STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

2016

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Governor

BRIAN C. ANNIS, Secretary
California State Transportation Agency

JEAN SHIOMOTO
Director

© California Department of Motor Vehicles, 2018

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Service, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington, DC 20503.

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED *(From - To)*
October 2018	Final Report	

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
2016 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
5b. GRANT NUMBER
5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)
Helen N. Tashima, Sladjana Oulad Daoud

5d. PROJECT NUMBER
5e. TASK NUMBER
5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Branch
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-18-256

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)

11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES - Corresponding author: Sladjana.OuladDaoud@dmv.ca.gov

14. ABSTRACT

In this twenty-fifth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2013 and 2014 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs (DUI) data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, license suspension/revocation actions, and alcohol- or drug-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 24 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Two analyses were conducted to evaluate if referrals to DUI programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes among those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of convicted first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2013, who were referred, enrolled, and completed DUI programs are also presented.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, DUI Program, license suspension/revocation, drugged driving

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
Unclassified					Karin Oakley
A. REPORT	B. ABSTRACT	C. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPONE NUMBER (*Include area code*)
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None	159	916-657-5768

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2004 - 2014

	YEAR										
DUI measures	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
DUI arrest rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	792	786	849	863	906	880	823	752	712	651	619
Total DUI arrests[a]	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212[b]	172893	160388	154743
Felony DUI arrests[a]	5646	5962	6191	6264	5966	5577	4902	4655	5047	4789	4835
Misdemeanor DUI arrests[a]	175311	174326	191057	197602	208845	202954	190977	175557	167846	155599	149908
Total DUI convictions[c]	139331	140879	156595	160591	169035	161074	148042	142121	133525	121304	N/A
DUI conviction rates[c]	77.0%	78.1%	79.4%	78.8%	78.7%	77.2%	73.1%[d]	73.3%[d]	73.7%[d]	72.5%[d]	N/A
Alcohol- or drug-involved reckless driving convictions[c]	14801	14452	15563	16085	17887	19802	19552	19204	17568	16494	N/A
Percent convicted of alcohol or drug reckless driving[c]	8.2%	8.0%	7.9%	7.9%	8.3%	9.5%	8.1%[d]	7.9%[d]	8.1%[d]	8.1%[d]	N/A
Alcohol-involved crash fatalities[e]	1462	1574	1597	1489	1355	1263	1072	1089	1169	1197	1155
% of crash fatalities	35.7	36.6	38.1	37.5	39.8	41.1	39.1	38.5	39.0	38.6	36.9
Alcohol-involved crash injuries[e]	31538	30810	31099	30783	28463	26058	24343	23621	23868	23178	23993
% of crash injuries	10.4	10.5	11.2	11.5	11.8	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.5	10.4	10.4
Drug-involved crash fatalities[f]	799	880	859	749	726	713	696	709	818	892	864
% of crash fatalities	19.5	20.4	20.5	18.9	21.3	23.2	25.4	25.0	27.3	28.7	27.6
Drug-involved crash injuries[f]	2646	2722	2421	2464	2227	2309	2384	2289	2622	2489	2867
% of crash injuries	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2

Note: N/A indicates that this information is not available yet for 2014.

[a]These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center data.

[b]Due to the underreporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, the total for 2011 is undercounted by approximately 6,500 DUI arrests.

[c]In the past, these data were updated for prior years in each successive DUI-MIS report. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS report, these figures show the total counts of convictions and conviction rates, by year of violation, as typically reported in Section 2 of this report. They are no longer updated each year so are not comparable to data presented in the past.

[d]The 2010 and later DUI conviction rates and percent convicted of alcohol-reckless driving are derived using different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to figures for prior years. These rates are computed only on "matchable DUI cases", and not by using total DUI arrests divided by total DUI convictions presented in this table. See Section 2 for more details.

[e]These figures include cases in which drugs were also involved. They were provided by CHP on July 18, 2016.

[f]These figures include cases in which alcohol was also involved. They were provided by CHP on July 18, 2016.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2004 – 2014 (CONTINUED)

DUI license actions	YEAR										
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total mandatory suspension/ revocation (S/R) actions	239580	247568	339796	362859	392319	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213
.08 First-offender suspensions	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355
Commercial driver actions	4290[h]	3911[h]	3834[h]	3862[h]	4355[h]	3964[h]	3614[h]	3108[h]	2983[h]	2782[h]	2498
Chemical test refusal actions	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089
.01 Test refusal suspensions	326	364	419	426	433	372	354	279	280	300	286
.08 Test refusal suspensions	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448
.08 Test refusal revocations	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355
POSTCONVICTION[g]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	838	737	941	1061	917	482	538	351	312	311	253
First-offender suspensions	31012	39078	110525	124436	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845
Misdemeanor	28799	36808	108227	122102	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955
Felony	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890
Second-offender S/R actions	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935
Misdemeanor	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275
Felony	553	595	634	647	658	681	637	641	623	637	660
Third-offender revocations	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239
Misdemeanor	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019
Felony	152	183	225	233	231	242	198	256	231	219	220
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156
Total postconviction S/R actions	67752	78999	154315	170646	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428

[g]These totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event. Total counts for 2006 and later increased as a result of a law change, effective 09/20/2005. This law assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

[h]Previous counts have been adjusted to include commercial first offenders who downgraded to a noncommercial license in order to be eligible for a restricted license.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2016 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

Background

The California DUI Management Information System was developed in California in 1989 as a result of the legislative mandate that required the development of a monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI in California.

The California Legislature recently enacted substantial changes to the laws governing driving under the influence (DUI) of alcohol and/or drugs. Senate Bill 1046 (Hill), which was signed by Governor Brown in September of 2016, will (among other provisions) require that all repeat DUI (alcohol) offenders, and first offenders whose offense involved an injury, install an ignition interlock device (IID) for a specified period of time as a condition of the reinstatement of their driving privilege. The provisions of this law will commence on January 1, 2019. It is therefore expected that the full effects of this law on traffic safety measures (such as recidivism) will become known subsequent to that date.

The annual report of the California DUI Management Information System provides current and comprehensive statistics on the processing of DUI offenders from the point of arrest through adjudication to treatment and license control actions. The report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative license actions, and on drivers in crashes involving alcohol and drugs. In compliance with provisions of the law, the efficacy of specific sanctions and their results are also included in the report. Specifically, two separate analyses were conducted to evaluate: 1) if referrals to DUI programs were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes among drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and 2) if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent violations and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The report is divided into six sections with each section covering specific topics of the report. The following are highlights from each section of the 2016 report reflecting on the current state of DUI in California.

DUI Summary Statistics

- Alcohol-involved crash fatalities decreased by 3.5% in 2014, following an increase of 2.4% in 2013.

- Drug-involved crash fatalities decreased by 3.1% in 2014, after an increase of 9.0% in 2013.

- Of the total number of crash fatalities in 2014, 36.9% were alcohol-involved, which is lower than the 38.6% in 2013. The percentage of drug-involved fatalities decreased from the prior year's 28.7% to 27.6% in 2014.

- In 2014, 10.4% of crash injuries were alcohol-involved; the same as that reported for 2013.

- The DUI arrest rate per 100,000 licensed drivers declined by 4.9% in 2014, following a larger decline of 8.6% in 2013.

Section 1: DUI Arrests

- DUI arrests decreased by 3.5% in 2014, following decreases of 7.2% in 2013 and 4.1% in 2012 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).

- The median (midpoint) age of a DUI arrestee in 2014 was 30 years and almost three-quarters (72.5%) of arrestees were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (.03%) of all DUI arrests were of juveniles (under age 18) and 3.5% were of drivers over age 60. This is shown in Table 3a.

- Males comprised 76.9% of all 2014 DUI arrests, nearly the same as the 76.6% in 2013 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests also remained steady (23.1% in 2014 compared to 23.4% in 2013), but has risen 118% from 10.6% in 1989.

- Based on data from the Department of Justice (DOJ), among 2014 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.9%) were the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have been each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (35.6% in 2014). This is shown in Figure 3.

Section 2: Convictions

- 72.5% of 2013 DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).

- Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2013, 73.1% were first offenders and 26.9% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37%, even though prior DUI convictions are currently retained on record and thus counted longer than in the past (10 years compared to 7 years in 1989). This is shown in Table 8.

- The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.16% in 2013, which is double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).

- In 2013, 16.4% of DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records (see Table 6).

Section 3: Postconviction Sanctions

- The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.2%), while the least frequently imposed court sanction was ignition interlock (6.1%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.6% of the cases (see Table 9).

- Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2013, 65.6% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.2% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9).

- Among first DUI offenders, 91.9% were ordered by courts to complete DUI programs, as were 89.0% of second offenders, 78.9% of third offenders, and 47.0% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders (see Table 9).

Section 4: Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness

- The 1-year recidivism or reoffense rate for first and second DUI offenders decreased each year since 1990 with 2013 arrestees reaching the lowest level seen in the past 24 years. The 1-year DUI reoffense rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2013 was 3.6% compared to 7.6% in 1990. The 1-year reoffense rate for second DUI offenders was 4.6% compared to 9.7% in 1990. Each of these represents 52.6% fewer reoffenses compared to that of 1990 arrestees (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).

- Long-term reoffense rates, those occurring over years following an initial DUI conviction, are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers (see Figures 8b, 8c, and 8d).

- Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2013 who, by court order, enrolled in a DUI program, 87.9% of first offenders and 38.8% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13).

Section 5: License Suspension/Revocation Actions

- The total number of both DMV APS and DUI postconviction suspension or revocation actions decreased by 7.7% in 2014, continuing the 5 consecutive years of decline (see Table 15).

- In 2014, 139,405 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74.0% were first-offender actions (including "zero tolerance" actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.0% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).

Section 6: Drivers in Crashes Involving Alcohol and Drugs

- While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by 14% over the past 19 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities increased by 225% over the same time period. Some of the increase in the number of fatalities reported as drug-involved over this time period may be, in part, associated with an increase in training and ability of California law enforcement to detect and report drug involvement in fatal crashes in recent years (see Figure 11).

- Of all 2013 DUI arrests, 14.4% were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 13.8% in 2012. Of 2013 DUI arrests, 5.6% were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly higher than the 5.4% in 2012 (see Table 17).

- In 2013, over three-fourths (78.0%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, almost two-thirds (62.4%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, for providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, for providing annual alcohol- and drug-involved crash data and documentation. The contributions of Douglas Rickard, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as his general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. Further, we acknowledge, with great appreciation, the contributions of Ainsley Mitchum, Research Program Specialist I, for information presented in section 5 of this report. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Michael Gebers, Research Scientist III; Patrice Rogers, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drugs Projects; and Bayliss J. Camp, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Authors:
Helen N. Tashima, Retired Annuitant, and
Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist II, Principle Investigators.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2004-2014 i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2016 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS vii
INTRODUCTION 1
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS 5
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS 7
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS 15
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS 25
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS 37
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES 40
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2013 40
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2013 43
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders 46
The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2013 51
DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS 52
Methods 52
Results of the DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving 55
9-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers 56
Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders 57
SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS 59
SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS 63
REFERENCES 75

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

NUMBER PAGE

A HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975 79
GLOSSARY 94
ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450 97
B APPENDIX TABLES 99
B1 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER AND RACE/ETHNICITY 99
B2 2013 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE 114
B3 DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT 124
B4 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 133
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 159

LIST OF TABLES

1 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, 2012–2014 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2013–2014 11

2 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST 12

3a 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY 13

3b 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY 13

3c DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2004-2014 14

4 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS 19

5 DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS 20

6 ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY 21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER		PAGE
7a	REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS	22
7b	REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2013	23
8	DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS	23
9	DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013	28
10	DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013	29
11a	ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2013	41
11b	2013 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	44
11c	2013 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS	45
12	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS	46
13	COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013	52
14a	THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ARRESTED IN 2013	56

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER ..... PAGE

14b THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 58

15 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2004-2014 61

16 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES 62

17 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2003-2013 67

18 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL 68

19 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL 68

20 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL 69

21 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2003-2013 71

22a 2013 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER 71

22b 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED) 71

23a 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS 72

23b 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED) 72

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

NUMBER ..... PAGE

24a 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS 73

24b 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED) 73

25 2013 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES 74

LIST OF FIGURES

1 DUI management information system 2

2 DUI arrests, 2004-2014 8

3 Percentage of 2014 DUI arrests and 2014 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity 10

4 DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2004-2013 17

5 Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2013 DUI arrests) 25

6 Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2013) 40

7 Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2013) 42

8a Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 1994 DUI offenders 47

8b Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 1994 DUI offenders 48

8c Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 1994 DUI offenders 48

8d Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 1994 DUI offenders 49

8e Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2004 DUI offenders 50

9a Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers (arrested in 2013) by DUI program assignment 55

9b Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2013) by length of DUI program 57

10 Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2004-2014 65

11 Alcohol- and drug involved crash Fatalities, 1995-2014 66

INTRODUCTION

This report is the twenty-fifth *Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System*, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session, adding Section 1821 to the California Vehicle Code (see Appendix A). This bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) was developed by Helander (1989) and is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions within selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."




Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system. The publication of these data may assist others in making policy decisions, formulating improvements, and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The California Legislature recently enacted substantial changes to the laws governing driving under the influence (DUI) of alcohol and/or drugs. Senate Bill 1046 (Hill), which was signed by Governor Brown in September of 2016, will (among other provisions) require that all repeat DUI (alcohol) offenders, and first offenders whose offense involved an injury, install an ignition interlock device (IID) for a specified period of time as a condition of the reinstatement of their driving privilege. The provisions of this law will commence on January 1, 2019. It is therefore expected that the full effects of this law on traffic safety measures (such as recidivism) will become known subsequent to that date.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small Central Valley court, to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994.[1] In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts), they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the Automated Name Index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract information. Conviction data are subject to change since abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed after the initial abstract of conviction is reported to DMV. Also, reporting and non- reporting errors can occur as with DUI arrest data.

Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

[1] Similarly, there was an undercount of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests for April 2011 by CHP.

SECTION 1:

DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County, 2012–2014 and Annual Percentage Change, 2013-2014. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2012-2014 and the percentage change from 2013-2014 are shown in Table 1.


Table 2: 2014 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2014 DUI arrests by felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2014 DUI Arrests by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross tabulates age by gender and age by race/ethnicity of 2014 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the median age for 2014 arrestees. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by gender and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 2004-2014. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 2004 to 2014. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2: DUI Arrests, 2004-2014. Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 2004 to 2014.

Figure 3: Percentage of 2014 DUI Arrests and 2014 Projected Population (Age 15 and Over, based on the 2010 Census) by Race/Ethnicity. Figure 3 shows the percentages of 2014 DUI arrests and 2014 projected population by race/ethnicity.



Note. Due to the non-reporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, an undercount is present in the figures for 2011 (with approximately 6,500 fewer total DUI arrests).

Figure 2. DUI arrests, 2004-2014.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters

- DUI arrests decreased by 3.5% in 2014, after decreasing by 7.2% in 2013 (see Table 1). DUI arrests have decreased each year since 2008.

- Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.6 in 2014, relatively unchanged from 0.7 in both 2013 and 2012. The 2014 rate represents a 67% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.

- The percentage of DUI arrests in 2014 that were felony arrests (involving bodily injury or death) was 3.1%, relatively unchanged from the 3.0% in 2013. Felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation

- Of all 2014 California DUI arrests, 23.3% occurred in Los Angeles County. Three counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, and Orange) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 38.7% of all arrests (see Table 2).

- The 2014 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.2 to 2.1 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate was 0.6). Seven counties had rates of 0.5 or below: San Francisco (0.2), Mariposa (0.4), Santa Clara (0.4), Contra Costa (0.5), San Diego (0.5), San Mateo (0.5), and Solano (0.5). This is shown in Table 2.

- The majority of counties had fewer DUI arrests in 2014 than in 2013. Among larger counties, the greatest percentage decrease occurred in San Diego (-9.6%), and there were no increases in DUI arrests. Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decrease in DUI arrests occurred in Mariposa (-45.8%), Del Norte (-26.2%), and Mono (-22.8%). Counties showing the largest percentage increase in DUI arrests were Sierra (48.0%), Alpine (46.2%), Amador (40.4%), and San Benito (35.6%). These are shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics

- The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2014 was 30 years. Half (50.0%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (72.5%) were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.3%) of all DUI arrestees were juveniles (under age 18). 3.5% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).

- Among all DUI arrestees in a year, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 18 has declined from 0.8 in 2004 to 0.3 in 2014, a 62.5% relative decrease. The percentage of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 8.9 in 2004 to 6.1 in 2014, a 31.5% relative decline. This is shown in Table 3c.

- Males comprised 76.9% of all 2014 DUI arrests, slightly higher than 76.6% in 2013 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 23.1% in 2014.

- In 2014, Hispanics (45.9%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2014 population parity of 35.6% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (8.9% of arrests, 5.9% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2014 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (36.6% of arrests, 41.8% of the population) and "Other" (8.9% of arrests, 16.7% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- Among male 2014 DUI arrestees, 49.7% were Hispanic, 32.7% were White, 8.8% were Black, and 8.8% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 48.3% were White, 33.2% were Hispanic, 9.3% were Black, and 9.2% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders appears to be limited to males (see Table 3b).

- In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, their DUI arrest rate is also high. For example, in the following seven counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2014: Imperial (76.2%), Tulare (72.6%), San Benito (70.6%), Madera (67.0%), Merced (65.8%), Monterey (63.9%), and Fresno (63.2%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).

- The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: Blacks and Whites were the oldest with a median age of 33.0 years, while "Other" and Hispanics had a median age of 29.0 years (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2014 DUI arrests and 2014 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, 2012–2014 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2013–2014

COUNTY	2012	2013	2014	% CHANGE 2013-2014
STATEWIDE	172893	160388	154743	-3.5
ALAMEDA	7124	6496	6405	-1.4
ALPINE	28	13	19	46.2
AMADOR	163	141	198	40.4
BUTTE	1300	1293	1213	-6.2
CALAVERAS	222	277	284	2.5
COLUSA	218	159	181	13.8
CONTRA COSTA	4315	3824	3432	-10.3
DEL NORTE	173	168	124	-26.2
EL DORADO	1141	1115	987	-11.5
FRESNO	5725	5123	5113	-0.2
GLENN	238	216	234	8.3
HUMBOLDT	1107	1148	998	-13.1
IMPERIAL	965	887	790	-10.9
INYO	180	234	210	-10.3
KERN	4356	4282	4289	0.2
KINGS	1095	1133	987	-12.9
LAKE	313	354	375	5.9
LASSEN	216	169	188	11.2
LOS ANGELES	39741	37559	36125	-3.8
MADERA	1050	838	871	3.9
MARIN	1282	1333	1369	2.7
MARIPOSA	100	118	64	-45.8
MENDOCINO	728	627	506	-19.3
MERCED	1303	1330	1229	-7.6
MODOC	72	70	66	-5.7
MONO	128	92	71	-22.8
MONTEREY	2187	2164	2227	2.9
NAPA	965	809	921	13.8
NEVADA	551	452	519	14.8
ORANGE	14629	13020	12620	-3.1
PLACER	1695	1632	1571	-3.7
PLUMAS	164	152	124	-18.4
RIVERSIDE	10142	9918	9601	-3.2
SACRAMENTO	5598	5628	6361	13.0
SAN BENITO	207	261	354	35.6
SAN BERNARDINO	11586	10168	9256	-9.0
SAN DIEGO	13425	12298	11120	-9.6
SAN FRANCISCO	1728	1377	1075	-21.9
SAN JOAQUIN	3223	2795	2435	-12.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1995	1956	2038	4.2
SAN MATEO	3026	2905	2789	-4.0
SANTA BARBARA	2229	2261	2314	2.3
SANTA CLARA	5811	5550	5338	-3.8
SANTA CRUZ	1556	1493	1424	-4.6
SHASTA	1098	920	776	-15.7
SIERRA	38	25	37	48.0
SISKIYOU	355	313	276	-11.8
SOLANO	1399	1339	1354	1.1
SONOMA	2745	2303	2699	17.2
STANISLAUS	2898	2609	2209	-15.3
SUTTER	502	417	519	24.5
TEHAMA	470	504	458	-9.1
TRINITY	215	146	148	1.4
TULARE	3555	3164	2943	-7.0
TUOLUMNE	447	409	410	0.2
VENTURA	3829	3261	3215	-1.4
YOLO	818	675	765	13.3
YUBA	524	465	519	11.6

TABLE 2: 2014 DUI ARRESTS[a] BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	
STATEWIDE	154743	100.0	4835	3.1	529	0.3	149379	96.5	0.6
ALAMEDA	6405	4.1	109	1.7	15	0.2	6281	98.1	0.6
ALPINE	19	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	19	100.0	2.1
AMADOR	198	0.1	3	1.5	2	1.0	193	97.5	0.7
BUTTE	1213	0.8	33	2.7	6	0.5	1174	96.8	0.8
CALAVERAS	284	0.2	7	2.5	0	0.0	277	97.5	0.8
COLUSA	181	0.1	10	5.5	1	0.6	170	93.9	1.3
CONTRA COSTA	3432	2.2	81	2.4	20	0.6	3331	97.1	0.5
DEL NORTE	124	0.1	5	4.0	0	0.0	119	96.0	0.7
EL DORADO	987	0.6	55	5.6	4	0.4	928	94.0	0.7
FRESNO	5113	3.3	132	2.6	28	0.5	4953	96.9	1.0
GLENN	234	0.2	6	2.6	2	0.9	226	96.6	1.2
HUMBOLDT	998	0.6	25	2.5	2	0.2	971	97.3	1.0
IMPERIAL	790	0.5	25	3.2	3	0.4	762	96.5	0.7
INYO	210	0.1	10	4.8	2	1.0	198	94.3	1.5
KERN	4289	2.8	173	4.0	18	0.4	4098	95.5	0.9
KINGS	987	0.6	25	2.5	5	0.5	957	97.0	1.4
LAKE	375	0.2	15	4.0	6	1.6	354	94.4	0.8
LASSEN	188	0.1	6	3.2	1	0.5	181	96.3	1.0
LOS ANGELES	36125	23.3	1269	3.5	74	0.2	34782	96.3	0.6
MADERA	871	0.6	27	3.1	2	0.2	842	96.7	1.1
MARIN	1369	0.9	13	0.9	7	0.5	1349	98.5	0.7
MARIPOSA	64	0.0	1	1.6	0	0.0	63	98.4	0.4
MENDOCINO	506	0.3	17	3.4	4	0.8	485	95.8	0.8
MERCED	1229	0.8	41	3.3	6	0.5	1182	96.2	0.9
MODOC	66	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	66	100.0	1.0
MONO	71	0.0	1	1.4	1	1.4	69	97.2	0.8
MONTEREY	2227	1.4	85	3.8	12	0.5	2130	95.6	0.9
NAPA	921	0.6	31	3.4	3	0.3	887	96.3	1.0
NEVADA	519	0.3	15	2.9	4	0.8	500	96.3	0.6
ORANGE	12620	8.2	269	2.1	41	0.3	12310	97.5	0.6
PLACER	1571	1.0	43	2.7	3	0.2	1525	97.1	0.6
PLUMAS	124	0.1	6	4.8	1	0.8	117	94.4	0.8
RIVERSIDE	9601	6.2	204	2.1	20	0.2	9377	97.7	0.7
SACRAMENTO	6361	4.1	321	5.0	6	0.1	6034	94.9	0.7
SAN BENITO	354	0.2	3	0.8	3	0.8	348	98.3	0.9
SAN BERNARDINO	9256	6.0	331	3.6	29	0.3	8896	96.1	0.7
SAN DIEGO	11120	7.2	368	3.3	39	0.4	10713	96.3	0.5
SAN FRANCISCO	1075	0.7	53	4.9	0	0.0	1022	95.1	0.2
SAN JOAQUIN	2435	1.6	75	3.1	10	0.4	2350	96.5	0.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2038	1.3	38	1.9	6	0.3	1994	97.8	1.0
SAN MATEO	2789	1.8	59	2.1	15	0.5	2715	97.3	0.5
SANTA BARBARA	2314	1.5	56	2.4	8	0.3	2250	97.2	0.8
SANTA CLARA	5338	3.4	241	4.5	24	0.4	5073	95.0	0.4
SANTA CRUZ	1424	0.9	45	3.2	7	0.5	1372	96.3	0.8
SHASTA	776	0.5	31	4.0	6	0.8	739	95.2	0.6
SIERRA	37	0.0	5	13.5	0	0.0	32	86.5	1.5
SISKIYOU	276	0.2	2	0.7	0	0.0	274	99.3	0.8
SOLANO	1354	0.9	47	3.5	7	0.5	1300	96.0	0.5
SONOMA	2699	1.7	42	1.6	14	0.5	2643	97.9	0.8
STANISLAUS	2209	1.4	61	2.8	10	0.5	2138	96.8	0.7
SUTTER	519	0.3	16	3.1	0	0.0	503	96.9	0.8
TEHAMA	458	0.3	19	4.1	0	0.0	439	95.9	1.1
TRINITY	148	0.1	3	2.0	1	0.7	144	97.3	1.4
TULARE	2943	1.9	112	3.8	16	0.5	2815	95.7	1.2
TUOLUMNE	410	0.3	9	2.2	0	0.0	401	97.8	1.0
VENTURA	3215	2.1	128	4.0	23	0.7	3064	95.3	0.6
YOLO	765	0.5	19	2.5	10	1.3	736	96.2	0.6
YUBA	519	0.3	9	1.7	2	0.4	508	97.9	1.1

[a]DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (*N* = 188) removed.

TABLE 3a: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	154743	100.0	118993	76.9	35750	23.1	56137	36.3	71057	45.9	13759	8.9	13790	8.9
UNDER 18	529	0.3	411	77.7	118	22.3	207	39.1	256	48.4	15	2.8	51	9.6
18-20	9048	5.8	7070	78.1	1978	21.9	2672	29.5	5071	56.0	477	5.3	828	9.2
21-30	67917	43.9	51434	75.7	16483	24.3	21826	32.1	34116	50.2	5245	7.7	6730	9.9
31-40	34820	22.5	27175	78.0	7645	22.0	11220	32.2	17016	48.9	3391	9.7	3193	9.2
41-50	22375	14.5	17291	77.3	5084	22.7	9082	40.6	9195	41.1	2392	10.7	1706	7.6
51-60	14669	9.5	11348	77.4	3321	22.6	7764	52.9	4296	29.3	1713	11.7	896	6.1
61-70	4552	2.9	3613	79.4	939	20.6	2783	61.1	995	21.9	448	9.8	326	7.2
71 & ABOVE	833	0.5	651	78.2	182	21.8	583	70.0	112	13.4	78	9.4	60	7.2
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		31.0		30.0		33.0		29.0		33.0		29.0	

TABLE 3b: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

GENDER	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		154743	100.0	56137	36.3	71057	45.9	13759	8.9	13790	8.9
MALE	UNDER 18	411	0.3	145	35.3	212	51.6	12	2.9	42	10.2
	18-20	7070	5.9	1902	26.9	4184	59.2	355	5.0	629	8.9
	21-30	51434	43.2	15068	29.3	27618	53.7	3808	7.4	4940	9.6
	31-40	27175	22.8	7811	28.7	14380	52.9	2531	9.3	2453	9.0
	41-50	17291	14.5	6147	35.6	7954	46.0	1852	10.7	1338	7.7
	51-60	11348	9.5	5347	47.1	3827	33.7	1426	12.6	748	6.6
	61-70	3613	3.0	2035	56.3	905	25.0	387	10.7	286	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	651	0.5	429	65.9	105	16.1	65	10.0	52	8.0
	TOTAL	118993	100.0	38884	32.7	59185	49.7	10436	8.8	10488	8.8
FEMALE	UNDER 18	118	0.3	62	52.5	44	37.3	3	2.5	9	7.6
	18-20	1978	5.5	770	38.9	887	44.8	122	6.2	199	10.1
	21-30	16483	46.1	6758	41.0	6498	39.4	1437	8.7	1790	10.9
	31-40	7645	21.4	3409	44.6	2636	34.5	860	11.2	740	9.7
	41-50	5084	14.2	2935	57.7	1241	24.4	540	10.6	368	7.2
	51-60	3321	9.3	2417	72.8	469	14.1	287	8.6	148	4.5
	61-70	939	2.6	748	79.7	90	9.6	61	6.5	40	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	182	0.5	154	84.6	7	3.8	13	7.1	8	4.4
	TOTAL	35750	100.0	17253	48.3	11872	33.2	3323	9.3	3302	9.2

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2004-2014

AGE		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011[a]	2012	2013	2014
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	*N*	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743
UNDER 18	*N*	1488	1436	1697	1635	1494	1262	1085	891	746	600	529
	%	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3
18-20	*N*	14672	14617	16837	17201	17558	16382	14859	13073	11767	9846	9048
	%	8.1	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.2	7.9	7.6	7.3	6.8	6.1	5.8
UNDER 21	*N*	16160	16053	18534	18836	19052	17644	15944	13964	12513	10446	9577
	%	8.9	8.9	9.4	9.2	8.9	8.5	8.1	7.8	7.2	6.5	6.1

[a]The non-reporting of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests by CHP for the month of April 2011 is reflected in this table's 2011 figures.

