

2004

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA
DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION
SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

JANUARY 2004

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER
Governor

SUNNE WRIGHT MCPEAK, Secretary
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

CHON GUTIERREZ
Director

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503. | | | | |
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | | 2. REPORT DATE January 2004 | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2004 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System | | | 5. FUNDING NUMBERS | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J. Helander | | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Section P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820 | | | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-04-206 | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER | |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | | | |
| 12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT | | | 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE | |
| 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this thirteenth annual legislatively mandated report, 2001 and 2002 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and accident rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of twelve years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Analyses were conducted on the effectiveness of alcohol education programs upon the 1-year postconviction records of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and on the effectiveness of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education programs on the 1-year postconviction records of first offenders. | | | | |
| 14. SUBJECT TERMS drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification | | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 146 | |
| | | | 16. PRICE CODE | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None | |

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1992 - 2002

| | YEAR | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Total DUI arrests ¹ | 260150 | 233673 | 208844 | 200754 | 203794 | 193250 | 190098 | 190286 | 183955 | 178950 | 179663 |
| Felony DUI arrests | 9803 | 8738 | 7567 | 6642 | 6364 | 5612 | 5379 | 5269 | 5524 | 5711 | 5915 |
| Misdemeanor DUI arrests | 250347 | 224935 | 201277 | 194112 | 197430 | 187638 | 184719 | 185017 | 178431 | 173239 | 173748 |
| DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) ² | 189205 [†] | 170135 [†] | 152509 [†] | 149513 [†] | 149405 [†] | 141780 [†] | 143238 [†] | 148370 [†] | 142050 [†] | 136950 [†] | 127964 |
| Percent convicted of DUI as of September 2002 | 73% | 73% | 73% | 74% | 73% | 73% | 75% | 78% [†] | 77% [†] | 77% | 71% |
| Estimated final DUI convictions ³ Estimated final DUI conviction rate | 188116 | 168964 | 151550 | 148725 | 147153 | 139626 | 139245 | 143414 | 139147 | 138766 | 138329 |
| Total reckless driving convictions ⁴ Alcohol-involved reckless | 72% | 72% | 72% | 73% ⁶ | 72% | 72% | 73% | 75% | 76% | 77% | 77% |
| | 34186 | 27835 | 27374 | 24516 | 25701 | 25879 | 22851 | 24020 | 22798 | 23783 | 24590 |
| Total mandatory susp / rev ⁵ Admin per se / refusal susp / rev | 23675 | 18645 | 18246 | 16329 | 17446 | 16867 | 15945 | 15514 | 14465 | 15773 | 15694 |
| Postconviction susp / rev ⁸ | 308399 | 277447 | 243645 | 226158 [†] | 230600 [†] | 205462 | 238612 | 236141 | 240597 | 231217 | 236603 |
| Alcohol-involved fatalities % of total fatalities | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | 173696 [†] | 180343 [†] | 169511 | 175365 | 179332 | 172606 | 164840 | 165505 |
| | 79609 | 68441 | 59600 | 52462 | 50257 [†] | 35951 ⁷ | 63247 ⁷ | 56809 | 67991 | 66377 | 71098 |
| Alcohol-involved injuries % of total injuries | 1832 | 1569 | 1488 | 1343 | 1254 | 1100 | 1072 | 1170 | 1233 | 1308 | 1416 |
| | 43.8 | 37.7 | 35.3 | 32.2 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 33.3 | 34.2 |
| | 48969 | 42936 | 39437 | 36961 | 35654 | 31189 | 30985 | 29833 | 30971 | 31806 | 32013 |
| | 14.5 | 13.6 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 10.7 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 10.4 | 10.4 |

¹These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates (1.3% in 2002).

²These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.

³These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.

⁴These totals were taken from the Research DUI data file and the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.

⁵Since 1991, total mandatory suspension / revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension / revocation.

⁶This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.

⁷The 1997 / 1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

⁸These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements and include workload counts.

[†]Revised from prior reports.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1992 - 2002 (continued)

| | YEAR | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION / REVOCATION (S / R) ACTIONS | 308399 | 277447 | 243645 | 226158 ^r | 230600 ^f | 205462 ² | 238612 ² | 236141 | 240597 | 231217 | 236603 |
| PRECONVICTION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Admin Per Se (APS) Actions | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | 173696 ^r | 180343 ^f | 169511 | 175365 | 179332 | 172606 | 164840 | 165505 |
| .01 Zero tolerance suspensions | n/ a | n/ a | 9971 | 8608 ^r | 9327 ^f | 11517 | 15640 | 17775 | 18185 | 18549 | 19129 |
| .08 First-offender suspensions | 157545 | 144321 | 120582 | 116636 ^r | 122111 ^f | 114247 | 116827 | 119621 | 114997 | 109695 | 109888 |
| .08 Repeat-offender suspensions | 62656 | 57279 | 47429 | 43218 ^r | 43922 ^f | 39636 | 39024 | 38487 | 36147 | 33517 | 33580 |
| .08 Repeat-offender revocations | 8589 | 7406 | 6063 | 5234 ^r | 4983 ^f | 4111 | 3874 | 3449 | 3277 | 3079 | 2908 |
| Commercial driver actions | 6449 | 5829 | 5038 | 4743 ^r | 4939 ^f | 4496 | 4609 | 4471 | 4139 | 4013 | 3936 |
| Chemical test refusal actions | 17963 ^r | 15662 | 13264 | 11711 ^r | 11436 ^f | 10110 | 9935 | 9435 | 9433 | 8841 | 8772 |
| .01 Test refusal suspensions | n/a | n/a | 179 | 170 | 154 | 134 | 229 | 268 | 270 | 280 | 290 |
| .08 Test refusal suspensions | 9374 ^r | 8256 | 7022 | 6307 ^r | 6299 ^f | 5865 | 5832 | 5718 | 5886 | 5482 | 5547 |
| .08 Test refusal revocations | 8589 ^r | 7406 | 6063 | 5234 ^r | 4983 ^f | 4111 | 3874 | 3449 | 3277 | 3079 | 2908 |
| TOTAL APS / REFUSAL ACTIONS | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | 173696 ^r | 180343 ^f | 169511 | 175365 | 179332 | 172606 | 164840 | 165505 |
| ----- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POSTCONVICTION¹ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Juvenile DUI suspensions | 1202 | 922 | 879 | 677 | 995 | 769 ² | 1026 ² | 918 | 741 | 714 | 896 |
| First-offender suspensions | 10673 | 10208 | 8696 | 7266 | 7229 | 4847 ² | 9588 ² | 15072 | 29924 | 31097 | 32716 |
| Misdemeanor | 8989 | 8607 | 7188 | 5806 | 5753 | 3834 ² | 7497 ² | 13401 | 28118 | 29188 | 30563 |
| Felony | 1684 | 1601 | 1508 | 1460 | 1476 | 1013 ² | 2091 ² | 1671 | 1806 | 1909 | 2153 |
| Second-offender S/R actions | 45478 | 38849 | 34300 | 31489 | 30404 | 22945 ² | 40238 ² | 31940 | 29097 | 26911 | 29345 |
| Misdemeanor | 44756 | 38285 | 33794 | 30955 | 29864 | 22532 ² | 39065 ² | 31455 | 28571 | 26334 | 28748 |
| Felony | 722 | 564 | 506 | 534 | 540 | 413 ² | 633 ² | 485 | 526 | 577 | 597 |
| Third-offender revocations | 15553 | 12908 | 11193 | 9471 | 8728 | 5569 ² | 9397 ² | 6573 | 6163 | 5727 | 6171 |
| Misdemeanor | 15233 | 12644 | 10974 | 9261 | 8550 | 5471 ² | 9167 ² | 6452 | 6015 | 5585 | 5996 |
| Felony | 320 | 264 | 219 | 210 | 178 | 98 ² | 230 ² | 121 | 148 | 142 | 175 |
| Fourth-offender revocations | 6703 | 5554 | 4532 | 3559 | 2901 | 1821 ² | 2998 ² | 2306 | 2066 | 1928 | 1970 |
| TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS | 79609 | 68441 | 59600 | 52462 | 50257 ^f | 35951 ² | 63247 ² | 56809 | 67991 | 66377 | 71098 |

¹These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

²The 1997 / 1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

^rRevised from prior reports.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2004 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- ▶ Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities rose by 8.3% in 2002, continuing a reversal in trend that started in 1999 after well over a decade of continuous decline.
- ▶ DUI arrests increased by 0.3% in 2002, following decreases the prior two years.
- ▶ The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents increased by 0.7% in 2002, following increases of 2.7% in 2001 and 3.8% in 2000 (which was the first such increase in 14 years).
- ▶ 14.1% of all 2001 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.5% in 2000, 12.5% in 1999, 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. 43.8% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- ▶ The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .163% in 2001 (same as the last several years), which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.
- ▶ Among 2002 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.4%) again constituted the largest racial / ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (27.5% in 2002). The ethnic distribution among DUI arrestees who are convicted fairly closely parallels the ethnic distribution of the arrestees.
- ▶ The average age of an arrested DUI offender in 2002 was 33.4 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).
- ▶ Among convicted DUI offenders in 2001, 75.4% were first offenders and 24.6% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.
- ▶ 10.9% of 2001 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is an increase from 10.1% in 2000, following a decrease from 17.3% in 1999.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, and Kevin L. Nguyen, Staff Programmer Analyst, and David J. DeYoung, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects.

Report Authors:

Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigator and Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager III, Project Manager and Chief, Research & Development Branch.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---|-------------|
| DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1992-2002_____ | i |
| HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2004 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT_____ | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS_____ | iv |
| INTRODUCTION_____ | 1 |
| SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS_____ | 3 |
| SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS_____ | 9 |
| SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS_____ | 20 |
| SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS_____ | 31 |
| EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS_____ | 37 |
| Subject Selection and Data Collection_____ | 37 |
| Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures_____ | 39 |
| One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001_____ | 39 |
| One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001_____ | 40 |
| Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation_____ | 41 |
| Results of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Program Evaluation_____ | 42 |
| Long-Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders_____ | 42 |
| SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS_____ | 45 |
| SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL_____ | 49 |
| DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS_____ | 59 |
| HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975_____ | 59 |
| REFERENCES_____ | 67 |
| GLOSSARY_____ | 69 |

APPENDICES

| <u>NUMBER</u> | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| A | ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450_____ | 70 |
| B1 | 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____ | 72 |
| B2 | 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE_____ | 87 |
| B3 | TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT_____ | 96 |
| B4 | 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS_____ | 105 |
| B5 | DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS_____ | 134 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>NUMBER</u> | | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2000-2002_____ | 6 |
| 2 | 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST_____ | 7 |
| 3a | 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____ | 8 |
| 3b | 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____ | 8 |
| 4 | 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX_____ | 13 |
| 5 | MATCHABLE 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX_____ | 14 |
| 6 | ADJUSTED 2001 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY_____ | 14 |
| 7 | TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES_____ | 15 |
| 8 | ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY_____ | 17 |
| 9a | 2001 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS_____ | 18 |
| 9b | 2001 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21_____ | 19 |
| 10 | 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL_____ | 19 |
| 11 | 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS_____ | 22 |
| 12 | 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS | 23 |
| 13 | ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2001_____ | 40 |
| 14 | THE EFFECT OF SANCTIONS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ON SUBSEQUENT ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS_____ | 41 |
| 15 | FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS_____ | 42 |
| 16 | CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES OF THE 1994 DUI OFFENDERS_____ | 43 |
| 17 | MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1992-2002_____ | 47 |
| 18 | ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES_____ | 48 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

| <u>NUMBER</u> | | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 19 | FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE_____ | 52 |
| 20 | FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST_____ | 52 |
| 21 | FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE_____ | 53 |
| 22 | FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST_____ | 53 |
| 23 | 2001 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST_____ | 54 |
| 24a | 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX_____ | 55 |
| 24b | 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)_____ | 55 |
| 25a | SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS_____ | 56 |
| 25b | SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)_____ | 56 |
| 26a | 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS_____ | 57 |
| 26b | 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)_____ | 57 |
| 27 | 2001 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS_____ | 58 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>NUMBER</u> | | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | DUI management information system_____ | 2 |
| 2 | DUI arrests 1992-2002_____ | 3 |
| 3 | Percentage of 2002 DUI arrests and 2002 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 1990 census) by race / ethnicity_____ | 5 |
| 4 | DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1992-2002_____ | 10 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

| <u>NUMBER</u> | <u>PAGE</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 5 Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity_____ | 13 |
| 6 Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2001)_____ | 21 |
| 7 Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001)_____ | 32 |
| 8 Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001)_____ | 33 |
| 9a Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2001-2002 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction_____ | 34 |
| 9b Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of first offender drivers (arrested in year 2001) by length of alcohol education program_____ | 34 |
| 10a Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol accidents, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs)_____ | 35 |
| 10b Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions_____ | 35 |
| 10c Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex_____ | 36 |
| 10d Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date)_____ | 36 |
| 10e Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, and 1994 DUI drivers_____ | 37 |
| 11 Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1992-2002_____ | 46 |
| 12 Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1992-2002_____ | 50 |

INTRODUCTION

This report is the thirteenth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).


The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol / drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

Figure 1. DUI management information system.



SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 2000-2002. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2000-2002 and the percentage change from 2001-2002 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2002 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2002 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2002 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2002 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1992 to 2002.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 1992-2002.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- ▶ DUI arrests increased by 0.3% in 2002, following decreases of 2.7% in 2001 and 3.8% in 2000.
- ▶ The per capita DUI arrest rate was 0.8% in 2002 (as it was in 2000-01, but down from 0.9% in 1997-99). This represents over a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- ▶ Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) were up again in 2002 (3.3% of all DUI arrests, compared to 3.1% in 2001 and 3.0% in 2000), yet continue to constitute a relatively small proportion of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:

- ▶ 21.5% of all 2002 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 43.1% of all arrests.
- ▶ The 2002 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 7.0 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Ten counties again had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Alameda, Contra Costa, Orange, Santa Clara and Solano (0.6), Los Angeles, Nevada, San Mateo, and Ventura (0.7). Six counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Alpine (7.0), Tehama (3.1), Del Norte, Inyo and Trinity (2.2), and Sierra (2.0).
- ▶ As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 2002. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage declines occurred in Santa Barbara (-10.3%) and Contra Costa (-9.0%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Lake (-21.0%) and Colusa (-20.6%). Among counties showing percentage increases in DUI arrests were Tehama (41.6%) and Inyo (39.7%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- ▶ The average age of a DUI arrestee in 2002 was 33.4 years. After increasing each year during the early 1990's, the average age of a DUI arrestee has declined slightly each year since 1997. Roughly half (48.3%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.0%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.2% of all arrestees were over age 60.
- ▶ Males comprised 84.3% of all 2002 DUI arrests. The proportion of females among DUI arrestees has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 15.7% in 2002.

- ▶ In 2002, Hispanics (44.4%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics, however, continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2002 population parity of 27.5% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.2% of arrests, 6.5% of the population), while other racial / ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2002 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (42.1% of arrests, 53.3% of the population), and "Other" (6.3% of arrests, 12.7% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 2002 DUI arrests and (recently updated) 2002 estimated census adult population by race / ethnicity.
- ▶ Among male 2002 DUI arrestees, 48.5% were Hispanic, 38.2% were White, 7.1% were Black, and 6.3% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 62.8% were White, 22.6% were Hispanic, 8.2% were Black, and 6.4% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.
- ▶ In the following 7 counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2002: Tulare (73.8%), Madera (71.2%), Fresno (68.6%), Merced (68.5%), San Benito (65.2%), Monterey (64.4%) and Imperial (62.4%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.
- ▶ The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.0 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.2 years.




Figure 3. Percentage of 2002 DUI arrests and 2002 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 1990 census) by race / ethnicity. [Note: The updated population proportions for 2000 and 2001 should have been 54.3% and 53.8% (respectively) for Whites, 26.9% and 27.2% for Hispanics, 6.6% (both years) for Blacks, and 12.2% and 12.4% for Other.]

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 2000-2002

| COUNTY | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | % CHANGE 2001-2002 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|
| STATEWIDE | 181336 | 176490 | 177056 | 0.3 |
| ALAMEDA | 5786 | 5916 | 5542 | -6.3 |
| ALPINE | 29 | 60 | 73 | 21.7 |
| AMADOR | 230 | 233 | 230 | -1.3 |
| BUTTE | 1406 | 1395 | 1276 | -8.5 |
| CALAVERAS | 237 | 235 | 299 | 27.2 |
| COLUSA | 316 | 267 | 212 | -20.6 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 4619 | 4899 | 4456 | -9.0 |
| DEL NORTE | 334 | 291 | 375 | 28.9 |
| EL DORADO | 1272 | 1123 | 1285 | 14.4 |
| FRESNO | 5548 | 5442 | 5676 | 4.3 |
| GLENN | 296 | 320 | 307 | -4.1 |
| HUMBOLDT | 1357 | 1349 | 1209 | -10.4 |
| IMPERIAL | 1341 | 1321 | 1157 | -12.4 |
| INYO | 260 | 229 | 320 | 39.7 |
| KERN | 4493 | 4548 | 4501 | -1.0 |
| KINGS | 953 | 983 | 931 | -5.3 |
| LAKE | 701 | 814 | 643 | -21.0 |
| LASSEN | 279 | 259 | 263 | 1.5 |
| LOS ANGELES | 41547 | 38329 | 38089 | -0.6 |
| MADERA | 756 | 913 | 782 | -14.3 |
| MARIN | 1305 | 1455 | 1472 | 1.2 |
| MARIPOSA | 100 | 97 | 120 | 23.7 |
| MENDOCINO | 869 | 786 | 748 | -4.8 |
| MERCED | 1659 | 1648 | 1728 | 4.9 |
| MODOC | 116 | 81 | 98 | 21.0 |
| MONO | 119 | 137 | 145 | 5.8 |
| MONTEREY | 3254 | 3194 | 3360 | 5.2 |
| NAPA | 1073 | 1115 | 1063 | -4.7 |
| NEVADA | 692 | 599 | 570 | -4.8 |
| ORANGE | 14002 | 12074 | 12183 | 0.9 |
| PLACER | 1631 | 1708 | 1652 | -3.3 |
| PLUMAS | 293 | 229 | 231 | 0.9 |
| RIVERSIDE | 8105 | 7858 | 8700 | 10.7 |
| SACRAMENTO | 6918 | 7261 | 7611 | 4.8 |
| SAN BENITO | 409 | 305 | 345 | 13.1 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 10539 | 10674 | 10184 | -4.6 |
| SAN DIEGO | 14443 | 15193 | 15924 | 4.8 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 1436 | 1754 | 1693 | -3.5 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 3760 | 4364 | 4084 | -6.4 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 2185 | 2298 | 2343 | 2.0 |
| SAN MATEO | 3572 | 3500 | 3678 | 5.1 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 3211 | 2824 | 2534 | -10.3 |
| SANTA CLARA | 8185 | 7119 | 6822 | -4.2 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 1871 | 1744 | 1859 | 6.6 |
| SHASTA | 1222 | 1164 | 1244 | 6.9 |
| SIERRA | 51 | 42 | 54 | 28.6 |
| SISKIYOU | 398 | 380 | 369 | -2.9 |
| SOLANO | 1774 | 1700 | 1649 | -3.0 |
| SONOMA | 3254 | 2775 | 2911 | 4.9 |
| STANISLAUS | 2557 | 2230 | 2284 | 2.4 |
| SUTTER | 669 | 762 | 716 | -6.0 |
| TEHAMA | 527 | 847 | 1199 | 41.6 |
| TRINITY | 234 | 257 | 225 | -12.5 |
| TULARE | 3157 | 3169 | 3437 | 8.5 |
| TUOLUMNE | 347 | 464 | 434 | -6.5 |
| VENTURA | 3983 | 4008 | 3985 | -0.6 |
| YOLO | 1211 | 1082 | 1067 | -1.4 |
| YUBA | 445 | 667 | 709 | 6.3 |

*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed and boat DUI (N = 313) removed.

TABLE 2: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

| COUNTY | TOTAL | | TYPE OF ARREST | | | | | | DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS |
|-----------------|--------|-------|----------------|-----|----------|-----|-------------|------|--|
| | | | FELONY | | JUVENILE | | MISDEMEANOR | | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | |
| STATEWIDE | 177056 | 100.0 | 5776 | 3.3 | 1557 | 0.9 | 169723 | 95.9 | 0.8 |
| ALAMEDA | 5542 | 3.1 | 114 | 2.1 | 40 | 0.7 | 5388 | 97.2 | 0.6 |
| ALPINE | 73 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 71 | 97.3 | 7.0 |
| AMADOR | 230 | 0.1 | 15 | 6.5 | 1 | 0.4 | 214 | 93.0 | 0.9 |
| BUTTE | 1276 | 0.7 | 30 | 2.4 | 17 | 1.3 | 1229 | 96.3 | 0.9 |
| CALAVERAS | 299 | 0.2 | 10 | 3.3 | 2 | 0.7 | 287 | 96.0 | 0.9 |
| COLUSA | 212 | 0.1 | 7 | 3.3 | 1 | 0.5 | 204 | 96.2 | 1.7 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 4456 | 2.5 | 122 | 2.7 | 51 | 1.1 | 4283 | 96.1 | 0.6 |
| DEL NORTE | 375 | 0.2 | 13 | 3.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 359 | 95.7 | 2.2 |
| EL DORADO | 1285 | 0.7 | 60 | 4.7 | 22 | 1.7 | 1203 | 93.6 | 1.0 |
| FRESNO | 5676 | 3.2 | 198 | 3.5 | 59 | 1.0 | 5419 | 95.5 | 1.2 |
| GLENN | 307 | 0.2 | 16 | 5.2 | 4 | 1.3 | 287 | 93.5 | 1.7 |
| HUMBOLDT | 1209 | 0.7 | 27 | 2.2 | 27 | 2.2 | 1155 | 95.5 | 1.3 |
| IMPERIAL | 1157 | 0.7 | 29 | 2.5 | 5 | 0.4 | 1123 | 97.1 | 1.3 |
| INYO | 320 | 0.2 | 6 | 1.9 | 5 | 1.6 | 309 | 96.6 | 2.2 |
| KERN | 4501 | 2.5 | 108 | 2.4 | 50 | 1.1 | 4343 | 96.5 | 1.1 |
| KINGS | 931 | 0.5 | 22 | 2.4 | 15 | 1.6 | 894 | 96.0 | 1.5 |
| LAKE | 643 | 0.4 | 19 | 3.0 | 17 | 2.6 | 607 | 94.4 | 1.4 |
| LASSEN | 263 | 0.2 | 3 | 1.1 | 3 | 1.1 | 257 | 97.7 | 1.4 |
| LOS ANGELES | 38089 | 21.5 | 1549 | 4.1 | 147 | 0.4 | 36393 | 95.5 | 0.7 |
| MADERA | 782 | 0.4 | 45 | 5.8 | 6 | 0.8 | 731 | 93.5 | 1.1 |
| MARIN | 1472 | 0.8 | 24 | 1.6 | 13 | 0.9 | 1435 | 97.5 | 0.8 |
| MARIPOSA | 120 | 0.1 | 5 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 115 | 95.8 | 0.9 |
| MENDOCINO | 748 | 0.4 | 19 | 2.5 | 9 | 1.2 | 720 | 96.3 | 1.2 |
| MERCED | 1728 | 1.0 | 71 | 4.1 | 24 | 1.4 | 1633 | 94.5 | 1.4 |
| MODOC | 98 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 97 | 99.0 | 1.5 |
| MONO | 145 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 142 | 97.9 | 1.5 |
| MONTEREY | 3360 | 1.9 | 85 | 2.5 | 45 | 1.3 | 3230 | 96.1 | 1.4 |
| NAPA | 1063 | 0.6 | 24 | 2.3 | 14 | 1.3 | 1025 | 96.4 | 1.2 |
| NEVADA | 570 | 0.3 | 26 | 4.6 | 7 | 1.2 | 537 | 94.2 | 0.7 |
| ORANGE | 12183 | 6.9 | 260 | 2.1 | 63 | 0.5 | 11860 | 97.3 | 0.6 |
| PLACER | 1652 | 0.9 | 49 | 3.0 | 23 | 1.4 | 1580 | 95.6 | 0.8 |
| PLUMAS | 231 | 0.1 | 10 | 4.3 | 2 | 0.9 | 219 | 94.8 | 1.3 |
| RIVERSIDE | 8700 | 4.9 | 271 | 3.1 | 96 | 1.1 | 8333 | 95.8 | 0.8 |
| SACRAMENTO | 7611 | 4.3 | 361 | 4.7 | 83 | 1.1 | 7167 | 94.2 | 0.9 |
| SAN BENITO | 345 | 0.2 | 10 | 2.9 | 6 | 1.7 | 329 | 95.4 | 1.0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 10184 | 5.8 | 369 | 3.6 | 44 | 0.4 | 9771 | 95.9 | 0.9 |
| SAN DIEGO | 15924 | 9.0 | 426 | 2.7 | 171 | 1.1 | 15327 | 96.3 | 0.8 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 1693 | 1.0 | 122 | 7.2 | 4 | 0.2 | 1567 | 92.6 | 0.3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 4084 | 2.3 | 107 | 2.6 | 49 | 1.2 | 3928 | 96.2 | 1.1 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 2343 | 1.3 | 37 | 1.6 | 43 | 1.8 | 2263 | 96.6 | 1.3 |
| SAN MATEO | 3678 | 2.1 | 82 | 2.2 | 28 | 0.8 | 3568 | 97.0 | 0.7 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 2534 | 1.4 | 73 | 2.9 | 36 | 1.4 | 2425 | 95.7 | 0.9 |
| SANTA CLARA | 6822 | 3.9 | 268 | 3.9 | 55 | 0.8 | 6499 | 95.3 | 0.6 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 1859 | 1.1 | 46 | 2.5 | 18 | 1.0 | 1795 | 96.6 | 1.0 |
| SHASTA | 1244 | 0.7 | 52 | 4.2 | 29 | 2.3 | 1163 | 93.5 | 1.0 |
| SIERRA | 54 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 52 | 96.3 | 2.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 369 | 0.2 | 15 | 4.1 | 9 | 2.4 | 345 | 93.5 | 1.0 |
| SOLANO | 1649 | 0.9 | 38 | 2.3 | 21 | 1.3 | 1590 | 96.4 | 0.6 |
| SONOMA | 2911 | 1.6 | 67 | 2.3 | 37 | 1.3 | 2807 | 96.4 | 0.9 |
| STANISLAUS | 2284 | 1.3 | 105 | 4.6 | 28 | 1.2 | 2151 | 94.2 | 0.8 |
| SUTTER | 716 | 0.4 | 30 | 4.2 | 9 | 1.3 | 677 | 94.6 | 1.3 |
| TEHAMA | 1199 | 0.7 | 28 | 2.3 | 4 | 0.3 | 1167 | 97.3 | 3.1 |
| TRINITY | 225 | 0.1 | 7 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.9 | 216 | 96.0 | 2.2 |
| TULARE | 3437 | 1.9 | 109 | 3.2 | 40 | 1.2 | 3288 | 95.7 | 1.6 |
| TUOLUMNE | 434 | 0.3 | 10 | 2.3 | 4 | 0.9 | 420 | 96.8 | 1.0 |
| VENTURA | 3985 | 2.3 | 110 | 2.8 | 41 | 1.0 | 3834 | 96.2 | 0.7 |
| YOLO | 1067 | 0.6 | 30 | 2.8 | 17 | 1.6 | 1020 | 95.6 | 0.9 |
| YUBA | 709 | 0.4 | 32 | 4.5 | 5 | 0.7 | 672 | 94.8 | 1.8 |

TABLE 3a: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE / ETHNICITY*

| AGE | TOTAL | | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|-------|------------|------|--------|------|-------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STATEWIDE | 177056 | 100.0 | 149242 | 84.3 | 27814 | 15.7 | 74506 | 42.1 | 78610 | 44.4 | 12819 | 7.2 | 11121 | 6.3 |
| UNDER 18 | 1557 | 0.9 | 1292 | 83.0 | 265 | 17.0 | 817 | 52.5 | 598 | 38.4 | 53 | 3.4 | 89 | 5.7 |
| 18-20 | 14410 | 8.1 | 12297 | 85.3 | 2113 | 14.7 | 6176 | 42.9 | 6796 | 47.2 | 563 | 3.9 | 875 | 6.1 |
| 21-30 | 69532 | 39.3 | 59749 | 85.9 | 9783 | 14.1 | 24414 | 35.1 | 36337 | 52.3 | 4085 | 5.9 | 4696 | 6.8 |
| 31-40 | 45588 | 25.7 | 38063 | 83.5 | 7525 | 16.5 | 17899 | 39.3 | 21095 | 46.3 | 3824 | 8.4 | 2770 | 6.1 |
| 41-50 | 30533 | 17.2 | 24775 | 81.1 | 5758 | 18.9 | 15843 | 51.9 | 9971 | 32.7 | 2873 | 9.4 | 1846 | 6.0 |
| 51-60 | 11537 | 6.5 | 9711 | 84.2 | 1826 | 15.8 | 6816 | 59.1 | 3031 | 26.3 | 1039 | 9.0 | 651 | 5.6 |
| 61-70 | 3016 | 1.7 | 2614 | 86.7 | 402 | 13.3 | 1906 | 63.2 | 635 | 21.1 | 303 | 10.0 | 172 | 5.7 |
| 71 & ABOVE | 883 | 0.5 | 741 | 83.9 | 142 | 16.1 | 635 | 71.9 | 147 | 16.6 | 79 | 8.9 | 22 | 2.5 |
| MEAN AGE (YEARS) | 33.4 | | 33.2 | | 34.1 | | 35.3 | | 31.2 | | 36.0 | | 32.8 | |

*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race / ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE / ETHNICITY

| SEX | AGE | TOTAL | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| | | | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STATEWIDE | | 177056 | 100.0 | 74506 | 42.1 | 78610 | 44.4 | 12819 | 7.2 | 11121 | 6.3 |
| MALE | UNDER 18 | 1292 | 0.9 | 623 | 48.2 | 551 | 42.6 | 44 | 3.4 | 74 | 5.7 |
| | 18-20 | 12297 | 8.2 | 4893 | 39.8 | 6217 | 50.6 | 469 | 3.8 | 718 | 5.8 |
| | 21-30 | 59749 | 40.0 | 18963 | 31.7 | 33546 | 56.1 | 3371 | 5.6 | 3869 | 6.5 |
| | 31-40 | 38063 | 25.5 | 13255 | 34.8 | 19433 | 51.1 | 3062 | 8.0 | 2313 | 6.1 |
| | 41-50 | 24775 | 16.6 | 11802 | 47.6 | 9038 | 36.5 | 2337 | 9.4 | 1598 | 6.5 |
| | 51-60 | 9711 | 6.5 | 5416 | 55.8 | 2810 | 28.9 | 901 | 9.3 | 584 | 6.0 |
| | 61-70 | 2614 | 1.8 | 1583 | 60.6 | 600 | 23.0 | 278 | 10.6 | 153 | 5.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 741 | 0.5 | 503 | 67.9 | 140 | 18.9 | 78 | 10.5 | 20 | 2.7 |
| | TOTAL | 149242 | 100.0 | 57038 | 38.2 | 72335 | 48.5 | 10540 | 7.1 | 9329 | 6.3 |
| FEMALE | UNDER 18 | 265 | 1.0 | 194 | 73.2 | 47 | 17.7 | 9 | 3.4 | 15 | 5.7 |
| | 18-20 | 2113 | 7.6 | 1283 | 60.7 | 579 | 27.4 | 94 | 4.4 | 157 | 7.4 |
| | 21-30 | 9783 | 35.2 | 5451 | 55.7 | 2791 | 28.5 | 714 | 7.3 | 827 | 8.5 |
| | 31-40 | 7525 | 27.1 | 4644 | 61.7 | 1662 | 22.1 | 762 | 10.1 | 457 | 6.1 |
| | 41-50 | 5758 | 20.7 | 4041 | 70.2 | 933 | 16.2 | 536 | 9.3 | 248 | 4.3 |
| | 51-60 | 1826 | 6.6 | 1400 | 76.7 | 221 | 12.1 | 138 | 7.6 | 67 | 3.7 |
| | 61-70 | 402 | 1.4 | 323 | 80.3 | 35 | 8.7 | 25 | 6.2 | 19 | 4.7 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 142 | 0.5 | 132 | 93.0 | 7 | 4.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 |
| | TOTAL | 27814 | 100.0 | 17468 | 62.8 | 6275 | 22.6 | 2279 | 8.2 | 1792 | 6.4 |

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "2001 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2001, who were subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 2001 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2001 DUI Convictions by Age, Race / Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race / ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2001 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race / Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race / ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. As explained above, without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2001 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 2001 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1992-2002" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.


Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2001 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2001 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because

not all 2001 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 2001 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2001 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (78.4%) than on abstracts of conviction, those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 54.6% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2001 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1992 to 2002, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1992-2002."

Figure 4. DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1992-2002.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- ▶ The estimated DUI conviction rate for 2002 arrestees (77%) is the same as last year, which was up from previous years.
- ▶ 9.8% of 2001 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 18.4% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related on the abstracts.
- ▶ 2.1% of 2001 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, up from 1.5% last year.
- ▶ 10.9% of 2001 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 10.1% in 2000, 17.3% in 1999, 14.4% in 1998, 15.8% in 1997, 18.5% in 1996, 16.3% in 1995, 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.
- ▶ The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 2001, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.163%, which is the same as the last several years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%.
- ▶ Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.159% BAC for a first offense to a 0.182% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.
- ▶ Among 2001 convicted DUI offenders, 75.4% were first offenders, 19.5% were second offenders, 4.1% were third offenders, and 1.1% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (24.6%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).
- ▶ The average (mean) adjudication time lags were 3.1 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 3.4 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling over 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update is almost a half month longer than in prior years.

Variation by County:

- ▶ Among the larger counties, 2001 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 93.7% in Orange and 89.2% in Ventura to a low of 52.6% in San Francisco. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost a quarter of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 75.4%.
- ▶ Among the smaller counties, 2001 DUI conviction rates varied from a high of 91.2% in Shasta to a low of 34.4% in Trinity.
- ▶ The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 24.1% in Del Norte County to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.

- ▶ The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 9.2%. Two counties had rates of 5% or more: San Francisco (9.2%) and Lassen (5.4%).
- ▶ The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 5.5%. Del Norte, San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, Trinity and Glenn counties had rates of 3% or more.
- ▶ In 7 counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Trinity, Mariposa, Tehama, Sutter, Imperial, Yuba, and Stanislaus. Twenty-eight counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Lassen, Mono, Nevada, San Benito, Santa Barbara, and Shasta at 0%.

Variation by Court:

- ▶ As was true for prior years, the 2001 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.
- ▶ Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 6.5 months in the Brawley court (Imperial County) to a low of 1.4 months for the Santa Maria (Santa Barbara County) and Shafter (Kern County) courts. Interestingly, the busiest DUI court in the state, Los Angeles Metro, had a time lag from arrest to conviction of only 1.7 months.
- ▶ Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all other dispositions resulting from DUI arrests, was a little less than 10% in 2001 (down slightly from last year). Several counties adjudicated more than 20% of their DUI arrests as reckless driving convictions, including Del Norte, Plumas, and San Francisco.
- ▶ Statewide, 18% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions in 2001 are inappropriately designated as nonalcohol, compared to 17.8% in 2000, 21.1% in 1999, 19.6% in 1998 and 21% in 1997. In Lassen County, 7.7% of all 2001 DUI arrests resulted in a reckless driving conviction, with 70% of them inappropriately designated as nonalcohol.

Demographic Characteristics:

- ▶ The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 2001 was 34.0 years.
- ▶ 45% of 2001 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 73% were 40 years or younger.
- ▶ Females comprised 15.4% of all 2001 convicted DUI offenders. The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1993.
- ▶ The racial / ethnic distribution of 2001 DUI convictions (White = 44.6%; Hispanic = 42.6%; Black = 6.6%; "Other" = 6.1%) generally paralleled that of 2001 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial / ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).




Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race / ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX*

| AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|------------------|--------|-------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STATEWIDE | 136322 | 100.0 | 115293 | 84.6 | 21029 | 15.4 |
| UNDER 18 | 593 | 0.4 | 507 | 85.5 | 86 | 14.5 |
| 18-20 | 9308 | 6.8 | 8107 | 87.1 | 1201 | 12.9 |
| 21-30 | 51614 | 37.9 | 44781 | 86.8 | 6833 | 13.2 |
| 31-40 | 37925 | 27.8 | 31570 | 83.2 | 6355 | 16.8 |
| 41-50 | 24724 | 18.1 | 20024 | 81.0 | 4700 | 19.0 |
| 51-60 | 9045 | 6.6 | 7644 | 84.5 | 1401 | 15.5 |
| 61-70 | 2467 | 1.8 | 2104 | 85.3 | 363 | 14.7 |
| 71 & ABOVE | 646 | 0.5 | 556 | 86.1 | 90 | 13.9 |
| MEAN AGE (YEARS) | 34.0 | | 33.8 | | 35.1 | |

*County-specific tabulations of 2001 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE / ETHNICITY, AND SEX

| AGE | TOTAL | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|------|--------|------|----------|------|--------|-----|-------|-----|--------|-----|-------|-----|--------|-----|
| | | | WHITE | | | | HISPANIC | | | | BLACK | | | | OTHER | | | |
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | MALE | | FEMALE | | MALE | | FEMALE | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
| N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | |
| STATEWIDE | 115442 | 100.0 | 39828 | 34.5 | 11666 | 10.1 | 45220 | 39.2 | 3974 | 3.4 | 6287 | 5.4 | 1377 | 1.2 | 6055 | 5.2 | 1035 | 0.9 |
| UNDER 18 | 551 | 0.5 | 271 | 49.2 | 69 | 12.5 | 161 | 29.2 | 12 | 2.2 | 10 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.4 | 21 | 3.8 | 5 | 0.9 |
| 18-20 | 8571 | 7.4 | 3060 | 35.7 | 745 | 8.7 | 3622 | 42.3 | 277 | 3.2 | 258 | 3.0 | 53 | 0.6 | 471 | 5.5 | 85 | 1.0 |
| 21-30 | 43146 | 37.4 | 13064 | 30.3 | 3418 | 7.9 | 19909 | 46.1 | 1628 | 3.8 | 1968 | 4.6 | 432 | 1.0 | 2274 | 5.3 | 453 | 1.0 |
| 31-40 | 31767 | 27.5 | 10067 | 31.7 | 3407 | 10.7 | 12918 | 40.7 | 1200 | 3.8 | 1907 | 6.0 | 443 | 1.4 | 1571 | 4.9 | 254 | 0.8 |
| 41-50 | 20858 | 18.1 | 8146 | 39.1 | 2766 | 13.3 | 6222 | 29.8 | 659 | 3.2 | 1391 | 6.7 | 350 | 1.7 | 1145 | 5.5 | 179 | 0.9 |
| 51-60 | 7822 | 6.8 | 3740 | 47.8 | 936 | 12.0 | 1885 | 24.1 | 155 | 2.0 | 531 | 6.8 | 83 | 1.1 | 445 | 5.7 | 47 | 0.6 |
| 61-70 | 2153 | 1.9 | 1125 | 52.3 | 259 | 12.0 | 421 | 19.6 | 38 | 1.8 | 185 | 8.6 | 12 | 0.6 | 105 | 4.9 | 8 | 0.4 |
| 71 & ABOVE | 574 | 0.5 | 355 | 61.8 | 66 | 11.5 | 82 | 14.3 | 5 | 0.9 | 37 | 6.4 | 2 | 0.3 | 23 | 4.0 | 4 | 0.7 |

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2001 DUI CONVICTION RATES¹ AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD² OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE / ETHNICITY

| AGE | TOTAL | | RACE / ETHNICITY | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD | ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE | RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD |
| STATEWIDE | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.05 | 0.75 | 0.97 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.98 |
| UNDER 18 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.47 |
| 18-20 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 0.75 | 0.96 | 0.70 | 0.91 | 0.63 | 0.82 | 0.71 | 0.92 |
| 21-30 | 0.75 | 0.97 | 0.81 | 1.05 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.73 | 0.95 | 0.72 | 0.93 |
| 31-40 | 0.79 | 1.03 | 0.83 | 1.07 | 0.78 | 1.01 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 0.78 | 1.00 |
| 41-50 | 0.81 | 1.05 | 0.82 | 1.07 | 0.81 | 1.06 | 0.74 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 1.05 |
| 51-60 | 0.82 | 1.06 | 0.82 | 1.06 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 0.74 | 0.96 | 0.84 | 1.09 |
| 61-70 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 0.84 | 1.09 | 0.83 | 1.08 | 0.86 | 1.11 |
| 71 & ABOVE | 0.75 | 0.97 | 0.75 | 0.97 | 0.76 | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.74 | 0.96 |

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES¹

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI ² | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NONALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISMISSED ³ / UNCONST ⁴ | AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| STATEWIDE | 77.3% | 132911 | 3411 | 14185 | 3115 | 3633 | 949 / 63 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| ALAMEDA | 70.5% | 4116 | 54 | 520 | 124 | 150 | 88 / 3 | 3.4 | 4.8 |
| ALPINE | 85.0% | 51 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
| AMADOR | 81.5% | 186 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 3 / 1 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| BUTTE | 83.8% | 1135 | 34 | 149 | 30 | 21 | 20 / 0 | 3.8 | 2.2 |
| CALAVERAS | 70.1% | 157 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 1 / 0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| COLUSA | 73.4% | 194 | 2 | 38 | 4 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.4 | 4.9 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 72.2% | 3440 | 94 | 634 | 65 | 84 | 15 / 1 | 4.7 | 3.4 |
| DEL NORTE | 54.0% | 145 | 12 | 70 | 6 | 16 | 2 / 0 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| EL DORADO | 77.1% | 836 | 29 | 72 | 29 | 8 | 15 / 4 | 3.1 | 5.1 |
| FRESNO | 60.1% | 3146 | 119 | 690 | 73 | 45 | 19 / 1 | 5.1 | 3.3 |
| GLENN | 60.0% | 183 | 9 | 23 | 11 | 10 | 0 / 0 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| HUMBOLDT | 63.1% | 802 | 49 | 189 | 51 | 12 | 9 / 0 | 3.7 | 7.8 |
| IMPERIAL | 52.0% | 681 | 6 | 103 | 28 | 8 | 7 / 1 | 6.1 | 1.4 |
| INYO | 65.1% | 143 | 6 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| KERN | 82.6% | 3678 | 76 | 438 | 95 | 59 | 42 / 3 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| KINGS | 79.4% | 765 | 15 | 74 | 16 | 17 | 7 / 0 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| LAKE | 77.8% | 623 | 10 | 39 | 4 | 7 | 5 / 0 | 4.0 | 6.3 |
| LASSEN | 90.0% | 231 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 0 / 0 | 3.7 | 5.9 |
| LOS ANGELES | 75.4% | 28412 | 453 | 2707 | 738 | 1628 | 69 / 9 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| MADERA | 69.2% | 612 | 20 | 68 | 12 | 11 | 2 / 0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| MARIN | 87.6% | 1261 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 22 / 0 | 3.0 | 4.4 |
| MARIPOSA ⁵ | 61.4% | 79 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.4 | 4.8 |
| MENDOCINO | 71.8% | 547 | 17 | 113 | 24 | 15 | 7 / 0 | 2.5 | 6.5 |
| MERCED | 67.8% | 1081 | 37 | 118 | 36 | 21 | 9 / 1 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| MODOC | 71.6% | 57 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| MONO | 89.8% | 121 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.0 | 1.8 |

