The following is only an abstract of one of our earlier reports. An email request for a printed or PDF copy of the complete report can be generated by clicking on the **Report Number** of this report in the table of reports on the <u>Research Studies and Reports</u> page. The PDF copy of the complete report was created by scanning an original, printed copy, and thus is only *partially* searchable and *is not* accessible, but is fully printable.

A printed or PDF copy of our studies and reports may also be requested by mail or phone at:

Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch 2570 24th Street, MS H-126 Sacramento, CA 95818-2606 (916) 657-5805

For a request by mail, please include the report number and your name, address, and phone number. Also, please state whether you are requesting a printed copy, a PDF copy, or both. For a PDF copy, please include your email address.

TITLE: An Evaluation of the California Drive Test in Theme and Variation. Volume II: Final Report

DATE: December 1978

AUTHOR(S): Michael Ratz

REPORT NUMBER: 62.2

NTIS NUMBER: PB89-190847/ AS

FUNDING SOURCE: Special state appropriation

PROTECT OBTECTIVE:

To determine if a longer, more "comprehensive" drive test, or the standard drive test with parallel parking and a higher fail rate, would improve the subsequent driving records of previously unlicensed applicants.

SUMMARY:

Thirty-six thousand previously unlicensed applicants for a California driver's license were given one of three treatments.

The first group (control) was given the standard California drive test. The failure rate for the first drive test attempt was held equal to the current statewide average.

A second group was given the standard California drive test with scoring altered so that 50% of the subjects failed on their first drive test attempt.

They were also given a test on parallel parking.

A third group was given a drive test which required approximately twice as long to complete as the standard test and included substantially more driving in high-density traffic. The first-attempt failure rate for this group was 50%.

One-year subsequent accident and conviction records were analyzed to determine treatment effect. No significant differences were found.

IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Because the results did not indicate that there were benefits to be expected from implementing either program, and because both programs would be more expensive to administer, implementation was not recommended.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

None.