SECTION 2:

CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2014, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2013 in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. Tables in this section compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "2013 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2013 and subsequently convicted. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report, the data source, placement, and type of information provided in Figure 4 and Tables 5 and 6 have changed. In particular, since some DUI arrest data from the Department of Justice (DOJ) MACR system could not be matched to the driver records on the DMV database, the information in Table 6 is estimated based only on DUI cases whose arrest and/or conviction was found on the DMV database ("matchable DUI cases"). This section contains the following tables and figures:

Table 4: DUI Convictions by Age and Gender for 2013 DUI Arrests. This table cross-tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and gender. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: DUI Conviction Data for 2013 DUI Arrests. This table shows county and statewide DUI-related conviction data (DUI felony and misdemeanor convictions and includes alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. For DUI convictions, it also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.


Table 6: Adjudication Status of 2013 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status (court disposition) of 2013 DUI arrests statewide and by county. It includes the estimated percentages of arrests that resulted in DUI convictions (DUI conviction rates), misdemeanor and felony DUI convictions, reckless driving convictions (alcohol/drug and non-alcohol/drug related), other convictions, and the percentage of DUI arrests with no record of any conviction. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS report, these estimates are limited to DUI arrests or individual cases from the MACR file for which a matching arrest and/or conviction was found in the DMV database. These arrest cases were tracked individually to determine their final adjudication status. In early DUI-MIS reports, the information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status in this table was obtained by dividing the total number of convictions by the total number of arrests, either statewide or by county, without matching individual cases. Starting with 2010 DUI conviction rates, this information is estimated by tracking

matched individual DUI arrest cases and by calculating percentages of those that resulted in conviction of DUI, of some other type of violation, and those that resulted in no conviction.

Table 7a: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI and Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Convictions for 2013 DUI Arrests and Table 7b: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21 Arrested in 2013. Table 7a shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI and alcohol- or drug-reckless convictions. Because the APS forms, submitted following most DUI arrests, more completely report BAC levels than do abstracts of conviction, they are used here to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 7b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 8: DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level for 2013 DUI Arrests. This table displays the percentages of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms for each offense level.

Figure 4: DUI Convictions and Conviction Rates Based on Arrest Year, 2004-2013. Figure 4 shows, for the years 2004 to 2013, the total number of DUI convictions and DUI conviction rates based on the violation year.



DUI conviction rate (percent convicted)	77.0%	78.1%	79.4%	78.8%	78.7%	77.2%	73.1%[a]	73.3%[a]	73.7%[a]	72.5%[a]

[a]The 2010 and later DUI conviction rates are based on different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to prior years.

Figure 4. DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2004-2013.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters

- In 2013, 72.5% of DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).

- Based on the DUI conviction data for arrests within 10 years (2004-2013), 4.7% of all California drivers (including those who do not have a permanent driving record) have one or more DUI convictions on their record.

- Among 2013 DUI arrestees, 8.1% resulted in alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions and 1.5% resulted in reckless driving convictions not alcohol- or drug-related (see Table 6).

- In 2013, 1.5% of DUI arrests resulted in convictions for offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, such as speed contest or driving with a suspended or revoked license (see Table 6).

- In 2013, 16.4% of DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction that could be found on DMV's database (see Table 6).

- The average (mean) and the median reported non-zero BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2013, using APS reporting forms as the data source, were 0.16%, slightly higher than in the past 8 years, and double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).

- The average (mean) and median non-zero BAC levels increased as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increased from 0.16% BAC for first offenders to 0.19% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders (the median BAC level increased from 0.15% BAC for first offenders to 0.19% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders). This is shown in Table 8.

- Among 2013 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.1% were first offenders, 20.6% were second offenders, 4.9% were third offenders, and 1.4% were fourth-or-more offenders. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors for DUI in California is 10 years.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (26.9%), shown in Table 8, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years (by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005). For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5% versus 26.9% in 2013.

- The median adjudication time lags were 100 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 6 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling about 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record (see Table 5).

Demographic Characteristics

- The median age of convicted DUI offenders in 2013 was 31.0 years (see Table 4).

- Among 2013 DUI convictees, 49.9% were 30 years of age or younger and 72.5% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).

- Females comprised 23.4% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2013 (see Table 4). The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.

TABLE 4: DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	121304	100.0	92901	76.6	28403	23.4
UNDER 18	279	0.2	231	82.8	48	17.2
18-20	6700	5.5	5165	77.1	1535	22.9
21-30	53597	44.2	40311	75.2	13286	24.8
31-40	27404	22.6	21628	78.9	5776	21.1
41-50	18211	15.0	13863	76.1	4348	23.9
51-60	11195	9.2	8636	77.1	2559	22.9
61-70	3323	2.7	2614	78.7	709	21.3
71 & ABOVE	595	0.5	453	76.1	142	23.9
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.1		34.2		33.7	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		30.0	

[a]County-specific tabulations of 2013 DUI convictions by age and gender are shown in Appendix Table B2. Percents may not add to 100% due to rounding.

TABLE 5: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS[a]

COUNTY	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[b]	UNDER 21 DUI[c]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
					VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	116577	3980	747	16494	100	6
ALAMEDA	4057	53	29	1298	108	4
ALPINE	9	1	0	1	43	8
AMADOR	98	3	1	16	73	51
BUTTE	943	34	11	115	133	22
CALAVERAS	183	7	0	79	61	6
COLUSA	100	4	2	27	82	6
CONTRA COSTA	2521	87	18	600	239	7
DEL NORTE	116	4	0	27	101	105
EL DORADO	792	38	6	187	111	24
FRESNO	4002	209	31	300	132	0
GLENN	118	7	2	41	361	16
HUMBOLDT	641	14	8	195	95	30
IMPERIAL	519	9	4	127	152	13
INYO	121	6	2	39	98	2
KERN	2905	126	25	449	46	16
KINGS	750	21	2	62	151	0
LAKE	263	21	0	21	126	20
LASSEN	123	0	1	5	127	9
LOS ANGELES	25111	493	117	3444	93	6
MADERA	612	25	1	51	244	28
MARIN	1155	23	11	16	65	13
MARIPOSA	86	2	1	8	72	21
MENDOCINO	481	22	1	80	92	116
MERCED	698	59	4	85	255	11
MODOC	47	0	0	10	59	16
MONO	71	2	2	6	103	15
MONTEREY	1809	33	8	250	68	12
NAPA	650	32	9	102	69	3
NEVADA	387	13	3	45	93	24
ORANGE	11371	333	36	565	111	0
PLACER	1309	96	3	204	116	9
PLUMAS	114	3	1	16	72	2
RIVERSIDE	7592	191	34	594	118	2
SACRAMENTO	4628	324	38	723	65	5
SAN BENITO	215	11	2	32	102	5
SAN BERNARDINO	6171	228	38	965	146	8
SAN DIEGO	9353	374	67	2222	76	21
SAN FRANCISCO	874	24	3	186	85	5
SAN JOAQUIN	2143	124	19	399	36	34
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1484	76	6	307	63	6
SAN MATEO	2086	53	10	404	142	27
SANTA BARBARA	1847	75	36	231	58	18
SANTA CLARA	4626	179	37	469	89	6
SANTA CRUZ	1192	28	14	167	71	6
SHASTA	653	51	3	159	95	20
SIERRA	6	2	0	6	132	127
SISKIYOU	179	11	2	48	134	8
SOLANO	1028	40	7	134	126	6
SONOMA	2146	121	28	320	73	44
STANISLAUS	1850	86	9	189	88	27
SUTTER	226	18	4	74	80	48
TEHAMA	240	15	1	67	53	45
TRINITY	54	0	1	11	98	8
TULARE	2113	36	18	159	86	39
TUOLUMNE	294	12	3	22	91	7
VENTURA	2643	79	23	0	129	0
YOLO	517	24	4	74	122	15
YUBA	255	18	1	61	101	79

[a]Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.
[b]This count includes misdemeanors that carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in 10 years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.
[c]Violations of CVC 23140.

TABLE 6: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[a]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION[b]
		% MIS-DEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL OR DRUG	% NONALCOHOL NOR DRUG		
STATEWIDE	72.5	70.9	1.5	8.1	1.5	1.5	16.4
ALAMEDA	56.0	55.3	0.7	13.8	4.2	1.1	24.9
ALPINE	71.4	64.3	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6
AMADOR	69.7	69.0	0.7	8.3	3.4	0.7	17.9
BUTTE	77.2	75.4	1.8	7.2	2.2	0.3	13.1
CALAVERAS	64.2	62.7	1.4	21.5	0.0	0.7	13.6
COLUSA	61.8	60.6	1.2	12.1	5.5	1.2	19.4
CONTRA COSTA	67.3	66.7	0.5	11.4	0.5	1.3	19.6
DEL NORTE	70.2	67.8	2.3	12.9	2.3	0.0	14.6
EL DORADO	72.3	69.7	2.6	13.4	1.9	0.4	12.0
FRESNO	74.7	73.2	1.4	4.1	0.1	0.3	20.9
GLENN	57.8	56.4	1.5	15.7	2.5	2.5	21.6
HUMBOLDT	59.1	57.9	1.2	15.2	2.4	1.0	22.3
IMPERIAL	60.6	59.5	1.1	12.5	3.6	1.8	21.5
INYO	63.1	61.6	1.5	13.1	1.5	2.0	20.2
KERN	72.2	70.0	2.2	9.4	3.4	1.2	13.8
KINGS	69.7	68.9	0.8	4.4	0.4	0.5	25.1
LAKE	75.2	70.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	0.8	15.3
LASSEN	72.1	72.1	0.0	3.0	5.5	2.4	17.0
LOS ANGELES	70.0	68.8	1.3	7.6	1.1	3.2	18.0
MADERA	65.9	64.0	1.9	4.2	1.9	0.7	27.3
MARIN	83.0	82.0	0.9	0.7	0.1	1.5	14.8
MARIPOSA	75.2	74.3	0.9	6.2	7.1	1.8	9.7
MENDOCINO	74.8	72.2	2.6	8.9	1.7	0.8	13.8
MERCED	55.7	54.6	1.1	5.3	0.2	0.5	38.3
MODOC	67.6	67.6	0.0	11.8	1.5	1.5	17.6
MONO	75.0	74.0	1.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	19.0
MONTEREY	77.8	76.5	1.3	8.2	1.1	0.7	12.1
NAPA	79.9	77.9	2.0	11.0	0.1	0.7	8.3
NEVADA	77.0	75.6	1.4	7.6	2.4	1.8	11.2
ORANGE	84.9	83.5	1.4	3.6	0.3	0.4	10.8
PLACER	77.4	74.6	2.8	8.9	0.2	0.6	13.0
PLUMAS	76.5	74.5	2.0	7.2	0.0	0.7	15.7
RIVERSIDE	74.1	72.5	1.6	4.8	1.2	1.0	18.9
SACRAMENTO	76.2	73.8	2.4	8.6	0.2	0.9	14.1
SAN BENITO	76.4	74.3	2.1	7.9	0.4	0.7	14.6
SAN BERNARDINO	65.9	64.1	1.8	8.2	3.4	2.5	20.1
SAN DIEGO	71.2	69.4	1.9	13.8	2.7	0.9	11.4
SAN FRANCISCO	62.6	61.2	1.4	12.0	2.1	0.9	22.4
SAN JOAQUIN	74.9	73.4	1.5	11.6	0.7	2.2	10.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	74.3	72.6	1.7	12.7	1.6	1.6	9.9
SAN MATEO	71.0	70.0	1.0	12.0	0.2	1.0	15.8
SANTA BARBARA	75.1	73.3	1.7	7.9	2.4	1.4	13.3
SANTA CLARA	80.0	78.1	1.9	6.9	1.7	0.9	10.5
SANTA CRUZ	73.8	72.1	1.7	9.2	3.0	0.7	13.3
SHASTA	71.3	68.8	2.5	11.3	0.9	0.7	15.7
SIERRA	46.7	40.0	6.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	20.0
SISKIYOU	61.4	60.8	0.7	13.0	2.7	0.3	22.5
SOLANO	74.7	72.3	2.4	7.6	1.9	1.0	14.8
SONOMA	80.6	78.6	1.9	8.7	1.2	0.5	9.0
STANISLAUS	70.8	69.2	1.6	6.1	1.1	0.7	21.3
SUTTER	68.3	66.0	2.3	17.6	0.0	0.0	14.1
TEHAMA	62.2	60.7	1.5	11.7	2.3	1.0	22.7
TRINITY	72.6	72.6	0.0	6.8	1.4	1.4	17.8
TULARE	72.8	71.6	1.2	4.6	1.0	2.5	19.2
TUOLUMNE	73.2	72.0	1.2	5.2	7.6	0.5	13.5
VENTURA	82.7	80.8	1.9	0.0	0.0	1.2	16.0
YOLO	74.1	72.6	1.6	7.0	4.4	0.6	13.9
YUBA	71.4	70.3	1.1	14.2	1.6	0.5	12.3

[a]Table 6 estimates are based only on DUI arrest cases from the MACR system whose arrests or convictions were found on the DMV database.
[b]These include dismissals and DUI failures-to-appear (FTA); the statewide DUI FTA average is 2.5%.

TABLE 7a: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS [a]

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1532	1.5	.00	480	3.0
.01	65	0.1	.01	21	0.2
.02	82	0.1	.02	26	0.2
.03	80	0.1	.03	25	0.2
.04	89	0.1	.04	47	0.4
.05	303	0.3	.05	86	0.7
.06	392	0.4	.06	211	1.6
.07	713	0.7	.07	790	6.0
.08	1871	1.8	.08	2702	20.4
.09	3254	3.1	.09	3134	23.7
.10	5236	5.0	.10	2225	16.8
.11	6615	6.4	.11	1252	9.5
.12	7456	7.2	.12	729	5.5
.13	7900	7.6	.13	437	3.3
.14	7870	7.6	.14	267	2.0
.15	7629	7.4	.15	185	1.4
.16	7387	7.1	.16	150	1.1
.17	6910	6.7	.17	89	0.7
.18	6251	6.0	.18	82	0.6
.19	5600	5.4	.19	69	0.5
.20	5030	4.8	.20	59	0.4
.21	4233	4.1	.21	43	0.3
.22	3488	3.4	.22	21	0.2
.23	2876	2.8	.23	28	0.2
.24	2322	2.2	.24	20	0.2
.25	1876	1.8	.25	19	0.1
.26	1432	1.4	.26	8	0.1
.27	1209	1.2	.27	8	0.1
.28	876	0.8	.28	5	0.0
.29	751	0.7	.29	9	0.1
.30	566	0.6	.30	2	0.0
.31	446	0.4	.31	3	0.0
.32	308	0.3	.32	4	0.0
.33	289	0.3	.33	2	0.0
.34	261	0.3	.34	1	0.0
.35	159	0.2	.35	2	0.0
.36	105	0.1	.37	1	0.0
.37	99	0.1	.59	1	0.0
.38	81	0.1			
.39	55	0.1			
.40	62	0.1			
.41	33	0.0			
.42	11	0.0			
.43	14	0.0			
.44	8	0.0			
.45	9	0.0			
.46	3	0.0			
.47	2	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49	2	0.0			
.50	1	0.0			
.51	1	0.0			
TOTAL	103844	100.0	TOTAL	13243	100.0
	MEAN[b] BAC .16			MEAN[b] BAC .10	
	MEDIAN[b] BAC .16			MEDIAN[b] BAC .09	

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in this table. The percentage of DUI convictees with BAC levels found is 86.7%.

[b]The calculation of the mean and the median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

TABLE 7b: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2013[a]

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	131	2.2	.23	71	1.2
.01	19	0.3	.24	45	0.8
.02	17	0.3	.25	35	0.6
.03	18	0.3	.26	28	0.5
.04	28	0.5	.27	17	0.3
.05	179	3.0	.28	8	0.1
.06	226	3.8	.29	11	0.2
.07	242	4.1	.30	2	0.0
.08	218	3.7	.31	3	0.1
.09	259	4.3	.32	1	0.0
.10	388	6.5	.33	1	0.0
.11	441	7.4	.34	1	0.0
.12	479	8.0			
.13	460	7.7			
.14	456	7.7			
.15	381	6.4			
.16	397	6.7			
.17	350	5.9			
.18	304	5.1		___	____
.19	235	3.9	TOTAL	5963	100.0
.20	215	3.6			
.21	151	2.5	MEAN[b] BAC .14		
.22	146	2.5	MEDIAN[b] BAC .13		

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in the table. The percentage of DUI convictees under age 21 with BAC levels found is 88.6%

[b]The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 8: DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS[a]

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)[b]	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)[b]
STATEWIDE	100.0	.16	.16
1ST DUI	73.1	.16	.15
2ND DUI	20.6	.17	.17
3RD DUI	4.9	.18	.18
4TH+ DUI	1.4	.19	.19

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with DUI convictions presented in the table.

[b]The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

SECTION 3:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2013. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 9: DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2013. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 10: DUI Court Sanctions by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2013. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

Figure 5: Percentage Representation of Court-Ordered DUI Sanctions (for 2013 DUI arrests). Figure 5 shows the percentage representation of court-ordered post-conviction sanctions for DUI offenders arrested in 2013.




Figure 5. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2013 DUI arrests).
[a]This percentage does not include ignition interlock requirements administered by DMV (i.e., ignition interlock requirements under AB 91 law – further information about the general and specific deterrent effects of the AB 91 ignition interlock program can be found at: https://www.dmv.ca.gov/portal/dmv/detail/about/profile/rd/toc).

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2013 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Sanctions

- The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.2%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (6.1%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.6% of the cases. This is shown in Table 9, and graphically in Figure 5 (previous page). In many jurisdictions, however, all or a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service or home confinement rather than actual jail time, particularly for first offenders (Guenzburger & Atkinson, 2012). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.

County Variation

- The referral to first-offender DUI programs (mostly from 3 to 9 months long) among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 27 counties to 24.3% in Glenn County (see Table 10).

- In 2013, 0.7% of arrested repeat DUI offenders were assigned to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 9). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month programs (see Table 10).

Court Variation

- Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they prescribe available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Antelope Valley) assigned jail to 70.1% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 1,049), while another court (Glendale) in the same county assigned jail to only 26.6% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 549). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.

- Courts in seven counties did not require any of the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2013 to install an ignition interlock device (see Table 10 and Table B4 in the Appendix).

Variation by Offender Status

- Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2013, 65.6% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.2% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9).

- Among first DUI offenders, 91.9% were assigned by courts to complete DUI programs, as were 89.0% of second offenders, 78.9% of third offenders, and 47.0% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 9. (By statute, however, all DUI offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- In 2013, 17.8% of repeat DUI offenders were required by the courts to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles, compared to 17.6% of those arrested in 2012. Despite the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not require interlocks for these offenders (over 75% of "mandatory" assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate after 12 months of license suspension/revocation with interlocks. Also, on July 1, 2010, two new ignition interlock laws took effect. The first law (SB 598 – Huff) allows second and third DUI offenders, whose violations involved alcohol only, to reinstate after 3 months and 6 months of license suspension/revocation, respectively, if they install an ignition interlock device. The second law (AB 91 – Feuer) created a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires first and repeat DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in all vehicles they own or operate for a specific time period based on their number of prior DUI convictions. Lastly, on January 1, 2017, SB 1046 was implemented which extended the AB 91 pilot program until January 1, 2019. Also, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill specifies new ignition interlock requirements for all persons convicted of an alcohol-related DUI offense and allows DUI offenders that are willing to install an ignition interlock device to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation.

TABLE 9: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013[a]

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE	121304	96.2	73.6	68.1	21.8	0.2	6.1
1ST	88681	97.1	65.6	89.7	2.2	0.0	1.8
REPEAT	32623	93.7	95.2	9.1	75.1	0.7	17.8
2ND	24982	96.3	94.8	11.0	77.8	0.2	16.0
3RD	5987	91.6	96.9	3.6	72.8	2.5	24.0
4TH+	1654	62.9	95.6	1.6	42.7	2.7	21.8

[a]Entries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2013 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are not exclusive; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		12130	96.2	73.6	68.1	21.8	0.2	6.1
ALAMEDA	1ST	2805	97.8	96.9	84.9	3.5	0.0	10.9
	2ND	982	98.5	96.6	13.6	69.9	0.6	18.3
	3RD	265	97.7	91.3	4.9	64.9	0.8	14.7
	4TH+	87	81.6	95.4	2.3	27.6	0.0	11.5
	TOTAL	4139	97.6	96.5	61.1	23.7	0.2	12.9
ALPINE	1ST	7	100.0	100.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	14.3
	2ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	30.0
AMADOR	1ST	75	96.0	97.3	86.7	4.0	0.0	12.0
	2ND	16	81.3	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	56.3
	3RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	80.0
	TOTAL	102	93.1	98.0	64.7	21.6	0.0	27.5
BUTTE	1ST	659	95.0	92.1	93.9	1.8	0.2	2.3
	2ND	234	94.9	97.9	18.4	71.4	2.6	8.5
	3RD	64	70.3	96.9	3.1	26.6	48.4	35.9
	4TH+	31	54.8	96.8	0.0	12.9	41.9	38.7
	TOTAL	988	92.1	93.9	67.2	20.2	5.2	7.1
CALAVERAS	1ST	143	95.1	100.0	93.7	1.4	0.0	0.7
	2ND	39	87.2	100.0	28.2	56.4	0.0	20.5
	3RD	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	14.3
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	190	93.7	100.0	76.8	16.3	0.0	5.3
COLUSA	1ST	69	91.3	95.7	82.6	4.3	0.0	0.0
	2ND	28	100.0	100.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0
	3RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	106	92.5	97.2	66.0	23.6	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1ST	1790	97.5	92.1	89.9	2.0	0.0	0.4
	2ND	589	98.8	96.6	11.2	83.5	0.0	3.4
	3RD	186	94.6	96.2	1.1	80.1	0.0	23.7
	4TH+	61	83.6	91.8	1.6	42.6	0.0	29.5
	TOTAL	2626	97.3	93.4	63.9	26.8	0.0	3.4
DEL NORTE	1ST	87	93.1	100.0	87.4	4.6	0.0	5.7
	2ND	23	82.6	100.0	17.4	65.2	0.0	65.2
	3RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1
	4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	120	90.0	100.0	66.7	20.8	0.0	22.5
EL DORADO	1ST	573	97.7	95.6	83.9	3.1	0.0	2.6
	2ND	185	96.8	97.3	11.9	73.5	0.0	25.4
	3RD	59	93.2	94.9	3.4	64.4	0.0	42.4
	4TH+	19	73.7	84.2	0.0	68.4	0.0	52.6
	TOTAL	836	96.7	95.7	60.4	24.5	0.0	11.6

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO	1ST	2904	96.6	97.6	93.7	1.7	0.0	0.8
	2ND	972	93.0	99.0	12.9	78.0	0.0	16.3
	3RD	267	90.3	98.1	3.7	74.2	1.5	38.6
	4TH+	99	47.5	100.0	4.0	29.3	9.1	4.0
	TOTAL	4242	94.2	98.0	67.4	24.4	0.3	6.8
GLENN	1ST	70	94.3	57.1	24.3	0.0	0.0	1.4
	2ND	39	89.7	89.7	10.3	17.9	0.0	25.6
	3RD	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	25.0	8.3	50.0
	4TH+	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	127	91.3	72.4	16.5	8.7	0.8	15.0
HUMBOLDT	1ST	461	97.0	92.6	92.4	2.0	0.0	2.4
	2ND	151	98.7	96.0	20.5	75.5	0.0	66.9
	3RD	38	97.4	97.4	13.2	81.6	0.0	81.6
	4TH+	13	92.3	100.0	7.7	46.2	0.0	38.5
	TOTAL	663	97.3	93.8	69.8	24.1	0.0	22.3
IMPERIAL	1ST	411	94.6	13.6	77.6	1.9	0.0	0.0
	2ND	95	88.4	78.9	18.9	68.4	0.0	0.0
	3RD	18	72.2	83.3	11.1	55.6	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	532	92.3	28.8	63.7	16.0	0.0	0.0
INYO	1ST	83	92.8	38.6	89.2	1.2	0.0	0.0
	2ND	34	97.1	73.5	29.4	50.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD	9	88.9	100.0	22.2	33.3	0.0	11.1
	4TH+	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	129	93.0	53.5	66.7	16.3	0.0	0.8
KERN	1ST	2108	96.5	97.1	69.5	1.3	0.0	1.6
	2ND	682	95.5	98.7	11.1	10.7	0.7	29.6
	3RD	193	89.1	99.5	7.3	9.8	1.6	44.0
	4TH+	73	45.2	97.3	5.5	5.5	9.6	13.7
	TOTAL	3056	94.6	97.6	51.0	4.1	0.5	10.8
KINGS	1ST	514	95.3	98.6	91.6	2.3	0.0	0.0
	2ND	198	91.4	99.0	18.7	75.3	0.0	1.0
	3RD	39	82.1	97.4	10.3	74.4	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	22	40.9	95.5	0.0	31.8	0.0	4.5
	TOTAL	773	92.1	98.6	66.2	25.5	0.0	0.4
LAKE	1ST	187	90.9	31.6	77.0	1.1	0.0	0.0
	2ND	69	94.2	69.6	27.5	49.3	0.0	4.3
	3RD	20	85.0	90.0	15.0	45.0	5.0	10.0
	4TH+	8	50.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	284	90.1	46.5	58.5	15.8	0.4	1.8
LASSEN	1ST	91	95.6	95.6	84.6	2.2	0.0	1.1
	2ND	25	100.0	100.0	16.0	76.0	0.0	24.0
	3RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	124	96.8	96.8	65.3	22.6	0.0	5.6

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES	1ST	20121	96.9	27.1	89.2	2.6	0.0	0.0
	2ND	4673	96.3	90.4	9.9	80.5	0.4	0.3
	3RD	866	91.8	97.8	2.3	70.7	9.8	0.9
	4TH+	61	75.4	98.4	0.0	50.8	13.1	0.0
	TOTAL	25721	96.6	41.2	71.6	19.2	0.5	0.1
MADERA	1ST	425	97.4	97.4	91.3	1.9	0.2	0.0
	2ND	146	95.9	99.3	21.9	67.1	0.7	0.0
	3RD	51	86.3	98.0	7.8	62.7	5.9	0.0
	4TH+	16	75.0	100.0	6.3	25.0	31.3	0.0
	TOTAL	638	95.6	98.0	66.6	22.3	1.6	0.0
MARIN	1ST	906	98.6	17.7	88.5	2.3	0.0	2.2
	2ND	214	99.5	86.9	6.1	89.7	0.0	22.4
	3RD	54	98.1	96.3	0.0	24.1	0.0	53.7
	4TH+	15	73.3	93.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	1189	98.4	34.7	68.5	19.3	0.0	9.0
MARIPOSA	1ST	54	96.3	96.3	55.6	9.3	0.0	3.7
	2ND	21	95.2	100.0	4.8	38.1	0.0	0.0
	3RD	12	100.0	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	25.0
	4TH+	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	89	94.4	97.8	37.1	21.3	0.0	5.6
MENDOCINO	1ST	343	96.8	95.3	88.9	5.2	0.0	10.2
	2ND	119	98.3	99.2	8.4	86.6	0.0	50.4
	3RD	36	94.4	100.0	2.8	83.3	0.0	66.7
	4TH+	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	504	96.8	96.6	62.7	30.0	0.0	24.0
MERCED	1ST	530	87.9	91.1	80.8	2.3	0.2	0.0
	2ND	184	88.0	91.8	14.1	62.5	4.3	4.3
	3RD	40	90.0	92.5	7.5	70.0	2.5	20.0
	4TH+	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
	TOTAL	761	87.8	91.5	60.1	20.5	1.4	2.1
MODOC	1ST	27	100.0	88.9	92.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2ND	15	93.3	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	13.3
	3RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	50.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
	TOTAL	47	97.9	93.6	63.8	27.7	4.3	10.6
MONO	1ST	51	100.0	43.1	92.2	5.9	0.0	0.0
	2ND	21	100.0	90.5	14.3	81.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	75	98.7	58.7	66.7	28.0	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	1ST	1358	98.5	98.1	80.2	2.7	0.0	3.5
	2ND	382	97.4	99.2	7.9	77.0	0.0	25.7
	3RD	82	95.1	98.8	2.4	68.3	0.0	29.3
	4TH+	28	64.3	96.4	0.0	35.7	0.0	14.3
	TOTAL	1850	97.6	98.3	60.6	21.5	0.0	9.4