¹Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.²This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.³These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.⁴These 2001 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.⁵The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests of Yosemite National Park not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES¹ - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI ² | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NONALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISMISSED ³ / UNCONST ⁴ | AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| MONTEREY | 79.5% | 2491 | 49 | 203 | 101 | 34 | 10 / 1 | 1.7 | 4.9 |
| NAPA | 83.1% | 895 | 31 | 104 | 22 | 8 | 18 / 1 | 3.2 | 2.0 |
| NEVADA | 83.8% | 486 | 15 | 93 | 11 | 3 | 4 / 2 | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| ORANGE | 93.7% | 11135 | 176 | 502 | 125 | 101 | 94 / 3 | 3.0 | 4.8 |
| PLACER | 89.6% | 1480 | 50 | 87 | 34 | 6 | 1 / 1 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| PLUMAS | 73.4% | 167 | 1 | 45 | 2 | 4 | 4 / 1 | 2.5 | 4.7 |
| RIVERSIDE | 87.8% | 6692 | 205 | 277 | 115 | 103 | 50 / 1 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| SACRAMENTO | 71.1% | 4902 | 257 | 735 | 79 | 67 | 5 / 4 | 2.9 | 5.5 |
| SAN BENITO | 85.9% | 252 | 10 | 37 | 3 | 4 | 2 / 5 | 4.7 | 2.4 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 74.3% | 7635 | 299 | 606 | 134 | 262 | 131 / 1 | 4.4 | 0.9 |
| SAN DIEGO | 80.8% | 12043 | 237 | 1439 | 254 | 285 | 26 / 1 | 2.6 | 4.9 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 52.6% | 909 | 13 | 261 | 161 | 19 | 14 / 1 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 72.1% | 3024 | 122 | 384 | 45 | 41 | 33 / 1 | 2.4 | 2.6 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 72.5% | 1617 | 49 | 376 | 73 | 106 | 24 / 0 | 2.3 | 5.8 |
| SAN MATEO | 79.5% | 2742 | 41 | 404 | 38 | 58 | 3 / 4 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 86.7% | 2383 | 64 | 335 | 71 | 46 | 10 / 0 | 2.1 | 5.1 |
| SANTA CLARA | 87.4% | 6022 | 200 | 519 | 130 | 86 | 2 / 2 | 3.3 | 2.5 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 82.7% | 1420 | 22 | 230 | 51 | 19 | 12 / 4 | 2.2 | 5.6 |
| SHASTA | 91.2% | 1001 | 60 | 113 | 15 | 7 | 5 / 0 | 2.9 | 4.6 |
| SIERRA | 61.9% | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 / 0 | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| SISKIYOU | 79.5% | 275 | 27 | 45 | 5 | 4 | 4 / 1 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| SOLANO | 84.4% | 1393 | 41 | 177 | 38 | 14 | 29 / 0 | 3.0 | 5.3 |
| SONOMA | 76.9% | 2035 | 100 | 417 | 69 | 38 | 62 / 1 | 2.8 | 5.6 |
| STANISLAUS | 59.0% | 1257 | 59 | 88 | 63 | 12 | 2 / 2 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| SUTTER | 48.0% | 343 | 23 | 89 | 5 | 5 | 4 / 0 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| TEHAMA | 46.0% | 375 | 12 | 74 | 5 | 8 | 3 / 1 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| TRINITY | 34.4% | 84 | 3 | 24 | 4 | 8 | 0 / 0 | 2.5 | 4.6 |
| TULARE | 72.7% | 2234 | 68 | 68 | 32 | 32 | 13 / 0 | 3.4 | 4.8 |
| TUOLUMNE | 83.2% | 370 | 16 | 60 | 2 | 13 | 2 / 0 | 3.5 | 1.5 |
| VENTURA | 89.2% | 3526 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 27 / 0 | 2.4 | 3.9 |
| YOLO | 64.7% | 671 | 29 | 172 | 28 | 11 | 4 / 0 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| YUBA | 53.2% | 344 | 11 | 69 | 8 | 6 | 8 / 1 | 3.1 | 1.6 |

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY¹

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTIONS | | RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS | | % OTHER CONVICTIONS | % NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION ² |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | % MISDEMEANOR | % FELONY | % ALCOHOL RELATED | % NONALCOHOL RELATED | | |
| STATEWIDE | 75.3 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 10.9 |
| ALAMEDA | 69.6 | 0.9 | 8.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 16.0 |
| ALPINE | 85.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 |
| AMADOR | 79.8 | 1.7 | 9.0 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| BUTTE | 81.4 | 2.4 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| CALAVERAS | 67.1 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 20.5 |
| COLUSA | 72.7 | 0.7 | 14.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 10.1 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 70.3 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 11.8 |
| DEL NORTE | 49.8 | 4.1 | 24.1 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 14.4 |
| EL DORADO | 74.5 | 2.6 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 13.2 |
| FRESNO | 57.9 | 2.2 | 12.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 25.1 |
| GLENN | 57.2 | 2.8 | 7.2 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 26.2 |
| HUMBOLDT | 59.5 | 3.6 | 14.0 | 3.8 | 0.9 | 18.2 |
| IMPERIAL | 51.6 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 37.4 |
| INYO | 62.4 | 2.6 | 14.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 18.8 |
| KERN | 80.9 | 1.7 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 4.4 |
| KINGS | 77.9 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 9.7 |
| LAKE | 76.5 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 16.1 |
| LASSEN | 89.2 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | 74.2 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 11.3 |
| MADERA | 67.0 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 20.8 |
| MARIN | 86.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 10.9 |
| MARIPOSA ³ | 47.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 46.1 |
| MENDOCINO | 69.6 | 2.2 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 8.9 |
| MERCED | 65.6 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 21.5 |
| MODOC | 70.4 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 19.8 |
| MONO | 88.3 | 1.5 | 15.3 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.0* |
| MONTEREY | 78.0 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 9.9 |
| NAPA | 80.3 | 2.8 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 4.8 |
| NEVADA | 81.3 | 2.5 | 15.6 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.0* |
| ORANGE | 92.2 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| PLACER | 86.7 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 2.9 |
| PLUMAS | 72.9 | 0.4 | 19.7 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| RIVERSIDE | 85.2 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 5.9 |
| SACRAMENTO | 67.5 | 3.5 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 16.8 |
| SAN BENITO | 82.6 | 3.3 | 12.1 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.0* |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 71.5 | 2.8 | 5.7 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 16.3 |
| SAN DIEGO | 79.3 | 1.6 | 9.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 6.1 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 51.8 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 22.3 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 69.3 | 2.8 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 17.1 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 70.4 | 2.1 | 16.4 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 3.4 |
| SAN MATEO | 78.3 | 1.2 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 6.2 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 84.4 | 2.3 | 11.9 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 0.0* |
| SANTA CLARA | 84.6 | 2.8 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 2.3 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 81.4 | 1.3 | 13.2 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| SHASTA | 86.0 | 5.2 | 9.7 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.0* |
| SIERRA | 61.9 | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 23.8 |
| SISKIYOU | 72.4 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 6.3 |
| SOLANO | 81.9 | 2.4 | 10.4 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| SONOMA | 73.3 | 3.6 | 15.0 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 4.2 |
| STANISLAUS | 56.4 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 33.6 |
| SUTTER | 45.0 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 39.0 |
| TEHAMA | 44.5 | 1.4 | 8.8 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 43.7 |
| TRINITY | 33.2 | 1.2 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 51.4 |
| TULARE | 70.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 23.2 |
| TUOLUMNE | 79.7 | 3.4 | 12.9 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 |
| VENTURA | 88.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 9.1 |
| YOLO | 62.0 | 2.7 | 15.9 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 15.8 |
| YUBA | 51.6 | 1.6 | 10.3 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 34.3 |

¹The percentages total to 100 by row (county).

²These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.0%.

³The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

*These counties had more total convictions than arrests, which could be due to arrests occurring in a different county from the county of conviction, or due to underreported arrests by arresting agencies, or underreported arrests by federal agencies (reporting not required by the DOJ MACR system).

TABLE 9a: 2001 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION
(BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

| DUI CONVICTIONS | | | ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------|------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| .01 | 75 | 0.1 | .01 | 15 | 0.1 |
| .02 | 53 | 0.1 | .02 | 18 | 0.2 |
| .03 | 66 | 0.1 | .03 | 16 | 0.2 |
| .04 | 105 | 0.1 | .04 | 30 | 0.3 |
| .05 | 129 | 0.1 | .05 | 58 | 0.5 |
| .06 | 193 | 0.2 | .06 | 179 | 1.7 |
| .07 | 438 | 0.4 | .07 | 602 | 5.6 |
| .08 | 1838 | 1.7 | .08 | 2627 | 24.4 |
| .09 | 3329 | 3.1 | .09 | 3145 | 29.2 |
| .10 | 6072 | 5.7 | .10 | 1908 | 17.7 |
| .11 | 7564 | 7.1 | .11 | 819 | 7.6 |
| .12 | 8442 | 7.9 | .12 | 399 | 3.7 |
| .13 | 8481 | 7.9 | .13 | 256 | 2.4 |
| .14 | 8211 | 7.7 | .14 | 174 | 1.6 |
| .15 | 8172 | 7.6 | .15 | 143 | 1.3 |
| .16 | 8051 | 7.5 | .16 | 103 | 1.0 |
| .17 | 7262 | 6.8 | .17 | 75 | 0.7 |
| .18 | 6528 | 6.1 | .18 | 47 | 0.4 |
| .19 | 5698 | 5.3 | .19 | 41 | 0.4 |
| .20 | 5167 | 4.8 | .20 | 37 | 0.3 |
| .21 | 4356 | 4.1 | .21 | 22 | 0.2 |
| .22 | 3511 | 3.3 | .22 | 15 | 0.1 |
| .23 | 2983 | 2.8 | .23 | 22 | 0.2 |
| .24 | 2275 | 2.1 | .24 | 7 | 0.1 |
| .25 | 1822 | 1.7 | .25 | 2 | 0.1 |
| .26 | 1347 | 1.3 | .26 | 6 | 0.1 |
| .27 | 1148 | 1.1 | .27 | 6 | 0.1 |
| .28 | 868 | 0.8 | .28 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .29 | 611 | 0.6 | .29 | 5 | 0.1 |
| .30 | 524 | 0.5 | .31 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .31 | 376 | 0.4 | .34 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .32 | 309 | 0.3 | .38 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .33 | 218 | 0.2 | .39 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .34 | 156 | 0.2 | .41 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .35 | 122 | 0.1 | .42 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .36 | 114 | 0.1 | | | |
| .37 | 58 | 0.1 | | | |
| .38 | 62 | 0.1 | | | |
| .39 | 41 | 0.0 | | | |
| .40 | 27 | 0.0 | | | |
| .41 | 20 | 0.0 | | | |
| .42 | 22 | 0.0 | | | |
| .43 | 14 | 0.0 | | | |
| .44 | 8 | 0.0 | | | |
| .45 | 8 | 0.0 | | | |
| .46 | 2 | 0.0 | | | |
| .47 | 5 | 0.0 | | | |
| .48 | 1 | 0.0 | | | |
| .49 | 1 | 0.0 | | | |
| .50+ | 2 | 0.0 | | | |
| | ----- | ----- | | | |
| TOTAL | 106885 | 100.0 | TOTAL | 10784 | 100.0 |
| | MEAN BAC .163 | | | MEAN BAC .096 | |

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in the earliest reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (78.4% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 54.6% showing BAC levels). This chart does not show 977 zero BAC levels, which may include driving-under-the-influence of drug convictions..

TABLE 9b: 2001 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)
LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21

| BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT | BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| .01 | 18 | 0.2 | .20 | 253 | 3.3 |
| .02 | 11 | 0.1 | .21 | 180 | 2.4 |
| .03 | 18 | 0.2 | .22 | 141 | 1.8 |
| .04 | 23 | 0.3 | .23 | 88 | 1.2 |
| .05 | 58 | 0.7 | .24 | 56 | 0.7 |
| .06 | 57 | 0.8 | .25 | 44 | 0.6 |
| .07 | 126 | 1.6 | .26 | 35 | 0.5 |
| .08 | 272 | 3.6 | .27 | 16 | 0.2 |
| .09 | 415 | 5.4 | .28 | 11 | 0.1 |
| .10 | 625 | 8.2 | .29 | 5 | 0.1 |
| .11 | 695 | 9.1 | .30 | 5 | 0.1 |
| .12 | 762 | 9.9 | .31 | 4 | 0.1 |
| .13 | 665 | 8.7 | | | |
| .14 | 676 | 8.8 | | | |
| .15 | 616 | 8.0 | | | |
| .16 | 566 | 7.4 | | | |
| .17 | 489 | 6.4 | | | |
| .18 | 415 | 5.4 | TOTAL | 7667 | 100.0 |
| .19 | 322 | 4.2 | | MEAN BAC .140 | |

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 2001 convicted under age 21 cases is 87.3%.

TABLE 10: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND
AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL

| DUI OFFENDER STATUS | PERCENT | AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%) | AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM CONVICTION ABSTRACT (%) |
|---------------------|---------|---|--|
| STATEWIDE | 100.0 | .163 | .161 |
| 1ST DUI | 75.4 | .159 | .159 |
| 2ND DUI | 19.5 | .172 | .171 |
| 3RD DUI | 4.1 | .180 | .177 |
| 4TH+ DUI | 1.1 | .182 | .181 |

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2001. Although counts of postconviction court license actions are included, total counts of all license actions, including DMV administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independently of the criminal adjudication process. In previous reports, sanction combinations for first and second offenders were reported by county; however, they are no longer presented because various sanction combinations have been eliminated by recent legislation. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 2001 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2001 Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 2001. For example:

Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.7%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (2.4%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.3% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the percentage representation of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.




Figure 6. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2001).

County Variation:

- ▶ The proportion of 2001 first-DUI offenders receiving a court postconviction suspension varied by county from 35.4% in Monterey County to 0% in Alpine, Lake, and Yuba Counties.
- ▶ Use of first DUI offender alcohol programs plus license restriction varies by county from over 90% in 15 counties to less than 30% in Tehama County.

Court Variation:

- ▶ Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Santa Barbara County alone, one court (Santa Maria) assigned jail to 86.2% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 761$), while another court (Lompoc) in the same county assigned jail to only 51.1% of all convicted DUI offenders ($n = 364$).
- ▶ In Los Angeles County, the Lancaster Municipal Court used jail as a sanction in 96% of their DUI sentences, while four other courts (Inglewood, Malibu, Pasadena, and Santa Monica) used jail as a sanction in less than 30% of their DUI sentences.
- ▶ In 2001, Los Angeles and San Joaquin were the only counties with active 30-month third offender treatment programs. Even within these counties, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality was low and varied significantly by court.
- ▶ Statewide, courts required only 2.4% of all convicted DUI offenders to install the ignition interlock device in 2001. This is down from 6.3% in 1997 and 1998, primarily because new legislation shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new “mandatory” interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

Variation by Offender Status:

- ▶ Less than two-thirds of 2001 first-DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.
- ▶ 88% of first DUI-offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 84% of second offenders, 56% of third offenders, and 30% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- ▶ 5.2% of first-DUI offenders and 11.9% of repeat-DUI offenders received court license suspensions in 2001. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to a 30-day to 1-year administrative license suspension / revocation under the APS law.
- ▶ Only 8.1% of repeat-DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 2001, compared to 7.5% in 2000, 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. In spite of the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of "mandatory" assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) and program was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension / revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old "mandatory" law.

TABLE 11: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS*

| DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| STATEWIDE | 136322 | 96.7 | 73.3 | 67.2 | 18.0 | 0.2 | 75.5 | 6.8 | 2.4 |
| 1ST DUI | 102586 | 97.5 | 66.5 | 86.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 5.2 | 0.6 |
| 2ND DUI | 26495 | 96.3 | 94.0 | 11.4 | 72.2 | 0.1 | 68.3 | 10.3 | 7.3 |
| 3RD DUI | 5716 | 91.1 | 93.3 | 4.0 | 49.5 | 2.9 | 20.1 | 18.2 | 12.0 |
| 4TH+ DUI | 1525 | 66.7 | 92.5 | 2.0 | 26.8 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 15.5 | 7.0 |

*Entries represent percentages of 2001 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS*

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| STATEWIDE | | 136322 | 96.7 | 73.3 | 67.2 | 18.0 | 0.2 | 75.5 | 6.8 | 2.4 |
| ALAMEDA | 1 ST DUI | 3109 | 99.3 | 96.5 | 84.5 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 3.5 | 0.6 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 846 | 99.4 | 97.4 | 11.0 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 80.1 | 2.7 | 24.6 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 165 | 97.6 | 96.4 | 5.5 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 39.4 | 17.0 | 27.9 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 50 | 94.0 | 100.0 | 6.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 18.0 |
| | TOTAL | 4170 | 99.2 | 96.7 | 65.5 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 78.8 | 4.0 | 6.8 |
| ALPINE | 1 ST DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 92.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 51 | 100.0 | 35.3 | 78.4 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| AMADOR | 1 ST DUI | 134 | 96.3 | 56.0 | 43.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 10.4 | 15.7 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 43 | 95.3 | 97.7 | 4.7 | 83.7 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 9.3 | 48.8 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 66.7 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 190 | 95.8 | 67.9 | 32.1 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 76.8 | 12.1 | 26.3 |
| BUTTE | 1 ST DUI | 841 | 95.6 | 96.3 | 88.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 81.2 | 9.3 | 0.2 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 255 | 93.3 | 98.4 | 14.5 | 74.5 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 3.5 | 2.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 57 | 91.2 | 98.2 | 5.3 | 61.4 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 42.1 | 7.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 16 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| | TOTAL | 1169 | 94.3 | 96.9 | 67.1 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 76.4 | 9.5 | 1.0 |
| CALAVERAS | 1 ST DUI | 108 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 47.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 43 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 81.4 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 2.3 | 16.3 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 164 | 98.8 | 99.4 | 33.5 | 26.2 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 1.8 | 5.5 |
| COLUSA | 1 ST DUI | 129 | 93.0 | 97.7 | 82.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 48 | 87.5 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 87.5 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 196 | 90.3 | 97.4 | 54.6 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 1 ST DUI | 2619 | 97.9 | 96.3 | 89.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 86.3 | 6.7 | 0.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 700 | 97.3 | 99.1 | 16.3 | 71.6 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 27.7 | 0.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 172 | 93.0 | 98.8 | 1.7 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 24.4 | 1.7 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 43 | 83.7 | 100.0 | 2.3 | 55.8 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 3534 | 97.4 | 97.1 | 69.8 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 76.7 | 11.8 | 0.2 |
| DEL NORTE | 1 ST DUI | 107 | 96.3 | 91.6 | 87.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 37 | 91.9 | 94.6 | 13.5 | 64.9 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 5.4 | 40.5 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 22.2 | 66.7 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 50.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| | TOTAL | 157 | 93.6 | 92.4 | 63.1 | 20.4 | 0.6 | 74.5 | 3.2 | 14.6 |

*Entries represent percentages of 2001 convictees receiving each sanction by county and offender status.

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| EL DORADO | 1 ST DUI | 609 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 89.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 91.5 | 2.5 | 0.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 199 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 9.5 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 7.0 | 14.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 45 | 93.3 | 97.8 | 4.4 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 24.4 | 11.1 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 12 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 8.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 8.3 | 33.3 |
| | TOTAL | 865 | 97.7 | 98.2 | 65.4 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 84.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| FRESNO | 1 ST DUI | 2236 | 96.8 | 93.3 | 84.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 78.8 | 3.7 | 0.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 770 | 95.6 | 95.3 | 13.5 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 1.0 | 4.5 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 198 | 91.9 | 92.4 | 4.0 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 61 | 67.2 | 95.1 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 3265 | 95.7 | 93.8 | 61.6 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
| GLENN | 1 ST DUI | 137 | 94.9 | 53.3 | 77.4 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 61.3 | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 28.6 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 192 | 94.3 | 65.6 | 61.5 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| HUMBOLDT | 1 ST DUI | 646 | 97.2 | 18.4 | 80.7 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 89.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 165 | 98.8 | 80.0 | 12.1 | 78.2 | 0.0 | 83.6 | 10.9 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 31 | 80.6 | 83.9 | 3.2 | 41.9 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 48.4 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 851 | 96.8 | 33.5 | 63.7 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 6.9 | 0.0 |
| IMPERIAL | 1 ST DUI | 561 | 99.5 | 30.7 | 85.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 89.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 100 | 99.0 | 71.0 | 39.0 | 51.0 | 1.0 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 21 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 23.8 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 687 | 99.4 | 38.9 | 76.6 | 10.8 | 0.1 | 87.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| INYO | 1 ST DUI | 111 | 96.4 | 20.7 | 91.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 85.6 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 32 | 96.9 | 78.1 | 15.6 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 149 | 94.6 | 34.9 | 71.8 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 1.3 | 6.0 |
| KERN | 1 ST DUI | 2783 | 97.3 | 94.7 | 77.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 74.2 | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 738 | 95.7 | 97.6 | 9.8 | 33.1 | 0.3 | 39.4 | 15.6 | 4.9 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 183 | 92.3 | 94.0 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 15.8 | 29.0 | 11.5 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 50 | 52.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 4.0 |
| | TOTAL | 3754 | 96.2 | 95.3 | 59.9 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 63.6 | 7.5 | 2.1 |
| KINGS | 1 ST DUI | 548 | 86.9 | 94.0 | 77.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 67.2 | 20.1 | 0.9 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 172 | 81.4 | 96.5 | 22.1 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 59.9 | 25.6 | 10.5 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 41 | 70.7 | 95.1 | 19.5 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 24.4 | 7.3 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 19 | 31.6 | 84.2 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 15.8 |
| | TOTAL | 780 | 83.5 | 94.4 | 60.3 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 61.5 | 21.5 | 3.7 |

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| LAKE | 1 ST DUI | 441 | 98.6 | 22.9 | 94.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 94.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 149 | 97.3 | 77.9 | 24.8 | 63.8 | 0.0 | 69.8 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 36 | 97.2 | 94.4 | 8.3 | 61.1 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 633 | 97.9 | 40.8 | 72.2 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 83.4 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| LASSEN | 1 ST DUI | 181 | 92.8 | 95.6 | 83.4 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 71.8 | 7.2 | 0.6 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 41 | 92.7 | 97.6 | 17.1 | 70.7 | 0.0 | 19.5 | 31.7 | 4.9 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 233 | 91.8 | 95.7 | 68.2 | 19.7 | 0.9 | 60.1 | 11.6 | 1.7 |
| LOS ANGELES | 1 ST DUI | 22279 | 98.0 | 38.9 | 90.5 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 84.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 5332 | 96.8 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 79.0 | 0.5 | 78.6 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 1026 | 90.5 | 83.7 | 2.8 | 43.5 | 12.1 | 17.3 | 7.3 | 2.1 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 228 | 47.4 | 93.0 | 1.8 | 11.4 | 3.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 0.9 |
| | TOTAL | 28865 | 97.1 | 50.3 | 71.9 | 17.7 | 0.6 | 80.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| MADERA | 1 ST DUI | 458 | 94.5 | 98.0 | 84.3 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 16.4 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 129 | 96.1 | 96.1 | 17.1 | 69.8 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 15.5 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 15.2 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 12 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 632 | 94.3 | 97.8 | 65.5 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 52.2 | 16.3 | 0.0 |
| MARIN | 1 ST DUI | 1035 | 99.1 | 8.1 | 86.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 8.2 | 1.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 185 | 98.9 | 83.2 | 6.5 | 85.4 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 9.7 | 5.4 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 40 | 100.0 | 92.5 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 75.0 | 47.5 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 64.3 | 50.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1274 | 99.1 | 22.4 | 70.9 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 87.6 | 11.1 | 3.6 |
| MARIPOSA | 1 ST DUI | 51 | 98.0 | 92.2 | 62.7 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 26 | 96.2 | 80.8 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 11.5 | 38.5 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 81 | 96.3 | 88.9 | 39.5 | 16.0 | 3.7 | 49.4 | 6.2 | 0.0 |
| MENDOCINO | 1 ST DUI | 387 | 90.4 | 94.3 | 77.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 | 78.6 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 137 | 96.4 | 99.3 | 7.3 | 75.2 | 0.0 | 78.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 34 | 82.4 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 55.9 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 564 | 91.1 | 95.2 | 55.1 | 23.9 | 0.2 | 74.1 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| MERCED | 1 ST DUI | 806 | 98.1 | 93.5 | 83.0 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 89.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 239 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 14.2 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 62 | 95.2 | 98.4 | 6.5 | 67.7 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 24.2 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1118 | 97.6 | 94.8 | 63.2 | 20.8 | 0.2 | 85.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| MODOC | 1 ST DUI | 45 | 88.9 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 58 | 86.2 | 84.5 | 70.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 6.9 | 1.7 |
| MONO | 1 ST DUI | 99 | 100.0 | 65.7 | 94.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 82.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 18 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 123 | 98.4 | 71.5 | 78.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 4.9 | 1.6 |
| MONTEREY | 1 ST DUI | 1924 | 99.0 | 92.6 | 68.9 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 46.3 | 35.4 | 5.4 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 462 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 5.2 | 53.5 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 43.9 | 65.4 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 123 | 95.9 | 99.2 | 3.3 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 62.6 | 65.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 31 | 93.5 | 100.0 | 3.2 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 48.4 |
| | TOTAL | 2540 | 98.6 | 94.1 | 53.3 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 39.1 | 38.9 | 19.7 |
| NAPA | 1 ST DUI | 701 | 98.7 | 97.0 | 84.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 174 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 12.6 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 1.1 | 16.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 39 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 2.6 | 43.6 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 12 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| | TOTAL | 926 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 66.1 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 0.8 | 5.5 |
| NEVADA | 1 ST DUI | 371 | 97.8 | 94.1 | 90.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 97 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 6.2 | 82.5 | 0.0 | 83.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 12.0 | 64.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 501 | 98.0 | 95.6 | 68.7 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| ORANGE | 1 ST DUI | 8830 | 99.3 | 25.0 | 94.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 87.4 | 5.2 | 1.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 2049 | 98.6 | 91.0 | 8.0 | 86.0 | 0.1 | 64.8 | 21.1 | 14.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 364 | 96.4 | 94.8 | 2.5 | 79.9 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 12.6 | 31.3 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 68 | 38.2 | 94.1 | 1.5 | 29.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 10.3 |
| | TOTAL | 11311 | 98.7 | 39.6 | 75.2 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 80.4 | 8.3 | 4.6 |
| PLACER | 1 ST DUI | 1148 | 98.0 | 98.1 | 64.1 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 87.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 314 | 96.5 | 99.4 | 13.4 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 80.9 | 2.2 | 14.3 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 58 | 89.7 | 96.6 | 1.7 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 22.4 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 10 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1530 | 97.1 | 98.3 | 50.9 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 83.1 | 2.0 | 6.0 |
| PLUMAS | 1 ST DUI | 126 | 98.4 | 100.0 | 87.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 94.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 32 | 96.9 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 90.6 | 0.0 | 96.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 168 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 66.1 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| RIVERSIDE | 1 ST DUI | 5158 | 97.7 | 81.9 | 88.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 1304 | 96.2 | 95.6 | 15.9 | 76.0 | 0.0 | 66.1 | 9.5 | 1.5 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 314 | 90.8 | 94.6 | 4.8 | 71.3 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 18.8 | 3.8 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 121 | 80.2 | 90.9 | 1.7 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 19.8 | 2.5 |
| | TOTAL | 6897 | 96.8 | 85.3 | 69.5 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 5.5 | 0.6 |
| SACRAMENTO | 1 ST DUI | 3614 | 98.8 | 96.1 | 74.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 63.1 | 12.9 | 0.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 1126 | 96.7 | 98.4 | 9.8 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 41.8 | 27.6 | 1.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 317 | 95.9 | 99.4 | 2.5 | 23.7 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 16.1 | 0.6 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 102 | 86.3 | 97.1 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 17.6 | 2.9 |
| | TOTAL | 5159 | 97.9 | 96.8 | 54.4 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 53.9 | 16.4 | 0.4 |
| SAN BENITO | 1 ST DUI | 176 | 97.7 | 96.0 | 69.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 67.0 | 27.8 | 1.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 70 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 7.1 | 61.4 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 28.6 | 18.6 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 262 | 97.3 | 96.6 | 48.9 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 63.7 | 28.2 | 7.3 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 1 ST DUI | 6088 | 97.6 | 47.6 | 92.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 1449 | 97.2 | 90.4 | 15.4 | 76.0 | 0.1 | 82.1 | 4.8 | 0.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 303 | 91.4 | 91.1 | 5.0 | 44.6 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 14.2 | 0.3 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 94 | 63.8 | 64.9 | 2.1 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 7934 | 96.9 | 57.3 | 73.7 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 3.2 | 0.1 |
| SAN DIEGO | 1 ST DUI | 9535 | 96.6 | 48.5 | 86.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 86.4 | 3.1 | 0.2 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 2226 | 96.1 | 88.9 | 13.1 | 70.7 | 0.0 | 72.2 | 9.7 | 2.2 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 412 | 89.6 | 94.7 | 4.6 | 57.5 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 17.2 | 6.1 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 107 | 67.3 | 98.1 | 4.7 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| | TOTAL | 12280 | 96.0 | 57.8 | 69.6 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 4.8 | 0.8 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 1 ST DUI | 737 | 99.5 | 97.3 | 94.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 95.3 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 153 | 99.3 | 97.4 | 22.2 | 74.5 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 0.7 | 54.2 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 4.0 | 76.0 | 4.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 88.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 57.1 |
| | TOTAL | 922 | 99.5 | 97.1 | 79.4 | 16.3 | 0.1 | 93.8 | 0.5 | 13.1 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 1 ST DUI | 2215 | 98.8 | 98.6 | 87.9 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 76.8 | 12.0 | 0.4 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 693 | 98.6 | 99.4 | 6.8 | 81.8 | 0.1 | 76.5 | 15.0 | 5.3 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 172 | 95.9 | 79.7 | 1.2 | 34.3 | 18.0 | 14.5 | 52.9 | 12.2 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 66 | 81.8 | 71.2 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 27.3 | 3.0 | 43.9 | 10.6 |
| | TOTAL | 3146 | 98.3 | 97.1 | 63.4 | 21.6 | 1.7 | 71.8 | 15.6 | 2.3 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 1 ST DUI | 1234 | 95.5 | 96.2 | 87.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 340 | 95.9 | 97.9 | 7.9 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 2.1 | 0.6 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 76 | 90.8 | 98.7 | 6.6 | 63.2 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 16 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1666 | 95.3 | 96.7 | 67.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 77.7 | 4.1 | 0.1 |

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SAN MATEO | 1 ST DUI | 2185 | 93.8 | 98.0 | 86.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 75.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 481 | 92.9 | 98.8 | 4.0 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 4.0 | 37.2 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 96 | 91.7 | 99.0 | 4.2 | 78.1 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 34.4 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 21 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 19.0 |
| | TOTAL | 2783 | 93.5 | 98.2 | 69.0 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 1.7 | 8.6 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 1 ST DUI | 1834 | 97.7 | 66.7 | 59.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 19.0 | 0.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 485 | 97.5 | 96.1 | 4.9 | 62.1 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 42.3 | 4.9 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 102 | 95.1 | 99.0 | 3.9 | 53.9 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 72.5 | 17.6 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 26 | 65.4 | 80.8 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 3.8 |
| | TOTAL | 2447 | 97.2 | 74.0 | 45.6 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 63.7 | 26.1 | 2.0 |
| SANTA CLARA | 1 ST DUI | 4581 | 94.6 | 95.0 | 80.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 78.4 | 7.8 | 1.6 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 1327 | 87.6 | 98.6 | 9.3 | 65.7 | 0.0 | 59.6 | 10.3 | 13.9 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 274 | 74.5 | 97.4 | 2.2 | 37.2 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 3.3 | 24.8 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 40 | 65.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
| | TOTAL | 6222 | 92.0 | 95.9 | 61.0 | 17.8 | 0.1 | 70.8 | 8.1 | 5.4 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 1 ST DUI | 1045 | 98.7 | 96.0 | 81.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 80.4 | 15.8 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 300 | 99.0 | 98.7 | 6.7 | 61.0 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 31.7 | 0.3 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 81 | 95.1 | 98.8 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 84.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 16 | 81.3 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1442 | 98.3 | 96.6 | 60.1 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 72.3 | 23.6 | 0.1 |
| SHASTA | 1 ST DUI | 745 | 97.9 | 96.9 | 88.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 81.1 | 6.2 | 0.7 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 229 | 96.5 | 98.7 | 7.4 | 81.7 | 0.0 | 79.0 | 1.7 | 12.2 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 67 | 83.6 | 94.0 | 3.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 7.5 | 29.9 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 20 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 5.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1061 | 95.6 | 97.2 | 64.2 | 21.2 | 0.1 | 75.2 | 5.7 | 5.1 |
| SIERRA | 1 ST DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 26 | 92.3 | 96.2 | 34.6 | 50.0 | 3.8 | 84.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 1 ST DUI | 221 | 91.4 | 90.0 | 70.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 59.7 | 6.3 | 0.9 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 61 | 85.2 | 95.1 | 23.0 | 50.8 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 1.6 | 13.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 17 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 302 | 89.1 | 91.7 | 56.6 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 54.0 | 5.0 | 3.6 |
| SOLANO | 1 ST DUI | 1042 | 95.6 | 94.8 | 92.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 76.6 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 296 | 95.3 | 98.3 | 12.5 | 80.4 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 3.7 | 2.7 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 75 | 78.7 | 98.7 | 5.3 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 20.0 | 9.3 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 21 | 52.4 | 95.2 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| | TOTAL | 1434 | 94.0 | 95.7 | 69.9 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 |

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SONOMA | 1 ST DUI | 1545 | 96.5 | 94.9 | 78.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 458 | 95.6 | 99.1 | 4.6 | 62.9 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 93 | 89.2 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 39 | 64.1 | 97.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 2135 | 95.4 | 96.1 | 57.7 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 69.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| STANISLAUS | 1 ST DUI | 974 | 98.0 | 92.7 | 91.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 76.8 | 3.9 | 0.1 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 260 | 98.1 | 97.7 | 14.6 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 65.4 | 4.2 | 3.1 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 57 | 96.5 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 8.8 | 15.8 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 25 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1316 | 97.7 | 94.1 | 71.0 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 71.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 |
| SUTTER | 1 ST DUI | 264 | 96.2 | 97.0 | 90.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 2.7 | 1.9 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 83 | 96.4 | 97.6 | 16.9 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 68.7 | 9.6 | 37.3 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 53.3 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 |
| | TOTAL | 366 | 96.2 | 97.3 | 69.4 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 76.2 | 4.6 | 12.8 |
| TEHAMA | 1 ST DUI | 273 | 89.0 | 97.4 | 28.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 60.1 | 7.7 | 0.7 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 83 | 81.9 | 98.8 | 3.6 | 69.9 | 0.0 | 53.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 26 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 42.3 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 387 | 84.0 | 97.9 | 20.9 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 54.8 | 6.5 | 0.8 |
| TRINITY | 1 ST DUI | 69 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 92.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 89.9 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 17 | 88.2 | 94.1 | 11.8 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 87 | 97.7 | 94.3 | 75.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| TULARE | 1 ST DUI | 1646 | 97.3 | 89.5 | 85.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 62.4 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 498 | 97.8 | 98.4 | 19.9 | 68.1 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 0.6 | 5.8 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 118 | 92.4 | 99.2 | 11.9 | 50.8 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 4.2 | 12.7 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 40 | 82.5 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 12.5 |
| | TOTAL | 2302 | 96.9 | 92.0 | 66.0 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 56.6 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| TUOLUMNE | 1 ST DUI | 263 | 96.6 | 98.9 | 91.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 85.6 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 87 | 97.7 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 78.2 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 4.6 | 3.4 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 11 | 36.4 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 386 | 94.6 | 99.0 | 65.8 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 7.5 | 1.3 |
| VENTURA | 1 ST DUI | 2764 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 85.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 12.6 | 1.8 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 649 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 11.1 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 12.5 | 11.2 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 136 | 95.6 | 94.9 | 3.7 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 43.4 | 41.2 | 22.8 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 25 | 64.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 |
| | TOTAL | 3574 | 98.1 | 98.2 | 68.4 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 82.4 | 14.0 | 4.4 |

TABLE 12: 2001 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|--------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| YOLO | 1 ST DUI | 522 | 98.7 | 96.2 | 88.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 79.1 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 139 | 95.7 | 98.6 | 16.5 | 69.1 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 2.9 | 7.2 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 31 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 38.7 | 0.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 700 | 98.0 | 96.7 | 69.0 | 19.4 | 0.1 | 76.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 |
| YUBA | 1 ST DUI | 241 | 99.6 | 96.3 | 90.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 86.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| | 2 ND DUI | 80 | 96.3 | 98.8 | 15.0 | 71.3 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 1.2 | 2.5 |
| | 3 RD DUI | 23 | 91.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 13.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 11 | 45.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| | TOTAL | 355 | 96.6 | 97.2 | 64.8 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 0.3 | 2.0 |

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents 1-year accident and DUI recidivism rates for first and second offenders over an 11-year time period, cumulative proportions of reoffenses over eight years for a single group of DUI offenders arrested in 1994, and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of alcohol education programs applied to 1) DUI offenders convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving (with a 1-year follow-up period), and 2) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs (1-year follow-up period). The accident and recidivism rates of first and second offenders over time, and the effectiveness of alcohol education programs for the alcohol-reckless and first offenders, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, but also all reckless driving [alcohol or non-alcohol] and hit-and-run), Administrative Per Se suspensions (APS for 0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions only, along with one comparison of DUI incidents.

Previous DUI-MIS reports included evaluations of sanction effectiveness for second offenders but these analyses were not conducted this year because AB 762 (Torlakson, 7 / 1 / 99) not only altered the ignition interlock requirements for second offenders, but also added the application of ignition interlock to offenders who were convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license. These changes to the DUI laws would complicate evaluations of the effectiveness of second offender sanctions, and make the results non-comparable to those of previous DUI-MIS studies. In addition, the law required a new evaluation on the effectiveness of ignition interlock devices; this study is currently underway at the California DMV and provides a rigorous approach to assessing the impact of ignition interlock devices.

Displayed below, in Figures 7 and 8, are unadjusted proportions of DUI recidivist incidents and accidents over time from 1990 through 2001; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses of first and second offenders from previous DUI- MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. Each year includes a unique set of first and second offenders who were arrested in the designated year. Unadjusted proportions are shown in this report because reoffense proportions for each offender group as a whole are examined rather than comparing the rates between sanction groups within each offender group. Statistical adjustments are only made when attempting to remove bias among the comparison groups.

Figures 9a and 9b, which display covariate-adjusted data as described below, show the proportion of alcohol-reckless offenders involved in accidents- or DUI-incidents for the period 7 / 01 - 6 / 02 (1-year follow-up period), and first offenders who were referred to 3-month or 6-month alcohol education programs for the same 1-year subsequent period. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in an alcohol / drug education program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month alcohol education / counseling program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts are required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than .20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of .20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program.

Figures 10a through 10e show unadjusted recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI conviction and DUI-incident reoffenses for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994.

The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results.

Based on the data represented in Figures 7 and 8, the following conclusions can be drawn about first- and second-offenders from 1990 to 2001:

- ▶ 1-year recidivism rates for all first offenders in 2001 continued to remain at the lower level of the past three years. Comparing these rates to the much higher rate of 1990, DUI reoffenses in 2001 were 35.5% lower than for 1990 for all first offenders.
- ▶ The 1-year reoffense rates for second offenders were slightly lower than the two previous years but overall the rates have leveled out in the past six years; recidivism decreased from 1990 to 2001 by 39.2% for all second offenders.
- ▶ Overall, subsequent 1-year accident rates have declined from 1990 to 2001 by 25% for second offenders; although their rate was the lowest in 1996, accidents have gradually increased in recent years for all second offenders. The accident rate for first offenders has been steadily increasing since 1993 and the 2001 rate is now only 1.9% lower than the 1990 accident rate.




Figure 7. Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001).




Figure 8. Percentages of first- and second-DUI offenders involved in an accident within one year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2001).

Based on the data portrayed in Figure 9a, the following conclusions can be made about the impact of alcohol education programs upon offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving (those arrested for DUI but convicted of the reduced charge of reckless driving).

- ▶ In contrast to the previous two yearly evaluations, this year's results show that the subsequent 1-year accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to an alcohol education program were significantly lower than those of the nonparticipants. Although the subsequent DUI offense rates of the program participants were lower than those of the nonparticipants, they were not significantly lower and might possibly be lower by chance alone.

Figure 9b displays the 1-year accident and DUI-related incident rates for those first DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. The following tentative conclusions can be made about the evaluation of length of alcohol education programs for first offenders.

- ▶ Like last year's evaluation, the 1-year accident rates of first offenders attending the 3-month program did not vary significantly from those attending 6-month programs. However, the subsequent DUI offense rate of the short-term program participants was again significantly lower than that of the long-term participants.




Figure 9a. Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 2001-2002 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction.




Figure 9b. Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of first offender drivers (arrested in year 2001) by length of alcohol education program.

Based on the data presented in Figures 10a through 10e, which address recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over eight years, the following conclusions can be drawn about long-term recidivism rates:

- ▶ At the end of eight years, 24% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 27% incurred at least one DUI incident.
- ▶ Over eight years, recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third offenders reoffending was 33%, while 27% of second offenders and 22% of first offenders recidivated.
- ▶ Males showed a much higher cumulative proportion (25%) of reoffenses than did females (17%) over the 8-year time period.

- ▶ Long-term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and lowest rates with the oldest group.
- ▶ After five years, the proportion reoffending among the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the proportion reoffending in 1984 (27%) and in 1980 (35%).




Figure 10a. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol accidents, major convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).




Figure 10b. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.




Figure 10c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.




Figure 10d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).




Figure 10e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, and 1994 DUI drivers.

EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, 1-year follow-up data for first and second DUI offenders were compiled from eleven previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. In addition, for the first time, 8-year follow-up data are presented for the convicted DUI offenders who were arrested in 1994. Follow-up data for the groups listed below were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

- ▶ 1-year follow-up period for convicted alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2001 through June, 2002.
- ▶ 1-year follow-up period for convicted first offenders who were arrested for DUI in 2001, and who were referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the follow-up accident and DUI reoffense data for first and second offenders, for the alcohol-related reckless offenders, and for the 1994 DUI offenders.

The conviction date for the cases involved in the prior / post analyses was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date.

Except for the 1994 cases, subjects were selected based on the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest in 2001. For this year's report, subjects selected were: 1) first-DUI

offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, 2) second-DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past seven years, and 4) first-DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 6-month alcohol education programs. In addition, all DUI offenders arrested in 1994 were selected for the 8-year follow-up evaluation.

Although the sanction analyses were not conducted this year for first- and second-DUI offenders, the 1-year unadjusted accident and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included. These previous and current analyses excluded offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions because their license control penalties were more severe than the misdemeanor offender groups. Also excluded were drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with “X” license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented 18.3% of the original convicted-offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were the out-of-state cases and drivers with “X” license numbers.

In the evaluation of the length of time of first offender programs, only first offenders who showed the 3-month and 6-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for this analysis. Unfortunately, there were many first offenders who were referred to the alcohol education programs that did not display the specific length of time, and were not included in this evaluation. Therefore, this analysis is limited to first offenders who were adjudicated by courts that were in compliance with the law.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to an alcohol education program, and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend an alcohol education program as a condition of probation, it was found that 64% were assigned to the programs while 36% were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed us to compare subsequent accidents and DUI incidents between the two groups.

For the alcohol-related reckless drivers and first offenders attending 3-month and 6-month programs, prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding their DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and these driver record variables were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starts from the conviction date, and was one year for the 2001 alcohol-related reckless drivers, the 3- and 6-month first offender program participants, and first- and second-offenders from 1990-2001, and was eight years for the 1994 offenders. A buffer period of four months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. For all of these groups, the outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any accident, and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS / refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). In addition,

both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 8-year followup period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in both the alcohol-related reckless and 3- and 6-month program participants at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area as covariates; Table B5 shows significant group differences on some of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt at statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in, the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial- selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

There were no significant ($p = .01$) interaction effects between the covariates and treatment factor in the analyses involving the alcohol-related reckless drivers nor the 3-month and 6-month program participants.

One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first- and second-offender sanction groups were compiled from the eleven previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figure 7 shows the proportions of first- and second-offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 2001 who reoffended within one year after conviction.

Figure 7 and Table 13 show an ongoing decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first-offenders overall from 1990 to 2001; although there are slight upward trends in 1995 and 1998, these trends are followed by a leveling out of the rates over the past 3 years. The overall decline translates into a 35.5% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders compared to the rates of 1990. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND ACCIDENT-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2001

| YEAR | DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED | | ACCIDENT-INVOLVED | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS | SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS | FIRST-DUI OFFENDERS | SECOND-DUI OFFENDERS |
| 1990 | 7.6 | 9.7 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| 1991 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| 1992 | 6.2 | 9.1 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| 1993 | 5.8 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 3.5 |
| 1994 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| 1995 | 5.8 | 7.0 | 4.6 | 3.0 |
| 1996 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 2.4 |
| 1997 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 4.7 | 2.7 |
| 1998 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 2.6 |
| 1999 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 2.8 |
| 2000 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 3.1 |
| 2001 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| % DIFFERENCE 1990-2001 | -35.5% | -39.2% | -1.9% | -25.0% |

A similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second-offender group as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13, but the rate of decline is greatest during the years from 1993 to 1996; then the rates level out for several years, followed by a slight decline in 2001. Table 13 shows that, from 1990 to 2001, the reoffense rates decreased 39.2% for the second offender group as a whole. Although the overall reoffense rates of second offenders are higher than those of first offenders, they became closer to the rates of first offenders in more recent years. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders which are attributable to the law.

One-Year Accident Rates for First and Second Offenders from 1990-2001: The 1-year subsequent accident rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of 1990-2001 first and second offenders who had accidents within one year after their conviction.

Among first offenders from 1990 through 2001, Figure 8 and Table 13 show an initial decline in accident rates for the earliest years and then, after 1993, there is a gradual increase in accidents. The difference between first offender accident rates between 1990 and 2001 is only -1.9%, whereas the difference for second offenders for those same years shows a much greater decline in accidents (-25%).

Figure 8 indicates a declining trend in the overall accident rate of second offenders up to 1996. Following the downward trend in accidents from 1990 through 1996, the accident rates gradually increase and continue on a slow upward trend, and then drop slightly in 2001. Overall, second offenders have lower accident rates than do first offenders (Table 13), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower accident rate of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (one to two years) license (restriction / suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

Results of the Alcohol-Related Reckless Program Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figure 9a and Table 14 display the results of the third evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations. In contrast to the past two years, the results show that assignment to the alcohol education program appears to have a significant effect on the 1-year subsequent accident rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders. The program participants show a 30.8% lower accident rate than that of the non-participants. Comparing these rates to those of the 2001 first DUI offenders, it is evident that alcohol-related reckless drivers who are not assigned to an alcohol program are more involved in accidents (6.59 per 100 drivers) than are first DUI offenders (5.2). However, the program participants have a slightly lower accident rate (5.04) than that of first offenders. It has been suggested in the past that the lack of license suspension / restriction for the alcohol-related reckless drivers might explain the higher accident rates. Past studies have documented the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction. However, in this year's analysis, it appears that for 2001, the alcohol-education program may have contributed to the lower accident rate of the participants.

TABLE 14: THE EFFECT OF SANCTIONS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING ON SUBSEQUENT ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS

| YEAR | SANCTION GROUP | SAMPLE SIZE | NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS | PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$ | NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS | PERCENT EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$ |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|---|
| 7 / 2001-6 / 2002 | 1) No program | (2,807) | 6.59 | | 3.67 | |
| (follow-up period = 1 year) | 2) Alcohol-education program | (5,036) | 5.04 | 30.8%* | 2.95 | 24.4% |

* $p < .01$

DUI Incidents: Figure 9a and Table 14 indicate that program participants show directionally but not statistically significantly ($p = .08$) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than the nonparticipants. Its possible that this finding occurred by chance, particularly in view of the low number of cases. The reoffense rate of the program participants is 24.4% lower than that of alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs, and is similar to the findings from the analysis two years ago (27.8%). These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or

judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Results of the 3-Month and 6-Month Alcohol Program Evaluation

Total Accidents: Figure 9b and Table 15 display the results of the second evaluation of the effectiveness of the alcohol education program upon first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 6-month programs. Just like last year, the results show that the length of time of the alcohol education program does not have a significant effect on the 1-year subsequent accident rates of first-time DUI offenders. The 6-month program participants have only a 5.5% lower accident rate than that of the 3-month participants. Again, comparing these rates to those of the alcohol-related reckless drivers, it is evident that the alcohol-related reckless drivers are more involved in accidents (6.59, 504 per 100 drivers) than are first DUI offenders (4.96, 4.70). As noted earlier, the short-term APS license suspension / restriction for all first offenders might explain their lower accident rates. Past studies have supported the effectiveness of license suspension on accident reduction.

TABLE 15: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS

| YEAR | SANCTION GROUP | SAMPLE SIZE | NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS | PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$ | NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS | PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{GRP 1 - GRP 2}{GRP 2} \times 100$ |
|---|--------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2001 (follow-up period = 1 year) | 1) 3-month program | (18,007) | 4.96 | | 4.26 | |
| | 2) 6-month program | (6,013) | 4.70 | 5.5% | 5.77 | -26.2%* |

* $p = .0001$

DUI Incidents: In contrast to the accident findings, Figure 9b and Table 15 indicate that the 3-month program participants have significantly ($p = .0001$) fewer DUI incidents in the one year following their assignment to the alcohol-education programs than do the 6-month program participants. This finding is consistent with last year's evaluation on subsequent DUI incidents. The reoffense rate of the 3-month program participants is 26.2% lower than that of the 6-month participants. Again, this finding is not surprising given that those assigned to the longer term program have higher BAC levels (.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Since this study only selected first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of alcohol program, there are likely unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, some statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders:

Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was determined that long-term recidivism rates should be examined for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long-term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were

evaluated. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time that display the first reoffense occurring following the initial DUI conviction. These cumulative proportions are shown by yearly increments over eight years.

In looking at the 1994 offenders as a whole, it is evident from Figure 10a that at the end of 8 years, 24% accumulated at least one DUI reoffense. Considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses to include all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increases to 27%. These failure curves are steepest in the earliest years following the initial conviction, and then start to flatten out in the 6th through 8th years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs in the first year following conviction. Its probable that those with more serious alcohol problems would be likely to recidivate earlier than those with lesser drinking problems. Its also possible that those less responsive to the sanctions and penalties imposed by the courts are not as deterred from recidivating over time than those who are more responsive.

One way to explore the extent of drinking severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within seven years of the entry DUI violation. Figure 10b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second and third or more DUI offenders. It is evident from this graph and from Table 16 that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third or more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain the higher proportions over the eight year period of time. At the end of eight years, 33% of third offenders have reoffended compared to 27% of second offenders and 22% of first offenders.

TABLE 16: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT
DUI REOFFENSES OF THE 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

| YEAR | PERCENTAGE | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|------|
| | 1 ST DUI | 2 ND DUI | 3 RD DUI | MALES | FEMALES | 16-25 | 26-45 | 46-65 | 65+ | 1980 | 1984 | 1994 |
| 1 ST | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
| 2 ND | 8 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 19 | 15 | 9 |
| 3 RD | 12 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 25 | 20 | 13 |
| 4 TH | 14 | 18 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 30 | 24 | 16 |
| 5 TH | 17 | 21 | 25 | 19 | 13 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 10 | 35 | 27 | 18 |
| 6 TH | 19 | 23 | 28 | 22 | 14 | 23 | 21 | 17 | 10 | 38 | NA | 21 |
| 7 TH | 20 | 25 | 31 | 23 | 16 | 25 | 23 | 18 | 11 | 40 | NA | 22 |
| 8 TH | 22 | 27 | 33 | 25 | 17 | 26 | 24 | 19 | 11 | 42 | NA | 24 |

Since the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (88% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 10c and Table 16, males show much higher cumulative proportions reoffending than females. At the end of eight years, 25% of males have reoffended as compared to 17%

of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably flatter throughout the eight years as compared to the curve of males.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed also by age. Figure 10d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over eight years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; their failure curves are steepest during the first two years following the entry conviction. The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the 5th year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality factor of the oldest group could influence the lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be imposing self-restricted driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After eight years, the youngest two groups reoffended by 26% and 24%, respectively, while 19% of the middle age group and 11% of the oldest group recidivated.

The final Figure 10e and Table 16 compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980 and 1984 cohorts over a 5-year time period. This long-term historical comparison would allow us to consider the probable influence of the major DUI laws in California over a 14-year time period. Figure 10e reveals that at the end of five years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group and 18% of the 1994 offenders. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 group (18%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 14 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions on DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986).

Continuing with Figure 10e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is equally dramatic; this reduction in reoffenses is likely attributed to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and possibly SB 1150 (Lockyer) which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions on DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the APS law.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long-term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within seven years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These are not surprising findings, and are consistent with and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates between the 1994 group and the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative proportions of reoffenses was much lower among the 1994 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 group could be attributed to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders as established by the APS suspension law of 1990.

SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S / R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1992-2002. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1992 through 2002. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 00 / 01 through 02 / 03.

Figure 11: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1992-2002. This figure graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1992 through 2002, both preconviction and postconviction.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- ▶ During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S /R actions increased by 60% over that for 1990. These totals declined each subsequent year through 1995, and have fluctuated up and down each year since 1995. After a 1.9% increase in 2000, S/R actions decreased by 3.9% in 2001, and increased again by 2.3% in 2002.
- ▶ In 2002, 165,505 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 78% were first-offender actions and 22% were repeat-offender actions.
- ▶ In FY 02 / 03, APS actions decreased by 3.2%, following a 1% decrease the previous fiscal year.

- ▶ Chemical test refusal actions have declined each year since 1991, and dropped by 0.8% in 2002. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 58.8% from the 1991 totals.
- ▶ The number of mandatory postconviction license actions increased by 7.1% in 2002, following a 2.4% increase in 2001. Overall, mandatory postconviction license actions have declined by 10.7% since 1992.
- ▶ In the 13 years since APS was implemented in July 1990, over two and a half million (2,647,316) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.
- ▶ Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1% of all APS actions in FY 90 / 91 to 24.7% in 02 / 03. The rate at which APS suspension / revocation actions are upheld after hearing has declined the past several years, but increased from 83.2% upheld in 01/02 to 85.4% upheld in 02/03.
- ▶ During the first 9.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 138,671 suspension actions have been taken.




Figure 11. Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1992-2002.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1992-2002

| | YEAR | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS | 308399 | 277447 | 243645 | 226158 ^r | 230600 ^r | 205462 ² | 238612 ² | 236141 | 240597 | 231217 | 236603 |
| PRECONVICTION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Admin Per Se (APS) Actions | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | 173696 ^r | 180343 ^r | 169511 | 175365 | 179332 | 172606 | 164840 | 165505 |
| .01 Zero tolerance suspensions | n/a | n/a | 9971 | 8608 ^r | 9327 ^r | 11517 | 15640 | 17775 | 18185 | 18549 | 19129 |
| .08 First-offender suspensions | 157545 | 144321 | 120582 | 116636 ^r | 122111 ^r | 114247 | 116827 | 119621 | 114997 | 109695 | 109888 |
| .08 Repeat-offender suspensions | 62656 | 57279 | 47429 | 43218 ^r | 43922 ^r | 39636 | 39024 | 38487 | 36147 | 33517 | 33580 |
| .08 Repeat-offender revocations | 8589 | 7406 | 6063 | 5234 ^r | 4983 ^r | 4111 | 3874 | 3449 | 3277 | 3079 | 2908 |
| Commercial driver actions | 6449 | 5829 | 5038 | 4743 ^r | 4939 ^r | 4496 | 4609 | 4471 | 4139 | 4013 | 3936 |
| Chemical test refusal actions | 17963 ^r | 15662 | 13264 | 11711 ^r | 11436 ^r | 10110 | 9935 | 9435 | 9433 | 8841 | 8772 |
| .01 Test refusal suspensions | n/a | n/a | 179 | 170 | 154 | 134 | 229 | 268 | 270 | 280 | 290 |
| .08 Test refusal suspensions | 9374 ^r | 8256 | 7022 | 6307 ^r | 6299 ^r | 5865 | 5832 | 5718 | 5886 | 5482 | 5547 |
| .08 Test refusal revocations | 8589 ^r | 7406 | 6063 | 5234 ^r | 4983 ^r | 4111 | 3874 | 3449 | 3277 | 3079 | 2908 |
| TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS | 228790 | 209006 | 184045 | 173696 ^r | 180343 ^r | 169511 | 175365 | 179332 | 172606 | 164840 | 165505 |
| POSTCONVICTION¹ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Juvenile DUI suspensions | 1202 | 922 | 879 | 677 | 995 | 769 ² | 1026 ² | 918 | 741 | 714 | 896 |
| First-offender suspensions | 10673 | 10208 | 8696 | 7266 | 7229 | 4847 ² | 9588 ² | 15072 | 29924 | 31097 | 32716 |
| Misdemeanor | 8989 | 8607 | 7188 | 5806 | 5753 | 3834 ² | 7497 ² | 13401 | 28118 | 29188 | 30563 |
| Felony | 1684 | 1601 | 1508 | 1460 | 1476 | 1013 ² | 2091 ² | 1671 | 1806 | 1909 | 2153 |
| Second-offender S/R actions | 45478 | 38849 | 34300 | 31489 | 30404 | 22945 ² | 40238 ² | 31940 | 29097 | 26911 | 29345 |
| Misdemeanor | 44756 | 38285 | 33794 | 30955 | 29864 | 22532 ² | 39065 ² | 31455 | 28571 | 26334 | 28748 |
| Felony | 722 | 564 | 506 | 534 | 540 | 413 ² | 633 ² | 485 | 526 | 577 | 597 |
| Third-offender revocations | 15553 | 12908 | 11193 | 9471 | 8728 | 5569 ² | 9397 ² | 6573 | 6163 | 5727 | 6171 |
| Misdemeanor | 15233 | 12644 | 10974 | 9261 | 8550 | 5471 ² | 9167 ² | 6452 | 6015 | 5585 | 5996 |
| Felony | 320 | 264 | 219 | 210 | 178 | 98 ² | 230 ² | 121 | 148 | 142 | 175 |
| Fourth-offender revocations | 6703 | 5554 | 4532 | 3559 | 2901 | 1821 ² | 2998 ² | 2306 | 2066 | 1928 | 1970 |
| TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS | 79609 | 68441 | 59600 | 52462 | 50257 ^r | 35951 ² | 63247 ² | 56809 | 67991 | 66377 | 71098 |

¹These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements and include workload counts.

²The 1997/1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

^rRevised from prior reports.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

| | 7 / 00-6 / 01 | 7 / 01-6 / 02 | 7 / 02-6 / 03 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside) | 183,979 | 182,182 | 176,389 |
| Total .08 ¹ APS actions set aside | 14,510 | 15,172 | 15,538 |
| Total .01 ² suspensions set aside | 1,103 | 1,288 | 1,319 |
| Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside) | 168,366 | 165,722 | 159,532 |
| Net total .08 APS actions | 150,092 | 146,781 | 141,217 |
| Net total .01 suspensions | 18,274 | 18,941 | 18,315 |
| APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:³ | | | |
| Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers | 164,370 | 161,631 | 155,684 |
| Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken | 3,996 | 4,093 | 3,848 |
| Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles | 42 | 15 | 19 |
| APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions ⁴ | 112,158 | 110,416 | 106,305 |
| 4-month license suspensions | 84,960 | 83,287 | 76,277 |
| 30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions | 1,117 | 1,296 | 1,442 |
| 30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE ⁵ restrictions | 17,317 | 16,961 | 20,046 |
| First-offender chemical test refusals | 5,598 | 5,530 | 5,380 |
| CDL first offender suspensions / restrictions | 3,166 | 3,342 | 3,160 |
| Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions | 37,934 | 36,326 | 34,912 |
| Suspensions | 34,779 | 33,421 | 31,996 |
| Revocations | 3,155 | 2,944 | 2,916 |
| APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures: | | | |
| Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside) | 9,601 | 9,356 | 9,234 |
| Total .08 refusal actions set aside | 555 | 579 | 627 |
| Total .01 refusal actions set aside | 18 | 23 | 26 |
| Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside) | 9,028 | 8,754 | 8,581 |
| Net total .08 refusal actions | 8,753 | 8,473 | 8,296 |
| Net total .01 refusal actions | 275 | 281 | 285 |
| Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside) | 5.22% | 5.13% | 5.24% |
| Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs | 5,598 | 5,530 | 5,380 |
| Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs | 3,155 | 2,944 | 2,916 |
| APS Hearings⁶ | | | |
| Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled | 39,959 | 40,035 | 43,539 |
| Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing ⁷ | 21.7% | 22.0% | 24.7% |
| .08 hearings held and / or completed | 35,408 | 35,115 | 39,073 |
| .08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing | 30,618 | 29,222 | 33,386 |
| Percentage of .08 APS actions sustained / upheld following a hearing | 86.5% | 83.2% | 85.4% |
| .01 hearings held and / or completed | 3,183 | 3,192 | 3,724 |
| .01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing | 2,698 | 2,519 | 3,014 |
| Percentage of .01 APS actions sustained / upheld following a hearing | 84.8% | 78.9% | 80.9% |
| APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings | | | |
| Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled | 2,873 | 2,772 | 3,084 |
| .08 APS refusal hearings held and / or completed | 2,714 | 2,563 | 2,944 |
| .08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing | 2,202 | 2,024 | 2,384 |

¹.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

².01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

³All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

⁴Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

⁵This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1 / 1 / 95).

⁶These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety / Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

⁷Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.³ Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (e.g., only 3 cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Race / Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 2001 DUI arrestees, by race / ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Race / Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal / injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race / ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 2001 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal / Injury Accidents of 2001 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Type of Arrest. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 2001 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 2001 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 2001 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 2001 Alcohol-Involved Fatal / Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2001 alcohol-involved fatal

³Among 2001 DUI arrests, 25,320 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 11,086 involving an injury or fatality, and 14,234 are PDO.

and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 2001 Alcohol-Involved Fatal / Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2001 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and those of subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2001 Alcohol-Involved Fatal / Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 2001 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 2001 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Accidents. This table shows the mean and frequency distribution of BAC levels for drivers involved in alcohol-related accidents in 2001.

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1992 to 2002. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.




Figure 12. Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1992-2002.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- ▶ The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities increased by 8.3% in 2002, following increases of 6.1% in 2001, 5.4% in 2000 and 9.1% in 1999, which had been the first increase in over a decade. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved also increased (to 34.2%) for the fifth year in a row after over a decade of decreases.
- ▶ The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved was the same (10.4%) in 2002 as in 2001, which had been the first annual increase since 1987. The actual number of alcohol-involved injuries rose for the second year in a row, yet only the third time in 17 years.
- ▶ 14.1% of all 2001 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 13.5% in 2000, 12.5% in 1999, 12.8% in 1998, 12.3% in 1997, 12.6% in 1996, 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 43.8% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality, down slightly from the prior year (44.1% in 2000).
- ▶ In almost a quarter (21.0%) of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal / injury traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 87.5% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.
- ▶ Of all 2001 fatal / injury accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 22.8% had been arrested for felony DUI.
- ▶ 6.2% (11,086) of 2001 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal / injury accidents, only 31.4% (3,478) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 11.9% (1,320) led to a conviction of felony DUI. 79.0% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal / injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.
- ▶ Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal / injury accidents in 2001 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.
- ▶ Almost three-quarters (72.6%) of arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE / ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE*

| SOBRIETY CODE | TOTAL | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| | | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 11086 | 100.0 | 5574 | 50.3 | 4094 | 36.9 | 71 | 6.5 | 702 | 6.3 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE) | 10253 | 92.5 | 5050 | 49.3 | 3899 | 38.0 | 649 | 6.3 | 655 | 6.4 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%) | 20 | 0.2 | 13 | 65.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%) | 213 | 1.9 | 111 | 52.1 | 71 | 33.3 | 23 | 10.8 | 8 | 3.8 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 91 | 0.8 | 51 | 56.0 | 30 | 33.0 | 3 | 3.3 | 7 | 7.7 |
| NOT REPORTED | 509 | 4.6 | 349 | 68.6 | 89 | 17.5 | 39 | 7.7 | 32 | 6.3 |

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE / ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST*

| TYPE OF ARREST | TOTAL | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 11086 | 100.0 | 5574 | 50.3 | 4094 | 36.9 | 716 | 6.5 | 702 | 6.3 |
| FELONY DUI | 3478 | 31.4 | 1565 | 45.0 | 1453 | 41.8 | 242 | 7.0 | 218 | 6.3 |
| JUVENILE DUI | 156 | 1.4 | 92 | 59.0 | 56 | 35.9 | 2 | 1.3 | 6 | 3.8 |
| MISDEMEANOR DUI | 7452 | 67.2 | 3917 | 52.6 | 2585 | 34.7 | 472 | 6.3 | 478 | 6.4 |

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 21: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE*

| SOBRIETY CODE | TOTAL | | TYPE OF CONVICTION | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|--------------------|------|---------------|------|----------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|------|--------------------------------|------|
| | | | MISDEMEANOR DUI | | FELONY DUI | | ALCOHOL- RECKLESS | | NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS | | OTHER CONVICTIONS | | NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 11086 | 100.0 | 6336 | 57.2 | 1565 | 14.1 | 453 | 4.1 | 169 | 1.5 | 236 | 2.1 | 2327 | 21.0 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE) | 10253 | 92.5 | 6009 | 58.6 | 1477 | 14.4 | 413 | 4.0 | 141 | 1.4 | 176 | 1.7 | 2037 | 19.9 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%) | 20 | 0.2 | 6 | 30.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 12 | 60.0 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%) | 213 | 1.9 | 103 | 48.4 | 28 | 13.1 | 10 | 4.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 7 | 3.3 | 64 | 30.0 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 91 | 0.8 | 40 | 44.0 | 1 | 1.1 | 8 | 8.8 | 5 | 5.5 | 11 | 12.1 | 26 | 28.6 |
| NOT REPORTED | 509 | 4.6 | 178 | 35.0 | 58 | 11.4 | 22 | 4.3 | 22 | 4.3 | 41 | 8.1 | 188 | 36.9 |

*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND TYPE OF ARREST*

| TYPE OF ARREST | TOTAL | | TYPE OF CONVICTION | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|--------------------|------|---------------|------|----------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|--------------------------------|------|
| | | | MISDEMEANOR DUI | | FELONY DUI | | ALCOHOL- RECKLESS | | NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS | | OTHER CONVICTIONS | | NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 11086 | 100.0 | 6336 | 57.2 | 1565 | 14.1 | 453 | 4.1 | 169 | 1.5 | 236 | 2.1 | 2327 | 21.0 |
| FELONY DUI | 3478 | 31.4 | 1191 | 34.2 | 1320 | 38.0 | 71 | 2.0 | 34 | 1.0 | 70 | 2.0 | 792 | 22.8 |
| JUVENILE DUI | 156 | 1.4 | 42 | 26.9 | 16 | 10.3 | 3 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.3 | 3 | 1.9 | 90 | 57.7 |
| MISDEMEANOR DUI | 7452 | 67.2 | 5103 | 68.5 | 229 | 3.1 | 379 | 5.1 | 133 | 1.8 | 163 | 2.2 | 1445 | 19.4 |

*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 2001 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD
OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

| COUNTY | TOTAL (100%) | TYPE OF ARREST | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|------|--------------------|-------|
| | | FELONY DUI | | JUVENILE DUI | | MISDEMEANOR DUI | |
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STATEWIDE | 4191 | 707 | 16.9 | 156 | 3.7 | 3328 | 79.4 |
| ALAMEDA | 176 | 12 | 6.8 | 3 | 1.7 | 161 | 91.5 |
| ALPINE | 5 | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 3 | 60.0 |
| AMADOR | 35 | 6 | 17.1 | 2 | 5.7 | 27 | 77.1 |
| BUTTE | 15 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 100.0 |
| CALAVERAS | 7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 |
| COLUSA | 111 | 12 | 10.8 | 8 | 7.2 | 91 | 82.0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 5 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 40.0 |
| DEL NORTE | 26 | 6 | 23.1 | 2 | 7.7 | 18 | 69.2 |
| EL DORADO | 192 | 31 | 16.1 | 9 | 4.7 | 152 | 79.2 |
| FRESNO | 6 | 2 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 66.7 |
| GLENN | 29 | 4 | 13.8 | 3 | 10.3 | 22 | 75.9 |
| HUMBOLDT | 30 | 10 | 33.3 | 3 | 10.0 | 17 | 56.7 |
| IMPERIAL | 7 | 3 | 42.9 | 1 | 14.3 | 3 | 42.9 |
| INYO | 72 | 16 | 22.2 | 3 | 4.2 | 53 | 73.6 |
| KERN | 12 | 2 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 83.3 |
| KINGS | 16 | 3 | 18.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 12 | 75.0 |
| LAKE | 7 | 2 | 28.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 71.4 |
| LASSEN | 872 | 113 | 13.0 | 23 | 2.6 | 736 | 84.4 |
| LOS ANGELES | 35 | 4 | 11.4 | 1 | 2.9 | 30 | 85.7 |
| MADERA | 30 | 5 | 16.7 | 1 | 3.3 | 24 | 80.0 |
| MARIN | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| MENDOCINO | 17 | 3 | 17.6 | 1 | 5.9 | 13 | 76.5 |
| MERCED | 52 | 11 | 21.2 | 4 | 7.7 | 37 | 71.2 |
| MODOC | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 |
| MONO | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| MONTEREY | 69 | 14 | 20.3 | 7 | 10.1 | 48 | 69.6 |
| NAPA | 15 | 3 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 80.0 |
| NEVADA | 7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 |
| ORANGE | 167 | 22 | 13.2 | 2 | 1.2 | 143 | 85.6 |
| PLACER | 51 | 8 | 15.7 | 1 | 2.0 | 42 | 82.4 |
| PLUMAS | 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 |
| RIVERSIDE | 222 | 24 | 10.8 | 9 | 4.1 | 189 | 85.1 |
| SACRAMENTO | 196 | 41 | 20.9 | 5 | 2.6 | 150 | 76.5 |
| SAN BENITO | 13 | 5 | 38.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 61.5 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 284 | 63 | 22.2 | 11 | 3.9 | 210 | 73.9 |
| SAN DIEGO | 358 | 95 | 26.5 | 22 | 6.1 | 241 | 67.3 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 76 | 29 | 38.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 47 | 61.8 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 126 | 9 | 7.1 | 3 | 2.4 | 114 | 90.5 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 19 | 1 | 5.3 | 2 | 10.5 | 16 | 84.2 |
| SAN MATEO | 76 | 8 | 10.5 | 4 | 5.3 | 64 | 84.2 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 22 | 3 | 13.6 | 1 | 4.5 | 18 | 81.8 |
| SANTA CLARA | 139 | 41 | 29.5 | 1 | 0.7 | 97 | 69.8 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 22 | 3 | 13.6 | 1 | 4.5 | 18 | 81.8 |
| SHASTA | 36 | 6 | 16.7 | 1 | 2.8 | 29 | 80.6 |
| SIERRA | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 |
| SISKIYOU | 3 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 66.7 |
| SOLANO | 38 | 8 | 21.1 | 1 | 2.6 | 29 | 76.3 |
| SONOMA | 58 | 7 | 12.1 | 3 | 5.2 | 48 | 82.8 |
| STANISLAUS | 169 | 21 | 12.4 | 6 | 3.6 | 142 | 84.0 |
| SUTTER | 14 | 2 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 85.7 |
| TEHAMA | 4 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 |
| TRINITY | 4 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 |
| TULARE | 92 | 18 | 19.6 | 2 | 2.2 | 72 | 78.3 |
| TUOLUMNE | 8 | 3 | 37.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 62.5 |
| VENTURA | 99 | 13 | 13.1 | 5 | 5.1 | 81 | 81.8 |
| YOLO | 26 | 3 | 11.5 | 2 | 7.7 | 21 | 80.8 |
| YUBA | 9 | 1 | 11.1 | 1 | 11.1 | 7 | 77.8 |

*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS*
BY AGE AND SEX

| AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 20530 | 100.0 | 16525 | 80.5 | 4005 | 19.5 |
| UNDER 18 | 375 | 1.8 | 295 | 78.7 | 80 | 21.3 |
| 18-20 | 1943 | 9.5 | 1586 | 81.6 | 357 | 18.4 |
| 21-30 | 7019 | 34.2 | 5780 | 82.3 | 1239 | 17.7 |
| 31-40 | 4849 | 23.6 | 3804 | 78.4 | 1045 | 21.6 |
| 41-50 | 3369 | 16.4 | 2565 | 76.1 | 804 | 23.9 |
| 51-59 | 1335 | 6.5 | 1079 | 80.8 | 256 | 19.2 |
| 60-69 | 542 | 2.6 | 458 | 84.5 | 84 | 15.5 |
| 70 & ABOVE | 311 | 1.5 | 237 | 76.2 | 74 | 23.8 |
| AGE UNKNOWN | 787 | 3.8 | 721 | 91.6 | 66 | 8.4 |

*These data are derived from the 2001 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS
BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

| AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|------------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|------|
| | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 8328 | 100.0 | 6582 | 79.0 | 1746 | 21.0 |
| UNDER 18 | 198 | 2.4 | 163 | 82.3 | 35 | 17.7 |
| 18-20 | 824 | 9.9 | 664 | 80.6 | 160 | 19.4 |
| 21-30 | 2993 | 35.9 | 2432 | 81.3 | 561 | 18.7 |
| 31-40 | 1977 | 23.7 | 1518 | 76.8 | 459 | 23.2 |
| 41-50 | 1443 | 17.3 | 1100 | 76.2 | 343 | 23.8 |
| 51-60 | 550 | 6.6 | 443 | 80.5 | 107 | 19.5 |
| 61-70 | 215 | 2.6 | 168 | 78.1 | 47 | 21.9 |
| 71 & ABOVE | 128 | 1.5 | 94 | 73.4 | 34 | 26.6 |

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS*

| SOBRIETY LEVEL | TOTAL | | NO DUI PRIORS | | PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|---------------|------|-----------------------|------|------------|------|--------------|-----|---------------|------|
| | | | | | ONE PRIOR | | TWO PRIORS | | THREE PRIORS | | FOUR + PRIORS | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 18631 | 100.0 | 8259 | 44.3 | 8109 | 43.5 | 1802 | 9.7 | 381 | 2.0 | 80 | 0.4 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE) | 14066 | 75.5 | 4371 | 31.1 | 7559 | 53.7 | 1697 | 12.1 | 363 | 2.6 | 76 | 0.5 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%) | 3070 | 16.5 | 2805 | 91.4 | 224 | 7.3 | 34 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%) | 1469 | 7.9 | 1061 | 72.2 | 323 | 22.0 | 71 | 4.8 | 11 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.2 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 19 | 0.1 | 17 | 89.5 | 2 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 7 | 0.0 | 5 | 71.4 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 |

*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

| SOBRIETY LEVEL | TOTAL | | NO DUI PRIORS | | PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|---------------|------|-----------------------|------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------|------|
| | | | | | ONE PRIOR | | TWO PRIORS | | THREE PRIORS | | FOUR + PRIORS | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 8328 | 100.0 | 5565 | 66.8 | 2154 | 25.9 | 472 | 5.7 | 114 | 1.4 | 23 | 0.3 |
| HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE) | 4000 | 48.0 | 1765 | 44.1 | 1719 | 43.0 | 397 | 9.9 | 99 | 2.5 | 20 | 0.5 |
| HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%) | 3050 | 36.6 | 2793 | 91.6 | 217 | 7.1 | 33 | 1.1 | 7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%) | 1256 | 15.1 | 987 | 78.6 | 217 | 17.3 | 42 | 3.3 | 8 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.2 |
| HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING | 17 | 0.2 | 16 | 94.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 |

*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

| ACCIDENTS | TOTAL | | NO DUI PRIORS | | PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|------|-----------------------|------|------------|------|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|
| | | | | | ONE PRIOR | | TWO PRIORS | | THREE PRIORS | | FOUR + PRIORS | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 18631 | 100.0 | 8259 | 44.3 | 8109 | 43.5 | 1802 | 9.7 | 381 | 2.0 | 80 | 0.4 |
| FATAL | 960* | 5.2 | 697 | 72.6 | 216 | 22.5 | 41 | 4.3 | 6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| INJURY | 17671 | 94.8 | 7562 | 42.8 | 7893 | 44.7 | 1761 | 10.0 | 375 | 2.1 | 80 | 0.5 |

*The records of 82.3% (790) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2001 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL / INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

| ACCIDENTS | TOTAL | | NO DUI PRIORS | | PRIORS IN SEVEN YEARS | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------------|------|-----------------------|------|------------|-----|--------------|-----|---------------|-----|
| | | | | | ONE PRIOR | | TWO PRIORS | | THREE PRIORS | | FOUR + PRIORS | |
| | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TOTAL | 8328 | 100.0 | 5565 | 66.8 | 2154 | 25.9 | 472 | 5.7 | 114 | 1.4 | 23 | 0.3 |
| FATAL | 845 | 10.1 | 639 | 75.6 | 170 | 20.1 | 32 | 3.8 | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| INJURY | 7483 | 89.9 | 4926 | 65.8 | 1984 | 26.5 | 440 | 5.9 | 110 | 1.5 | 23 | 0.3 |

*The records of 79.3% (670) of these cases indicated they were deceased. These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 27: 2001 REPORTED* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)
LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS

| BAC LEVEL | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
|-----------|---------------|---------|
| .00 | 105 | 1.0 |
| .01 | 53 | 0.5 |
| .02 | 66 | 0.6 |
| .03 | 81 | 0.7 |
| .04 | 106 | 1.0 |
| .05 | 120 | 1.1 |
| .06 | 179 | 1.6 |
| .07 | 248 | 2.3 |
| .08 | 423 | 3.9 |
| .09 | 398 | 3.6 |
| .10 | 479 | 4.4 |
| .11 | 548 | 5.0 |
| .12 | 587 | 5.3 |
| .13 | 587 | 5.3 |
| .14 | 592 | 5.4 |
| .15 | 674 | 6.1 |
| .16 | 687 | 6.3 |
| .17 | 649 | 5.9 |
| .18 | 596 | 5.4 |
| .19 | 585 | 5.3 |
| .20 | 546 | 5.0 |
| .21 | 463 | 4.2 |
| .22 | 426 | 3.9 |
| .23 | 344 | 3.1 |
| .24 | 280 | 2.6 |
| .25 | 245 | 2.2 |
| .26 | 182 | 1.7 |
| .27 | 149 | 1.4 |
| .28 | 144 | 1.3 |
| .29 | 91 | 0.8 |
| .30 | 84 | 0.8 |
| .31 | 66 | 0.6 |
| .32 | 50 | 0.5 |
| .33 | 45 | 0.4 |
| .34 | 30 | 0.3 |
| .35 | 17 | 0.2 |
| .36 | 17 | 0.2 |
| .37 | 12 | 0.1 |
| .38 | 16 | 0.2 |
| .39 | 5 | 0.1 |
| .40 | 2 | 0.0 |
| .41 | 4 | 0.0 |
| .42 | 5 | 0.1 |
| .43 | 1 | 0.0 |
| .44 | 2 | 0.0 |
| .45 | 2 | 0.0 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| TOTAL | 10886 | 100.0 |
| | MEAN BAC .163 | |

*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted alcohol-accident drivers (55.7% of the records showed BAC levels).

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in annual reports.

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1 / 1 / 2004 prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within seven years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1 / 1 / 2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations

on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1 / 1 / 2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1 / 1 / 2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury than for those with injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7 / 1 / 99, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to two years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license with continued enrollment in a treatment program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed three years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury accidents. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for six months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and alcohol treatment program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/99, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for one year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7 / 1 / 99, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and / or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

- SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1 / 1 / 99, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.
- SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1 / 1 / 99, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.
- AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1 / 1 / 99, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least three months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education / counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least six months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education / counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1 / 1 / 2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.
- AB 130 (Battin), effective 1 / 1 / 98, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for four years.
- SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1 / 1 / 98, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within seven years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.
- AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1 / 1 / 97, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.
- SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1 / 1 / 97, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first FTA for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.
- SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1 / 1 / 96, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a

person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1 / 1 / 95, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1 / 1 / 95, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to two years revocation for the second offense in seven years and three years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1 / 1 / 95, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension / restriction shall be six months, rather than four months. Suspended / revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended / revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9 / 30 / 94, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first-DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1 / 1 / 94, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat-DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for one to three years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate

their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1 / 1 / 94, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1- year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for one year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7 / 1 / 93, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within seven years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of one to three years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for one year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7 / 1 / 93, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9 / 26 / 92, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1 / 1 / 92, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08 % or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1 / 1 / 92, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to six months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within seven years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9 / 28 / 90, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3 or more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7 / 26 / 90, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from .10 to .08, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior

DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

- SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7 / 1 / 90, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.
- AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1 / 1 / 90, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.
- SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 90, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192 (C3), CVC 23152 which occurred within seven years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within seven years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.
- SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/90, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of .08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.
- SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 90 for vessel provisions and 1 / 1 / 92 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of .04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above .01%, but less than .04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.
- SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 90, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.
- SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1 / 1 / 90, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within seven years as "habitual traffic offenders."
- AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1 / 1 / 89, allows the 4th DUI within seven years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than one year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a one year or 30-month treatment program.

- AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1 / 1 / 89, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.
- SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1 / 1 / 89, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for one year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.
- SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1 / 1 / 89, requires all first-DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.
- SB 885 (Royce), effective 1 / 1 / 88, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense must show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.
- SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1 / 1 / 88, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than one year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.
- AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1 / 1 / 87, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).
- AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1 / 1 / 87, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of .05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.
- SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1 / 1 / 87, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.
- SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1 / 1 / 87, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from five to seven years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.
- SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1 / 1 / 87, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.
- SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/86, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from one year to 18 months, and also requires that offenders with three or more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.
- AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9 / 29 / 85, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20 percent or above, or a refusal to take

a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1 / 1 / 85, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within five years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1 / 1 / 85, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within five years. For third and subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1 / 1 / 84, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7 / 1 / 82, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of six months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1 / 1 / 82, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of .10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (.05 - .09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1 / 1 / 82, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for one year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three or more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from seven to five years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The

only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for one year and a license restriction for two years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1 / 1 / 78, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1 / 1 / 76, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

REFERENCES

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2003). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.

California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.

California Highway Patrol. (2002). *2002 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.

DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended / revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). *DUI legislation 1982-1995. The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.

Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.

Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72nd Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington DC.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and*

- administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest / conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and / or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and / or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

p

p stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-

alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|--------|------------|-------|--------|-------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STATEWIDE | | 177056 | 149242 | 84.3 | 27814 | 15.7 | 74506 | 42.1 | 78610 | 44.4 | 12819 | 7.2 | 11121 | 6.3 |
| ALAMEDA | UNDER 18 | 40 | 39 | 97.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 21 | 52.5 | 11 | 27.5 | 5 | 12.5 | 3 | 7.5 |
| | 18-20 | 426 | 348 | 81.7 | 78 | 18.3 | 166 | 39.0 | 152 | 35.7 | 53 | 12.4 | 55 | 12.9 |
| | 21-30 | 2058 | 1799 | 87.4 | 259 | 12.6 | 594 | 28.9 | 872 | 42.4 | 331 | 16.1 | 261 | 12.7 |
| | 31-40 | 1470 | 1218 | 82.9 | 252 | 17.1 | 519 | 35.3 | 489 | 33.3 | 303 | 20.6 | 159 | 10.8 |
| | 41-50 | 1055 | 855 | 81.0 | 200 | 19.0 | 451 | 42.7 | 228 | 21.6 | 273 | 25.9 | 103 | 9.8 |
| | 51-60 | 374 | 310 | 82.9 | 64 | 17.1 | 191 | 51.1 | 51 | 13.6 | 93 | 24.9 | 39 | 10.4 |
| | 61-70 | 106 | 94 | 88.7 | 12 | 11.3 | 54 | 50.9 | 10 | 9.4 | 29 | 27.4 | 13 | 12.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 9 | 69.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 30.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 5542 | 4675 | 84.4 | 867 | 15.6 | 2005 | 36.2 | 1813 | 32.7 | 1091 | 19.7 | 633 | 11.4 | |
| ALPINE | 18-20 | 4 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 |
| | 21-30 | 17 | 14 | 82.4 | 3 | 17.6 | 15 | 88.2 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 31-40 | 21 | 19 | 90.5 | 2 | 9.5 | 21 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 41-50 | 19 | 15 | 78.9 | 4 | 21.1 | 17 | 89.5 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 |
| | 51-60 | 10 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 |
| | TOTAL | 73 | 62 | 84.9 | 11 | 15.1 | 66 | 90.4 | 4 | 5.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.1 |
| AMADOR | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 19 | 17 | 89.5 | 2 | 10.5 | 17 | 89.5 | 2 | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 61 | 50 | 82.0 | 11 | 18.0 | 46 | 75.4 | 12 | 19.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 4.9 |
| | 31-40 | 38 | 26 | 68.4 | 12 | 31.6 | 29 | 76.3 | 7 | 18.4 | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 2.6 |
| | 41-50 | 72 | 53 | 73.6 | 19 | 26.4 | 62 | 86.1 | 6 | 8.3 | 2 | 2.8 | 2 | 2.8 |
| | 51-60 | 35 | 26 | 74.3 | 9 | 25.7 | 27 | 77.1 | 3 | 8.6 | 1 | 2.9 | 4 | 11.4 |
| | 61-70 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 230 | 176 | 76.5 | 54 | 23.5 | 185 | 80.4 | 30 | 13.0 | 5 | 2.2 | 10 | 4.3 |
| BUTTE | UNDER 18 | 17 | 15 | 88.2 | 2 | 11.8 | 12 | 70.6 | 4 | 23.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.9 |
| | 18-20 | 146 | 121 | 82.9 | 25 | 17.1 | 126 | 86.3 | 16 | 11.0 | 2 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.4 |
| | 21-30 | 468 | 384 | 82.1 | 84 | 17.9 | 344 | 73.5 | 95 | 20.3 | 11 | 2.4 | 18 | 3.8 |
| | 31-40 | 292 | 233 | 79.8 | 59 | 20.2 | 231 | 79.1 | 41 | 14.0 | 5 | 1.7 | 15 | 5.1 |
| | 41-50 | 221 | 172 | 77.8 | 49 | 22.2 | 178 | 80.5 | 29 | 13.1 | 6 | 2.7 | 8 | 3.6 |
| | 51-60 | 99 | 75 | 75.8 | 24 | 24.2 | 91 | 91.9 | 7 | 7.1 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 26 | 21 | 80.8 | 5 | 19.2 | 23 | 88.5 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 3.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1276 | 1027 | 80.5 | 249 | 19.5 | 1012 | 79.3 | 193 | 15.1 | 26 | 2.0 | 45 | 3.5 |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|-------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| CALAVERAS | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 16 | 15 | 93.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 14 | 87.5 | 1 | 6.3 | 1 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 64 | 57 | 89.1 | 7 | 10.9 | 54 | 84.4 | 10 | 15.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 31-40 | 72 | 52 | 72.2 | 20 | 27.8 | 60 | 83.3 | 5 | 6.9 | 2 | 2.8 | 5 | 6.9 |
| | 41-50 | 87 | 74 | 85.1 | 13 | 14.9 | 78 | 89.7 | 4 | 4.6 | 3 | 3.4 | 2 | 2.3 |
| | 51-60 | 42 | 35 | 83.3 | 7 | 16.7 | 40 | 95.2 | 2 | 4.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 12 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 299 | 247 | 82.6 | 52 | 17.4 | 263 | 88.0 | 23 | 7.7 | 6 | 2.0 | 7 | 2.3 | |
| COLUSA | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 24 | 24 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 18 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 74 | 70 | 94.6 | 4 | 5.4 | 30 | 40.5 | 42 | 56.8 | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 31-40 | 47 | 40 | 85.1 | 7 | 14.9 | 19 | 40.4 | 27 | 57.4 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 41-50 | 45 | 38 | 84.4 | 7 | 15.6 | 26 | 57.8 | 15 | 33.3 | 1 | 2.2 | 3 | 6.7 |
| | 51-60 | 15 | 11 | 73.3 | 4 | 26.7 | 13 | 86.7 | 2 | 13.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 212 | 188 | 88.7 | 24 | 11.3 | 101 | 47.6 | 104 | 49.1 | 4 | 1.9 | 3 | 1.4 | |
| CONTRA COSTA | UNDER 18 | 51 | 40 | 78.4 | 11 | 21.6 | 28 | 54.9 | 16 | 31.4 | 3 | 5.9 | 4 | 7.8 |
| | 18-20 | 387 | 336 | 86.8 | 51 | 13.2 | 189 | 48.8 | 130 | 33.6 | 14 | 3.6 | 54 | 14.0 |
| | 21-30 | 1638 | 1410 | 86.1 | 228 | 13.9 | 662 | 40.4 | 571 | 34.9 | 154 | 9.4 | 251 | 15.3 |
| | 31-40 | 1106 | 876 | 79.2 | 230 | 20.8 | 532 | 48.1 | 323 | 29.2 | 120 | 10.8 | 131 | 11.8 |
| | 41-50 | 858 | 675 | 78.7 | 183 | 21.3 | 473 | 55.1 | 187 | 21.8 | 111 | 12.9 | 87 | 10.1 |
| | 51-60 | 323 | 272 | 84.2 | 51 | 15.8 | 212 | 65.6 | 53 | 16.4 | 32 | 9.9 | 26 | 8.0 |
| | 61-70 | 68 | 61 | 89.7 | 7 | 10.3 | 40 | 58.8 | 16 | 23.5 | 9 | 13.2 | 3 | 4.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 25 | 18 | 72.0 | 7 | 28.0 | 20 | 80.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 4456 | 3688 | 82.8 | 768 | 17.2 | 2156 | 48.4 | 1300 | 29.2 | 444 | 10.0 | 556 | 12.5 | |
| DEL NORTE | UNDER 18 | 3 | 1 | 33.3 | 2 | 66.7 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 4 | 16.7 | 16 | 66.7 | 5 | 20.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 12.5 |
| | 21-30 | 94 | 81 | 86.2 | 13 | 13.8 | 67 | 71.3 | 11 | 11.7 | 1 | 1.1 | 15 | 16.0 |
| | 31-40 | 97 | 66 | 68.0 | 31 | 32.0 | 82 | 84.5 | 4 | 4.1 | 2 | 2.1 | 9 | 9.3 |
| | 41-50 | 107 | 78 | 72.9 | 29 | 27.1 | 92 | 86.0 | 6 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 8.4 |
| | 51-60 | 34 | 32 | 94.1 | 2 | 5.9 | 32 | 94.1 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 |
| | 61-70 | 13 | 11 | 84.6 | 2 | 15.4 | 11 | 84.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 15.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 375 | 292 | 77.9 | 83 | 22.1 | 305 | 81.3 | 28 | 7.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 39 | 10.4 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| EL DORADO | UNDER 18 | 22 | 17 | 77.3 | 5 | 22.7 | 18 | 81.8 | 3 | 13.6 | 1 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 97 | 85 | 87.6 | 12 | 12.4 | 80 | 82.5 | 12 | 12.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 5.2 |
| | 21-30 | 384 | 334 | 87.0 | 50 | 13.0 | 309 | 80.5 | 67 | 17.4 | 2 | 0.5 | 6 | 1.6 |
| | 31-40 | 285 | 213 | 74.7 | 72 | 25.3 | 244 | 85.6 | 30 | 10.5 | 3 | 1.1 | 8 | 2.8 |
| | 41-50 | 337 | 281 | 83.4 | 56 | 16.6 | 308 | 91.4 | 19 | 5.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 8 | 2.4 |
| | 51-60 | 120 | 93 | 77.5 | 27 | 22.5 | 111 | 92.5 | 5 | 4.2 | 3 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.8 |
| | 61-70 | 29 | 24 | 82.8 | 5 | 17.2 | 28 | 96.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 11 | 6 | 54.5 | 5 | 45.5 | 9 | 81.8 | 1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 9.1 |
| TOTAL | 1285 | 1053 | 81.9 | 232 | 18.1 | 1107 | 86.1 | 137 | 10.7 | 11 | 0.9 | 30 | 2.3 | |
| FRESNO | UNDER 18 | 59 | 52 | 88.1 | 7 | 11.9 | 21 | 35.6 | 36 | 61.0 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 1.7 |
| | 18-20 | 536 | 483 | 90.1 | 53 | 9.9 | 157 | 29.3 | 342 | 63.8 | 13 | 2.4 | 24 | 4.5 |
| | 21-30 | 2379 | 2169 | 91.2 | 210 | 8.8 | 413 | 17.4 | 1797 | 75.5 | 92 | 3.9 | 77 | 3.2 |
| | 31-40 | 1388 | 1163 | 83.8 | 225 | 16.2 | 298 | 21.5 | 987 | 71.1 | 64 | 4.6 | 39 | 2.8 |
| | 41-50 | 882 | 742 | 84.1 | 140 | 15.9 | 276 | 31.3 | 514 | 58.3 | 54 | 6.1 | 38 | 4.3 |
| | 51-60 | 328 | 294 | 89.6 | 34 | 10.4 | 126 | 38.4 | 174 | 53.0 | 16 | 4.9 | 12 | 3.7 |
| | 61-70 | 78 | 68 | 87.2 | 10 | 12.8 | 32 | 41.0 | 39 | 50.0 | 4 | 5.1 | 3 | 3.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 26 | 18 | 69.2 | 8 | 30.8 | 17 | 65.4 | 6 | 23.1 | 2 | 7.7 | 1 | 3.8 |
| TOTAL | 5676 | 4989 | 87.9 | 687 | 12.1 | 1340 | 23.6 | 3895 | 68.6 | 246 | 4.3 | 195 | 3.4 | |
| GLENN | UNDER 18 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 28 | 26 | 92.9 | 2 | 7.1 | 13 | 46.4 | 14 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.6 |
| | 21-30 | 104 | 87 | 83.7 | 17 | 16.3 | 46 | 44.2 | 52 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 5.8 |
| | 31-40 | 97 | 73 | 75.3 | 24 | 24.7 | 50 | 51.5 | 45 | 46.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.1 |
| | 41-50 | 40 | 34 | 85.0 | 6 | 15.0 | 26 | 65.0 | 10 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 10.0 |
| | 51-60 | 25 | 21 | 84.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 19 | 76.0 | 5 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.0 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 307 | 253 | 82.4 | 54 | 17.6 | 164 | 53.4 | 129 | 42.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 4.6 | |
| HUMBOLDT | UNDER 18 | 27 | 21 | 77.8 | 6 | 22.2 | 22 | 81.5 | 1 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 14.8 |
| | 18-20 | 125 | 99 | 79.2 | 26 | 20.8 | 92 | 73.6 | 15 | 12.0 | 1 | 0.8 | 17 | 13.6 |
| | 21-30 | 481 | 373 | 77.5 | 108 | 22.5 | 407 | 84.6 | 29 | 6.0 | 10 | 2.1 | 35 | 7.3 |
| | 31-40 | 246 | 191 | 77.6 | 55 | 22.4 | 201 | 81.7 | 20 | 8.1 | 2 | 0.8 | 23 | 9.3 |
| | 41-50 | 203 | 146 | 71.9 | 57 | 28.1 | 184 | 90.6 | 4 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 14 | 6.9 |
| | 51-60 | 93 | 80 | 86.0 | 13 | 14.0 | 89 | 95.7 | 2 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.2 |
| | 61-70 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 4 | 16.7 | 22 | 91.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 10 | 7 | 70.0 | 3 | 30.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1209 | 937 | 77.5 | 272 | 22.5 | 1026 | 84.9 | 72 | 6.0 | 14 | 1.2 | 97 | 8.0 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| IMPERIAL | UNDER 18 | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 101 | 86 | 85.1 | 15 | 14.9 | 27 | 26.7 | 62 | 61.4 | 1 | 1.0 | 11 | 10.9 |
| | 21-30 | 418 | 353 | 84.4 | 65 | 15.6 | 107 | 25.6 | 272 | 65.1 | 16 | 3.8 | 23 | 5.5 |
| | 31-40 | 285 | 239 | 83.9 | 46 | 16.1 | 71 | 24.9 | 182 | 63.9 | 9 | 3.2 | 23 | 8.1 |
| | 41-50 | 224 | 199 | 88.8 | 25 | 11.2 | 72 | 32.1 | 142 | 63.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 4.5 |
| | 51-60 | 76 | 70 | 92.1 | 6 | 7.9 | 33 | 43.4 | 32 | 42.1 | 4 | 5.3 | 7 | 9.2 |
| | 61-70 | 38 | 38 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 39.5 | 21 | 55.3 | 2 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 7 | 70.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1157 | 999 | 86.3 | 158 | 13.7 | 328 | 28.3 | 722 | 62.4 | 33 | 2.9 | 74 | 6.4 |
| INYO | UNDER 18 | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 40.0 | 3 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 21 | 20 | 95.2 | 1 | 4.8 | 10 | 47.6 | 5 | 23.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 28.6 |
| | 21-30 | 71 | 64 | 90.1 | 7 | 9.9 | 36 | 50.7 | 15 | 21.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 28.2 |
| | 31-40 | 82 | 68 | 82.9 | 14 | 17.1 | 53 | 64.6 | 14 | 17.1 | 2 | 2.4 | 13 | 15.9 |
| | 41-50 | 79 | 66 | 83.5 | 13 | 16.5 | 61 | 77.2 | 8 | 10.1 | 1 | 1.3 | 9 | 11.4 |
| | 51-60 | 43 | 38 | 88.4 | 5 | 11.6 | 35 | 81.4 | 4 | 9.3 | 1 | 2.3 | 3 | 7.0 |
| | 61-70 | 11 | 7 | 63.6 | 4 | 36.4 | 9 | 81.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 18.2 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 8 | 5 | 62.5 | 3 | 37.5 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 320 | 273 | 85.3 | 47 | 14.7 | 214 | 66.9 | 49 | 15.3 | 4 | 1.2 | 53 | 16.6 |
| KERN | UNDER 18 | 50 | 42 | 84.0 | 8 | 16.0 | 25 | 50.0 | 21 | 42.0 | 3 | 6.0 | 1 | 2.0 |
| | 18-20 | 395 | 347 | 87.8 | 48 | 12.2 | 150 | 38.0 | 230 | 58.2 | 12 | 3.0 | 3 | 0.8 |
| | 21-30 | 1740 | 1533 | 88.1 | 207 | 11.9 | 587 | 33.7 | 1025 | 58.9 | 90 | 5.2 | 38 | 2.2 |
| | 31-40 | 1126 | 934 | 82.9 | 192 | 17.1 | 446 | 39.6 | 600 | 53.3 | 66 | 5.9 | 14 | 1.2 |
| | 41-50 | 788 | 648 | 82.2 | 140 | 17.8 | 396 | 50.3 | 312 | 39.6 | 60 | 7.6 | 20 | 2.5 |
| | 51-60 | 280 | 237 | 84.6 | 43 | 15.4 | 170 | 60.7 | 84 | 30.0 | 20 | 7.1 | 6 | 2.1 |
| | 61-70 | 90 | 80 | 88.9 | 10 | 11.1 | 59 | 65.6 | 19 | 21.1 | 9 | 10.0 | 3 | 3.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 32 | 27 | 84.4 | 5 | 15.6 | 28 | 87.5 | 4 | 12.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 4501 | 3848 | 85.5 | 653 | 14.5 | 1861 | 41.3 | 2295 | 51.0 | 260 | 5.8 | 85 | 1.9 |
| KINGS | UNDER 18 | 15 | 12 | 80.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 3 | 20.0 | 11 | 73.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.7 |
| | 18-20 | 116 | 107 | 92.2 | 9 | 7.8 | 32 | 27.6 | 74 | 63.8 | 4 | 3.4 | 6 | 5.2 |
| | 21-30 | 381 | 329 | 86.4 | 52 | 13.6 | 116 | 30.4 | 239 | 62.7 | 12 | 3.1 | 14 | 3.7 |
| | 31-40 | 223 | 175 | 78.5 | 48 | 21.5 | 64 | 28.7 | 135 | 60.5 | 19 | 8.5 | 5 | 2.2 |
| | 41-50 | 142 | 121 | 85.2 | 21 | 14.8 | 55 | 38.7 | 70 | 49.3 | 15 | 10.6 | 2 | 1.4 |
| | 51-60 | 39 | 32 | 82.1 | 7 | 17.9 | 15 | 38.5 | 18 | 46.2 | 5 | 12.8 | 1 | 2.6 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 3 | 37.5 | 2 | 25.0 | 2 | 25.0 | 1 | 12.5 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 5 | 71.4 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 931 | 790 | 84.9 | 141 | 15.1 | 289 | 31.0 | 554 | 59.5 | 58 | 6.2 | 30 | 3.2 |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| LAKE | UNDER 18 | 17 | 13 | 76.5 | 4 | 23.5 | 13 | 76.5 | 2 | 11.8 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 58 | 50 | 86.2 | 8 | 13.8 | 40 | 69.0 | 15 | 25.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 5.2 |
| | 21-30 | 179 | 147 | 82.1 | 32 | 17.9 | 122 | 68.2 | 44 | 24.6 | 4 | 2.2 | 9 | 5.0 |
| | 31-40 | 155 | 119 | 76.8 | 36 | 23.2 | 122 | 78.7 | 20 | 12.9 | 4 | 2.6 | 9 | 5.8 |
| | 41-50 | 151 | 111 | 73.5 | 40 | 26.5 | 136 | 90.1 | 6 | 4.0 | 4 | 2.6 | 5 | 3.3 |
| | 51-60 | 58 | 45 | 77.6 | 13 | 22.4 | 52 | 89.7 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 3.4 | 3 | 5.2 |
| | 61-70 | 14 | 10 | 71.4 | 4 | 28.6 | 12 | 85.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.1 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 11 | 9 | 81.8 | 2 | 18.2 | 9 | 81.8 | 1 | 9.1 | 1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 643 | 504 | 78.4 | 139 | 21.6 | 506 | 78.7 | 89 | 13.8 | 18 | 2.8 | 30 | 4.7 | |
| LASSEN | UNDER 18 | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 14 | 12 | 85.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 13 | 92.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 76 | 66 | 86.8 | 10 | 13.2 | 64 | 84.2 | 7 | 9.2 | 2 | 2.6 | 3 | 3.9 |
| | 31-40 | 76 | 57 | 75.0 | 19 | 25.0 | 68 | 89.5 | 5 | 6.6 | 1 | 1.3 | 2 | 2.6 |
| | 41-50 | 68 | 49 | 72.1 | 19 | 27.9 | 60 | 88.2 | 6 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.9 |
| | 51-60 | 20 | 14 | 70.0 | 6 | 30.0 | 19 | 95.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 | 2 | 33.3 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 263 | 205 | 77.9 | 58 | 22.1 | 233 | 88.6 | 19 | 7.2 | 4 | 1.5 | 7 | 2.7 |
| LOS ANGELES | UNDER 18 | 147 | 127 | 86.4 | 20 | 13.6 | 60 | 40.8 | 65 | 44.2 | 10 | 6.8 | 12 | 8.2 |
| | 18-20 | 2241 | 1907 | 85.1 | 334 | 14.9 | 554 | 24.7 | 1410 | 62.9 | 113 | 5.0 | 164 | 7.3 |
| | 21-30 | 15260 | 12989 | 85.1 | 2271 | 14.9 | 3002 | 19.7 | 9796 | 64.2 | 1179 | 7.7 | 1283 | 8.4 |
| | 31-40 | 11062 | 9597 | 86.8 | 1465 | 13.2 | 2331 | 21.1 | 6589 | 59.6 | 1365 | 12.3 | 777 | 7.0 |
| | 41-50 | 6367 | 5401 | 84.8 | 966 | 15.2 | 1775 | 27.9 | 3047 | 47.9 | 1014 | 15.9 | 531 | 8.3 |
| | 51-60 | 2269 | 1972 | 86.9 | 297 | 13.1 | 774 | 34.1 | 936 | 41.3 | 381 | 16.8 | 178 | 7.8 |
| | 61-70 | 589 | 543 | 92.2 | 46 | 7.8 | 240 | 40.7 | 182 | 30.9 | 124 | 21.1 | 43 | 7.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 154 | 140 | 90.9 | 14 | 9.1 | 78 | 50.6 | 39 | 25.3 | 32 | 20.8 | 5 | 3.2 |
| TOTAL | 38089 | 32676 | 85.8 | 5413 | 14.2 | 8814 | 23.1 | 22064 | 57.9 | 4218 | 11.1 | 2993 | 7.9 | |
| MADERA | UNDER 18 | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 | 5 | 83.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 82 | 74 | 90.2 | 8 | 9.8 | 11 | 13.4 | 70 | 85.4 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 347 | 331 | 95.4 | 16 | 4.6 | 59 | 17.0 | 283 | 81.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.9 |
| | 31-40 | 183 | 170 | 92.9 | 13 | 7.1 | 47 | 25.7 | 131 | 71.6 | 3 | 1.6 | 2 | 1.1 |
| | 41-50 | 105 | 83 | 79.0 | 22 | 21.0 | 47 | 44.8 | 51 | 48.6 | 3 | 2.9 | 4 | 3.8 |
| | 51-60 | 46 | 40 | 87.0 | 6 | 13.0 | 30 | 65.2 | 14 | 30.4 | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.2 |
| | 61-70 | 12 | 9 | 75.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 6 | 50.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 3 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 782 | 714 | 91.3 | 68 | 8.7 | 202 | 25.8 | 557 | 71.2 | 13 | 1.7 | 10 | 1.3 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| MARIN | UNDER 18 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 10 | 76.9 | 2 | 15.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.7 |
| | 18-20 | 90 | 83 | 92.2 | 7 | 7.8 | 54 | 60.0 | 27 | 30.0 | 4 | 4.4 | 5 | 5.6 |
| | 21-30 | 461 | 371 | 80.5 | 90 | 19.5 | 263 | 57.0 | 154 | 33.4 | 15 | 3.3 | 29 | 6.3 |
| | 31-40 | 406 | 308 | 75.9 | 98 | 24.1 | 272 | 67.0 | 88 | 21.7 | 18 | 4.4 | 28 | 6.9 |
| | 41-50 | 322 | 238 | 73.9 | 84 | 26.1 | 269 | 83.5 | 29 | 9.0 | 8 | 2.5 | 16 | 5.0 |
| | 51-60 | 141 | 111 | 78.7 | 30 | 21.3 | 119 | 84.4 | 8 | 5.7 | 7 | 5.0 | 7 | 5.0 |
| | 61-70 | 29 | 22 | 75.9 | 7 | 24.1 | 28 | 96.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 10 | 7 | 70.0 | 3 | 30.0 | 8 | 80.0 | 2 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1472 | 1152 | 78.3 | 320 | 21.7 | 1023 | 69.5 | 310 | 21.1 | 52 | 3.5 | 87 | 5.9 | |
| MARIPOSA | 18-20 | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 5 | 55.6 | 3 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 11.1 |
| | 21-30 | 31 | 29 | 93.5 | 2 | 6.5 | 28 | 90.3 | 1 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 6.5 |
| | 31-40 | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | 3 | 12.0 | 23 | 92.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 41-50 | 30 | 22 | 73.3 | 8 | 26.7 | 23 | 76.7 | 3 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 13.3 |
| | 51-60 | 17 | 13 | 76.5 | 4 | 23.5 | 17 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 7 | 4 | 57.1 | 3 | 42.9 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 120 | 99 | 82.5 | 21 | 17.5 | 104 | 86.7 | 7 | 5.8 | 2 | 1.7 | 7 | 5.8 |
| MENDOCINO | UNDER 18 | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 5 | 55.6 | 4 | 44.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 78 | 67 | 85.9 | 11 | 14.1 | 51 | 65.4 | 20 | 25.6 | 1 | 1.3 | 6 | 7.7 |
| | 21-30 | 246 | 209 | 85.0 | 37 | 15.0 | 138 | 56.1 | 76 | 30.9 | 4 | 1.6 | 28 | 11.4 |
| | 31-40 | 173 | 138 | 79.8 | 35 | 20.2 | 115 | 66.5 | 40 | 23.1 | 4 | 2.3 | 14 | 8.1 |
| | 41-50 | 150 | 112 | 74.7 | 38 | 25.3 | 122 | 81.3 | 18 | 12.0 | 4 | 2.7 | 6 | 4.0 |
| | 51-60 | 67 | 56 | 83.6 | 11 | 16.4 | 59 | 88.1 | 2 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 9.0 |
| | 61-70 | 22 | 19 | 86.4 | 3 | 13.6 | 21 | 95.5 | 1 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 33.3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| TOTAL | 748 | 611 | 81.7 | 137 | 18.3 | 512 | 68.4 | 161 | 21.5 | 14 | 1.9 | 61 | 8.2 | |
| MERCED | UNDER 18 | 24 | 18 | 75.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 6 | 25.0 | 17 | 70.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 |
| | 18-20 | 161 | 140 | 87.0 | 21 | 13.0 | 34 | 21.1 | 117 | 72.7 | 4 | 2.5 | 6 | 3.7 |
| | 21-30 | 714 | 662 | 92.7 | 52 | 7.3 | 129 | 18.1 | 554 | 77.6 | 13 | 1.8 | 18 | 2.5 |
| | 31-40 | 444 | 391 | 88.1 | 53 | 11.9 | 102 | 23.0 | 309 | 69.6 | 22 | 5.0 | 11 | 2.5 |
| | 41-50 | 246 | 217 | 88.2 | 29 | 11.8 | 81 | 32.9 | 135 | 54.9 | 22 | 8.9 | 8 | 3.3 |
| | 51-60 | 94 | 85 | 90.4 | 9 | 9.6 | 43 | 45.7 | 38 | 40.4 | 8 | 8.5 | 5 | 5.3 |
| | 61-70 | 34 | 31 | 91.2 | 3 | 8.8 | 17 | 50.0 | 12 | 35.3 | 5 | 14.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 81.8 | 2 | 18.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1728 | 1555 | 90.0 | 173 | 10.0 | 421 | 24.4 | 1184 | 68.5 | 74 | 4.3 | 49 | 2.8 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|-------|-------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| MODOC | UNDER 18 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 32 | 24 | 75.0 | 8 | 25.0 | 17 | 53.1 | 8 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 21.9 |
| | 31-40 | 25 | 18 | 72.0 | 7 | 28.0 | 20 | 80.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 16.0 |
| | 41-50 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 4 | 16.7 | 23 | 95.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 |
| | 51-60 | 13 | 10 | 76.9 | 3 | 23.1 | 13 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 98 | 75 | 76.5 | 23 | 23.5 | 77 | 78.6 | 9 | 9.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 12 | 12.2 | |
| MONO | UNDER 18 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 10 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 9 | 90.0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 45 | 38 | 84.4 | 7 | 15.6 | 32 | 71.1 | 11 | 24.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.4 |
| | 31-40 | 35 | 31 | 88.6 | 4 | 11.4 | 28 | 80.0 | 6 | 17.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.9 |
| | 41-50 | 31 | 24 | 77.4 | 7 | 22.6 | 25 | 80.6 | 3 | 9.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 9.7 |
| | 51-60 | 20 | 19 | 95.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 17 | 85.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 145 | 125 | 86.2 | 20 | 13.8 | 113 | 77.9 | 24 | 16.6 | 2 | 1.4 | 6 | 4.1 | |
| MONTEREY | UNDER 18 | 45 | 33 | 73.3 | 12 | 26.7 | 16 | 35.6 | 25 | 55.6 | 1 | 2.2 | 3 | 6.7 |
| | 18-20 | 339 | 309 | 91.2 | 30 | 8.8 | 73 | 21.5 | 250 | 73.7 | 8 | 2.4 | 8 | 2.4 |
| | 21-30 | 1473 | 1318 | 89.5 | 155 | 10.5 | 279 | 18.9 | 1106 | 75.1 | 41 | 2.8 | 47 | 3.2 |
| | 31-40 | 754 | 657 | 87.1 | 97 | 12.9 | 220 | 29.2 | 485 | 64.3 | 27 | 3.6 | 22 | 2.9 |
| | 41-50 | 494 | 403 | 81.6 | 91 | 18.4 | 240 | 48.6 | 218 | 44.1 | 21 | 4.3 | 15 | 3.0 |
| | 51-60 | 202 | 173 | 85.6 | 29 | 14.4 | 119 | 58.9 | 66 | 32.7 | 13 | 6.4 | 4 | 2.0 |
| | 61-70 | 41 | 37 | 90.2 | 4 | 9.8 | 30 | 73.2 | 11 | 26.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 58.3 | 2 | 16.7 | 3 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3360 | 2942 | 87.6 | 418 | 12.4 | 984 | 29.3 | 2163 | 64.4 | 114 | 3.4 | 99 | 2.9 | |
| NAPA | UNDER 18 | 14 | 12 | 85.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 9 | 64.3 | 5 | 35.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 106 | 90 | 84.9 | 16 | 15.1 | 55 | 51.9 | 49 | 46.2 | 2 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 356 | 307 | 86.2 | 49 | 13.8 | 161 | 45.2 | 184 | 51.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 9 | 2.5 |
| | 31-40 | 270 | 222 | 82.2 | 48 | 17.8 | 143 | 53.0 | 112 | 41.5 | 8 | 3.0 | 7 | 2.6 |
| | 41-50 | 190 | 137 | 72.1 | 53 | 27.9 | 139 | 73.2 | 33 | 17.4 | 13 | 6.8 | 5 | 2.6 |
| | 51-60 | 97 | 79 | 81.4 | 18 | 18.6 | 84 | 86.6 | 13 | 13.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 23 | 21 | 91.3 | 2 | 8.7 | 20 | 87.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 2 | 8.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 28.6 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1063 | 873 | 82.1 | 190 | 17.9 | 617 | 58.0 | 398 | 37.4 | 27 | 2.5 | 21 | 2.0 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| NEVADA | UNDER 18 | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 36 | 31 | 86.1 | 5 | 13.9 | 29 | 80.6 | 5 | 13.9 | 2 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 153 | 136 | 88.9 | 17 | 11.1 | 122 | 79.7 | 26 | 17.0 | 2 | 1.3 | 3 | 2.0 |
| | 31-40 | 147 | 109 | 74.1 | 38 | 25.9 | 116 | 78.9 | 24 | 16.3 | 4 | 2.7 | 3 | 2.0 |
| | 41-50 | 134 | 98 | 73.1 | 36 | 26.9 | 122 | 91.0 | 8 | 6.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 2.2 |
| | 51-60 | 72 | 66 | 91.7 | 6 | 8.3 | 67 | 93.1 | 3 | 4.2 | 1 | 1.4 | 1 | 1.4 |
| | 61-70 | 14 | 13 | 92.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 13 | 92.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 85.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 |
| | TOTAL | 570 | 467 | 81.9 | 103 | 18.1 | 481 | 84.4 | 68 | 11.9 | 10 | 1.8 | 11 | 1.9 |
| ORANGE | UNDER 18 | 63 | 51 | 81.0 | 12 | 19.0 | 36 | 57.1 | 19 | 30.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 12.7 |
| | 18-20 | 817 | 665 | 81.4 | 152 | 18.6 | 422 | 51.7 | 324 | 39.7 | 14 | 1.7 | 57 | 7.0 |
| | 21-30 | 4759 | 4018 | 84.4 | 741 | 15.6 | 2057 | 43.2 | 2184 | 45.9 | 126 | 2.6 | 392 | 8.2 |
| | 31-40 | 3328 | 2786 | 83.7 | 542 | 16.3 | 1615 | 48.5 | 1355 | 40.7 | 91 | 2.7 | 267 | 8.0 |
| | 41-50 | 2130 | 1688 | 79.2 | 442 | 20.8 | 1321 | 62.0 | 583 | 27.4 | 65 | 3.1 | 161 | 7.6 |
| | 51-60 | 837 | 694 | 82.9 | 143 | 17.1 | 581 | 69.4 | 168 | 20.1 | 24 | 2.9 | 64 | 7.6 |
| | 61-70 | 209 | 170 | 81.3 | 39 | 18.7 | 159 | 76.1 | 33 | 15.8 | 7 | 3.3 | 10 | 4.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 40 | 29 | 72.5 | 11 | 27.5 | 34 | 85.0 | 3 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 7.5 |
| | TOTAL | 12183 | 10101 | 82.9 | 2082 | 17.1 | 6225 | 51.1 | 4669 | 38.3 | 327 | 2.7 | 962 | 7.9 |
| PLACER | UNDER 18 | 23 | 16 | 69.6 | 7 | 30.4 | 21 | 91.3 | 1 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 |
| | 18-20 | 145 | 109 | 75.2 | 36 | 24.8 | 122 | 84.1 | 19 | 13.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.4 |
| | 21-30 | 590 | 493 | 83.6 | 97 | 16.4 | 444 | 75.3 | 114 | 19.3 | 15 | 2.5 | 17 | 2.9 |
| | 31-40 | 393 | 302 | 76.8 | 91 | 23.2 | 329 | 83.7 | 47 | 12.0 | 8 | 2.0 | 9 | 2.3 |
| | 41-50 | 341 | 269 | 78.9 | 72 | 21.1 | 299 | 87.7 | 30 | 8.8 | 6 | 1.8 | 6 | 1.8 |
| | 51-60 | 119 | 101 | 84.9 | 18 | 15.1 | 108 | 90.8 | 4 | 3.4 | 6 | 5.0 | 1 | 0.8 |
| | 61-70 | 34 | 27 | 79.4 | 7 | 20.6 | 28 | 82.4 | 3 | 8.8 | 1 | 2.9 | 2 | 5.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 71.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 28.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1652 | 1324 | 80.1 | 328 | 19.9 | 1356 | 82.1 | 218 | 13.2 | 40 | 2.4 | 38 | 2.3 |
| PLUMAS | UNDER 18 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 50.0 |
| | 18-20 | 13 | 9 | 69.2 | 4 | 30.8 | 12 | 92.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 7.7 |
| | 21-30 | 49 | 43 | 87.8 | 6 | 12.2 | 42 | 85.7 | 3 | 6.1 | 1 | 2.0 | 3 | 6.1 |
| | 31-40 | 56 | 47 | 83.9 | 9 | 16.1 | 47 | 83.9 | 3 | 5.4 | 1 | 1.8 | 5 | 8.9 |
| | 41-50 | 73 | 62 | 84.9 | 11 | 15.1 | 63 | 86.3 | 2 | 2.7 | 5 | 6.8 | 3 | 4.1 |
| | 51-60 | 26 | 20 | 76.9 | 6 | 23.1 | 26 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 231 | 195 | 84.4 | 36 | 15.6 | 203 | 87.9 | 8 | 3.5 | 7 | 3.0 | 13 | 5.6 |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| RIVERSIDE | UNDER 18 | 96 | 78 | 81.3 | 18 | 18.8 | 39 | 40.6 | 53 | 55.2 | 2 | 2.1 | 2 | 2.1 |
| | 18-20 | 832 | 720 | 86.5 | 112 | 13.5 | 326 | 39.2 | 462 | 55.5 | 23 | 2.8 | 21 | 2.5 |
| | 21-30 | 3324 | 2965 | 89.2 | 359 | 10.8 | 1023 | 30.8 | 2048 | 61.6 | 165 | 5.0 | 88 | 2.6 |
| | 31-40 | 2204 | 1917 | 87.0 | 287 | 13.0 | 775 | 35.2 | 1220 | 55.4 | 147 | 6.7 | 62 | 2.8 |
| | 41-50 | 1428 | 1197 | 83.8 | 231 | 16.2 | 750 | 52.5 | 536 | 37.5 | 98 | 6.9 | 44 | 3.1 |
| | 51-60 | 575 | 484 | 84.2 | 91 | 15.8 | 346 | 60.2 | 170 | 29.6 | 41 | 7.1 | 18 | 3.1 |
| | 61-70 | 185 | 152 | 82.2 | 33 | 17.8 | 119 | 64.3 | 48 | 25.9 | 11 | 5.9 | 7 | 3.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 56 | 46 | 82.1 | 10 | 17.9 | 43 | 76.8 | 11 | 19.6 | 2 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 8700 | 7559 | 86.9 | 1141 | 13.1 | 3421 | 39.3 | 4548 | 52.3 | 489 | 5.6 | 242 | 2.8 | |
| SACRAMENTO | UNDER 18 | 83 | 65 | 78.3 | 18 | 21.7 | 54 | 65.1 | 16 | 19.3 | 5 | 6.0 | 8 | 9.6 |
| | 18-20 | 715 | 596 | 83.4 | 119 | 16.6 | 371 | 51.9 | 210 | 29.4 | 78 | 10.9 | 56 | 7.8 |
| | 21-30 | 3065 | 2504 | 81.7 | 561 | 18.3 | 1475 | 48.1 | 937 | 30.6 | 419 | 13.7 | 234 | 7.6 |
| | 31-40 | 1888 | 1471 | 77.9 | 417 | 22.1 | 946 | 50.1 | 442 | 23.4 | 373 | 19.8 | 127 | 6.7 |
| | 41-50 | 1278 | 976 | 76.4 | 302 | 23.6 | 743 | 58.1 | 196 | 15.3 | 246 | 19.2 | 93 | 7.3 |
| | 51-60 | 415 | 345 | 83.1 | 70 | 16.9 | 251 | 60.5 | 58 | 14.0 | 78 | 18.8 | 28 | 6.7 |
| | 61-70 | 136 | 104 | 76.5 | 32 | 23.5 | 92 | 67.6 | 17 | 12.5 | 19 | 14.0 | 8 | 5.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 31 | 28 | 90.3 | 3 | 9.7 | 22 | 71.0 | 3 | 9.7 | 6 | 19.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 7611 | 6089 | 80.0 | 1522 | 20.0 | 3954 | 52.0 | 1879 | 24.7 | 1224 | 16.1 | 554 | 7.3 | |
| SAN BENITO | UNDER 18 | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 3 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 16.7 |
| | 18-20 | 37 | 33 | 89.2 | 4 | 10.8 | 13 | 35.1 | 23 | 62.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.7 |
| | 21-30 | 124 | 118 | 95.2 | 6 | 4.8 | 18 | 14.5 | 101 | 81.5 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 2.4 |
| | 31-40 | 80 | 67 | 83.8 | 13 | 16.2 | 28 | 35.0 | 49 | 61.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 2 | 2.5 |
| | 41-50 | 56 | 47 | 83.9 | 9 | 16.1 | 24 | 42.9 | 29 | 51.8 | 1 | 1.8 | 2 | 3.6 |
| | 51-60 | 35 | 28 | 80.0 | 7 | 20.0 | 18 | 51.4 | 17 | 48.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 42.9 | 3 | 42.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 |
| | TOTAL | 345 | 306 | 88.7 | 39 | 11.3 | 106 | 30.7 | 225 | 65.2 | 4 | 1.2 | 10 | 2.9 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | UNDER 18 | 44 | 36 | 81.8 | 8 | 18.2 | 21 | 47.7 | 20 | 45.5 | 1 | 2.3 | 2 | 4.5 |
| | 18-20 | 805 | 693 | 86.1 | 112 | 13.9 | 350 | 43.5 | 394 | 48.9 | 39 | 4.8 | 22 | 2.7 |
| | 21-30 | 3870 | 3380 | 87.3 | 490 | 12.7 | 1277 | 33.0 | 2238 | 57.8 | 240 | 6.2 | 115 | 3.0 |
| | 31-40 | 2670 | 2260 | 84.6 | 410 | 15.4 | 953 | 35.7 | 1392 | 52.1 | 256 | 9.6 | 69 | 2.6 |
| | 41-50 | 1837 | 1537 | 83.7 | 300 | 16.3 | 875 | 47.6 | 694 | 37.8 | 219 | 11.9 | 49 | 2.7 |
| | 51-60 | 701 | 611 | 87.2 | 90 | 12.8 | 381 | 54.4 | 231 | 33.0 | 64 | 9.1 | 25 | 3.6 |
| | 61-70 | 197 | 181 | 91.9 | 16 | 8.1 | 130 | 66.0 | 30 | 15.2 | 26 | 13.2 | 11 | 5.6 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 60 | 52 | 86.7 | 8 | 13.3 | 40 | 66.7 | 13 | 21.7 | 6 | 10.0 | 1 | 1.7 |
| TOTAL | 10184 | 8750 | 85.9 | 1434 | 14.1 | 4027 | 39.5 | 5012 | 49.2 | 851 | 8.4 | 294 | 2.9 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| SAN DIEGO | UNDER 18 | 171 | 142 | 83.0 | 29 | 17.0 | 97 | 56.7 | 60 | 35.1 | 8 | 4.7 | 6 | 3.5 |
| | 18-20 | 1508 | 1244 | 82.5 | 264 | 17.5 | 798 | 52.9 | 578 | 38.3 | 71 | 4.7 | 61 | 4.0 |
| | 21-30 | 6823 | 5717 | 83.8 | 1106 | 16.2 | 3345 | 49.0 | 2623 | 38.4 | 447 | 6.6 | 408 | 6.0 |
| | 31-40 | 3817 | 3106 | 81.4 | 711 | 18.6 | 1776 | 46.5 | 1504 | 39.4 | 333 | 8.7 | 204 | 5.3 |
| | 41-50 | 2437 | 1951 | 80.1 | 486 | 19.9 | 1373 | 56.3 | 756 | 31.0 | 187 | 7.7 | 121 | 5.0 |
| | 51-60 | 916 | 744 | 81.2 | 172 | 18.8 | 571 | 62.3 | 242 | 26.4 | 62 | 6.8 | 41 | 4.5 |
| | 61-70 | 188 | 157 | 83.5 | 31 | 16.5 | 132 | 70.2 | 32 | 17.0 | 15 | 8.0 | 9 | 4.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 64 | 55 | 85.9 | 9 | 14.1 | 49 | 76.6 | 11 | 17.2 | 4 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 15924 | 13116 | 82.4 | 2808 | 17.6 | 8141 | 51.1 | 5806 | 36.5 | 1127 | 7.1 | 850 | 5.3 | |
| SAN FRANCISCO | UNDER 18 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 75.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 107 | 82 | 76.6 | 25 | 23.4 | 39 | 36.4 | 21 | 19.6 | 10 | 9.3 | 37 | 34.6 |
| | 21-30 | 698 | 577 | 82.7 | 121 | 17.3 | 279 | 40.0 | 138 | 19.8 | 83 | 11.9 | 198 | 28.4 |
| | 31-40 | 470 | 399 | 84.9 | 71 | 15.1 | 247 | 52.6 | 60 | 12.8 | 81 | 17.2 | 82 | 17.4 |
| | 41-50 | 274 | 240 | 87.6 | 34 | 12.4 | 145 | 52.9 | 33 | 12.0 | 48 | 17.5 | 48 | 17.5 |
| | 51-60 | 116 | 95 | 81.9 | 21 | 18.1 | 76 | 65.5 | 8 | 6.9 | 18 | 15.5 | 14 | 12.1 |
| | 61-70 | 17 | 16 | 94.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 8 | 47.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 4 | 23.5 | 4 | 23.5 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 42.9 | 1 | 14.3 | 3 | 42.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1693 | 1420 | 83.9 | 273 | 16.1 | 800 | 47.3 | 262 | 15.5 | 248 | 14.6 | 383 | 22.6 | |
| SAN JOAQUIN | UNDER 18 | 49 | 40 | 81.6 | 9 | 18.4 | 20 | 40.8 | 24 | 49.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 10.2 |
| | 18-20 | 340 | 304 | 89.4 | 36 | 10.6 | 110 | 32.4 | 194 | 57.1 | 14 | 4.1 | 22 | 6.5 |
| | 21-30 | 1638 | 1484 | 90.6 | 154 | 9.4 | 409 | 25.0 | 1055 | 64.4 | 88 | 5.4 | 86 | 5.3 |
| | 31-40 | 1035 | 871 | 84.2 | 164 | 15.8 | 379 | 36.6 | 522 | 50.4 | 82 | 7.9 | 52 | 5.0 |
| | 41-50 | 678 | 555 | 81.9 | 123 | 18.1 | 327 | 48.2 | 242 | 35.7 | 71 | 10.5 | 38 | 5.6 |
| | 51-60 | 243 | 211 | 86.8 | 32 | 13.2 | 117 | 48.1 | 70 | 28.8 | 39 | 16.0 | 17 | 7.0 |
| | 61-70 | 73 | 66 | 90.4 | 7 | 9.6 | 36 | 49.3 | 24 | 32.9 | 5 | 6.8 | 8 | 11.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 28 | 21 | 75.0 | 7 | 25.0 | 14 | 50.0 | 10 | 35.7 | 3 | 10.7 | 1 | 3.6 |
| TOTAL | 4084 | 3552 | 87.0 | 532 | 13.0 | 1412 | 34.6 | 2141 | 52.4 | 302 | 7.4 | 229 | 5.6 | |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | UNDER 18 | 43 | 37 | 86.0 | 6 | 14.0 | 34 | 79.1 | 9 | 20.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 302 | 243 | 80.5 | 59 | 19.5 | 217 | 71.9 | 77 | 25.5 | 4 | 1.3 | 4 | 1.3 |
| | 21-30 | 908 | 730 | 80.4 | 178 | 19.6 | 608 | 67.0 | 261 | 28.7 | 18 | 2.0 | 21 | 2.3 |
| | 31-40 | 474 | 373 | 78.7 | 101 | 21.3 | 322 | 67.9 | 134 | 28.3 | 9 | 1.9 | 9 | 1.9 |
| | 41-50 | 410 | 322 | 78.5 | 88 | 21.5 | 317 | 77.3 | 76 | 18.5 | 10 | 2.4 | 7 | 1.7 |
| | 51-60 | 149 | 115 | 77.2 | 34 | 22.8 | 130 | 87.2 | 17 | 11.4 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.7 |
| | 61-70 | 45 | 37 | 82.2 | 8 | 17.8 | 36 | 80.0 | 9 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 91.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2343 | 1869 | 79.8 | 474 | 20.2 | 1675 | 71.5 | 584 | 24.9 | 42 | 1.8 | 42 | 1.8 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| SAN MATEO | UNDER 18 | 28 | 23 | 82.1 | 5 | 17.9 | 15 | 53.6 | 7 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 21.4 |
| | 18-20 | 278 | 229 | 82.4 | 49 | 17.6 | 106 | 38.1 | 113 | 40.6 | 11 | 4.0 | 48 | 17.3 |
| | 21-30 | 1436 | 1241 | 86.4 | 195 | 13.6 | 499 | 34.7 | 662 | 46.1 | 61 | 4.2 | 214 | 14.9 |
| | 31-40 | 934 | 768 | 82.2 | 166 | 17.8 | 396 | 42.4 | 337 | 36.1 | 66 | 7.1 | 135 | 14.5 |
| | 41-50 | 641 | 509 | 79.4 | 132 | 20.6 | 355 | 55.4 | 170 | 26.5 | 32 | 5.0 | 84 | 13.1 |
| | 51-60 | 267 | 215 | 80.5 | 52 | 19.5 | 166 | 62.2 | 44 | 16.5 | 19 | 7.1 | 38 | 14.2 |
| | 61-70 | 75 | 60 | 80.0 | 15 | 20.0 | 47 | 62.7 | 15 | 20.0 | 4 | 5.3 | 9 | 12.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 19 | 10 | 52.6 | 9 | 47.4 | 17 | 89.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.3 | 1 | 5.3 |
| TOTAL | 3678 | 3055 | 83.1 | 623 | 16.9 | 1601 | 43.5 | 1348 | 36.7 | 194 | 5.3 | 535 | 14.5 | |
| SANTA BARBARA | UNDER 18 | 36 | 32 | 88.9 | 4 | 11.1 | 11 | 30.6 | 24 | 66.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.8 |
| | 18-20 | 191 | 155 | 81.2 | 36 | 18.8 | 87 | 45.5 | 90 | 47.1 | 3 | 1.6 | 11 | 5.8 |
| | 21-30 | 1116 | 900 | 80.6 | 216 | 19.4 | 489 | 43.8 | 555 | 49.7 | 32 | 2.9 | 40 | 3.6 |
| | 31-40 | 573 | 450 | 78.5 | 123 | 21.5 | 278 | 48.5 | 262 | 45.7 | 16 | 2.8 | 17 | 3.0 |
| | 41-50 | 415 | 312 | 75.2 | 103 | 24.8 | 257 | 61.9 | 133 | 32.0 | 21 | 5.1 | 4 | 1.0 |
| | 51-60 | 160 | 125 | 78.1 | 35 | 21.9 | 114 | 71.3 | 38 | 23.7 | 3 | 1.9 | 5 | 3.1 |
| | 61-70 | 27 | 20 | 74.1 | 7 | 25.9 | 19 | 70.4 | 8 | 29.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 16 | 14 | 87.5 | 2 | 12.5 | 10 | 62.5 | 6 | 37.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2534 | 2008 | 79.2 | 526 | 20.8 | 1265 | 49.9 | 1116 | 44.0 | 75 | 3.0 | 78 | 3.1 | |
| SANTA CLARA | UNDER 18 | 55 | 44 | 80.0 | 11 | 20.0 | 24 | 43.6 | 19 | 34.5 | 3 | 5.5 | 9 | 16.4 |
| | 18-20 | 475 | 396 | 83.4 | 79 | 16.6 | 141 | 29.7 | 267 | 56.2 | 13 | 2.7 | 54 | 11.4 |
| | 21-30 | 2956 | 2573 | 87.0 | 383 | 13.0 | 745 | 25.2 | 1723 | 58.3 | 116 | 3.9 | 372 | 12.6 |
| | 31-40 | 1735 | 1472 | 84.8 | 263 | 15.2 | 580 | 33.4 | 845 | 48.7 | 69 | 4.0 | 241 | 13.9 |
| | 41-50 | 1086 | 896 | 82.5 | 190 | 17.5 | 523 | 48.2 | 371 | 34.2 | 58 | 5.3 | 134 | 12.3 |
| | 51-60 | 402 | 340 | 84.6 | 62 | 15.4 | 212 | 52.7 | 119 | 29.6 | 20 | 5.0 | 51 | 12.7 |
| | 61-70 | 88 | 79 | 89.8 | 9 | 10.2 | 50 | 56.8 | 24 | 27.3 | 5 | 5.7 | 9 | 10.2 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 25 | 24 | 96.0 | 1 | 4.0 | 19 | 76.0 | 4 | 16.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 8.0 |
| TOTAL | 6822 | 5824 | 85.4 | 998 | 14.6 | 2294 | 33.6 | 3372 | 49.4 | 284 | 4.2 | 872 | 12.8 | |
| SANTA CRUZ | UNDER 18 | 18 | 15 | 83.3 | 3 | 16.7 | 12 | 66.7 | 6 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 162 | 129 | 79.6 | 33 | 20.4 | 92 | 56.8 | 62 | 38.3 | 2 | 1.2 | 6 | 3.7 |
| | 21-30 | 725 | 595 | 82.1 | 130 | 17.9 | 393 | 54.2 | 288 | 39.7 | 17 | 2.3 | 27 | 3.7 |
| | 31-40 | 423 | 346 | 81.8 | 77 | 18.2 | 267 | 63.1 | 135 | 31.9 | 15 | 3.5 | 6 | 1.4 |
| | 41-50 | 353 | 271 | 76.8 | 82 | 23.2 | 251 | 71.1 | 84 | 23.8 | 12 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.7 |
| | 51-60 | 140 | 102 | 72.9 | 38 | 27.1 | 119 | 85.0 | 15 | 10.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 4 | 2.9 |
| | 61-70 | 35 | 29 | 82.9 | 6 | 17.1 | 29 | 82.9 | 5 | 14.3 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1859 | 1490 | 80.2 | 369 | 19.8 | 1166 | 62.7 | 595 | 32.0 | 49 | 2.6 | 49 | 2.6 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| SHASTA | UNDER 18 | 29 | 18 | 62.1 | 11 | 37.9 | 23 | 79.3 | 1 | 3.4 | 2 | 6.9 | 3 | 10.3 |
| | 18-20 | 100 | 88 | 88.0 | 12 | 12.0 | 84 | 84.0 | 6 | 6.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 8 | 8.0 |
| | 21-30 | 384 | 312 | 81.3 | 72 | 18.8 | 316 | 82.3 | 40 | 10.4 | 9 | 2.3 | 19 | 4.9 |
| | 31-40 | 291 | 212 | 72.9 | 79 | 27.1 | 247 | 84.9 | 20 | 6.9 | 7 | 2.4 | 17 | 5.8 |
| | 41-50 | 285 | 209 | 73.3 | 76 | 26.7 | 259 | 90.9 | 15 | 5.3 | 5 | 1.8 | 6 | 2.1 |
| | 51-60 | 110 | 88 | 80.0 | 22 | 20.0 | 101 | 91.8 | 5 | 4.5 | 1 | 0.9 | 3 | 2.7 |
| | 61-70 | 30 | 26 | 86.7 | 4 | 13.3 | 28 | 93.3 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 15 | 15 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1244 | 968 | 77.8 | 276 | 22.2 | 1073 | 86.3 | 88 | 7.1 | 26 | 2.1 | 57 | 4.6 | |
| SIERRA | 18-20 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 11 | 8 | 72.7 | 3 | 27.3 | 10 | 90.9 | 1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 31-40 | 16 | 15 | 93.8 | 1 | 6.3 | 14 | 87.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 12.5 |
| | 41-50 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 1 | 7.7 | 13 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 51-60 | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 9 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 54 | 48 | 88.9 | 6 | 11.1 | 51 | 94.4 | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 3.7 | |
| SIKSIYOU | UNDER 18 | 9 | 8 | 88.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 9 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 26 | 22 | 84.6 | 4 | 15.4 | 25 | 96.2 | 1 | 3.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 92 | 78 | 84.8 | 14 | 15.2 | 69 | 75.0 | 14 | 15.2 | 2 | 2.2 | 7 | 7.6 |
| | 31-40 | 102 | 83 | 81.4 | 19 | 18.6 | 79 | 77.5 | 15 | 14.7 | 1 | 1.0 | 7 | 6.9 |
| | 41-50 | 91 | 70 | 76.9 | 21 | 23.1 | 82 | 90.1 | 3 | 3.3 | 3 | 3.3 | 3 | 3.3 |
| | 51-60 | 37 | 32 | 86.5 | 5 | 13.5 | 32 | 86.5 | 3 | 8.1 | 1 | 2.7 | 1 | 2.7 |
| | 61-70 | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 6 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 369 | 304 | 82.4 | 65 | 17.6 | 308 | 83.5 | 36 | 9.8 | 7 | 1.9 | 18 | 4.9 | |
| SOLANO | UNDER 18 | 21 | 19 | 90.5 | 2 | 9.5 | 14 | 66.7 | 5 | 23.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 9.5 |
| | 18-20 | 185 | 165 | 89.2 | 20 | 10.8 | 89 | 48.1 | 60 | 32.4 | 21 | 11.4 | 15 | 8.1 |
| | 21-30 | 567 | 495 | 87.3 | 72 | 12.7 | 199 | 35.1 | 234 | 41.3 | 90 | 15.9 | 44 | 7.8 |
| | 31-40 | 396 | 330 | 83.3 | 66 | 16.7 | 168 | 42.4 | 114 | 28.8 | 95 | 24.0 | 19 | 4.8 |
| | 41-50 | 307 | 246 | 80.1 | 61 | 19.9 | 164 | 53.4 | 46 | 15.0 | 73 | 23.8 | 24 | 7.8 |
| | 51-60 | 126 | 109 | 86.5 | 17 | 13.5 | 75 | 59.5 | 9 | 7.1 | 37 | 29.4 | 5 | 4.0 |
| | 61-70 | 40 | 36 | 90.0 | 4 | 10.0 | 18 | 45.0 | 9 | 22.5 | 10 | 25.0 | 3 | 7.5 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 4 | 57.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 42.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1649 | 1406 | 85.3 | 243 | 14.7 | 731 | 44.3 | 477 | 28.9 | 329 | 20.0 | 112 | 6.8 |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| SONOMA | UNDER 18 | 37 | 29 | 78.4 | 8 | 21.6 | 20 | 54.1 | 15 | 40.5 | 1 | 2.7 | 1 | 2.7 |
| | 18-20 | 302 | 263 | 87.1 | 39 | 12.9 | 165 | 54.6 | 122 | 40.4 | 4 | 1.3 | 11 | 3.6 |
| | 21-30 | 1150 | 983 | 85.5 | 167 | 14.5 | 536 | 46.6 | 537 | 46.7 | 27 | 2.3 | 50 | 4.3 |
| | 31-40 | 634 | 508 | 80.1 | 126 | 19.9 | 394 | 62.1 | 214 | 33.8 | 12 | 1.9 | 14 | 2.2 |
| | 41-50 | 475 | 353 | 74.3 | 122 | 25.7 | 369 | 77.7 | 69 | 14.5 | 16 | 3.4 | 21 | 4.4 |
| | 51-60 | 229 | 181 | 79.0 | 48 | 21.0 | 192 | 83.8 | 26 | 11.4 | 7 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.7 |
| | 61-70 | 61 | 46 | 75.4 | 15 | 24.6 | 50 | 82.0 | 7 | 11.5 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 4.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 23 | 16 | 69.6 | 7 | 30.4 | 22 | 95.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 |
| | TOTAL | 2911 | 2379 | 81.7 | 532 | 18.3 | 1748 | 60.0 | 990 | 34.0 | 68 | 2.3 | 105 | 3.6 |
| STANISLAUS | UNDER 18 | 28 | 25 | 89.3 | 3 | 10.7 | 14 | 50.0 | 13 | 46.4 | 1 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 264 | 240 | 90.9 | 24 | 9.1 | 103 | 39.0 | 144 | 54.5 | 4 | 1.5 | 13 | 4.9 |
| | 21-30 | 931 | 828 | 88.9 | 103 | 11.1 | 316 | 33.9 | 547 | 58.8 | 36 | 3.9 | 32 | 3.4 |
| | 31-40 | 527 | 418 | 79.3 | 109 | 20.7 | 224 | 42.5 | 253 | 48.0 | 29 | 5.5 | 21 | 4.0 |
| | 41-50 | 356 | 273 | 76.7 | 83 | 23.3 | 193 | 54.2 | 128 | 36.0 | 20 | 5.6 | 15 | 4.2 |
| | 51-60 | 136 | 119 | 87.5 | 17 | 12.5 | 76 | 55.9 | 47 | 34.6 | 8 | 5.9 | 5 | 3.7 |
| | 61-70 | 29 | 26 | 89.7 | 3 | 10.3 | 16 | 55.2 | 8 | 27.6 | 1 | 3.4 | 4 | 13.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 13 | 11 | 84.6 | 2 | 15.4 | 7 | 53.8 | 3 | 23.1 | 1 | 7.7 | 2 | 15.4 |
| | TOTAL | 2284 | 1940 | 84.9 | 344 | 15.1 | 949 | 41.5 | 1143 | 50.0 | 100 | 4.4 | 92 | 4.0 |
| SUTTER | UNDER 18 | 9 | 7 | 77.8 | 2 | 22.2 | 5 | 55.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 33.3 | 1 | 11.1 |
| | 18-20 | 72 | 63 | 87.5 | 9 | 12.5 | 38 | 52.8 | 25 | 34.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 12.5 |
| | 21-30 | 291 | 254 | 87.3 | 37 | 12.7 | 126 | 43.3 | 134 | 46.0 | 10 | 3.4 | 21 | 7.2 |
| | 31-40 | 163 | 140 | 85.9 | 23 | 14.1 | 84 | 51.5 | 55 | 33.7 | 9 | 5.5 | 15 | 9.2 |
| | 41-50 | 114 | 95 | 83.3 | 19 | 16.7 | 72 | 63.2 | 29 | 25.4 | 3 | 2.6 | 10 | 8.8 |
| | 51-60 | 46 | 44 | 95.7 | 2 | 4.3 | 25 | 54.3 | 15 | 32.6 | 2 | 4.3 | 4 | 8.7 |
| | 61-70 | 17 | 17 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 76.5 | 2 | 11.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 11.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 3 | 75.0 | 1 | 25.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 716 | 623 | 87.0 | 93 | 13.0 | 367 | 51.3 | 260 | 36.3 | 27 | 3.8 | 62 | 8.7 |
| TEHAMA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 86 | 75 | 87.2 | 11 | 12.8 | 56 | 65.1 | 30 | 34.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 365 | 327 | 89.6 | 38 | 10.4 | 210 | 57.5 | 148 | 40.5 | 4 | 1.1 | 3 | 0.8 |
| | 31-40 | 302 | 240 | 79.5 | 62 | 20.5 | 228 | 75.5 | 67 | 22.2 | 3 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.3 |
| | 41-50 | 309 | 227 | 73.5 | 82 | 26.5 | 259 | 83.8 | 40 | 12.9 | 5 | 1.6 | 5 | 1.6 |
| | 51-60 | 87 | 73 | 83.9 | 14 | 16.1 | 73 | 83.9 | 14 | 16.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 38 | 32 | 84.2 | 6 | 15.8 | 33 | 86.8 | 5 | 13.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 8 | 7 | 87.5 | 1 | 12.5 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1199 | 983 | 82.0 | 216 | 18.0 | 869 | 72.5 | 306 | 25.5 | 12 | 1.0 | 12 | 1.0 |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| TRINITY | UNDER 18 | 2 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 14 | 12 | 85.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 13 | 92.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 54 | 47 | 87.0 | 7 | 13.0 | 52 | 96.3 | 1 | 1.9 | 1 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 31-40 | 48 | 35 | 72.9 | 13 | 27.1 | 46 | 95.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.2 |
| | 41-50 | 67 | 53 | 79.1 | 14 | 20.9 | 63 | 94.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 6.0 |
| | 51-60 | 29 | 28 | 96.6 | 1 | 3.4 | 29 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 2 | 66.7 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 225 | 186 | 82.7 | 39 | 17.3 | 216 | 96.0 | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 2.7 | |
| TULARE | UNDER 18 | 40 | 37 | 92.5 | 3 | 7.5 | 6 | 15.0 | 34 | 85.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 389 | 365 | 93.8 | 24 | 6.2 | 81 | 20.8 | 300 | 77.1 | 2 | 0.5 | 6 | 1.5 |
| | 21-30 | 1491 | 1359 | 91.1 | 132 | 8.9 | 258 | 17.3 | 1190 | 79.8 | 29 | 1.9 | 14 | 0.9 |
| | 31-40 | 857 | 755 | 88.1 | 102 | 11.9 | 192 | 22.4 | 627 | 73.2 | 16 | 1.9 | 22 | 2.6 |
| | 41-50 | 463 | 396 | 85.5 | 67 | 14.5 | 152 | 32.8 | 281 | 60.7 | 19 | 4.1 | 11 | 2.4 |
| | 51-60 | 150 | 135 | 90.0 | 15 | 10.0 | 57 | 38.0 | 88 | 58.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 3.3 |
| | 61-70 | 36 | 33 | 91.7 | 3 | 8.3 | 22 | 61.1 | 13 | 36.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 11 | 9 | 81.8 | 2 | 18.2 | 9 | 81.8 | 2 | 18.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3437 | 3089 | 89.9 | 348 | 10.1 | 777 | 22.6 | 2535 | 73.8 | 66 | 1.9 | 59 | 1.7 | |
| TUOLUMNE | UNDER 18 | 4 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 36 | 34 | 94.4 | 2 | 5.6 | 34 | 94.4 | 2 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 123 | 96 | 78.0 | 27 | 22.0 | 117 | 95.1 | 6 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 31-40 | 103 | 82 | 79.6 | 21 | 20.4 | 98 | 95.1 | 4 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| | 41-50 | 101 | 72 | 71.3 | 29 | 28.7 | 97 | 96.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| | 51-60 | 49 | 40 | 81.6 | 9 | 18.4 | 48 | 98.0 | 1 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 14 | 12 | 85.7 | 2 | 14.3 | 14 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 | 4 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 434 | 340 | 78.3 | 94 | 21.7 | 416 | 95.9 | 15 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.5 | |
| VENTURA | UNDER 18 | 41 | 38 | 92.7 | 3 | 7.3 | 23 | 56.1 | 18 | 43.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 323 | 273 | 84.5 | 50 | 15.5 | 146 | 45.2 | 161 | 49.8 | 4 | 1.2 | 12 | 3.7 |
| | 21-30 | 1549 | 1326 | 85.6 | 223 | 14.4 | 548 | 35.4 | 918 | 59.3 | 45 | 2.9 | 38 | 2.5 |
| | 31-40 | 1012 | 837 | 82.7 | 175 | 17.3 | 411 | 40.6 | 545 | 53.9 | 33 | 3.3 | 23 | 2.3 |
| | 41-50 | 727 | 567 | 78.0 | 160 | 22.0 | 442 | 60.8 | 251 | 34.5 | 20 | 2.8 | 14 | 1.9 |
| | 51-60 | 249 | 199 | 79.9 | 50 | 20.1 | 166 | 66.7 | 70 | 28.1 | 8 | 3.2 | 5 | 2.0 |
| | 61-70 | 61 | 51 | 83.6 | 10 | 16.4 | 45 | 73.8 | 12 | 19.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 3 | 4.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 23 | 18 | 78.3 | 5 | 21.7 | 19 | 82.6 | 3 | 13.0 | 1 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3985 | 3309 | 83.0 | 676 | 17.0 | 1800 | 45.2 | 1978 | 49.6 | 112 | 2.8 | 95 | 2.4 | |

