 ST	2782	99.3	99.1	95.7	3.1	0.1	5.2	5.2	
		2 ND	738	99.6	100.0	8.3	89.7	0.0	44.0	44.0	
		3 RD	136	97.8	100.0	5.1	87.5	0.0	79.4	79.4	
	SAN JOSE	4 TH +	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	77.8	77.8	
		TOTAL	3665	99.3	99.3	74.5	23.9	0.1	15.9	15.9	
		1 ST	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST	488	99.4	99.4	94.5	2.7	0.0	3.9	3.9	
	SAN MARTIN	2 ND	118	99.2	100.0	6.8	87.3	0.0	55.9	55.9	
		3 RD	33	100.0	100.0	0.0	78.8	0.0	78.8	78.8	
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	
		TOTAL	640	99.4	99.5	73.3	22.3	0.0	17.5	17.5	
1 ST		14	92.9	92.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	2 ND	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4 TH +	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	24	91.7	87.5	25.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST	9	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV SANTA CRUZ	TOTAL	9	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST	808	97.8	96.4	81.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2 ND	219	99.5	99.1	11.9	58.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3 RD	88	94.3	100.0	3.4	35.2	0.0	1.1	1.1	
		4 TH +	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TRAFF SANTA CRUZ	TOTAL	1130	97.8	97.3	60.5	15.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	
		1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	SHASTA	JUV SHASTA	TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
			1 ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			1 ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SHASTA (cont)	BURNLEY	1 ST	15	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.7	6.7	0.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	26.7	0.0
		2 ND	6	100.0	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	83.3	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	22	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	31.8	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	45.5	0.0
	REDDING	1 ST	556	97.5	98.2	98.2	86.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	29.1	0.0	29.1	0.0
		2 ND	211	94.3	98.6	98.6	17.5	63.0	0.9	0.9	73.9	0.9	73.9	0.9
		3 RD	54	87.0	100.0	100.0	1.9	75.9	1.9	1.9	70.4	1.9	70.4	1.9
		4 TH +	19	68.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	15.8	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3
		TOTAL	840	95.4	98.5	98.5	61.7	21.9	0.5	0.5	42.5	0.5	42.5	0.5
	SIERRA	1 ST	9	100.0	100.0	100.0	77.8	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU	1 ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEED	1 ST	114	96.5	93.9	93.9	80.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.0
		2 ND	35	97.1	97.1	97.1	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	22.9	0.0	22.9	0.0
		3 RD	7	85.7	85.7	85.7	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1	0.0
	YREKA	TOTAL	156	96.2	94.2	94.2	65.4	16.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	8.3	0.0
		1 ST	80	90.0	88.8	88.8	68.8	5.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0
		2 ND	29	96.6	100.0	100.0	10.3	69.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	0.0	20.7	0.0
		3 RD	13	100.0	76.9	76.9	15.4	53.8	0.0	0.0	84.6	0.0	84.6	0.0
		4 TH +	4	75.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0
		TOTAL	126	92.1	89.7	89.7	47.6	26.2	0.0	0.0	17.5	0.0	17.5	0.0
SOLANO	JUV SOLANO	1 ST	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1 ST	631	96.4	96.8	96.8	93.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.3	0.0
		2 ND	208	96.6	100.0	100.0	12.0	84.1	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	10.1	0.0
		3 RD	68	92.6	98.5	98.5	1.5	88.2	0.0	0.0	64.7	0.0	64.7	0.0
	VALLEJO	4 TH +	17	58.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	52.9	0.0	0.0	41.2	0.0	41.2	0.0
		TOTAL	924	95.5	97.7	97.7	66.3	27.7	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	8.7	0.0
		1 ST	244	95.9	98.4	98.4	91.8	3.7	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
		2 ND	78	94.9	100.0	100.0	5.1	88.5	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0
		3 RD	21	90.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.5	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	71.4	0.0
		4 TH +	5	80.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		TOTAL	348	95.1	98.9	98.9	65.5	29.0	0.0	0.0	10.1	0.0	10.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SONOMA	SONOMA	1 ST	1658	97.9	97.9	91.8	1.3	0.0	1.6
		2 ND	518	96.5	98.5	7.9	85.9	0.0	43.8
		3 RD	134	92.5	99.3	6.0	71.6	0.0	46.3
		4 TH +	33	57.6	93.9	0.0	51.5	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	2343	96.8	98.1	67.1	24.8	0.0	14.0
		1 ST	14	21.4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	TOTAL	14	21.4	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	1590	99.2	99.6	93.7	3.6	0.0	0.3
		2 ND	468	99.4	100.0	9.8	88.0	0.0	3.2
		3 RD	122	96.7	100.0	7.4	90.2	0.0	10.7
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	4 TH +	52	61.5	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	5.8
		TOTAL	2232	98.2	99.7	69.2	27.7	0.0	1.6
		1 ST	17	94.1	94.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	94.7	94.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	TOTAL	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	181	93.9	95.0	85.1	2.2	0.0	9.9
		2 ND	67	94.0	100.0	14.9	76.1	0.0	74.6
		3 RD	22	95.5	100.0	0.0	95.5	0.0	95.5
		4 TH +	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	44.4
		TOTAL	279	92.5	96.8	58.8	28.7	0.0	33.3
TEHAMA	JUV TEHAMA	1 ST	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3
		2 ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	100.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	62.5
		1 ST	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TEHAMA	CORNING	TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	70	92.9	95.7	87.1	5.7	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	31	90.3	100.0	12.9	77.4	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	108	92.6	97.2	60.2	30.6	0.0	0.9
		TOTAL	108	92.6	97.2	60.2	30.6	0.0	0.9