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
NAPA	1ST	527	97.5	95.1	88.4	3.6	0.0	29.2
	2ND	134	98.5	94.8	13.4	79.9	0.0	81.3
	3RD	20	95.0	95.0	5.0	80.0	0.0	95.0
	4TH+	10	80.0	100.0	10.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	691	97.4	95.1	70.3	21.3	0.0	41.5
NEVADA	1ST	288	98.3	90.3	93.4	0.3	0.0	0.0
	2ND	92	97.8	96.7	41.3	51.1	0.0	3.3
	3RD	18	94.4	100.0	33.3	61.1	0.0	5.6
	4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	403	97.8	92.3	77.7	15.9	0.0	1.0
ORANGE	1ST	8878	98.6	36.0	94.0	1.4	0.0	0.2
	2ND	2236	97.9	93.9	6.9	86.1	0.0	8.5
	3RD	512	92.2	97.3	1.8	83.0	0.0	11.1
	4TH+	114	50.0	98.2	0.0	41.2	0.0	1.8
	TOTAL	11740	97.8	50.3	72.5	21.5	0.0	2.3
PLACER	1ST	1020	98.4	97.5	94.7	1.1	0.0	1.3
	2ND	270	91.9	99.3	19.3	71.5	0.0	44.4
	3RD	91	83.5	100.0	8.8	70.3	0.0	65.9
	4TH+	27	63.0	100.0	3.7	59.3	0.0	55.6
	TOTAL	1408	95.5	98.0	72.9	20.2	0.0	14.8
PLUMAS	1ST	68	98.5	89.7	69.1	8.8	0.0	0.0
	2ND	32	100.0	90.6	6.3	78.1	0.0	0.0
	3RD	14	92.9	92.9	0.0	85.7	0.0	14.3
	4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	118	97.5	90.7	41.5	39.0	0.0	1.7
RIVERSIDE	1ST	5793	96.8	95.3	93.5	2.3	0.0	0.2
	2ND	1575	94.0	95.7	7.5	85.7	0.0	2.0
	3RD	347	90.8	95.1	2.9	86.5	0.0	5.8
	4TH+	102	59.8	92.2	1.0	51.0	0.0	4.9
	TOTAL	7817	95.5	95.3	70.9	23.5	0.0	0.8
SACRAMENTO	1ST	3510	98.1	96.4	93.7	1.2	0.0	1.6
	2ND	1058	97.8	99.0	6.1	86.1	0.0	7.8
	3RD	321	93.5	99.7	0.9	85.0	0.0	12.8
	4TH+	101	52.5	97.0	0.0	37.6	0.0	37.6
	TOTAL	4990	96.8	97.2	67.3	25.4	0.0	4.3
SAN BENITO	1ST	153	98.7	97.4	30.1	0.0	0.0	2.0
	2ND	54	100.0	100.0	1.9	18.5	0.0	37.0
	3RD	17	94.1	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	76.5
	4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	228	98.7	98.2	20.6	4.8	0.0	16.2
SAN BERNARDINO	1ST	4543	96.3	77.9	90.4	2.9	0.0	0.3
	2ND	1376	95.0	96.0	10.4	81.4	0.0	2.7
	3RD	390	90.8	94.6	2.3	71.3	0.0	4.6
	4TH+	128	64.8	77.3	3.9	43.0	0.0	3.1
	TOTAL	6437	95.0	82.8	66.2	24.6	0.0	1.1

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN DIEGO	1ST	7084	96.5	24.9	88.5	1.8	0.0	2.8
	2ND	2123	95.1	87.8	10.9	77.8	0.0	11.1
	3RD	490	87.3	93.7	4.1	73.1	0.2	12.2
	4TH+	97	55.7	99.0	0.0	35.1	0.0	1.0
	TOTAL	9794	95.3	42.7	66.5	22.2	0.0	5.1
SAN FRANCISCO	1ST	697	98.6	99.1	98.0	0.9	0.0	1.7
	2ND	166	98.2	99.4	12.0	84.3	0.0	77.1
	3RD	30	96.7	100.0	0.0	76.7	6.7	93.3
	4TH+	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	87.5
	TOTAL	901	98.3	99.2	78.0	19.5	0.2	19.4
SAN JOAQUIN	1ST	1565	98.1	98.7	93.7	2.4	0.0	1.9
	2ND	524	98.5	99.4	13.5	82.8	0.0	47.9
	3RD	126	95.2	100.0	1.6	94.4	0.0	59.5
	4TH+	71	77.5	100.0	0.0	78.9	0.0	73.2
	TOTAL	2286	97.4	99.0	67.3	28.3	0.0	17.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST	1123	98.6	98.7	92.5	1.7	0.0	0.4
	2ND	331	97.9	100.0	12.4	80.7	0.0	4.5
	3RD	86	95.3	98.8	5.8	66.3	14.0	7.0
	4TH+	26	50.0	100.0	0.0	34.6	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1566	97.4	99.0	69.3	22.5	0.8	1.6
SAN MATEO	1ST	1603	94.3	98.4	87.0	1.6	0.0	0.4
	2ND	444	95.7	99.3	9.9	81.5	0.0	11.9
	3RD	93	88.2	95.7	2.2	76.3	0.0	29.0
	4TH+	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	2149	94.1	98.5	67.1	21.5	0.0	4.0
SANTA BARBARA	1ST	1446	95.6	64.0	89.5	1.7	0.0	0.1
	2ND	407	97.1	92.6	10.1	80.8	0.0	3.7
	3RD	89	95.5	93.3	1.1	88.8	0.0	6.7
	4TH+	16	50.0	100.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	6.3
	TOTAL	1958	95.5	71.6	68.2	22.5	0.0	1.2
SANTA CLARA	1ST	3592	98.5	98.0	94.5	2.8	0.0	5.1
	2ND	970	98.2	99.8	11.0	86.3	0.1	53.2
	3RD	226	94.7	100.0	4.0	83.2	0.0	78.3
	4TH+	54	75.9	96.3	0.0	83.3	0.0	74.1
	TOTAL	4842	98.0	98.4	72.5	24.2	0.0	18.9
SANTA CRUZ	1ST	878	97.8	96.5	76.4	0.9	0.0	0.0
	2ND	266	98.5	98.9	10.5	58.3	0.0	0.0
	3RD	76	96.1	97.4	3.9	39.5	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1234	97.6	97.1	56.9	15.7	0.0	0.0
SHASTA	1ST	453	96.9	97.6	73.1	2.2	0.0	29.8
	2ND	190	96.3	98.4	14.2	62.6	0.0	68.9
	3RD	46	95.7	97.8	6.5	58.7	2.2	71.7
	4TH+	18	61.1	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	707	95.8	97.9	51.1	22.6	0.1	43.1

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SIERRA	1ST	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2ND	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	8	75.0	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1ST	127	94.5	92.9	77.2	3.9	0.0	2.4
	2ND	53	100.0	96.2	24.5	62.3	0.0	22.6
	3RD	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
	4TH+	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	192	95.3	93.8	57.8	24.5	0.0	11.5
SOLANO	1ST	751	97.6	97.6	93.6	2.0	0.0	1.2
	2ND	245	98.8	100.0	10.6	87.3	0.0	26.1
	3RD	57	89.5	94.7	1.8	87.7	0.0	70.2
	4TH+	22	72.7	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	45.5
	TOTAL	1075	96.9	98.0	67.9	27.4	0.0	11.4
SONOMA	1ST	1643	95.6	95.6	89.2	1.6	0.0	4.6
	2ND	496	96.0	99.4	8.5	80.6	0.0	80.0
	3RD	111	94.6	99.1	2.7	83.8	0.0	91.9
	4TH+	45	57.8	97.8	0.0	53.3	0.0	53.3
	TOTAL	2295	94.9	96.6	65.8	23.7	0.0	26.1
STANISLAUS	1ST	1319	98.5	98.9	93.9	4.2	0.0	0.5
	2ND	454	98.0	99.8	9.7	87.2	0.0	5.3
	3RD	124	90.3	99.2	2.4	88.7	0.0	22.6
	4TH+	48	47.9	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	4.2
	TOTAL	1945	96.6	99.2	66.1	30.4	0.0	3.1
SUTTER	1ST	172	93.6	96.5	91.3	0.6	0.0	4.1
	2ND	54	94.4	100.0	14.8	75.9	0.0	61.1
	3RD	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	80.0
	4TH+	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	85.7
	TOTAL	248	94.4	97.6	66.5	25.0	0.0	23.4
TEHAMA	1ST	185	96.2	98.9	94.6	1.6	0.0	1.1
	2ND	51	94.1	100.0	11.8	82.4	0.0	2.0
	3RD	17	76.5	100.0	0.0	76.5	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	66.7
	TOTAL	256	94.1	99.2	71.1	23.0	0.0	2.0
TRINITY	1ST	33	97.0	97.0	90.9	6.1	0.0	6.1
	2ND	9	100.0	88.9	22.2	77.8	0.0	66.7
	3RD	12	100.0	100.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	83.3
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	55	98.2	96.4	60.0	36.4	0.0	32.7
TULARE	1ST	1504	96.4	72.7	91.1	2.1	0.1	0.9
	2ND	458	96.7	95.9	14.2	78.2	0.4	11.6
	3RD	137	92.0	97.1	6.6	81.8	0.0	16.1
	4TH+	68	76.5	98.5	2.9	50.0	1.5	27.9
	TOTAL	2167	95.6	79.9	66.7	24.7	0.2	5.0

TABLE 10: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TUOLUMNE	1ST	207	93.7	77.8	87.4	1.4	0.0	0.0
	2ND	77	94.8	74.0	11.7	77.9	0.0	0.0
	3RD	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
	4TH+	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	309	92.9	78.3	61.5	23.6	0.3	0.0
VENTURA	1ST	2103	96.9	97.4	93.6	2.0	0.0	4.1
	2ND	495	96.8	98.4	12.3	83.2	0.0	79.2
	3RD	109	92.7	97.2	3.7	88.1	0.0	84.4
	4TH+	38	52.6	94.7	0.0	44.7	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	2745	96.1	97.6	74.1	20.7	0.0	21.5
YOLO	1ST	372	96.0	96.8	84.1	1.9	0.0	1.1
	2ND	141	96.5	98.6	41.8	48.2	0.0	39.0
	3RD	24	91.7	100.0	33.3	58.3	0.0	62.5
	4TH+	8	12.5	75.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5
	TOTAL	545	94.7	97.1	69.7	16.5	0.0	13.8
YUBA	1ST	187	95.7	85.0	94.1	1.6	0.0	0.0
	2ND	59	98.3	91.5	10.2	83.1	0.0	3.4
	3RD	21	100.0	100.0	9.5	90.5	0.0	19.0
	4TH+	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	274	96.4	88.0	67.2	28.1	0.0	2.2

SECTION 4:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for drivers convicted of alcohol-or drug-related reckless driving and for first DUI offenders.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2013, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2013, 3) percentages of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2013, and 4) long-term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 1994.

The second part of the section contains the results of the analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism and crashes for two groups of offenders: 1) drivers who plead to the reduced charge of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- The 1-year recidivism rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2013 decreased slightly to 3.6% compared to 3.8% for those arrested in 2012. This is the lowest recidivism rate since 1990; the 2013 first offender reoffense rate was 52.6% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).

- The 1-year recidivism rate for second DUI offenders arrested in 2013 decreased to 4.6% from 4.8% for those arrested in 2012. This rate is a 52.6% decrease from the 9.7% rate for those arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).

- Subsequent 1-year crash rates among second DUI offenders have declined from 4.0% for offenders arrested in 1990 to 2.6% for those arrested in 2013, a 35.0% relative decrease. The rate increased from 2.2% in 2012 to 2.6% in 2013. The crash rates among first offenders have increased from 2.9% in 2012 to 3.6% in 2013; a 24% increase. The 2013 first offender crash

rate is 32.1% lower than their 1990 crash rate. The crash rates of both first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2013 have increased each year since 2011 (see Figure 7 and Table 11a).

- Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2013 who, by court referral, enrolled in a DUI program, 87.9% of first offenders and 38.8% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13).

- At the end of 20 years, 32% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 36% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 8a).

- Over 20 years, DUI recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third-or-more offenders reoffending was 43%, while 36% of second offenders and 29% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 8b).

- Males showed a much higher cumulative percentage (33%) of reoffenses than did females (25%) over the 20-year time period (see Figure 8c).

- Long term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 8d).

- After 5 years, the percentage of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the percentages reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%), and was equivalent to the percentage reoffending in the 2004 group (18%). This is shown in Figure 8e.

- Like last years' evaluation, this year's results show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders assigned to a DUI program were not significantly different than those who were not assigned. However, unlike last years' evaluation, the subsequent DUI incident rates of those assigned to DUI programs were not significantly lower than the rates of those who were not assigned (see Table 14a).

- One-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month DUI programs were not significantly higher than the crash rates of those assigned to 9-month programs (see Table 14b). Also, the 1-year postconviction DUI incident rates were not significantly different between the two groups.

Subject Selection and Data Collection Convicted DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Subjects were chosen based on their number of DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in 2013. The following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past 10 years, and 4) first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, DUI offenders arrested in 1994 and subsequently convicted were selected for the 20-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and DUI recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of an alcohol- or drug-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se (APS) suspensions, and DUI Failure-to-Appear (FTA) violations. For the 1994 DUI offenders, DUI recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents. For first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year subsequent unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations are included.

To maintain comparability to the previous subject-selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. For the sanction analyses among alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders and first DUI offenders, previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a DUI felony, and those with chemical-test refusal APS suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from those of the misdemeanor DUI offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent follow-up period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California driver license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were out-of-state cases and drivers with "X" license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2013

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS reports and plotted onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 6 shows the percentages of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2013, who reoffended within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 6. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2013).

This figure and Table 11a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders from 1990 to 2013. The overall decline translates into a 52.6% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2013. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the implementation of the APS law. As is evident in Figure 6, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 11a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2013

	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
YEAR	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
2009	4.2	5.2	3.1	1.9
2010	4.1	5.2	2.8	1.8
2011	3.8	4.9	2.5	1.7
2012	3.8	4.8	2.9	2.2
2013	3.6	4.6	3.6	2.6
% DIFFERENCE 1990 TO 2013	-52.6%	-52.6%	-32.1%	-35.0%

As noted in the past 10 annual DUI-MIS reports, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group, as displayed in Figure 6 and Table 11a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 11a shows that, from 1990 to 2013, the reoffense rates also decreased 52.6% among second offenders. This is identical to the 52.6% decrease among first DUI offenders across the same time period. The reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders across all years. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from

previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 7 shows the percentages of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2013 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2013).

Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2013, Figure 7 and Table 11a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and then another decline from 2001 to 2011. However, the 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders increased in 2012 and continued to increase in 2013. The relative difference between first offender crash rates in 1990 and 2013 is -32.1%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years shows a 35.0% decline in crash involvement.

Overall, second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than do first offenders (Table 11a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash rates of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (2 years) license suspensions imposed on second offenders.

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2013

Table 11b displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2013 by county. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year varied from 4.8% in Fresno to 2.7% in Los Angeles. Among the smaller counties, Glenn, Merced, and Modoc had DUI recidivism rates at 8.0% or above, while Alpine, Mariposa, Mono, Sierra, and Trinity had no first or second offenders recidivate within 1 year. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, San Bernardino had the highest rate, with 5.2% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year, whereas second offenders in Orange County had the lowest rate at 3.5%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 14.3% (Trinity) to 0.0% (Alpine, Glenn, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Sierra, and Tehama).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2013 are displayed in Table 11c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 4.6% in San Bernardino County to 2.3% in Fresno County. Among the smaller counties, Alpine, Colusa, Del Norte, Mariposa, Mono, and Plumas had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, second offender 1-year subsequent crash rates varied from 3.7% (Fresno) to 2.1% (San Diego). Among the smaller counties, the rates varied from 15.4% (San Benito) to 0.0% in 17 counties (Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, El Dorado, Glenn, Imperial, Lassen, Mariposa, Mendocino, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Plumas, Sierra, Sutter, Trinity, and Yuba).

TABLE 11b: 2013 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	2337	3.6	811	4.6
ALAMEDA	84	4.2	54	7.2
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	2	3.2	1	8.3
BUTTE	24	4.9	3	1.9
CALAVERAS	5	4.1	2	6.3
COLUSA	1	1.9	3	11.1
CONTRA COSTA	43	3.8	17	5.1
DEL NORTE	4	7.1	1	4.8
EL DORADO	15	3.5	7	5.1
FRESNO	100	4.8	31	5.0
GLENN	2	14.3	0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	20	5.8	3	2.6
IMPERIAL	15	5.6	2	3.1
INYO	3	5.1	1	3.4
KERN	80	5.4	22	4.8
KINGS	14	4.2	11	8.1
LAKE	5	3.8	6	12.5
LASSEN	1	1.5	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	396	2.7	140	4.2
MADERA	11	5.8	5	7.9
MARIN	23	3.8	7	4.4
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	10	3.9	4	4.0
MERCED	24	8.0	7	8.1
MODOC	2	8.0	0	0.0
MONO	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	29	3.5	12	5.2
NAPA	9	2.5	5	4.8
NEVADA	8	3.5	0	0.0
ORANGE	187	2.9	55	3.5
PLACER	21	2.7	8	4.1
PLUMAS	1	2.0	2	7.1
RIVERSIDE	149	3.5	49	4.4
SACRAMENTO	122	4.2	41	4.8
SAN BENITO	8	7.5	3	11.5
SAN BERNARDINO	134	4.1	47	5.2
SAN DIEGO	188	3.4	75	4.2
SAN FRANCISCO	20	3.8	4	3.1
SAN JOAQUIN	59	4.8	21	4.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	31	3.4	11	4.0
SAN MATEO	38	3.4	15	5.0
SANTA BARBARA	47	4.7	7	2.5
SANTA CLARA	84	3.3	30	4.5
SANTA CRUZ	24	3.5	15	7.5
SHASTA	17	4.6	7	5.2
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	3	3.3	1	2.6
SOLANO	22	4.0	10	5.7
SONOMA	60	5.1	9	2.6
STANISLAUS	45	4.3	23	6.9
SUTTER	9	7.2	1	2.7
TEHAMA	8	5.8	0	0.0
TRINITY	0	0.0	1	14.3
TULARE	62	6.0	11	3.7
TUOLUMNE	7	4.5	3	5.8
VENTURA	44	3.1	10	3.4
YOLO	13	4.7	4	4.2
YUBA	4	2.7	4	9.1

TABLE 11c: 2013 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	2288	3.6	466	2.6
ALAMEDA	63	3.1	17	2.3
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	1	1.6	0	0.0
BUTTE	17	3.4	5	3.1
CALAVERAS	2	1.6	0	0.0
COLUSA	0	0.0	2	7.4
CONTRA COSTA	40	3.5	10	3.0
DEL NORTE	0	0.0	1	4.8
EL DORADO	18	4.1	0	0.0
FRESNO	48	2.3	23	3.7
GLENN	1	7.1	0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	12	3.5	2	1.7
IMPERIAL	7	2.6	0	0.0
INYO	2	3.4	2	6.9
KERN	52	3.5	10	2.2
KINGS	9	2.7	3	2.2
LAKE	2	1.5	2	4.2
LASSEN	1	1.5	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	578	4.0	85	2.5
MADERA	12	6.3	1	1.6
MARIN	26	4.3	5	3.1
MARIPOSA	0	0.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	11	4.3	0	0.0
MERCED	12	4.0	1	1.2
MODOC	1	4.0	0	0.0
MONO	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	39	4.7	7	3.0
NAPA	8	2.3	3	2.9
NEVADA	11	4.8	0	0.0
ORANGE	248	3.8	40	2.6
PLACER	22	2.8	3	1.5
PLUMAS	0	0.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	147	3.4	38	3.4
SACRAMENTO	113	3.9	24	2.8
SAN BENITO	2	1.9	4	15.4
SAN BERNARDINO	148	4.6	32	3.5
SAN DIEGO	152	2.8	38	2.1
SAN FRANCISCO	16	3.0	3	2.3
SAN JOAQUIN	41	3.3	13	3.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	33	3.6	7	2.5
SAN MATEO	40	3.6	8	2.7
SANTA BARBARA	34	3.4	6	2.1
SANTA CLARA	82	3.3	19	2.9
SANTA CRUZ	18	2.6	6	3.0
SHASTA	10	2.7	1	0.7
SIERRA	1	50.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1	1.1	1	2.6
SOLANO	22	4.0	7	4.0
SONOMA	44	3.7	9	2.6
STANISLAUS	26	2.5	8	2.4
SUTTER	4	3.2	0	0.0
TEHAMA	3	2.2	1	2.5
TRINITY	1	3.4	0	0.0
TULARE	34	3.3	10	3.4
TUOLUMNE	5	3.2	2	3.8
VENTURA	46	3.2	2	0.7
YOLO	15	5.4	5	5.2
YUBA	7	4.7	0	0.0

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 20-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 12 shows cumulative percentages of first subsequent DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 20-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 and 2004 groups.

TABLE 12: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS

	PERCENTAGE												
YEAR	1ST	2ND	3RD	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	66+	1980	1984	1994	2004
1ST	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5	4
2ND	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9	8
3RD	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13	12
4TH	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16	15
5TH	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18	18
6TH	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21	NA
7TH	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22	NA
8TH	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24	NA
9TH	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25	NA
10TH	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26	NA
11TH	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27	NA
12TH	25	32	39	29	21	31	28	22	12	NA	NA	28	NA
13TH	26	32	40	30	21	32	29	22	12	NA	NA	29	NA
14TH	27	33	41	31	22	33	30	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
15TH	27	34	41	31	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	30	NA
16TH	28	35	42	32	23	34	31	23	12	NA	NA	31	NA
17TH	28	35	43	32	24	35	32	24	12	NA	NA	31	NA
18TH	29	35	43	33	24	35	32	24	12	NA	NA	32	NA
19TH	29	35	43	33	24	35	32	24	12	NA	NA	32	NA
20TH	29	36	43	33	25	36	33	24	12	NA	NA	32	NA

In addition to Table 12, Figures 8a through 8e display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 20 years.




Figure 8a. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 1994 DUI offenders.

Figure 8a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 20 years 32% were convicted of at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 36%. These failure curves are steepest in the years following the 1994 conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 20th years. For both measures, the highest recidivism rates occur during the first year following conviction.

One way to explore the degree of alcohol-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (statutorily defined time frame for counting priors) of the 1994 DUI violation. Figure 8b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses for first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 12, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves are higher for DUI offenders with higher numbers of prior offenses. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain higher failure percentages over the 20-year time period. At the end of 20 years, 43% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 36% of second offenders and 29% of first offenders.




Figure 8b. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 1994 DUI offenders.

Because the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 8c and Table 12, the percentage of males that reoffend over 20 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 20 years, 33% of males have reoffended as compared to 25% of females. The failure curve for females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 20 years than the curve for males.




Figure 8c. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 1994 DUI offenders.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 8d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 20 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of all age groups are steepest during the first few years following the 1994 conviction.

The failure curve of the 66+ group begins to flatten out at the fifth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could influence their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 20 years, the two youngest groups reoffended by 36% and 33%, respectively, while 24% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 8d. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 1994 DUI offenders.

The final figure, Figure 8e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2004 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 8e. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2004 DUI offenders.

Four years ago, the reoffense rates of the 2004 cohort over the 5-year time period were added along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 8e and Table 12). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 24 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 8e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, and to 18% of the 1994 and 2004 groups. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2004 groups (18%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 24 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the initial 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 12, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated versus 25% of the 1994 group. The difference between the recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of 9 years. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 group in years 8 through 14. There is no change in the recidivism rate (32%) for 3 years from years 18 through 20.

Continuing with Figure 8e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in reoffenses is possibly due to the enactment of two 1990 laws: SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions for DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 8e also shows that the reoffense levels are very similar for both the 1994 and 2004 cohorts. The reoffense rates of the 2004 offenders were only one percentage-point lower than that of the 1994 group for the first 4 years and were identical at the end of 5 years.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2004 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative percentages of reoffenses were much lower among the 1994 and 2004 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2004 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 2 decades, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2013

Beginning 7 years ago, this report captures the numbers and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicated that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI program, upon referral received from the court. Inclusion of the information on enrollments and completions was possible due to the addition of a new subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length.

Table 13 shows the percentages of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 89.7% of first offenders and 77.8% of second offenders were assigned to a DUI program. Among first offenders, 70.4% enrolled in a DUI program, which usually ranges from 3 to 9 months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC level at the time of their arrest. Furthermore, 57.2% of second offenders were enrolled in an 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI programs, 87.9% of first offenders and 38.8% of second offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still have been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed).

TABLE 13: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013

OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	N	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%[a]	%[b]
1ST OFFENDERS	88,681	79,547[c]	89.7	62,403	70.4	54,824	61.8	87.9
2ND OFFENDERS	24,982	19,436[d]	77.8	14,294	57.2	5,545	22.2	38.8

[a]Percent of total number of DUI offenders. [b]Percent of program enrollees. [c]Referrals to first offender DUI program (3 to 9 months). [d]Referrals to 18-month DUI program.

DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Methods

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3 month versus 6-month DUI program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to at least a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to at least a 6-month program. Starting in 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC levels are 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictees were identified: 1) those who were ordered to a DUI program and 2) those who were not ordered to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol- or drug-related reckless drivers to attend at least the educational component of a DUI program as a condition of probation, it was found that for 28% of such offenders arrested in 2013 and subsequently convicted, the courts provided no indication of having issued such an order[2]. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictees with "X"

[2]As of this writing, an assessment of the accuracy and completeness of reported conviction abstract information related to court-ordered DUI program enrollment for these offenders is planned to verify whether the absence of a disposition code on the conviction abstract accurately indicates that such offenders were not ordered to attend DUI program or if there is a reporting problem. The assessment findings and any resulting implications will be provided in the 2017 DUI-MIS report.

license numbers and those with out-of-state ZIP codes were excluded from the analysis.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of DUI programs and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2013 and convicted of CVC 23152 that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. The records of 33.5% of first offenders who were ordered to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were excluded from the comparison. Cases further excluded from the analysis were: first DUI offenders convicted of felony DUI, drivers with "X" license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes. Of the total sample selected, 77% were ordered to 3-month programs, while 23% were ordered to 9-month programs. In order to explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders with available information on their BAC level were included in the comparison.

The conviction date was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data for both alcohol- or drug-reckless and first DUI offenders, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures lasted at least 1 year from the conviction date, ranging from 12 to 29 months.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV for both alcohol- or drug-reckless and first DUI offenders. Offenders from either of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the evaluation period) were excluded from the evaluation. There were two driver record outcome measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a DUI incident (i.e., alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI FTAs). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated, which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low during the evaluation period. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This confounding was avoided by excluding multiple incidents from the analyses.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were

statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a less-confounded comparison of the sanction groups. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions received by offenders systematically vary through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socioeconomic status may be more likely to enroll in a program, and obtain a license restriction, and less likely to receive jail than are those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding the DUI or alcohol- or drug-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5. Since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with group differences on these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses with significant interactions, the interaction terms are typically included in the final logistic regression models. There were no significant interactions among either the alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers or the first offenders and either of the outcome measures.

Results of the DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving

Figure 9a and Table 14a display the results of the evaluation of the effectiveness of DUI program assignment on drivers convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving violations.




Figure 9a. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for alcohol- or drug-reckless drivers (arrested in 2013) by DUI program assignment.

Total Crashes Similar to last year and to most previous year's evaluations, the results show that court order to a DUI program is not significantly associated with the 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders arrested in 2013. The offenders ordered to a DUI program show a 3.1% higher crash rate than those not ordered to the program, but this difference is not large enough to be statistically significant; the slight difference between the two groups may be due to chance alone. Their crash rate (4.72 per 100 drivers) is higher this year as compared to the rate last year (3.89 per 100 drivers). Also, the crash rate of alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders not ordered to a DUI program (4.58 per 100 drivers) is higher than the previous year's evaluation (4.09 per 100 drivers). Both crash rates have increased over those of the previous 5 years.

TABLE 14a: THE RELATIONSHIP OF DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ARRESTED IN 2013

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) = (GRP 2 - GRP 1 / GRP 1) X 100	NUMBER DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) = (GRP 2 - GRP 1 / GRP 1) X 100
2013 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	NO PROGRAM (GRP 1)	3,203	4.58		2.48	
				3.1%		-14.5%
	DUI PROGRAM (GRP 2)	8,471	4.72		2.12	

DUI Incidents Figure 9a and Table 14a indicate that even though alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders ordered to a DUI program show fewer numbers of DUI incidents in the 1 year following their assignment than those who were not ordered, this difference is not statistically significant. The reoffense rate of the alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders ordered to the programs is 14.5% lower than the reoffense rate of those not ordered to the programs. These findings are different from the past 2 years' results, but similar to findings in prior years. This years' results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical controls based on available covariates should remove some of the bias.

9-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers

An evaluation of a referral to a 9-month DUI program for offenders with an alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction who have a prior conviction for alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving or DUI within 10 years, was mandated by AB 2802 (Houston). This legislation requires the courts to order these offenders to enroll in a DUI program for at least 9 months as a condition of probation. The records of persons arrested for DUI in 2013 and subsequently convicted of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving indicate that 1,311 of them have a prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction. The court-reported conviction abstracts for these offenders show that 61% of them were ordered to DUI programs when they were granted probation. However, the records of only 73 offenders (5.6%) indicated a 9-month DUI program referral. Since this critical information indicating an assignment to the 9-month DUI program was missing on the records for 94.4% of the repeat alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders, it was not possible to evaluate this program referral for the current report.

Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes Figure 9b and Table 14b display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between DUI program length and DUI recidivism and crashes among first DUI offenders ordered to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program is not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. First DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had a directionally lower crash rate (17.6%) than those ordered to the 3-month program (Table 14b), but this difference was not statistically significant. This year's findings are similar to prior years' results (except last year) that generally did not show significant differences in 1-year subsequent crashes between the two groups.




Figure 9b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2013) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents Similar to last year's results, Figures 9b and Table 14b indicate that first DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month program do not have significantly different 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program. The reoffense rate of those ordered to the 3-month program is not very different from that of those ordered to the 9-month program, and this difference is not large enough to be statistically significant. In evaluations prior to the last 5 years, results indicated that DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had significantly more subsequent DUI incidents than offenders ordered to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in those prior years, two further subanalyses were

conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the outcomes of this evaluation. The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level is held constant, there were no significant differences in the DUI incident rates between DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month DUI program and those ordered to the 9-month program.

TABLE 14b: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) = (GRP 2 – GRP 1) / GRP 1 X 100	NUMBER DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) = (GRP 2 – GRP 1) / GRP 1 X 100
2013 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 1)	30,216	3.36	-17.6%	3.47	-6.63%
	9-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 2)	9,246	2.77		3.24	

Starting 5 years ago, BAC level information has been included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between ordered program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. When the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that being ordered to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than being ordered to the 3-month program, which is comparable to the findings in prior years.

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months reduced DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995).