TABLE B1: 2002 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE / ETHNICITY (continued)

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | SEX (100%) | | | | RACE / ETHNICITY (100%) | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|-------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|-------|----------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | | | MALE | | FEMALE | | WHITE | | HISPANIC | | BLACK | | OTHER | |
| | | | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| YOLO | UNDER 18 | 17 | 16 | 94.1 | 1 | 5.9 | 10 | 58.8 | 7 | 41.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 127 | 111 | 87.4 | 16 | 12.6 | 67 | 52.8 | 45 | 35.4 | 6 | 4.7 | 9 | 7.1 |
| | 21-30 | 411 | 370 | 90.0 | 41 | 10.0 | 182 | 44.3 | 194 | 47.2 | 10 | 2.4 | 25 | 6.1 |
| | 31-40 | 251 | 212 | 84.5 | 39 | 15.5 | 139 | 55.4 | 96 | 38.2 | 11 | 4.4 | 5 | 2.0 |
| | 41-50 | 164 | 138 | 84.1 | 26 | 15.9 | 126 | 76.8 | 32 | 19.5 | 2 | 1.2 | 4 | 2.4 |
| | 51-60 | 70 | 57 | 81.4 | 13 | 18.6 | 48 | 68.6 | 19 | 27.1 | 1 | 1.4 | 2 | 2.9 |
| | 61-70 | 20 | 18 | 90.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 14 | 70.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 5.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 5 | 71.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 14.3 | 1 | 14.3 |
| | TOTAL | 1067 | 928 | 87.0 | 139 | 13.0 | 591 | 55.4 | 398 | 37.3 | 31 | 2.9 | 47 | 4.4 |
| YUBA | UNDER 18 | 5 | 4 | 80.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 4 | 80.0 | 1 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 67 | 60 | 89.6 | 7 | 10.4 | 40 | 59.7 | 19 | 28.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 8 | 11.9 |
| | 21-30 | 227 | 194 | 85.5 | 33 | 14.5 | 123 | 54.2 | 82 | 36.1 | 7 | 3.1 | 15 | 6.6 |
| | 31-40 | 176 | 136 | 77.3 | 40 | 22.7 | 110 | 62.5 | 59 | 33.5 | 3 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.3 |
| | 41-50 | 153 | 120 | 78.4 | 33 | 21.6 | 112 | 73.2 | 28 | 18.3 | 8 | 5.2 | 5 | 3.3 |
| | 51-60 | 57 | 49 | 86.0 | 8 | 14.0 | 43 | 75.4 | 7 | 12.3 | 5 | 8.8 | 2 | 3.5 |
| | 61-70 | 18 | 17 | 94.4 | 1 | 5.6 | 18 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 6 | 6 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 83.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 709 | 586 | 82.7 | 123 | 17.3 | 455 | 64.2 | 197 | 27.8 | 23 | 3.2 | 34 | 4.8 |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|--------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STATEWIDE | | 136322 | 100.0 | 115293 | 100.0 | 21029 | 100.0 |
| ALAMEDA | UNDER 18 | 15 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.3 |
| | 18-20 | 253 | 6.1 | 221 | 6.3 | 32 | 4.8 |
| | 21-30 | 1479 | 35.5 | 1281 | 36.6 | 198 | 29.7 |
| | 31-40 | 1209 | 29.0 | 987 | 28.2 | 222 | 33.3 |
| | 41-50 | 846 | 20.3 | 694 | 19.8 | 152 | 22.8 |
| | 51-60 | 281 | 6.7 | 232 | 6.6 | 49 | 7.4 |
| | 61-70 | 72 | 1.7 | 64 | 1.8 | 8 | 1.2 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 15 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.5 |
| | TOTAL | 4170 | 100.0 | 3504 | 100.0 | 666 | 100.0 |
| ALPINE | 18-20 | 1 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 8 | 15.7 | 7 | 14.6 | 1 | 33.3 |
| | 31-40 | 12 | 23.5 | 12 | 25.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 41-50 | 21 | 41.2 | 20 | 41.7 | 1 | 33.3 |
| | 51-60 | 5 | 9.8 | 4 | 8.3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| | 61-70 | 3 | 5.9 | 3 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 2.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 51 | 100.0 | 48 | 100.0 | 3 | 100.0 |
| AMADOR | 18-20 | 13 | 6.8 | 12 | 7.5 | 1 | 3.2 |
| | 21-30 | 48 | 25.3 | 45 | 28.3 | 3 | 9.7 |
| | 31-40 | 45 | 23.7 | 37 | 23.3 | 8 | 25.8 |
| | 41-50 | 55 | 28.9 | 42 | 26.4 | 13 | 41.9 |
| | 51-60 | 20 | 10.5 | 15 | 9.4 | 5 | 16.1 |
| | 61-70 | 6 | 3.2 | 5 | 3.1 | 1 | 3.2 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 190 | 100.0 | 159 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 |
| BUTTE | UNDER 18 | 9 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.9 |
| | 18-20 | 100 | 8.6 | 80 | 8.5 | 20 | 8.8 |
| | 21-30 | 414 | 35.4 | 334 | 35.5 | 80 | 35.1 |
| | 31-40 | 275 | 23.5 | 213 | 22.6 | 62 | 27.2 |
| | 41-50 | 247 | 21.1 | 207 | 22.0 | 40 | 17.5 |
| | 51-60 | 88 | 7.5 | 71 | 7.5 | 17 | 7.5 |
| | 61-70 | 27 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.3 | 5 | 2.2 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 9 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.9 |
| | TOTAL | 1169 | 100.0 | 941 | 100.0 | 228 | 100.0 |
| CALAVERAS | 18-20 | 18 | 11.0 | 16 | 11.3 | 2 | 8.7 |
| | 21-30 | 30 | 18.3 | 27 | 19.1 | 3 | 13.0 |
| | 31-40 | 46 | 28.0 | 36 | 25.5 | 10 | 43.5 |
| | 41-50 | 41 | 25.0 | 34 | 24.1 | 7 | 30.4 |
| | 51-60 | 23 | 14.0 | 23 | 16.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 4 | 2.4 | 4 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 2 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 4.3 |
| | TOTAL | 164 | 100.0 | 141 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 |
| COLUSA | UNDER 18 | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 12 | 6.1 | 12 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 62 | 31.6 | 57 | 31.8 | 5 | 29.4 |
| | 31-40 | 67 | 34.2 | 58 | 32.4 | 9 | 52.9 |
| | 41-50 | 36 | 18.4 | 33 | 18.4 | 3 | 17.6 |
| | 51-60 | 12 | 6.1 | 12 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 4 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 196 | 100.0 | 179 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | UNDER 18 | 13 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.5 |
| | 18-20 | 253 | 7.2 | 218 | 7.4 | 35 | 5.8 |
| | 21-30 | 1293 | 36.6 | 1121 | 38.3 | 172 | 28.4 |
| | 31-40 | 921 | 26.1 | 746 | 25.5 | 175 | 28.9 |
| | 41-50 | 690 | 19.5 | 538 | 18.4 | 152 | 25.1 |
| | 51-60 | 266 | 7.5 | 216 | 7.4 | 50 | 8.3 |
| | 61-70 | 77 | 2.2 | 63 | 2.2 | 14 | 2.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 21 | 0.6 | 17 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.7 |
| | TOTAL | 3534 | 100.0 | 2929 | 100.0 | 605 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|-----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| DEL NORTE | 18-20 | 5 | 3.2 | 5 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 40 | 25.5 | 32 | 25.8 | 8 | 24.2 |
| | 31-40 | 42 | 26.8 | 27 | 21.8 | 15 | 45.5 |
| | 41-50 | 40 | 25.5 | 32 | 25.8 | 8 | 24.2 |
| | 51-60 | 20 | 12.7 | 19 | 15.3 | 1 | 3.0 |
| | 61-70 | 6 | 3.8 | 5 | 4.0 | 1 | 3.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 2.5 | 4 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 157 | 100.0 | 124 | 100.0 | 33 | 100.0 |
| EL DORADO | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 44 | 5.1 | 36 | 5.3 | 8 | 4.3 |
| | 21-30 | 263 | 30.4 | 220 | 32.3 | 43 | 23.4 |
| | 31-40 | 238 | 27.5 | 185 | 27.2 | 53 | 28.8 |
| | 41-50 | 220 | 25.4 | 158 | 23.2 | 62 | 33.7 |
| | 51-60 | 73 | 8.4 | 60 | 8.8 | 13 | 7.1 |
| | 61-70 | 22 | 2.5 | 17 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.7 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 865 | 100.0 | 681 | 100.0 | 184 | 100.0 | |
| FRESNO | UNDER 18 | 11 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 227 | 7.0 | 199 | 7.1 | 28 | 5.9 |
| | 21-30 | 1244 | 38.1 | 1085 | 38.8 | 159 | 33.8 |
| | 31-40 | 899 | 27.5 | 741 | 26.5 | 158 | 33.5 |
| | 41-50 | 608 | 18.6 | 507 | 18.1 | 101 | 21.4 |
| | 51-60 | 208 | 6.4 | 188 | 6.7 | 20 | 4.2 |
| | 61-70 | 60 | 1.8 | 55 | 2.0 | 5 | 1.1 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 8 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 3265 | 100.0 | 2794 | 100.0 | 471 | 100.0 | |
| GLENN | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 12 | 6.3 | 12 | 7.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 78 | 40.6 | 72 | 42.1 | 6 | 28.6 |
| | 31-40 | 37 | 19.3 | 29 | 17.0 | 8 | 38.1 |
| | 41-50 | 34 | 17.7 | 30 | 17.5 | 4 | 19.0 |
| | 51-60 | 21 | 10.9 | 18 | 10.5 | 3 | 14.3 |
| | 61-70 | 4 | 2.1 | 4 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 2.6 | 5 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 192 | 100.0 | 171 | 100.0 | 21 | 100.0 | |
| HUMBOLDT | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 66 | 7.8 | 51 | 7.9 | 15 | 7.3 |
| | 21-30 | 373 | 43.8 | 289 | 44.7 | 84 | 41.0 |
| | 31-40 | 194 | 22.8 | 145 | 22.4 | 49 | 23.9 |
| | 41-50 | 137 | 16.1 | 99 | 15.3 | 38 | 18.5 |
| | 51-60 | 58 | 6.8 | 44 | 6.8 | 14 | 6.8 |
| | 61-70 | 15 | 1.8 | 12 | 1.9 | 3 | 1.5 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 7 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.8 | 2 | 1.0 |
| TOTAL | 851 | 100.0 | 646 | 100.0 | 205 | 100.0 | |
| IMPERIAL | 18-20 | 49 | 7.1 | 45 | 7.3 | 4 | 5.9 |
| | 21-30 | 227 | 33.0 | 198 | 32.0 | 29 | 42.6 |
| | 31-40 | 185 | 26.9 | 169 | 27.3 | 16 | 23.5 |
| | 41-50 | 140 | 20.4 | 125 | 20.2 | 15 | 22.1 |
| | 51-60 | 56 | 8.2 | 52 | 8.4 | 4 | 5.9 |
| | 61-70 | 24 | 3.5 | 24 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 6 | 0.9 | 6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 687 | 100.0 | 619 | 100.0 | 68 | 100.0 |
| INYO | UNDER 18 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 8 | 5.4 | 7 | 5.8 | 1 | 3.4 |
| | 21-30 | 30 | 20.1 | 26 | 21.7 | 4 | 13.8 |
| | 31-40 | 45 | 30.2 | 35 | 29.2 | 10 | 34.5 |
| | 41-50 | 50 | 33.6 | 38 | 31.7 | 12 | 41.4 |
| | 51-60 | 11 | 7.4 | 9 | 7.5 | 2 | 6.9 |
| | 61-70 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 149 | 100.0 | 120 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 | |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| KERN | UNDER 18 | 36 | 1.0 | 32 | 1.0 | 4 | 0.7 |
| | 18-20 | 343 | 9.1 | 307 | 9.6 | 36 | 6.6 |
| | 21-30 | 1379 | 36.7 | 1211 | 37.8 | 168 | 30.7 |
| | 31-40 | 1028 | 27.4 | 839 | 26.2 | 189 | 34.6 |
| | 41-50 | 635 | 16.9 | 524 | 16.3 | 111 | 20.3 |
| | 51-60 | 236 | 6.3 | 206 | 6.4 | 30 | 5.5 |
| | 61-70 | 77 | 2.1 | 70 | 2.2 | 7 | 1.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 20 | 0.5 | 18 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 3754 | 100.0 | 3207 | 100.0 | 547 | 100.0 |
| KINGS | UNDER 18 | 8 | 1.0 | 7 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| | 18-20 | 83 | 10.6 | 77 | 11.4 | 6 | 5.8 |
| | 21-30 | 308 | 39.5 | 276 | 40.8 | 32 | 31.1 |
| | 31-40 | 190 | 24.4 | 147 | 21.7 | 43 | 41.7 |
| | 41-50 | 124 | 15.9 | 112 | 16.5 | 12 | 11.7 |
| | 51-60 | 50 | 6.4 | 43 | 6.4 | 7 | 6.8 |
| | 61-70 | 15 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 780 | 100.0 | 677 | 100.0 | 103 | 100.0 |
| LAKE | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 43 | 6.8 | 38 | 7.9 | 5 | 3.2 |
| | 21-30 | 164 | 25.9 | 136 | 28.5 | 28 | 18.1 |
| | 31-40 | 165 | 26.1 | 115 | 24.1 | 50 | 32.3 |
| | 41-50 | 158 | 25.0 | 114 | 23.8 | 44 | 28.4 |
| | 51-60 | 68 | 10.7 | 50 | 10.5 | 18 | 11.6 |
| | 61-70 | 25 | 3.9 | 17 | 3.6 | 8 | 5.2 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 8 | 1.3 | 6 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.3 |
| | TOTAL | 633 | 100.0 | 478 | 100.0 | 155 | 100.0 |
| LASSEN | UNDER 18 | 4 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 5.3 |
| | 18-20 | 19 | 8.2 | 15 | 7.7 | 4 | 10.5 |
| | 21-30 | 56 | 24.0 | 51 | 26.2 | 5 | 13.2 |
| | 31-40 | 65 | 27.9 | 49 | 25.1 | 16 | 42.1 |
| | 41-50 | 52 | 22.3 | 44 | 22.6 | 8 | 21.1 |
| | 51-60 | 21 | 9.0 | 19 | 9.7 | 2 | 5.3 |
| | 61-70 | 16 | 6.9 | 15 | 7.7 | 1 | 2.6 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 93 | 0.3 | 79 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 28865 | 100.0 | 24874 | 100.0 | 3991 | 100.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | UNDER 18 | 30 | 0.1 | 26 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| | 18-20 | 1463 | 5.1 | 1256 | 5.0 | 207 | 5.2 |
| | 21-30 | 11091 | 38.4 | 9602 | 38.6 | 1489 | 37.3 |
| | 31-40 | 8934 | 31.0 | 7707 | 31.0 | 1227 | 30.7 |
| | 41-50 | 5077 | 17.6 | 4323 | 17.4 | 754 | 18.9 |
| | 51-60 | 1721 | 6.0 | 1482 | 6.0 | 239 | 6.0 |
| | 61-70 | 456 | 1.6 | 399 | 1.6 | 57 | 1.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 93 | 0.3 | 79 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 28865 | 100.0 | 24874 | 100.0 | 3991 | 100.0 |
| MADERA | UNDER 18 | 6 | 0.9 | 5 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.9 |
| | 18-20 | 43 | 6.8 | 39 | 6.7 | 4 | 7.4 |
| | 21-30 | 231 | 36.6 | 220 | 38.1 | 11 | 20.4 |
| | 31-40 | 169 | 26.7 | 153 | 26.5 | 16 | 29.6 |
| | 41-50 | 111 | 17.6 | 98 | 17.0 | 13 | 24.1 |
| | 51-60 | 52 | 8.2 | 47 | 8.1 | 5 | 9.3 |
| | 61-70 | 16 | 2.5 | 14 | 2.4 | 2 | 3.7 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 3.7 |
| | TOTAL | 632 | 100.0 | 578 | 100.0 | 54 | 100.0 |
| MARIN | UNDER 18 | 12 | 0.9 | 10 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.6 |
| | 18-20 | 64 | 5.0 | 51 | 5.3 | 13 | 4.2 |
| | 21-30 | 438 | 34.4 | 359 | 37.2 | 79 | 25.6 |
| | 31-40 | 312 | 24.5 | 223 | 23.1 | 89 | 28.9 |
| | 41-50 | 270 | 21.2 | 190 | 19.7 | 80 | 26.0 |
| | 51-60 | 138 | 10.8 | 101 | 10.5 | 37 | 12.0 |
| | 61-70 | 29 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.3 | 7 | 2.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 11 | 0.9 | 10 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| | TOTAL | 1274 | 100.0 | 966 | 100.0 | 308 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|-----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| MARIPOSA | 18-20 | 5 | 6.2 | 3 | 4.8 | 2 | 11.1 |
| | 21-30 | 15 | 18.5 | 14 | 22.2 | 1 | 5.6 |
| | 31-40 | 22 | 27.2 | 18 | 28.6 | 4 | 22.2 |
| | 41-50 | 20 | 24.7 | 14 | 22.2 | 6 | 33.3 |
| | 51-60 | 15 | 18.5 | 11 | 17.5 | 4 | 22.2 |
| | 61-70 | 4 | 4.9 | 3 | 4.8 | 1 | 5.6 |
| | TOTAL | 81 | 100.0 | 63 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 |
| MENDOCINO | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 50 | 8.9 | 41 | 8.9 | 9 | 8.8 |
| | 21-30 | 163 | 28.9 | 142 | 30.7 | 21 | 20.6 |
| | 31-40 | 151 | 26.8 | 114 | 24.7 | 37 | 36.3 |
| | 41-50 | 127 | 22.5 | 102 | 22.1 | 25 | 24.5 |
| | 51-60 | 61 | 10.8 | 54 | 11.7 | 7 | 6.9 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 1.4 | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 2.0 |
| TOTAL | 564 | 100.0 | 462 | 100.0 | 102 | 100.0 | |
| MERCED | UNDER 18 | 6 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 93 | 8.3 | 85 | 8.5 | 8 | 6.5 |
| | 21-30 | 425 | 38.0 | 396 | 39.8 | 29 | 23.6 |
| | 31-40 | 299 | 26.7 | 256 | 25.7 | 43 | 35.0 |
| | 41-50 | 201 | 18.0 | 169 | 17.0 | 32 | 26.0 |
| | 51-60 | 68 | 6.1 | 59 | 5.9 | 9 | 7.3 |
| | 61-70 | 21 | 1.9 | 19 | 1.9 | 2 | 1.6 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 1118 | 100.0 | 995 | 100.0 | 123 | 100.0 | |
| MODOC | 18-20 | 3 | 5.2 | 2 | 4.3 | 1 | 8.3 |
| | 21-30 | 17 | 29.3 | 13 | 28.3 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | 31-40 | 13 | 22.4 | 10 | 21.7 | 3 | 25.0 |
| | 41-50 | 21 | 36.2 | 17 | 37.0 | 4 | 33.3 |
| | 51-60 | 3 | 5.2 | 3 | 6.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 58 | 100.0 | 46 | 100.0 | 12 | 100.0 |
| MONO | UNDER 18 | 3 | 2.4 | 3 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 5 | 4.1 | 5 | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 37 | 30.1 | 33 | 32.0 | 4 | 20.0 |
| | 31-40 | 35 | 28.5 | 30 | 29.1 | 5 | 25.0 |
| | 41-50 | 23 | 18.7 | 17 | 16.5 | 6 | 30.0 |
| | 51-60 | 14 | 11.4 | 12 | 11.7 | 2 | 10.0 |
| | 61-70 | 5 | 4.1 | 2 | 1.9 | 3 | 15.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 123 | 100.0 | 103 | 100.0 | 20 | 100.0 | |
| MONTEREY | UNDER 18 | 7 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 203 | 8.0 | 192 | 8.5 | 11 | 3.9 |
| | 21-30 | 1115 | 43.9 | 1026 | 45.4 | 89 | 31.8 |
| | 31-40 | 666 | 26.2 | 584 | 25.8 | 82 | 29.3 |
| | 41-50 | 376 | 14.8 | 300 | 13.3 | 76 | 27.1 |
| | 51-60 | 131 | 5.2 | 114 | 5.0 | 17 | 6.1 |
| | 61-70 | 36 | 1.4 | 31 | 1.4 | 5 | 1.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 6 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2540 | 100.0 | 2260 | 100.0 | 280 | 100.0 | |
| NAPA | UNDER 18 | 4 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.7 |
| | 18-20 | 74 | 8.0 | 70 | 9.0 | 4 | 2.8 |
| | 21-30 | 331 | 35.7 | 295 | 37.8 | 36 | 24.8 |
| | 31-40 | 239 | 25.8 | 189 | 24.2 | 50 | 34.5 |
| | 41-50 | 181 | 19.5 | 138 | 17.7 | 43 | 29.7 |
| | 51-60 | 61 | 6.6 | 52 | 6.7 | 9 | 6.2 |
| | 61-70 | 31 | 3.3 | 30 | 3.8 | 1 | 0.7 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.7 |
| TOTAL | 926 | 100.0 | 781 | 100.0 | 145 | 100.0 | |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|------------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| NEVADA | UNDER 18 | 6 | 1.2 | 6 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 31 | 6.2 | 30 | 7.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
| | 21-30 | 152 | 30.3 | 126 | 30.7 | 26 | 28.9 |
| | 31-40 | 120 | 24.0 | 100 | 24.3 | 20 | 22.2 |
| | 41-50 | 127 | 25.3 | 92 | 22.4 | 35 | 38.9 |
| | 51-60 | 52 | 10.4 | 45 | 10.9 | 7 | 7.8 |
| | 61-70 | 10 | 2.0 | 9 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.1 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 501 | 100.0 | 411 | 100.0 | 90 | 100.0 |
| ORANGE | UNDER 18 | 54 | 0.5 | 42 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.6 |
| | 18-20 | 657 | 5.8 | 559 | 5.9 | 98 | 5.1 |
| | 21-30 | 4288 | 37.9 | 3643 | 38.8 | 645 | 33.7 |
| | 31-40 | 3336 | 29.5 | 2778 | 29.6 | 558 | 29.1 |
| | 41-50 | 2041 | 18.0 | 1607 | 17.1 | 434 | 22.7 |
| | 51-60 | 709 | 6.3 | 581 | 6.2 | 128 | 6.7 |
| | 61-70 | 178 | 1.6 | 143 | 1.5 | 35 | 1.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 48 | 0.4 | 42 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.3 |
| | TOTAL | 11311 | 100.0 | 9395 | 100.0 | 1916 | 100.0 |
| PLACER | UNDER 18 | 7 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 |
| | 18-20 | 146 | 9.5 | 132 | 10.7 | 14 | 4.7 |
| | 21-30 | 526 | 34.4 | 440 | 35.6 | 86 | 29.2 |
| | 31-40 | 391 | 25.6 | 297 | 24.0 | 94 | 31.9 |
| | 41-50 | 341 | 22.3 | 264 | 21.4 | 77 | 26.1 |
| | 51-60 | 92 | 6.0 | 73 | 5.9 | 19 | 6.4 |
| | 61-70 | 22 | 1.4 | 18 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1530 | 100.0 | 1235 | 100.0 | 295 | 100.0 |
| PLUMAS | 18-20 | 5 | 3.0 | 4 | 3.1 | 1 | 2.7 |
| | 21-30 | 32 | 19.0 | 25 | 19.1 | 7 | 18.9 |
| | 31-40 | 43 | 25.6 | 30 | 22.9 | 13 | 35.1 |
| | 41-50 | 56 | 33.3 | 44 | 33.6 | 12 | 32.4 |
| | 51-60 | 22 | 13.1 | 19 | 14.5 | 3 | 8.1 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 4.8 | 7 | 5.3 | 1 | 2.7 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 2 | 1.2 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 168 | 100.0 | 131 | 100.0 | 37 | 100.0 |
| RIVERSIDE | UNDER 18 | 13 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 563 | 8.2 | 500 | 8.5 | 63 | 6.3 |
| | 21-30 | 2483 | 36.0 | 2193 | 37.2 | 290 | 29.0 |
| | 31-40 | 1831 | 26.5 | 1545 | 26.2 | 286 | 28.6 |
| | 41-50 | 1286 | 18.6 | 1044 | 17.7 | 242 | 24.2 |
| | 51-60 | 507 | 7.4 | 423 | 7.2 | 84 | 8.4 |
| | 61-70 | 166 | 2.4 | 137 | 2.3 | 29 | 2.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 48 | 0.7 | 43 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.5 |
| | TOTAL | 6897 | 100.0 | 5898 | 100.0 | 999 | 100.0 |
| SACRAMENTO | UNDER 18 | 45 | 0.9 | 37 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.8 |
| | 18-20 | 298 | 5.8 | 249 | 5.9 | 49 | 5.1 |
| | 21-30 | 2019 | 39.1 | 1688 | 40.2 | 331 | 34.3 |
| | 31-40 | 1447 | 28.0 | 1134 | 27.0 | 313 | 32.4 |
| | 41-50 | 919 | 17.8 | 723 | 17.2 | 196 | 20.3 |
| | 51-60 | 320 | 6.2 | 270 | 6.4 | 50 | 5.2 |
| | 61-70 | 83 | 1.6 | 69 | 1.6 | 14 | 1.5 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 28 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 5159 | 100.0 | 4194 | 100.0 | 965 | 100.0 |
| SAN BENITO | UNDER 18 | 5 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.7 | 1 | 3.4 |
| | 18-20 | 23 | 8.8 | 21 | 9.0 | 2 | 6.9 |
| | 21-30 | 105 | 40.1 | 95 | 40.8 | 10 | 34.5 |
| | 31-40 | 56 | 21.4 | 49 | 21.0 | 7 | 24.1 |
| | 41-50 | 45 | 17.2 | 36 | 15.5 | 9 | 31.0 |
| | 51-60 | 21 | 8.0 | 21 | 9.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 6 | 2.3 | 6 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 262 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 | 29 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|-----------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| SAN BERNARDINO | UNDER 18 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 517 | 6.5 | 453 | 6.6 | 64 | 6.0 |
| | 21-30 | 3057 | 38.5 | 2710 | 39.4 | 347 | 32.7 |
| | 31-40 | 2165 | 27.3 | 1854 | 27.0 | 311 | 29.3 |
| | 41-50 | 1474 | 18.6 | 1223 | 17.8 | 251 | 23.7 |
| | 51-60 | 526 | 6.6 | 462 | 6.7 | 64 | 6.0 |
| | 61-70 | 151 | 1.9 | 131 | 1.9 | 20 | 1.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 43 | 0.5 | 40 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL | 7934 | 100.0 | 6874 | 100.0 | 1060 | 100.0 | |
| SAN DIEGO | UNDER 18 | 75 | 0.6 | 68 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.4 |
| | 18-20 | 923 | 7.5 | 792 | 7.7 | 131 | 6.8 |
| | 21-30 | 5103 | 41.6 | 4396 | 42.5 | 707 | 36.5 |
| | 31-40 | 3309 | 26.9 | 2767 | 26.8 | 542 | 28.0 |
| | 41-50 | 1954 | 15.9 | 1543 | 14.9 | 411 | 21.2 |
| | 51-60 | 706 | 5.7 | 599 | 5.8 | 107 | 5.5 |
| | 61-70 | 168 | 1.4 | 143 | 1.4 | 25 | 1.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 42 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.4 |
| TOTAL | 12280 | 100.0 | 10342 | 100.0 | 1938 | 100.0 | |
| SAN FRANCISCO | UNDER 18 | 3 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 34 | 3.7 | 25 | 3.2 | 9 | 6.6 |
| | 21-30 | 353 | 38.3 | 307 | 39.1 | 46 | 33.8 |
| | 31-40 | 295 | 32.0 | 247 | 31.4 | 48 | 35.3 |
| | 41-50 | 150 | 16.3 | 123 | 15.6 | 27 | 19.9 |
| | 51-60 | 59 | 6.4 | 54 | 6.9 | 5 | 3.7 |
| | 61-70 | 24 | 2.6 | 23 | 2.9 | 1 | 0.7 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 922 | 100.0 | 786 | 100.0 | 136 | 100.0 | |
| SAN JOAQUIN | UNDER 18 | 26 | 0.8 | 21 | 0.8 | 5 | 1.1 |
| | 18-20 | 277 | 8.8 | 248 | 9.2 | 29 | 6.3 |
| | 21-30 | 1109 | 35.3 | 985 | 36.6 | 124 | 27.1 |
| | 31-40 | 864 | 27.5 | 711 | 26.5 | 153 | 33.4 |
| | 41-50 | 579 | 18.4 | 467 | 17.4 | 112 | 24.5 |
| | 51-60 | 200 | 6.4 | 174 | 6.5 | 26 | 5.7 |
| | 61-70 | 68 | 2.2 | 63 | 2.3 | 5 | 1.1 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 23 | 0.7 | 19 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.9 |
| TOTAL | 3146 | 100.0 | 2688 | 100.0 | 458 | 100.0 | |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | UNDER 18 | 10 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| | 18-20 | 158 | 9.5 | 135 | 9.9 | 23 | 7.6 |
| | 21-30 | 639 | 38.4 | 546 | 40.0 | 93 | 30.9 |
| | 31-40 | 393 | 23.6 | 308 | 22.6 | 85 | 28.2 |
| | 41-50 | 301 | 18.1 | 233 | 17.1 | 68 | 22.6 |
| | 51-60 | 124 | 7.4 | 102 | 7.5 | 22 | 7.3 |
| | 61-70 | 29 | 1.7 | 23 | 1.7 | 6 | 2.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 12 | 0.7 | 9 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.0 |
| TOTAL | 1666 | 100.0 | 1365 | 100.0 | 301 | 100.0 | |
| SAN MATEO | UNDER 18 | 11 | 0.4 | 11 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 164 | 5.9 | 143 | 6.1 | 21 | 4.9 |
| | 21-30 | 976 | 35.1 | 871 | 37.0 | 105 | 24.6 |
| | 31-40 | 816 | 29.3 | 669 | 28.4 | 147 | 34.4 |
| | 41-50 | 545 | 19.6 | 440 | 18.7 | 105 | 24.6 |
| | 51-60 | 199 | 7.2 | 167 | 7.1 | 32 | 7.5 |
| | 61-70 | 55 | 2.0 | 42 | 1.8 | 13 | 3.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 17 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.9 |
| TOTAL | 2783 | 100.0 | 2356 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |
| SANTA BARBARA | UNDER 18 | 10 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.9 |
| | 18-20 | 216 | 8.8 | 183 | 9.2 | 33 | 7.4 |
| | 21-30 | 993 | 40.6 | 839 | 42.0 | 154 | 34.5 |
| | 31-40 | 620 | 25.3 | 495 | 24.7 | 125 | 28.0 |
| | 41-50 | 404 | 16.5 | 312 | 15.6 | 92 | 20.6 |
| | 51-60 | 152 | 6.2 | 123 | 6.2 | 29 | 6.5 |
| | 61-70 | 43 | 1.8 | 36 | 1.8 | 7 | 1.6 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 9 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.7 |
| TOTAL | 2447 | 100.0 | 2000 | 100.0 | 447 | 100.0 | |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|-------------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| SANTA CLARA | UNDER 18 | 46 | 0.7 | 38 | 0.7 | 8 | 0.9 |
| | 18-20 | 384 | 6.2 | 334 | 6.3 | 50 | 5.5 |
| | 21-30 | 2538 | 40.8 | 2235 | 42.0 | 303 | 33.5 |
| | 31-40 | 1651 | 26.5 | 1382 | 26.0 | 269 | 29.8 |
| | 41-50 | 1072 | 17.2 | 874 | 16.4 | 198 | 21.9 |
| | 51-60 | 399 | 6.4 | 344 | 6.5 | 55 | 6.1 |
| | 61-70 | 105 | 1.7 | 88 | 1.7 | 17 | 1.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 27 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 6222 | 100.0 | 5318 | 100.0 | 904 | 100.0 |
| SANTA CRUZ | UNDER 18 | 13 | 0.9 | 11 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.8 |
| | 18-20 | 131 | 9.1 | 110 | 9.3 | 21 | 8.0 |
| | 21-30 | 555 | 38.5 | 468 | 39.6 | 87 | 33.3 |
| | 31-40 | 353 | 24.5 | 279 | 23.6 | 74 | 28.4 |
| | 41-50 | 275 | 19.1 | 220 | 18.6 | 55 | 21.1 |
| | 51-60 | 98 | 6.8 | 80 | 6.8 | 18 | 6.9 |
| | 61-70 | 12 | 0.8 | 9 | 0.8 | 3 | 1.1 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 0.3 | 4 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 1442 | 100.0 | 1181 | 100.0 | 261 | 100.0 |
| SHASTA | UNDER 18 | 14 | 1.3 | 12 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.9 |
| | 18-20 | 88 | 8.3 | 73 | 8.8 | 15 | 6.6 |
| | 21-30 | 341 | 32.1 | 265 | 31.9 | 76 | 33.2 |
| | 31-40 | 264 | 24.9 | 203 | 24.4 | 61 | 26.6 |
| | 41-50 | 224 | 21.1 | 174 | 20.9 | 50 | 21.8 |
| | 51-60 | 96 | 9.0 | 75 | 9.0 | 21 | 9.2 |
| | 61-70 | 28 | 2.6 | 26 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.9 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 6 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.9 |
| | TOTAL | 1061 | 100.0 | 832 | 100.0 | 229 | 100.0 |
| SIERRA | 18-20 | 1 | 3.8 | 1 | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 21-30 | 7 | 26.9 | 5 | 22.7 | 2 | 50.0 |
| | 31-40 | 8 | 30.8 | 8 | 36.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 41-50 | 6 | 23.1 | 5 | 22.7 | 1 | 25.0 |
| | 51-60 | 2 | 7.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 1 | 25.0 |
| | 61-70 | 2 | 7.7 | 2 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 26 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | 4 | 100.0 |
| SISKIYOU | UNDER 18 | 5 | 1.7 | 5 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 26 | 8.6 | 22 | 8.9 | 4 | 7.1 |
| | 21-30 | 70 | 23.2 | 58 | 23.6 | 12 | 21.4 |
| | 31-40 | 85 | 28.1 | 69 | 28.0 | 16 | 28.6 |
| | 41-50 | 74 | 24.5 | 55 | 22.4 | 19 | 33.9 |
| | 51-60 | 31 | 10.3 | 28 | 11.4 | 3 | 5.4 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 2.6 | 6 | 2.4 | 2 | 3.6 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 3 | 1.0 | 3 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 302 | 100.0 | 246 | 100.0 | 56 | 100.0 |
| SOLANO | UNDER 18 | 14 | 1.0 | 9 | 0.7 | 5 | 2.1 |
| | 18-20 | 128 | 8.9 | 110 | 9.2 | 18 | 7.7 |
| | 21-30 | 502 | 35.0 | 443 | 36.9 | 59 | 25.3 |
| | 31-40 | 349 | 24.3 | 285 | 23.7 | 64 | 27.5 |
| | 41-50 | 272 | 19.0 | 214 | 17.8 | 58 | 24.9 |
| | 51-60 | 117 | 8.2 | 92 | 7.7 | 25 | 10.7 |
| | 61-70 | 39 | 2.7 | 36 | 3.0 | 3 | 1.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 13 | 0.9 | 12 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 1434 | 100.0 | 1201 | 100.0 | 233 | 100.0 |
| SONOMA | UNDER 18 | 21 | 1.0 | 18 | 1.0 | 3 | 0.9 |
| | 18-20 | 179 | 8.4 | 164 | 9.1 | 15 | 4.5 |
| | 21-30 | 812 | 38.0 | 728 | 40.5 | 84 | 24.9 |
| | 31-40 | 513 | 24.0 | 418 | 23.2 | 95 | 28.2 |
| | 41-50 | 373 | 17.5 | 276 | 15.4 | 97 | 28.8 |
| | 51-60 | 194 | 9.1 | 159 | 8.8 | 35 | 10.4 |
| | 61-70 | 31 | 1.5 | 25 | 1.4 | 6 | 1.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 12 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.6 |
| | TOTAL | 2135 | 100.0 | 1798 | 100.0 | 337 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|------------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| STANISLAUS | UNDER 18 | 13 | 1.0 | 12 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.5 |
| | 18-20 | 113 | 8.6 | 100 | 9.0 | 13 | 6.3 |
| | 21-30 | 513 | 39.0 | 450 | 40.5 | 63 | 30.6 |
| | 31-40 | 334 | 25.4 | 265 | 23.9 | 69 | 33.5 |
| | 41-50 | 219 | 16.6 | 181 | 16.3 | 38 | 18.4 |
| | 51-60 | 93 | 7.1 | 76 | 6.8 | 17 | 8.3 |
| | 61-70 | 26 | 2.0 | 21 | 1.9 | 5 | 2.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 0.4 | 5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1316 | 100.0 | 1110 | 100.0 | 206 | 100.0 |
| SUTTER | UNDER 18 | 5 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.7 | 3 | 5.1 |
| | 18-20 | 46 | 12.6 | 40 | 13.0 | 6 | 10.2 |
| | 21-30 | 140 | 38.3 | 126 | 41.0 | 14 | 23.7 |
| | 31-40 | 95 | 26.0 | 73 | 23.8 | 22 | 37.3 |
| | 41-50 | 58 | 15.8 | 45 | 14.7 | 13 | 22.0 |
| | 51-60 | 11 | 3.0 | 10 | 3.3 | 1 | 1.7 |
| | 61-70 | 9 | 2.5 | 9 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 366 | 100.0 | 307 | 100.0 | 59 | 100.0 |
| TEHAMA | UNDER 18 | 3 | 0.8 | 3 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 21 | 5.4 | 20 | 6.4 | 1 | 1.4 |
| | 21-30 | 123 | 31.8 | 102 | 32.6 | 21 | 28.4 |
| | 31-40 | 108 | 27.9 | 84 | 26.8 | 24 | 32.4 |
| | 41-50 | 73 | 18.9 | 57 | 18.2 | 16 | 21.6 |
| | 51-60 | 43 | 11.1 | 37 | 11.8 | 6 | 8.1 |
| | 61-70 | 15 | 3.9 | 9 | 2.9 | 6 | 8.1 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 387 | 100.0 | 313 | 100.0 | 74 | 100.0 |
| TRINITY | UNDER 18 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 7 | 8.0 | 5 | 7.1 | 2 | 11.8 |
| | 21-30 | 26 | 29.9 | 21 | 30.0 | 5 | 29.4 |
| | 31-40 | 17 | 19.5 | 13 | 18.6 | 4 | 23.5 |
| | 41-50 | 25 | 28.7 | 19 | 27.1 | 6 | 35.3 |
| | 51-60 | 8 | 9.2 | 8 | 11.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 61-70 | 2 | 2.3 | 2 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 87 | 100.0 | 70 | 100.0 | 17 | 100.0 |
| TULARE | UNDER 18 | 8 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.4 |
| | 18-20 | 256 | 11.1 | 235 | 11.6 | 21 | 7.5 |
| | 21-30 | 933 | 40.5 | 843 | 41.7 | 90 | 32.0 |
| | 31-40 | 592 | 25.7 | 511 | 25.3 | 81 | 28.8 |
| | 41-50 | 355 | 15.4 | 286 | 14.2 | 69 | 24.6 |
| | 51-60 | 121 | 5.3 | 108 | 5.3 | 13 | 4.6 |
| | 61-70 | 27 | 1.2 | 22 | 1.1 | 5 | 1.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 10 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 2302 | 100.0 | 2021 | 100.0 | 281 | 100.0 |
| TUOLUMNE | UNDER 18 | 4 | 1.0 | 4 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 29 | 7.5 | 25 | 8.0 | 4 | 5.4 |
| | 21-30 | 109 | 28.2 | 83 | 26.6 | 26 | 35.1 |
| | 31-40 | 88 | 22.8 | 68 | 21.8 | 20 | 27.0 |
| | 41-50 | 96 | 24.9 | 81 | 26.0 | 15 | 20.3 |
| | 51-60 | 46 | 11.9 | 39 | 12.5 | 7 | 9.5 |
| | 61-70 | 8 | 2.1 | 7 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.4 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 6 | 1.6 | 5 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.4 |
| | TOTAL | 386 | 100.0 | 312 | 100.0 | 74 | 100.0 |
| VENTURA | UNDER 18 | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 249 | 7.0 | 216 | 7.1 | 33 | 6.0 |
| | 21-30 | 1388 | 38.8 | 1213 | 40.1 | 175 | 31.9 |
| | 31-40 | 1006 | 28.1 | 853 | 28.2 | 153 | 27.9 |
| | 41-50 | 618 | 17.3 | 481 | 15.9 | 137 | 25.0 |
| | 51-60 | 237 | 6.6 | 196 | 6.5 | 41 | 7.5 |
| | 61-70 | 56 | 1.6 | 49 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.3 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 17 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.4 |
| | TOTAL | 3574 | 100.0 | 3026 | 100.0 | 548 | 100.0 |

TABLE B2: 2001 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

| COUNTY | AGE | TOTAL | | MALE | | FEMALE | |
|--------|------------|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| YOLO | UNDER 18 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 18-20 | 58 | 8.3 | 55 | 9.4 | 3 | 2.7 |
| | 21-30 | 262 | 37.4 | 223 | 37.9 | 39 | 34.8 |
| | 31-40 | 172 | 24.6 | 137 | 23.3 | 35 | 31.3 |
| | 41-50 | 140 | 20.0 | 119 | 20.2 | 21 | 18.8 |
| | 51-60 | 46 | 6.6 | 37 | 6.3 | 9 | 8.0 |
| | 61-70 | 15 | 2.1 | 10 | 1.7 | 5 | 4.5 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 5 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 700 | 100.0 | 588 | 100.0 | 112 | 100.0 |
| YUBA | 18-20 | 28 | 7.9 | 27 | 9.0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| | 21-30 | 101 | 28.5 | 86 | 28.8 | 15 | 26.8 |
| | 31-40 | 101 | 28.5 | 84 | 28.1 | 17 | 30.4 |
| | 41-50 | 81 | 22.8 | 67 | 22.4 | 14 | 25.0 |
| | 51-60 | 33 | 9.3 | 25 | 8.4 | 8 | 14.3 |
| | 61-70 | 7 | 2.0 | 6 | 2.0 | 1 | 1.8 |
| | 71 & ABOVE | 4 | 1.1 | 4 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 355 | 100.0 | 299 | 100.0 | 56 | 100.0 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| STATEWIDE | 77.3% | | 132911 | 3411 | 14185 | 3115 | 3633 | 949 / 63 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| ALAMEDA | 70.5% | SUP OAKLAND | 56 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 3.3 | 3.8 |
| | | JUV SAN LEANDRO | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| | | ALAMEDA | 175 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 7 | 0 / 0 | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| | | BERKELEY | 199 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 4 | 4 / 0 | 1.6 | 3.9 |
| | | FREMONT | 648 | 3 | 93 | 13 | 21 | 3 / 0 | 3.5 | 4.4 |
| | | PLEASANTON | 947 | 9 | 146 | 22 | 24 | 0 / 3 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| | | OAKLAND | 936 | 15 | 124 | 39 | 14 | 79 / 0 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| | | HAYWARD | 1137 | 4 | 116 | 32 | 77 | 2 / 0 | 4.1 | 7.4 |
| TOTAL | 4116 | 54 | 520 | 124 | 150 | 88 / 3 | --- | --- | | |
| ALPINE | 85.0% | SUP MARKLEVILLE | 51 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 51 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| AMADOR | 81.5% | SUP AMADOR | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| | | JACKSON | 185 | 4 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 2 / 1 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| | | TOTAL | 186 | 4 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 3 / 1 | --- | --- |
| BUTTE | 83.8% | SUP OROVILLE | 84 | 21 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 7 / 0 | 4.0 | 3.1 |
| | | JUV OROVILLE | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| | | CHICO | 667 | 1 | 98 | 11 | 9 | 8 / 0 | 3.5 | 1.7 |
| | | GRIDLEY | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 5.9 | 1.2 |
| | | OROVILLE | 367 | 12 | 34 | 17 | 8 | 4 / 0 | 4.2 | 2.8 |
| | | PARADISE | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| | | TOTAL | 1135 | 34 | 149 | 30 | 21 | 20 / 0 | --- | --- |
| CALAVERAS | 70.1% | SUP CALAVERAS | 157 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 0 / 0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| | | SAN ANDREAS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 7.7 | 0.5 |
| | | TOTAL | 157 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 1 / 0 | --- | --- |
| COLUSA | 73.4% | JUV COLUSA | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 6.1 | 2.3 |
| | | COLUSA | 192 | 2 | 38 | 4 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.4 | 5.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 194 | 2 | 38 | 4 | 2 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| CONTRA COSTA | 72.2% | SUP CONTRA COSTA | 43 | 73 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 7.3 | 3.7 |
| | | JUV CONTRA COSTA | 16 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 3.2 | 3.9 |
| | | CONCORD | 833 | 6 | 143 | 8 | 8 | 4 / 0 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| | | RICHMOND | 839 | 6 | 142 | 28 | 34 | 7 / 0 | 4.7 | 3.0 |
| | | PITTSBURG | 911 | 5 | 142 | 13 | 19 | 3 / 1 | 5.1 | 2.7 |
| | | WALNUT CREEK | 798 | 2 | 201 | 15 | 17 | 1 / 0 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 3440 | 94 | 634 | 65 | 84 | 15 / 1 | --- | --- |
| DEL NORTE | 54.0% | SUP DEL NORTE | 38 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.2 | 6.7 |
| | | CRESCENT CITY | 107 | 3 | 56 | 4 | 15 | 2 / 0 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 145 | 12 | 70 | 6 | 16 | 2 / 0 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----------|------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| EL DORADO | 77.1% | SUP EL DORADO | 27 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 4.3 |
| | | SUPJUV PLCRVLE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.4 | 1.0 |
| | | CAMERON PARK | 37 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0/1 | 3.0 | 5.1 |
| | | SO LAKE TAHOE | 315 | 6 | 28 | 17 | 2 | 7/2 | 2.6 | 5.6 |
| | | PLACERVILLE | 454 | 18 | 32 | 10 | 5 | 8/1 | 3.4 | 4.9 |
| | | TOTAL | 836 | 29 | 72 | 29 | 8 | 15/4 | --- | --- |
| FRESNO | 60.1% | SUP FRESNO | 44 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1/0 | 9.1 | 3.9 |
| | | JUV FRESNO | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| | | FRESNO | 1834 | 75 | 444 | 51 | 29 | 9/1 | 5.3 | 3.3 |
| | | CLOVIS | 232 | 0 | 70 | 4 | 3 | 1/0 | 5.4 | 2.9 |
| | | COALINGA | 132 | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| | | FIREBAUGH | 165 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| | | FOWLER | 188 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 0/0 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| | | KERMAN | 72 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 0/0 | 5.4 | 2.4 |
| | | KINGSBURG | 74 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0/0 | 5.2 | 1.9 |
| | | REEDLEY MUNI | 128 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1/0 | 4.6 | 3.0 |
| | | SANGER | 132 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 3/0 | 3.4 | 3.5 |
| | | SELMA MUNI | 131 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 4/0 | 4.9 | 4.2 |
| | | US CT FRESNO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| TOTAL | 3146 | 119 | 690 | 73 | 45 | 19/1 | --- | --- | | |
| GLENN | 60.0% | SUP WILLOWS | 180 | 9 | 23 | 11 | 10 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| | | JUV GLENN | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 12.8 | 2.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 183 | 9 | 23 | 11 | 10 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| HUMBOLDT | 63.1% | SUPJUV HMBLDT | 773 | 49 | 180 | 49 | 12 | 9/0 | 3.7 | 8.0 |
| | | GARBRVLE SPMUN | 29 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| | | TOTAL | 802 | 49 | 189 | 51 | 12 | 9/0 | --- | --- |
| IMPERIAL | 52.0% | SUP IMPERIAL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 8.0 | 0.5 |
| | | JUV IMPERIAL | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 2.6 |
| | | BRAWLEY | 187 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 2 | 3/0 | 6.5 | 1.4 |
| | | CALEXICO | 246 | 1 | 30 | 12 | 5 | 1/1 | 5.7 | 1.1 |
| | | EL CENTRO | 244 | 5 | 44 | 12 | 1 | 3/0 | 6.2 | 1.8 |
| | | TOTAL | 681 | 6 | 103 | 28 | 8 | 7/1 | --- | --- |
| INYO | 65.1% | SUP INYO | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 6.0 | 2.8 |
| | | BISHOP | 138 | 3 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 143 | 6 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| KERN | 82.6% | SUP KERN | 29 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/0 | 3.7 | 3.1 |
| | | JUV KERN | 39 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.1 | 0.6 |
| | | ARVIN LAMONT | 312 | 4 | 26 | 5 | 8 | 5/0 | 1.9 | 3.8 |
| | | BAKERSFIELD | 2086 | 26 | 257 | 28 | 16 | 23/3 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| | | DELANO | 254 | 9 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1/0 | 2.0 | 3.4 |
| | | LAKE ISABELLA | 118 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| | | TAFT | 196 | 3 | 21 | 1 | 8 | 0/0 | 2.0 | 2.3 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| KERN (cont.) | | SHAFTER | 208 | 7 | 41 | 1 | 8 | 3 / 0 | 1.4 | 3.2 |
| | | MOJAVE | 244 | 1 | 42 | 46 | 9 | 2 / 0 | 1.9 | 3.9 |
| | | RIDGECREST | 190 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 7 | 7 / 0 | 1.7 | 2.8 |
| | | TC EDW AFB | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 1.1 | 6.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 3678 | 76 | 438 | 95 | 59 | 42 / 3 | --- | --- |
| KINGS | 79.4% | SUP KINGS | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 / 0 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| | | JUV HANFORD | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.3 | 0.6 |
| | | HANFORD | 526 | 9 | 59 | 14 | 10 | 2 / 0 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| | | AVENAL | 75 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 / 0 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| | | CORCORAN | 61 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 3.1 | 1.9 |
| | | LEMOORE | 64 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 1 / 0 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| | TOTAL | 765 | 15 | 74 | 16 | 17 | 7 / 0 | --- | --- | |
| LAKE | 77.8% | SUP LAKE | 355 | 8 | 26 | 4 | 5 | 1 / 0 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| | | JUV LAKEPORT | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| | | CLEARLAKE | 249 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 4 / 0 | 4.1 | 7.9 |
| | | LAKEPORT | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 1.5 | 6.4 |
| | | TOTAL | 623 | 10 | 39 | 4 | 7 | 5 / 0 | --- | --- |
| LASSEN | 90.0% | SUP LASSEN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 7.7 | 4.0 |
| | | JUV LASSEN | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 2.2 | 6.1 |
| | | SUSANVILLE | 223 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 0 / 0 | 3.7 | 5.9 |
| | | USMAG SUSANVL | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 6.4 | 2.4 |
| | | TOTAL | 231 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| LOS ANGELES | 75.4% | SUP LA | 141 | 59 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.9 | 1.4 |
| | | SUP POMONA | 69 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.6 | 1.3 |
| | | SUP LANCASTER | 24 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.9 | 1.5 |
| | | SUP VAN NUYS | 88 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.9 | 1.1 |
| | | SUP PASADENA | 28 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 6.0 | 1.1 |
| | | SUP VAN NUYS | 23 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 6.6 | 0.8 |
| | | SUP LONG BEACH | 34 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| | | SUP COMPTON | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.2 | 1.0 |
| | | SUP NORWALK | 49 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 4.3 | 0.9 |
| | | SUP TORRANCE | 43 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.1 | 1.2 |
| | | SUP SNTA MONCA | 32 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.7 | 1.4 |
| | | LA JUV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.2 | 6.1 |
| | | LA JUV CENTRAL | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| | | LA MUNI | 998 | 4 | 82 | 21 | 58 | 0 / 3 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| | | ALHAMBRA | 595 | 4 | 57 | 5 | 24 | 2 / 0 | 3.9 | 2.0 |
| | | LANCASTER | 669 | 7 | 130 | 23 | 17 | 2 / 0 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| | | BEVERLY HILLS | 292 | 4 | 41 | 15 | 13 | 4 / 0 | 3.5 | 0.9 |
| BURBANK | 313 | 1 | 58 | 8 | 4 | 0 / 0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | | |
| WEST COVINA | 1462 | 27 | 54 | 10 | 22 | 1 / 0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | | |
| SUP CHATSWORTH | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 13.3 | 2.1 | | |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| LOS ANGELES (cont.) | | COMPTON | 1340 | 14 | 64 | 82 | 33 | 0 / 1 | 4.6 | 1.8 |
| | | DOWNEY | 731 | 2 | 32 | 6 | 15 | 8 / 0 | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| | | EAST LA | 1031 | 6 | 104 | 138 | 33 | 10 / 0 | 3.8 | 1.2 |
| | | EL MONTE | 917 | 5 | 37 | 7 | 31 | 4 / 0 | 2.8 | 1.0 |
| | | GLENDALE | 496 | 8 | 39 | 3 | 12 | 0 / 0 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| | | INGLEWOOD | 662 | 4 | 28 | 31 | 15 | 6 / 0 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| | | LONG BEACH | 1166 | 13 | 85 | 70 | 21 | 0 / 1 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| | | LA METRO | 5506 | 12 | 496 | 32 | 735 | 2 / 1 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| | | BELLFLOWER | 445 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 13 | 3 / 0 | 3.0 | 1.4 |
| | | VALENCIA | 785 | 12 | 109 | 17 | 17 | 0 / 0 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| | | PASADENA | 699 | 5 | 150 | 47 | 21 | 4 / 0 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| | | MALIBU | 366 | 11 | 82 | 31 | 18 | 0 / 0 | 4.1 | 1.3 |
| | | POMONA | 866 | 7 | 22 | 13 | 27 | 6 / 0 | 2.9 | 1.0 |
| | | HUNTINGTON PK | 698 | 11 | 23 | 7 | 32 | 0 / 0 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| | | MONROVIA | 348 | 2 | 37 | 10 | 17 | 2 / 0 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| | | SANTA MONICA | 175 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 8 | 1 / 0 | 2.8 | 1.3 |
| | | TORRANCE | 1342 | 14 | 274 | 56 | 38 | 5 / 3 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| | | SOUTH GATE | 326 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 2 / 0 | 3.8 | 1.1 |
| | | WHITTIER | 781 | 10 | 45 | 9 | 21 | 4 / 0 | 3.0 | 2.2 |
| | | HOLLYWOOD | 302 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 60 | 1 / 0 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| | | SAN FERNANDO | 1662 | 13 | 197 | 43 | 79 | 1 / 0 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| | | SAN PEDRO | 407 | 1 | 40 | 6 | 46 | 0 / 0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | | VAN NUYS | 2456 | 44 | 324 | 17 | 177 | 1 / 0 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| | | LOS ANGELES | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| | | AVALON | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| | | US DIST CT LA | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| | TOTAL | 28412 | 453 | 2707 | 738 | 1628 | 69 / 9 | --- | --- | |
| MADERA | 69.2% | SUP MADERA | 50 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 / 0 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| | | JUV MADERA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 2.2 | 3.5 |
| | | CHOWCHILLA | 458 | 2 | 43 | 8 | 6 | 2 / 0 | 3.2 | 2.9 |
| | | BASS LAKE | 98 | 3 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.8 | 4.9 |
| | | TOTAL | 612 | 20 | 68 | 12 | 11 | 2 / 0 | --- | --- |
| MARIN | 87.6% | SAN RAFAEL | 1261 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 22 / 0 | 3.0 | 4.4 |
| | | TOTAL | 1261 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 22 / 0 | --- | --- |
| MARIPOSA | 61.4% | MARIPOSA | 61 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 2.4 | 4.8 |
| | | USMAG YOSEMITE | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 2.5 | 4.5 |
| | | TOTAL | 79 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| MENDOCINO | 71.8% | SUP UKIAH | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 4.8 | 9.2 |
| | | UKIAH JUV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| | | WILLITS | 115 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 2.4 | 6.7 |
| | | UKIAH | 287 | 7 | 56 | 14 | 7 | 6 / 0 | 2.3 | 6.7 |
| | | BOONVILLE | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| | | PT. ARENA | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| MENDOCINO (cont.) | | LEGGETT | 29 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.7 | 6.0 |
| | | COVELO | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0/0 | 1.5 | 9.5 |
| | | FORT BRAGG | 88 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 5.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 547 | 17 | 113 | 24 | 15 | 7/0 | --- | --- |
| MERCED | 67.8% | SUP MERCED | 32 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 4.3 | 4.1 |
| | | MERCED | 733 | 14 | 97 | 28 | 13 | 4/1 | 4.5 | 3.4 |
| | | LOS BANOS | 316 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 7 | 5/0 | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 1081 | 37 | 118 | 36 | 21 | 9/1 | --- | --- |
| MODOC | 71.6% | SUP MODOC | 57 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.8 | 3.5 |
| | | TOTAL | 57 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MONO | 89.8% | JUV MONO | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 1.6 | 12.9 |
| | | BRIDGEPORT | 39 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
| | | MAMMOTH LAKES | 81 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 0/0 | 2.9 | 1.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 121 | 2 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0/0 | --- | --- |
| MONTEREY | 79.5% | SUP MONTEREY | 64 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0/0 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| | | JUV SALINAS | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 0.8 | 4.6 |
| | | MARINA MUNI | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3/0 | 0.7 | 2.3 |
| | | SALINAS | 1912 | 13 | 169 | 98 | 23 | 6/0 | 1.6 | 5.5 |
| | | KING CITY | 503 | 7 | 30 | 3 | 2 | 1/1 | 1.7 | 3.1 |
| | | USMAG MONTREY | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.7 | 3.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 2491 | 49 | 203 | 101 | 34 | 10/1 | --- | --- |
| NAPA | 83.1% | NAPA SUP | 885 | 29 | 101 | 21 | 8 | 0/1 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| | | NAPA JUV | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| | | NAPA MUNI | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 18/0 | 2.5 | 11.2 |
| | | TOTAL | 895 | 31 | 104 | 22 | 8 | 18/1 | --- | --- |
| NEVADA | 83.8% | NEVADA COUNTY | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| | | TRUCKEE JUV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| | | NEVADA CITY MUN | 272 | 4 | 49 | 8 | 1 | 1/0 | 2.4 | 5.1 |
| | | TRUCKEE MUNI | 204 | 3 | 44 | 3 | 1 | 3/2 | 2.2 | 6.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 486 | 15 | 93 | 11 | 3 | 4/2 | --- | --- |
| ORANGE | 93.7% | SUP SANTA ANA | 112 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0/0 | 3.2 | 2.4 |
| | | JUV ORANGE | 68 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0/0 | 4.1 | 3.1 |
| | | FULLERTON | 2893 | 38 | 80 | 61 | 32 | 12/0 | 2.6 | 4.8 |
| | | WESTMINSTER | 2456 | 33 | 90 | 8 | 26 | 17/1 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| | | LAGUNA HILLS | 919 | 11 | 51 | 17 | 10 | 5/0 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
| | | NEWPORT BEACH | 2754 | 22 | 217 | 32 | 19 | 19/1 | 2.6 | 4.8 |
| | | SANTA ANA MUNI | 1933 | 29 | 64 | 7 | 13 | 1/1 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 11135 | 176 | 502 | 125 | 101 | 94/3 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| PLACER | 89.6% | SUP AUBURN | 1239 | 42 | 54 | 28 | 4 | 0 / 0 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| | | JUV AUBURN | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.8 | 1.3 |
| | | AUBURN SUPMUNI | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 1.0 | 3.5 |
| | | SUP TAHOE CITY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
| | | TAHOE CITY | 227 | 7 | 31 | 5 | 1 | 0 / 1 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1480 | 50 | 87 | 34 | 6 | 1 / 1 | --- | --- |
| PLUMAS | 73.4% | CHESTER MUNI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| | | PORTOLA | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.1 | 5.1 |
| | | QUINCY | 153 | 1 | 43 | 2 | 2 | 4 / 1 | 2.4 | 4.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 167 | 1 | 45 | 2 | 4 | 4 / 1 | --- | --- |
| RIVERSIDE | 87.8% | SUP RIVERSIDE | 380 | 74 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 / 0 | 3.5 | 5.9 |
| | | SUP INDIO | 78 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 26 / 0 | 5.4 | 3.2 |
| | | JUV INDIO | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| | | CORONA | 470 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 7 | 4 / 0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| | | HEMET MUNI | 561 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 2 / 0 | 3.6 | 2.2 |
| | | BANNING | 499 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 7 | 3 / 0 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| | | INDIO CONSOLID | 1511 | 14 | 95 | 54 | 34 | 1 / 1 | 3.8 | 2.5 |
| | | MORENO VLY RIV | 1643 | 42 | 48 | 12 | 12 | 0 / 0 | 3.8 | 2.4 |
| | | PALM SPRINGS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 0.1 | 9.2 |
| | | BLYTHE SUPMUN | 140 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 4 / 0 | 2.1 | 3.6 |
| | | PERRIS CNSOLID | 1403 | 27 | 70 | 29 | 27 | 1 / 0 | 3.9 | 2.4 |
| | | TEMCULA CONSOL | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| | | ELSINORE MUNI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 4.0 | 2.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 6692 | 205 | 277 | 115 | 103 | 50 / 1 | --- | --- |
| SACRAMENTO | 71.1% | SUP SACRAMENTO | 90 | 163 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 4.2 | 6.0 |
| | | JUV SACTO TRF | 52 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| | | SACRAMENTO | 4578 | 90 | 693 | 67 | 58 | 5 / 4 | 2.9 | 5.6 |
| | | ELK GROVE | 117 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| | | GALT | 42 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.1 | 6.9 |
| | | WALNUT GROVE | 20 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.1 | 8.5 |
| | | SACTO US MAG | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.2 | 5.8 |
| | | TOTAL | 4902 | 257 | 735 | 79 | 67 | 5 / 4 | --- | --- |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN BENITO | 85.9% | SUP SAN BENITO | 232 | 10 | 36 | 3 | 4 | 1 / 5 | 4.9 | 2.4 |
| | | JUV HOLLISTER | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.2 | 2.5 |
| | | HOLLISTER | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| | | TOTAL | 252 | 10 | 37 | 3 | 4 | 2 / 5 | --- | --- |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 74.3% | SUPMUN SAN BERN | 249 | 35 | 19 | 3 | 7 | 4 / 0 | 3.0 | 1.2 |
| | | SUP R CUCAMNGA | 492 | 38 | 33 | 3 | 15 | 3 / 0 | 3.3 | 0.7 |
| | | VCTRVL SUPMUN | 133 | 31 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 / 0 | 3.6 | 1.1 |
| | | BARSTOW SUPMUN | 371 | 10 | 70 | 18 | 20 | 12 / 0 | 3.3 | 1.1 |
| | | JOSHTRREE SUPMUN | 10 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 / 0 | 2.9 | 5.0 |
| | | JUV TF SN BERN | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| | | R CCMNGA SPMUN | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 1 | 3.0 | 2.5 |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| SAN BERNARDINO (cont.) | | CHINO SUPMUN | 403 | 20 | 21 | 5 | 8 | 2 / 0 | 3.6 | 0.9 |
| | | REDLNDS SUPMUN | 529 | 20 | 44 | 11 | 14 | 14 / 0 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| | | SN BERN SUPMUN | 1178 | 15 | 93 | 17 | 57 | 4 / 0 | 4.9 | 1.3 |
| | | FONTANA SUPMUN | 861 | 65 | 24 | 4 | 13 | 12 / 0 | 4.7 | 0.9 |
| | | VCTRVLE SUPMUN | 714 | 12 | 60 | 11 | 25 | 20 / 0 | 5.5 | 0.7 |
| | | RANCHO CUCMNGA | 1968 | 14 | 82 | 17 | 56 | 37 / 0 | 4.8 | 0.5 |
| | | BGBEAR LK SPMU | 144 | 5 | 21 | 7 | 7 | 2 / 0 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| | | TWNPEKS SUPMUN | 181 | 2 | 25 | 9 | 7 | 1 / 0 | 3.4 | 1.5 |
| | | NEEDLES SUPMUN | 134 | 8 | 38 | 18 | 25 | 12 / 0 | 4.7 | 1.1 |
| | | JSHTREE SUPMUN | 266 | 5 | 65 | 8 | 6 | 0 / 0 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| | TOTAL | 7635 | 299 | 606 | 134 | 262 | 131 / 1 | --- | --- | |
| SAN DIEGO | 80.8% | SUP SAN DIEGO | 146 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.9 | 5.4 |
| | | SUP VISTA | 161 | 69 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 2 / 0 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| | | SUP CHULA VSTA | 53 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.7 | 5.0 |
| | | SUP EL CAJON | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| | | JUV SAN DIEGO | 96 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 / 0 | 5.3 | 3.0 |
| | | EL CAJON | 2009 | 71 | 273 | 64 | 39 | 7 / 0 | 2.7 | 5.6 |
| | | VISTA | 3255 | 28 | 549 | 103 | 43 | 13 / 1 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| | | SAN MARCOS MUNI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 / 0 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| | | SAN DIEGO MUNI | 4468 | 4 | 397 | 55 | 175 | 2 / 0 | 2.8 | 4.9 |
| | | CHULA VISTA | 1847 | 21 | 209 | 32 | 13 | 1 / 0 | 2.7 | 6.1 |
| | | US CT SN DIEGO | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.9 | 4.2 |
| | TOTAL | 12043 | 237 | 1439 | 254 | 285 | 26 / 1 | --- | --- | |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 52.6% | JUV SAN FRANCIS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| | | SUP SAN FRANCIS | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.1 | 0.8 |
| | | SAN FRANCISCO | 897 | 13 | 261 | 161 | 19 | 14 / 1 | 2.9 | 1.2 |
| | | US DIST CT SF | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 13.2 | 2.8 |
| | | TOTAL | 909 | 13 | 261 | 161 | 19 | 14 / 1 | --- | --- |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 72.1% | SUP FRNCH CAMP | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| | | JUV FRNCH CAMP | 36 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.9 | 3.3 |
| | | LODI | 642 | 22 | 95 | 10 | 10 | 4 / 0 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| | | MANTECA | 443 | 17 | 61 | 6 | 5 | 0 / 1 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| | | TRACY | 311 | 12 | 47 | 16 | 2 | 2 / 0 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| | | STCKTON SUPMUN | 1591 | 68 | 177 | 12 | 24 | 27 / 0 | 2.3 | 3.2 |
| | TOTAL | 3024 | 122 | 384 | 45 | 41 | 33 / 1 | --- | --- | |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 72.5% | SUP S L OBISPO | 16 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 / 0 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| | | JUV S L OBISPO | 9 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| | | SL OBSPO SUPMUN | 1591 | 23 | 368 | 71 | 104 | 24 / 0 | 2.3 | 5.9 |
| | | MORRO BAY | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| | | TOTAL | 1617 | 49 | 376 | 73 | 106 | 24 / 0 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| SAN MATEO | 79.5% | RDWDCTY SUPMUN | 61 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 / 1 | 4.7 | 3.3 |
| | | SNMATEO SUPMUN | 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 / 0 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| | | SO SF SUPMUN | 1519 | 15 | 204 | 18 | 34 | 3 / 1 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| | | REDWOOD CITY | 1149 | 4 | 197 | 19 | 17 | 0 / 2 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| | | TOTAL | 2742 | 41 | 404 | 38 | 58 | 3 / 4 | --- | --- |
| SANTA BARBARA | 86.7% | SUP SNTA BARBAR | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.2 | 10.2 |
| | | JUV SNTA BARBAR | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 1.5 | 7.3 |
| | | JUV LOMPOC | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| | | SANTA BARBARA | 1286 | 21 | 246 | 57 | 30 | 2 / 0 | 2.5 | 4.4 |
| | | SANTA MARIA | 727 | 34 | 43 | 8 | 6 | 3 / 0 | 1.4 | 5.7 |
| | | LOMPOC | 356 | 8 | 44 | 6 | 10 | 5 / 0 | 1.9 | 6.4 |
| | | SOLVANG | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 2383 | 64 | 335 | 71 | 46 | 10 / 0 | --- | --- |
| SANTA CLARA | 87.4% | SUP SNTA CLARA | 162 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 / 1 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| | | JUV SNTA CLARA | 58 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 4.7 | 3.9 |
| | | PALO ALTO MUNI | 510 | 0 | 76 | 12 | 5 | 0 / 0 | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| | | SAN JOSE | 4026 | 69 | 315 | 101 | 52 | 2 / 1 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| | | TRAF SAN JOSE | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 / 0 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| | | SUNNYVALE | 576 | 4 | 57 | 14 | 6 | 0 / 0 | 3.1 | 0.9 |
| | | GILROY | 685 | 10 | 68 | 3 | 11 | 0 / 0 | 3.6 | 1.2 |
| | | TOTAL | 6022 | 200 | 519 | 130 | 86 | 2 / 2 | --- | --- |
| SANTA CRUZ | 82.7% | SUP SANTA CRUZ | 21 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 / 0 | 5.8 | 1.9 |
| | | JUV SANTA CRUZ | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
| | | SANTA CRUZ | 1015 | 18 | 174 | 49 | 15 | 4 / 2 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
| | | WATSONVILLE | 364 | 1 | 54 | 2 | 2 | 1 / 2 | 1.7 | 6.2 |
| | | TOTAL | 1420 | 22 | 230 | 51 | 19 | 12 / 4 | --- | --- |
| SHASTA | 91.2% | SUP REDDING | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.3 | 0.1 |
| | | JUV SHASTA | 15 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| | | BURNEY | 41 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| | | REDDING | 945 | 53 | 103 | 15 | 6 | 5 / 0 | 2.8 | 4.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 1001 | 60 | 113 | 15 | 7 | 5 / 0 | --- | --- |
| SIERRA | 61.9% | DOWNIEVILLE | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 / 0 | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 / 0 | --- | --- |
| SISKIYOU | 79.5% | SUP SISKIYOU | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| | | WEED | 125 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 / 0 | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| | | YREKA JUD DIST | 146 | 21 | 28 | 2 | 3 | 3 / 1 | 2.6 | 3.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 275 | 27 | 45 | 5 | 4 | 4 / 1 | --- | --- |
| SOLANO | 84.4% | SUP SOLANO | 44 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 5.6 | 5.8 |
| | | JUV SOLANO | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.6 | 4.2 |
| | | FAIRFIELD | 993 | 15 | 154 | 7 | 8 | 17 / 0 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| | | BENICIA | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 4.3 | 2.8 |
| | | VLLEJO BENICIA | 334 | 4 | 18 | 31 | 5 | 12 / 0 | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 1393 | 41 | 177 | 38 | 14 | 29 / 0 | --- | --- |