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TEHAMA (cont)	RED BLUFF	1 ST	107	93.5	99.1	90.7	1.9	0.0	0.9
		2 ND	46	95.7	100.0	8.7	87.0	0.0	0.0
		3 RD	12	83.3	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	8.3
		4 TH +	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	167	92.2	99.4	60.5	30.5	0.0	1.2
TRINITY	TRINITY	1 ST	81	96.3	92.6	84.0	2.5	0.0	3.7
		2 ND	28	100.0	96.4	21.4	67.9	0.0	32.1
		3 RD	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1
		4 TH +	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	120	96.7	94.2	61.7	20.8	0.0	11.7
TULARE	JUV VISALIA	1 ST	11	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DINUBA	1 ST	13	84.6	76.9	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		3 RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 TH +	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	PORTERVILLE	TOTAL	19	84.2	84.2	26.3	21.1	0.0	5.3
		1 ST	474	97.3	97.3	74.9	3.0	0.0	2.5
		2 ND	145	95.9	100.0	9.7	84.1	0.0	13.1
		3 RD	46	89.1	100.0	0.0	89.1	0.0	41.3
		4 TH +	23	95.7	100.0	0.0	69.6	0.0	34.8
	TULARE	TOTAL	688	96.4	98.1	53.6	28.1	0.0	8.4
		1 ST	1224	98.1	96.3	66.7	2.2	0.0	0.1
		2 ND	326	95.4	99.4	7.1	84.0	0.0	6.4
		3 RD	88	97.7	97.7	2.3	90.9	0.0	8.0
VISALIA DIVISION	TULARE	4 TH +	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1648	97.5	97.0	51.1	23.5	0.0	1.8
	VISALIA DIVISION	1 ST	129	79.1	81.4	41.1	10.9	0.8	6.2
		2 ND	46	84.8	97.8	2.2	76.1	0.0	13.0
		3 RD	29	86.2	82.8	3.4	48.3	0.0	31.0
		4 TH +	42	83.3	95.2	2.4	33.3	2.4	33.3
	TUOLUMNE	TOTAL	246	81.7	87.0	22.8	31.3	0.8	15.0
		1 ST	223	96.0	92.4	88.3	1.8	0.0	0.4
		2 ND	94	95.7	92.6	6.4	79.8	0.0	1.1
		3 RD	33	81.8	93.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	36.4
		4 TH +	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0
	TUOLUMNE	TOTAL	357	94.1	92.7	56.9	23.0	0.8	3.9

TABLE B4: 2011 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1 ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
VENTURA	VENTURA	1 ST	2729	97.7	97.3	95.3	1.8	0.0	2.9
		2 ND	610	99.0	98.0	9.7	89.0	0.0	83.1
		3 RD	142	96.5	97.2	0.7	93.0	0.0	90.8
		4 TH +	48	54.2	97.9	0.0	54.2	0.0	52.1
		TOTAL	3529	97.3	97.4	75.4	21.3	0.0	21.0
YOLO	YOLO	1 ST	512	94.7	95.7	83.2	2.3	0.0	1.0
		2 ND	140	97.9	99.3	30.7	56.4	0.0	30.0
		3 RD	43	95.3	100.0	9.3	74.4	0.0	55.8
		4 TH +	15	33.3	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	13.3
		TOTAL	710	94.1	96.8	66.6	17.9	0.0	10.3
YUBA	YUBA	1 ST	257	96.1	86.0	90.7	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2 ND	92	96.7	96.7	31.5	62.0	0.0	2.2
		3 RD	31	93.5	100.0	3.2	80.6	0.0	22.6
		4 TH +	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	389	94.6	90.0	67.6	22.6	0.0	2.6
	JUV YUBA	1 ST	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	USDT BEALE	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 ST	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2011

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	MEAN 2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS			ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES					
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS	
ARO	4,491 (31.8%)	30.2	34.0	2.9	19.2	0.23	0.08	0.018	0.75	0.09	0.0188	0.037	0.178	
	Alcohol education program	9,632 (68.2%)	30.5	33.3	1.6	19.5	0.22	0.07	0.008	0.82	0.10	0.0191	0.034	0.174
FDO	34,183 (76.4%)	29.4	33.3	1.3	19.9	F = 1.2	F = 2.1	F = 26.9*	F = 11.5*	F = 453.4*	F = 12.9*	F = 168.7*	F = 34.4*	
	3-month program	10,555 (23.6%)	29.4	37.0	1.6	20.1	0.28	0.14	0.006	0.74	0.101	0.0197	0.034	0.179
9-month program		X ² = 0.001	F = 709.6*	X ² = 4.6*	F = 10.1*	F = 278.1*	F = 700.6*	F = 0.0	F = 256.8*	F = 48.0*	F = 18.4*	F = 0.1	F = 0.1	

Note. ARO = Alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders; FDO = First DUI offenders.

* $p < .05$.