Another limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstracts had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5:

LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS

SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS

Data on DMV license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) based upon either DUI arrest or DUI conviction are presented in this section. These statutorily-mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement Administrative Per Se (APS) report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, DUI probation violation, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

The total count of postconviction suspension/revocation actions has dramatically increased as a result of a law change (SB 1697), effective September 20, 2005, which assigned to DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions for all DUI offenders, removing this responsibility from the courts. DMV is also responsible for issuing license restrictions to DUI offenders who meet requirements defined by the law.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 15: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 2004-2014. This table shows APS and postconviction license disqualification totals from 2004 through 2014.

Table 16: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measures data from 2012 to 2014.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- The total number of DMV APS and DUI postconviction S/R actions decreased by 7.7% in 2014, after 5 consecutive years of decline.

- In 2014, 139,405 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74.0% were first-offender actions (including "zero tolerance" actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.0% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).

- The number of chemical test refusal actions (excluding those later set aside) decreased by 1.4% in 2014, after increasing by 30.3% in 2013. Despite the unusual 2013 increase that followed the 2012 Supreme Court ruling strictly limiting refusal enforcement proceedings, the total numbers of refusal actions have decreased 2.8% since 2004 (see Table 15).

- Total APS actions (including actions later set aside) decreased by 6.8% in 2014, following an 8.2% decrease in 2013 (see Table 16).

- APS actions were set aside for 9.5% of all APS actions initiated in 2014, a less than 1% (0.5%) increase from 2013. The set-aside rate for 2014 is the highest seen since 2005 (9.6%). A greater proportion of actions are set aside following administrative review than following hearings; in 2014, 73% of actions set aside were set aside following administrative review.

- Requests for APS hearings remained relatively unchanged from 35.0% in 2013 to 35.2% in 2014. However, the percentage of .08 APS S/R actions set aside after a hearing decreased from 8.6% in 2013 to 7.3% in 2014 (see Table 16).

- Total postconviction S/R actions decreased by 8.2% in 2014, after decreasing 9.1% in 2013, with the largest decrease occurring for first offender suspensions (12.8%), followed by an 8.8% decrease in fourth-or-more-offender revocations (see Table 15).

- APS and postconviction S/R action decreases in 2013 and 2014 are consistent with the decline in DUI arrests for the same years.

Table 15: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2004-2014

DUI license actions	Year										
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total mandatory suspension/revocation (S/R) actions	239580	247568	339796	362859	392319	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833
Preconviction											
Administrative Per Se (APS) Actions	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213
.08 First-offender suspensions	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355
Commercial driver actions	4290[a]	3911[a]	3834[a]	3862[a]	4355[a]	3964[a]	3614[a]	3108[a]	2983[a]	2782[a]	2498
Chemical test refusal actions	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089
.01 Test refusal suspensions	326	364	419	426	433	372	354	279	280	300	286
.08 Test refusal suspensions	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448
.08 Test refusal revocations	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355
Postconviction[b]											
Total postconviction S/R actions	67752	78999	154315	170646	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428
Juvenile DUI suspensions	838	737	941	1061	917	482	538	351	312	311	253
First-offender suspensions	31012	39078	110525	124436	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845
Misdemeanor	28799	36808	108227	122102	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955
Felony	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890
Second-offender S/R actions	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935
Misdemeanor	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275
Felony	553	595	634	647	658	681	637	641	623	637	660
Third-offender revocations	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239
Misdemeanor	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019
Felony	152	183	225	233	231	242	198	256	231	219	220
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156

[a]Previous counts have been adjusted to include commercial first offenders who downgraded to a noncommercial license in order to be eligible for a restricted license.

[b]These totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event; therefore column totals are not meaningful. Total counts for years 2006 and later increased as a result of a law change, effective 09/20/2005. This law assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

TABLE 16: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2012	2013	2014
Total APS Actions Initiated (Including Actions Later Set Aside):	180,113	165,30	154,107
Total .08[a] APS actions set aside	15,587	14,147	13,814
Total .01[b] suspensions set aside	1,004	818	888
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	163,522	150,33	139,405
Net total .08 APS actions	148,687	138,58	129,192
Net total .01 actions	14,835	11,750	10,213
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[c]			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers[d]	160,539	147,55	136,907
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken[d]	2,983	2,782	2,498
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	94	96	81
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior convictions or APS actions[e]	106,562	99,475	93,014
4-month license suspensions	73,000	68,953	62,574
Non-CDL 30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[f] restrictions	27,313	23,219	23,317
First-offender chemical test refusals	4,227	5,448	5,448
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	2,022	1,855	1,675
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior convictions	42,125	39,112	36,178
Suspensions	39,563	35,646	32,823
Revocations	2,562	3,466	3,355
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions initiated (including actions later set aside)	7,418	9,615	9,553
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	338	388	444
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	11	13	20
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	7,069	9,214	9,089
Net total .08 refusal actions	6,789	8,914	8,803
Net total .01 refusal actions	280	300	286
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	4.12%	5.82%	6.20%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	4,227	5,448	5,448
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	2,562	3,466	3,355
APS Hearings:[g,h]			
Total .08 and .01 in person or telephone APS hearings scheduled	57,855	57,791	54,212
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing[i]	32.1%	35.0%	35.2%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	53,814	54,301	51,068
.08 actions set aside following hearings	4,579	4,645	3,734
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.5%	8.6%	7.3%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	3,932	3,310	2,903
.01 actions set aside following hearings	335	291	233
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.5%	8.8%	8.0%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings:[h]			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,985	3,558	3,926
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	2,905	3,473	3,814
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	267	295	335

[a].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[b].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACS .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[c]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

[d]Previous counts for 2012 and 2013 have been adjusted to include commercial first offenders who downgraded to a noncommercial license in order to be eligible for a restricted license.

[e]Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within 10 years (7 years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

[f]This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course of employment (COE, enacted 1/1/95).

[g]These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings, and departmental reviews.

[h]Figures for 2013 hearings have been revised to reflect changes in how set asides are entered on records, so what is presented here may differ from what was presented in the 2015 report.

[i]Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:

DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

This section presents data on drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes.[1] Beginning with the 2013 DUI-MIS Report, in addition to information about drivers under the influence of alcohol, this section contains information about drivers under the influence of drugs and about drivers under the influence of both alcohol and drugs. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 17: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 2003-2013. This table shows the number and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 2003-2013.

Table 18: 2013 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Impairment Level. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of impairment level and race/ethnicity for 2013 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes.

Table 19: 2013 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Impairment Level. This table cross tabulates crash-involved drivers' impairment levels (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2013 DUI convictions associated with those crash involvements.

Table 20: 2013 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction by County and Impairment Level. This table shows the number of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by county and impairment level.

Table 21: Alcohol-Involved Drivers Under Age 21 in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 2003-2013. This table shows the total number of alcohol-involved drivers under age 21 in fatal/injury crashes in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of alcohol-involved drivers in the state over the same time period.

Table 22a: 2013 Alcohol-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender. This table shows the total number of 2013 alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender.

[1] Among 2013 DUI arrestees, 23,156 (14.4%) were involved in a reported traffic crash; 9,038 of the crashes included an injury or fatality, and 14,118 involved property damage only.

Table 22b: 2013 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender (Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). This table shows the number of 2013 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash.

Tables 23a-23b: 2013 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Impairment Level and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2013 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by impairment level and prior DUI conviction status, both total (23a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (23b).

Tables 24a-24b: 2013 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2013 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by number of prior DUI convictions, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 25: 2013 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes. This table shows the mean, median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in 2013.

Figure 10: Percentages of Crash Injuries and Fatalities that were Alcohol-Involved, 2004-2014. Figure 10 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 2004 to 2014. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI Summary Statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 11: Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Fatalities, 1995-2014. Figure 11 (next page) shows numbers of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities from 1995 to 2014. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 10. Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2004-2014.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The percentage of alcohol-involved crash fatalities declined from 38.6% in 2013 to 36.9% in 2014 (see Figure 10).

- The percentage of alcohol-involved crash injuries remains unchanged in the last 2 years (see Figure 10).

- The total number of alcohol- and/or drug-involved crash fatalities decreased by 3.8% in 2014, following an increase of 6.2% in 2013. The greatest proportion of these crash fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 11).

- While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by 14% over the past 19 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities increased by 225% over the same time period. Some of the increase in the number of fatalities reported as drug-involved over this time period may be, in part, associated with an increase in training and ability of California law enforcement to detect and report drug involvement in fatal crashes in recent years (see Figure 11).

- Of all 2013 DUI arrests, 14.4% were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 13.8% in 2012. 5.6% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly higher than 5.4% in 2012 (see Table 17).




Figure 11. Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 1995-2014

- The percentage of alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 decreased from 11.0% in 2003 to 8.7% in 2013 (see Table 21).

- Among alcohol- and drug-involved drivers, 42.6% do not have a record of any conviction in connection with their involvement in a fatal/injury crash. In 42.0% of these non-convicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Tables 19 and 20).

- The majorities of drug-involved as well as drug- and alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes are not convicted for DUI associated with the crash and do not have either prior DUI or alcohol- and drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years indicated on their records (see Tables 19 and 23a).

- Over three-fourths (78.0%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, almost two-thirds (62.4%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction.

- The average (mean) BAC level of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes decreased from 0.17% BAC in 2012 to 0.16% BAC in 2013.

TABLE 17: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2003-2013[a]

ARRESTS/ CRASHES	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	14.3%	14.8%	15.8%	15.5%	15.3%	14.2%	13.4%	12.6%	13.0%	13.8%	14.4%
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH FATAL/ INJURY CRASHES	6.1%	6.2%	6.6%	6.3%	6.1%	5.5%	5.2%	4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	5.6%

[a]These data include DUI arrest cases where the driver license was found in the DMV database and whose DUI arrest date matched the crash involvement date found on their driver record.

Table 18: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY									
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		17449	100.0	7153	41.0	6675	38.3	1300	7.5	1292	7.4	1029	5.9
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11884	68.1	4757	40.0	5019	42.2	844	7.1	866	7.3	398	3.3
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1437	8.2	361	25.1	424	29.5	101	7.0	55	3.8	496	34.5
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2166	12.4	879	40.6	724	33.4	222	10.2	264	12.2	77	3.6
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	411[b]	2.4	205	49.9	150	36.5	34	8.3	17	4.1	5	1.2
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1551	8.9	951	61.3	358	23.1	99	6.4	90	5.8	53	3.4

[a] For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2013 California Highway Patrol data files.

[b] 86.6% (356) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

Table 19: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION									
				MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		OTHER CONVICTION	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		15501	100.0	6468	41.7	1919	12.4	501	3.2	0	0.0	7	0.0
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10934	70.5	5993	54.8	1745	16.0	418	3.8	0	0.0	2	0.0
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	736	4.7	64	8.7	13	1.8	3	0.4	0	0.0	1	0.1
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2015	13.0	15	0.7	4	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	387[b]	2.5	67	17.3	34	8.8	11	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1429	9.2	329	23.0	123	8.6	69	4.8	0	0.0	4	0.3

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TYPE OF CONVICTION			
		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
		N	%
TOTAL		6606	42.6
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	2776	25.4
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	655	89.0
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	1996	99.1
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	275	71.1
	DRUG-INVOLVED	904	63.3

[a] For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2013 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

[b] 86.3% (334) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

Table 20: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL

		IMPAIRMENT LEVEL									
		ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)		DRUG-INVOLVED	
COUNTY	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	6606	2776	42.0	655	9.9	1996	30.2	275	4.2	904	13.7
ALAMEDA	257	117	45.5	35	13.6	71	27.6	10	3.9	24	9.3
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	11	8	72.7	0	0.0	2	18.2	0	0.0	1	9.1
BUTTE	45	15	33.3	8	17.8	12	26.7	3	6.7	7	15.6
CALAVERAS	20	6	30.0	2	10.0	6	30.0	1	5.0	5	25.0
COLUSA	8	4	50.0	0	0.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
CONTRA COSTA	146	69	47.3	16	11.0	38	26.0	4	2.7	19	13.0
DEL NORTE	10	5	50.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	3	30.0
EL DORADO	45	19	42.2	5	11.1	10	22.2	3	6.7	8	17.8
FRESNO	138	74	53.6	7	5.1	40	29.0	3	2.2	14	10.1
GLENN	4	2	50.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	69	24	34.8	4	5.8	16	23.2	4	5.8	21	30.4
IMPERIAL	30	10	33.3	2	6.7	11	36.7	1	3.3	6	20.0
INYO	10	6	60.0	0	0.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
KERN	176	84	47.7	14	8.0	33	18.8	11	6.3	34	19.3
KINGS	33	19	57.6	0	0.0	6	18.2	1	3.0	7	21.2
LAKE	29	13	44.8	1	3.4	4	13.8	5	17.2	6	20.7
LASSEN	8	3	37.5	2	25.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	2	25.0
LOS ANGELES	1716	684	39.9	173	10.1	654	38.1	48	2.8	157	9.1
MADERA	49	24	49.0	5	10.2	5	10.2	0	0.0	15	30.6
MARIN	36	8	22.2	5	13.9	14	38.9	1	2.8	8	22.2
MARIPOSA	4	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
MENDOCINO	23	6	26.1	6	26.1	7	30.4	1	4.3	3	13.0
MERCED	81	43	53.1	10	12.3	12	14.8	4	4.9	12	14.8
MODOC	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	54	17	31.5	11	20.4	14	25.9	1	1.9	11	20.4
NAPA	37	12	32.4	4	10.8	18	48.6	1	2.7	2	5.4
NEVADA	38	16	42.1	4	10.5	6	15.8	6	15.8	6	15.8
ORANGE	400	148	37.0	27	6.8	141	35.3	26	6.5	58	14.5
PLACER	40	16	40.0	4	10.0	9	22.5	1	2.5	10	25.0

Table 20: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT LEVEL – continued

		IMPAIRMENT LEVEL									
		ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)		DRUG-INVOLVED	
COUNTY	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
PLUMAS	7	5	71.4	0	0.0	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	400	178	44.5	29	7.3	110	27.5	28	7.0	55	13.8
SACRAMENTO	254	117	46.1	20	7.9	69	27.2	12	4.7	36	14.2
SAN BENITO	25	15	60.0	1	4.0	5	20.0	4	16.0	0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	500	231	46.2	51	10.2	112	22.4	26	5.2	80	16.0
SAN DIEGO	538	228	42.4	55	10.2	178	33.1	18	3.3	59	11.0
SAN FRANCISCO	105	35	33.3	6	5.7	43	41.0	7	6.7	14	13.3
SAN JOAQUIN	112	52	46.4	6	5.4	28	25.0	6	5.4	20	17.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	43	10	23.3	4	9.3	15	34.9	3	7.0	11	25.6
SAN MATEO	90	45	50.0	7	7.8	23	25.6	3	3.3	12	13.3
SANTA BARBARA	81	34	42.0	10	12.3	23	28.4	3	3.7	11	13.6
SANTA CLARA	214	86	40.2	42	19.6	66	30.8	4	1.9	16	7.5
SANTA CRUZ	50	19	38.0	1	2.0	16	32.0	3	6.0	11	22.0
SHASTA	49	20	40.8	2	4.1	12	24.5	3	6.1	12	24.5
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	6	2	33.3	0	0.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	2	33.3
SOLANO	63	27	42.9	9	14.3	14	22.2	2	3.2	11	17.5
SONOMA	80	24	30.0	7	8.8	38	47.5	4	5.0	7	8.8
STANISLAUS	115	53	46.1	12	10.4	22	19.1	3	2.6	25	21.7
SUTTER	22	6	27.3	4	18.2	4	18.2	1	4.5	7	31.8
TEHAMA	18	11	61.1	2	11.1	3	16.7	0	0.0	2	11.1
TRINITY	7	2	28.6	2	28.6	1	14.3	0	0.0	2	28.6
TULARE	94	49	52.1	12	12.8	16	17.0	5	5.3	12	12.8
TUOLUMNE	25	5	20.0	5	20.0	7	28.0	0	0.0	8	32.0
VENTURA	152	50	32.9	17	11.2	40	26.3	3	2.0	42	27.6
YOLO	34	16	47.1	5	14.7	8	23.5	0	0.0	5	14.7
YUBA	2	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 21: ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2003-2013[a]

AGE		2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
TOTAL ALL AGES)	*N*	20632	20847	20818	21031	21045	19604	17874	16501	16231	16325	15892
UNDER 18	*N*	376	409	351	344	369	316	239	233	190	199	174
	%	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1
18-20	*N*	1894	1943	1946	2226	2171	1901	1831	1641	1569	1379	1201
	%	9.2	9.3	9.4	10.6	10.3	9.7	10.2	9.9	9.7	8.4	8.4
UNDER 21	*N*	2270	2352	2297	2570	2540	2217	2070	1874	1759	1578	1375
	%	11.0	11.3	11.0	12.2	12.1	11.3	11.6	11.4	10.8	9.7	8.7

[a]These data are derived from the 2013 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22a: 2013 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	15892	100.0	11868	74.7	4024	25.3
UNDER 18	174	1.1	132	75.9	42	24.1
18-20	1201	8.4	893	74.4	308	25.6
21-30	6477	40.5	4732	73.1	1745	26.9
31-40	2954	17.9	2245	76.0	709	24.0
41-50	2107	13.6	1500	71.2	607	28.8
51-59	1415	8.8	1049	74.1	366	25.9
60-69	641	4.2	511	79.7	130	20.3
70 & ABOVE	224	1.5	161	71.9	63	28.1
AGE UNKNOWN	699	3.8	645	92.3	54	7.7

[a]These data are derived from the 2013 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22b: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	4376	100.0	3218	73.5	1158	26.5
UNDER 18	53	1.2	41	77.4	12	22.6
18-20	287	6.6	217	75.6	70	24.4
21-30	1678	38.3	1251	74.6	427	25.4
31-40	894	20.4	677	75.7	217	24.3
41-50	592	13.5	399	67.4	193	32.6
51-59	461	10.5	324	70.3	137	29.7
60-69	269	6.1	217	80.7	52	19.3
70 & ABOVE	142	3.2	92	64.8	50	35.2

[a]These data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23a: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		15501	100.0	6302	40.7	7033	45.4	1677	10.8	410	2.6	79	0.5
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	10934	70.5	2845	26.0	6183	56.5	1484	13.6	359	3.3	63	0.6
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	736	4.7	544	73.9	141	19.2	40	5.4	7	1.0	4	0.5
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2015	13.0	1811	89.9	170	8.4	24	1.2	8	0.4	2	0.1
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	387	2.5	235	60.7	115	29.7	28	7.2	8	2.1	1	0.3
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1429	9.2	867	60.7	424	29.7	101	7.1	28	2.0	9	0.6

[a]These data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23b: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		4376	100.0	3796	86.7	465	10.6	83	1.9	23	0.5	9	0.2
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	963	22.0	783	81.3	137	14.2	30	3.1	10	1.0	3	0.3
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	612	14.0	505	82.5	87	14.2	16	2.6	2	0.3	2	0.3
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	1923	43.9	1755	91.3	142	7.4	18	0.9	6	0.3	2	0.1
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	224	5.1	181	80.8	36	16.1	5	2.2	2	0.9	0	0.0
	DRUG-INVOLVED	654	14.9	572	87.5	63	9.6	14	2.1	3	0.5	2	0.3

[a]These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 24a: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS[a]

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	15501	100.0	6302	40.7	7033	45.4	1677	10.8	410	2.6	79	0.5
WITH FATALITIES	1159[b]	7.5	904	78.0	203	17.5	37	3.2	11	0.9	4	0.3
WITH INJURIES	14342	92.5	5398	37.6	6830	47.6	1640	11.4	399	2.8	75	0.5

[a]These data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

[b]The records of 69.6% (807) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 24b: 2013 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)[a]

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	4376	100.0	3796	86.7	465	10.6	83	1.9	23	0.5	9	0.2
WITH FATALITIES	960[b]	21.9	813	84.7	114	11.9	21	2.2	8	0.8	4	0.4
WITH INJURIES	3416	78.1	2983	87.3	351	10.3	62	1.8	15	0.4	5	0.1

[a]These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

[b]The records of 83.6% (803) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 25: 2013 REPORTED[a] BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	429	4.2
.01	32	0.3
.02	58	0.6
.03	61	0.6
.04	76	0.8
.05	95	1.0
.06	118	1.2
.07	187	1.9
.08	301	3.1
.09	385	3.9
.10	395	4.0
.11	421	4.3
.12	510	5.2
.13	510	5.2
.14	506	5.1
.15	540	5.5
.16	586	5.9
.17	581	5.9
.18	559	5.7
.19	500	5.1
.20	488	5.0
.21	449	4.6
.22	375	3.8
.23	308	3.1
.24	274	2.8
.25	232	2.4
.26	175	1.8
.27	136	1.4
.28	122	1.2
.29	88	0.9
.30	82	0.8
.31	62	0.6
.32	38	0.4
.33	42	0.4
.34	31	0.3
.35	23	0.2
.36	13	0.1
.37	13	0.1
.38	11	0.1
.39	8	0.0
.40	3	0.0
.41	6	0.0
.42	2	0.0
.43	1	0.0
.44	3	0.0
.45	1	0.0
.46	1	0.0
.48	1	0.0
.49	1	0.0
TOTAL	9860	100.0

MEAN[b] BAC .16
MEDIAN[b] BAC .16

[a]The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers (59.1% of the records showed BAC levels).

[b]The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be related to drug-involved drivers.

REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2016). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.

California Highway Patrol. (n.d.). *2013 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Retrieved March 3, 2016, from http://www.chp.ca.gov/switrs/ index.html

DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Guenzburger, G. V., & Atkinson, D. B. (2012). *Differences between jail sentences and jail terms actually served among DUI offenders in selected Californian counties* (Report No. 239). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2011). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 233). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2012). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 236). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2013). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 243). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S. (2014). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 246). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., Tashima, H. N., & Grippe, R. (2015). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 250). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving, 7*(1), 13-42.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

SB 1046 (Hill), effective 1/1/2017, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties, authorized by AB 91, until January 1, 2019. In addition, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill allows persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI conviction and requires persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI conviction with injury and persons convicted of a repeat alcohol-related DUI conviction to install an ignition interlock device for a specific period of time (relative to the type of DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations) and to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation. This bill would require ignition interlock device manufacturers to adopt a fee schedule that provides for the payment of the cost of the ignition interlock device in amounts proportionate to the offenders' income relative to the federal poverty level. The bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to report data to the California State Transportation Agency regarding the implementation and efficacy of the ignition interlock program enacted by provisions of this law by March 1, 2024.

SB 61 (Hill), effective 1/1/2016, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties authorized by AB 91 law until July 1, 2017. This pilot program mandates the use of an ignition interlock device for all persons convicted of CVC Sections 23152 and 23153 in the four pilot counties during the pilot program implementation period.

AB 2552 (Torres), effective 1/1/2014, amends and repeals Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to separate and define distinctly the offenses of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, drug, or combined influence of alcohol and drugs, including causing bodily injury while committing any of these offenses.

AB 2020 (Pan), effective 1/1/2013, removes the option to choose a urine test to determine the drug content level for a person lawfully arrested for driving under the influence of drugs or the combination of alcohol and drugs. The bill specifies that the person's only options are a blood or breath test. A person consents to a urine test if a blood test is unavailable or if the person is exempted from a blood test for medical reasons.

AB 520 (Ammiano), effective 1/1/2012, allows persons convicted of alcohol-reckless driving and who have no more than two prior alcohol-related convictions within 10 years, to obtain an IID

restricted license after completing a 90-day APS suspension period, if they enroll in a 9-month DUI program, provide proof of financial responsibility, pay the necessary fees, and provide proof of IID installation. The license restriction remains in effect for the remainder of the 12-month APS suspension period.

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three-or-more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to DMV for driver license reinstatement, 5 years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol–related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year Administrative Per Se (APS) license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver’s license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver’s license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender who is on probation and is driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the Penal Code 192(c)(3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the

age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill remained operative until January 1, 2009, and required the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which convictions considered as prior for DUI will be counted for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing APS and DUI-related post-conviction driver license actions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that for all persons convicted of a DUI, license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege are DMV's responsibility.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within 7 years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with a BAC of 0.05% or more, to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program. Upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury. This remedies an oversight in the law which provided for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but did not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device. It also requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and DUI program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for 1 year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under CVC Section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under Section 23575(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain CVC sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurtt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for 4 years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within 7 years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to 2 years revocation for the second offense in 7 years and 3 years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC Section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (CVC 23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (CVC 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month APS suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, CVC 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for 1 to 3 years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of CVC 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for 1 year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within 7 years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of 1 to 3 years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation

officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for 1 year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the driver's licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed APS suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends CVC Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of CVC 2800.2 or 2800.3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within 7 years of a violation of CVC Sections 23103, 23152, 23153, or Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases and permits the court to grant subjects revoked for three-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation period have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS

action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under CVC Sections 13353 and 13353.2 to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed $100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within 7 years of two or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153, or a violation of CVC 23153 which occurred within 7 years of one or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender

programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than 1 year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay issuing the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for 1 year, or delay issuing the driving privilege of those 13 years or older for 1 year, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than 1 year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Penal Code Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from 5 to 7 years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from 1 year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three-or-more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within 5 years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for

impoundment is required for second offenses within 5 years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (CVC Sections 23152, 23153).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for 1 year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of $390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are assigned to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from 7 to 5 years. Conviction of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer

license actions and jail terms) than misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for 1 year and a license restriction for 2 years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver "had-been-drinking (HBD)."

ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction refers to a conviction of the California Vehicle Code (VC) Section VC 23103.5 of reckless driving involving alcohol and/or drugs. It is typically associated with DUI arrests with weaker circumstances (for example, BAC level lower than or close to .08%) and results in lesser penalties and sanctions than a DUI conviction. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction refers to a violation of a specific California Vehicle Code Section reported by courts to DMV in the abstract of conviction. Since courts' abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed, the conviction totals reported here are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total number of DUI arrests in a given calendar year that resulted in DUI convictions (total DUI convictions/total DUI arrests * 100).

IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE

Alcohol breath testing device connected to the ignition system of a vehicle that tests for alcohol on a driver's breath. A person must blow into the device and provide a breath sample. If the breath sample indicates a BAC level above a predetermined level, the vehicle will not start.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

P

P stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found or one larger would occur by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely to have been by chance alone.