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2001 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

| COUNTY | DUI CONVICTION RATE | COURT | MISD DUI | FELONY DUI | ALCOHOL RECKLESS | NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS | OTHER CONVICTIONS | DUI DISM ¹ / UNCONST ² | AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS) | |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | VIOLATION TO CONVICTION | CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE |
| SONOMA | 76.9% | SUP SONOMA | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 5.8 | 4.4 |
| | | JUV SONOMA | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| | | SANTA ROSA | 2014 | 59 | 417 | 69 | 34 | 62 / 1 | 2.8 | 5.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 2035 | 100 | 417 | 69 | 38 | 62 / 1 | --- | --- |
| STANISLAUS | 59.0% | SUP STANISLAUS | 1235 | 59 | 87 | 63 | 10 | 0 / 2 | 3.1 | 4.2 |
| | | JUV STANISLAUS | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
| | | MODESTO | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 2.7 | 15.3 |
| | | TURLOCK | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 / 0 | 2.3 | 6.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1257 | 59 | 88 | 63 | 12 | 2 / 2 | --- | --- |
| SUTTER | 48.0% | YUBA CTY JUV | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 / 0 | 3.0 | 11.6 |
| | | YUBA CTY SUPMUN | 342 | 23 | 89 | 5 | 5 | 3 / 0 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| | | TOTAL | 343 | 23 | 89 | 5 | 5 | 4 / 0 | --- | --- |
| TEHAMA | 46.0% | SUP TEHAMA | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 / 0 | 6.6 | 1.9 |
| | | JUV TEHAMA | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 2.8 | 0.9 |
| | | CORNING | 117 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 1 / 0 | 2.7 | 5.6 |
| | | RED BLUFF | 238 | 2 | 38 | 2 | 6 | 2 / 1 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| | | TOTAL | 375 | 12 | 74 | 5 | 8 | 3 / 1 | --- | --- |
| TRINITY | 34.4% | SUP TRINITY | 84 | 3 | 24 | 4 | 8 | 0 / 0 | 2.5 | 4.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 84 | 3 | 24 | 4 | 8 | 0 / 0 | --- | --- |
| TULARE | 72.7% | SUP TULARE | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 / 0 | 6.3 | 3.5 |
| | | JUV TULARE | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.3 | 0.7 |
| | | DINUBA MUNI | 323 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 0 / 0 | 3.3 | 5.0 |
| | | PORTERVILLE | 628 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 1 / 0 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| | | TULARE | 404 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 7 | 2 / 0 | 3.3 | 4.9 |
| | | VISALIA | 847 | 15 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 8 / 0 | 3.1 | 5.4 |
| | | TOTAL | 2234 | 68 | 68 | 32 | 32 | 13 / 0 | --- | --- |
| TUOLUMNE | 83.2% | TUOLUMNE SUP | 363 | 16 | 59 | 2 | 12 | 1 / 0 | 3.4 | 1.4 |
| | | SUP SONORA | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 / 0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| | | TOTAL | 370 | 16 | 60 | 2 | 13 | 2 / 0 | --- | --- |
| VENTURA | 89.2% | JUV VENTURA | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 2.8 | 7.1 |
| | | VENTURA | 3521 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 27 / 0 | 2.4 | 3.9 |
| | | TOTAL | 3526 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 27 / 0 | --- | --- |
| YOLO | 64.7% | WOODLND SUPMUN | 671 | 29 | 172 | 28 | 11 | 4 / 0 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 671 | 29 | 172 | 28 | 11 | 4 / 0 | --- | --- |
| YUBA | 53.2% | SUP YUBA | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 / 0 | 5.9 | 2.6 |
| | | MARYSVILLE | 339 | 5 | 69 | 8 | 6 | 8 / 1 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 344 | 11 | 69 | 8 | 6 | 8 / 1 | --- | --- |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS*

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| STATEWIDE | | | 136322 | 96.7 | 73.3 | 67.2 | 18.0 | 0.2 | 75.5 | 6.8 | 2.4 |
| ALAMEDA | SUP OAKLAND | 1 ST DUI | 26 | 88.5 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 23 | 78.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 24 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 78 | 84.6 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| | JUV SAN LEANDRO | 1 ST DUI | 19 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 57.9 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 19 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 57.9 | 0.0 |
| | ALAMEDA | 1 ST DUI | 140 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 83.6 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 96.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 27 | 100.0 | 96.3 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 175 | 100.0 | 97.7 | 66.9 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 |
| | BERKELEY | 1 ST DUI | 161 | 98.8 | 99.4 | 82.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 31 | 100.0 | 93.5 | 38.7 | 51.6 | 0.0 | 54.8 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 199 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 72.4 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 80.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| | FREMONT | 1 ST DUI | 482 | 99.2 | 95.6 | 85.5 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 137 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 10.2 | 83.9 | 0.0 | 48.2 | 0.0 | 5.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 29 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.4 | 89.7 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 10.3 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 651 | 99.4 | 96.6 | 65.6 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 59.1 | 0.2 | 1.8 |
| | PLEASANTON | 1 ST DUI | 721 | 99.9 | 98.8 | 86.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 93.2 | 2.1 | 0.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 194 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 9.8 | 84.0 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 1.5 | 5.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 37 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 2.7 | 78.4 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 956 | 99.8 | 98.6 | 67.6 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 90.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| | OAKLAND | 1 ST DUI | 731 | 99.0 | 98.9 | 87.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 92.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 177 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 12.4 | 77.4 | 0.0 | 91.5 | 3.4 | 65.5 |
| 3 RD DUI | | 32 | 100.0 | 90.6 | 12.5 | 65.6 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 9.4 | 50.0 | |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 9.1 | 54.5 | |
| TOTAL | | 951 | 99.3 | 98.7 | 69.9 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 2.4 | 15.5 | |
| HAYWARD | 1 ST DUI | 829 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 83.8 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 72.3 | 8.0 | 0.8 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 257 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 10.1 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 89.5 | 3.1 | 27.6 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 48 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 6.3 | 77.1 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 37.5 | 47.9 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 28.6 | 28.6 | |
| | TOTAL | 1141 | 99.8 | 94.3 | 63.5 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 8.2 | 9.0 | |
| ALPINE | SUP MARKLEEVLE | 1 ST DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 92.9 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 51 | 100.0 | 35.3 | 78.4 | 17.6 | 2.0 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

