TITLE: Audio-Visual Traffic Safety Materials (House Resolution 81, McAllister)

DATE: January 1974

AUTHOR(S): California Department of Motor Vehicles

<u>REPORT NUMBER</u>: Unnumbered (NRN005)

NTIS NUMBER: PB-267838 / AS

FUNDING SOURCE: Departmental Budget

PROTECT OBTECTIVE:

To conduct a feasibility study concerning use of audio-visual testing.

SUMMARY:

The department surveyed the literature concerning audio-visual presentations and ascertained that: (1) more than half of the states had experimented with audio-visual equipment in driver licensing; (2) the use of audio-visual equipment was invariably accompanied by equipment, test content, and operational problems; (3) no state had adequately evaluated the results of audio-visual testing from the standpoint of accident reduction effects; (4) one state (Washington) had implemented statewide audio-visual license testing; (5) public acceptance of audio-visual testing had been excellent; and (6) one state (West Virginia) had pilot-tested the approach of letting people watch a film while waiting to be tested. This was discontinued as it led to field office congestion.

A survey of educational literature suggested that the best approach to a teaching / testing situation involves programmed learning and immediate feedback-with feedback relevant to the examinee's response. The Department could not locate mass-produced commercial audio-visual equipment capable of supplying all of the features desired; however, such equipment could have been developed.

A project was designed to implement audio-visual testing on a pilot basis in selected field offices. This project was to evaluate different types of audio-visual testing to determine which approach resulted in the greatest learning. If positive results were obtained, a larger study would be undertaken to determine if the approach also reduced traffic accidents.

At the time of the study, equipment costs alone (were California to implement statewide audiovisual testing) were estimated to be in excess of two million dollars. Additionally, certain operational difficulties (e.g., additional personnel, field office construction) were anticipated. Departmental management strongly felt that a pilot implementation to ascertain and solve such problems, and to provide adequate evaluation of the methods, would be a most desirable first step.

IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

The above-described pilot study was implemented. See Kelsey et al., Report #74.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

None.