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. Relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with
Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to

driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		154743	118993	76.9	35750	23.1	56137	36.3	71057	45.9	13759	8.9	13790	8.9
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	6	40.0	5	33.3	1	6.7	3	20.0
	18-20	348	262	75.3	86	24.7	77	22.1	158	45.4	53	15.2	60	17.2
	21-30	2726	2006	73.6	720	26.4	685	25.1	1033	37.9	482	17.7	526	19.3
	31-40	1595	1225	76.8	370	23.2	396	24.8	532	33.4	399	25.0	268	16.8
	41-50	924	711	76.9	213	23.1	274	29.7	264	28.6	263	28.5	123	13.3
	51-60	614	497	80.9	117	19.1	233	37.9	129	21.0	188	30.6	64	10.4
	61-70	147	118	80.3	29	19.7	69	46.9	22	15.0	39	26.5	17	11.6
	71 & ABOVE	36	27	75.0	9	25.0	18	50.0	2	5.6	11	30.6	5	13.9
	TOTAL	6405	4860	75.9	1545	24.1	1758	27.4	2145	33.5	1436	22.4	1066	16.6
ALPINE	18-20	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	15	78.9	3	15.8	0	0.0	1	5.3
AMADOR	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	53	37	69.8	16	30.2	40	75.5	9	17.0	1	1.9	3	5.7
	31-40	44	32	72.7	12	27.3	35	79.5	7	15.9	2	4.5	0	0.0
	41-50	42	32	76.2	10	23.8	37	88.1	4	9.5	1	2.4	0	0.0
	51-60	32	21	65.6	11	34.4	27	84.4	4	12.5	1	3.1	0	0.0
	61-70	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	198	141	71.2	57	28.8	161	81.3	29	14.6	5	2.5	3	1.5
BUTTE	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	3	50.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	71	54	76.1	17	23.9	51	71.8	14	19.7	2	2.8	4	5.6
	21-30	490	360	73.5	130	26.5	363	74.1	82	16.7	18	3.7	27	5.5
	31-40	233	165	70.8	68	29.2	179	76.8	27	11.6	16	6.9	11	4.7
	41-50	187	132	70.6	55	29.4	150	80.2	25	13.4	3	1.6	9	4.8
	51-60	140	94	67.1	46	32.9	113	80.7	11	7.9	4	2.9	12	8.6
	61-70	64	50	78.1	14	21.9	55	85.9	5	7.8	2	3.1	2	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	22	18	81.8	4	18.2	19	86.4	2	9.1	0	0.0	1	4.5
	TOTAL	1213	877	72.3	336	27.7	933	76.9	168	13.8	45	3.7	67	5.5

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CALAVERAS	18-20	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	80	67	83.8	13	16.2	64	80.0	11	13.8	3	3.8	2	2.5
	31-40	55	40	72.7	15	27.3	52	94.5	2	3.6	0	0.0	1	1.8
	41-50	57	37	64.9	20	35.1	54	94.7	1	1.8	0	0.0	2	3.5
	51-60	44	29	65.9	15	34.1	36	81.8	7	15.9	1	2.3	0	0.0
	61-70	27	20	74.1	7	25.9	26	96.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	284	209	73.6	75	26.4	252	88.7	22	7.7	4	1.4	6	2.1
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	3	27.3	7	63.6	0	0.0	1	9.1
	21-30	59	46	78.0	13	22.0	28	47.5	29	49.2	0	0.0	2	3.4
	31-40	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	16	39.0	22	53.7	1	2.4	2	4.9
	41-50	32	27	84.4	5	15.6	17	53.1	12	37.5	1	3.1	2	6.3
	51-60	30	27	90.0	3	10.0	16	53.3	13	43.3	0	0.0	1	3.3
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	181	150	82.9	31	17.1	87	48.1	84	46.4	2	1.1	8	4.4
CONTRA	UNDER 18	20	13	65.0	7	35.0	9	45.0	9	45.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
COSTA	18-20	143	106	74.1	37	25.9	50	35.0	57	39.9	13	9.1	23	16.1
	21-30	1374	1037	75.5	337	24.5	495	36.0	500	36.4	177	12.9	202	14.7
	31-40	842	656	77.9	186	22.1	268	31.8	300	35.6	172	20.4	102	12.1
	41-50	565	431	76.3	134	23.7	239	42.3	159	28.1	109	19.3	58	10.3
	51-60	361	261	72.3	100	27.7	200	55.4	73	20.2	66	18.3	22	6.1
	61-70	106	84	79.2	22	20.8	69	65.1	8	7.5	19	17.9	10	9.4
	71 & ABOVE	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	11	52.4	4	19.0	3	14.3	3	14.3
	TOTAL	3432	2607	76.0	825	24.0	1341	39.1	1110	32.3	559	16.3	422	12.3
DEL NORTE	18-20	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6
	21-30	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	28	66.7	6	14.3	1	2.4	7	16.7
	31-40	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	15	75.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	41-50	23	13	56.5	10	43.5	19	82.6	2	8.7	0	0.0	2	8.7
	51-60	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	19	86.4	1	4.5	0	0.0	2	9.1
	61-70	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	124	87	70.2	37	29.8	95	76.6	12	9.7	1	0.8	16	12.9

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	48	39	81.3	9	18.8	32	66.7	13	27.1	1	2.1	2	4.2
	21-30	393	285	72.5	108	27.5	311	79.1	52	13.2	5	1.3	25	6.4
	31-40	179	133	74.3	46	25.7	140	78.2	20	11.2	5	2.8	14	7.8
	41-50	143	97	67.8	46	32.2	123	86.0	14	9.8	5	3.5	1	0.7
	51-60	166	129	77.7	37	22.3	140	84.3	11	6.6	4	2.4	11	6.6
	61-70	48	36	75.0	12	25.0	47	97.9	1	2.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	987	726	73.6	261	26.4	801	81.2	112	11.3	20	2.0	54	5.5
FRESNO	UNDER 18	28	22	78.6	6	21.4	10	35.7	13	46.4	1	3.6	4	14.3
	18-20	342	280	81.9	62	18.1	66	19.3	231	67.5	12	3.5	33	9.6
	21-30	2280	1808	79.3	472	20.7	462	20.3	1520	66.7	97	4.3	201	8.8
	31-40	1173	954	81.3	219	18.7	251	21.4	763	65.0	78	6.6	81	6.9
	41-50	711	553	77.8	158	22.2	175	24.6	445	62.6	44	6.2	47	6.6
	51-60	429	348	81.1	81	18.9	154	35.9	206	48.0	42	9.8	27	6.3
	61-70	127	108	85.0	19	15.0	60	47.2	45	35.4	12	9.4	10	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	14	60.9	9	39.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5113	4094	80.1	1019	19.9	1192	23.3	3232	63.2	286	5.6	403	7.9
GLENN	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	11	61.1	7	38.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	71	57	80.3	14	19.7	37	52.1	30	42.3	0	0.0	4	5.6
	31-40	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	23	45.1	26	51.0	0	0.0	2	3.9
	41-50	33	27	81.8	6	18.2	13	39.4	16	48.5	1	3.0	3	9.1
	51-60	42	31	73.8	11	26.2	24	57.1	9	21.4	2	4.8	7	16.7
	61-70	13	10	76.9	3	23.1	10	76.9	2	15.4	0	0.0	1	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	234	183	78.2	51	21.8	124	53.0	90	38.5	3	1.3	17	7.3
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	2	0	0.0	2	100.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	51	39	76.5	12	23.5	35	68.6	10	19.6	2	3.9	4	7.8
	21-30	414	309	74.6	105	25.4	337	81.4	34	8.2	11	2.7	32	7.7
	31-40	243	179	73.7	64	26.3	197	81.1	18	7.4	6	2.5	22	9.1
	41-50	137	95	69.3	42	30.7	110	80.3	10	7.3	4	2.9	13	9.5
	51-60	102	71	69.6	31	30.4	84	82.4	5	4.9	5	4.9	8	7.8
	61-70	45	30	66.7	15	33.3	42	93.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	998	726	72.7	272	27.3	810	81.2	78	7.8	28	2.8	82	8.2

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	60	47	78.3	13	21.7	8	13.3	47	78.3	4	6.7	1	1.7
	21-30	329	248	75.4	81	24.6	35	10.6	273	83.0	14	4.3	7	2.1
	31-40	165	121	73.3	44	26.7	21	12.7	135	81.8	2	1.2	7	4.2
	41-50	115	95	82.6	20	17.4	26	22.6	79	68.7	8	7.0	2	1.7
	51-60	78	67	85.9	11	14.1	26	33.3	48	61.5	4	5.1	0	0.0
	61-70	31	26	83.9	5	16.1	14	45.2	15	48.4	1	3.2	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	6	66.7	3	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	790	614	77.7	176	22.3	137	17.3	602	76.2	33	4.2	18	2.3
INYO	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	6	46.2	1	7.7	0	0.0	6	46.2
	21-30	55	42	76.4	13	23.6	25	45.5	15	27.3	1	1.8	14	25.5
	31-40	45	28	62.2	17	37.8	24	53.3	6	13.3	4	8.9	11	24.4
	41-50	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	23	57.5	10	25.0	0	0.0	7	17.5
	51-60	41	29	70.7	12	29.3	39	95.1	0	0.0	1	2.4	1	2.4
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	33.3
	TOTAL	210	156	74.3	54	25.7	130	61.9	32	15.2	6	2.9	42	20.0
KERN	UNDER 18	18	17	94.4	1	5.6	5	27.8	13	72.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	317	276	87.1	41	12.9	104	32.8	187	59.0	14	4.4	12	3.8
	21-30	1814	1484	81.8	330	18.2	556	30.7	1094	60.3	112	6.2	52	2.9
	31-40	979	791	80.8	188	19.2	342	34.9	527	53.8	83	8.5	27	2.8
	41-50	645	506	78.4	139	21.6	262	40.6	293	45.4	74	11.5	16	2.5
	51-60	381	294	77.2	87	22.8	190	49.9	127	33.3	53	13.9	11	2.9
	61-70	116	92	79.3	24	20.7	69	59.5	29	25.0	15	12.9	3	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	10	52.6	2	10.5	6	31.6	1	5.3
	TOTAL	4289	3475	81.0	814	19.0	1538	35.9	2272	53.0	357	8.3	122	2.8
KINGS	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	18-20	65	56	86.2	9	13.8	10	15.4	43	66.2	4	6.2	8	12.3
	21-30	427	351	82.2	76	17.8	97	22.7	219	51.3	26	6.1	85	19.9
	31-40	209	153	73.2	56	26.8	39	18.7	128	61.2	10	4.8	32	15.3
	41-50	153	123	80.4	30	19.6	47	30.7	76	49.7	12	7.8	18	11.8
	51-60	103	84	81.6	19	18.4	35	34.0	54	52.4	7	6.8	7	6.8
	61-70	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	11	47.8	8	34.8	1	4.3	3	13.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	987	795	80.5	192	19.5	242	24.5	530	53.7	60	6.1	155	15.7

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	6	2	33.3	4	66.7	3	50.0	2	33.3	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	10	45.5	9	40.9	1	4.5	2	9.1
	21-30	129	92	71.3	37	28.7	85	65.9	29	22.5	3	2.3	12	9.3
	31-40	82	63	76.8	19	23.2	56	68.3	16	19.5	4	4.9	6	7.3
	41-50	49	35	71.4	14	28.6	38	77.6	6	12.2	1	2.0	4	8.2
	51-60	52	29	55.8	23	44.2	46	88.5	3	5.8	0	0.0	3	5.8
	61-70	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	25	86.2	2	6.9	1	3.4	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	TOTAL	375	265	70.7	110	29.3	268	71.5	67	17.9	10	2.7	30	8.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	7	77.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2
	21-30	59	40	67.8	19	32.2	49	83.1	4	6.8	1	1.7	5	8.5
	31-40	33	25	75.8	8	24.2	25	75.8	4	12.1	0	0.0	4	12.1
	41-50	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	25	96.2	1	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	35	25	71.4	10	28.6	32	91.4	1	2.9	0	0.0	2	5.7
	61-70	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	18	81.8	1	4.5	1	4.5	2	9.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	188	143	76.1	45	23.9	160	85.1	11	5.9	2	1.1	15	8.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	74	63	85.1	11	14.9	16	21.6	44	59.5	3	4.1	11	14.9
	18-20	1809	1404	77.6	405	22.4	314	17.4	1191	65.8	133	7.4	171	9.5
	21-30	16226	12103	74.6	4123	25.4	3177	19.6	9731	60.0	1651	10.2	1667	10.3
	31-40	8700	6850	78.7	1850	21.3	1640	18.9	5090	58.5	1122	12.9	848	9.7
	41-50	5313	4348	81.8	965	18.2	1191	22.4	2832	53.3	862	16.2	428	8.1
	51-60	3030	2609	86.1	421	13.9	861	28.4	1301	42.9	642	21.2	226	7.5
	61-70	841	718	85.4	123	14.6	280	33.3	294	35.0	181	21.5	86	10.2
	71 & ABOVE	132	107	81.1	25	18.9	67	50.8	21	15.9	33	25.0	11	8.3
	TOTAL	36125	28202	78.1	7923	21.9	7546	20.9	20504	56.8	4627	12.8	3448	9.5
MADERA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	60	51	85.0	9	15.0	8	13.3	50	83.3	0	0.0	2	3.3
	21-30	373	335	89.8	38	10.2	79	21.2	277	74.3	7	1.9	10	2.7
	31-40	188	166	88.3	22	11.7	41	21.8	141	75.0	1	0.5	5	2.7
	41-50	128	105	82.0	23	18.0	43	33.6	73	57.0	9	7.0	3	2.3
	51-60	81	55	67.9	26	32.1	52	64.2	24	29.6	4	4.9	1	1.2
	61-70	32	28	87.5	4	12.5	17	53.1	14	43.8	1	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	871	748	85.9	123	14.1	244	28.0	584	67.0	22	2.5	21	2.4

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	61	47	77.0	14	23.0	28	45.9	29	47.5	1	1.6	3	4.9
	21-30	493	373	75.7	120	24.3	239	48.5	178	36.1	31	6.3	45	9.1
	31-40	286	235	82.2	51	17.8	126	44.1	124	43.4	16	5.6	20	7.0
	41-50	263	198	75.3	65	24.7	176	66.9	60	22.8	13	4.9	14	5.3
	51-60	156	108	69.2	48	30.8	128	82.1	12	7.7	10	6.4	6	3.8
	61-70	80	52	65.0	28	35.0	70	87.5	3	3.8	3	3.8	4	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	23	15	65.2	8	34.8	19	82.6	1	4.3	3	13.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1369	1034	75.5	335	24.5	790	57.7	410	29.9	77	5.6	92	6.7
MARIPOSA	18-20	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	2	33.3	1	16.7	0	0.0
	21-30	13	13	100.0	0	0.0	11	84.6	2	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	6	66.7	2	22.2	0	0.0	1	11.1
	41-50	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	5	62.5	3	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	20	90.9	1	4.5	0	0.0	1	4.5
	61-70	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	64	56	87.5	8	12.5	51	79.7	10	15.6	1	1.6	2	3.1
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	11	61.1	4	22.2	1	5.6	2	11.1
	21-30	174	144	82.8	30	17.2	108	62.1	50	28.7	1	0.6	15	8.6
	31-40	115	89	77.4	26	22.6	80	69.6	18	15.7	4	3.5	13	11.3
	41-50	92	78	84.8	14	15.2	56	60.9	30	32.6	1	1.1	5	5.4
	51-60	63	42	66.7	21	33.3	53	84.1	7	11.1	1	1.6	2	3.2
	61-70	37	28	75.7	9	24.3	31	83.8	3	8.1	0	0.0	3	8.1
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	506	403	79.6	103	20.4	342	67.6	114	22.5	8	1.6	42	8.3
MERCED	UNDER 18	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	1	16.7	4	66.7	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	97	81	83.5	16	16.5	11	11.3	75	77.3	3	3.1	8	8.2
	21-30	567	458	80.8	109	19.2	119	21.0	392	69.1	20	3.5	36	6.3
	31-40	263	219	83.3	44	16.7	51	19.4	182	69.2	10	3.8	20	7.6
	41-50	155	120	77.4	35	22.6	47	30.3	88	56.8	11	7.1	9	5.8
	51-60	92	74	80.4	18	19.6	34	37.0	45	48.9	9	9.8	4	4.3
	61-70	44	34	77.3	10	22.7	16	36.4	21	47.7	4	9.1	3	6.8
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1229	996	81.0	233	19.0	281	22.9	809	65.8	58	4.7	81	6.6

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	18-20	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6
	21-30	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	7	53.8	4	30.8	0	0.0	2	15.4
	31-40	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	41-50	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	12	75.0	3	18.8	0	0.0	1	6.3
	51-60	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	9	81.8	1	9.1	0	0.0	1	9.1
	61-70	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	7	77.8	1	11.1	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	66	54	81.8	12	18.2	49	74.2	9	13.6	1	1.5	7	10.6
MONO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	26	18	69.2	8	30.8	15	57.7	8	30.8	0	0.0	3	11.5
	31-40	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	12	80.0	3	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	61-70	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	5	83.3	0	0.0	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	71	53	74.6	18	25.4	52	73.2	14	19.7	1	1.4	4	5.6
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	3	25.0	8	66.7	1	8.3	0	0.0
	18-20	162	129	79.6	33	20.4	28	17.3	120	74.1	8	4.9	6	3.7
	21-30	1034	844	81.6	190	18.4	257	24.9	707	68.4	35	3.4	35	3.4
	31-40	497	398	80.1	99	19.9	103	20.7	348	70.0	27	5.4	19	3.8
	41-50	258	212	82.2	46	17.8	84	32.6	149	57.8	21	8.1	4	1.6
	51-60	195	149	76.4	46	23.6	107	54.9	71	36.4	9	4.6	8	4.1
	61-70	58	46	79.3	12	20.7	37	63.8	19	32.8	2	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	10	90.9	0	0.0	1	9.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2227	1799	80.8	428	19.2	629	28.2	1422	63.9	104	4.7	72	3.2
NAPA	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	32	78.0	9	22.0	16	39.0	23	56.1	1	2.4	1	2.4
	21-30	365	292	80.0	73	20.0	169	46.3	160	43.8	13	3.6	23	6.3
	31-40	192	153	79.7	39	20.3	74	38.5	94	49.0	9	4.7	15	7.8
	41-50	146	116	79.5	30	20.5	74	50.7	60	41.1	7	4.8	5	3.4
	51-60	129	95	73.6	34	26.4	93	72.1	26	20.2	3	2.3	7	5.4
	61-70	34	26	76.5	8	23.5	24	70.6	7	20.6	2	5.9	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	11	8	72.7	3	27.3	9	81.8	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	9.1
	TOTAL	921	724	78.6	197	21.4	459	49.8	373	40.5	36	3.9	53	5.8

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	13	81.3	2	12.5	0	0.0	1	6.3
	21-30	171	135	78.9	36	21.1	151	88.3	16	9.4	2	1.2	2	1.2
	31-40	116	89	76.7	27	23.3	100	86.2	11	9.5	3	2.6	2	1.7
	41-50	92	59	64.1	33	35.9	83	90.2	5	5.4	1	1.1	3	3.3
	51-60	86	56	65.1	30	34.9	82	95.3	2	2.3	2	2.3	0	0.0
	61-70	31	22	71.0	9	29.0	30	96.8	1	3.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	519	378	72.8	141	27.2	466	89.8	37	7.1	8	1.5	8	1.5
ORANGE	UNDER 18	41	32	78.0	9	22.0	16	39.0	16	39.0	3	7.3	6	14.6
	18-20	776	568	73.2	208	26.8	272	35.1	387	49.9	17	2.2	100	12.9
	21-30	5860	4285	73.1	1575	26.9	2129	36.3	2807	47.9	219	3.7	705	12.0
	31-40	2715	2128	78.4	587	21.6	977	36.0	1292	47.6	99	3.6	347	12.8
	41-50	1756	1312	74.7	444	25.3	834	47.5	667	38.0	56	3.2	199	11.3
	51-60	1120	817	72.9	303	27.1	717	64.0	288	25.7	34	3.0	81	7.2
	61-70	306	224	73.2	82	26.8	204	66.7	56	18.3	8	2.6	38	12.4
	71 & ABOVE	46	35	76.1	11	23.9	36	78.3	5	10.9	1	2.2	4	8.7
	TOTAL	12620	9401	74.5	3219	25.5	5185	41.1	5518	43.7	437	3.5	1480	11.7
PLACER	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	97	78	80.4	19	19.6	73	75.3	17	17.5	5	5.2	2	2.1
	21-30	610	455	74.6	155	25.4	449	73.6	98	16.1	26	4.3	37	6.1
	31-40	320	230	71.9	90	28.1	222	69.4	60	18.8	21	6.6	17	5.3
	41-50	261	183	70.1	78	29.9	207	79.3	40	15.3	4	1.5	10	3.8
	51-60	188	122	64.9	66	35.1	164	87.2	8	4.3	9	4.8	7	3.7
	61-70	71	45	63.4	26	36.6	65	91.5	2	2.8	2	2.8	2	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	21	14	66.7	7	33.3	18	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	14.3
	TOTAL	1571	1130	71.9	441	28.1	1201	76.4	225	14.3	67	4.3	78	5.0
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	35	94.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.4
	31-40	19	12	63.2	7	36.8	16	84.2	3	15.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	19	13	68.4	6	31.6	16	84.2	1	5.3	0	0.0	2	10.5
	51-60	26	16	61.5	10	38.5	24	92.3	1	3.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	124	92	74.2	32	25.8	112	90.3	7	5.6	0	0.0	5	4.0

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	20	18	90.0	2	10.0	9	45.0	9	45.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	18-20	579	474	81.9	105	18.1	133	23.0	394	68.0	22	3.8	30	5.2
	21-30	4339	3354	77.3	985	22.7	1186	27.3	2682	61.8	292	6.7	179	4.1
	31-40	2161	1676	77.6	485	22.4	604	28.0	1308	60.5	161	7.5	88	4.1
	41-50	1321	1018	77.1	303	22.9	495	37.5	649	49.1	123	9.3	54	4.1
	51-60	875	681	77.8	194	22.2	432	49.4	328	37.5	80	9.1	35	4.0
	61-70	244	186	76.2	58	23.8	146	59.8	74	30.3	15	6.1	9	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	62	47	75.8	15	24.2	48	77.4	10	16.1	2	3.2	2	3.2
	TOTAL	9601	7454	77.6	2147	22.4	3053	31.8	5454	56.8	695	7.2	399	4.2
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	359	266	74.1	93	25.9	145	40.4	132	36.8	37	10.3	45	12.5
	21-30	2938	2070	70.5	868	29.5	1199	40.8	806	27.4	448	15.2	485	16.5
	31-40	1403	1026	73.1	377	26.9	601	42.8	370	26.4	247	17.6	185	13.2
	41-50	914	646	70.7	268	29.3	444	48.6	191	20.9	184	20.1	95	10.4
	51-60	545	397	72.8	148	27.2	325	59.6	64	11.7	117	21.5	39	7.2
	61-70	163	124	76.1	39	23.9	102	62.6	17	10.4	28	17.2	16	9.8
	71 & ABOVE	33	26	78.8	7	21.2	26	78.8	1	3.0	3	9.1	3	9.1
	TOTAL	6361	4560	71.7	1801	28.3	2845	44.7	1584	24.9	1064	16.7	868	13.6
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	3	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	9	25.0	26	72.2	0	0.0	1	2.8
	21-30	149	111	74.5	38	25.5	30	20.1	113	75.8	1	0.7	5	3.4
	31-40	75	58	77.3	17	22.7	18	24.0	54	72.0	1	1.3	2	2.7
	41-50	52	35	67.3	17	32.7	20	38.5	32	61.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	10	33.3	20	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	354	266	75.1	88	24.9	94	26.6	250	70.6	2	0.6	8	2.3
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	29	17	58.6	12	41.4	7	24.1	18	62.1	1	3.4	3	10.3
	18-20	580	479	82.6	101	17.4	125	21.6	385	66.4	40	6.9	30	5.2
	21-30	4009	3157	78.7	852	21.3	1089	27.2	2326	58.0	400	10.0	194	4.8
	31-40	2106	1675	79.5	431	20.5	609	28.9	1147	54.5	269	12.8	81	3.8
	41-50	1399	1109	79.3	290	20.7	493	35.2	642	45.9	200	14.3	64	4.6
	51-60	842	681	80.9	161	19.1	392	46.6	275	32.7	134	15.9	41	4.9
	61-70	245	205	83.7	40	16.3	123	50.2	69	28.2	43	17.6	10	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	46	34	73.9	12	26.1	27	58.7	11	23.9	5	10.9	3	6.5
	TOTAL	9256	7357	79.5	1899	20.5	2865	31.0	4873	52.6	1092	11.8	426	4.6

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	39	26	66.7	13	33.3	19	48.7	18	46.2	1	2.6	1	2.6
	18-20	662	510	77.0	152	23.0	242	36.6	327	49.4	39	5.9	54	8.2
	21-30	5182	3856	74.4	1326	25.6	2166	41.8	2132	41.1	418	8.1	466	9.0
	31-40	2294	1736	75.7	558	24.3	968	42.2	931	40.6	189	8.2	206	9.0
	41-50	1568	1172	74.7	396	25.3	792	50.5	529	33.7	129	8.2	118	7.5
	51-60	1025	727	70.9	298	29.1	648	63.2	257	25.1	67	6.5	53	5.2
	61-70	309	239	77.3	70	22.7	223	72.2	54	17.5	18	5.8	14	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	41	28	68.3	13	31.7	31	75.6	3	7.3	4	9.8	3	7.3
	TOTAL	11120	8294	74.6	2826	25.4	5089	45.8	4251	38.2	865	7.8	915	8.2
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	40	27	67.5	13	32.5	9	22.5	12	30.0	7	17.5	12	30.0
	21-30	512	360	70.3	152	29.7	188	36.7	94	18.4	70	13.7	160	31.3
	31-40	280	208	74.3	72	25.7	122	43.6	48	17.1	41	14.6	69	24.6
	41-50	131	102	77.9	29	22.1	69	52.7	17	13.0	14	10.7	31	23.7
	51-60	84	69	82.1	15	17.9	42	50.0	12	14.3	21	25.0	9	10.7
	61-70	27	21	77.8	6	22.2	16	59.3	2	7.4	3	11.1	6	22.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1075	788	73.3	287	26.7	447	41.6	185	17.2	156	14.5	287	26.7
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	3	30.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	3	30.0
	18-20	164	133	81.1	31	18.9	40	24.4	100	61.0	5	3.0	19	11.6
	21-30	1063	819	77.0	244	23.0	301	28.3	541	50.9	77	7.2	144	13.5
	31-40	521	406	77.9	115	22.1	127	24.4	286	54.9	62	11.9	46	8.8
	41-50	329	258	78.4	71	21.6	123	37.4	141	42.9	44	13.4	21	6.4
	51-60	265	221	83.4	44	16.6	117	44.2	82	30.9	50	18.9	16	6.0
	61-70	68	60	88.2	8	11.8	35	51.5	20	29.4	7	10.3	6	8.8
	71 & ABOVE	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	6	40.0	4	26.7	2	13.3	3	20.0
	TOTAL	2435	1918	78.8	517	21.2	752	30.9	1178	48.4	247	10.1	258	10.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	1	16.7	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	120	87	72.5	33	27.5	68	56.7	38	31.7	4	3.3	10	8.3
	21-30	867	642	74.0	225	26.0	516	59.5	282	32.5	21	2.4	48	5.5
	31-40	394	298	75.6	96	24.4	256	65.0	104	26.4	11	2.8	23	5.8
	41-50	307	221	72.0	86	28.0	213	69.4	81	26.4	4	1.3	9	2.9
	51-60	246	172	69.9	74	30.1	198	80.5	36	14.6	7	2.8	5	2.0
	61-70	90	61	67.8	29	32.2	77	85.6	8	8.9	1	1.1	4	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2038	1492	73.2	546	26.8	1340	65.8	550	27.0	48	2.4	100	4.9

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	10	66.7	3	20.0	0	0.0	2	13.3
	18-20	145	108	74.5	37	25.5	40	27.6	77	53.1	4	2.8	24	16.6
	21-30	1175	897	76.3	278	23.7	352	30.0	471	40.1	59	5.0	293	24.9
	31-40	669	526	78.6	143	21.4	218	32.6	261	39.0	29	4.3	161	24.1
	41-50	388	305	78.6	83	21.4	182	46.9	131	33.8	9	2.3	66	17.0
	51-60	268	209	78.0	59	22.0	162	60.4	58	21.6	20	7.5	28	10.4
	61-70	108	88	81.5	20	18.5	73	67.6	18	16.7	2	1.9	15	13.9
	71 & ABOVE	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	17	81.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	19.0
	TOTAL	2789	2162	77.5	627	22.5	1054	37.8	1019	36.5	123	4.4	593	21.3
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	200	157	78.5	43	21.5	71	35.5	117	58.5	3	1.5	9	4.5
	21-30	1049	833	79.4	216	20.6	370	35.3	582	55.5	33	3.1	64	6.1
	31-40	438	354	80.8	84	19.2	156	35.6	253	57.8	13	3.0	16	3.7
	41-50	291	229	78.7	62	21.3	135	46.4	133	45.7	9	3.1	14	4.8
	51-60	238	179	75.2	59	24.8	142	59.7	82	34.5	6	2.5	8	3.4
	61-70	72	52	72.2	20	27.8	57	79.2	11	15.3	0	0.0	4	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	14	77.8	3	16.7	1	5.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2314	1825	78.9	489	21.1	946	40.9	1188	51.3	65	2.8	115	5.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	24	15	62.5	9	37.5	8	33.3	15	62.5	0	0.0	1	4.2
	18-20	371	284	76.5	87	23.5	96	25.9	209	56.3	11	3.0	55	14.8
	21-30	2489	1877	75.4	612	24.6	646	26.0	1223	49.1	153	6.1	467	18.8
	31-40	1180	964	81.7	216	18.3	280	23.7	633	53.6	61	5.2	206	17.5
	41-50	707	550	77.8	157	22.2	233	33.0	304	43.0	38	5.4	132	18.7
	51-60	408	297	72.8	111	27.2	203	49.8	141	34.6	24	5.9	40	9.8
	61-70	137	117	85.4	20	14.6	79	57.7	33	24.1	8	5.8	17	12.4
	71 & ABOVE	22	14	63.6	8	36.4	14	63.6	4	18.2	0	0.0	4	18.2
	TOTAL	5338	4118	77.1	1220	22.9	1559	29.2	2562	48.0	295	5.5	922	17.3
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	3	42.9	3	42.9	0	0.0	1	14.3
	18-20	107	76	71.0	31	29.0	43	40.2	56	52.3	0	0.0	8	7.5
	21-30	666	499	74.9	167	25.1	333	50.0	284	42.6	11	1.7	38	5.7
	31-40	277	208	75.1	69	24.9	163	58.8	102	36.8	1	0.4	11	4.0
	41-50	165	113	68.5	52	31.5	116	70.3	45	27.3	1	0.6	3	1.8
	51-60	142	98	69.0	44	31.0	106	74.6	25	17.6	3	2.1	8	5.6
	61-70	54	44	81.5	10	18.5	48	88.9	5	9.3	1	1.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1424	1048	73.6	376	26.4	818	57.4	520	36.5	17	1.2	69	4.8

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	1	16.7	1	16.7	1	16.7
	18-20	38	31	81.6	7	18.4	32	84.2	4	10.5	0	0.0	2	5.3
	21-30	282	212	75.2	70	24.8	233	82.6	20	7.1	7	2.5	22	7.8
	31-40	170	120	70.6	50	29.4	145	85.3	11	6.5	5	2.9	9	5.3
	41-50	121	85	70.2	36	29.8	107	88.4	7	5.8	3	2.5	4	3.3
	51-60	105	77	73.3	28	26.7	99	94.3	1	1.0	1	1.0	4	3.8
	61-70	43	37	86.0	6	14.0	41	95.3	1	2.3	0	0.0	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	776	577	74.4	199	25.6	671	86.5	45	5.8	17	2.2	43	5.5
SIERRA	18-20	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	34	91.9	3	8.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	18-20	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
	21-30	68	53	77.9	15	22.1	51	75.0	11	16.2	1	1.5	5	7.4
	31-40	62	45	72.6	17	27.4	46	74.2	11	17.7	0	0.0	5	8.1
	41-50	60	46	76.7	14	23.3	49	81.7	5	8.3	3	5.0	3	5.0
	51-60	54	43	79.6	11	20.4	48	88.9	4	7.4	1	1.9	1	1.9
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	17	89.5	0	0.0	1	5.3	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	276	214	77.5	62	22.5	220	79.7	33	12.0	6	2.2	17	6.2
SOLANO	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	3	42.9	3	42.9	1	14.3	0	0.0
	18-20	77	62	80.5	15	19.5	29	37.7	22	28.6	8	10.4	18	23.4
	21-30	532	389	73.1	143	26.9	227	42.7	161	30.3	80	15.0	64	12.0
	31-40	315	234	74.3	81	25.7	118	37.5	87	27.6	85	27.0	25	7.9
	41-50	204	146	71.6	58	28.4	93	45.6	45	22.1	52	25.5	14	6.9
	51-60	155	114	73.5	41	26.5	87	56.1	23	14.8	30	19.4	15	9.7
	61-70	61	48	78.7	13	21.3	40	65.6	8	13.1	8	13.1	5	8.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	1	33.3
	TOTAL	1354	1002	74.0	352	26.0	598	44.2	350	25.8	264	19.5	142	10.5