*Entries represent percentages of 2001 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| AMADOR | SUP AMADOR | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | JACKSON | 1 ST DUI | 133 | 96.2 | 55.6 | 42.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 82.0 | 9.8 | 15.8 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 43 | 95.3 | 97.7 | 4.7 | 83.7 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 9.3 | 48.8 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 41.7 | 66.7 |
| 4 TH + DUI | | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | 189 | 95.8 | 67.7 | 31.7 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 77.2 | 11.6 | 26.5 | | |
| BUTTE | SUP OROVLE | 1 ST DUI | 71 | 88.7 | 98.6 | 77.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 71.8 | 7.0 | 1.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 18 | 72.2 | 94.4 | 38.9 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 10 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 6 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 105 | 82.9 | 97.1 | 59.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 55.2 | 8.6 | 3.8 |
| | JUV OROVLE | 1 ST DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 13 | 84.6 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
| | CHICO MUNI | 1 ST DUI | 493 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 93.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 143 | 95.8 | 98.6 | 7.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 90.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 30 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 63.3 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 46.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 668 | 97.0 | 98.2 | 71.1 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 83.4 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| | GRIDLEY | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | OROVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 261 | 93.9 | 96.9 | 85.4 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 80.8 | 2.7 | 0.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 90 | 94.4 | 98.9 | 20.0 | 68.9 | 0.0 | 63.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 17 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 41.2 | 17.6 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 376 | 93.4 | 97.6 | 64.1 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 72.6 | 4.8 | 2.1 |
| | PARADISE | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| OROVILLE-87 | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| CALAVERAS | SUP CALAVERAS | 1 ST DUI | 107 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 43 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.0 | 81.4 | 0.0 | 32.6 | 2.3 | 16.3 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 163 | 98.8 | 99.4 | 33.1 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 50.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 |
| SAN ANDREAS | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| COLUSA | JUV COLUSA | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| COLUSA (cont.) | COLUSA | 1 ST DUI | 127 | 92.9 | 99.2 | 83.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 48 | 87.5 | 97.9 | 2.1 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 87.5 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 194 | 90.2 | 98.5 | 55.2 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 72.2 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | SUP CONTRA COSTA | 1 ST DUI | 48 | 81.3 | 97.9 | 37.5 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 30 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 23 | 73.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 116 | 77.6 | 99.1 | 18.1 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| | JUV CONTRA COSTA | 1 ST DUI | 18 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 18 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| | CONCORD | 1 ST DUI | 644 | 99.4 | 96.7 | 90.2 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 15.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 156 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 5.8 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 66.7 | 0.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 37 | 97.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 839 | 99.3 | 97.4 | 70.3 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 66.6 | 25.1 | 0.1 |
| | RICHMOND | 1 ST DUI | 605 | 99.7 | 97.7 | 94.2 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 93.7 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 177 | 99.4 | 98.9 | 26.6 | 67.8 | 0.0 | 67.2 | 18.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 59 | 98.3 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 83.1 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 18.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | 845 | 99.4 | 97.9 | 73.3 | 21.4 | 0.1 | 83.2 | 7.5 | 0.0 | |
| PITTSBURG | 1 ST DUI | 669 | 97.2 | 98.5 | 91.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 195 | 94.4 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 83.6 | 8.2 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 40 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 72.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 27.5 | 7.5 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 916 | 96.3 | 98.9 | 70.2 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 86.4 | 7.2 | 0.3 | |
| WALNUT CREEK | 1 ST DUI | 635 | 99.4 | 95.0 | 89.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 2.4 | 0.5 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 142 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 16.2 | 75.4 | 0.0 | 51.4 | 26.8 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 21 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.6 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 800 | 99.4 | 95.6 | 74.4 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 79.6 | 7.9 | 0.4 | |
| DELNORTE | SUP DEL NORTE | 1 ST DUI | 26 | 100.0 | 88.5 | 88.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 26.7 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 13.3 | 40.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 47 | 95.7 | 89.4 | 57.4 | 21.3 | 2.1 | 63.8 | 6.4 | 23.4 |
| | CRESCENT CITY | 1 ST DUI | 81 | 95.1 | 92.6 | 87.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 22 | 86.4 | 95.5 | 4.5 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 40.9 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 50.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 110 | 92.7 | 93.6 | 65.5 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 79.1 | 1.8 | 10.9 |
| EL DORADO | SUP EL DORADO | 1 ST DUI | 23 | 91.3 | 91.3 | 82.6 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 28 | 85.7 | 92.9 | 67.9 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 82.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| EL DORADO (cont.) | JUV / SUP PLACERVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | CAMERON PARK | 1 ST DUI | 27 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 25.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 41 | 97.6 | 95.1 | 63.4 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 70.7 | 12.2 | 12.2 |
| | | PLACERVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | SO LAKE TAHOE | 1 ST DUI | 258 | 98.8 | 97.7 | 94.6 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 93.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 53 | 94.3 | 96.2 | 11.3 | 81.1 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 0.0 | 22.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 321 | 96.9 | 97.5 | 78.2 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 0.3 | 4.7 |
| | PLACERVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 297 | 99.0 | 99.7 | 85.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 132 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 9.8 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 7.6 | 10.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 30.3 | 6.1 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 37.5 |
| | | TOTAL | 470 | 99.1 | 99.4 | 57.2 | 28.5 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 6.8 | 4.0 |
| FRESNO | SUP FRESNO | 1 ST DUI | 41 | 80.5 | 90.2 | 24.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 17 | 88.2 | 100.0 | 17.6 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 9 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 72 | 75.0 | 93.1 | 18.1 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
| | JUV FRESNO | 1 ST DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 14 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | FRESNO | 1 ST DUI | 1276 | 96.7 | 92.6 | 83.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 81.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 468 | 95.1 | 93.8 | 15.2 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 0.4 | 6.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 129 | 93.8 | 93.0 | 4.7 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 36 | 75.0 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1909 | 95.7 | 93.0 | 60.0 | 22.1 | 0.0 | 75.9 | 0.8 | 1.9 |
| | CLOVIS | 1 ST DUI | 165 | 98.2 | 98.2 | 90.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 89.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 55 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 16.4 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 94.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 232 | 97.4 | 97.4 | 68.5 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 88.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
| | COALINGA | 1 ST DUI | 97 | 94.8 | 97.9 | 88.7 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 31 | 96.8 | 93.5 | 25.8 | 64.5 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 132 | 95.5 | 97.0 | 71.2 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 57.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| FIREBAUGH | 1 ST DUI | 122 | 100.0 | 99.2 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 35 | 97.1 | 94.3 | 5.7 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 166 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 67.5 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| FRESNO (cont.) | FOWLER | 1 ST DUI | 129 | 99.2 | 99.2 | 93.8 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 90.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 46 | 93.5 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 82.6 | 0.0 | 80.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 193 | 97.4 | 99.0 | 63.2 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 82.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | KERMAN | 1 ST DUI | 58 | 96.6 | 98.3 | 94.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.9 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 15 | 86.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 75 | 93.3 | 97.3 | 73.3 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
| | KINGSBURG | 1 ST DUI | 52 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 88.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 78.8 | 13.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 86.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 76 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 69.7 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 73.7 | 13.2 | 0.0 |
| | REEDLEY | 1 ST DUI | 90 | 97.8 | 93.3 | 87.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 43.3 | 2.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 28 | 96.4 | 100.0 | 10.7 | 82.1 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 10.7 | 14.3 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 131 | 97.7 | 95.4 | 62.6 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 32.8 | 6.9 |
| | SANGER | 1 ST DUI | 103 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 95.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 26 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.8 | 88.5 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 132 | 98.5 | 99.2 | 75.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 84.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| | | SELMA | 1 ST DUI | 89 | 93.3 | 76.4 | 83.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 73.0 | 3.4 |
| | 2 ND DUI | | 33 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| | 3 RD DUI | | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 6 | 50.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 132 | | 91.7 | 82.6 | 56.8 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | |
| US CT FRESNO | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| GLENN | SUP WILLOWS | 1 ST DUI | 134 | 97.0 | 54.5 | 79.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 62.7 | 8.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 28.6 | 59.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 189 | 95.8 | 66.7 | 62.4 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 56.1 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
| | JUV GLENN | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| HUMBOLDT | SUP JUV HUMBLT EUREKA | 1 ST DUI | 624 | 97.1 | 18.9 | 80.4 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 89.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 159 | 98.7 | 79.9 | 11.9 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 83.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 30 | 80.0 | 83.3 | 3.3 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 9 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 822 | 96.7 | 33.8 | 63.5 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 7.2 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| HUMBOLDT (cont.) | GARBERVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 22 | 100.0 | 4.5 | 86.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 29 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 69.0 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMPERIAL | SUP IMPERIAL | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | JUV IMPERIAL | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | BRAWLEY | 1 ST DUI | 150 | 99.3 | 45.3 | 90.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 95.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 30 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 36.7 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 96.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 187 | 99.5 | 53.5 | 80.2 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | CALEXICO | 1 ST DUI | 202 | 99.5 | 22.3 | 86.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 91.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 32 | 96.9 | 53.1 | 46.9 | 43.8 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 TH + DUI | | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 247 | 99.2 | 29.6 | 78.1 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 90.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| EL CENTRO | 1 ST DUI | 205 | 99.5 | 28.3 | 82.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 84.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 38 | 100.0 | 76.3 | 34.2 | 50.0 | 2.6 | 68.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 249 | 99.6 | 37.3 | 73.5 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 80.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | |
| INYO | SUP INYO | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 8 | 50.0 | 87.5 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 12.5 | |
| | BISHOP | 1 ST DUI | 106 | 98.1 | 17.9 | 93.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 31 | 96.8 | 77.4 | 16.1 | 74.2 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| TOTAL | | 141 | 97.2 | 31.9 | 74.5 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 86.5 | 0.0 | 5.7 | |
| KERN | SUP KERN | 1 ST DUI | 35 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 5.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 48 | 31.3 | 100.0 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| | JUV KERN | 1 ST DUI | 42 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 42 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | ARVIN-LAMONT | 1 ST DUI | 224 | 98.7 | 80.4 | 83.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 55.8 | 12.1 | 1.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 65 | 95.4 | 93.8 | 9.2 | 56.9 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 26.2 | 3.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 21 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 61.9 | 14.3 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 6 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 316 | 96.8 | 84.8 | 61.1 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 45.9 | 18.7 | 2.5 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | |
| KERN (cont.) | BAKERSFIELD | 1 ST DUI | 1557 | 97.9 | 98.6 | 75.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 84.1 | 1.6 | 0.4 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 429 | 97.4 | 99.1 | 9.1 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 18.4 | 2.3 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 101 | 93.1 | 96.0 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 26.7 | 9.9 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 25 | 44.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 4.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 2112 | 96.9 | 98.6 | 57.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 70.4 | 6.3 | 1.3 | |
| | DELANO | 1 ST DUI | 183 | 97.8 | 87.4 | 82.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 54.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 56 | 89.3 | 96.4 | 7.1 | 66.1 | 0.0 | 41.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 18 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 5.6 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 5.6 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 16.7 | |
| | | TOTAL | 263 | 95.8 | 90.1 | 59.3 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 49.0 | 3.4 | 0.8 | |
| | LAKE ISABELLA | 1 ST DUI | 91 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 1.1 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 22 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 13.6 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 4.5 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 119 | 99.2 | 98.3 | 70.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 1.7 | 0.8 | |
| | TAFT | 1 ST DUI | 159 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 86.2 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 68.6 | 25.2 | 6.3 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 30 | 96.7 | 93.3 | 23.3 | 63.3 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 36.7 | 70.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 8 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 75.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 199 | 95.5 | 95.5 | 72.4 | 14.6 | 2.5 | 60.8 | 27.6 | 18.6 | |
| | SHAFTER | 1 ST DUI | 156 | 99.4 | 94.2 | 91.7 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 59.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 44 | 95.5 | 93.2 | 18.2 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 9.1 | 2.3 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 38.5 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 215 | 97.7 | 93.0 | 70.7 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 54.0 | 8.4 | 0.5 | |
| | MOJAVE | 1 ST DUI | 178 | 98.9 | 99.4 | 75.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 50 | 100.0 | 98.0 | 10.0 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 91.0 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 33.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 245 | 98.0 | 98.8 | 57.1 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | |
| | RIDGECREST | 1 ST DUI | 156 | 96.8 | 99.4 | 73.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 73.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 193 | 97.4 | 99.5 | 60.1 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 67.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | |
| | | EDWARDS AFB | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | KINGS | SUP KINGS | 1 ST DUI | 9 | 44.4 | 77.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 7 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 4 TH + DUI | 11 | 9.1 | 72.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 31 | 25.8 | 83.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | JUV HANFORD | 1 ST DUI | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| KINGS (cont.) | HANFORD | 1 ST DUI | 381 | 88.2 | 96.9 | 80.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 68.5 | 19.7 | 1.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 123 | 85.4 | 96.7 | 24.4 | 41.5 | 0.0 | 63.4 | 26.8 | 11.4 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 76.0 | 92.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 32.0 | 4.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | |
| | | TOTAL | 535 | 86.7 | 96.6 | 64.7 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 22.4 | 3.9 | |
| | AVENAL | 1 ST DUI | 53 | 96.2 | 94.3 | 77.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 15.1 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 20 | 75.0 | 90.0 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | |
| | | TOTAL | 76 | 90.8 | 93.4 | 56.6 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 73.7 | 15.8 | 3.9 | |
| | CORCORAN | 1 ST DUI | 43 | 90.7 | 95.3 | 81.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.4 | 20.9 | 2.3 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 15.4 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 53.8 | 38.5 | 15.4 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | |
| | | TOTAL | 62 | 88.7 | 96.8 | 59.7 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 24.2 | 6.5 | |
| | LEMOORE | 1 ST DUI | 51 | 90.2 | 94.1 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 13.7 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 9 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 22.2 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 65 | 84.6 | 95.4 | 66.2 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 15.4 | 1.5 | |
| | LAKE | SUP LAKE | 1 ST DUI | 254 | 98.4 | 26.0 | 95.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 96.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 84 | 97.6 | 82.1 | 25.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 96.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| 3 RD DUI | | | 20 | 100.0 | 95.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 4 TH + DUI | | | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | | 363 | 97.8 | 43.8 | 73.0 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | |
| JUV LAKEPORT | | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| CLEARLAKE | | 1 ST DUI | 174 | 98.9 | 17.8 | 93.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 63 | 96.8 | 71.4 | 25.4 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 91.7 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 251 | 98.0 | 35.5 | 71.7 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | |
| LAKEPORT | | 1 ST DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 16 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| LASSEN | | SUP LASSEN | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | JUV LASSEN | 1 ST DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | | 5 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | |
| | SUSANVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 175 | 94.9 | 97.7 | 85.1 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 73.7 | 5.7 | 0.6 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 40 | 95.0 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 72.5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 5.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | 225 | 94.2 | 97.8 | 69.8 | 20.4 | 0.9 | 61.8 | 10.2 | 1.8 | | | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| LASSEN | US MAG | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | SUSANVILLE | TOTAL | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LOS ANGELES | SUP LA CENTRAL | 1 ST DUI | 95 | 70.5 | 88.4 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 42 | 42.9 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| 3 RD DUI | | 18 | 16.7 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 | |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 45 | 24.4 | 95.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 200 | 49.5 | 93.0 | 12.0 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | |
| SUP POMONA | 1 ST DUI | 57 | 63.2 | 87.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 8.8 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 18 | 44.4 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 33.3 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 12 | 33.3 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 99 | 52.5 | 88.9 | 19.2 | 7.1 | 3.0 | 17.2 | 7.1 | 0.0 | |
| SUP LANCASTR | 1 ST DUI | 23 | 60.9 | 60.9 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 7 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 35 | 45.7 | 74.3 | 17.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 0.0 | |
| SUP VAN NUYS | 1 ST DUI | 72 | 56.9 | 79.2 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 9.7 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 28 | 46.4 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 17.9 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 23 | 13.0 | 91.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 17 | 11.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 140 | 42.1 | 86.4 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 5.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | |
| SUP PASADENA | 1 ST DUI | 25 | 88.0 | 76.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 7 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 6 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 40 | 67.5 | 85.0 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | |
| SUP LONGBEACH | 1 ST DUI | 20 | 55.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 12 | 66.7 | 83.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 11 | 27.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 46 | 50.0 | 87.0 | 6.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | |
| SUP COMPTON | 1 ST DUI | 10 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 6 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 18 | 61.1 | 94.4 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 | |
| | SUP NORWALK | 1 ST DUI | 41 | 63.4 | 82.9 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 ND DUI | | 13 | 69.2 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 3 RD DUI | | 5 | 40.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 8 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 67 | 61.2 | 86.6 | 23.9 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| LOS ANGELES (cont.) | SUP TORRANCE | 1 ST DUI | 32 | 78.1 | 87.5 | 31.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 11 | 81.8 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 10 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 55 | 70.9 | 90.9 | 20.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 30.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | SUP SANTA MONICA | 1 ST DUI | 26 | 69.2 | 88.5 | 30.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 9 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 13 | 46.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 50 | 64.0 | 88.0 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 0.0 |
| | LA JUV CENTRAL | 1 ST DUI | 22 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 22 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| | LA MUNI | 1 ST DUI | 798 | 99.4 | 32.5 | 92.9 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 81.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 172 | 98.8 | 84.3 | 15.7 | 73.3 | 1.2 | 76.2 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 30 | 96.7 | 83.3 | 6.7 | 40.0 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1002 | 99.2 | 43.0 | 76.8 | 15.2 | 0.5 | 78.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| | ALHAMBRA | 1 ST DUI | 444 | 94.1 | 59.2 | 85.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 80.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 138 | 92.0 | 94.9 | 7.2 | 79.7 | 0.0 | 67.4 | 1.4 | 5.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 87.5 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 599 | 93.5 | 68.1 | 64.8 | 20.4 | 0.3 | 75.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 |
| | LANCASTER | 1 ST DUI | 541 | 98.3 | 96.5 | 95.2 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 116 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 8.6 | 87.1 | 0.9 | 78.4 | 8.6 | 5.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 19 | 94.7 | 68.4 | 0.0 | 52.6 | 26.3 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 26.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 676 | 98.5 | 96.0 | 77.7 | 17.5 | 0.9 | 84.8 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| | BEVERLY HILLS | 1 ST DUI | 250 | 99.6 | 29.6 | 96.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 94.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 37 | 97.3 | 81.1 | 18.9 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 5.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 44.4 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 296 | 99.3 | 36.5 | 83.8 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 90.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| | BURBANK | 1 ST DUI | 241 | 99.2 | 53.5 | 90.0 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 96.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 50 | 96.0 | 92.0 | 14.0 | 74.0 | 2.0 | 84.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 19 | 100.0 | 63.2 | 5.3 | 26.3 | 31.6 | 5.3 | 31.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 314 | 97.8 | 60.8 | 71.7 | 17.5 | 2.5 | 87.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| | WEST COVINA | 1 ST DUI | 1135 | 97.5 | 50.4 | 93.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 300 | 95.0 | 94.3 | 11.3 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 83.7 | 2.3 | 5.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 51 | 94.1 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 74.5 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 2.0 | 9.8 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1489 | 96.8 | 60.8 | 73.4 | 20.2 | 0.2 | 82.4 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| | SUP CHATSWORTH | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | 6 | 100.0 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| LOS ANGELES (cont.) | COMPTON | 1 ST DUI | 996 | 97.8 | 85.1 | 90.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 270 | 99.3 | 93.7 | 18.1 | 72.6 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 65 | 98.5 | 80.0 | 3.1 | 60.0 | 12.3 | 52.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 23 | 87.0 | 91.3 | 0.0 | 30.4 | 4.3 | 30.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1354 | 97.9 | 86.7 | 70.5 | 20.5 | 0.7 | 88.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | DOWNEY | 1 ST DUI | 567 | 98.8 | 22.4 | 81.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 87.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 144 | 99.3 | 81.9 | 5.6 | 82.6 | 2.8 | 80.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 22 | 100.0 | 72.7 | 4.5 | 54.5 | 22.7 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 733 | 98.9 | 35.6 | 64.1 | 19.4 | 1.2 | 84.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| | EAST LA | 1 ST DUI | 804 | 98.9 | 20.9 | 92.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 92.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 194 | 99.5 | 81.4 | 16.5 | 75.3 | 0.5 | 91.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 38 | 97.4 | 57.9 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 39.5 | 5.3 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1037 | 98.9 | 33.6 | 74.6 | 16.1 | 1.6 | 89.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | |
| | EL MONTE | 1 ST DUI | 722 | 98.1 | 23.1 | 94.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 90.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 167 | 99.4 | 76.6 | 17.4 | 75.4 | 0.0 | 84.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 93.9 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 60.6 | 3.0 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 922 | 98.2 | 35.5 | 76.9 | 16.9 | 0.1 | 87.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| | GLENDALE | 1 ST DUI | 400 | 99.0 | 19.0 | 95.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 91.8 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 86 | 96.5 | 90.7 | 14.0 | 75.6 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 3.5 | 16.3 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 20.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 504 | 98.0 | 33.7 | 77.8 | 15.5 | 0.2 | 86.9 | 1.8 | 4.4 |
| | INGLEWOOD | 1 ST DUI | 507 | 96.8 | 12.4 | 90.9 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 90.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 129 | 96.9 | 67.4 | 20.2 | 69.0 | 2.3 | 86.8 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 29 | 93.1 | 69.0 | 10.3 | 37.9 | 13.8 | 41.4 | 6.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 666 | 96.7 | 25.5 | 73.7 | 16.1 | 1.2 | 87.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | |
| | LONG BEACH | 1 ST DUI | 904 | 99.2 | 28.8 | 85.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 71.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 220 | 100.0 | 74.5 | 9.1 | 71.8 | 0.0 | 57.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 53 | 98.1 | 66.0 | 3.8 | 15.1 | 22.6 | 11.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 1179 | 99.3 | 39.1 | 67.0 | 14.8 | 1.0 | 65.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | |
| | LA METRO | 1 ST DUI | 4385 | 99.0 | 48.8 | 94.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 962 | 98.9 | 95.6 | 6.9 | 88.9 | 0.2 | 84.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 160 | 95.6 | 95.0 | 2.5 | 45.6 | 3.1 | 11.9 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 72.7 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 5518 | 98.9 | 58.3 | 76.5 | 18.8 | 0.1 | 83.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | |
| | BELLFLOWER | 1 ST DUI | 344 | 99.1 | 14.0 | 94.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 86.6 | 7.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 86 | 96.5 | 94.2 | 4.7 | 82.6 | 1.2 | 72.1 | 17.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 18.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 447 | 98.2 | 32.7 | 74.0 | 18.1 | 0.2 | 81.2 | 10.1 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| LOS ANGELES (cont.) | VALENCIA | 1 ST DUI | 614 | 99.5 | 34.0 | 93.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 84.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 144 | 96.5 | 95.8 | 6.3 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 90.9 | 93.9 | 3.0 | 66.7 | 3.0 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 3.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 6 | 83.3 | 50.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 797 | 98.5 | 47.8 | 73.0 | 19.1 | 0.4 | 80.2 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| | PASADENA | 1 ST DUI | 533 | 99.4 | 12.2 | 94.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 97.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 144 | 98.6 | 81.3 | 12.5 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 93.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 4.0 | 16.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 704 | 99.3 | 29.4 | 73.9 | 18.3 | 0.1 | 93.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| | MALIBU | 1 ST DUI | 298 | 98.0 | 11.7 | 92.6 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 93.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 63 | 96.8 | 90.5 | 7.9 | 84.1 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 46.2 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 53.8 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 377 | 97.1 | 26.8 | 74.5 | 16.4 | 2.1 | 88.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| | POMONA | 1 ST DUI | 669 | 99.4 | 30.0 | 94.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 86.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 174 | 98.9 | 90.2 | 9.2 | 85.1 | 0.0 | 87.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 27 | 92.6 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 3.7 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 873 | 99.0 | 44.2 | 73.9 | 18.9 | 0.1 | 84.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| | HUNTINGTON PARK | 1 ST DUI | 554 | 98.6 | 26.4 | 88.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 91.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 132 | 96.2 | 84.1 | 12.9 | 76.5 | 3.0 | 82.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 23 | 95.7 | 78.3 | 13.0 | 56.5 | 13.0 | 39.1 | 13.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 709 | 98.0 | 38.8 | 72.2 | 17.3 | 1.1 | 87.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| | MONROVIA | 1 ST DUI | 269 | 99.6 | 15.6 | 91.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 92.2 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 72 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 18.1 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 90.3 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 350 | 98.9 | 30.3 | 74.3 | 16.0 | 0.3 | 89.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| | SANTA MONICA | 1 ST DUI | 138 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 94.9 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 91.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 30 | 100.0 | 76.7 | 10.0 | 76.7 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 175 | 100.0 | 29.1 | 76.6 | 14.9 | 0.6 | 86.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| | TORRANCE | 1 ST DUI | 1067 | 98.4 | 18.0 | 94.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 88.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 243 | 96.7 | 84.0 | 15.6 | 79.0 | 0.4 | 86.0 | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 41 | 90.2 | 68.3 | 0.0 | 36.6 | 22.0 | 29.3 | 17.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1356 | 97.8 | 31.6 | 77.4 | 16.3 | 0.8 | 85.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| | SOUTHGATE | 1 ST DUI | 261 | 97.3 | 31.4 | 89.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 83.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 54 | 98.1 | 85.2 | 11.1 | 79.6 | 5.6 | 75.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 327 | 97.6 | 42.2 | 73.4 | 16.8 | 1.5 | 80.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| LOS ANGELES (cont.) | WHITTIER | 1 ST DUI | 608 | 97.9 | 20.4 | 88.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 87.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 149 | 98.0 | 90.6 | 10.7 | 81.2 | 0.0 | 87.2 | 4.0 | 0.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 32 | 96.9 | 84.4 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 15.6 | 9.4 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 791 | 97.9 | 36.3 | 70.0 | 17.7 | 2.0 | 84.5 | 5.2 | 0.1 |
| | HOLLYWOOD | 1 ST DUI | 266 | 99.2 | 28.6 | 88.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 87.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 75.8 | 21.2 | 78.8 | 0.0 | 87.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 302 | 99.3 | 34.4 | 80.1 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 86.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| | SAN FERNANDO | 1 ST DUI | 1281 | 99.4 | 41.9 | 92.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 330 | 99.1 | 94.5 | 6.7 | 88.8 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 59 | 96.6 | 91.5 | 1.7 | 69.5 | 8.5 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1675 | 99.2 | 54.2 | 71.8 | 22.2 | 0.3 | 79.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 |
| | SAN PEDRO | 1 ST DUI | 306 | 98.4 | 38.9 | 89.2 | 4.2 | 0.3 | 70.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 78 | 97.4 | 91.0 | 14.1 | 73.1 | 1.3 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 24 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 408 | 98.3 | 51.5 | 70.3 | 20.8 | 1.0 | 64.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| | VAN NUYS | 1 ST DUI | 1943 | 98.3 | 39.6 | 88.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 72.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 464 | 97.4 | 95.9 | 7.1 | 80.8 | 0.2 | 67.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 79 | 96.2 | 84.8 | 2.5 | 38.0 | 15.2 | 6.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 14 | 42.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 21.4 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 2500 | 97.8 | 51.8 | 70.0 | 18.0 | 0.5 | 69.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| | AVALON | 1 ST DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 ND DUI | | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 7 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| MADERA | SUP MADERA | 1 ST DUI | 45 | 82.2 | 91.1 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 15.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 65 | 80.0 | 93.8 | 44.6 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 12.3 | 0.0 |
| | JUV MADERA | 1 ST DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 6 | 100.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 83.3 | 0.0 |
| | CHOWCHILLA | 1 ST DUI | 334 | 96.7 | 99.4 | 88.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 57.8 | 11.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 90 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 22.2 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 65.6 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 RD DUI | | 28 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 460 | 96.5 | 99.1 | 69.3 | 20.7 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | |
| BASS LAKE | 1 ST DUI | 75 | 92.0 | 100.0 | 85.3 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 34.7 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 24 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 4.2 | 79.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 33.3 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 101 | 93.1 | 99.0 | 65.3 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 52.5 | 34.7 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| MARIN | SAN RAFAEL | 1 ST DUI | 1035 | 99.1 | 8.1 | 86.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 8.2 | 1.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 185 | 98.9 | 83.2 | 6.5 | 85.4 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 9.7 | 5.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 40 | 100.0 | 92.5 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 75.0 | 47.5 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 64.3 | 50.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1274 | 99.1 | 22.4 | 70.9 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 87.6 | 11.1 | 3.6 |
| MARIPOSA | MARIPOSA | 1 ST DUI | 43 | 97.7 | 90.7 | 74.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 69.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 18 | 94.4 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 16.7 | 55.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 63 | 95.2 | 87.3 | 50.8 | 20.6 | 4.8 | 63.5 | 7.9 | 0.0 |
| | US MAG YOSEMITE | 1 ST DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 18 | 100.0 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 81 | 97.7 | 90.7 | 74.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 69.8 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
| MENDOCINO | UKIAH CONSLD | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 6 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 9 | 66.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| | WILLITS | 1 ST DUI | 79 | 97.5 | 96.2 | 81.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 83.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 37 | 94.6 | 100.0 | 5.4 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 75.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 120 | 96.7 | 97.5 | 55.0 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 120 | 96.7 | 97.5 | 55.0 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| | UKIAH | 1 ST DUI | 216 | 86.6 | 94.4 | 75.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 77.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 64 | 96.9 | 98.4 | 9.4 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 294 | 87.8 | 95.6 | 57.5 | 21.8 | 0.3 | 75.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| | BOONVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 5 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | PT. ARENA | 1 ST DUI | 8 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| LEGGETT | 1 ST DUI | 16 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.8 | 6.3 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 29 | 93.1 | 100.0 | 55.2 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 10.3 | 0.0 | |
| COVELO | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 7 | 57.1 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| FORT BRAGG | 1 ST DUI | 55 | 98.2 | 98.2 | 87.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 5.5 | 1.8 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 27 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 85.2 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 7.4 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 10 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 92 | 97.8 | 96.7 | 53.3 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 73.9 | 5.4 | 1.1 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------|---------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| MERCED | SUP MERCED | 1 ST DUI | 28 | 67.9 | 67.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 21.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 47 | 68.1 | 80.9 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 12.8 | 0.0 |
| | MERCED | 1 ST DUI | 513 | 99.2 | 95.5 | 80.9 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 91.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 180 | 98.3 | 97.8 | 13.3 | 72.8 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 49 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 8.2 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 53.1 | 26.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 747 | 98.7 | 96.3 | 59.3 | 24.5 | 0.3 | 88.6 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| | LOS BANOS | 1 ST DUI | 265 | 99.2 | 92.5 | 95.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 92.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 48 | 100.0 | 97.9 | 20.8 | 77.1 | 0.0 | 89.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 22.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 324 | 99.4 | 93.5 | 81.5 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 90.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| MODOC | SUP MODOC | 1 ST DUI | 45 | 88.9 | 80.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.9 | 2.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 11 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 58 | 86.2 | 84.5 | 70.7 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 6.9 | 1.7 |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| MONO | JUV MONO | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 34 | 100.0 | 79.4 | 94.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 76.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 |
| | BRIDGEPORT | 2 ND DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 40 | 95.0 | 82.5 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 |
| | MAMMOTH LAKES | 1 ST DUI | 64 | 100.0 | 59.4 | 96.9 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 14.3 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 7.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 82 | 100.0 | 67.1 | 78.0 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 3.7 | 1.2 | |
| MONTEREY | SUP MONTEREY | 1 ST DUI | 41 | 85.4 | 97.6 | 43.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 56.1 | 4.9 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 17 | 64.7 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 41.2 | 11.8 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 75.0 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 19 | 89.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.9 | 31.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 93 | 80.6 | 95.7 | 19.4 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 58.1 | 10.8 |
| | JUV SALINAS | 1 ST DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 7 | 100.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | MARINA MUNI | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| | SALINAS | 1 ST DUI | 1498 | 99.1 | 91.3 | 72.9 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 49.1 | 33.6 | 4.6 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 336 | 99.7 | 98.5 | 6.0 | 61.3 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 34.8 | 64.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 84 | 98.8 | 100.0 | 4.8 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 53.6 | 67.9 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 57.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 1925 | 99.2 | 92.9 | 58.0 | 14.3 | 0.1 | 42.2 | 34.9 | 18.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | |
| MONTEREY (cont.) | KING CITY | 1 ST DUI | 374 | 99.7 | 98.7 | 57.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 39.6 | 40.9 | 8.8 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 108 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 73.1 | 76.9 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 510 | 99.8 | 99.0 | 42.7 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 33.7 | 51.0 | 28.2 | |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| NAPA | NAPA | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 701 | 98.7 | 97.0 | 84.2 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 174 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 12.6 | 80.5 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 1.1 | 16.1 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 39 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 2.6 | 43.6 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 12 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 8.3 | |
| | | TOTAL | 926 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 66.1 | 20.3 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 0.8 | 5.5 | |
| NEVADA | NEVADA CITY | 1 ST DUI | 216 | 97.2 | 91.2 | 88.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 59 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.1 | 0.0 | 72.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 25.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 293 | 97.6 | 93.5 | 64.8 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TRUCKEE | TRUCKEE | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 1 ST DUI | 154 | 98.7 | 98.1 | 93.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 38 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 23.1 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 207 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 73.9 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 94.7 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| ORANGE | SUP SANTA ANA | 1 ST DUI | 87 | 81.6 | 75.9 | 52.9 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 48.3 | 11.5 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 23 | 60.9 | 82.6 | 13.0 | 26.1 | 4.3 | 21.7 | 8.7 | 4.3 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 40.0 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 25 | 44.0 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 150 | 68.0 | 82.7 | 33.3 | 14.7 | 0.7 | 31.3 | 10.7 | 1.3 | |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 72 | 95.8 | 9.7 | 80.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 45.8 | 0.0 | |
| | JUV ORANGE | JUV ORANGE | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 73 | 95.9 | 11.0 | 80.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 45.2 | 0.0 |
| | | | 1 ST DUI | 2317 | 99.7 | 30.3 | 92.9 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 87.7 | 7.3 | 0.8 |
| | FULLERTON | FULLERTON | 2 ND DUI | 530 | 99.4 | 89.6 | 8.5 | 84.7 | 0.2 | 55.3 | 30.6 | 20.8 |
| | | | 3 RD DUI | 81 | 97.5 | 93.8 | 3.7 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 16.0 | 35.8 |
| | | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 2931 | 99.5 | 42.8 | 75.1 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 11.7 | 5.4 |
| | | | 1 ST DUI | 1922 | 99.4 | 16.4 | 94.6 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 93.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 465 | 99.1 | 91.8 | 9.2 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 78.3 | 2.8 | 13.3 |
| | WESTMINSTER | WESTMINSTER | 3 RD DUI | 83 | 100.0 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 88.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 3.6 | 45.8 |
| | | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 19 | 36.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 |
| | | | TOTAL | 2489 | 98.9 | 33.8 | 74.8 | 20.4 | 0.0 | 87.9 | 1.0 | 4.8 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| ORANGE (cont.) | LAGUNA HILLS | 1 ST DUI | 721 | 99.4 | 15.3 | 95.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 7.1 | 0.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 171 | 98.8 | 89.5 | 4.7 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 78.9 | 11.1 | 7.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 37 | 100.0 | 97.3 | 0.0 | 73.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 8.1 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 930 | 99.2 | 32.3 | 74.5 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 7.6 | 1.8 |
| | NEWPORT BEACH | 1 ST DUI | 2190 | 99.3 | 19.9 | 95.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 92.4 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 481 | 99.2 | 91.7 | 6.9 | 87.7 | 0.0 | 49.9 | 47.0 | 5.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 89 | 97.8 | 93.3 | 3.4 | 78.7 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 29.2 | 13.5 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 16 | 25.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 2776 | 98.8 | 35.1 | 77.0 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 81.7 | 13.0 | 1.4 |
| | SANTA ANA | 1 ST DUI | 1521 | 99.3 | 37.5 | 96.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 378 | 98.1 | 92.1 | 7.9 | 87.3 | 0.3 | 77.0 | 2.9 | 20.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 59 | 100.0 | 93.2 | 1.7 | 89.8 | 3.4 | 18.6 | 1.7 | 54.2 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1962 | 99.1 | 49.8 | 76.7 | 20.4 | 0.2 | 76.4 | 4.6 | 9.5 |
| PLACER | SUP AUBURN | 1 ST DUI | 949 | 98.0 | 98.7 | 60.3 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 87.7 | 0.8 | 3.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 270 | 95.9 | 99.3 | 13.0 | 72.6 | 0.0 | 80.7 | 1.5 | 16.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 53 | 88.7 | 96.2 | 1.9 | 52.8 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 24.5 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 9 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1281 | 97.0 | 98.8 | 47.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 82.8 | 1.0 | 7.0 |
| | JUV AUBURN | 1 ST DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 9 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | AUBURN MUNI | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| | SUP TAHOE CITY | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | TAHOE CITY | 1 ST DUI | 187 | 98.9 | 99.5 | 84.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 41 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 17.1 | 78.0 | 0.0 | 80.5 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 234 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 70.5 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 88.0 | 2.6 | 0.9 | |
| PLUMAS | PORTOLA | 1 ST DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | QUINCY | 1 ST DUI | 118 | 98.3 | 100.0 | 86.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 94.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 27 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 154 | 96.8 | 100.0 | 66.9 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 90.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-----------|---------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| RIVERSIDE | SUP RIVERSIDE | 1 ST DUI | 310 | 87.7 | 93.2 | 76.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 43.2 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 76 | 81.6 | 97.4 | 30.3 | 51.3 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 3.9 | 7.9 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 32 | 71.9 | 100.0 | 3.1 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 36 | 66.7 | 91.7 | 2.8 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 454 | 83.9 | 94.3 | 57.9 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 39.6 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| | SUP INDIO | 1 ST DUI | 49 | 77.6 | 89.8 | 57.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 40.8 | 18.4 | 2.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 24 | 79.2 | 87.5 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 20.8 | 4.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 16 | 81.3 | 50.0 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 24 | 75.0 | 87.5 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 8.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 113 | 77.9 | 83.2 | 29.2 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 23.0 | 6.2 |
| | JUV INDIO | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 |
| | CORONA | 1 ST DUI | 389 | 99.5 | 97.7 | 94.6 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 91.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 72 | 100.0 | 97.2 | 13.9 | 81.9 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 63.9 | 4.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 33.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 471 | 99.4 | 97.7 | 80.5 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 13.0 | 0.6 |
| | HEMET | 1 ST DUI | 416 | 97.6 | 92.5 | 92.5 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 126 | 96.0 | 99.2 | 15.1 | 79.4 | 0.0 | 65.9 | 2.4 | 0.8 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 27 | 92.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 571 | 97.0 | 94.4 | 70.8 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 76.2 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| | BANNING | 1 ST DUI | 351 | 100.0 | 54.1 | 95.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 89.5 | 5.1 | 0.6 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 113 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 21.2 | 77.0 | 0.0 | 76.1 | 13.3 | 0.9 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 8.0 | 88.0 | 0.0 | 32.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 500 | 100.0 | 66.0 | 72.0 | 25.6 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 7.2 | 1.2 |
| | INDIO | 1 ST DUI | 1165 | 98.7 | 61.4 | 93.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 268 | 98.1 | 92.2 | 13.1 | 79.5 | 0.0 | 91.0 | 4.1 | 0.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 73 | 90.4 | 94.5 | 5.5 | 56.2 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 49.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 19 | 78.9 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 57.9 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1525 | 98.0 | 68.5 | 73.8 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 90.2 | 4.3 | 0.1 |
| | MORENO VALLEY | 1 ST DUI | 1271 | 97.7 | 94.3 | 81.4 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 80.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 336 | 95.5 | 98.2 | 14.9 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.9 | 8.9 | 0.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 65 | 93.8 | 98.5 | 4.6 | 81.5 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 9.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 69.2 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 1685 | 97.2 | 95.3 | 64.6 | 20.1 | 0.0 | 76.7 | 7.2 | 0.2 |
| | PALM SPRINGS | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | BLYTHE | 1 ST DUI | 115 | 96.5 | 27.8 | 87.8 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 84.3 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 83.3 | 22.2 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 77.8 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | 141 | 97.2 | 39.0 | 74.5 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 79.4 | 14.2 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| RIVERSIDE (cont.) | PERRIS | 1 ST DUI | 1087 | 99.2 | 91.0 | 91.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 80.3 | 0.6 | 0.1 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 269 | 97.8 | 95.5 | 13.8 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 40.1 | 3.0 | 1.5 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 59 | 93.1 | 96.6 | 5.2 | 87.9 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 15 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 86.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1428 | 98.6 | 92.2 | 72.1 | 21.2 | 0.0 | 69.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | |
| SACRAMENTO | TEMECULA | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SACRAMENTO | SUP SACTO | 1 ST DUI | 101 | 77.2 | 97.0 | 34.7 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 34.7 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 68 | 66.2 | 98.5 | 5.9 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 29.4 | 7.4 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 32 | 71.9 | 93.8 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 3.1 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 52 | 80.8 | 94.2 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 3.8 | |
| | | TOTAL | 253 | 74.3 | 96.4 | 15.4 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 31.6 | 3.2 | |
| | JUV SACTO | 1 ST DUI | 53 | 100.0 | 94.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 49.1 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 56 | 100.0 | 94.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 48.2 | 0.0 | |
| | SACRAMENTO | SACRAMENTO | 1 ST DUI | 3327 | 99.4 | 96.3 | 76.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 12.1 | 0.1 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 1014 | 98.6 | 98.4 | 10.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 28.4 | 0.6 |
| | | | 3 RD DUI | 278 | 98.6 | 100.0 | 2.9 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 14.7 | 0.4 |
| | | | 4 TH + DUI | 49 | 91.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 2.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 4668 | 99.1 | 97.0 | 56.7 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 15.7 | 0.2 |
| | SACRAMENTO | ELK GROVE | 1 ST DUI | 89 | 100.0 | 96.6 | 89.9 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.7 | 78.3 | 0.0 | 87.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| 3 RD DUI | | | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 4 TH + DUI | | | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | | 117 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 70.1 | 20.5 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | |
| SACRAMENTO | GALT | 1 ST DUI | 28 | 96.4 | 82.1 | 82.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.7 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 42 | 97.6 | 88.1 | 57.1 | 23.8 | 0.0 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | SACRAMENTO | WALNUT GROVE | 1 ST DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 ND DUI | 4 | | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 3 RD DUI | 2 | | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | 20 | | | 100.0 | 95.0 | 70.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SACRAMENTO | SACTO US MAG | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | |
| SAN BENITO | SUP SBENITO | 1 ST DUI | 162 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 72.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 69.1 | 25.9 | 1.2 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 66 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 7.6 | 60.6 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 28.8 | 19.7 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 11 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 36.4 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 242 | 98.8 | 99.2 | 50.4 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 64.9 | 27.3 | 7.9 | |
| | JUV HOLLISTR | 1 ST DUI | 6 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 7 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SAN BENITO (cont.) | HOLLISTER | 1 ST DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46.2 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 76.9 | 23.1 | 0.0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | SUP MUN SAN BERNARDO | 1 ST DUI | 229 | 96.9 | 73.8 | 86.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 85.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 32 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 25.0 | 71.9 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 76.9 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 10 | 70.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 284 | 95.4 | 76.1 | 72.9 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| | SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA | 1 ST DUI | 419 | 96.7 | 37.7 | 92.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 89.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 91 | 95.6 | 94.5 | 8.8 | 84.6 | 0.0 | 89.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 12 | 83.3 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 16.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 8 | 37.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 530 | 95.3 | 48.9 | 74.3 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 86.6 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| | SUP VICTORVLE | 1 ST DUI | 121 | 90.1 | 39.7 | 81.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 77.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 28 | 78.6 | 71.4 | 7.1 | 60.7 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 57.1 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 8 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 164 | 84.1 | 47.0 | 61.0 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 |
| | SUP MUN BARSTOW | 1 ST DUI | 288 | 95.1 | 62.5 | 86.1 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 89.2 | 4.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 75 | 93.3 | 92.0 | 12.0 | 78.7 | 0.0 | 85.3 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 84.6 | 69.2 | 15.4 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 381 | 94.2 | 69.0 | 68.2 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE | 1 ST DUI | 16 | 75.0 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 7 | 57.1 | 71.4 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 28 | 60.7 | 57.1 | 39.3 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | |
| JUV SAN BERNARDINO | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SUP MUNRNCHO CUCAMNGA | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SUP MUN CHINO | 1 ST DUI | 326 | 97.9 | 35.3 | 93.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 91.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 75 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 13.3 | 84.0 | 0.0 | 90.7 | 2.7 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 14 | 85.7 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 8 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 423 | 97.4 | 48.2 | 74.9 | 18.4 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | |
| SUP MUN REDLANDS | 1 ST DUI | 421 | 96.2 | 54.4 | 93.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 91.2 | 3.3 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 94 | 95.7 | 88.3 | 12.8 | 79.8 | 1.1 | 85.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 27 | 92.6 | 96.3 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 40.7 | 14.8 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 57.1 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 549 | 95.6 | 62.7 | 73.8 | 18.8 | 0.2 | 86.7 | 4.2 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SAN BERNARDINO (cont.) | SUP MUN SAN BERNARDINO | 1 ST DUI | 886 | 99.1 | 67.5 | 93.9 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 235 | 97.4 | 89.8 | 17.9 | 66.4 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 9.4 | 0.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 58 | 94.8 | 89.7 | 5.2 | 36.2 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 10.3 | 1.7 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 14 | 92.9 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1193 | 98.5 | 73.2 | 73.5 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| | SUP MUN FONTANA | 1 ST DUI | 707 | 98.4 | 41.2 | 93.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 87.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 166 | 97.6 | 91.0 | 12.0 | 79.5 | 0.0 | 84.3 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 37 | 94.6 | 94.6 | 10.8 | 40.5 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 13.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 16 | 50.0 | 43.8 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 926 | 97.3 | 52.3 | 73.7 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 82.8 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| | VICTORVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 545 | 97.1 | 47.5 | 91.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 147 | 97.3 | 93.2 | 15.0 | 73.5 | 0.0 | 80.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 29 | 89.7 | 86.2 | 3.4 | 58.6 | 0.0 | 51.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 726 | 96.8 | 58.5 | 71.9 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| | SUP MUNRNCHO CUCAMONGA | 1 ST DUI | 1534 | 98.5 | 35.5 | 95.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 92.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 381 | 99.5 | 88.7 | 19.2 | 76.9 | 0.0 | 93.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 62 | 98.4 | 96.8 | 4.8 | 37.1 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 12.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1982 | 98.6 | 47.8 | 78.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 90.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 |
| | BIG BEAR LAKE | 1 ST DUI | 122 | 98.4 | 86.9 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 24 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 4.2 | 95.8 | 0.0 | 91.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 149 | 98.7 | 89.3 | 76.5 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 90.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| | TWINPEAKS | 1 ST DUI | 144 | 99.3 | 41.0 | 95.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 95.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 29 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 10.3 | 86.2 | 0.0 | 62.1 | 34.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 0.0 |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 4 | 50.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 183 | 97.8 | 52.5 | 76.5 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 84.7 | 8.7 | 0.0 | |
| NEEDLESSUPMU | 1 ST DUI | 118 | 98.3 | 42.4 | 61.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 65.3 | 17.8 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 18 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 22.2 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 142 | 97.2 | 49.3 | 53.5 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 58.5 | 23.9 | 0.0 | |
| JOSHUA TREE | 1 ST DUI | 209 | 95.7 | 38.3 | 90.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 88.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 47 | 97.9 | 89.4 | 17.0 | 78.7 | 0.0 | 87.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 93.3 | 93.3 | 13.3 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 46.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 271 | 95.9 | 50.2 | 73.1 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 85.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | |
| SAN DIEGO | SUP SAN DIEGO | 1 ST DUI | 107 | 79.4 | 93.5 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 42 | 69.0 | 97.6 | 2.4 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 11 | 63.6 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 23 | 56.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 183 | 73.2 | 95.1 | 20.8 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 2.7 | 0.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SAN DIEGO (cont.) | SUP VISTA | 1 ST DUI | 142 | 78.2 | 88.7 | 40.1 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 52 | 80.8 | 96.2 | 5.8 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 18 | 27.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 18 | 27.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 230 | 70.9 | 92.2 | 26.1 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| | SUP CHULA VISTA | 1 ST DUI | 32 | 68.8 | 96.9 | 31.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 16 | 56.3 | 93.8 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 4 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 57 | 63.2 | 96.5 | 19.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 |
| | SUP EL CAJON | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | JUV SAN DIEGO | 1 ST DUI | 99 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 99 | 3.0 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | EL CAJON | 1 ST DUI | 1536 | 96.8 | 15.5 | 82.7 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 80.3 | 4.3 | 0.1 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 421 | 94.1 | 82.9 | 9.3 | 60.6 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 1.4 | 0.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 96 | 89.6 | 91.7 | 5.2 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 26.0 | 4.2 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 27 | 70.4 | 100.0 | 7.4 | 29.6 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 3.7 | 11.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 2080 | 95.6 | 33.8 | 63.3 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 74.1 | 4.7 | 0.6 |
| | VISTA | 1 ST DUI | 2491 | 98.5 | 17.1 | 89.1 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 85.6 | 4.6 | 0.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 658 | 98.2 | 83.0 | 13.8 | 74.0 | 0.0 | 75.5 | 7.8 | 2.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 120 | 96.7 | 92.5 | 7.5 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 16.7 | 2.5 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 14 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| | | TOTAL | 3283 | 98.4 | 33.4 | 70.7 | 20.6 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 5.7 | 0.9 |
| | SAN DIEGO | 1 ST DUI | 3622 | 98.7 | 98.0 | 90.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 94.4 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 719 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 16.9 | 77.9 | 0.0 | 91.1 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 115 | 96.5 | 99.1 | 4.3 | 82.6 | 0.0 | 46.1 | 7.8 | 14.8 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 16 | 87.5 | 93.8 | 12.5 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
| | | TOTAL | 4471 | 98.6 | 98.1 | 75.8 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 92.5 | 2.4 | 1.2 |
| | CHULA VISTA | 1 ST DUI | 1501 | 97.9 | 9.8 | 91.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 92.5 | 2.0 | 0.1 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 316 | 97.5 | 85.1 | 10.8 | 77.8 | 0.3 | 49.4 | 43.7 | 0.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 47 | 89.4 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 36.2 | 2.1 |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 1868 | 97.6 | 24.8 | 75.5 | 16.7 | 0.1 | 83.2 | 10.1 | 0.2 | |
| US CT SAN DIEGO | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SAN FRANCISCO | SUP SAN FRAN | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| JUV SAN FRAN | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SAN FRAN SUP | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 3 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SAN FRANCISCO (cont.) | SAN FRANCISCO | 1 ST DUI | 728 | 99.7 | 98.5 | 95.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 96.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 152 | 99.3 | 98.0 | 22.4 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 95.4 | 0.7 | 54.6 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 4.0 | 76.0 | 4.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 88.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 910 | 99.7 | 98.2 | 80.2 | 16.3 | 0.1 | 94.8 | 0.1 | 13.2 | |
| | US DIST CT SF | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAN JOAQUIN | STOCKTON | 1 ST DUI | 1138 | 99.0 | 99.3 | 88.1 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 73.6 | 12.7 | 0.6 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 384 | 98.2 | 99.7 | 4.4 | 87.0 | 0.0 | 75.3 | 13.8 | 3.1 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 95 | 93.7 | 78.9 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 16.8 | 18.9 | 42.1 | 10.5 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 43 | 83.7 | 65.1 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 32.6 | 2.3 | 37.2 | 14.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1660 | 98.1 | 97.3 | 61.4 | 24.3 | 2.0 | 69.0 | 15.3 | 2.1 | |
| | FRENCH CAMP | 1 ST DUI | 39 | 94.9 | 56.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 39 | 94.9 | 56.4 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 0.0 | |
| | LODI | 1 ST DUI | 468 | 98.5 | 99.4 | 87.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 18.2 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 152 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 8.6 | 72.4 | 0.0 | 75.7 | 21.1 | 4.6 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 90.9 | 3.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 11 | 63.6 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 9.1 | |
| | | TOTAL | 664 | 98.3 | 99.4 | 63.6 | 18.7 | 0.2 | 72.7 | 23.3 | 1.4 | |
| | MANTECA | 1 ST DUI | 330 | 98.8 | 99.4 | 93.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 86.1 | 5.2 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 91 | 97.8 | 96.7 | 9.9 | 75.8 | 1.1 | 76.9 | 13.2 | 13.2 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 31 | 96.8 | 54.8 | 3.2 | 29.0 | 45.2 | 12.9 | 45.2 | 29.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 12.5 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 460 | 98.5 | 95.2 | 68.9 | 18.3 | 4.1 | 78.0 | 10.2 | 4.6 | |
| | TRACY | 1 ST DUI | 240 | 99.2 | 99.2 | 94.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 87.5 | 6.3 | 0.4 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 66 | 98.5 | 100.0 | 12.1 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 10.6 | 9.1 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 13 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 7.7 | 61.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 53.8 | 7.7 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 323 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 72.8 | 20.7 | 0.3 | 82.7 | 9.3 | 2.5 | |
| | SAN LUIS OBISPO | SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBISPO | 1 ST DUI | 23 | 82.6 | 100.0 | 39.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 9 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 RD DUI | | | 6 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| 4 TH + DUI | | | 4 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TOTAL | | | 42 | 73.8 | 100.0 | 21.4 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| JUV SLOBISPO | | 1 ST DUI | 9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 77.8 | 0.0 | |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | | 1 ST DUI | 1201 | 95.8 | 96.8 | 89.5 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 4.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 331 | 96.4 | 97.9 | 8.2 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 2.1 | 0.6 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 70 | 94.3 | 98.6 | 7.1 | 68.6 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 2.9 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 12 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1614 | 95.8 | 97.1 | 68.6 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 3.8 | 0.1 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO (cont.) | MORRO BAY | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SAN MATEO | SUP REDWOOD CITY | 1 ST DUI | 46 | 80.4 | 95.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 19 | 63.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 71.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 10 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 82 | 74.4 | 97.6 | 3.7 | 14.6 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | |
| | SUP SAN MATEO | 1 ST DUI | 14 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 64.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 14 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 64.3 | 0.0 | |
| | SO SAN FRANCISCO | 1 ST DUI | 1210 | 95.7 | 99.3 | 91.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 0.2 | 1.3 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 265 | 97.4 | 98.9 | 3.0 | 86.4 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 4.5 | 55.5 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 54 | 94.4 | 98.1 | 3.7 | 81.5 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 3.7 | 48.1 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1534 | 96.0 | 99.2 | 72.6 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 68.1 | 1.1 | 12.6 | |
| | REDWOOD CITY | 1 ST DUI | 915 | 92.1 | 98.0 | 86.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 197 | 89.8 | 98.5 | 5.6 | 78.2 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 3.0 | 16.2 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 35 | 91.4 | 100.0 | 5.7 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 20.0 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1153 | 91.8 | 98.2 | 69.6 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 64.6 | 1.6 | 4.1 | |
| | SANTA BARBARA | SUP SANTA BARBARA | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| JUV SANTA BARBARA | | 1 ST DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 9 | 88.9 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 44.4 | 0.0 | |
| JUV LOMPOC | | 1 ST DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | |
| SANTA BARBARA | | 1 ST DUI | 1043 | 97.6 | 67.7 | 67.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 12.8 | 0.4 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 218 | 97.7 | 98.6 | 2.3 | 67.4 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 21.1 | 5.5 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 42 | 95.2 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 52.4 | 21.4 | |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1307 | 97.4 | 74.0 | 54.6 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 74.7 | 15.5 | 2.0 | |
| SANTA MARIA | | 1 ST DUI | 523 | 97.7 | 82.2 | 38.8 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 51.8 | 35.8 | 0.0 | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 174 | 97.7 | 96.6 | 6.3 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 28.7 | 65.5 | 6.3 | | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 42 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 4.8 | 38.1 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 85.7 | 19.0 | | |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 22 | 68.2 | 77.3 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 0.0 | | |
| | TOTAL | 761 | 96.8 | 86.2 | 28.4 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 42.6 | 45.6 | 2.5 | | |
| LOMPOC | 1 ST DUI | 257 | 98.4 | 33.9 | 68.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 83.7 | 9.3 | 0.4 | | |
| | 2 ND DUI | 90 | 96.7 | 91.1 | 8.9 | 74.4 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 48.9 | 1.1 | | |
| | 3 RD DUI | 17 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.2 | 5.9 | | |
| | TOTAL | 364 | 97.8 | 51.1 | 51.1 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 70.1 | 22.8 | 0.8 | | |
| SOLVANG | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | TOTAL | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SANTA CLARA | SUP SANTA CLARA | 1 ST DUI | 136 | 77.9 | 96.3 | 36.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 5.9 | 1.5 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 78 | 57.7 | 100.0 | 1.3 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 6.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 51.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 30 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 277 | 67.1 | 98.2 | 18.1 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| | JUV SANTA CLARA | 1 ST DUI | 56 | 98.2 | 60.7 | 76.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 85.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 60 | 98.3 | 61.7 | 76.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 85.0 | 0.0 |
| | PALO ALTO | 1 ST DUI | 391 | 98.7 | 90.5 | 87.7 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 84.9 | 6.4 | 1.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 98 | 94.9 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 62.2 | 23.5 | 35.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 21 | 100.0 | 90.5 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 9.5 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 76.2 |
| | | TOTAL | 510 | 98.0 | 92.4 | 68.6 | 19.0 | 0.6 | 77.3 | 9.4 | 11.0 |
| | SAN JOSE | 1 ST DUI | 3069 | 93.4 | 95.9 | 77.5 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 82.3 | 7.0 | 1.7 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 844 | 85.3 | 98.2 | 8.3 | 64.2 | 0.0 | 67.3 | 8.9 | 12.7 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 175 | 69.7 | 97.7 | 3.4 | 36.6 | 0.6 | 9.7 | 3.4 | 25.7 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 57.1 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
| | | TOTAL | 4095 | 90.7 | 96.5 | 60.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 76.0 | 7.2 | 5.0 |
| | SAN JOSE TRAF | 1 ST DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 5 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
| | SUNNYVALE | 1 ST DUI | 445 | 99.1 | 93.7 | 91.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 91.5 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 118 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 12.7 | 75.4 | 0.0 | 64.4 | 6.8 | 5.9 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 17 | 100.0 | 94.1 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 580 | 99.0 | 94.7 | 73.1 | 17.2 | 0.2 | 83.3 | 5.7 | 1.4 |
| | GILROY | 1 ST DUI | 480 | 98.8 | 97.7 | 91.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 62.9 | 7.7 | 3.1 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 184 | 99.5 | 100.0 | 15.2 | 72.8 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 13.6 | 16.3 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 28 | 96.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 10.7 | 25.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 66.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 |
| | | TOTAL | 695 | 98.7 | 98.4 | 67.2 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 9.4 | 7.8 |
| | SANTA CRUZ | SUP SANTA CRUZ | 1 ST DUI | 10 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 |
| 2 ND DUI | | | 5 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 RD DUI | | | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | | 5 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | | 23 | 65.2 | 82.6 | 13.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 52.2 | 0.0 |
| JUV SANTA CRUZ | | 1 ST DUI | 21 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 76.2 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 21 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 76.2 | 0.0 |
| SANTA CRUZ | | 1 ST DUI | 763 | 98.6 | 97.8 | 87.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 88.7 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 204 | 99.5 | 99.0 | 7.4 | 65.7 | 0.0 | 71.1 | 26.0 | 0.5 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 55 | 96.4 | 98.2 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 87.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 11 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 1033 | 98.5 | 98.1 | 66.3 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 79.9 | 16.1 | 0.1 |
| WATSONVILLE | | 1 ST DUI | 251 | 99.6 | 98.8 | 63.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 61.4 | 35.5 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 91 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 5.5 | 51.6 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 23 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 78.3 | 0.0 |
| | TOTAL | 365 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 45.2 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 56.7 | 40.3 | 0.0 | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SHASTA | SUP REDDING | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | JUV SHASTA | 1 ST DUI | 18 | 88.9 | 61.1 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 88.9 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 18 | 88.9 | 61.1 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 88.9 | 0.0 |
| | BURNEY | 1 ST DUI | 25 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 64.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 15 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 6.7 | 93.3 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 41 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 61.0 | 36.6 | 0.0 | 70.7 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
| | REDDING | 1 ST DUI | 700 | 98.3 | 97.9 | 90.3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 83.9 | 3.9 | 0.7 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 212 | 97.2 | 98.6 | 7.5 | 81.6 | 0.0 | 79.7 | 1.9 | 13.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 66 | 83.3 | 95.5 | 3.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 7.6 | 30.3 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 20 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 5.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 998 | 95.9 | 97.9 | 65.1 | 21.0 | 0.1 | 77.0 | 4.2 | 5.4 |
| | SIERRA | DOWNIEVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 13 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 53.8 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 84.6 | 7.7 |
| 2 ND DUI | | | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 RD DUI | | | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | | 26 | 92.3 | 96.2 | 34.6 | 50.0 | 3.8 | 84.6 | 3.8 | 0.0 |
| SISKIYOU | SUPSISKIYOU | 1 ST DUI | 7 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 10 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| | WEED | 1 ST DUI | 93 | 98.9 | 95.7 | 81.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 25 | 96.0 | 92.0 | 40.0 | 48.0 | 0.0 | 52.0 | 4.0 | 12.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 14.3 |
| | | TOTAL | 125 | 98.4 | 95.2 | 68.8 | 14.4 | 0.0 | 65.6 | 2.4 | 4.8 |
| | YREKA JUDIST | 1 ST DUI | 121 | 90.1 | 90.1 | 66.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 54.5 | 5.8 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 33 | 84.8 | 97.0 | 12.1 | 57.6 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 0.0 | 15.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 10 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 10.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 167 | 86.8 | 92.2 | 50.9 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| SOLANO | SUP SOLANO | 1 ST DUI | 28 | 75.0 | 96.4 | 64.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 16 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 6.3 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 8 | 37.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 14 | 28.6 | 92.9 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 66 | 60.6 | 97.0 | 28.8 | 24.2 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 10.6 | 0.0 |
| | JUV SOLANO | 1 ST DUI | 19 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 63.2 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 63.2 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 20 | 95.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 65.0 | 0.0 |
| | FAIRFIELD | 1 ST DUI | 756 | 95.8 | 99.1 | 93.4 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 197 | 96.4 | 99.0 | 11.7 | 82.7 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 3.0 | 4.1 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 50 | 80.0 | 98.0 | 6.0 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 30.0 | 14.0 |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| SOLANO (cont.) | BENICIA | TOTAL | 100.0 | 95.1 | 99.0 | 72.6 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 3.1 | 1.8 | |
| | | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | |
| | VALLEJO | 1 ST DUI | 238 | 97.9 | 88.7 | 94.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 91.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 81 | 96.3 | 97.5 | 14.8 | 79.0 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 17 | 94.1 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 338 | 97.3 | 91.4 | 70.4 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 338 | 97.3 | 91.4 | 70.4 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SONOMA | SUP SONOMA | 1 ST DUI | 19 | 57.9 | 100.0 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 9 | 44.4 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 12 | 58.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 42 | 54.8 | 97.6 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | JUV SONOMA | 1 ST DUI | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 20 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 85.0 | 0.0 | |
| | SANTA ROSA | 1 ST DUI | 1506 | 98.3 | 96.0 | 79.9 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 79.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 449 | 96.7 | 99.3 | 4.7 | 63.9 | 0.0 | 61.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 91 | 90.1 | 100.0 | 4.4 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 27 | 66.7 | 96.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 2073 | 97.2 | 96.9 | 59.3 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 71.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | |
| | STANISLAUS | SUP STANISLAUS | 1 ST DUI | 956 | 98.3 | 94.0 | 92.1 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 78.1 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 256 | 98.4 | 98.4 | 14.5 | 77.7 | 0.0 | 66.0 | 3.9 | 3.1 |
| 3 RD DUI | | | 57 | 96.5 | 100.0 | 5.3 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 8.8 | 15.8 | |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | | 25 | 84.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 20.0 | 8.0 | |
| TOTAL | | | 1294 | 98.0 | 95.3 | 71.1 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 72.1 | 3.9 | 1.5 | |
| JUV STANISLAUS | | 1 ST DUI | 15 | 80.0 | 6.7 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 17 | 76.5 | 5.9 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.9 | 0.0 | |
| MODESTO | | 1 ST DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| TURLOCK | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| SUTTER | YUBA SUP MUN | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 60.0 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 9 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.2 | 11.1 | |
| | JUV YUBA CITY | 1 ST DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | YUBA CITY | 1 ST DUI | 258 | 96.9 | 97.3 | 91.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 84.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 80 | 96.3 | 97.5 | 17.5 | 72.5 | 0.0 | 71.3 | 7.5 | 37.5 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 93.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 53.3 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 100.0 | |
| TOTAL | | 356 | 96.6 | 97.5 | 70.5 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 78.4 | 3.9 | 12.9 | | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK | |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | |
| TEHAMA | SUP TEHAMA | 1 ST DUI | 14 | 42.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 35.7 | 7.1 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 25 | 40.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 24.0 | 4.0 | |
| | JUV TEHAMA | 1 ST DUI | 4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | |
| | CORNING | 1 ST DUI | 83 | 94.0 | 95.2 | 90.4 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 26 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 11.5 | 80.8 | 0.0 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 117 | 91.5 | 96.6 | 66.7 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | REDBLUFF | 1 ST DUI | 172 | 90.1 | 98.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 81.4 | 7.0 | 0.6 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 52 | 76.9 | 98.1 | 0.0 | 65.4 | 0.0 | 71.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 15 | 53.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 1 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 240 | 84.6 | 98.3 | 0.8 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 5.8 | 0.8 | |
| | TRINITY | SUP TRINITY | 1 ST DUI | 69 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 92.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 89.9 | 8.7 | 0.0 |
| | | | 2 ND DUI | 17 | 88.2 | 94.1 | 11.8 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | 3 RD DUI | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | | | 87 | 97.7 | 94.3 | 75.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 | |
| TULARE | SUP TULARE | 1 ST DUI | 20 | 55.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 3 | 33.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 28 | 57.1 | 100.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | JUV TULARE | 1 ST DUI | 11 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 11 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 54.5 | 0.0 | |
| | DINUBA | 1 ST DUI | 235 | 97.0 | 97.9 | 88.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 89.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 66 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 21.2 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 27 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 11.1 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 3.7 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| | | TOTAL | 333 | 97.3 | 98.2 | 68.2 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | |
| | PORTERVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 438 | 97.0 | 92.9 | 79.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 36.1 | 0.2 | 2.1 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 153 | 96.7 | 98.0 | 21.6 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 14.4 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 38 | 94.7 | 97.4 | 18.4 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 28.9 | |
| | | 4 ^{TH+} DUI | 14 | 92.9 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 35.7 | |
| | | TOTAL | 643 | 96.7 | 94.6 | 60.2 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 0.6 | 7.3 | |
| | TULARE | 1 ST DUI | 311 | 98.4 | 75.2 | 89.4 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 44.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 95 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 14.7 | 71.6 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 3.2 | 6.3 | |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 17 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 5.9 | 76.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 11.8 | |
| 4 ^{TH+} DUI | | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| TOTAL | | 425 | 98.1 | 81.2 | 68.9 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 1.6 | 2.8 | | |

TABLE B4: 2001 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS* - continued

| COUNTY | COURT | DUI OFFENDER STATUS | TOTAL | PROBATION | JAIL | 1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG | SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG | 30-MONTH PROGRAM | LICENSE RESTRICTION | COURT SUSPENSION | IGNITION INTERLOCK |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | | | N | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| TULARE (cont.) | VISALIA | 1 ST DUI | 631 | 98.4 | 92.1 | 90.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 82.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 181 | 98.9 | 99.4 | 21.0 | 70.2 | 0.0 | 76.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 33 | 87.9 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 9.1 | 3.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 17 | 70.6 | 88.2 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 862 | 97.6 | 93.9 | 70.9 | 19.8 | 0.0 | 77.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| TUOLUMNE | SUP SONORA | 1 ST DUI | 263 | 96.6 | 98.9 | 91.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 85.6 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 87 | 97.7 | 100.0 | 13.8 | 78.2 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 4.6 | 3.4 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 25 | 88.0 | 100.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 8.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 11 | 36.4 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 386 | 94.6 | 99.0 | 65.8 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 7.5 | 1.3 |
| VENTURA | JUV VENTURA | 1 ST DUI | 5 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 5 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | VENTURA MUNI | 1 ST DUI | 2759 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 85.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 12.6 | 1.8 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 649 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 11.1 | 73.8 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 12.5 | 11.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 136 | 95.6 | 94.9 | 3.7 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 43.4 | 41.2 | 22.8 |
| | 4 TH + DUI | 25 | 64.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | |
| | TOTAL | 3569 | 98.1 | 98.3 | 68.5 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 82.5 | 14.0 | 4.4 | |
| YOLO | WOODLAND | 1 ST DUI | 522 | 98.7 | 96.2 | 88.1 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 79.1 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 139 | 95.7 | 98.6 | 16.5 | 69.1 | 0.0 | 81.3 | 2.9 | 7.2 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 31 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 38.7 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 700 | 98.0 | 96.7 | 69.0 | 19.4 | 0.1 | 76.0 | 5.0 | 1.7 |
| YUBA | SUP YUBA | 1 ST DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 7 | 28.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 11 | 54.5 | 100.0 | 9.1 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | MARYSVILLE | 1 ST DUI | 239 | 99.6 | 96.2 | 90.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 86.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| | | 2 ND DUI | 78 | 96.2 | 98.7 | 15.4 | 70.5 | 0.0 | 69.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| | | 3 RD DUI | 23 | 91.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 13.0 |
| | | 4 TH + DUI | 4 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| | | TOTAL | 344 | 98.0 | 97.1 | 66.6 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 77.0 | 0.3 | 2.0 |

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP
FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 6-MONTH ALCOHOL PROGRAM DRIVERS

| YEAR GROUP | SAMPLE SIZE | PERCENT FEMALE | MEAN AGE | PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS | MONTHS IN STUDY | 2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS | | | | ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES* | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS | MAJOR CONVICTIONS | MINOR CONVICTIONS | TOTAL ACCIDENTS | INJURY ACCIDENTS | MAJOR VIOLATIONS | MOVING VIOLATIONS |
| <u>7/01-6/02</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No program | 2,807 | 19.3 | 33.2 | 3.7 | 16.5 | 3.1 | 1.0 | .20 | 9.4 | 1.57 | .40 | .44 | 2.01 |
| Alcohol education program | 5,036 | 21.0 | 33.1 | 2.8 | 16.8 | 2.9 | 1.0 | .10 | 9.1 | 1.62 | .40 | .39 | 2.04 |
| Statistical significance test | | $X^2 = 3.2$ | $F = .165$ | $X^2 = 5.7^*$ | $F = 13.9^*$ | $F = 1.8$ | $F = .76$ | $F = 3.35$ | $F = .83$ | $F = 54.6^*$ | $F = .59$ | $F = 124.1^*$ | $F = 4.6$ |
| <u>2001</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3-month program | 18,000 | 20.9 | 34.4 | 3.0 | 21.4 | 3.2 | 1.4 | .10 | 8.0 | 1.61 | .43 | .43 | 2.0 |
| 6-month program | 6,010 | 22.3 | 37.3 | 3.3 | 21.4 | 3.8 | 2.3 | .10 | 6.1 | 1.61 | .40 | .44 | 2.0 |
| Statistical significance test | | $X^2 = 4.7$ | $F = 278.7^*$ | $X^2 = 1.12$ | $F = .06$ | $F = 39.5^*$ | $F = 205.2^*$ | $F = .02$ | $F = 128.5^*$ | $F = .29$ | $F = 3.88$ | $F = 5.38$ | $F = 1.76$ |