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	6	42.9	6	42.9	1	7.1	1	7.1
	18-20	145	104	71.7	41	28.3	68	46.9	65	44.8	6	4.1	6	4.1
	21-30	1101	827	75.1	274	24.9	581	52.8	418	38.0	46	4.2	56	5.1
	31-40	573	424	74.0	149	26.0	351	61.3	172	30.0	22	3.8	28	4.9
	41-50	387	280	72.4	107	27.6	264	68.2	94	24.3	7	1.8	22	5.7
	51-60	309	223	72.2	86	27.8	244	79.0	45	14.6	9	2.9	11	3.6
	61-70	135	97	71.9	38	28.1	114	84.4	12	8.9	6	4.4	3	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	35	28	80.0	7	20.0	29	82.9	4	11.4	1	2.9	1	2.9
	TOTAL	2699	1993	73.8	706	26.2	1657	61.4	816	30.2	98	3.6	128	4.7
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	5	50.0	5	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	150	122	81.3	28	18.7	48	32.0	92	61.3	5	3.3	5	3.3
	21-30	960	733	76.4	227	23.6	327	34.1	528	55.0	42	4.4	63	6.6
	31-40	528	409	77.5	119	22.5	172	32.6	298	56.4	25	4.7	33	6.3
	41-50	311	240	77.2	71	22.8	123	39.5	152	48.9	18	5.8	18	5.8
	51-60	193	149	77.2	44	22.8	97	50.3	72	37.3	10	5.2	14	7.3
	61-70	48	36	75.0	12	25.0	28	58.3	12	25.0	3	6.3	5	10.4
	71 & ABOVE	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	7	77.8	1	11.1	0	0.0	1	11.1
	TOTAL	2209	1705	77.2	504	22.8	807	36.5	1160	52.5	103	4.7	139	6.3
SUTTER	18-20	50	43	86.0	7	14.0	19	38.0	16	32.0	0	0.0	15	30.0
	21-30	197	160	81.2	37	18.8	88	44.7	79	40.1	8	4.1	22	11.2
	31-40	114	92	80.7	22	19.3	48	42.1	45	39.5	8	7.0	13	11.4
	41-50	61	49	80.3	12	19.7	39	63.9	15	24.6	0	0.0	7	11.5
	51-60	63	48	76.2	15	23.8	36	57.1	9	14.3	4	6.3	14	22.2
	61-70	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	22	73.3	4	13.3	2	6.7	2	6.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	1	25.0	3	75.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	519	419	80.7	100	19.3	256	49.3	168	32.4	22	4.2	73	14.1
TEHAMA	18-20	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	17	54.8	13	41.9	0	0.0	1	3.2
	21-30	156	121	77.6	35	22.4	103	66.0	45	28.8	0	0.0	8	5.1
	31-40	109	88	80.7	21	19.3	77	70.6	27	24.8	2	1.8	3	2.8
	41-50	71	46	64.8	25	35.2	55	77.5	13	18.3	3	4.2	0	0.0
	51-60	63	45	71.4	18	28.6	53	84.1	6	9.5	1	1.6	3	4.8
	61-70	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	22	95.7	1	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	458	347	75.8	111	24.2	330	72.1	107	23.4	6	1.3	15	3.3

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6
	21-30	38	32	84.2	6	15.8	37	97.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.6
	31-40	30	29	96.7	1	3.3	28	93.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	6.7
	41-50	34	23	67.6	11	32.4	31	91.2	2	5.9	0	0.0	1	2.9
	51-60	29	21	72.4	8	27.6	28	96.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	148	119	80.4	29	19.6	139	93.9	2	1.4	0	0.0	7	4.7
TULARE	UNDER 18	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	2	12.5	14	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	209	164	78.5	45	21.5	24	11.5	177	84.7	3	1.4	5	2.4
	21-30	1308	1071	81.9	237	18.1	244	18.7	978	74.8	41	3.1	45	3.4
	31-40	669	557	83.3	112	16.7	135	20.2	492	73.5	18	2.7	24	3.6
	41-50	410	341	83.2	69	16.8	105	25.6	287	70.0	5	1.2	13	3.2
	51-60	245	193	78.8	52	21.2	83	33.9	141	57.6	12	4.9	9	3.7
	61-70	79	71	89.9	8	10.1	25	31.6	46	58.2	2	2.5	6	7.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	4	57.1	3	42.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2943	2419	82.2	524	17.8	622	21.1	2138	72.6	81	2.8	102	3.5
TUOLUMNE	18-20	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	15	83.3	3	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	118	91	77.1	27	22.9	99	83.9	16	13.6	2	1.7	1	0.8
	31-40	58	43	74.1	15	25.9	52	89.7	6	10.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	89	68	76.4	21	23.6	75	84.3	10	11.2	1	1.1	3	3.4
	51-60	79	62	78.5	17	21.5	76	96.2	1	1.3	0	0.0	2	2.5
	61-70	45	37	82.2	8	17.8	41	91.1	3	6.7	0	0.0	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	410	318	77.6	92	22.4	361	88.0	39	9.5	3	0.7	7	1.7
VENTURA	UNDER 18	23	20	87.0	3	13.0	14	60.9	8	34.8	0	0.0	1	4.3
	18-20	180	138	76.7	42	23.3	80	44.4	89	49.4	4	2.2	7	3.9
	21-30	1390	1029	74.0	361	26.0	555	39.9	741	53.3	45	3.2	49	3.5
	31-40	691	539	78.0	152	22.0	266	38.5	367	53.1	22	3.2	36	5.2
	41-50	447	304	68.0	143	32.0	230	51.5	180	40.3	19	4.3	18	4.0
	51-60	365	270	74.0	95	26.0	257	70.4	94	25.8	6	1.6	8	2.2
	61-70	99	75	75.8	24	24.2	63	63.6	27	27.3	3	3.0	6	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	20	12	60.0	8	40.0	15	75.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	TOTAL	3215	2387	74.2	828	25.8	1480	46.0	1510	47.0	99	3.1	126	3.9

TABLE B1: 2014 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	5	50.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	1	10.0
	18-20	47	32	68.1	15	31.9	14	29.8	22	46.8	3	6.4	8	17.0
	21-30	362	288	79.6	74	20.4	133	36.7	155	42.8	23	6.4	51	14.1
	31-40	153	115	75.2	38	24.8	58	37.9	72	47.1	13	8.5	10	6.5
	41-50	108	81	75.0	27	25.0	59	54.6	35	32.4	9	8.3	5	4.6
	51-60	59	44	74.6	15	25.4	33	55.9	17	28.8	5	8.5	4	6.8
	61-70	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	11	57.9	5	26.3	2	10.5	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	765	591	77.3	174	22.7	318	41.6	312	40.8	55	7.2	80	10.5
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0
	18-20	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	17	70.8	5	20.8	0	0.0	2	8.3
	21-30	199	148	74.4	51	25.6	122	61.3	55	27.6	10	5.0	12	6.0
	31-40	108	83	76.9	25	23.1	60	55.6	25	23.1	11	10.2	12	11.1
	41-50	87	72	82.8	15	17.2	56	64.4	24	27.6	6	6.9	1	1.1
	51-60	81	58	71.6	23	28.4	60	74.1	14	17.3	4	4.9	3	3.7
	61-70	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	14	82.4	2	11.8	0	0.0	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	519	396	76.3	123	23.7	331	63.8	125	24.1	32	6.2	31	6.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		121304	100.0	92901	100.0	28403	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	10	0.2	10	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	165	4.0	116	3.7	49	4.8
	21-30	1718	41.5	1246	40.1	472	45.8
	31-40	1118	27.0	854	27.5	264	25.6
	41-50	655	15.8	497	16.0	158	15.3
	51-60	376	9.1	306	9.8	70	6.8
	61-70	84	2.0	70	2.3	14	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.3	10	0.3	3	0.3
	TOTAL	4139	100.0	3109	100.0	1030	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	1	10.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	51-60	7	70.0	5	62.5	2	100.0
	61-70	1	10.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	10.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	10	100.0	8	100.0	2	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	3	2.9	3	3.9	0	0.0
	21-30	20	19.6	16	20.8	4	16.0
	31-40	18	17.6	15	19.5	3	12.0
	41-50	27	26.5	19	24.7	8	32.0
	51-60	24	23.5	16	20.8	8	32.0
	61-70	8	7.8	6	7.8	2	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.0	2	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	102	100.0	77	100.0	25	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	3	0.3	2	0.3	1	0.4
	18-20	70	7.1	49	6.6	21	8.4
	21-30	404	40.9	303	41.1	101	40.2
	31-40	189	19.1	153	20.8	36	14.3
	41-50	156	15.8	102	13.8	54	21.5
	51-60	118	11.9	88	11.9	30	12.0
	61-70	40	4.0	33	4.5	7	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.8	7	0.9	1	0.4
	TOTAL	988	100.0	737	100.0	251	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	4	2.1	2	1.5	2	3.4
	21-30	53	27.9	37	28.0	16	27.6
	31-40	33	17.4	20	15.2	13	22.4
	41-50	50	26.3	34	25.8	16	27.6
	51-60	32	16.8	24	18.2	8	13.8
	61-70	17	8.9	14	10.6	3	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	190	100.0	132	100.0	58	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.9	1	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	10	9.4	8	9.6	2	8.7
	21-30	30	28.3	25	30.1	5	21.7
	31-40	24	22.6	20	24.1	4	17.4
	41-50	22	20.8	14	16.9	8	34.8
	51-60	14	13.2	10	12.0	4	17.4
	61-70	5	4.7	5	6.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	106	100.0	83	100.0	23	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	8	0.3	7	0.4	1	0.1
	18-20	124	4.7	88	4.6	36	5.2
	21-30	1029	39.2	756	39.2	273	39.1
	31-40	651	24.8	489	25.4	162	23.2
	41-50	410	15.6	297	15.4	113	16.2
	51-60	298	11.3	205	10.6	93	13.3
	61-70	88	3.4	73	3.8	15	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.7	12	0.6	6	0.9
	TOTAL	2626	100.0	1927	100.0	699	100.0
DEL NORTE	18-20	6	5.0	4	4.8	2	5.6
	21-30	40	33.3	30	35.7	10	27.8
	31-40	29	24.2	20	23.8	9	25.0
	41-50	25	20.8	15	17.9	10	27.8
	51-60	11	9.2	6	7.1	5	13.9
	61-70	8	6.7	8	9.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	1	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	120	100.0	84	100.0	36	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	7	0.8	3	0.5	4	1.7
	18-20	41	4.9	33	5.4	8	3.5
	21-30	306	36.6	224	36.9	82	35.8
	31-40	150	17.9	115	18.9	35	15.3
	41-50	151	18.1	106	17.5	45	19.7
	51-60	142	17.0	96	15.8	46	20.1
	61-70	33	3.9	26	4.3	7	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.7	4	0.7	2	0.9
	TOTAL	836	100.0	607	100.0	229	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	5	0.1	5	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	240	5.7	206	6.1	34	4.0
	21-30	1906	44.9	1501	44.3	405	47.3
	31-40	1015	23.9	829	24.5	186	21.7
	41-50	601	14.2	462	13.6	139	16.2
	51-60	345	8.1	278	8.2	67	7.8
	61-70	111	2.6	92	2.7	19	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.4	12	0.4	7	0.8
	TOTAL	4242	100.0	3385	100.0	857	100.0
GLENN	18-20	8	6.3	6	5.8	2	8.3
	21-30	40	31.5	33	32.0	7	29.2
	31-40	25	19.7	18	17.5	7	29.2
	41-50	31	24.4	26	25.2	5	20.8
	51-60	15	11.8	13	12.6	2	8.3
	61-70	5	3.9	4	3.9	1	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	2.4	3	2.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	127	100.0	103	100.0	24	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	0.5
	21-30	268	40.4	180	39.0	88	43.8
	31-40	152	22.9	113	24.5	39	19.4
	41-50	102	15.4	76	16.5	26	12.9
	51-60	90	13.6	61	13.2	29	14.4
	61-70	17	2.6	11	2.4	6	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	663	100.0	462	100.0	201	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	43	8.1	38	8.5	5	5.9
	21-30	213	40.0	169	37.8	44	51.8
	31-40	97	18.2	79	17.7	18	21.2
	41-50	97	18.2	88	19.7	9	10.6
	51-60	63	11.8	56	12.5	7	8.2
	61-70	17	3.2	15	3.4	2	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.4	2	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	532	100.0	447	100.0	85	100.0
INYO	18-20	12	9.3	10	9.7	2	7.7
	21-30	44	34.1	37	35.9	7	26.9
	31-40	31	24.0	27	26.2	4	15.4
	41-50	15	11.6	9	8.7	6	23.1
	51-60	20	15.5	14	13.6	6	23.1
	61-70	6	4.7	6	5.8	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
	TOTAL	129	100.0	103	100.0	26	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	18	0.6	14	0.6	4	0.7
	18-20	221	7.2	188	7.6	33	5.8
	21-30	1371	44.9	1121	45.1	250	43.8
	31-40	689	22.5	570	22.9	119	20.8
	41-50	433	14.2	329	13.2	104	18.2
	51-60	236	7.7	191	7.7	45	7.9
	61-70	78	2.6	63	2.5	15	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.3	9	0.4	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3056	100.0	2485	100.0	571	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	2	0.3	1	0.2	1	0.6
	18-20	44	5.7	34	5.5	10	6.3
	21-30	345	44.6	283	46.2	62	38.8
	31-40	163	21.1	125	20.4	38	23.7
	41-50	134	17.3	101	16.5	33	20.6
	51-60	64	8.3	51	8.3	13	8.1
	61-70	21	2.7	18	2.9	3	1.9
	TOTAL	773	100.0	613	100.0	160	100.0
LAKE	18-20	12	4.2	10	4.9	2	2.5
	21-30	96	33.8	71	34.6	25	31.6
	31-40	71	25.0	54	26.3	17	21.5
	41-50	40	14.1	27	13.2	13	16.5
	51-60	49	17.3	28	13.7	21	26.6
	61-70	14	4.9	13	6.3	1	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	2	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	284	100.0	205	100.0	79	100.0
LASSEN	18-20	6	4.8	6	6.3	0	0.0
	21-30	43	34.7	32	33.7	11	37.9
	31-40	18	14.5	13	13.7	5	17.2
	41-50	24	19.4	17	17.9	7	24.1
	51-60	19	15.3	14	14.7	5	17.2
	61-70	14	11.3	13	13.7	1	3.4
	TOTAL	124	100.0	95	100.0	29	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	7	0.0	6	0.0	1	0.0
	18-20	1231	4.8	906	4.6	325	5.4
	21-30	11685	45.4	8578	43.4	3107	52.0
	31-40	6161	24.0	4875	24.7	1286	21.5
	41-50	3799	14.8	3056	15.5	743	12.4
	51-60	2161	8.4	1761	8.9	400	6.7
	61-70	576	2.2	483	2.4	93	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	101	0.4	83	0.4	18	0.3
	TOTAL	25721	100.0	19748	100.0	5973	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	32	5.0	31	5.7	1	1.1
	21-30	280	43.9	245	45.1	35	36.8
	31-40	147	23.0	130	23.9	17	17.9
	41-50	92	14.4	70	12.9	22	23.2
	51-60	63	9.9	46	8.5	17	17.9
	61-70	18	2.8	16	2.9	2	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.8	4	0.7	1	1.1
	TOTAL	638	100.0	543	100.0	95	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	8	0.7	5	0.6	3	1.0
	18-20	54	4.5	44	5.0	10	3.2
	21-30	431	36.2	331	37.9	100	31.7
	31-40	240	20.2	192	22.0	48	15.2
	41-50	219	18.4	148	16.9	71	22.5
	51-60	146	12.3	93	10.6	53	16.8
	61-70	72	6.1	49	5.6	23	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	19	1.6	12	1.4	7	2.2
	TOTAL	1189	100.0	874	100.0	315	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	5.6	5	6.7	0	0.0
	21-30	25	28.1	24	32.0	1	7.1
	31-40	19	21.3	14	18.7	5	35.7
	41-50	8	9.0	3	4.0	5	35.7
	51-60	21	23.6	19	25.3	2	14.3
	61-70	7	7.9	6	8.0	1	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	4	4.5	4	5.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	89	100.0	75	100.0	14	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	4	0.8	3	0.8	1	0.9
	18-20	22	4.4	16	4.1	6	5.2
	21-30	200	39.7	161	41.4	39	33.9
	31-40	114	22.6	95	24.4	19	16.5
	41-50	76	15.1	60	15.4	16	13.9
	51-60	61	12.1	37	9.5	24	20.9
	61-70	23	4.6	15	3.9	8	7.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.8	2	0.5	2	1.7
	TOTAL	504	100.0	389	100.0	115	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	5	0.7	5	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	41	5.4	36	5.7	5	3.9
	21-30	338	44.4	276	43.5	62	48.8
	31-40	192	25.2	158	24.9	34	26.8
	41-50	109	14.3	93	14.7	16	12.6
	51-60	54	7.1	45	7.1	9	7.1
	61-70	18	2.4	17	2.7	1	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	761	100.0	634	100.0	127	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	2.1	1	2.9	0	0.0
	18-20	2	4.3	2	5.9	0	0.0
	21-30	18	38.3	13	38.2	5	38.5
	31-40	6	12.8	5	14.7	1	7.7
	41-50	11	23.4	8	23.5	3	23.1
	51-60	6	12.8	3	8.8	3	23.1
	61-70	3	6.4	2	5.9	1	7.7
	TOTAL	47	100.0	34	100.0	13	100.0
MONO	18-20	4	5.3	2	3.2	2	15.4
	21-30	22	29.3	20	32.3	2	15.4
	31-40	14	18.7	12	19.4	2	15.4
	41-50	15	20.0	12	19.4	3	23.1
	51-60	14	18.7	11	17.7	3	23.1
	61-70	4	5.3	4	6.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.7	1	1.6	1	7.7
	TOTAL	75	100.0	62	100.0	13	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	7	0.4	6	0.4	1	0.3
	18-20	122	6.6	103	6.9	19	5.4
	21-30	827	44.7	689	45.9	138	39.5
	31-40	416	22.5	342	22.8	74	21.2
	41-50	244	13.2	184	12.3	60	17.2
	51-60	157	8.5	123	8.2	34	9.7
	61-70	66	3.6	48	3.2	18	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.6	6	0.4	5	1.4
	TOTAL	1850	100.0	1501	100.0	349	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	0.3	1	0.2	1	0.7
	18-20	43	6.2	31	5.6	12	9.0
	21-30	267	38.6	226	40.6	41	30.6
	31-40	165	23.9	134	24.1	31	23.1
	41-50	115	16.6	89	16.0	26	19.4
	51-60	73	10.6	56	10.1	17	12.7
	61-70	23	3.3	17	3.1	6	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.4	3	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	691	100.0	557	100.0	134	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	1	0.2	0	0.0	1	1.0
	18-20	15	3.7	13	4.3	2	2.0
	21-30	136	33.7	103	34.2	33	32.4
	31-40	90	22.3	70	23.3	20	19.6
	41-50	70	17.4	46	15.3	24	23.5
	51-60	53	13.2	42	14.0	11	10.8
	61-70	34	8.4	24	8.0	10	9.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	3	1.0	1	1.0
	TOTAL	403	100.0	301	100.0	102	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	32	0.3	26	0.3	6	0.2
	18-20	694	5.9	530	6.0	164	5.7
	21-30	5486	46.7	4091	46.2	1395	48.4
	31-40	2477	21.1	1934	21.8	543	18.8
	41-50	1746	14.9	1278	14.4	468	16.2
	51-60	981	8.4	751	8.5	230	8.0
	61-70	280	2.4	214	2.4	66	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	44	0.4	31	0.4	13	0.5
	TOTAL	11740	100.0	8855	100.0	2885	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	7	0.5	6	0.6	1	0.3
	18-20	73	5.2	58	5.7	15	3.8
	21-30	560	39.8	410	40.6	150	37.8
	31-40	307	21.8	218	21.6	89	22.4
	41-50	243	17.3	163	16.1	80	20.2
	51-60	158	11.2	108	10.7	50	12.6
	61-70	47	3.3	40	4.0	7	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.9	8	0.8	5	1.3
	TOTAL	1408	100.0	1011	100.0	397	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	11	9.3	8	8.3	3	13.6
	21-30	28	23.7	25	26.0	3	13.6
	31-40	25	21.2	19	19.8	6	27.3
	41-50	24	20.3	18	18.8	6	27.3
	51-60	18	15.3	15	15.6	3	13.6
	61-70	11	9.3	10	10.4	1	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.8	1	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	118	100.0	96	100.0	22	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	15	0.2	14	0.2	1	0.1
	18-20	491	6.3	386	6.4	105	6.0
	21-30	3510	44.9	2677	44.2	833	47.4
	31-40	1688	21.6	1356	22.4	332	18.9
	41-50	1156	14.8	878	14.5	278	15.8
	51-60	706	9.0	565	9.3	141	8.0
	61-70	206	2.6	149	2.5	57	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	45	0.6	36	0.6	9	0.5
	TOTAL	7817	100.0	6061	100.0	1756	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	12	0.2	11	0.3	1	0.1
	18-20	255	5.1	195	5.4	60	4.3
	21-30	2292	45.9	1599	44.4	693	49.9
	31-40	1137	22.8	845	23.5	292	21.0
	41-50	709	14.2	518	14.4	191	13.7
	51-60	429	8.6	313	8.7	116	8.3
	61-70	132	2.6	101	2.8	31	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.5	18	0.5	6	0.4
	TOTAL	4990	100.0	3600	100.0	1390	100.0
SAN BENITO	18-20	29	12.7	24	13.2	5	10.9
	21-30	94	41.2	74	40.7	20	43.5
	31-40	29	12.7	23	12.6	6	13.0
	41-50	38	16.7	31	17.0	7	15.2
	51-60	29	12.7	22	12.1	7	15.2
	61-70	8	3.5	7	3.8	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	228	100.0	182	100.0	46	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	10	0.2	6	0.1	4	0.3
	18-20	382	5.9	308	6.1	74	5.3
	21-30	2843	44.2	2197	43.6	646	46.2
	31-40	1468	22.8	1174	23.3	294	21.0
	41-50	967	15.0	748	14.8	219	15.7
	51-60	579	9.0	456	9.0	123	8.8
	61-70	161	2.5	129	2.6	32	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	27	0.4	22	0.4	5	0.4
	TOTAL	6437	100.0	5040	100.0	1397	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	20	0.2	15	0.2	5	0.2
	18-20	485	5.0	360	4.9	125	5.0
	21-30	4482	45.8	3284	45.1	1198	47.7
	31-40	2133	21.8	1620	22.2	513	20.4
	41-50	1463	14.9	1079	14.8	384	15.3
	51-60	911	9.3	693	9.5	218	8.7
	61-70	251	2.6	196	2.7	55	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	49	0.5	36	0.5	13	0.5
	TOTAL	9794	100.0	7283	100.0	2511	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	28	3.1	17	2.5	11	5.1
	21-30	382	42.4	282	41.2	100	46.1
	31-40	227	25.2	182	26.6	45	20.7
	41-50	150	16.6	111	16.2	39	18.0
	51-60	81	9.0	63	9.2	18	8.3
	61-70	29	3.2	27	3.9	2	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	2	0.3	2	0.9
	TOTAL	901	100.0	684	100.0	217	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	3	0.1	3	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	149	6.5	115	6.4	34	7.0
	21-30	987	43.2	766	42.5	221	45.7
	31-40	528	23.1	430	23.9	98	20.2
	41-50	331	14.5	264	14.7	67	13.8
	51-60	214	9.4	164	9.1	50	10.3
	61-70	61	2.7	49	2.7	12	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.6	11	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2286	100.0	1802	100.0	484	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	6	0.4	5	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	113	7.2	80	7.0	33	7.7
	21-30	709	45.3	525	46.1	184	43.0
	31-40	273	17.4	211	18.5	62	14.5
	41-50	230	14.7	161	14.1	69	16.1
	51-60	152	9.7	100	8.8	52	12.1
	61-70	74	4.7	48	4.2	26	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.6	8	0.7	1	0.2
	TOTAL	1566	100.0	1138	100.0	428	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	8	0.4	7	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	97	4.5	74	4.5	23	4.6
	21-30	921	42.9	703	42.6	218	43.9
	31-40	506	23.5	399	24.2	107	21.5
	41-50	353	16.4	272	16.5	81	16.3
	51-60	204	9.5	154	9.3	50	10.1
	61-70	52	2.4	37	2.2	15	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.4	6	0.4	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2149	100.0	1652	100.0	497	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	11	0.6	9	0.6	2	0.4
	18-20	177	9.0	145	9.7	32	6.9
	21-30	874	44.6	652	43.6	222	48.1
	31-40	373	19.1	305	20.4	68	14.7
	41-50	244	12.5	185	12.4	59	12.8
	51-60	200	10.2	145	9.7	55	11.9
	61-70	61	3.1	43	2.9	18	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.9	12	0.8	6	1.3
	TOTAL	1958	100.0	1496	100.0	462	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	10	0.2	10	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	273	5.6	210	5.5	63	6.0
	21-30	2295	47.4	1739	45.9	556	53.0
	31-40	1129	23.3	929	24.5	200	19.0
	41-50	673	13.9	532	14.0	141	13.4
	51-60	353	7.3	287	7.6	66	6.3
	61-70	97	2.0	74	2.0	23	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.2	11	0.3	1	0.1
	TOTAL	4842	100.0	3792	100.0	1050	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	6	0.5	5	0.5	1	0.3
	18-20	97	7.9	70	7.6	27	8.7
	21-30	552	44.7	419	45.3	133	43.0
	31-40	250	20.3	201	21.7	49	15.9
	41-50	155	12.6	112	12.1	43	13.9
	51-60	120	9.7	83	9.0	37	12.0
	61-70	52	4.2	33	3.6	19	6.1
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1234	100.0	925	100.0	309	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	3	0.4	3	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	32	4.5	22	4.2	10	5.4
	21-30	297	42.0	219	42.0	78	41.9
	31-40	143	20.2	108	20.7	35	18.8
	41-50	109	15.4	81	15.5	28	15.1
	51-60	83	11.7	57	10.9	26	14.0
	61-70	35	5.0	27	5.2	8	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.7	4	0.8	1	0.5
	TOTAL	707	100.0	521	100.0	186	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	1	12.5	1	14.3	0	0.0
	21-30	2	25.0	2	28.6	0	0.0
	41-50	2	25.0	2	28.6	0	0.0
	51-60	3	37.5	2	28.6	1	100.0
	TOTAL	8	100.0	7	100.0	1	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	7	3.6	5	3.4	2	4.4
	21-30	53	27.6	40	27.2	13	28.9
	31-40	28	14.6	25	17.0	3	6.7
	41-50	47	24.5	33	22.4	14	31.1
	51-60	43	22.4	34	23.1	9	20.0
	61-70	11	5.7	7	4.8	4	8.9
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.0	2	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	192	100.0	147	100.0	45	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	53	4.9	38	4.6	15	6.1
	21-30	448	41.7	334	40.3	114	46.2
	31-40	252	23.4	197	23.8	55	22.3
	41-50	157	14.6	117	14.1	40	16.2
	51-60	115	10.7	98	11.8	17	6.9
	61-70	42	3.9	38	4.6	4	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	2	0.2	2	0.8
	TOTAL	1075	100.0	828	100.0	247	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	8	0.3	5	0.3	3	0.5
	18-20	104	4.5	79	4.6	25	4.3
	21-30	985	42.9	734	42.8	251	43.1
	31-40	498	21.7	392	22.9	106	18.2
	41-50	345	15.0	253	14.8	92	15.8
	51-60	245	10.7	175	10.2	70	12.0
	61-70	92	4.0	65	3.8	27	4.6
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.8	10	0.6	8	1.4
	TOTAL	2295	100.0	1713	100.0	582	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	11	0.6	11	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	127	6.5	97	6.4	30	6.8
	21-30	838	43.1	632	41.9	206	47.0
	31-40	447	23.0	351	23.3	96	21.9
	41-50	301	15.5	239	15.9	62	14.2
	51-60	174	8.9	138	9.2	36	8.2
	61-70	38	2.0	31	2.1	7	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.5	8	0.5	1	0.2
	TOTAL	1945	100.0	1507	100.0	438	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	2	0.8	2	1.0	0	0.0
	18-20	20	8.1	15	7.7	5	9.3
	21-30	93	37.5	70	36.1	23	42.6
	31-40	51	20.6	42	21.6	9	16.7
	41-50	43	17.3	38	19.6	5	9.3
	51-60	28	11.3	23	11.9	5	9.3
	61-70	10	4.0	4	2.1	6	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	0	0.0	1	1.9
	TOTAL	248	100.0	194	100.0	54	100.0
TEHAMA	18-20	16	6.3	15	7.5	1	1.8
	21-30	96	37.5	77	38.5	19	33.9
	31-40	44	17.2	34	17.0	10	17.9
	41-50	52	20.3	39	19.5	13	23.2
	51-60	29	11.3	22	11.0	7	12.5
	61-70	13	5.1	8	4.0	5	8.9
	71 & ABOVE	6	2.3	5	2.5	1	1.8
	TOTAL	256	100.0	200	100.0	56	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	2	3.6	2	4.3	0	0.0
	21-30	13	23.6	9	19.6	4	44.4
	31-40	10	18.2	10	21.7	0	0.0
	41-50	14	25.5	12	26.1	2	22.2
	51-60	9	16.4	7	15.2	2	22.2
	61-70	6	10.9	5	10.9	1	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.8	1	2.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	55	100.0	46	100.0	9	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	7	0.3	7	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	158	7.3	134	7.6	24	6.1
	21-30	977	45.1	775	43.7	202	51.4
	31-40	520	24.0	435	24.5	85	21.6
	41-50	293	13.5	241	13.6	52	13.2
	51-60	163	7.5	138	7.8	25	6.4
	61-70	39	1.8	34	1.9	5	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.5	10	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2167	100.0	1774	100.0	393	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	1.2
	18-20	17	5.5	15	6.6	2	2.4
	21-30	92	29.8	68	30.0	24	29.3
	31-40	52	16.8	38	16.7	14	17.1
	41-50	63	20.4	46	20.3	17	20.7
	51-60	50	16.2	38	16.7	12	14.6
	61-70	25	8.1	19	8.4	6	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	9	2.9	3	1.3	6	7.3
	TOTAL	309	100.0	227	100.0	82	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	9	0.3	8	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	152	5.5	113	5.4	39	6.0
	21-30	1173	42.7	895	42.8	278	42.6
	31-40	619	22.6	486	23.2	133	20.4
	41-50	429	15.6	316	15.1	113	17.3
	51-60	294	10.7	216	10.3	78	12.0
	61-70	58	2.1	48	2.3	10	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.4	11	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2745	100.0	2093	100.0	652	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	2	0.4	2	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	30	5.5	28	6.5	2	1.8
	21-30	242	44.4	192	44.3	50	44.6
	31-40	113	20.7	89	20.6	24	21.4
	41-50	85	15.6	65	15.0	20	17.9
	51-60	53	9.7	40	9.2	13	11.6
	61-70	18	3.3	16	3.7	2	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.4	1	0.2	1	0.9
	TOTAL	545	100.0	433	100.0	112	100.0
YUBA	18-20	16	5.8	12	5.6	4	6.7
	21-30	117	42.7	90	42.1	27	45.0
	31-40	40	14.6	34	15.9	6	10.0
	41-50	58	21.2	43	20.1	15	25.0
	51-60	39	14.2	31	14.5	8	13.3
	61-70	3	1.1	3	1.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	274	100.0	214	100.0	60	100.0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE		116577	3980	747	16494	100	6
ALAMEDA	JUV OAKLAND	13	1	0	0	91	41
	FREMONT	539	8	16	78	96	6
	PLEASANTON	736	4	0	335	142	7
	OAKLAND	1681	32	12	424	87	2
	HAYWARD	1088	8	1	461	126	4
	TOTAL	4057	53	29	1298	108	4
ALPINE	MARKLEEVILLE	9	1	0	1	43	8
	TOTAL	9	1	0	1	43	8
AMADOR	JACKSON	98	3	1	16	73	51
	TOTAL	98	3	1	16	73	51
BUTTE	OROVILLE	940	34	11	115	133	21
	OROVILLE JUV	3	0	0	0	206	36
	TOTAL	943	34	11	115	133	22
CALAVERAS	SAN ANDREAS	183	7	0	79	61	6
	TOTAL	183	7	0	79	61	6
COLUSA	COLUSA JUV	0	1	0	0	139	4
	COLUSA	100	3	2	27	82	6
	TOTAL	100	4	2	27	82	6
CONTRA COSTA	MARTINEZ	26	47	0	1	298	16
	MARTINEZ JUV	14	0	0	2	140	45
	RICHMOND	654	8	4	134	174	6
	PITTSBURG	562	14	3	105	230	5
	WALNUT CREEK	1265	18	11	358	280	9
	TOTAL	2521	87	18	600	239	7
DEL NORTE	CRESCENT CITY	116	4	0	27	101	105
	TOTAL	116	4	0	27	101	105
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	282	6	3	42	90	48
	PLACERVILLE	510	32	3	145	126	12
	TOTAL	792	38	6	187	111	24

[a]This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.

[b]Violations of VC 23140.

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	5	1	1	0	91	28
	FRESNO CENTRAL	3994	208	30	300	132	0
	CLOVIS	3	0	0	0	198	0
	TOTAL	4002	209	31	300	132	0
GLENN	WILLOWS	118	7	2	41	361	16
	TOTAL	118	7	2	41	361	16
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	641	14	8	195	95	30
	TOTAL	641	14	8	195	95	30
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL	1	1	1	1	161	13
	EL CENTRO JUV	1	0	0	0	197	10
	BRAWLEY	88	0	0	26	185	30
	CALEXICO	61	0	1	21	91	44
	EL CENTRO	368	8	2	79	157	12
	TOTAL	519	9	4	127	152	13
INYO	INDEPENDENCE	2	3	0	0	291	1
	INYO JUV	1	0	0	1	143	0
	BISHOP	118	3	2	38	97	2
	TOTAL	121	6	2	39	98	2
KERN	BAKERSFIELD JUV	20	0	1	0	65	18
	LAMONT	170	6	9	87	28	6
	BAKERSFIELD	1975	102	13	220	47	19
	DELANO	115	8	0	7	29	3
	KERN RIVER	17	0	0	5	56	0
	TAFT	59	0	1	6	25	21
	SHAFTER	152	5	0	11	40	6
	MOJAVE	258	4	1	77	52	0
	RIDGECREST	139	1	0	36	87	2
	TOTAL	2905	126	25	449	46	16
KINGS	HANFORD JUV	2	0	1	0	69	1
	HANFORD	660	18	1	58	152	0
	AVENAL	54	1	0	3	157	0
	CORCORAN	34	2	0	1	117	0
	TOTAL	750	21	2	62	151	0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LAKE	LAKEPORT	263	21	0	21	126	20
	TOTAL	263	21	0	21	126	20
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	123	0	1	5	127	9
	TOTAL	123	0	1	5	127	9
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	25	22	0	0	226	13
	LANCASTER	14	19	0	0	147	6
	SANTA MONICA	3	6	0	0	211	14
	LOS ANGLES JUV	3	0	0	0	165	3
	EASTLAKE JUV	3	0	0	0	147	5
	L ANGELES AIRPORT	1035	32	1	196	118	26
	ALHAMBRA	508	6	1	43	110	4
	ANTLOPE VALLEY	1015	30	4	125	77	6
	BEVERLY HILLS	69	2	0	12	124	26
	BURBANK	410	1	1	58	104	6
	WEST COVINA	1544	22	13	140	87	5
	CHATSWORTH	2	0	3	0	73	8
	COMPTON	749	40	1	81	118	5
	DOWNEY	1390	28	2	40	119	5
	EAST LA JU DIST	813	5	5	76	103	5
	EL MONTE	649	20	8	30	104	6
	GLENDALE	543	5	1	123	152	5
	INGLEWOOD	498	9	2	78	138	9
	LONG BEACH	1566	27	6	142	87	12
	LA METRO	5090	28	24	976	63	10
	BELLFLOWER	842	29	2	18	109	5
	SANTA CLARITA	830	1	3	76	121	6
	PASADENA	853	20	5	241	121	4
	MALIBU	46	0	1	18	79	10
	POMONA	1031	28	4	37	86	5
	HUNTINGTON PARK	0	0	1	0	78	0
	SANTA MONICA	0	0	1	0	80	0
	TORRANCE	1215	21	4	194	111	5
	WHITTIER	188	8	0	7	78	5

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont)	SAN FERNANDO	1113	47	0	217	60	6
	VAN NUYS	3027	28	24	510	58	6
	CATALINA	11	0	0	0	124	23
	USDT LOS ANGELES	17	0	0	6	106	25
	TOTAL	25111	493	117	3444	93	6
MADERA	MADERA	51	19	0	0	304	27
	MADERA TRAFFIC	441	1	0	24	221	18
	BASS LAKE	120	5	1	27	307	36
	TOTAL	612	25	1	51	244	28
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1155	23	11	16	65	13
	TOTAL	1155	23	11	16	65	13
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA	86	2	1	8	72	21
	TOTAL	86	2	1	8	72	21
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	10	9	0	0	116	167
	UKIAH JUV	5	0	0	1	103	27
	MENDOCINO	352	9	1	64	99	108
	POINT ARENA	3	0	0	0	94	44
	ROUND VALLEY	8	0	0	0	47	132
	FORT BRAGG	103	4	0	15	74	140
	TOTAL	481	22	1	80	92	116
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1	0	0	0	74	36
	MERCED	506	54	3	45	269	11
	LOS BANOS	191	5	1	40	203	11
	TOTAL	698	59	4	85	255	11
MODOC	ALTURAS	47	0	0	10	59	16
	TOTAL	47	0	0	10	59	16
MONO	NORTH CITY	1	0	0	0	68	31
	MAMMOTH LAKES	70	2	2	6	104	15
	TOTAL	71	2	2	6	103	15
MONTEREY	SALINAS	120	26	0	14	109	21
	SALINAS JUV	8	0	0	0	52	32
	MARINA	3	0	7	0	67	27
	SALINAS	1491	7	1	223	67	12

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY (cont)	KING CITY	187	0	0	13	53	11
	TOTAL	1809	33	8	250	68	12
NAPA	NAPA	650	32	9	102	69	3
	TOTAL	650	32	9	102	69	3
NEVADA	NEVADA JUV	1	0	0	0	395	240
	TRUCKEE JUV	1	0	0	0	77	21
	NEVADA CITY	218	8	3	23	101	66
	TRUCKEE	167	5	0	22	87	3
	TOTAL	387	13	3	45	93	24
ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	51	1	6	0	122	10
	FULLERTON	3275	81	6	35	104	0
	WESTMINSTER	3156	108	7	165	103	0
	LAGUNA HILLS	0	0	1	0	117	0
	NEWPORT BEACH	2822	71	11	241	116	0
	SANTA ANA	2067	72	5	124	130	0
	TOTAL	11371	333	36	565	111	0
PLACER	PLACER JUV	10	1	0	0	123	20
	ROSEVILLE	1137	92	3	187	118	9
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	2	0	0	1	326	103
	TAHOE CITY	160	3	0	16	107	6
	TOTAL	1309	96	3	204	116	9
PLUMAS	QUINCY	114	3	1	16	72	2
	TOTAL	114	3	1	16	72	2
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	3747	95	4	266	121	2
	INDIO	1584	26	17	118	137	3
	RIVERSIDE JUV	15	0	0	0	145	57
	MURRIETA JUV	0	0	6	0	200	8
	BANNING	334	9	2	32	100	6
	BLYTHE	70	2	0	12	87	4
	MURRIETA	1842	59	2	166	100	2
	TEMECULA	0	0	3	0	67	0
	TOTAL	7592	191	34	594	118	2

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	73	239	0	5	112	1
	SACRAMENTO JUV	8	1	1	0	115	23
	SACRAMENTO TRAFFIC	4539	84	37	717	60	5
	USDT EAST DST	8	0	0	1	201	169
	TOTAL	4628	324	38	723	65	5
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	215	11	2	32	102	5
	TOTAL	215	11	2	32	102	5
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	1395	62	8	242	143	7
	R CUCAMONGA	1768	50	13	223	191	8
	VICTORVILLE	1282	56	2	320	151	11
	BARSTOW	32	2	0	3	73	5
	JOSHUA TREE	3	0	0	30	65	74
	S BERNARDINO JUV	11	0	0	0	143	34
	VICTORVILLE JUV	1	1	0	0	131	5
	FONTANA	1360	49	9	78	117	5
	JOSHUA TREE DIST	319	8	6	69	90	13
	TOTAL	6171	228	38	965	146	8
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	114	80	0	3	131	15
	VISTA 110	36	80	0	0	134	26
	SAN DIEGO JUV	28	1	0	1	82	28
	EL CAJON	1824	106	11	560	67	67
	VISTA 460	2484	51	0	510	61	21
	VISTA 465	10	0	17	3	52	8
	SAN DIEGO TRAFFIC	3653	6	24	966	90	7
	CHULA VISTA	1198	50	15	179	73	15
	USDT SAN DIEGO	6	0	0	0	288	2
	TOTAL	9353	374	67	2222	76	21
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	3	7	0	0	222	6
	SAN FRAN JUV	1	0	0	0	129	336
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	869	17	3	186	85	5
	USDT S FRANCISCO	1	0	0	0	299	308
	TOTAL	874	24	3	186	85	5

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN JUV	2	2	0	0	153	25
	LODI	21	3	0	1	27	33
	MANTECA	626	24	3	138	53	43
	STOCKTON	1494	95	16	260	30	30
	TOTAL	2143	124	19	399	36	34
SAN LUIS OBISPO	S LUIS OBISPO JUV	7	0	0	0	96	8
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1477	76	6	307	63	6
	TOTAL	1484	76	6	307	63	6
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO JUV	9	0	0	0	97	24
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	228	1	1	26	106	18
	REDWOOD CITY	1849	52	9	378	146	28
	TOTAL	2086	53	10	404	142	27
SANTA BARBARA	SNTA BARBRA JUV	4	0	3	0	49	31
	SNTA MARIA W JUV	1	0	0	0	17	13
	SANTA BARBARA	954	36	26	155	62	14
	SANTA MARIA	668	30	1	49	51	30
	LOMPOC	220	9	5	27	50	18
	SOLVANG	0	0	1	0	40	0
	TOTAL	1847	75	36	231	58	18
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE JUV	15	0	0	0	136	28
	PALO ALTO	895	11	10	100	83	14
	SAN JOSE	3243	162	12	301	89	3
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	0	0	13	0	118	0
	MORGAN HILL	500	6	2	68	79	6
	TOTAL	4626	179	37	469	89	6
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	17	0	0	0	136	2
	SANTA CRUZ JUV	6	0	0	0	53	16
	SANTA CRUZ TRAF	1102	28	13	166	73	7
	WATSONVILLE	67	0	1	1	52	5
	TOTAL	1192	28	14	167	71	6

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SHASTA	REDDING JUV	2	0	1	0	106	14
	BURNEY	11	0	0	6	123	16
	REDDING	640	51	2	153	95	20
	TOTAL	653	51	3	159	95	20
SIERRA	SIERRA	6	2	0	6	132	127
	TOTAL	6	2	0	6	132	127
SISKIYOU	WEED	72	1	2	19	134	9
	YREKA	107	10	0	29	134	8
	TOTAL	179	11	2	48	134	8
SOLANO	FAIRFIELD JUV	6	0	1	0	127	1
	FAIRFIELD	705	32	6	85	129	6
	VALLEJO	317	8	0	49	121	6
	TOTAL	1028	40	7	134	126	6
SONOMA	SANTA ROSA	2145	121	6	320	73	43
	SANTA ROSA JUV	1	0	7	0	53	140
	TOTAL	2146	121	28	320	73	44
STANISLAUS	MODESTO	1838	86	0	189	88	27
	MODESTO JUV	12	0	1	0	108	356
	MODESTO TRAF	0	0	8	0	66	1
	TOTAL	1850	86	9	189	88	27
SUTTER	YUBA CITY TRAF	226	18	4	74	80	48
	TOTAL	226	18	4	74	80	48
TEHAMA	RED BLUFF	1	10	0	0	93	58
	CORNING	6	1	1	0	38	29
	RED BLUFF TRAF	233	4	0	67	52	45
	TOTAL	240	15	1	67	53	45
TRINITY	TRINITY	54	0	1	11	98	8
	TOTAL	54	0	1	11	98	8

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2013 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
TULARE	VISALIA JUV	5	0	1	0	192	7
	DINUBA	14	0	0	1	94	42
	PORTERVILLE	639	12	8	53	58	29
	TULARE	172	0	0	7	105	36
	VISALIA	1283	24	9	98	91	41
	TOTAL	2113	36	18	159	86	39
TUOLUMNE	SONORA	292	12	3	22	91	7
	SONORA JUV	2	0	0	0	322	37
	TOTAL	294	12	3	22	91	7
VENTURA	VENTURA	2643	79	23	0	129	0
	TOTAL	2643	79	23	0	129	0
YOLO	YOLO	517	24	4	74	122	15
	TOTAL	517	24	4	74	122	15
YUBA	MARYSVILLE	252	18	1	61	101	82
	MARYSVILLE JUV	1	0	0	0	152	5
	USDT BEALE	2	0	0	0	72	17
	TOTAL	255	18	1	61	101	79

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013[a]

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE			121304	96.2	73.6	68.1	21.8	0.2	6.1
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	1ST	1146	97.1	96.7	75.8	2.3	0.0	1.2
		2ND	392	98.0	96.2	8.2	59.2	0.0	5.4
		3RD	113	97.3	89.4	2.7	34.5	0.0	3.5
		4TH+	74	78.4	94.6	1.4	20.3	0.0	5.4
		TOTAL	1725	96.5	96.0	52.5	18.1	0.0	2.5
	JUV OAKLAND	1ST	13	84.6	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	85.7	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FREMONT	1ST	422	95.3	95.5	87.2	3.1	0.0	2.1
		2ND	117	96.6	97.4	15.4	75.2	0.9	6.8
		3RD	23	95.7	95.7	8.7	82.6	8.7	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	563	95.6	95.9	68.9	21.3	0.5	3.2
	PLEASANTON	1ST	513	99.8	99.2	95.5	3.3	0.0	54.8
		2ND	178	100.0	98.3	14.0	86.0	0.0	83.1
		3RD	45	97.8	93.3	0.0	93.3	0.0	77.8
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	740	99.7	98.6	69.6	29.1	0.0	63.1
	HAYWARD	1ST	711	99.0	98.3	91.1	6.0	0.0	0.1
		2ND	294	99.0	96.3	20.1	72.4	1.7	1.0
		3RD	84	98.8	91.7	9.5	85.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	75.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	1097	99.0	97.3	65.3	30.4	0.5	0.5
ALPINE	MARKLEEVILLE	1ST	7	100.0	100.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	14.3
		2ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	30.0
AMADOR	JACKSON	1ST	75	96.0	97.3	86.7	4.0	0.0	12.0
		2ND	16	81.3	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	56.3
		3RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	80.0
		TOTAL	102	93.1	98.0	64.7	21.6	0.0	27.5

[a]Entries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2013 receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
BUTTE	OROVILLE	1ST	659	95.0	92.1	93.9	1.8	0.2	2.3
		2ND	234	94.9	97.9	18.4	71.4	2.6	8.5
		3RD	64	70.3	96.9	3.1	26.6	48.4	35.9
		4TH+	31	54.8	96.8	0.0	12.9	41.9	38.7
		TOTAL	988	92.1	93.9	67.2	20.2	5.2	7.1
CALAVERAS	SAN ANDREAS	1ST	143	95.1	100.0	93.7	1.4	0.0	0.7
		2ND	39	87.2	100.0	28.2	56.4	0.0	20.5
		3RD	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	14.3
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	190	93.7	100.0	76.8	16.3	0.0	5.3
COLUSA	COLUSA JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	COLUSA	1ST	68	91.2	97.1	83.8	4.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	28	100.0	100.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	105	92.4	98.1	66.7	23.8	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	MARTINEZ	1ST	13	69.2	100.0	30.8	7.7	0.0	23.1
		2ND	11	90.9	81.8	0.0	54.5	0.0	18.2
		3RD	14	85.7	71.4	0.0	42.9	0.0	50.0
		4TH+	35	85.7	88.6	2.9	40.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	73	83.6	86.3	6.8	37.0	0.0	35.6
	MARTINEZ JUV	1ST	14	7.1	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	7.1	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	RICHMOND	1ST	475	98.3	98.3	93.1	1.9	0.0	0.4
		2ND	137	100.0	99.3	8.0	88.3	0.0	6.6
		3RD	50	96.0	100.0	0.0	78.0	0.0	42.0
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	666	98.5	98.6	68.0	25.5	0.0	4.8
	PITTSBURG	1ST	405	99.0	93.6	90.6	2.7	0.0	0.5
		2ND	129	99.2	99.2	11.6	86.8	0.0	3.9
		3RD	35	94.3	94.3	2.9	85.7	0.0	14.3
		4TH+	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	579	98.4	95.0	66.1	27.3	0.0	2.1

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	WALNUT CREEK	1ST	883	98.2	89.5	90.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	312	98.4	94.9	12.8	81.1	0.0	1.3
		3RD	87	95.4	98.9	1.1	85.1	0.0	12.6
		4TH+	12	75.0	91.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	1294	97.8	91.4	64.6	26.9	0.0	1.5
DEL NORTE	CRESCENT CITY	1ST	87	93.1	100.0	87.4	4.6	0.0	5.7
		2ND	23	82.6	100.0	17.4	65.2	0.0	65.2
		3RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	57.1
		4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	120	90.0	100.0	66.7	20.8	0.0	22.5
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	1ST	209	97.6	92.8	84.2	4.8	0.0	3.8
		2ND	59	98.3	96.6	10.2	67.8	0.0	45.8
		3RD	16	100.0	100.0	6.3	62.5	0.0	87.5
		4TH+	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	85.7
		TOTAL	291	97.6	94.2	62.9	22.7	0.0	18.9
	PLACERVILLE	1ST	364	97.8	97.3	83.8	2.2	0.0	1.9
		2ND	126	96.0	97.6	12.7	76.2	0.0	15.9
		3RD	43	90.7	93.0	2.3	65.1	0.0	25.6
		4TH+	12	66.7	75.0	0.0	58.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	545	96.1	96.5	59.1	25.5	0.0	7.7
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	1ST	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FRESNO CENTRAL	1ST	2896	96.5	97.8	94.0	1.7	0.0	0.8
		2ND	971	93.0	99.0	12.9	78.0	0.0	16.3
		3RD	266	90.2	98.1	3.8	74.1	1.5	38.7
		4TH+	99	47.5	100.0	4.0	29.3	9.1	4.0
		TOTAL	4232	94.2	98.2	67.6	24.4	0.3	6.8
	CLOVIS	1ST	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
GLENN	WILLOWS	1ST	70	94.3	57.1	24.3	0.0	0.0	1.4
		2ND	39	89.7	89.7	10.3	17.9	0.0	25.6
		3RD	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	25.0	8.3	50.0
		4TH+	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	127	91.3	72.4	16.5	8.7	0.8	15.0
HUMBOLDT	EUREKA	1ST	461	97.0	92.6	92.4	2.0	0.0	2.4
		2ND	151	98.7	96.0	20.5	75.5	0.0	66.9
		3RD	38	97.4	97.4	13.2	81.6	0.0	81.6
		4TH+	13	92.3	100.0	7.7	46.2	0.0	38.5
		TOTAL	663	97.3	93.8	69.8	24.1	0.0	22.3
IMPERIAL	EL CENTRO	1ST	293	94.9	10.6	79.9	2.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	69	88.4	79.7	15.9	72.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	11	63.6	90.9	0.0	54.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	381	92.1	27.0	64.3	17.1	0.0	0.0
	EL CENTRO JUV	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST	67	97.0	32.8	85.1	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	15	93.3	66.7	40.0	46.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	6	100.0	66.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	88	96.6	40.9	73.9	12.5	0.0	0.0
	CALEXICO	1ST	50	92.0	6.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	11	81.8	90.9	9.1	72.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	62	88.7	22.6	46.8	14.5	0.0	0.0
INYO	INDEPENDENCE	1ST	2	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	60.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	INYO JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	1ST	80	93.8	40.0	90.0	1.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	34	97.1	73.5	29.4	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	9	88.9	100.0	22.2	33.3	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	123	94.3	53.7	68.3	17.1	0.0	0.8

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN	BAKERSFIELD	1ST	1432	96.9	98.4	72.6	0.0	0.0	2.2
		2ND	460	94.1	98.7	7.4	0.4	0.9	41.3
		3RD	140	88.6	99.3	4.3	1.4	2.1	57.9
		4TH+	58	50.0	98.3	6.9	3.4	10.3	15.5
		TOTAL	2090	94.4	98.5	51.9	0.3	0.6	14.9
	BAKERSFIELD JUV	1ST	21	90.5	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	90.5	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LAMONT	1ST	124	91.9	92.7	47.6	2.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	49	100.0	100.0	6.1	42.9	0.0	2.0
		3RD	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	185	93.0	95.1	33.5	14.1	0.0	0.5
	DELANO	1ST	94	98.9	98.9	81.9	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	16	93.8	100.0	6.3	43.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD	13	84.6	100.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	123	96.7	99.2	63.4	11.4	0.0	0.0
	KERN RIVER	1ST	13	100.0	84.6	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	100.0	88.2	58.8	5.9	0.0	0.0
	TAFT	1ST	49	95.9	98.0	51.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	9	100.0	88.9	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	60	96.7	96.7	43.3	3.3	0.0	0.0
	SHAFTER	1ST	101	95.0	98.0	64.4	18.8	0.0	2.0
		2ND	40	100.0	97.5	17.5	65.0	0.0	2.5
		3RD	11	90.9	100.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	157	94.3	98.1	47.1	35.7	0.0	2.5
	MOJAVE	1ST	179	96.1	98.3	68.2	2.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	64	96.9	100.0	20.3	21.9	0.0	1.6
		3RD	15	93.3	100.0	26.7	6.7	0.0	13.3
		4TH+	5	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	263	94.3	98.5	52.9	7.2	0.4	1.1

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN (cont)	RIDGECREST	1ST	95	98.9	100.0	52.6	0.0	1.1	0.0
		2ND	40	97.5	97.5	42.5	0.0	2.5	22.5
		3RD	5	80.0	100.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	140	97.9	99.3	49.3	0.0	1.4	7.9
KINGS	HANFORD JUV	1ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST	450	95.6	99.6	92.2	2.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	174	90.8	99.4	17.2	75.3	0.0	0.6
		3RD	36	80.6	100.0	11.1	72.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	19	42.1	94.7	0.0	31.6	0.0	5.3
		TOTAL	679	92.0	99.4	66.1	25.6	0.0	0.3
	AVENAL	1ST	39	97.4	94.9	94.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	13	100.0	92.3	15.4	84.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	96.4	94.5	70.9	23.6	0.0	0.0
	CORCORAN	1ST	22	100.0	100.0	86.4	4.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	11	90.9	100.0	45.5	63.6	0.0	9.1
		3RD	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	36	94.4	97.2	66.7	27.8	0.0	2.8
LAKE	LAKEPORT	1ST	187	90.9	31.6	77.0	1.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	69	94.2	69.6	27.5	49.3	0.0	4.3
		3RD	20	85.0	90.0	15.0	45.0	5.0	10.0
		4TH+	8	50.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	284	90.1	46.5	58.5	15.8	0.4	1.8
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	1ST	91	95.6	95.6	84.6	2.2	0.0	1.1
		2ND	25	100.0	100.0	16.0	76.0	0.0	24.0
		3RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	96.8	96.8	65.3	22.6	0.0	5.6

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	1ST	31	45.2	96.8	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	8	50.0	87.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	47	48.9	95.7	17.0	6.4	4.3	0.0
	POMONA	1ST	840	97.3	35.0	94.8	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	179	96.6	96.1	7.8	86.6	0.0	0.6
		3RD	40	95.0	100.0	0.0	85.0	2.5	0.0
		4TH+	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1063	97.0	47.9	76.2	19.3	0.1	0.1
	LANCASTER	1ST	23	39.1	82.6	39.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	7	42.9	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	36.4	84.8	27.3	3.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO	1ST	903	97.2	17.4	88.7	4.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	207	97.1	85.0	13.0	78.7	0.5	0.0
		3RD	44	93.2	97.7	2.3	79.5	4.5	0.0
		4TH+	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1160	97.0	32.9	71.5	20.9	0.3	0.0
	PASADENA	1ST	694	98.0	9.5	93.7	0.9	0.3	0.0
		2ND	154	97.4	91.6	9.1	84.4	1.9	0.0
		3RD	26	100.0	100.0	0.0	34.6	61.5	0.0
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	878	97.9	27.0	75.6	16.9	2.5	0.0
	VAN NUYS	1ST	2431	97.9	22.4	92.8	2.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	540	98.5	94.4	5.9	89.4	0.0	0.4
		3RD	103	98.1	99.0	1.0	73.8	2.9	0.0
		4TH+	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3079	98.0	37.7	74.3	20.4	0.1	0.1
	LONG BEACH	1ST	1237	96.3	39.0	89.9	2.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	310	94.8	88.4	10.3	80.6	0.3	0.6
		3RD	49	89.8	100.0	4.1	71.4	10.2	0.0
		4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1599	95.7	50.6	71.7	19.6	0.4	0.1

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont)	COMPTON	1ST	608	95.7	32.7	82.7	2.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	150	92.0	82.7	15.3	70.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	30	80.0	100.0	3.3	53.3	16.7	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	790	94.4	44.9	66.7	17.6	0.8	0.0
	NORWALK	1ST	12	58.3	75.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	44.4	83.3	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TORRANCE	1ST	950	98.1	16.0	93.8	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	237	98.3	80.6	18.1	76.8	0.8	0.4
		3RD	51	100.0	92.2	5.9	72.5	15.7	0.0
		4TH+	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1240	98.1	31.6	75.6	19.3	0.8	0.1
	SANTA MONICA	1ST	8	50.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	50.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LOS ANGLES JUV	1ST	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EASTLAKE JUV	1ST	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	L ANGELES AIRPORT	1ST	853	98.5	16.3	86.0	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND	176	98.9	85.8	10.2	75.6	0.6	0.0
		3RD	34	94.1	94.1	5.9	64.7	5.9	0.0
		4TH+	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1068	98.2	30.6	70.6	16.9	0.3	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1ST	409	98.5	17.8	87.5	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	92	100.0	85.9	16.3	79.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	13	100.0	92.3	7.7	84.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	515	98.8	32.0	72.6	17.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	ANTLOPE VALLEY	1ST	752	94.4	60.6	88.8	2.1	0.1	0.1
		2ND	235	89.4	92.8	11.5	73.2	0.9	0.4
		3RD	58	86.2	98.3	0.0	70.7	10.3	1.7
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1049	92.8	70.1	66.3	22.1	0.9	0.3
	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST	59	100.0	28.8	83.1	0.0	0.0	1.7
		2ND	10	100.0	60.0	30.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	71	100.0	35.2	73.2	9.9	0.0	1.4
	BURBANK	1ST	333	99.7	16.2	91.6	3.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND	64	100.0	85.9	14.1	84.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD	12	100.0	91.7	8.3	83.3	8.3	0.0
		4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	412	99.8	29.9	76.5	18.9	0.2	0.0
	WEST COVINA	1ST	1209	98.0	23.5	95.7	1.2	0.1	0.0
		2ND	302	97.4	91.7	7.6	88.4	0.0	0.3
		3RD	66	95.5	100.0	1.5	86.4	6.1	3.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1579	97.8	39.8	74.8	21.5	0.3	0.2
	CHATSWORTH	1ST	5	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST	1118	97.8	14.5	89.3	2.0	0.2	0.0
		2ND	258	97.3	88.8	11.6	80.2	0.8	0.4
		3RD	41	90.2	97.6	4.9	48.8	31.7	0.0
		4TH+	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1420	97.4	30.6	72.5	17.5	1.3	0.1
	EAST LA JUD ST	1ST	647	98.3	13.6	81.9	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	153	99.3	90.8	9.8	73.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD	22	100.0	95.5	0.0	77.3	0.0	4.5
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	823	98.5	30.3	66.2	17.7	0.0	0.1

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	EL MONTE	1ST	543	97.4	38.7	90.1	4.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	113	100.0	92.9	13.3	82.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	21	90.5	95.2	4.8	81.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	677	97.6	49.5	74.6	19.6	0.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	1ST	438	98.2	10.7	93.8	1.4	0.2	0.0
		2ND	96	91.7	88.5	11.5	77.1	0.0	1.0
		3RD	15	100.0	93.3	6.7	86.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	549	97.1	26.6	77.0	16.9	0.2	0.2
	INGLEWOOD	1ST	385	98.4	18.2	60.5	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	104	100.0	79.8	12.5	49.0	0.0	1.0
		3RD	18	94.4	94.4	0.0	27.8	22.2	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	509	98.6	33.8	48.3	12.4	1.0	0.2
	LA METRO	1ST	4092	95.7	37.2	90.6	3.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND	885	95.5	95.3	7.7	86.1	0.5	0.5
		3RD	160	86.3	98.8	1.2	76.9	5.6	1.9
		4TH+	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5142	95.3	49.2	73.5	20.4	0.3	0.2
	BELLFLOWER	1ST	702	98.4	24.2	94.4	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	157	95.5	89.2	7.6	84.7	1.9	0.0
		3RD	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.0
		4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	873	97.7	37.1	77.3	18.1	0.6	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	1ST	622	98.4	29.1	69.5	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	182	98.4	95.1	6.0	63.7	0.5	0.5
		3RD	29	96.6	96.6	3.4	55.2	10.3	3.4
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	834	98.3	45.9	53.2	17.4	0.5	0.2
	MALIBU	1ST	28	100.0	10.7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	16	100.0	68.8	31.3	68.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	47	100.0	36.2	61.7	25.5	2.1	0.0
	HUNTINGTON PARK	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	WHITTIER	1ST	157	96.2	12.1	90.4	4.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	30	100.0	86.7	10.0	86.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	196	95.9	27.6	74.0	19.9	0.0	0.0
	CATALINA	1ST	11	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	USDT LOS ANGELES	1ST	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	MADERA	1ST	49	89.8	95.9	65.3	4.1	2.0	0.0
		2ND	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	57.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
		4TH+	9	66.7	100.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	0.0
		TOTAL	71	87.3	97.2	46.5	12.7	9.9	0.0
	MADERA TRAF	1ST	286	99.0	99.7	94.1	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	115	95.7	99.1	20.0	68.7	0.9	0.0
		3RD	35	85.7	97.1	11.4	62.9	2.9	0.0
		4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	441	96.8	99.3	67.3	24.9	0.5	0.0
	BASS LAKE	1ST	90	96.7	91.1	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	24	100.0	100.0	33.3	62.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	10	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	126	96.0	93.7	75.4	18.3	0.8	0.0
MARIN	MARIN	1ST	906	98.6	17.7	88.5	2.3	0.0	2.2
		2ND	214	99.5	86.9	6.1	89.7	0.0	22.4
		3RD	54	98.1	96.3	0.0	24.1	0.0	53.7
		4TH+	15	73.3	93.3	0.0	26.7	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	1189	98.4	34.7	68.5	19.3	0.0	9.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MARIPOSA	MARIPOSA	1ST	54	96.3	96.3	55.6	9.3	0.0	3.7
		2ND	21	95.2	100.0	4.8	38.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	12	100.0	100.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	25.0
		4TH+	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	94.4	97.8	37.1	21.3	0.0	5.6
MENDOCINO	UKIAH	1ST	5	80.0	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	4	75.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
		4TH+	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	19	84.2	94.7	21.1	10.5	0.0	15.8
	UKIAH JUV	1ST	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MENDOCINO	1ST	254	98.8	97.2	91.7	4.3	0.0	12.2
		2ND	86	98.8	100.0	7.0	89.5	0.0	64.0
		3RD	22	100.0	100.0	4.5	90.9	0.0	72.7
		TOTAL	362	98.9	98.1	66.3	29.8	0.0	28.2
	POINT ARENA	2ND	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	ROUND VALLEY	1ST	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	62.5	37.5	0.0	50.0
	FORT BRAGG	1ST	74	97.3	94.6	86.5	9.5	0.0	4.1
		2ND	25	100.0	100.0	12.0	88.0	0.0	16.0
		3RD	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	62.5
		TOTAL	107	96.3	96.3	62.6	32.7	0.0	11.2
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST	387	89.1	88.9	79.8	2.1	0.3	0.0
		2ND	141	87.9	90.1	15.6	58.2	5.7	2.1
		3RD	28	89.3	89.3	7.1	67.9	3.6	17.9
		4TH+	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	563	88.5	89.3	59.1	19.5	2.0	1.4

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MERCED (cont)	LOS BANOS	1ST	142	84.5	97.9	83.8	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	43	88.4	97.7	9.3	76.7	0.0	11.6
		3RD	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	75.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	197	85.8	98.0	62.9	23.4	0.0	4.1
MODOC	ALTURAS	1ST	27	100.0	88.9	92.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	15	93.3	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	13.3
		3RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	50.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0
		TOTAL	47	97.9	93.6	63.8	27.7	4.3	10.6
MONO	NORTH CITY	2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST	51	100.0	43.1	92.2	5.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND	20	100.0	90.0	15.0	85.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	74	98.6	58.1	67.6	28.4	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	SALINAS	1ST	1212	98.9	99.1	80.4	2.6	0.0	2.6
		2ND	332	97.6	99.4	8.1	75.6	0.0	19.3
		3RD	74	94.6	98.6	2.7	64.9	0.0	27.0
		4TH+	27	63.0	96.3	0.0	37.0	0.0	14.8
		TOTAL	1645	97.9	99.1	61.0	20.7	0.0	7.3
	SALINAS JUV	1ST	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA	1ST	9	22.2	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	30.0	30.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
	KING CITY	1ST	129	99.2	100.0	87.6	3.9	0.0	12.4
		2ND	49	95.9	98.0	6.1	85.7	0.0	69.4
		3RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	187	98.4	99.5	62.0	29.4	0.0	28.9

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
NAPA	NAPA	1ST	527	97.5	95.1	88.4	3.6	0.0	29.2
		2ND	134	98.5	94.8	13.4	79.9	0.0	81.3
		3RD	20	95.0	95.0	5.0	80.0	0.0	95.0
		4TH+	10	80.0	100.0	10.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	691	97.4	95.1	70.3	21.3	0.0	41.5
NEVADA	NEVADA JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TRUCKEE JUV	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	NEVADA CITY	1ST	158	97.5	98.1	93.7	0.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	56	98.2	98.2	17.9	76.8	0.0	3.6
		3RD	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	10.0
		4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	229	96.9	98.3	69.0	25.3	0.0	1.3
	TRUCKEE	1ST	128	100.0	82.0	93.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	36	97.2	94.4	77.8	11.1	0.0	2.8
		3RD	8	100.0	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	172	99.4	85.5	89.5	3.5	0.0	0.6
ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	1ST	53	90.6	15.1	56.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	5	100.0	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	58	91.4	17.2	60.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST	2625	98.9	35.6	94.4	1.4	0.0	0.2
		2ND	599	99.0	94.2	5.3	90.0	0.0	7.0
		3RD	114	91.2	100.0	0.9	87.7	0.0	16.7
		4TH+	24	62.5	100.0	0.0	58.3	0.0	4.2
		TOTAL	3362	98.4	48.7	74.7	20.5	0.0	2.0
	WESTMINSTER	1ST	2451	98.5	16.5	94.3	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	636	97.2	92.9	6.6	85.1	0.0	2.4
		3RD	146	93.8	95.9	1.4	82.9	0.0	4.1
		4TH+	38	47.4	97.4	0.0	36.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3271	97.5	35.9	72.0	21.9	0.0	0.6
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
ORANGE (cont)	NEWPORT BEACH	1ST	2151	99.1	62.1	94.9	0.8	0.0	0.1
		2ND	566	97.3	95.6	8.3	83.6	0.0	8.1
		3RD	161	92.5	98.8	1.9	79.5	0.0	9.3
		4TH+	26	53.8	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	3.8
		TOTAL	2904	98.0	71.0	72.0	21.7	0.0	2.2
	SANTA ANA	1ST	1597	98.2	32.1	93.0	2.1	0.0	0.5
		2ND	430	98.1	93.5	6.0	86.7	0.0	20.5
		3RD	91	90.1	93.4	3.3	83.5	0.0	18.7
		4TH+	26	38.5	96.2	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2144	97.2	47.8	70.7	22.8	0.0	5.3
PLACER	PLACER JUV	1ST	11	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	1ST	888	98.2	98.4	95.6	1.1	0.0	1.5
		2ND	237	91.1	99.6	10.1	81.0	0.0	50.6
		3RD	82	81.7	100.0	0.0	78.0	0.0	73.2
		4TH+	25	60.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	1232	95.0	98.8	70.9	22.8	0.0	16.9
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	1ST	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TAHOE CITY	1ST	119	100.0	100.0	95.8	0.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	33	97.0	97.0	84.8	3.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	9	100.0	100.0	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	163	99.4	99.4	92.6	1.8	0.0	0.0
PLUMAS	QUINCY	1ST	68	98.5	89.7	69.1	8.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	32	100.0	90.6	6.3	78.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	14	92.9	92.9	0.0	85.7	0.0	14.3
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	118	97.5	90.7	41.5	39.0	0.0	1.7
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	1ST	2838	96.6	97.1	93.2	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	769	94.3	97.0	5.5	88.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD	175	91.4	96.0	1.1	89.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	64	57.8	92.2	0.0	48.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3846	95.2	97.0	69.9	24.6	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
RIVERSIDE (cont)	INDIO	1ST	1234	96.0	90.9	91.5	2.6	0.0	0.2
		2ND	309	93.2	91.9	10.7	81.6	0.0	1.0
		3RD	66	92.4	90.9	9.1	78.8	0.0	1.5
		4TH+	18	55.6	88.9	0.0	44.4	0.0	5.6
		TOTAL	1627	94.9	91.1	71.8	21.1	0.0	0.4
	RIVERSIDE JUV	1ST	15	100.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MURRIETA JUV	1ST	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BANNING	1ST	246	97.2	95.5	94.3	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	78	94.9	97.4	5.1	87.2	0.0	1.3
		3RD	18	88.9	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	11.1
		4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	345	95.7	96.2	68.7	25.5	0.0	0.9
	BLYTHE	1ST	46	95.7	87.0	93.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	22	95.5	95.5	13.6	81.8	0.0	13.6
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	72	95.8	90.3	63.9	30.6	0.0	9.7
	MURRIETA	1ST	1405	98.4	96.9	96.8	1.4	0.0	0.4
		2ND	397	93.7	96.0	9.1	83.6	0.0	6.0
		3RD	86	88.4	95.3	2.3	84.9	0.0	17.4
		4TH+	15	73.3	93.3	0.0	73.3	0.0	13.3
		TOTAL	1903	96.7	96.6	73.5	22.9	0.0	2.5
	TEMECULA	1ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	1ST	124	83.1	99.2	66.1	1.6	0.0	28.2
		2ND	63	65.1	95.2	1.6	34.9	0.0	34.9
		3RD	35	45.7	100.0	0.0	37.1	0.0	20.0
		4TH+	90	47.8	96.7	0.0	31.1	0.0	40.0
		TOTAL	312	65.1	97.8	26.6	20.8	0.0	32.1
	SACRAMENTO JUV	1ST	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SACRAMENTO (cont)	SACRAMENTO TRAF	1ST	3371	98.7	96.7	95.0	1.2	0.0	0.6
		2ND	992	100.0	99.4	6.4	89.6	0.0	6.0
		3RD	286	99.3	99.7	1.0	90.9	0.0	11.9
		4TH+	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	18.2
		TOTAL	4660	99.0	97.4	70.2	25.8	0.0	2.5
	USDT EAST DST	1ST	5	60.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	3	66.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	62.5	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1ST	153	98.7	97.4	30.1	0.0	0.0	2.0
		2ND	54	100.0	100.0	1.9	18.5	0.0	37.0
		3RD	17	94.1	100.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	76.5
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	228	98.7	98.2	20.6	4.8	0.0	16.2
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO	1ST	1000	96.1	91.3	89.7	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	343	94.8	98.0	9.0	80.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD	87	93.1	85.1	3.4	69.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	35	68.6	82.9	11.4	34.3	0.0	5.7
		TOTAL	1465	94.9	92.3	63.8	25.4	0.0	0.1
	R CUCAMONGA	1ST	1285	96.0	79.1	90.8	3.3	0.0	0.1
		2ND	401	96.0	94.8	9.0	84.8	0.0	1.2
		3RD	114	93.0	96.5	0.0	68.4	0.0	0.9
		4TH+	31	64.5	64.5	3.2	32.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1831	95.3	83.3	65.8	25.7	0.0	0.4
	VICTORVILLE	1ST	927	95.9	81.6	90.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	292	95.2	97.3	9.6	82.9	0.0	0.7
		3RD	88	90.9	96.6	2.3	75.0	0.0	3.4
		4TH+	33	60.6	78.8	0.0	54.5	0.0	3.0
		TOTAL	1340	94.6	85.9	64.5	26.5	0.0	0.4
	BARSTOW	1ST	24	100.0	50.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	8	75.0	87.5	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	34	91.2	61.8	67.6	11.8	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	JOSHUA TREE	1ST	252	94.0	83.3	88.1	4.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	59	93.2	98.3	10.2	83.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	17	70.6	100.0	5.9	58.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	8	62.5	87.5	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	336	92.0	86.9	68.2	22.3	0.0	0.0
	S BERNARDINO JUV	1ST	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VICTORVILLE JUV	1ST	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FONTANA	1ST	1042	97.4	60.7	92.7	2.3	0.0	1.3
		2ND	273	94.5	93.8	14.7	76.9	0.0	11.0
		3RD	82	90.2	98.8	3.7	78.0	0.0	17.1
		4TH+	21	66.7	81.0	0.0	52.4	0.0	4.8
		TOTAL	1418	96.0	69.6	71.2	21.8	0.0	4.2
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1ST	108	89.8	84.3	31.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	40	77.5	97.5	5.0	12.5	0.0	2.5
		3RD	27	63.0	96.3	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	19	52.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	194	79.9	90.2	19.1	3.1	0.0	0.5
	VISTA	1ST	1920	98.3	35.9	85.8	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	595	97.6	90.4	7.7	80.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	128	90.6	96.9	2.3	79.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	35	45.7	97.1	0.0	37.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2678	97.1	51.7	63.3	23.7	0.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO JUV	1ST	28	3.6	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	6.9	6.9	3.4	3.4	0.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST	1328	96.4	16.9	87.0	1.7	0.0	0.1
		2ND	468	92.7	84.2	12.6	72.0	0.0	0.4
		3RD	120	81.7	94.2	4.2	60.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	25	64.0	100.0	0.0	48.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1941	94.2	38.9	62.8	22.9	0.0	0.2

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN DIEGO (cont)	SAN DIEGO TRAF	1ST	2761	96.8	24.1	93.0	1.8	0.0	7.2
		2ND	765	95.2	87.6	13.7	80.5	0.0	30.5
		3RD	155	92.9	91.0	4.5	85.8	0.0	38.7
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	3683	96.3	40.2	72.7	21.8	0.0	13.4
	CHULA VISTA	1ST	935	96.0	9.8	92.2	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	252	96.8	87.7	7.5	84.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD	60	88.3	91.7	6.7	81.7	1.7	0.0
		4TH+	16	62.5	100.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1263	95.4	30.4	70.1	22.4	0.1	0.0
	USDT SAN DIEGO	1ST	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST	6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
	SAN FRAN JUV	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	1ST	690	98.7	99.3	98.1	0.9	0.0	1.7
		2ND	164	98.2	100.0	11.6	85.4	0.0	78.0
		3RD	29	96.6	100.0	0.0	79.3	6.9	96.6
		4TH+	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	83.3
		TOTAL	889	98.4	99.4	78.3	19.6	0.2	19.5
	USDT S FRANCISCO	2ND	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN JUV	1ST	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1ST	14	92.9	100.0	85.7	7.1	0.0	7.1
		2ND	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	24	87.5	100.0	50.0	41.7	0.0	33.3

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN JOAQUIN (cont)	MANTECA	1ST	464	98.9	99.4	90.3	2.6	0.0	0.4
		2ND	141	100.0	99.3	14.2	77.3	0.0	17.0
		3RD	34	97.1	100.0	5.9	88.2	0.0	52.9
		4TH+	14	71.4	100.0	0.0	57.1	0.0	71.4
		TOTAL	653	98.5	99.4	67.5	24.3	0.0	8.3
	STOCKTON	1ST	1083	97.8	98.5	95.6	2.2	0.0	2.5
		2ND	377	97.9	99.5	13.5	84.6	0.0	59.2
		3RD	91	94.5	100.0	0.0	96.7	0.0	61.5
		4TH+	54	81.5	100.0	0.0	85.2	0.0	74.1
		TOTAL	1605	97.1	98.9	67.7	29.7	0.0	21.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	S LUIS OBISPO JUV	1ST	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST	1116	98.6	99.3	93.1	1.7	0.0	0.4
		2ND	331	97.9	100.0	12.4	80.7	0.0	4.5
		3RD	86	95.3	98.8	5.8	66.3	14.0	7.0
		4TH+	26	50.0	100.0	0.0	34.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1559	97.4	99.4	69.6	22.6	0.8	1.6
SAN MATEO	REDWOOD CITY	1ST	1411	95.2	98.9	88.0	1.7	0.0	0.3
		2ND	399	96.0	99.2	9.0	82.7	0.0	12.3
		3RD	91	87.9	95.6	2.2	75.8	0.0	28.6
		4TH+	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1909	94.8	98.8	67.1	22.2	0.0	4.1
	SAN MATEO JUV	1ST	10	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SO SAN FRANCISCO	1ST	182	87.4	99.5	84.1	1.1	0.0	1.1
		2ND	45	93.3	100.0	17.8	71.1	0.0	8.9
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	230	88.7	99.6	70.0	16.1	0.0	3.0
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBRA JUV	1ST	7	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA MARIA JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA BARBARA (cont)	SANTA BARBARA	1ST	761	94.6	82.3	90.1	1.2	0.0	0.1
		2ND	209	95.7	98.1	6.7	85.6	0.0	5.3
		3RD	40	92.5	100.0	2.5	90.0	0.0	7.5
		4TH+	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	1016	94.6	86.3	69.0	22.4	0.0	1.6
	SANTA MARIA	1ST	490	98.2	50.6	92.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	157	98.1	87.9	12.7	82.8	0.0	0.6
		3RD	44	97.7	86.4	0.0	93.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	699	97.6	61.8	67.4	27.0	0.0	0.1
	LOMPOC	1ST	186	95.7	27.4	84.4	0.5	0.0	0.5
		2ND	41	100.0	82.9	17.1	48.8	0.0	7.3
		3RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0
		4TH+	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	234	95.7	39.3	70.1	9.8	0.0	3.0
	SOLVANG	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CLARA	SAN JOSE	1ST	2515	99.0	99.1	96.1	2.9	0.0	6.4
		2ND	679	97.6	99.9	7.7	89.4	0.1	60.7
		3RD	148	94.6	100.0	3.4	85.8	0.0	80.4
		4TH+	48	72.9	95.8	0.0	81.3	0.0	72.9
		TOTAL	3390	98.2	99.3	73.0	25.0	0.1	21.5
	SAN JOSE JUV	1ST	15	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST	692	98.3	97.5	92.6	2.6	0.0	1.6
		2ND	173	99.4	99.4	24.3	73.4	0.0	28.3
		3RD	48	93.8	100.0	6.3	83.3	0.0	77.1
		4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	916	98.3	98.0	74.9	20.5	0.0	10.9
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	1ST	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA CLARA (cont)	MORGAN HILL	1ST	357	99.2	98.6	94.7	2.8	0.0	2.8
		2ND	118	100.0	100.0	11.0	87.3	0.0	46.6
		3RD	30	96.7	100.0	3.3	70.0	0.0	70.0
		4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
		TOTAL	508	99.2	99.0	69.3	27.0	0.0	17.3
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ	1ST	830	97.8	97.2	77.6	0.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	245	98.4	98.8	11.4	57.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD	71	95.8	97.2	4.2	40.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1160	97.6	97.6	58.2	15.3	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ JUV	1ST	6	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WATSONVILLE	1ST	42	97.6	95.2	61.9	2.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	21	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	68	98.5	97.1	38.2	23.5	0.0	0.0
SHASTA	REDDING JUV	1ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST	8	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	100.0	54.5	27.3	0.0	27.3
	REDDING	1ST	442	97.5	98.2	73.5	2.3	0.0	30.5
		2ND	188	96.3	98.4	14.4	62.2	0.0	68.6
		3RD	45	95.6	97.8	6.7	57.8	2.2	71.1
		4TH+	18	61.1	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	693	96.1	98.3	51.2	22.7	0.1	43.6
SIERRA	SIERRA	1ST	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	75.0	100.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SISKIYOU	YREKA	1ST	78	98.7	91.0	79.5	5.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	30	100.0	93.3	20.0	63.3	0.0	20.0
		3RD	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	71.4	0.0	57.1
		TOTAL	117	97.4	91.5	58.1	24.8	0.0	9.4
	WEED	1ST	49	87.8	95.9	73.5	2.0	0.0	6.1
		2ND	23	100.0	100.0	30.4	60.9	0.0	26.1
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	75	92.0	97.3	57.3	24.0	0.0	14.7
SOLANO	FAIRFIELD JUV	1ST	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST	523	96.9	98.1	94.1	1.3	0.0	1.3
		2ND	160	99.4	100.0	13.8	83.8	0.0	29.4
		3RD	44	86.4	93.2	0.0	86.4	0.0	68.2
		4TH+	16	68.8	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	43.8
		TOTAL	743	96.2	98.3	69.2	25.6	0.0	12.2
	VALLEJO	1ST	221	99.5	99.5	95.5	3.6	0.0	0.9
		2ND	85	97.6	100.0	4.7	94.1	0.0	20.0
		3RD	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	76.9
		4TH+	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	325	98.8	99.7	66.5	32.3	0.0	9.8
SONOMA	SANTA ROSA	1ST	1635	95.9	96.1	89.5	1.6	0.0	4.6
		2ND	496	96.0	99.4	8.5	80.6	0.0	80.0
		3RD	111	94.6	99.1	2.7	83.8	0.0	91.9
		4TH+	45	57.8	97.8	0.0	53.3	0.0	53.3
		TOTAL	2287	95.1	97.0	66.0	23.7	0.0	26.2
	SANTA ROSA JUV	1ST	8	25.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	MODESTO	1ST	1300	99.2	99.7	94.5	4.2	0.0	0.5
		2ND	452	98.2	100.0	9.7	87.6	0.0	5.3
		3RD	124	90.3	99.2	2.4	88.7	0.0	22.6
		4TH+	48	47.9	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	4.2
		TOTAL	1924	97.1	99.7	66.3	30.7	0.0	3.1

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STANISLAUS (cont)	STANISLAUS JUV	1ST	11	90.9	81.8	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	13	84.6	76.9	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MODESTO TRAF	1ST	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER	YUBA CITY TRAF	1ST	172	93.6	96.5	91.3	0.6	0.0	4.1
		2ND	54	94.4	100.0	14.8	75.9	0.0	61.1
		3RD	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	80.0
		4TH+	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	85.7
		TOTAL	248	94.4	97.6	66.5	25.0	0.0	23.4
TEHAMA	RED BLUFF	1ST	3	33.3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7
		2ND	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3
		3RD	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	11	36.4	90.9	27.3	9.1	0.0	36.4
	CORNING	1ST	7	85.7	85.7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	87.5	87.5	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0
	TEHAMA TRAF	1ST	175	97.7	100.0	96.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	47	97.9	100.0	10.6	87.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	237	97.0	100.0	73.0	24.1	0.0	0.4
TRINITY	TRINITY	1ST	33	97.0	97.0	90.9	6.1	0.0	6.1
		2ND	9	100.0	88.9	22.2	77.8	0.0	66.7
		3RD	12	100.0	100.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	83.3
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	55	98.2	96.4	60.0	36.4	0.0	32.7
TULARE	VISALIA JUV	1ST	6	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TULARE (cont)	TULARE	1ST	1031	96.2	62.7	91.7	1.5	0.2	0.8
		2ND	327	95.7	95.1	14.7	76.1	0.6	8.6
		3RD	96	93.8	95.8	7.3	81.3	0.0	10.4
		4TH+	48	77.1	97.9	4.2	37.5	2.1	31.3
		TOTAL	1502	95.3	73.0	66.7	24.0	0.3	4.1
	PORTERVILLE	1ST	467	97.0	95.7	91.0	3.4	0.0	1.3
		2ND	131	99.2	97.7	13.0	83.2	0.0	19.1
		3RD	41	87.8	100.0	4.9	82.9	0.0	29.3
		4TH+	20	75.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	659	96.2	96.5	67.4	26.6	0.0	7.1
TUOLUMNE	SONORA	1ST	205	94.1	78.5	88.3	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	77	94.8	74.0	11.7	77.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD	12	91.7	91.7	0.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
		4TH+	13	69.2	100.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	307	93.2	78.8	61.9	23.8	0.3	0.0
	SONORA JUV	1ST	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	VENTURA	1ST	2103	96.9	97.4	93.6	2.0	0.0	4.1
		2ND	495	96.8	98.4	12.3	83.2	0.0	79.2
		3RD	109	92.7	97.2	3.7	88.1	0.0	84.4
		4TH+	38	52.6	94.7	0.0	44.7	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	2745	96.1	97.6	74.1	20.7	0.0	21.5
YOLO	YOLO	1ST	372	96.0	96.8	84.1	1.9	0.0	1.1
		2ND	141	96.5	98.6	41.8	48.2	0.0	39.0
		3RD	24	91.7	100.0	33.3	58.3	0.0	62.5
		4TH+	8	12.5	75.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	545	94.7	97.1	69.7	16.5	0.0	13.8
YUBA	MARYSVILLE	1ST	185	96.8	85.4	95.1	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	58	98.3	91.4	10.3	82.8	0.0	3.4
		3RD	21	100.0	100.0	9.5	90.5	0.0	19.0
		4TH+	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	271	97.0	88.2	67.9	28.0	0.0	2.2
	MARYSVILLE JUV	2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS
FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
YUBA	USDT BEALE	1ST	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(cont)		TOTAL	2	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2013

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	MEAN 2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
ARO													
No program	3,203 (27.4%)	28.8	34.7	2.2	18.8	0.23	0.10	0.020	0.63	0.101	0.027	0.032	0.153
Alcohol education program	8,471 (72.6%)	29.3	33.6	1.7	19.4	0.21	0.09	0.015	0.69	0.110	0.029	0.029	0.152
		$X^2 = .27$	$F = 18.0$*	$X^2 = 3.2$	$F = 41.3$*	$F = 3.2$	$F = 8.2$*	$F = 26.0$*	$F = 6.4$*	$F = 255.5$*	$F = 79.8$*	$F = 65.3$*	$F = 2.52$
FDO													
3-month program	31,915 (76.9%)	28.8	33.7	1.4	19.7	0.30	0.17	0.006	0.64	0.110	0.0294	0.0302	0.154
9-month program	9,607 (23.1%)	31.1	36.8	1.3	20.0	0.41	0.28	0.007	0.47	0.109	0.0292	0.0307	0.153
		$X^2 = 17.7$*	$F = 449.6$*	$X^2 = .22$	$F = 35.9$*	$F = 267.8$*	$F = 576.4$*	$F = 2.79$	$F = 227.7$*	$F = 14.4$*	$F = 4.2$*	$F = 5.2$*	$F = 5.7$*

Note. ARO = Alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders; FDO = First DUI offenders.

*$p < .05$.